

# Characters of inductive limits of finite alternating groups

SIMON THOMAS

Mathematics Department, Rutgers University, 110 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway,  
NJ 08854-8019, USA

(e-mail: [simon.rhys.thomas@gmail.com](mailto:simon.rhys.thomas@gmail.com))

(Received 17 November 2016 and accepted in revised form 30 July 2018)

*Abstract.* If  $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$  is an inductive limit of finite alternating groups, then the indecomposable characters of  $G$  are precisely the associated characters of the ergodic invariant random subgroups of  $G$ .

Key words: alternating groups, characters, group actions

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 22D40 (Primary); 22D10 (Secondary)

## 1. Introduction

In [17], Vershik pointed out that the indecomposable characters of the group  $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$  of finitary permutations of the natural numbers are closely connected with its ergodic invariant random subgroups; and in [16], he suggested that this should also be true of various other locally finite groups. In this paper, we will prove that if  $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$  is an inductive limit of finite alternating groups, then the indecomposable characters of  $G$  are precisely the associated characters of the ergodic invariant random subgroups of  $G$ .

Let  $G$  be a countably infinite group and let  $\text{Sub}_G$  be the compact space of subgroups  $H \leq G$ . Then a Borel probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\text{Sub}_G$  which is invariant under the conjugation action of  $G$  on  $\text{Sub}_G$  is called an *invariant random subgroup* or *IRS*. For example, suppose that  $G$  acts via measure-preserving maps on the Borel probability space  $(Z, \mu)$  and let  $f : Z \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$  be the  $G$ -equivariant map defined by

$$z \mapsto G_z = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot z = z\}.$$

Then the corresponding *stabilizer distribution*  $\nu = f_*\mu$  is an IRS of  $G$ . In fact, by a result of Abért, Glasner and Virag [1], every IRS of  $G$  can be realized as the stabilizer distribution of a suitably chosen measure-preserving action. Moreover, by Creutz and Peterson [4], if  $\nu$  is an ergodic IRS of  $G$ , then  $\nu$  is the stabilizer distribution of an ergodic action  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ .

If  $G$  is a countable group, then a function  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be a *character* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\chi(hgh^{-1}) = \chi(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i \bar{\lambda}_j \chi(g_j^{-1} g_i) \geq 0$  for all  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ ;
- (iii)  $\chi(1_G) = 1$ .

For example, if  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$  is any measure-preserving action on a Borel probability space, then we can define a character  $\chi$  of  $G$  by  $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$ . In particular, if  $\nu$  is an IRS of  $G$ , then we can define a corresponding character  $\chi$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(g) &= \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid gHg^{-1} = H\}) \\ &= \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in N_G(H)\}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can also define a second character  $\chi'$  by

$$\chi'(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\}).$$

It is easily seen that  $\chi' = \chi$  if and only if  $N_G(H) = H$  for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ . Fortunately, if  $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$  is an inductive limit of finite alternating groups, then this is true of every ergodic IRS  $\nu$  of  $G$ , except for the Dirac measure  $\delta_1$  which concentrates on the identity subgroup 1. (This result is proved during the proof of Thomas and Tucker-Drob [15, Theorem 3.21].) Since it turns out to be slightly more convenient to work with the character  $\chi'$ , we choose the following definition.

*Definition 1.1.* If  $\nu$  is an IRS of the countable group  $G$ , then the *associated character*  $\chi_\nu$  is defined to be  $\chi_\nu(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\})$ .

A character  $\chi$  is said to be *indecomposable* or *extremal* if it is impossible to express  $\chi = r\chi_1 + (1 - r)\chi_2$ , where  $0 < r < 1$  and  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$  are distinct characters. The set of characters of  $G$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  and the set of indecomposable characters will be denoted by  $\mathcal{E}(G)$ . The set  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  always contains the two *trivial* characters  $\chi_{\text{con}}$  and  $\chi_{\text{reg}}$ , where  $\chi_{\text{con}}(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $\chi_{\text{reg}}(g) = 0$  for all  $1 \neq g \in G$ . It is well known that  $\chi_{\text{con}}$  is indecomposable, and that  $\chi_{\text{reg}}$  is indecomposable if and only if  $G$  is an i.c.c. group, i.e. the conjugacy class  $g^G$  of every non-identity element  $g \in G$  is infinite. (For example, see Peterson and Thom [10].) Let  $\delta_G$  and  $\delta_1$  be the Dirac measures which concentrate on the normal subgroups  $G, 1$  respectively. Then  $\delta_G, \delta_1$  are ergodic IRSs of  $G$  and clearly  $\chi_{\text{con}} = \chi_{\delta_G}$  and  $\chi_{\text{reg}} = \chi_{\delta_1}$ . Throughout this paper, we will refer to  $\delta_G, \delta_1$  as the *trivial* ergodic IRSs of  $G$ .

*Definition 1.2.* A simple locally finite group  $G$  is said to be an  $L(\text{Alt})$ -group if we can express  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  as the union of a strictly increasing chain of finite alternating groups  $G_i$ . (Here we allow arbitrary embeddings  $G_i \hookrightarrow G_{i+1}$ .)

We are now in a position to state the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *If  $G$  is an  $L(\text{Alt})$ -group and  $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ , then the indecomposable characters of  $G$  are precisely the associated characters  $\chi_\nu$  of the ergodic invariant random subgroups  $\nu$  of  $G$ .*

Note that the statement of Theorem 1.3 makes two distinct assertions about the characters of the  $L(\text{Alt})$ -group  $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ . Firstly, if  $\nu$  is any ergodic IRS of  $G$ , then the associated character  $\chi_\nu$  is indecomposable; and, secondly, that every indecomposable character of  $G$  is the associated character  $\chi_\nu$  of some ergodic IRS  $\nu$  of  $G$ . The former statement was proved in Thomas and Tucker-Drob [15], and so it will be enough for us to prove the latter statement in this paper. Also note that [15] contains a classification of the ergodic IRSs of the  $L(\text{Alt})$ -group  $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ . Thus, combining the results of this paper and [15], we obtain a classification of the indecomposable characters of the  $L(\text{Alt})$ -group  $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ . Of course, the indecomposable characters of  $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$  have already been classified by Thoma [14]. (It is perhaps interesting to note that both of the assertions in Theorem 1.3 fail when  $G = \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ .)

The indecomposable characters of the diagonal limits  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  of finite alternating groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$  such that  $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$  were earlier classified by Leinen and Puglisi [7]. (Recall that  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  is a *diagonal limit* if for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , every orbit of  $G_i$  on  $\Delta_{i+1}$  is either natural or trivial.) It should be stressed that the proof of Theorem 1.3 makes essential use of the ideas and techniques of Leinen and Puglisi [7].

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we will briefly discuss the ergodic IRSs of the  $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups; and in §3, we will briefly discuss the irreducible characters of the finite alternating groups. In §§ 4 and 5, we will present the proof of Theorem 1.3. In §6, we will point out how both of the assertions in Theorem 1.3 fail when  $G = \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ .

Finally, we will explain our notation for the various kinds of limits that arise in this paper. Suppose that  $(r_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N})$  is a bounded sequence of real numbers. If  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is an infinite subset which is enumerated in increasing order by the sequence  $(i_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N})$ , then we will write  $\lim_{i \in I} r_i$  instead of  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{i_k}$ . Also if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} r_i$  will denote the unique real number  $r$  such that  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : |r_i - r| < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{U}$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

2. *The ergodic IRSs of the  $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups*

In this section, we will present a brief discussion of the ergodic IRSs of the  $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups. First we need to introduce some notation. Suppose that  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  is the union of the strictly increasing chain of finite alternating groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let:

- $n_i = |\Delta_i|$ ;
- $s_{i+1}$  be the number of natural  $G_i$ -orbits on  $\Delta_{i+1}$ ;
- $f_{i+1}$  be the number of trivial  $G_i$ -orbits on  $\Delta_{i+1}$ ;
- $e_{i+1} = n_{i+1} - (s_{i+1}n_i + f_{i+1})$  be the number of points  $x \in \Delta_{i+1}$  which lie in a non-trivial non-natural  $G_i$ -orbit.

Here an orbit  $\Omega$  of  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$  on  $\Delta_{i+1}$  is said to be *natural* if  $|\Omega| = |\Delta_i|$  and the action  $G_i \curvearrowright \Omega$  is isomorphic to the natural action  $G_i \curvearrowright \Delta_i$ . Also for each  $i < j$ , let  $s_{ij} = s_{i+1}s_{i+2} \dots s_j$ . Thus  $s_{ij}$  is the number of ‘obvious’ natural orbits of  $G_i$  on  $\Delta_j$ .

The classification of the ergodic IRSs of the  $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups involves a fundamental dichotomy which was introduced by Leinen and Puglisi [6, 7] in the more restrictive setting of diagonal limits of finite alternating groups, i.e. the linear versus sublinear natural orbit growth condition.

LEMMA 2.1. (Leinen and Puglisi [7]) For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , the limit  $a_i = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} s_{ij}/n_j$  exists.

Definition 2.2. An  $L(\text{Alt})$ -group  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  has linear natural orbit growth if  $a_i > 0$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Otherwise,  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  has sublinear natural orbit growth.

Remark 2.3. Clearly if  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  has linear natural orbit growth, then there exists  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $s_{i+1} > 0$  for all  $i \geq i_0$ . Also since  $a_i = s_{i+1}a_{i+1}$ , it follows that  $a_i > 0$  for every  $i \geq i_0$ .

Since the proof of Theorem 1.3 makes use of the classification of the ergodic IRSs of the  $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups of linear natural orbit growth, we will briefly describe this classification. So suppose that  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  has linear natural orbit growth. Then, after replacing the increasing union  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  by  $G = \bigcup_{i_0 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  for some suitably chosen  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can suppose that  $s_{i+1} > 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $t_0 = n_0$  and let  $t_{i+1} = n_{i+1} - s_{i+1}n_i$ . Then we can suppose that:

- $\Delta_0 = \{\alpha_\ell^0 \mid \ell < t_0\}$ ; and
- $\Delta_{i+1} = \{\sigma^k \mid \sigma \in \Delta_i, 0 \leq k < s_{i+1}\} \cup \{\alpha_\ell^{i+1} \mid 0 \leq \ell < t_{i+1}\}$ ;

and that the embedding  $\varphi_i : \text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$  satisfies

$$\varphi_i(g)(\sigma^k) = g(\sigma)^k$$

for each  $\sigma \in \Delta_i$  and  $0 \leq k < s_{i+1}$ . Let  $\Delta$  consist of all sequences of the form  $(\alpha_\ell^i, k_{i+1}, k_{i+2}, k_{i+3}, \dots)$  where  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k_j$  is an integer such that  $0 \leq k_j < s_j$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sigma \in \Delta_i$ , let  $\Delta(\sigma) \subseteq \Delta$  be the subset of sequences of the form  $\sigma^k(k_{i+1}, k_{i+2}, k_{i+3}, \dots)$ . Then the sets  $\Delta(\sigma)$  form a clopen basis for a locally compact topology on  $\Delta$ ; and by Thomas and Tucker-Drob [15, Proposition 3.18], there exists a unique  $G$ -invariant ergodic probability measure  $m$  on  $\Delta$ . By Thomas and Tucker-Drob [15, Corollary 2.5], since  $G$  is a simple locally finite group, it follows that the product action  $G \curvearrowright (\Delta^r, m^{\otimes r})$  is also ergodic for all  $r \geq 2$ , and hence the corresponding stabilizer distribution  $\nu_r$  is an ergodic IRS of  $G$ .

THEOREM 2.4. (Thomas and Tucker-Drob [15]) If  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  has linear natural orbit growth, then the ergodic IRSs of  $G$  are  $\{\delta_1, \delta_G\} \cup \{\nu_r \mid r \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ .

From now on, whenever  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  has linear natural orbit growth, then we will refer to  $G \curvearrowright (\Delta, m)$  as the canonical ergodic action. Since the proof of Theorem 1.3 does not require any knowledge of the ergodic IRSs of  $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups of sublinear natural orbit growth, we refer the interested reader to Thomas and Tucker-Drob [15] for the statements of the classification theorems. (The cases when  $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$  and  $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$  need to be handled separately.)

### 3. Irreducible characters of finite alternating groups

In this section, we will discuss some results of Leinen and Puglisi [7] concerning the asymptotic properties of the irreducible characters of  $\text{Alt}(n)$ . But first, following Zalesskii [19], we will discuss the relationship between the irreducible characters of  $\text{Alt}(n)$  and  $\text{Sym}(n)$ . It is well known that the irreducible representations of the symmetric group  $\text{Sym}(n)$  are parametrized by the partitions  $\lambda = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$  of  $n$ ; i.e. sequences

of integers such that  $\ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \dots \geq \ell_r > 0$  and  $\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_r = n$ . For each such partition  $\lambda$ , let  $\varphi_\lambda$  be the corresponding irreducible character of  $\text{Sym}(n)$  and let  $D_\lambda$  be the corresponding Young diagram. Thus  $D_\lambda$  is an array of cells with  $\ell_k$  cells in the  $k$ th row for each  $1 \leq k \leq r$ . Also let  $\lambda^*$  be the partition corresponding to the Young diagram obtained from  $D_\lambda$  by reflection in the diagonal that runs rightwards and downwards from the upper left-hand corner of  $D_\lambda$ . For example,  $(5, 2, 1)^* = (3, 2, 1, 1, 1)$ . Finally, let  $\trianglelefteq$  and  $\leq$  be the dominance and lexicographic orders on the set of partitions of  $n$ . (For example, see Sagan [12].)

If  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$  such that  $\lambda \neq \lambda^*$ , then  $\varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$  is an irreducible character of  $\text{Alt}(n)$ , which is equal to  $\varphi_{\lambda^*} \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$ . On the other hand, if  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ , then  $\varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$  is the sum of two distinct irreducible representations of  $\text{Alt}(n)$ . Furthermore, for every irreducible character  $\theta$  of  $\text{Alt}(n)$ , there exists a unique  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$  and  $\theta$  is an irreducible component of  $\varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$ . This allows us to associate a partition  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$  with each irreducible character  $\theta$  of  $\text{Alt}(n)$ . If  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ , then  $\lambda$  is associated with a unique irreducible character of  $\text{Alt}(n)$ ; while if  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ , then  $\lambda$  is associated with a pair of irreducible characters of  $\text{Alt}(n)$ . If  $\lambda$  is associated with the irreducible character  $\theta$  of  $\text{Alt}(n)$ , then we write  $D(\theta) = D_\lambda$  for the corresponding Young diagram. For later use, note that since  $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$ , it follows that the length of the first row of each Young diagram  $D(\theta)$  is greater than or equal to the length of the first column.

For each partition  $\lambda = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$  of  $n$  such that  $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$ , we define its *type* to be  $\alpha_\lambda = (\ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$  and its *depth* to be  $d(\lambda) = \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_r$ . Similarly, we will refer to the types and depths of the corresponding Young diagrams and the corresponding irreducible characters of  $\text{Alt}(n)$ ; and if  $\alpha = (\ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$  is a type, then we will refer to  $d(\alpha) = \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_r$  as its depth. Of course, since  $\ell_1 = n - d(\alpha)$ , the corresponding partition  $\lambda_\alpha$  of  $n$  is uniquely determined by  $\alpha$ ; and if  $n \geq 2d(\alpha) + 1$ , then  $\lambda_\alpha > \lambda_\alpha^*$  and so there exists a unique irreducible character of  $\text{Alt}(n)$  of type  $\alpha$ , which we will denote by  $\theta_\alpha$ . Finally, for each integer  $n \geq 2d(\alpha) + 1$ , let  $\Phi_\alpha$  be the set of partitions  $(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r)$  of  $n$  such that  $|P_1| = n - d(\alpha)$  and  $|P_k| = \ell_k$  for each  $2 \leq k \leq r$ , and let  $\pi_\alpha$  be the permutation character of the action  $\text{Alt}(n) \curvearrowright \Phi_\alpha$ . In the remainder of this section, we will present some results of Leinen and Puglisi [7] concerning the asymptotic properties of  $\theta_\alpha$  and  $\pi_\alpha$  for some fixed type  $\alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We will begin by stating two results concerning the growth rates of the degrees  $\pi_\alpha(1)$ ,  $\theta_\alpha(1)$  of the representations. The first result is an easy exercise. For a proof of the second result, see Leinen and Puglisi [7, Lemma 3.1].

LEMMA 3.1. *For each type  $\alpha$ , there exists a polynomial  $p_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  of degree  $d(\alpha)$  such that if  $n \geq 2d(\alpha) + 1$ , then  $p_\alpha(n) = \pi_\alpha(1) = |\Phi_\alpha|$  is the degree of the permutation character  $\pi_\alpha$  of the action  $\text{Alt}(n) \curvearrowright \Phi_\alpha$ .*

LEMMA 3.2. *For each type  $\alpha$ , there exists a polynomial  $q_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  of degree  $d(\alpha)$  such that if  $n \geq 2d(\alpha) + 1$ , then  $q_\alpha(n) = \theta_\alpha(1)$  is the degree of the unique irreducible character  $\theta_\alpha$  of  $\text{Alt}(n)$  of type  $\alpha$ .*

Before we can state the final result of this section, we first need to translate the dominance order on partitions to a corresponding partial order on types. So suppose that  $\alpha, \beta$  are types. Let  $n$  be an integer such that  $n \geq \max\{2d(\alpha) + 1, 2d(\beta) + 1\}$  and let  $\lambda_\alpha,$

$\lambda_\beta$  be the corresponding partitions of  $n$ . Then we define

$$\alpha \trianglelefteq \beta \iff \lambda_\alpha \trianglelefteq \lambda_\beta.$$

It is easily checked that this definition is independent of the choice of the integer  $n \geq \max\{2d(\alpha) + 1, 2d(\beta) + 1\}$ . The following result, which is extracted from the proof of Leinen and Puglisi [7, Theorem 3.2], will play a key role in the next section. For the sake of completeness, we will sketch the main points of its proof.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $\alpha$  be a type of depth  $d = d(\alpha)$ , let  $n$  be an integer such that  $n \geq 2d + 1$ , and let  $\theta_\alpha$  be the irreducible character of  $\text{Alt}(n)$  of type  $\alpha$ . Then there exist integers  $z_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which are independent of  $n$ , such that*

$$\theta_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \triangleright \alpha} z_\beta \pi_\beta. \tag{3.3a}$$

Furthermore, the integers  $z_\beta$  satisfy

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\beta \triangleright \alpha \\ d(\beta)=d}} z_\beta \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} = 1. \tag{3.3b}$$

*Sketch proof.* Suppose that  $\lambda$  is any partition of  $n$  such that  $n \geq 2d(\lambda) + 1$ . If  $\sigma$  is any partition of  $n$  such that  $\sigma \triangleright \lambda$ , then  $d(\sigma) \leq d(\lambda)$  and so  $n \geq 2d(\sigma) + 1$ . In particular, letting  $\varphi_\sigma$  be the corresponding irreducible character of  $\text{Sym}(n)$ , we have that  $\varphi_\sigma \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$  is the unique irreducible character  $\theta_\sigma$  associated with  $\sigma$ . Thus Young’s rule [12, Theorem 2.11.2] implies that

$$\theta_\lambda = \pi_\lambda - \sum_{\sigma \triangleright \lambda} \kappa_{\sigma\lambda} \theta_\sigma, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\kappa_{\sigma\lambda}$  is the corresponding Kostka number; i.e. the number of semi-standard tableaux of shape  $\sigma$  and content  $\lambda$ . It is easily checked that, since

$$n \geq 2d(\sigma) + 1 \geq 2d(\lambda) + 1,$$

each of these Kostka numbers  $\kappa_{\sigma\lambda}$  depends only on the types of  $\sigma$  and  $\lambda$ . In particular, letting  $\lambda$  be the partition of  $n$  corresponding to the type  $\alpha$ , we can replace each partition in (3.1) by its corresponding type and so obtain the following equality:

$$\theta_\alpha = \pi_\alpha - \sum_{\beta \triangleright \alpha} \kappa_{\beta\alpha} \theta_\beta.$$

Proceeding inductively along the dominance order for types, we now easily obtain equation (3.3a). In particular, we have that

$$\theta_\alpha(1) = \sum_{\beta \triangleright \alpha} z_\beta \pi_\beta(1)$$

and so

$$1 = \sum_{\beta \triangleright \alpha} z_\beta \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)}.$$

Using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we easily obtain equation (3.3b). □

#### 4. Full limits of finite alternating groups

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.3 in the special case when  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  is a ‘full limit’ of finite alternating groups. Our arguments in the first half of this section will follow those of Leinen and Puglisi [7, §3].

*Definition 4.1.* Suppose that  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  is the union of the strictly increasing chain of finite alternating groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ .

- (i) The embedding  $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$  is said to be *full* if  $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$  has no trivial orbits on  $\Delta_{i+1}$ .
- (ii)  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  is the *full limit* of the finite alternating groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$  if every embedding  $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$  is full.

*Warning 4.2.* A composition of two full embeddings is not necessarily full. Consequently, if  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  is a full limit and  $(k_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N})$  is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers, then  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_{k_i}$  is not necessarily a full limit. The notion of a full limit is a purely technical one, first introduced in Thomas and Tucker-Drob [15], which is useful in the proofs of results about  $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups.

Most of this section will be devoted to the proof of the following result.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *Suppose that  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  is the full limit of finite alternating groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$  and that  $G$  has a non-trivial indecomposable character  $\chi$ . Then:*

- (a)  $\chi = \chi_\nu$  is the associated character of a non-trivial ergodic IRS  $\nu$  of  $G$ ; and
- (b)  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  has linear natural orbit growth.

The proof of Proposition 4.3 will make use of the following result.

**PROPOSITION 4.4.** (Thomas and Tucker-Drob [15]) *If  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  is the full limit of finite alternating groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ , then  $G$  has a non-trivial ergodic IRS if and only if  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  has linear natural orbit growth.*

We will also make use of the following result, which is a slight reformulation of Thomas and Tucker-Drob [15, Corollary 7.5].

**LEMMA 4.5.** *If  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  is the full limit of the finite alternating groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ , then  $\liminf |\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)|/|\Delta_i| > 0$  for all  $1 \neq g \in G$ .*

From now on, suppose that  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  is the full limit of the finite alternating groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$  and that  $\chi$  is a non-trivial indecomposable character of  $G$ . Then, by Vershik and Kerov [18, Theorem 6], there exist irreducible characters  $\theta_i$  of  $G_i$  such that for all  $g \in G$ ,

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\theta}_i(g),$$

where  $\widehat{\theta}_i = \theta_i/\theta_i(1)$  is the corresponding normalized irreducible character. For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $d_i$  be the depth of the corresponding Young diagram  $D(\theta_i)$ . The proof of the next lemma is almost identical to that of Leinen and Puglisi [7, Proposition 3.5].

LEMMA 4.6.  $\limsup d_i < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}$ , there exists a non-identity element  $1 \neq g \in G$  such that  $\chi(g) \neq 0$ . Applying Lemma 4.5, there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $|\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)| \geq cn_i$  for all sufficiently large  $i$ . Also, by Roichman [11, Theorem 5.4], since the length  $n_i - d_i$  of the first row of the Young diagram  $D(\theta_i)$  is greater than or equal to the length of the first column, it follows that there exist constants  $b > 0$  and  $0 < q < 1$  such that if  $i$  is sufficiently large, then

$$|\widehat{\theta}_i(g)| \leq \left( \max \left\{ q, \frac{n_i - d_i}{n_i} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}.$$

Since  $\chi(g) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\theta}_i(g) \neq 0$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)| = \infty$ , it follows that if  $i$  is sufficiently large, then  $\max\{q, (n_i - d_i)/n_i\} = (n_i - d_i)/n_i$  and so

$$|\widehat{\theta}_i(g)| \leq \left( \frac{n_i - d_i}{n_i} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)|} = \left( 1 - \frac{d_i}{n_i} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}.$$

It also now follows that  $d_i/n_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $|\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)| \geq cn_i$  for all sufficiently large  $i$ , we have that

$$|\widehat{\theta}_i(g)| \leq \left( \left( 1 - \frac{d_i}{n_i} \right)^{n_i/d_i} \right)^{bcd_i}.$$

Since  $d_i/n_i \rightarrow 0$ , it follows that

$$\left( 1 - \frac{d_i}{n_i} \right)^{n_i/d_i} \rightarrow \left( \frac{1}{e} \right)$$

and this implies that  $\limsup d_i < \infty$ . □

Thus there exists an infinite subset  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that the irreducible character  $\theta_i$  has the same type  $\alpha$  for each  $i \in I$ . Let  $d = d(\alpha)$  be the corresponding depth.

LEMMA 4.7.  $\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} (|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|/|\Delta_i|)^d$  for all  $g \in G$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $i \in I$  and that  $n_i \gg d$ . In order to simplify notation, we will write  $n, \Delta, G, \theta$  instead of  $n_i, \Delta_i, G_i, \theta_i$  and we will write limits as  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  instead of  $\lim_{i \in I}$ . For each type  $\beta = (\ell_2, \dots, \ell_r) \supseteq \alpha$ , let  $d(\beta)$  be the corresponding depth and let  $\Phi_\beta$  be the corresponding set of partitions  $(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r)$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $|P_1| = n - d(\beta)$  and  $|P_k| = \ell_k$  for each  $2 \leq k \leq r$ . Let  $\pi_\beta$  be the permutation character of the action  $G \curvearrowright \Phi_\beta$  and let  $\widehat{\pi}_\beta = \pi_\beta/\pi_\beta(1)$  be the corresponding normalized permutation character.

CLAIM 4.8. For each type  $\beta = (\ell_2, \dots, \ell_r) \supseteq \alpha$  and element  $g \in G$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\pi}_\beta(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|/|\Delta|)^{d(\beta)}.$$

*Proof of Claim 4.8.* Clearly we can suppose that  $g \neq 1$ . Let

$$F_0(g) = \{(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r) \in \text{Fix}_{\Phi_\beta}(g) \mid \text{supp}_\Delta(g) \subseteq P_1\}$$

and let  $F_1(g) = \text{Fix}_{\Phi_\beta}(g) \setminus F_0(g)$ . Let  $c_\beta$  be the number of partitions of a  $d(\beta)$ -set into pieces of sizes  $\ell_2, \dots, \ell_r$ . Then clearly

$$|\Phi_\beta| = c_\beta \binom{n}{d(\beta)} \quad \text{and} \quad |F_0(g)| = c_\beta \binom{|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|}{d(\beta)}.$$



If  $(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r) \in F_1(g)$ , then  $P_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup P_r$  is the union of  $s$  non-trivial  $g$ -orbits  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s$  and  $t = d(\beta) - \sum_{j=1}^s |\sigma_j|$  trivial  $g$ -orbits for some  $1 \leq s \leq d(\beta)/2$ . Clearly  $0 \leq t \leq d(\beta) - 2s$ . Since  $g$  obviously has less than  $n$  non-trivial orbits, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |F_1(g)| &< c_\beta \sum_{s=1}^{d(\beta)/2} \binom{n}{s} \sum_{t=0}^{d(\beta)-2s} \binom{|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|}{t} \\ &< c_\beta \sum_{s=1}^{d(\beta)/2} \binom{n}{s} \sum_{t=0}^{d(\beta)-2s} \binom{n}{t} \end{aligned}$$

and so there exists a polynomial  $q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  of degree at most  $d(\beta) - 1$  such that  $|F_1(g)| < q(n)$ . Since  $|\Phi_\beta|$  is a polynomial function of degree  $d(\beta)$ , it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F_1(g)|/|\Phi_\beta| = 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\pi}_\beta(g) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F_0(g)|/|\Phi_\beta| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \binom{|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|}{d(\beta)} \bigg/ \binom{n}{d(\beta)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|/|\Delta|)^{d(\beta)}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Recall that  $d(\alpha) = d$ . Hence, applying Lemma 3.3, there exist integers  $z_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which are independent of  $n$ , such that

$$\theta = \theta_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \geq \alpha} z_\beta \pi_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\beta \geq \alpha \\ d(\beta)=d}} z_\beta \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} = 1.$$

It follows that for each  $g \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(g) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\theta}_\alpha(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\beta \geq \alpha} z_\beta \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} \widehat{\pi}_\beta(g) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \geq \alpha} z_\beta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\pi}_\beta(g) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\beta \geq \alpha \\ d(\beta)=d}} z_\beta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\pi}_\beta(g) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\beta \geq \alpha \\ d(\beta)=d}} z_\beta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|/|\Delta|)^d \\ &= \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\beta \geq \alpha \\ d(\beta)=d}} z_\beta \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} \right) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|/|\Delta|)^d \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|/|\Delta|)^d. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.7. □

For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Omega_i = \Delta_i^d$  and let  $G_i \curvearrowright \Omega_i$  be the product action. Then the corresponding normalized permutation character of  $G_i$  is

$$|\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|/|\Omega_i| = (|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|/|\Delta_i|)^d;$$

and hence for each  $g \in G$ , we have that  $\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} |\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|/|\Omega_i|$ . We are now ready to complete the proof of Proposition 4.3. Our argument makes use of the Loeb measure construction [9]. Our exposition and notation follow that of Conley, Kechris and Tucker-Drob [3].

For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mu_i$  be the uniform probability measure on  $\Omega_i$  defined by  $\mu_i(A) = |A|/|\Omega_i|$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $I \in \mathcal{U}$  and let  $\sim_{\mathcal{U}}$  be the equivalence relation on  $X = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i$  defined by

$$(x_i) \sim_{\mathcal{U}} (y_i) \iff \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid x_i = y_i\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

For each  $(x_i) \in X$ , let  $[(x_i)]_{\mathcal{U}}$  be the corresponding  $\sim_{\mathcal{U}}$ -equivalence class, and let

$$X_{\mathcal{U}} = \{[(x_i)]_{\mathcal{U}} \mid (x_i) \in X\}.$$

For each sequence  $(A_i) \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(\Omega_i)$ , define the subset  $[(A_i)]_{\mathcal{U}} \subseteq X_{\mathcal{U}}$  by

$$[(x_i)]_{\mathcal{U}} \in [(A_i)]_{\mathcal{U}} \iff \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid x_i \in A_i\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Then  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}^0 = \{[(A_i)]_{\mathcal{U}} \mid (A_i) \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(\Omega_i)\}$  is a Boolean algebra of subsets of  $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ , and we can define a finitely additive probability measure  $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}$  on  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}^0$  by

$$\mu_{\mathcal{U}}([(A_i)]_{\mathcal{U}}) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \mu_i(A_i).$$

Furthermore, there exists a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$  of subsets of  $X_{\mathcal{U}}$  such that  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}^0 \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$  and such that  $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}$  extends to a  $\sigma$ -additive probability measure on  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ , which we will also denote by  $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ . (A clear account of the construction of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$  and  $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}$  can be found in Conley, Kechris and Tucker-Drob [3, §2].) Thus  $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$  is a probability space. (Although this will not cause any difficulties in this proof, it is perhaps still worthwhile to note that this probability space is non-separable.)

Next for each  $g \in G$  and  $x \in \Omega_i$ , we define

$$g \cdot x = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{if } g \in G_i, \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we can define a measure-preserving action  $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$  by

$$g \cdot [(x_i)]_{\mathcal{U}} = [(g \cdot x_i)]_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

It is easily checked that  $\text{Fix}_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}(g) = [(\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g))]_{\mathcal{U}}$ . Thus  $\text{Fix}_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}(g) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}^0$  and

$$\mu_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{Fix}_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}(g)) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} |\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|/|\Omega_i| = \chi(g).$$

Let  $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$  be the  $G$ -equivariant map defined by  $x \mapsto G_x$ . Note that for each  $g \in G$ , we have that

$$f^{-1}(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\}) = \text{Fix}_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}(g) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}^0.$$

It follows that  $f$  is  $\mathbf{B}_U$ -measurable and hence  $\nu = f_*\mu_U$  is an IRS of  $G$ . Furthermore, for each  $g \in G$ ,

$$\chi(g) = \mu_U(\text{Fix}_{X_U}(g)) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\});$$

and so  $\chi = \chi_\nu$  is the corresponding associated character. Finally, since  $\chi$  is a non-trivial indecomposable character, it follows that  $\nu$  is a non-trivial ergodic IRS; and thus Proposition 4.4 yields that  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  has linear natural orbit growth. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.3.

In the proof of Theorem 1.3, we will need to understand the decompositions of arbitrary characters  $\chi$  of full limits with linear natural orbit growth. So suppose that  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  is a full limit with linear natural orbit growth, and let  $G \curvearrowright (\Delta, m)$  be the canonical ergodic action. For each  $r \geq 1$ , let  $\nu_r$  be the stabilizer distribution of the ergodic action  $G \curvearrowright (\Delta^r, m^{\otimes r})$ . Then, by Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 2.4, the set of indecomposable characters of  $G$  is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(G) = \{\chi_{\text{reg}}, \chi_{\text{con}}\} \cup \{\chi_{\nu_r} \mid r \in \mathbb{N}^+\}.$$

**PROPOSITION 4.9.** *With the above hypotheses, for every character  $\chi \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ , there exist uniquely determined non-negative real coefficients  $\alpha, \beta$ , and  $\gamma_r$  for  $r \geq 1$  such that:*

- (i)  $\alpha + \beta + \sum_{r \geq 1} \gamma_r = 1$ ; and
- (ii)  $\chi = \alpha \chi_{\text{reg}} + \beta \chi_{\text{con}} + \sum_{r \geq 1} \gamma_r \chi_{\nu_r}$ .

*Consequently,  $\mu = \alpha \delta_1 + \beta \delta_G + \sum_{r \geq 1} \gamma_r \nu_r$  is the unique IRS of  $G$  such that  $\chi_\mu = \chi$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Leinen and Puglisi [7, Theorem 3.6], every convergent sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}(G)$ , which does not tend to one of the functions in

$$\{\chi_{\text{con}}\} \cup \{\chi_{\nu_r} \mid r \in \mathbb{N}^+\},$$

must converge to

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{\nu_r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\chi_{\nu_1})^r = \chi_{\text{reg}},$$

since  $\chi_{\nu_1}(g) = m(\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)) < 1$  for all  $1 \neq g \in G$ . Thus  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{F}(G)$ . By Thoma [13],  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  is a Choquet simplex; and, applying Choquet’s theorem, we obtain that if  $\chi \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ , then there exist uniquely determined non-negative real coefficients  $\alpha, \beta$ , and  $\gamma_r$  for  $r \geq 1$  such that:

- (i)  $\alpha + \beta + \sum_{r \geq 1} \gamma_r = 1$ ; and
- (ii)  $\chi = \alpha \chi_{\text{reg}} + \beta \chi_{\text{con}} + \sum_{r \geq 1} \gamma_r \chi_{\nu_r}$ .

In particular, the IRS  $\mu = \alpha \delta_1 + \beta \delta_G + \sum_{r \geq 1} \gamma_r \nu_r$  satisfies  $\chi_\mu = \chi$ . By considering an element  $1 \neq g \in G$  such that  $0 < m(\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)) < 1$ , we see that if  $\nu \neq \nu'$  are two distinct ergodic IRSs of  $G$ , then  $\chi_\nu \neq \chi_{\nu'}$ ; and it follows that  $\mu$  is the unique IRS of  $G$  such that  $\chi_\mu = \chi$ . □

*Remark 4.10.* It is not true in general that if  $G$  is a simple locally finite group and  $\nu \neq \nu'$  are two distinct ergodic IRSs of  $G$ , then  $\chi_\nu \neq \chi_{\nu'}$ . For example, let  $\mathbb{F} = GF(q)$  be the finite field with  $q$  elements and let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  having a countably infinite basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, \dots\}$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $G_n$  be the group of linear transformations of  $V$  that leave the subspace  $V_n = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$  invariant, induce an element of  $\text{SL}(V_n)$  on

$V_n$  and fix each of the basis vectors in  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . Then the *stable special linear group*  $G = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} G_n$  is a simple locally finite group.

Let  $V^*$  be the dual space of linear functionals  $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$  and let  $\lambda$  be the Haar measure on  $V^*$ . Then  $G$  acts ergodically on  $(V^*, \lambda)$ ; and, letting  $\nu$  be the corresponding stabilizer distribution, the associated character is  $\chi_\nu(g) = 1/q^{\text{rank}(g-1)}$ .

Next let  $X = \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^+}$  and let  $\mu$  be the uniform product probability measure on  $X$ . Then, identifying  $\mathbb{F}^n$  with  $V_n$ , we can define an ergodic action of  $G$  on  $(X, \mu)$  by letting each subgroup  $G_n$  act via

$$g \cdot (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \dots, \alpha_m, \dots) = (g(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \alpha_{n+1}, \dots, \alpha_m, \dots).$$

Let  $\nu'$  be the corresponding stabilizer distribution. Then it is easily checked that the associated character is  $\chi_{\nu'}(g) = 1/q^{\text{rank}(g-1)}$  and so  $\nu \neq \nu'$  are two distinct ergodic IRSs of  $G$  such that  $\chi_\nu = \chi_{\nu'}$ .

### 5. The proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we will present the proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose that  $G$  is an  $L(\text{Alt})$ -group and that  $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ . Then, as explained in §1, it is enough to show that every indecomposable character of  $G$  is the associated character  $\chi_\nu$  of some ergodic IRS  $\nu$  of  $G$ . First suppose that  $G$  has no non-trivial indecomposable characters. Then, since  $\chi_{\text{con}} = \chi_{\delta_G}$  and  $\chi_{\text{reg}} = \chi_{\delta_1}$ , the desired conclusion holds. Hence we can suppose that  $G$  has a non-trivial indecomposable character  $\chi$ . Let  $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$  be the (not necessarily full) union of the increasing chain of finite alternating groups  $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ . We will begin by expressing  $G = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)$  as a (not necessarily strictly) increasing union of subgroups  $G(\ell)$ , each of which can be expressed as a full limit of finite alternating groups.

Applying Hall [5, Theorem 5.1], since  $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ , it follows that for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , the number  $c_{ij}$  of non-trivial  $G_i$ -orbits on  $\Delta_j$  is unbounded as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, after passing to a suitable subsequence, we can suppose that each  $G_i$  has at least two non-trivial orbits on  $\Delta_{i+1}$ . Since  $G_i$  is simple, this implies that if  $1 \neq G'_i \leq G_i$ , then  $G'_i$  also has at least two non-trivial orbits on  $\Delta_{i+1}$ . For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define sequences of subsets  $\Delta_j^\ell \subseteq \Delta_j$  and subgroups  $G(\ell)_j = \text{Alt}(\Delta_j^\ell)$  for  $j \geq \ell$  inductively as follows:

- $\Delta_\ell^\ell = \Delta_\ell$ ;
- $\Delta_{j+1}^\ell = \Delta_{j+1} \setminus \text{Fix}_{\Delta_{j+1}}(G(\ell)_j)$ .

Clearly each  $G(\ell)_j$  is strictly contained in  $G(\ell)_{j+1}$  and  $G(\ell) = \bigcup_{\ell \leq j \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)_j$  is the full limit of the alternating groups  $G(\ell)_j = \text{Alt}(\Delta_j^\ell)$ . It is also easily checked that if  $\ell < m$  and  $i < j$ , then

$$G_\ell \leq G(\ell)_i \leq G(m)_i < G(m)_j.$$

It follows that if  $\ell < m$ , then  $G(\ell) \leq G(m)$  and that  $G = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)$ . For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\chi_\ell = \chi \upharpoonright G(\ell)$ .

**LEMMA 5.1.** *The subgroup  $G(\ell) = \bigcup_{\ell \leq j \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)_j$  has linear natural orbit growth for all but finitely many  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction, suppose that there exists an infinite subset  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $\ell \in I$ , the subgroup  $G(\ell) = \bigcup_{\ell \leq j \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)_j$  does not have linear natural

orbit growth. Then, by Proposition 4.3, for each  $\ell \in I$ , the only indecomposable characters of  $G(\ell)$  are  $\chi_{\text{con}}$  and  $\chi_{\text{reg}}$ . Hence there exists a real number  $0 \leq r_\ell \leq 1$  such that  $\chi_\ell = r_\ell \chi_{\text{con}} + (1 - r_\ell) \chi_{\text{reg}}$ . If  $\ell < m$  are distinct elements of  $I$ , then  $G(\ell) \leq G(m)$  and it follows that  $r_\ell = r_m$ . But then there exists a fixed  $r$  such that  $r_\ell = r$  for all  $\ell \in I$  and this implies that  $\chi = r \chi_{\text{con}} + (1 - r) \chi_{\text{reg}}$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Hence we can suppose that  $G(\ell) = \bigcup_{\ell \leq j \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)_j$  has linear natural orbit growth for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $G(\ell) \curvearrowright (\Delta_\ell, m_\ell)$  be the canonical ergodic action and for each  $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , let  $\nu(\ell)_r$  be the stabilizer distribution of  $G(\ell) \curvearrowright (\Delta_\ell^r, m_\ell^{\otimes r})$ . Then for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $\alpha(\ell), \beta(\ell), \gamma(\ell)_r \in [0, 1]$  with  $\alpha(\ell) + \beta(\ell) + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}^+} \gamma(\ell)_r = 1$  such that

$$\chi_\ell = \alpha(\ell) \chi_{\text{reg}} + \beta(\ell) \chi_{\text{con}} + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}^+} \gamma(\ell)_r \chi_{\nu(\ell)_r}. \quad (5.1)$$

Thus  $\chi_\ell$  is the associated character  $\chi_{\nu_\ell}$  of the IRS  $\nu_\ell$  of  $G(\ell)$  defined by

$$\nu_\ell = \alpha(\ell) \delta_1 + \beta(\ell) \delta_{G(\ell)} + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}^+} \gamma(\ell)_r \nu(\ell)_r. \quad (5.2)$$

For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f_\ell : \text{Sub}_{G(\ell+1)} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_{G(\ell)}$  be the continuous map defined by  $H \mapsto H \cap G(\ell)$ .

LEMMA 5.2.  $(f_\ell)_* \nu_{\ell+1} = \nu_\ell$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\theta_\ell$  be the character associated with the IRS  $(f_\ell)_* \nu_{\ell+1}$  of  $G(\ell)$ . Then for each element  $g \in G(\ell)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\ell(g) &= (f_\ell)_* \nu_{\ell+1}(\{K \in \text{Sub}_{G(\ell)} \mid g \in K\}) \\ &= \nu_{\ell+1}(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{G(\ell+1)} \mid g \in H\}) \\ &= \chi_{\ell+1}(g) \\ &= \chi_\ell(g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows from Proposition 4.9.  $\square$

Thus  $\{(\text{Sub}_{G(\ell)}, \nu_\ell) \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an inverse family of topological probability spaces in the sense of Choksi [2], and clearly we can naturally identify the inverse limit  $\varprojlim \text{Sub}_{G(\ell)}$  with  $\text{Sub}_G$ . For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f_{\infty\ell} : \text{Sub}_G \rightarrow \text{Sub}_{G(\ell)}$  be the continuous map defined by  $H \mapsto H \cap G(\ell)$ . Applying Choksi [2, Theorem 2.2], since each  $\text{Sub}_{G(\ell)}$  is a compact Hausdorff space, it follows that there exists a measure  $\nu$  on  $\text{Sub}_G$  such that  $(f_{\infty\ell})_* \nu = \nu_\ell$  for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and element  $g \in G(\ell)$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(g) &= \nu(\{K \in \text{Sub}_{G(\ell)} \mid g \in K\}) \\ &= (f_{\infty\ell})_* \nu(\{K \in \text{Sub}_{G(\ell)} \mid g \in K\}) \\ &= \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\chi$  is the character associated with the IRS  $\nu$  of  $G$ ; and since  $\chi$  is a non-trivial indecomposable character, it follows that  $\nu$  is a non-trivial ergodic IRS. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

6. *The indecomposable characters of  $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$*

In this final section, we will point out the two ways in which Theorem 1.3 fails when  $G = \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ . Firstly, it follows from Thomas and Tucker-Drob [15, Theorem 9.2] that there exist ergodic IRSs  $\nu$  of  $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$  such that the associated character

$$\chi_\nu(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\})$$

is *not* indecomposable. Secondly, as we will explain in the remainder of this section, there exist indecomposable characters  $\chi$  of  $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$  for which there does *not* exist an ergodic IRS  $\nu$  such that  $\chi = \chi_\nu$ .

We will begin by recalling Thoma’s classification [14] of the indecomposable characters of  $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ . For each  $g \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$  and  $n \geq 2$ , let  $c_n(g)$  be the number of cycles of length  $n$  in the cyclic decomposition of the permutation  $g$ . Then the indecomposable characters of  $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$  are precisely the functions  $\chi : \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that there exist two sequences  $(\alpha_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^+)$  and  $(\beta_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^+)$  of non-negative real numbers satisfying:

- $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_i \geq \dots \geq 0$ ;
- $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_i \geq \dots \geq 0$ ;
- $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \beta_i \leq 1$ ;

and such that for all  $g \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ ,

$$\chi(g) = \prod_{n=2}^\infty s_n^{c_n(g)} \quad \text{where } s_n = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i^n + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \beta_i^n.$$

(In these products,  $s_n^0$  is always taken to be 1, including the case when  $s_n = 0$ .)

PROPOSITION 6.1. *If  $\chi$  is the indecomposable character for which  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 1/2$  and  $\alpha_i = \beta_i = 0$  for all  $i > 1$ , then there does not exist an ergodic IRS  $\nu$  of  $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$  such that  $\chi = \chi_\nu$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\nu$  is an ergodic IRS of  $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$  such that  $\chi = \chi_\nu$ ; i.e. such that

$$\chi(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid g \in H\}).$$

Since  $\chi((abc)) = 1/4$ , it follows that there exist  $n \neq m$  such that

$$\nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid (12n), (12m) \in H\}) > 0;$$

and, since  $(12n)(12m) = (1n)(2m)$ , it follows that

$$\nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid (1n)(2m) \in H\}) > 0.$$

But this contradicts that fact that  $\chi((1n)(2m)) = 0$ . □

*Acknowledgement.* Research partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 1362974.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Abért, Y. Glasner and B. Virag. Kesten’s theorem for invariant random subgroups. *Duke Math. J.* **163** (2014), 465–488.  
 [2] J. R. Choksi. Inverse limits of measure spaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **8** (1958), 321–342.

- [3] C. Conley, A. S. Kechris and R. Tucker-Drob. Ultraproducts of measure preserving actions and graph combinatorics. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **33** (2013), 334–374.
- [4] D. Creutz and J. Peterson. Stabilizers of ergodic actions of lattices and commensurators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369** (2017), 4119–4166.
- [5] J. I. Hall. Infinite alternating groups as finitary linear transformation groups. *J. Algebra* **119** (1988), 337–359.
- [6] F. Leinen and O. Puglisi. Diagonal limits of finite alternating groups: confined subgroups, ideals, and positive definite functions. *Illinois J. Math.* **47** (2003), 345–360.
- [7] F. Leinen and O. Puglisi. Positive definite functions of diagonal limits of finite alternating groups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **70** (2004), 678–690.
- [8] E. Lindenstrauss. Pointwise theorems for amenable groups. *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1999), 82–90.
- [9] P. A. Loeb. Conversion from nonstandard to standard measure spaces and applications in probability theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **211** (1975), 113–122.
- [10] J. Peterson and A. Thom. Character rigidity for special linear groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **716** (2016), 207–228.
- [11] Y. Roichman. Upper bound on the characters of the symmetric groups. *Invent. Math.* **125** (1996), 451–485.
- [12] B. E. Sagan. *The Symmetric Group: Representations, Combinatorial Algorithms, and Symmetric Functions (Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 203)*. Springer, New York, 2001.
- [13] E. Thoma. Über unitäre Darstellungen abzählbarer, diskreter Gruppen. *Math. Ann.* **153** (1964), 111–138.
- [14] E. Thoma. Die unzerlegbaren, positiv-definiten Klassenfunktionen der abzählbar unendlichen, symmetrischen Gruppe. *Math. Z.* **85** (1964), 40–61.
- [15] S. Thomas and R. Tucker-Drob. Invariant random subgroups of inductive limits of finite alternating groups. *J. Algebra* **503** (2018), 474–533.
- [16] A. M. Vershik. Nonfree actions of countable groups and their characters. *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **174** (2011), 1–6.
- [17] A. M. Vershik. Totally nonfree actions and the infinite symmetric group. *Mosc. Math. J.* **12** (2012), 193–212.
- [18] A. M. Vershik and S. V. Kerov. Locally semisimple algebras. Combinatorial theory and the K-functor. *J. Sov. Math.* **38** (1987), 1701–1733.
- [19] A. E. Zalesskii. Group rings of inductive limits of alternating groups. *Leningrad Math. J.* **2** (1991), 1287–1303.