A Non-local Source of Irish Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age Gold

By CHRISTOPHER D. STANDISH^{1,2}, BRUNO DHUIME¹, CHRIS J. HAWKESWORTH^{1,3}, and Alistair W. G. Pike²

Lead isotope analyses of 50 Irish Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age gold artefacts favour a gold source in southern Ireland. However when combined with major element analysis, the artefacts are not consistent with any Irish gold deposit analysed to date. Understanding the lead isotope signatures of ore deposits within a study region allows informed inferences to be drawn regarding the likelihood that an unanalysed ore deposit was exploited in the past. If an Irish gold source is assumed, then the gold is most likely to have originated from deposits hosted by Old Red Sandstone in the Variscan ore field of south-west Ireland. However, based on our current understanding of mineralisation in the region, this scenario is considered unlikely. A non-Irish source for the gold is therefore preferred – a scenario that may favour cosmologically-driven acquisition, ie, the deliberate procurement of a material from distant or esoteric sources. Available geochemical data, combined with current archaeological evidence, favour the alluvial deposits of south-west Britain as the most likely source of the gold.

Keywords: Chalcolithic, Early Bronze Age, gold, Ireland, source, lead isotopes

The brilliance of gold, its colour and lustre alongside a resistance to tarnish, have afforded this metal a special role in societies, irrespective of time and place. It plays important roles in cosmologies and mythologies, it is seen to embody supernatural, magical, religious, or political power, and it is often employed as a symbol of wealth (Betz 1995; Saunders 2003; La Niece 2009; Schoenberger 2011; Armbruster 2013). Further value may also be derived when a material is rare or exotic. and those originating from distant sources are often associated with mythical forces. Deployment of these materials can augment the reputations of groups or individuals, whilst the ability to control them, whether at source, during artefact manufacture, or through exchange networks, is a potential mechanism for harnessing the embodied forces and to attain influence

¹School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1RJ, UK

²Department of Archaeology, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BF, UK

³Department of Earth Sciences, University of

St Andrews, St Andrews, KY16 9AL, UK

Email: C.D.Standish@soton.ac.uk

or status (Helms 1988; 1993; Beck & Shennan 1991; Harding 2000; Needham 2000a; Chapman 2008).

The Early Bronze Age (and, to a lesser extent, the Chalcolithic) of Britain and Ireland witnessed a marked growth in the deployment of rare and exotic materials. The first use of gold in these islands occurred during the third quarter of the 3rd millennium BC (Eogan 1994; Cahill 2006; Needham 2011; 2012a; Needham & Sheridan 2014), and this was followed by a marked increase in the use of Whitby jet from the beginning of the Bronze Age during the 22nd century BC (Sheridan et al. 2002) and in the use of amber from the 20th century BC (Beck & Shennan 1991). Faience appeared as a wholly new material around the same time or shortly thereafter (Sheridan & Shortland 2003; 2004; cf. Brindley 2007). If the role these materials played in prehistoric societies is to be appreciated fully, an understanding of their procurement, trade, and exchange is required. This must begin with recognition of their source locations, and a consideration of how these changed over time. This contribution offers just such a study, focusing on gold.

Significant quantities of Chalcolithic and Bronze Age gold have been discovered in Ireland. However, acquisition of the raw material exploited by goldworkers is poorly understood, primarily due to the lack of archaeological evidence for gold extraction. As a result, scientific provenance studies are of critical importance (eg, Hartmann 1970; 1982; Taylor et al. 1997; Watling et al. 1999), although in many cases methodological and technical issues have prevented reliable conclusions from being reached (Harbison 1971; Scott 1976; Warner 2004; Chapman et al. 2006; Warner & Cahill 2011). Nevertheless, the abundance of gold artefacts in Ireland compared to neighbouring regions has led many to hypothesise the exploitation of local Irish gold sources (eg, Kane 1844; Taylor 1980; Eogan 1994; Armbruster 2013), with the alluvial deposits of County Wicklow often cited as the most likely source (Armstrong 1933; Cunliffe 2013) despite an absence of direct evidence, and recent evidence to the contrary (Chapman et al. 2006; Warner et al. 2009).

The latest investigations on the major element composition (silver-copper-tin) of Early Bronze Age (EBA) Irish goldwork have highlighted the Mourne Mountains of County Down as the most likely Irish gold (Au) source (Chapman et al. 2006; Warner et al. 2009; 2010a; 2010b, although see Meighan 2011 for a critique of the Mourne Mountains hypothesis, and Chapman et al. 2012 for a subsequent rebuttal). An interesting characteristic of the artefact alloys is that both the tin (Sn) and copper (Cu) contents are higher than that typical of most native Au in Ireland, including the large majority of natural gold grains from the Mourne Mountains. However a Mourne Mountains source can offer plausible explanations for both: alluvial Au populations include a number of particles, some relatively large in size, that contain Cu at levels above those observed in the artefacts; and Aubearing alluvial deposits also contain cassiterite. Both could have been incorporated into the artefact alloys. Importantly, the Mourne Mountains is one of only two regions in Ireland where alluvial Au is found in association with cassiterite. It has also been recorded in low abundances in County Wicklow (Budd et al. 1994); however, this region was ruled out as the potential Au source based on the low silver (Ag) concentrations of its Au alloys (Chapman et al. 2006). The key issue with the Mourne Mountains hypothesis is the present day low abundance of Au in the region, and it is unclear whether these deposits had the capability to account for the quantity of Au consumed by early Irish goldworking 'industries' (Chapman et al. 2006). As a result, after almost half a century of research, no study

has resulted in a consensus regarding which Au deposits were exploited for the manufacture of Irish Chalcolithic and Bronze Age goldwork.

The application of a new provenance technique that is independent from elemental characterisation is therefore required. Lead (Pb) isotope analysis is frequently employed in archaeological provenance studies (Pollard & Heron 2008; Cattin et al. 2009; Stos-Gale & Gale 2009). However, a number of factors, including the low abundance of Pb in Au and an isobaric interference from mercury, meant that applying this technique to the study of Au was a significant technical challenge (Standish et al. 2013; Pernicka 2014a; 2014b). Recent advances have now enabled this analytical technique to be successfully performed on samples of Au (Bendall et al. 2009; Standish et al. 2013), and here we employ Pb isotope analysis to improve our understanding of Irish Chalcolithic and EBA gold procurement, including the implications of compositional heterogeneity, the extent to which gold was reused, the source(s) of the gold, and the role the metal might have played in these early metal-working societies.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONTEXT

The earliest goldwork in Ireland dates from the Chalcolithic which commenced c. 2500 BC (O'Brien 2012). These artefacts belong to the primary Beaker goldwork tradition, which consists of a small range of sheet forms including discs, basket ornaments, stud caps, rolled tubular beads, and bands/oval plaques (Eogan 1994; Needham 2000b; 2011; 2012a; Needham & Sheridan 2014). The distribution of basket ornaments is centred on Britain, and only three examples are known from Ireland: a pair of unprovenanced examples and the Benraw (formerly Deehommed or Dacomet) ornament, County Down (Eogan 1994; O'Connor 2004; Needham 2011). Over 20 'sun' discs have also been found in Ireland, although this artefact type is not only restricted to the primary Beaker phase as demonstrated by the association of two discs and a lunula at Coggalbeg, County Roscommon (Kelly & Cahill 2010). Nevertheless, a number of examples, such as the Knockadoon disc from Lough Gur and the possible pair from Corran, County Armagh, do fall into this early tradition (Case 1977; Eogan 1994; Cahill 2006), and in contrast to the basket ornaments, their distribution (when both early and late examples are considered) centres on Ireland. The oval plaques and possible diadem from County Cavan (Fig. 1) are also considered



Fig. 1.

Gold oval plaques from Co. Cavan. Photograph reproduced with the permission of the National Museum of Ireland, © National Museum of Ireland

to be part of the primary goldworking phase (Case 1977; Eogan 1994; Cahill 2006). Links between these artefacts and continental goldwork have been discussed, including with artefacts from Iberia, France, and central and northern Europe (Taylor 1980; 1994; O'Connor 2004; Needham 2011; Needham & Sheridan 2014); however, the key artefact forms, the basket ornaments and discs, are typically seen to be British developments (Taylor 1980; Eogan 1994; Needham 2011).

Whilst the production of discs continued into the EBA, new styles of sheetwork also appeared, the most notable of which were the lunulae (Fig. 2); crescent-shaped ornaments decorated with geometric designs (Taylor 1980; 1994; Eogan 1994; Cahill 2005; 2006). Over 80 lunulae – constituting approximately 75% of this artefact type – have been found in Ireland, with the remainder scattered along the Atlantic façade of north-west Europe, therefore suggesting that Ireland had become an important manufacturing centre of goldwork. A radiocarbon date for the alder box found containing a lunula at Crossdoney, County Cavan (3800 ± 50 BP, GrA-13982, 2457–2050 cal BC at 95.4% probability: Cahill 2006, 277) and the

association of two Cornish lunulae with an early bronze axehead belonging to the Brithdir metalwork stage (Clarke *et al.* 1985; Mattingly *et al.* 2009) suggests the lunula tradition had emerged by *c.* 2200 BC, whilst it is likely their circulation continued into the early 2nd millennium (Needham & Sheridan 2014).

Other Irish gold artefacts dating to the EBA include: a corrugated hilt-band for a dagger from Topped Mountain, County Fermanagh (Eogan 1994), found in association with a cremation dated to 3570 ± 40 BP (GrA-14761, 2029–1774 cal BC at 95.4% probability: Brindley 2007, 85); a gold pin found in association with a gold disc at Ballyvourney, County Cork (Case 1977; Cahill 2006); an embossed gold armlet from Lisnakill, County Waterford (Needham 2000b); a bead cover from Mucklagh, County Offaly (Moloney 2011), found in association with a cremation dated to 3388 ± 46 BP (UB-8025, 1872–1534 cal. BC at 95.4%) probability: Sheridan et al. 2013, 225); a trapezoidal plaque from Knockane, County Cork (Cahill 2006); and possibly also the gold foil plaques from Mushera, County Cork (Cahill 2006). These objects range in date from the late 3rd-early 2nd millennium, and a

THE PREHISTORIC SOCIETY



Fig. 2.

Gold lunula from Rossmore Park, Co. Monaghan. Photograph reproduced with the permission of the National Museum of Ireland, © National Museum of Ireland

lack of goldwork from the closing stages of the EBA may indicate a decline in the deployment of gold (Eogan 1994). However, a radiocarbon age of 3310 ± 50 BP (GrA-15376, 1736–1460 cal BC at 95.4% probability) for a sample of hide from the Derrinboy hoard (Cahill 2006, 275), and the continued use of embossed goldwork during the Middle Bronze Age (Eogan 1994; Needham 2000b), suggest some form of continuity in Irish goldworking as the EBA came to a close.

Despite this apparent decline, there is no doubt that the quantity of Irish Chalcolithic and EBA goldwork, and particularly that dating to the second half of the 3rd millennium, represents a significant concentration. Understanding the procurement of gold used for Irish goldworking 'industries' would therefore greatly improve our understanding of the role this material played in early metal-working societies on a wider European scale.

THE LEAD ISOTOPE SIGNATURE OF IRISH GOLD MINERALISATION

There are four stable isotopes of Pb: ²⁰⁴Pb is unradiogenic and its abundance on the Earth remains constant throughout time; ²⁰⁶Pb, ²⁰⁷Pb, and ²⁰⁸Pb are produced by radioactive decay of ²³⁸U, ²³⁵U, and ²³²Th respectively. Variations in the Pb isotope signatures of ore deposits relate to differences in the composition and the age of the source rock(s) of the Pb in the ores (for an overview of Pb-Pb systematics see Faure & Mensing 2005). As a result, by characterising the Pb isotope signatures of metallic artefacts and comparing them to those of potential ore deposits, it is possible to evaluate which sources of the metal may have been exploited for their manufacture, and which were not (Brill & Wampler 1965; Grögler *et al.* 1966; Pollard & Heron 2008).

Recent studies stress the importance of understanding the geological context of the ore deposits under consideration and why ores are characterised by their particular isotopic signatures, employing ²⁰⁴Pb so that the more geologically informative ratios ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb, ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb, and ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁴Pb can be utilised (Desaulty et al. 2011; Albarède et al. 2012; Baron et al. 2014). A similar approach was developed here. A key criticism of provenance studies is that they cannot consider ore deposits that have not been analysed (eg, Harbison 1971). However by achieving a broad understanding as to why ore deposits in a study region are characterised by particular compositions, informed inferences regarding the exploitation of unanalysed ores can be made. Such an approach was also taken by Chapman et al. (2006), who demonstrated a trend of increasing Ag contents in Irish Au from south to north.

Due to the generally accepted opinion that an Irish Au source was exploited in the Bronze Age, and the current hypothesis regarding the Mourne Mountains of County Down, the principal aim of this study was to test the hypothesis of an Irish, and more specifically a Mourne Mountains, source for the Au. The characterisation of Irish Au deposits was a crucial first step before characterisation of artefact gold could proceed, and Standish *et al.* (2014) present Pb isotope data for 109 Au and 23 sulphide samples from 34 Irish Au deposits (Fig. 3). These data provide the basis for the provenance study presented here.

The Pb isotope signature of Irish Au mineralisation is very similar to that of other types of Irish mineral deposits (Fig. 4), highlighting that they had similar geological sources irrespective of metal type (Standish *et al.* 2014 and references therein). To summarise, the Pb isotope signature of Irish mineralisation varies due to the interaction of three principal Pb sources primarily during the Caledonian Orogeny (c. 475–380 Ma), Late Devonian to Mid-Carboniferous crustal extension (*c*. 380–320 Ma), and the Variscan Orogeny (*c*. 320–270 Ma).

A major structural boundary known as the Iapetus Suture runs approximately from the Shannon estuary in the west of Ireland to Balbriggan in the east (Fig. 3), and creates two broad geological terranes: the northwest terrane (NWT) and the south-east terrane (SET). The vast majority of Irish Au mineralisation formed during the Caledonian Orogeny. Deposits of Early Caledonian age are characterised by Pb isotope signatures derived from one or other of two sources dominated by basement-derived Pb, and with discrete isotopic compositions defined approximately by the data-fields for NWT and SET massive sulphide mineralisation (Fig. 4, see Standish et al. 2014 for further details). North-west of the Iapetus Suture, mineralisation inherited basement-derived Pb relatively depleted in radiogenic Pb (lower ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb, ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb, and ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁴Pb), whilst to the south-east mineralisation inherited basement derived Pb relatively enriched in radiogenic Pb (higher ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb, ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb, and ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁴Pb).

Late Caledonian or younger deposits (for example Lower Palaeozoic and Carboniferous hosted Zn-Pb and Cu mineralisation and Au mineralisation with similar isotopic compositions) inherited variable mixtures of the two basement-dominated Pb sources. As a result, they plot intermediate between the aforementioned Early Caledonian NWT and SET data, yet with slightly more evolved isotopic signatures due to increased radiogenic Pb created through radioactive decay (higher ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb and ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁴Pb). In addition, there is evidence for a contribution of Pb from a third source. This source may include Pb with a magmatic origin (or at least distinctive Pb sampled through magmatic processes) and it is characterised by very radiogenic isotope ratios (ie higher ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb, ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb, and ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁴Pb), for example the SET Old Red Sandstone hosted Cu mineralisation and Au mineralisation with similar isotopic compositions. Despite this complex mixing, a geographic distinction is still seen in the isotopic signature of the ore deposits due to the dominance of the respective local basement Pb sources (Fig. 4), and it is possible to correlate the isotopic signature of Irish ore deposits with geographic locations to the north-west or south-east of the Iapetus Suture.

It is important to reiterate that the Pb isotope composition of Au is consistent with that of other types of mineral deposits from throughout Ireland; the THE PREHISTORIC SOCIETY



Map showing locations of Irish Au deposits sampled as part of this study (see Standish *et al.* 2014 for full details) and find locations of artefacts analysed where known (see Appendices 2 & 3). Dark grey stars represent north-west terrane (NWT) Au deposits, ie those north-west of the Iapetus suture, which are typically characterised by higher wt% Ag and less radiogenic Pb isotope ratios. Light grey stars represent south-east terrane (SET) Au deposits, ie those south-east of the Iapetus suture, which are typically characterised by lower wt% Ag and more radiogenic Pb isotope ratios



C. D. Standish et al. NON-LOCAL SOURCE OF IRISH CHALCOLITHIC & EBA GOLD

Fig. 4.

Pb isotope composition of Irish Au mineralisation relative to data-fields for other Irish mineral deposit types (Standish *et al.* 2014 and references therein). Errors are ± 2 standard errors (S.E.) of the mean of 50 integration cycles, and where not visible they are smaller than the data label

same Pb sources were involved and the variation between different deposits is associated with the factors outlined above. This is based on all Pb isotope analyses of Irish ore deposits to date, irrespective of metal type and totalling over 400 analyses. The Pb isotope composition of a metal ore (or associated artefact) can therefore be evaluated to determine whether it is: a) consistent with a north-western or

south-eastern signature (relative to the Iapetus Suture), b) dominated by Early Caledonian or younger Pb, and c) characterised by a significant input from the third, very radiogenic Pb source. By achieving a broad understanding of the Pb isotope signature of mineral deposits within Ireland, the potential exploitation of Irish ores not analysed as part of this study can be considered.

ANALYTICAL METHODS

Lead isotope analysis

The Pb isotope compositions of the artefact samples were determined on a Thermo Fisher Scientific Neptune multi-collector inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometer (MC-ICP-MS) at the Bristol Isotope Group, School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol. Sample introduction employed both solution and laser ablation techniques, with detailed methodologies presented in Standish *et al.* (2013).

Artefact samples were small residual cuttings from samples previously taken for analysis by Hartmann in the 1960s (Hartmann 1970; 1982), and all were first analysed by laser ablation techniques. Samples were mounted in epoxy resin and polished using a series of graded sandpapers (P800, P1200, P4000) and a synthetic textile polishing cloth with 1 μ m diamond paste. The mounted samples were then cleaned ultrasonically in acetone followed by 2% HNO₃ and finally rinsed three times in ultrapure water. Samples were typically analysed three times, and the resulting data is the average of these multiple analyses, with the errors ±2 standard errors (S.E.) of the mean of the multiple analyses.

When employing laser ablation techniques, both accuracy and reproducibility are compromised because of the low abundance of ²⁰⁴Pb and the isobaric interference of mercury on this mass (Standish *et al.* 2013). As a result, 21 artefact samples were also analysed by solution MC-ICP-MS techniques in order to obtain accurate and precise ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb, ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb, and ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁴Pb data, and those samples were selected to cover the full isotopic range of those analysed by laser ablation. Errors presented for solution analysis are ± 2 S.E. of the mean of 50 integration cycles of 4.2 seconds each.

Major element composition

Quantitative major element analysis was also performed on all artefact samples using an Electron Probe Microanalyser (EPMA) to allow direct comparisons with previous studies (Warner 2004; Chapman *et al.* 2006; Warner *et al.* 2009). Appendix 1 presents the EPMA methodologies in more detail. The following elements were analysed: Ag, As, Cu, Fe, Hg, Pb, Pd, S, Sb, Si, and Sn. Only Ag, Cu, and Sn routinely fell above the limits of detection (0.074%, 0.029%, and 0.044% respectively), and so only these elements were used for artefact characterisation.

RESULTS

Fifty-two samples from 50 Chalcolithic and EBA artefacts have been analysed (Fig. 3 & Appendices 2 & 3). Of these, most of the samples are from lunulae (n = 40; almost 50% of all the known Irish examples), whilst samples from discs (n = 4), oval plaques (n = 5; three samples are from the same artefact), a basket ornament, pin, and trapezoidal plaque were also analysed. One oval plaque and two lunulae samples failed Pb isotope analyses because of their low Pb concentrations.

The elemental compositions are broadly consistent with previous provenance studies of the same material. Silver concentrations range from 3.79% to 17.06% (median of 11.63%), with the majority (n=41)forming a compositional group between 9.5% and 14.5% (Fig. 5). Copper values typically range from below the limit of detection (ie <0.029%) to 0.8%(median of 0.18%), with five samples falling above this range and up to a maximum of 6.99% (see Fig. 5 & Appendix 3 for details). Mean concentrations of natural Au grain populations rarely exceed 1% Cu (Chapman et al. 2006), therefore it is likely that any artefacts characterised by such high levels were the product of deliberate or accidental alloving. Tin values range from below the limit of detection (ie <0.044%) to 0.38% (median of 0.04%), and this signature is likely to be dependent upon the incorporation of alluvial cassiterite into the artefact alloys (Hartmann 1970; Chapman et al. 2006).

The Pb isotope ratios of the samples (laser ablation MC-ICP-MS analyses) range from 0.7989 to 0.8559 on the 207 Pb/ 206 Pb and 1.9765 to 2.1037 on the 208 Pb/ 206 Pb (Fig. 5).

DISCUSSION

Gold-copper alloying

Artefacts manufactured from non-natural Au-Cu alloys should have an isotopic signature inherited from



Fig. 5. ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁶Pb, Ag (%), and Cu (%) versus ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb plots of Irish Chalcolithic and EBA gold artefacts. Oval plaque samples marked with a cross are from the same artefact

the mixture of both the Au and Cu sources. Five artefacts have been highlighted as potential products of alloying; however, in essence this is an arbitrary distinction and, because the Au source (and therefore its composition) is unknown, it is not clear what level of Cu would reflect this practice.

The five artefacts with Cu at >0.8% are characterised by distinct Pb isotope signatures: 0.8483– 0.8534 on the 207 Pb/ 206 Pb and 2.0778–2.0852 on the 208 Pb/ 206 Pb. This is clearly demonstrated by Figure 5 where there is a strong spike in Cu concentrations at 207 Pb/ 206 Pb \approx 0.85. It is likely that the isotopic signatures of these five artefacts are significantly influenced by Cu-derived Pb, and that they give some indication of the isotopic composition of the Cu source(s) involved (although further discussion on this is beyond the scope of the current paper). Of the remaining artefacts, the two with the highest Cu concentrations (basket ornament W74 and lunula W6 with 0.79% and 0.68% Cu respectively) are characterised by similar Pb isotope signatures that could be interpreted as part of the same compositional spike. It is therefore likely that these were also the product of Au-Cu alloying. This spike is not present in the remaining data (where Cu is <0.5%), thus artefacts characterised by Cu concentrations below *c*. 0.5% are less likely to be the product of Au-Cu alloying.

If corrections for the Cu-derived Pb input were to be made (based on typical Pb concentrations of *c*. 20 ppm for the Au and a factor of ~25 higher for the Cu: Rohl & Needham 1998; Standish *et al.* 2014), the signature of the Au component will not differ significantly relative to the Chalcolithic/EBA artefact data-field due to: i) the high variability of the artefact data-field, ii) the low Cu concentration of the alloyed artefacts in question, and iii) contemporary Cu sources having similar Pb isotope ratios to the Irish goldwork (Northover *et al.* 2001; see also Standish 2012). Consequently the presence of Cu-derived Pb in some of the studied artefacts will not affect any general interpretations regarding the source of the Au.

Compositional variation

It is clear from Figure 5 that the isotopic variation between the artefacts is large. As this represents the best proxy for the overall compositional variation of the Au source(s) under exploitation, either multiple homogeneous sources or a smaller number of heterogeneous Au sources were exploited. Chapman et al. (2006) favoured the exploitation of a single source characterised by a relatively narrow range of Ag compositions. In their study, the majority of the 33 artefact samples analysed fell between 9.7% and 12.7% Ag; in this study, however, the typical range of Ag values is slightly broader (9.5%-14.5%). This is a reflection of the higher number of artefacts studied here. Direct comparisons of the Pb isotope ratios with the Ag concentrations do not identify discrete populations of artefacts (Fig. 5), and the data-field is unlikely to represent simple linear mixing between two sources due to an absence of a feasible radiogenic endmember source, so the exploitation of heterogeneous ores is favoured. As a result, the following discussion on the source of the Au can only consider the principal source region, and will not be able to identify or rule out small scale inputs from additional minor sources.

Data points on Figure 5 represent single samples, although the three oval plaque data points marked with a cross are all derived from the same artefact (a possible diadem from County Cavan, see Fig. 1). This demonstrates that considerable variation may be found within a single artefact. The lack of homogenisation, both within a single artefact and within the overall metal pool, suggests that the Au was not well mixed. It may therefore be inferred that relatively simple manufacturing processes that did not involve prolonged periods of melting were employed, and perhaps also an absence of manufacturing centres where raw Au was collated, processed, and turned into ingot (or artefact) form en masse. The latter may in turn favour a lack of control of the Au at source, and instead trade or exchange could have been the principal mechanism for managing the flow of this metal.

Gold reuse

Repeated reuse of a closed-system metal pool (ie where Au from new sources is not added to the metal in circulation) is likely to create an increasingly homogenised compositional signature, as the metal pool becomes well mixed over time. The ability to recognise this signature is of considerable interest because it may not necessarily be consistent with a specific source deposit.

The mean isotopic ratios for the Chalcolithic and EBA gold artefacts (Fig. 5) are 0.8400 on the ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb (median of 0.8406) and 2.0638 on the ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁶Pb (median of 2.0681). This represents a potential isotopic composition of homogenised Chalcolithic and EBA Au, assuming that the artefacts analysed are a good proxy for the overall variation of the Au in circulation at this time. For the lunulae, approximately 50% of all known Irish examples have been analysed, so this is a reasonable assumption. Recycling works on a continuum and artefacts can be the product of varying degrees of mixing. Bearing this in mind, and with the average composition consistent with what could be termed the central artefact cluster. artefacts that plot nearer to this cluster have a higher likelihood of being the product of a greater degree of mixing. As a consequence, the isotopic signature of this cluster may not necessarily be consistent with that of the source of the Au. This also means that artefacts plotting further from this cluster have a higher likelihood of being the product of fewer (or no) degrees of mixing. With the latter being the majority (approximately two-thirds), this suggests that repeated reuse has not had a significant impact on the overall artefact data-field, and identification of Chalcolithic and EBA Au sources is more straightforward.

An Irish gold source?

Figure 6 presents the Pb isotope signature of Irish Chalcolithic and EBA artefacts analysed through solution MC-ICP-MS relative to the Au deposits of Ireland (Standish *et al.* 2014). The majority of the artefacts have Pb isotope ratios consistent with an Irish source. However the Pb isotope ratios tend to be more similar to those from the south-eastern group of Au deposits characterised by higher ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb and ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁴Pb, and not with those located north-west of the Iapetus Suture. Alluvial Au from the Mourne Mountains forms part of the NWT sample set (Fig. 6), highlighting that the Pb isotope data do not support the Mourne Mountains hypothesis.

The Pb isotope signature of the artefacts favours a principal source in southern Ireland, with the Au deposits of County Waterford providing the closest match (Fig. 6). However these deposits were ruled out in previous studies because County Waterford Au has relatively low mean and median Ag contents of $\leq 6\%$ (Chapman et al. 2006) and the artefacts are typically characterised by >9.5% Ag. Furthermore, no cassiterite was present at these locations to explain the Sn signature of the artefacts. Only two known deposits in southern Ireland display Ag concentrations that match those of the artefacts; the Goldmines River East and the Coolbawn Stream, both in County Wicklow (Chapman et al. 2006). However exploitation of these is unlikely because all analysed Au deposits from County Wicklow and neighbouring County Wexford, including the Goldmines River East, are characterised by Pb isotope signatures that do not match that of the artefact gold: ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb and ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁴Pb are typically too low relative to the artefacts (see Chapman et al. 2006 for further arguments against the exploitation of these two deposits).

To summarise, when the Pb isotope and elemental characterisation approaches are combined, the Irish Chalcolithic and EBA gold artefacts are inconsistent with the analysed Irish Au deposits (Fig. 7).

Exploitation of an unanalysed Irish gold source?

Following the broad understanding of the Pb isotope signature of Irish mineral deposits detailed above, the likelihood that an unanalysed or unknown Irish Au



C. D. Standish et al. NON-LOCAL SOURCE OF IRISH CHALCOLITHIC & EBA GOLD

Fig. 6.

Pb isotope signature of Irish Chalcolithic and EBA gold artefacts relative to Irish Au deposits (Au mineralisation represented by two data-fields: one for NWT and one for SET deposits)

source was exploited during the Chalcolithic and EBA can be evaluated. If the Pb isotope signatures of the artefacts are interpreted from a geological perspective, the Pb is of Late Caledonian or younger age and it was derived predominantly from the SET basement but with some contribution from a radiogenic Pb source. It is therefore possible to exclude any Irish deposit that incorporated a significant component of NWT Pb (ie those situated north-west of the Iapetus Suture) and those dominated by Early Caledonian Pb (including the



Fig. 7.

²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb versus Ag (%) of Irish Chalcolithic and EBA gold artefacts and Irish Au deposits. For the Au deposits, Pb isotope ratios represent mean signatures whilst Ag concentrations represent medians (from Chapman *et al.* 2000a; 2000b; 2006; Moles *et al.* 2013), and only deposits where both are available are plotted

majority of SET mineralisation hosted by the Lower Palaeozoic Leinster Massif: see Standish *et al.* 2014).

Widespread Carboniferous lithologies found throughout Ireland host numerous mineral deposits, and those located in southern Ireland inherited Late Caledonian or younger Pb derived predominantly from the SET basement source (O'Keeffe 1986; LeHuray et al. 1987). However, unlike the gold artefacts, these Carboniferous-hosted deposits are not associated with any radiogenic Pb source, so therefore a Carboniferous-hosted Au source is doubtful. Southwest Ireland is known for its Cu mineralisation, and these deposits are characterised by a Pb isotope signature dominated by SET Pb of Variscan age. Furthermore, those hosted by Old Red Sandstone (ORS) also incorporated Pb from a radiogenic source (Kinnaird et al. 2002), meaning that the Pb isotope signature of south-western ORS-hosted Cu mineralisation demonstrates good consistency with the artefact gold (Fig. 8). If unknown Irish Au deposits were exploited during the Chalcolithic or EBA, the ORS-hosted Variscan ore field of south-west Ireland appears the most likely general location.

The archaeological record of south-west Ireland suggests this hypothesis is feasible, with widespread activity throughout the Chalcolithic and EBA that included bedrock mining of Cu at sites such as Ross Island and Mount Gabriel (O'Brien 1994; 1999; 2004; 2012). There is also no lack of Chalcolithic/EBA goldwork, with the region hosting the highest concentration of early Au in Ireland (Cahill 2006). However, there is little evidence for the presence of significant Au mineralisation.

Whilst the potential for red-bed hosted palaeo-placer type deposits has been discussed (Stanley *et al.* 2000), no noteworthy deposits have been reported to date. All records of Au show that it is directly associated with the ORS-hosted Cu mineralisation, although the majority of accounts are historical mining reports of very dubious accuracy (McArdle *et al.* 1987; Cowman & Reilly 1988) and the modest grade of most (Reilly 1986; Cowman & Reilly 1988; Pracht & Sleeman 2002 and references therein) do not favour the region as a potential source of prehistoric Au.

This is the same mineralisation that was exploited during the EBA at sites such as Mount Gabriel and those in the Goleen area of the Mizen peninsula (O'Brien 1994; 2003). Yet there is no evidence for the processing of native Au at any of the excavated EBA Mount Gabriel-type mines, and current dating evidence suggests that these deposits were exploited from around 1800 BC (O'Brien 1994; 2003) and thus postdate the majority of the gold artefacts considered here. It is possible that earlier phases of exploitation of the Mount Gabriel-type deposits did occur. However, if so, it is hard to believe that this would not have included exploitation of the associated (and significantly more abundant) Cu mineralisation, and the chemical signatures of Chalcolithic and EBA Cu and bronze artefacts are consistent with the current chronology of Cu mining in south-west Ireland (eg Rohl & Needham 1998; Bray 2012). Finally, Ni Wen (1991) reported native Au present as micron-scale inclusions within chalcopyrite and tetrahedrite at the Ballycummisk Cu deposit. Early exploitation of such deposits for the sole purpose of Au recovery when Au is not visible to the naked eye but is instead a trace element within other minerals is very doubtful; the Au is simply not in a form favourable for recovery by early metal prospectors. On the balance of available evidence, a Chalcolithic/EBA Au source in the south-western ORS-hosted Variscan ore field is considered unlikely.

A non-Irish gold source?

Serious doubt has been raised here regarding the Mourne Mountains source hypothesis, and more generally on an Irish source hypothesis, for Chalcolithic and EBA Au. As a result, a preliminary investigation was undertaken to evaluate the likelihood of a non-Irish source. Lead isotope analysis has rarely



Fig. 8.

Pb isotope composition of ORS-hosted Cu mineralisation and Irish Chalcolithic and EBA gold artefacts. Data for Cu mineralisation from Rohl (1995; 1996) and Kinnaird *et al.* (2002)

been performed on natural Au, but the Pb isotope signature of sulphide minerals can act as a proxy to the isotopic signature of associated Au mineralisation (Fig. 4 and Standish *et al.* 2014). The Pb isotope signature of the artefact gold was compared to that of sulphide mineralisation in southern Britain (Rohl &

Needham 1998), Iberia (Arribas & Tosdal 1994; Arias *et al.* 1996; Marcoux 1998; Tornos & Chiaradia 2004; Zalduegui *et al.* 2004; Neiva *et al.* 2008), France (Oh *et al.* 1989; Touray *et al.* 1989; Le Guen *et al.* 1992; Gloaguen *et al.* 2007), the Alps (Curti 1987; Horner *et al.* 1997), and the Pyrenees (Romer & Soler 1995). The signature of sulphides from mineralisation in southern Britain (and in particular those from Cornwall), offer the best match to the artefact data (Fig. 9), and so British Au deposits were investigated further.

Sources of Au in Britain are confined to the north and west (Chapman *et al.* 2000a; 2000b; 2006; Colman & Cooper 2000). Alluvial Au from six deposits were analysed for their Pb isotope composition (Fig. 9 & Appendix 4): Borland Glen, Perthshire, and Wanlock Water, Dumfries & Galloway (both Scotland); Afon Brynberian, Pembrokeshire (Wales); Carnon River and Crow Hill, Cornwall, and Challon's Combe, Devon (England).

The geological terranes that underlie Ireland also underlie Britain, with the trace of the Iapetus Suture running approximately along the present day border between England and Scotland. As in Ireland, the Pb isotope ratios of British mineralisation overlying the NWT (ie the Scottish sites) contrast with the Pb isotope ratios of the artefacts; however, the Pb isotope ratios of mineralisation overlying the SET (from England and Wales) are consistent with those of the artefacts. Furthermore, the elemental compositions of some southern British Au deposits are also similar to the artefact alloys. Chapman et al. (2000a) published median Ag concentrations for a number of Welsh deposits, including vein Au from the Tyn Y Cornel level of the Clogau mine (10.8% Ag), alluvial Au from the Afon Mawdach (11.7% Ag), and alluvial Au from the Afon Wen (10.2% Ag), whilst elemental compositions for nine Cornish Au deposits were published by Ehser *et al.* (2011) and medians ranged from 3.8% to 33% Ag, 0.0022%-0.11% Cu, and 0.00078%-0.046% Sn. Clearly more sources need to be characterised for both Pb isotopes and elemental concentrations before artefacts and ore can be linked with any certainty, but these preliminary data suggest that the Au deposits of southern Britain warrant further investigation.

A southern British source of Chalcolithic and EBA gold?

Whilst no conclusive archaeological evidence exists for Au extraction in Wales or south-west England (defined here as Cornwall and Devon) during the Chalcolithic or EBA, their potential exploitation during the Bronze Age has previously been discussed (Penhallurick 1986; 1997; Craddock & Craddock 1996; Needham 2012b), and Ehser *et al.* (2011) recently proposed a Cornish source for the first phase of the Nebra Sky Disc gold inlays based on major and trace element analysis. This followed an extensive study of natural Au from central and south-east Europe (Schmiderer 2009), although sampling of alternative western European sources was not as extensive and it is likely that other potential sources do exist.

It is therefore worth considering the hypothesis of a southern British Au source with regards to the archaeological record. The majority of the artefacts investigated here fall into the primary Beaker and lunula goldworking traditions, so the following discussion focuses on the second half of the 3rd millennium BC. As there are no known Au mines anywhere in north-west Europe at this time, evidence for: i) the presence of goldwork, and ii) mining of metal-bearing deposits, will first be considered.

Relatively few gold artefacts dating to this period have been found in Wales and south-west England. Two or three artefacts are known from the former: the Banc Tynddol disc from a grave located immediately below a copper extraction area on Copa Hill, Cwmystwyth, Ceredigion (Timberlake et al. 2004; Timberlake 2009), the 'Provincial' type Llanllyfni lunula from Caernarvonshire (Taylor 1980; Eogan 1994), and a possible basket ornament from Usk, Gwent (Needham & Sheridan 2014). The assemblage from south-west England is similarly small and consists of four lunulae, all of which were found in Cornwall: two of Taylor's 'Classical' type from St Juliot and Penwith, and two - one of 'Classical' type, the other 'Provincial' - from Harlyn Bay (Taylor 1980; Mattingly et al. 2009). These represent the only confirmed examples of 'Classical' lunulae, stylistically and technically the most accomplished of all the lunulae types, to be found outside Ireland, and thus may be significant bearing in mind the proposed southern British Au source. Furthermore, the geographical distribution of their findspots places three (or possibly all) of them on the Irish-facing north coast of the county (Mattingly et al. 2009). This suggests strong links between Cornwall and Ireland during the late 3rd millennium, and whilst it is likely that the export of tin from Cornwall to south-west Ireland for alloying with Ross Island copper was the key driver



Fig. 9.

Pb isotope signature of European ore-fields (see text for references) and British Au mineralisation relative to Irish Chalcolithic and EBA artefact gold

for this, perhaps the presence of exploitable Au deposits in Cornwall (and possibly also Devon) was another important reason for this connection.

The earliest evidence for prospection and mining of Cu deposits in Wales dates to the final two centuries of the 3rd millennium, or possibly even earlier (for example at Copa Hill and Erglodd: Timberlake *et al.* 2004; Timberlake 2006; 2009). However primary output at most Welsh Cu mines occurred after *c.* 1900 BC (Timberlake 1994; 2009), post-dating both the initial

Chalcolithic and lunula goldworking traditions and suggesting that Wales lacked a widespread tradition of metal extraction during the second half of the 3rd millennium. The earliest unequivocal evidence for the exploitation of any Welsh Au-bearing deposit comes from charcoal recovered from mining waste at Dolaucothi which was dated to the first half of the 1st millennium BC (Burnham 1997). Yet it could be argued that collection of alluvial Au from certain streams in northern Wales, where grains are both larger in size and relatively common, need not necessarily leave any trace in the archaeological record and thus it cannot be categorically ruled out at this stage. Further research and analysis is required to investigate this further.

Cornwall is one of the principal sources of tin in Europe and its tin was exploited from c. 2200 BConwards (Shell 1978; Muhly 1985; Penhallurick 1986; 1997; Craddock & Craddock 1996; Pare 2000; Sheridan 2008). Penhallurick (1986; 1997) lists over 40 prehistoric artefacts that have been recovered from the Sn grounds of Cornish rivers including an EBA flat axehead from the Carnon Valley, and both cassiterite and Sn slag have been found at the (late) EBA site of Caerloggas Down, St Austell (Miles 1975). Numerous Au deposits are also present in Cornwall. Although bedrock mineralisation is rare, alluvial Au is found in association with cassiterite in the Sn grounds of many Cornish rivers, and it is well documented that over the last 500 years Cornish tinners would collect alluvial Au to sell in order to supplement their income (Penhallurick 1986; 1997; Camm 1995). Furthermore, the Carnon nugget (~59 g in weight) attests to the presence of a large particle size of Au in Cornwall. In comparison, Taylor (1980) calculates a mean weight of 54.3 g for the Classical lunulae (based on 19 examples). If it is accepted that these alluvial deposits were exploited for Sn during the EBA, then it seems inevitable that Au would also have been recovered during this period. In fact it becomes harder to explain why Cornish Au would not have been exploited at this time.

For these reasons, and because the geochemical data favour a source in southern Britain, the south-west of England, and Cornwall in particular, is currently seen as the most likely principal source region. The overall archaeological record for south-west England perhaps poses the main problem for a Cornish source hypothesis, with archaeological contexts securely dated to the second half of the 3rd millennium being particularly uncommon. For example there are no recorded burials dating to the Chalcolithic or early Beaker period (c. 2450–2200 BC), and few examples date from pre-1950 BC (Jones & Quinnell 2013). Similarly there is an absence of settlement sites (Christie 1986; Jones & Quinnell 2011); the oldest securely dated Chalcolithic/EBA structure in the region, a Beaker-associated small sub-oval structure surrounded by pits at Sennen, Penwith, dates to c. 2400–2100 cal BC (Jones & Quinnell 2011; Jones *et al.* 2012), although EBA settlements are not particularly common in Britain or Ireland in general (Rathbone 2013). Beaker finds are also rare and are largely restricted to possible food preparation sites such as pits and burnt mounds (Jones & Quinnell 2011; Jones *et al.* 2012), or pre-existing megalithic monuments (Christie 1986).

The lack of securely dated archaeological sites is problematic, but it could simply relate to a bias in the archaeological record; Cornwall has been an important mining centre for centuries and significant volumes of land have therefore been disturbed. The case for Sn extraction in the region is strong, and due to the association of Au and cassiterite in the same alluvial deposits, EBA Au extraction is considered feasible. However the primary goldworking tradition in Britain and Ireland began during the Chalcolithic, ie before the exploitation of Sn. The lack of a known mining industry in Cornwall during this early period might lead one to assume that a south-western English gold source had not been used. Yet there is no distinction in either the Pb isotope or major element signature between artefacts dating to this earliest, Chalcolithic, period and those dating to the EBA, suggesting that the same source(s) may have been exploited at both times. Furthermore, during this early period the disparity between Britain and Ireland in terms of the amount of Au in circulation did not exist (see Eogan 1994; Cahill 2006; Needham & Sheridan 2014 for summaries of Irish and British finds), and it is generally believed that at least some of the early artefact forms, namely the basket ornaments and discs, were British developments (Taylor 1980; Eogan 1994; Needham 2011). A British gold source is therefore less problematic than perhaps it first seems. It is significantly more likely that metal-bearing alluvial deposits were first discovered due to the distinctive visual appearance of a gold nugget, rather than cassiterite, shimmering on a stream bed. A period of Au exploitation before that of cassiterite therefore seems likely. In this respect, the suggestion that Cornish copper may have been exploited during the Chalcolithic (Rohl & Needham 1998; Bray 2012) should also be brought into consideration, vis-à-vis the possibility of an early use of south-west English gold.

An alternative scenario is that the earlier artefacts were manufactured using Au from a different source from that exploited during the EBA (La Niece 2011), but one that is characterised by similar Pb isotope ratios. Nocete et al. (2014) present Pb isotope and elemental composition data for six Spanish artefacts dating from c. 2500-2350 cal BC, and comparisons with the signatures of local gold deposits led the authors to conclude that they were derived from local sources. The compositional signatures of the Spanish artefacts partially overlap with the Irish artefact datafield presented here, therefore Iberia may yet constitute a potential alternative source to southern Britain for the earliest Au. Once again further research and analysis is required, but this hypothesis may find support from the apparent strong links between Iberia and Ireland at this time (Carlin & Brück 2012; O'Brien 2012). This is demonstrated, for example, by influences from the Atlantic façade on Irish Beaker ceramics and associated traditions (Case 1966; 1995; Burgess 1979), the potential supply of Iberian Cu to north-west Europe (Needham 2002), and from the occurrence of the same primary goldwork types such as the basket ornaments, plaques/diadems and discs in both regions (Case 1977; Taylor 1994; Needham 2011; Armbruster 2013; in fact it has been proposed that the Benraw basket ornament is an Iberian import: Taylor 1980).

Implications of a non-Irish gold source

The key implication of the data presented here is that the principal source of gold used for the manufacture of Chalcolithic and EBA Irish artefacts was not located in Ireland. Considering that Ireland hosts multiple gold sources, including a number that could account for the quantity of gold circulating at this time, a consideration of why local deposits were not being exploited must be made. It is possible that sources were sought after yet without success, or if gold was won from alluvial deposits then perhaps the knowledge of how to exploit such resources was yet to make it to the island. Alternatively, Irish societies may have viewed an esoteric and distant origin as being a key or defining quality of this material.

The significance of distance to traditional societies, particularly with regards to objects or materials originating from faraway, has been explored by Helms (1988; 1993). Materials originating from distant, unfamiliar or mythical lands, those located outside the immediate world-view or cosmos of a society, could be charged with mystical and/or supernatural powers. Furthermore, people involved in acquiring these mythically-charged materials, transforming them into artefact form, or controlling their deployment, were inherently linked with the acquisition and control of the associated powers. Such ideas may help explain why non-Irish gold was being used for the manufacture of Irish goldwork.

Alongside the first appearance of gold, the EBA (and, to a lesser extent, the Chalcolithic) of Britain and Ireland witnessed an increase in the deployment of other exotic, non-utilitarian materials such as amber and jet. Whilst these materials (and in all likelihood gold as well) have been found local to their sources, there are a number of examples where centres of deployment are located some distance away, such as Baltic amber in and around Wessex (Beck & Shennan 1991) or Whitby (Yorkshire) jet in Scotland (Sheridan et al. 2002). Accordingly, Helms' discussions on longdistance acquisition have been drawn upon when discussing the value of far-travelled materials in a Bronze Age context, for example by Beck and Shennan (1991) in relation to Baltic amber and by Needham (2000a) in relation to the rich and varied grave assemblages of EBA Armorica and Wessex (in which Helms' ideas were synthesised in the term *cosmological* acquisition: Needham 2000a, 188). If Irish societies believed that cosmological acquisition helped to instil or strengthen the levels of supernatural power embodied in gold, then an esoteric and distant origin may have been vital for the role it played within these societies. Furthermore, if those who controlled its deployment were by proxy controlling the embodied forces, then perhaps active searching for local sources would have been unnecessary and even deliberately discouraged.

It is also relevant to reiterate the intrinsic value often associated with gold. Its brilliance, deriving from a combination of physical properties, has led to frequent associations with the embodiment of supernatural, magical or religious power (Betz 1995; Saunders 2003; La Niece 2009). Furthermore, exotic materials such as amber and jet are also frequently associated with such properties (Sheridan *et al.* 2002; Woodward 2002; Sheridan & Shortland 2003). It is therefore easy to see how cosmological acquisition would be relevant here, and perhaps it helped to reinforce such links to otherworldly forces.

If a late 3rd millennium BC southern British gold source is to be believed then the reasons for the lack of finished goldwork in this region must also be considered. Again this could be a bias in the archaeological record. Alternatively the value of gold may have differed because it was locally available, and individuals or societies gained more by allowing it to move to other regions, perhaps in an economic sense through trade and exchange. Such ideas were discussed by Beck and Shennan (1991) in relation to the Baltic amber trade in Denmark during the Neolithic and Bronze Age and the switch from its use as a rank indicator to exportation in exchange for metal. If this were the case, then what was moving in the opposite direction? Copper is an obvious answer, with Ross Island representing the principal source for Britain and Ireland at this time (Northover 1982; Rohl & Needham 1998; O'Brien 2004, Needham 2002, although see Bray 2012 on the possibility that Cornish copper was being exploited). Of course this system does not have to be based solely around metal and other items including those less visible in the archaeological record may have been involved, whilst it should also be noted that cosmologically driven acquisition does not have to be part of a reciprocal exchange network (Helms 1993; Needham 2000a).

CONCLUSION

Gold is considered special in numerous societies, it is commonly seen to embody supernatural, magical, religious, or political power, and it is often employed as a symbol of wealth. In Ireland, gold was first used during the Chalcolithic and EBA, a period that witnessed a marked growth in the deployment of other exotic materials, particularly in Britain. Of these materials, gold is poorly characterised in terms of provenance, which poses a significant obstacle if an understanding of the role this material played in prehistoric societies is to be achieved.

The Pb isotope signature of both single artefacts and the overall metal pool suggests that the gold was not well mixed. This implies that only limited fabrication techniques were employed, and perhaps favours an absence of centralised production loci where gold could have been controlled at source. As a result, trade or exchange may have been the principal mechanism for managing this metal, with the gold probably being exported in ingot form and worked up into artefacts in Ireland. The data do not indicate significant levels of gold reuse, so therefore the signature of the artefact gold is seen primarily to represent that of the sources exploited.

The Pb isotope and elemental composition of Irish Chalcolithic and EBA gold artefacts are not consistent with any Irish gold deposit characterised to date. Based on our current understanding of the Pb isotope signatures of Irish gold deposits, it has been possible to consider the likelihood that an unanalysed Irish gold deposit was exploited during this period. If an Irish source is to be assumed then it was most likely located in the Old Red Sandstone hosted Variscan ore field of south-west Ireland. However, there is currently little evidence to support this theory. As a result, a principal source outside of Ireland is currently favoured, and the use of gold in Ireland is consistent with patterns of cosmologically-driven acquisition and long-distance exotic material movement sometimes seen in northwest Europe at this time.

The currently available data favour south-west Britain as the most likely source region. The widely held belief that Cornish alluvial deposits were exploited for cassiterite during the Bronze Age highlights the presence of a tin-extraction industry in south-west England, and these deposits also contain native gold. As a result, an input of Cornish gold into the EBA gold supply arguably appears inevitable. A full investigation into the Pb isotope signature of southern British gold mineralisation is required before full conclusions can be drawn, however the present study highlights the potential for an important gold mining region in southern Britain from the second half of the 3rd millennium BC and raises interesting new questions regarding the role of gold in Irish Chalcolithic and EBA societies.

Acknowledgements: Mary Cahill and the National Museum of Ireland are thanked for providing the artefact samples analysed in this study, whilst we are grateful to Sara Chambers and the Royal Cornwall Museum for providing samples of Cornish alluvial gold. We thank Robert Standish and Gary Baddeley for helping with the collection of natural gold samples, Rob Chapman and Stuart Needham for their comments on earlier versions of the manuscript, whilst Richard Warner and Norman Moles provided helpful comments throughout the course of the study. We also acknowledge Chris Coath for assisting with mass spectrometry, and both Stuart Kearns and Benjamin Buse for assisting with EPMA analyses (furthermore we thank Eric Condliffe for conducting EPMA analysis on one of the samples studied). Finally, the paper was improved greatly thanks to the comments of three anonymous reviewers. Funding was provided by the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) in the form of a research studentship to CS (NE/G524228).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Albarède, F., Desaulty, A.-M. & Blichert-Toft, J. 2012. A geological perspective on the use of Pb isotopes in archaeometry. *Archaeometry* 54, 853–67
- Arias, D., Corretgé, L.G., Suárez, O., Villa, L., Cuesta, A. & Gallastegui, G. 1996. Lead and sulfur isotope compositions of the Ibias gold vein system (NW Spain): genetic implications. *Economic Geology* 91, 1292–7
- Armbruster, B. 2013. Gold and goldworking of the Bronze Age. In A. Harding & H. Fokkens (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of the European Bronze Age*, 454–68. Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Armstrong, E.C.R. 1933. Catalogue of Irish Gold Ornaments in the Collection of the Royal Irish Academy, 2 edn. Dublin: The Stationery Office
- Arribas, A. & Tosdal, R.M. 1994. Isotopic composition of Pb in ore deposits of the Betic Cordillera, Spain: origin and relationship to other European deposits. *Economic Geology* 80, 1074–93
- Baron, S., Tămaş, C.G. & Le Carlier, C. 2014. How mineralogy and geochemistry can improve the significance of Pb isotopes in metal provenance studies. *Archaeometry* 56, 665–80
- Beck, C. & Shennan, S. 1991. Amber in Prehistoric Britain. Oxford: Oxbow Books
- Bendall, C., Wigg-Wolf, D., Lahaye, Y., Von Kaenel, H.M. & Brey, G.P. 2009. Detecting changes of Celtic gold sources through the application of trace element and Pb isotope laser ablation analysis of Celtic gold coins. *Archaeometry* 51, 598–625
- Betz, O. 1995. Considerations of the real and and symbolic value of gold. In G. Morteani & J. Northover (eds), *Prehistoric Gold in Europe: Mines, Metallurgy and Manufacture*, 19–28. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers
- Bray, P. 2012. Before 29Cu became Copper: tracing the recognition and invention of metalworking in Britain and Ireland during the 3rd millennium BC. In M. Allen, J. Gardiner & J.A. Sheridan (eds), Is there a British Chalcolithic? People, Place and Polity in the Late 3rd Millennium, 54–68. Oxford: Prehistoric Society Research Papers 4/Oxbow Books
- Brill, R.H. & Wampler, J.M. 1965. Isotope studies of ancient lead. American Journal of Archaeology 69, 165–66
- Brindley, A. 2007. *The Dating of Food Vessels and Urns in Ireland*. Bronze Age Studies 7. Galway: Department of Archaeology, National University of Ireland, Galway
- Budd, P., Gale, D., Ixer, R.A.F. & Thomas, R.G. 1994. Tin sources for prehistoric bronze production in Ireland. *Antiquity* 68, 518–24
- Burgess, C. 1979. The background of early metalworking in Ireland and Britain. In M. Ryan (ed.), *The Origins of Metallurgy in Atlantic Europe*, 207–47. Dublin: Stationery Office
- Burnham, B.C. 1997. Roman mining at Dolaucothi: The implications of the 1991–3 excavations near the Carreg Pumsaint. *Britannia* 28, 325–36
- Cahill, M. 2005. Roll your own lunula. In T. Condit & C. Corlett (eds), Above and Beyond: essays in memory of Leo Swan, 53–61. Bray: Wordwell

- Cahill, M. 2006. John Windele's golden legacy prehistoric and later gold ornaments from Co. Cork and Co. Waterford. *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* 106C, 219–337
- Camm, G.S. 1995. Gold in the Counties of Cornwall and Devon. St Austell: Cornish Hillside Publications
- Carlin, N. & Brück, J. 2012. Searching for the Chalcolithic: continuity and change in the Irish Final Neolithic/Early Bronze Age. In M. Allen, J. Gardiner & J.A. Sheridan (eds), Is there a British Chalcolithic? People, Place and Polity in the Late 3rd Millennium, 193–210. Oxford: Prehistoric Society Research Papers 4/Oxbow Books
- Case, H. 1966. Were Beaker-people the first metallurgists in Ireland? *Palaeohistoria* 12, 141-77
- Case, H. 1977. An early accession to the Ashmolean Museum. In V. Markotic (ed.), Ancient Europe and the Mediterranean, 18–34. Warminster: Aris & Phillips
- Case, H. 1995. Irish Beakers in their European context. In J. Waddell & E. Shee Twohig (eds), *Ireland in the Bronze Age*, 14–29. Dublin: Stationery Office
- Cattin, F., Guénette-Beck, B., Besse, M. & Serneels, V. 2009. Lead isotopes and archaeometallurgy. *Archaeological & Anthropological Sciences* 1, 137–48
- Chapman, J. 2008. Approaches to trade and exchange in earlier prehistory (Late Mesolithic–Early Bronze Age). In A. Jones (ed.), *Prehistoric Europe: theory and practice*, 333–55. Oxford: Blackwell
- Chapman, R.J., Leake, R.C. & Moles, N.R. 2000a. The use of microchemical analysis of alluvial gold grains in mineral exploration: experiences in Britain and Ireland. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration* 71, 241–68
- Chapman, R.J., Leake, R.C., Moles, N.R., Earls, G., Cooper, C., Harrington, K. & Berzins, R. 2000b. The application of microchemical analysis of alluvial gold grains to the understanding of complex local and regional gold mineralization: A case study in the Irish and Scottish caledonides. *Economic Geology* 95, 1753–73
- Chapman, R.J., Leake, R.C., Warner, R.A, Cahill, M.C., Moles, N.R., Shell, C.A. & Taylor, J.J. 2006. Microchemical characterisation of natural gold and artefact gold as a tool for provenancing prehistoric gold artefacts: a case study in Ireland. *Applied Geochemistry* 21, 904–18
- Chapman, R.J., Moles, N.R., Warner, R.A & Cahill, M.C. 2012. The hypothesis of the Mournes and Early Bronze Age gold. *Archaeology Ireland* 26 (2), 52
- Christie, P.M. 1986. Cornwall in the Bronze Age. Cornish Archaeology 25, 81-110
- Clarke, D.V., Cowie, T.G. & Foxon, A. 1985. Symbols of Power at the Time of Stonehenge. Edinburgh: HMSO
- Colman, T.B. & Cooper, D.C. 2000. *Exploration for Metalliferous and Related Minerals in Britain: a guide*. DTI Minerals Programme Publication No. 1.
- Cowman, D. & Reilly, T.A. 1988. The Abandoned Mines of West Carbery: promoters, adventurers and miners. Dublin: Geological Survey of Ireland
- Craddock, P.T. & Craddock, B.R. 1996. The beginnings of metallurgy in south-west Britain: hypothesis and evidence. *Mining History* 13, 52–63

- Cunliffe, B. 2013. *Britain Begins*. Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Curti, E. 1987. Lead and oxygen isotope evidence for the origin of the Monte Rosa gold lode deposits (Western Alps, Italy): a comparison with Archean lode deposits. *Economic Geology* 82, 2115–40
- Desaulty, A.-M., Telouk, P., Albalat, E. & Albarède, F. 2011. Isotopic Ag-Cu-Pb record of silver circulation through 16th-18th century Spain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108, 9002–7
- Ehser, A., Borg, G. & Pernicka, E. 2011. Provenance of the gold of the Early Bronze Age Nebra Sky Disk, central Germany: geochemical characterization of natural gold from Cornwall. *European Journal of Mineralogy* 23, 895–910
- Eogan, G. 1994. The Accomplished Art: gold and goldworking in Britain and Ireland during the Bronze Age (c. 2300–650 BC). Oxford: Oxbow Books
- Faure, G. & Mensing, T. M. 2005. *Isotopes: principles and applications*, 3rd ed. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons
- Gloaguen, E., Branquet, Y., Boulvais, P., Moëlo, Y., Chauvel, J.J., Chiappero, P.J. & Marcoux, E. 2007. Palaeozoic oolitic ironstone of the French Armorican Massif: a chemical and structural trap for orogenic base metal–As–Sb–Au mineralisation during Hercynian strikeslip deformation. *Mineralium Deposita* 42, 399–422
- Grögler, N., Geiss, J., Grünenfelder, M. & Houtermans, F.G. 1966. Isotopenuntersuchungen zur Bestimmung der Herkunft römischer Bleirohre und Bleibarren. Zeitschrift für Naturforschung 21a, 1167–72
- Harbison, P. 1971. Hartmann's gold analyses: a comment. *The Journal of the Royal Society of Antiquaries of Ireland* 101, 159–60
- Harding, A.F. 2000. *European Societies in the Bronze Age*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Hartmann, A. 1970. Prähistoriche Goldfunde aus Europa: Spektralanalytische Untersuchungen und deren Auswertung. Berlin: Gebr. Mann Verlag
- Hartmann, A. 1982. Prähistoriche Goldfunde aus Europa II: Spektralanalytische Untersuchungen und deren Auswertung. Berlin: Gebr. Mann Verlag
- Helms, M.W. 1988. Ulysses' Sail: an ethnographic odyssey of power, knowledge, and geographical distance. Princetown: Princetown University Press
- Helms, M.W. 1993. Craft and the Kingly Ideal: art, trade, and power. Austin: University of Texas Press
- Horner, J., Neubauer, F., Paar, W.H., Hansmann, W., Koeppel, V. & Robl, K. 1997. Structure, mineralogy, and Pb isotopic composition of the As-Au-Ag deposit Rotgülden, Eastern Alps (Austria): significance for formation of epigenetic ore deposits within metamorphic domes. *Mineralium Deposita* 32, 555–68
- Jones, A.M. & Quinnell, H. 2011. The Neolithic and Bronze Age in Cornwall, c.4000 cal BC to c.1000 cal BC: an overview of recent developments. *Cornish Archaeology* 50, 197–229
- Jones, A.M. & Quinnell, H. 2013. Daggers in the West: Early Bronze Age daggers and knives in the South-West Peninsula. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* 79, 165–91

- Jones, A.M., Taylor, S. & Sturgess, J. 2012. A Beaker structure and other discoveries along the Sennen to Porthcurno South West Water pipeline. *Cornish Archaeology* 51, 1–67
- Kane, R. 1844. *The industrial resources of Ireland*. Dublin: Hodges and Smith
- Kelly, E. & Cahill, M. 2010. Safe secrets 1 an early Bronze Age detective story from County Roscommon. *Archaeology Ireland* 24 (2), 5–6
- Kinnaird, J.A., Ixer, R.A., Barreiro, B. & Nex, P.A.M. 2002. Contrasting sources for lead in Cu-polymetallic and Zn-Pb mineralisation in Ireland: constraints from lead isotopes. *Mineralium Deposita* 37, 495–511
- La Niece, S. 2009. Gold. London: The British Museum Press
- La Niece, S. 2011. Examination and analysis of the gold ornaments and comparative primary Beaker goldwork. In A. Fitzpatrick, *The Amesbury Archer and the Boscombe Bowmen: Bell Beaker burials at Boscombe Down, Amesbury, Wiltshire*, 138–40. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology Ltd
- Le Guen, M., Lescuyer, J.L. & Marcoux, E. 1992. Leadisotope evidence for a Hercynian origin of the Salsigne gold deposit (Southern Massif Central, France). *Mineralium Deposita* 27, 129–36
- LeHuray, A.P., Caulfield, J.B.D., Rye, D.M. & Dixon, P.R. 1987. Basement controls on sediment-hosted Zn-Pb deposits: a Pb isotope study of Carboniferous mineralization in central Ireland. *Economic Geology* 82, 1695–709
- Marcoux, E. 1998. Lead isotope systematics of the giant massive sulphide deposits in the Iberian Pyrite Belt. *Mineralium Deposita* 33, 45–58
- Mattingly, J., Marley, J. & Jones, A.M. 2009. Five gold rings? Early Bronze Age gold lunulae from Cornwall. *Royal Institution of Cornwall Journal*, 95–114
- McArdle, P., Morris, J.H. & Gardiner, P.R.R. 1987. Gold in Ireland: the potential for mineralization. Geological Survey of Ireland, Report Series RS 87/1. Mineral Resources
- Meighan, I.G. 2011. The sourcing of Irish Bronze Age gold. Archaeology Ireland 25 (4), 31-2
- Miles, H. 1975. Barrows on the St Austell granite. Cornish Archaeology 14, 5–81
- Moles, N.R., Chapman, R.J. & Warner, R.B. 2013. The significance of copper concentrations in natural gold alloy for reconnaissance exploration and understanding gold-depositing hydrothermal systems. *Geochemistry: Exploration, Environment, Analysis* 13, 115–30
- Moloney, C. 2011. A Wessex-type gold bead or button cover from Tullamore, County Offaly. *PAST: the newsletter of the Prehistoric Society* 69, 1–2
- Muhly, J.D. 1985. Sources of tin and the beginnings of bronze metallurgy. *American Journal of Archaeology* 89, 275–91
- Needham, S.P. 2000a. Power pulses across a cultural divide: cosmologically driven acquisition between Armorica and Wessex. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* 66, 151–207
- Needham, S. 2000b. The development of embossed goldwork in Bronze Age Europe. *Antiquaries Journal* 80, 27–65

- Needham, S. 2002. Analytical implications for Beaker metallurgy in North-West Europe. In M. Bartelheim, E. Pernicka & R. Krause (eds), Anfänge der Metallurgie in der Alten Welt, 99–133. Rahden: Verlag Marie Leidorf
- Needham, S. 2011. Basket-shaped ornaments and primary Beaker goldwork. In A. Fitzpatrick, *The Amesbury Archer* and the Boscombe Bowmen: Bell Beaker burials at Boscombe Down, Amesbury, Wiltshire. 131–8. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology Ltd
- Needham, S. 2012a. Case and place for the British Chalcolithic. In M. Allen, J. Gardiner & J.A. Sheridan (eds), *Is there a British Chalcolithic? People, place and polity in the late third millennium*, 1–26. Oxford: Oxbow Books (Prehistoric Society Research Papers 4)
- Needham, S. 2012b. Putting capes into context: Mold at the heart of a domain. In W.J. Britnell & R.J. Silvester (eds), *Reflections on the Past: Essays in honour of Frances Lynch*, 210–36. Welshpool: Cambrian Archaeological Association
- Needham, S. & Sheridan, J.A. 2014. Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age goldwork from Britain: new finds and new perspectives. In H. Meller, E. Pernicka & R. Risch (eds), *Metals of Power: Early Gold and Silver. Proceedings of the 6th Archaeological Congress of Central Germany*, 903–41. Halle: Landesmuseum für Vorgeschichte Halle (Tagungen des Landesmuseums Band 11/2)
- Neiva, A.M.G., Andráš, P. & Ramos, J.M.F. 2008. Antimony quartz and antimony-gold quartz veins from northern Portugal. Ore Geology Reviews 34, 533–46
- Ni, Wen 1991. Copper and other mineralization in metamorphosed "red beds", West Carbery area, southwest Cork, Ireland. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Birmingham.
- Nocete, F., Sáez, R., Bayona, M.R., Nieto, J.M., Peramoa, A., López, P., Gil-Ibarguchi, J.I., Inácio, N., García, S. & Rodríguez, J. 2014. Gold in the Southwest of the Iberian Peninsula during the 3rd Millennium BC. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 41, 691–704
- Northover, J.P.N. 1982. The exploration of the longdistance movement of bronze in Bronze and Early Iron Age Europe. Bulletin of the Institute of Archaeology, University of London 19, 45–72
- Northover, J.P.N., O'Brien, W. & Stos, S. 2001. Lead isotopes and metal circulation in Beaker/Early Bronze Age Ireland. *Journal of Irish Archaeology* 10, 25–47
- O'Brien, W. 1994. Mount Gabriel: Bronze Age copper mining in Ireland. Galway: Galway University Press
- O'Brien, W. 1999. Sacred Ground. Megalithic Tombs in Coastal South-west Ireland. Galway: Galway University Press
- O'Brien, W. 2003. The Bronze Age copper mines of the Goleen area, Co. Cork. *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* 103C (2), 13–59
- O'Brien, W. 2004. Ross Island: mining, metal and society in early Ireland. Galway: National University of Ireland
- O'Brien, W. 2012. The Chalcolithic in Ireland: a chronological and cultural framework. In M. Allen, J. Gardiner & J.A. Sheridan (eds), *Is there a British Chalcolithic? People, place and polity in the late 3rd*

millennium, 211–25. Oxford: Oxbow Books (Prehistoric Society Research Papers 4)

- O'Connor, B. 2004. The earliest Scottish metalwork since Coles. In I. Shepherd & G. Barclay (eds), *Scotland in Ancient Europe*, 205–16. Edinburgh: Society of Antiquaries of Scotland
- Oh, M.S., Marcoux, E., Calvez, J.Y. & Touray, J.C. 1989. Anomalous unradiogenic lead from strata-bound Zn–Pb–Cu mineralization in the Saint-Georges-sur-Loire synclinorium (Armorican Massif, France). *Economic Geology* 84, 1436–43
- O'Keeffe, W.G. 1986. Age and postulated source rocks for mineralization in Central Ireland, as indicated by lead isotopes. In C.J. Andrew, R.W.A. Crowe, S. Finlay, W.M. Pennell & J.F. Pyne (eds), *Geology and genesis of mineral deposits in Ireland*, 617–24. Dublin: Irish Association of Economic Geology
- Pare, C.F.E. 2000. Bronze and the Bronze Age. In C.F.E. Pare (ed), Metals Make the World Go Round: The Supply and Circulation of Metals in Bronze Age Europe, 1–38. Oxford: Oxbow
- Penhallurick, R.D. 1986. *Tin in antiquity*. London: Institute of Metals
- Penhallurick, R.D. 1997. The evidence for prehistoric mining in Cornwall. In P. Budd & D. Gale (eds), *Prehistoric Extractive Metallurgy in Cornwall*, 23–33. Truro: Cornwall Archaeological Unit
- Pernicka, E. 2014a. Provenance determination of archaeological metal objects. In B.W. Roberts & C.P. Thornton (eds), Archaeometallurgy in Global Perspective: Methods and Syntheses, 239–68. New York: Springer
- Pernicka, E. 2014b. Possibilities and limitations of provenance studies of ancient silver and gold. In H. Meller, E. Pernicka & R. Risch (eds), Metals of Power: Early Gold and Silver. Proceedings of the 6th Archaeological Congress of Central Germany, 153–64. Halle: Landesmuseum für Vorgeschichte Halle (Tagungen des Landesmuseums Band 11/1)
- Pollard, A.M. & Heron, C. 2008. Archaeological Chemistry, 2nd ed. London: Royal Society of Chemistry
- Pracht, M. & Sleeman, A.G. 2002. A Geological Description of West Cork and Adjacent parts of Kerry to Accompany the Bedrock Geology 1:100,000 Scale Map Series, Sheet 24, West Cork, with Contributions by G. Wright (groundwater) and W. Cox (minerals). Dublin: Geological Survey of Ireland
- Rathbone, S. 2013. A consideration of villages in Neolithic and Bronze Age Britain and Ireland. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* 79, 1–22
- Reilly, T.A. 1986. A review of vein mineralization in SW Couny Cork, Ireland. In C.J. Andrew, R.W.A. Crowe, S. Finlay, W.M. Pennell & J.F. Pyne (eds), *Geology and genesis of mineral deposits in Ireland*, 513–44. Dublin: Irish Association for Economic Geology
- Rohl, B. 1995. Application of Lead Isotope Analysis to Bronze Age Metalwork from England and Wales. Unpublished D.Phil thesis, University of Oxford.
- Rohl, B. 1996. Lead isotope data from the Isotrace Laboratory, Oxford: Archaeometry data base 2, galena from Britain and Ireland. *Archaeometry* 38, 165–80

- Rohl, B. & Needham, S. 1998. The Circulation of Metal in the British Bronze Age: the Application of Lead Isotope Analysis. London: British Museum Occasional Paper
- Romer, R.L. & Soler, A. 1995. U-Pb age and lead isotopic characterization of Au-bearing skarn related to the Andorra granite (central Pyrenees, Spain). *Mineralium Deposita* 30, 374–83
- Saunders, N.J. 2003. "Catching the light": technologies of power and enchantment in pre-Columbian goldworking. In J. Quilter & J.W. Hoopes (eds), Gold and Power in Ancient Costa Rica, Columbia and Panama, 15–48. Washington DC: Dumbarton Oaks
- Schmiderer, A. 2009. Geochemische Charakterisierung von Goldvorkommen in Europa. PhD thesis, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg.
- Schoenberger, E. 2011. Why is gold valuable? Nature, social power and the value of things. *Cultural Geographies* 18, 3–24
- Scott, B.G. 1976. The occurrence of platinum as a trace element in Irish gold: comments in Hartmann's gold analyses. *Irish Archaeological Reseach Forum* 3, 21–24
- Shell, C.A. 1978. The early exploitation of tin deposits in south west England. In M. Ryan (ed), *The Origins of Metallurgy in Atlantic Europe*, 251–63. Dublin: The Stationery Office
- Sheridan, J.A. 2008. Towards a fuller, more nuanced narrative of Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age Britain 2500–1500 BC. *Bronze Age Review* 1, 57–78
- Sheridan, J.A., Davis, M., Clark, I. & Redvers-Jones, H. 2002. Investigating jet and jet-like artefacts from prehistoric Scotland: The National Museums of Scotland Project. Antiquity 76, 812–25
- Sheridan, J. A., Jay, M., Montgomery, J., Pellegrini, M. & Cahill Wilson, J. 2013. 'Tara Boy': local hero or international man of mystery?. In M. O'Sullivan, C. Scarre & M. Doyle (eds), *Tara – from the past to the future*. *Towards a new research agenda*, 207–32. Dublin: Wordwell
- Sheridan, J.A. & Shortland, A. 2003. Supernatural power dressing. British Archaeology 70, 18–23
- Sheridan, J.A. & Shortland, A. 2004. '...beads which have given rise to such dogmatism, controversy and rash speculation': faience in Early Bronze Age Britain and Ireland. In I.A.G. Shepherd & G.J. Barclay (eds), Scotland in Ancient Europe, 263–79. Edinburgh: Society of Antiquaries of Scotland
- Standish, C.D. 2012. The source of Irish Chalcolithic and Bronze Age Gold: a lead isotope and major element provenance study. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Bristol.
- Standish, C.D., Dhuime, B., Chapman, R.J., Coath, C., Hawkesworth, C.J. & Pike, A.W.G. 2013. Solution and laser ablation MC-ICP-MS lead isotope analysis of gold. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 28, 217–25
- Standish, C.D., Dhuime, B., Chapman, R.J., Hawkesworth, C.J. & Pike, A.W.G. 2014. The genesis of gold mineralisation hosted by orogenic belts: A lead isotope investigation of Irish gold deposits. *Chemical Geology* 378–9, 40–51
- Stanley, G., Carroll, B., Connolly, J. & Doyle, E. 2000. A Review of Ireland's Potential for Bedrock Gold Mineralization. Dublin: Geological Survey of Ireland

- Stos-Gale, Z.A. & Gale, N.H. 2009. Metal provenancing using isotopes and the Oxford archaeological lead isotope database (OXALID). Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences 1, 195–213
- Taylor, J.J. 1980. Bronze Age Goldwork of the British Isles. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Taylor, J.J. 1994. The Oliver Davis Lecture: The first golden age of Europe was in Ireland and Britain (circa 2400–1400 BC). Ulster Journal of Archaeology 57, 37–60
- Taylor, J.J., Watling, R.J., Shell, C.A., Ixer, R.A., Chapman, R.J., Warner, R.B. & Cahill, M. 1997. From gold ores to artifacts in the British Isles: a preliminary study of a new LA-ICP-MS analytical approach. In C. Sinclair, E. Slater & G. Gowlett (eds), *Archaeological Sciences 1995*, 144–54. Oxford: Oxbow Books
- Timberlake, S. 1994. Archaeological and circumstantial evidence for early mining in Wales. *Bulletin of the Peak District Mines Historical Society* 12, 133–43
- Timberlake, S. 2006. Excavations at early mine workings at Twll y Mwyn (Cwm Darren) and Erglodd, Ceredigion. *Archaeology in Wales* 46, 79–86
- Timberlake, S. 2009. Copper mining and metal production at the beginning of the British Bronze Age. In P. Clark (ed), *Bronze Age Connections: cultural contact in prehistoric Europe*, 94–121. Oxford: Oxbow Books
- Timberlake, S., Gwilt, A. & Davis, M. 2004. A Copper Age/ Early Bronze Age gold disc on Banc Tynddol (Penguelan, Cwmystwyth Mines, Ceredigion). *Antiquity* 78. Viewed online: http://antiquity.ac.uk/projgall/timberlake/index. html, 16th June 2014.
- Tornos, F. & Chiaradia, M. 2004. Plumbotectonic evolution of the Ossa Morena zone, Iberian Peninsula: Tracing the influence of mantle-crust interaction in ore-forming processes. *Economic Geology* 99, 965–85
- Touray, J.C., Marcoux, E., Hubert, P. & Proust, D. 1989. Hydrothermal processes and ore-forming fluids in the Le Bourneix gold deposit, central France. *Economic Geology* 84, 1328–39
- Warner, R. 2004. Irish gold artefacts: observations from Hartmann's analytical data. In H. Roche, E. Grogan, J. Bradley, J. Coles & B. Raftery (eds), From Megaliths to Metals: essays in honour of George Eogan, 72–82. Oxford: Oxbow Books
- Warner, R.B. & Cahill, M. 2011. Analysing ancient Irish gold: an assessment of the Hartmann database. *Journal of Irish Archaeology* 20, 45–52
- Warner, R., Chapman, R., Cahill, M. & Moles, N. 2009. The gold source found at last? *Archaeology Ireland* 23 (2), 22–5
- Warner, R., Moles, N. & Chapman, R. 2010a. Evidence for Early Bronze Age tin and gold extraction in the Mourne Mountains, County Down. *Journal of the Mining Heritage Trust of Ireland* 10, 29–36
- Warner, R., Moles, N., Chapman, R. & Cahill, M. 2010b. The Mournes: a source of early Bronze Age tin and gold. *Archaeology Ireland* 24 (4), 18–21
- Watling, R.J., Taylor, J.J., Shell, C.A., Chapman, R.J., Warner, R.B., Cahill, M. & Leake, R.C. 1999. The application of laser ablation inductively coupled plasma

mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) for establishing the provenance of gold ores and artefacts. In S.M.M. Young, A.M. Pollard, P. Budd & R.A. Ixer (eds), *Metals in Antiquity*, 53–62. Oxford: Archaeopress

Woodward, A. 2002. Beads and beakers: heirlooms and relics in the British Early Bronze Age. *Antiquity* 76, 1040–7

Zalduegui, J.F.S., De Madinabeitia, S.G., Ibarguchi, J.I.G. & Palero, E. 2004. A lead isotope database: The Los Pedroches-Alcudia area (Spain); implications for archaeometallurgical connections across southwestern and southeastern Iberia. *Archaeometry* 46, 625–34

APPENDIX 1: EPMA ANALYTICAL METHODS

Quantitative major element analysis was performed using a JEOL JXA 8600 Superprobe fitted with four wavelength dispersive (WD) spectrometers and an Oxford Instruments PCXA2 energy dispersive spectrometer at the Department of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol. Samples were prepared as detailed for laser ablation MC-ICP-MS analyses, and they were then carbon coated to a thickness of 15 nm. The EPMA was operated at 20 KV and 19.98 nA, with counting times varying between 10 and 40 seconds depending on the element in question. Quantified concentrations were calculated through the use of pure metal standards, with each sample analysed a minimum of three times and the data presented being the averages of these analyses, with the errors ± 2 S.E. of the mean of the multiple analyses.

Accession No.	Artefact	Find Location	County	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²⁰⁴ Pb	2 S.E.	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁴ Pb	2 S.E.	²⁰⁸ Pb/ ²⁰⁴ Pb	2 S.E.	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	2 S.E.	²⁰⁸ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	2 S.E.
1877:52	Lunula	Carrowduff	Clare							0.8490	0.0001	2.0966	0.0002
1881:90	Lunula	n/a	n/a		0					0.8384	0.0007	2.0591	0.0016
1881:91 ^a 1004.07	Lunula	n/a Mullineau	n/a	18.750	0.005	15.628	0.004	38.431	0.013	0.83/5	0.0039	2.0602	0.0105
1884-494	Lunua	Crossdonev	Cavan							0.0200	0.0006	2 0619	0.0017
1884:495	Lunula	Trillick	Tvrone							0.8384	0.0008	2.0681	0.0007
1889:20	Lunula	Trentagh	Donegal							0.8476	0.0005	2.0842	0.0015
1893.04	Lunula	Athlone	Westmeath							0.8534	0.0001	2.0842	0.0002
1896:15	Lunula	Ross	Westmeath	18.931	0.005	15.652	0.005	38.193	0.015	0.8263	0.0002	2.0163	0.0010
1900.50	Lunula	Tremoge	Tyrone							0.8357	0.0002	2.0619	0.0005
1909:04	Lunula	West Coast	Mayo							0.8538	0.0001	2.0849	0.0002
1909:06	Lunula	Naran	Donegal	18.474	0.004	15.668	0.003	38.553	0.009	0.8476	0.0002	2.0858	0.0003
1909:07	Lunula	Rosgarron	Derry							0.8367	0.0009	2.0515	0.0018
1910:45	Lunula	Bawnboy	Cavan		0		0			0.8431	0.0003	2.0712	0.0003
1946:392	Lunula	n/a	n/a	18.522	0.005	15.634	0.005	38.567	0.015	0.8438	0.0001	2.0822	0.0002
1998:74	Lunula	Ballinagroun	Kerry	18.350	0.004	15.643	0.002	38.222	0.008	0.8525	0.0001	2.0830	0.0001
P817	Lunula	n/a	n/a	18.793	0.006	15.593	0.006	38.175	0.017	0.8293	0.0005	2.0298	0.0011
P949	Disc	n/a	Roscommon	18.839	0.006	15.582	0.005	38.391	0.015	0.8267	0.0003	2.0366	0.0007
R1755	Lunula	Banemore	Kerry	18.593	0.004	15.629	0.003	38.240	0.010	0.8405	0.0003	2.0564	0.0003
R1756	Lunula	Banemore	Kerry	18.696	0.006	15.707	0.006	38.687	0.019	0.8404	0.0001	2.0693	0.0004
R1757	Lunula	Banemore	Kerry							0.8406	0.0007	2.0613	0.0011
R2612	Lunula	n/a	n/a										
R4023	Lunula	n/a	n/a	18.613	0.006	15.613	0.005	38.442	0.017	0.8387	0.0002	2.0643	0.0009
R4024	Lunula	Island	Antrim							0.8377	0.0013	2.0563	0.0083
		Magee											
R625	Lunula	n/a	n/a	18.258	0.004	15.606	0.003	38.188	0.009	0.8550	0.0017	2.0917	0.0032
SA1913:127	Pin	Ballyvourney	r Cork							0.8490	0.0006	2.0852	0.0014
SA1913:128	Disc	Ballyvourney	r Cork							0.8459	0.0008	2.0820	0.0012
SA1913:131	Trapezoidal Plaque	Knockane	Cork	18.430	0.004	15.635	0.003	38.402	0.009	0.8483	0.0000	2.0838	0.0004
SA1928:715	Lunulà	Rossmore	Monaghan	19.338	0.003	15.666	0.003	38.655	0.008	0.8100	0.0001	1.9991	0.0001
W/1	11.	rark	-1	10 404	1000	15 (20	0000	101 00	0100	0 0 1 5 5		20246	0,0010
	Lunula	n/a V:Ilomon		10.474	0.004	000.01	c00.0	101.00	0.012	0.8400	0.0002	2.0040	0100.0
7 M	Lunula	NIIIarney	Nerry							1111	1000 0	1000	
W 5 W/4/R136	Lunula Lunula	n/a Dunferth	n/a Kildare							0.8414	0.0001	2.0834 2.0900	0.000
	Lunua	די /ה ה /ה	NIUALC							2200.0	0.0015	20700	2000.0
5 M	Lunula	11/a	11/a							0.0200		2.0053	0.0030
0 M	Lunua Lunua	11/a 12/a	11/a 12/a	10 01 2	0.005	15 611	0000	20 277	0.011	0.0107	0.0000	20100	
W/8/B135	Linnila	Dunfierth	Kildare	18 502	0.003	15 675	0.003	38 977	0.009	0.818/ 0.8446	0.0003	2 1037	0.000
W9/R138	Lunula	Dunfierth	Kildare	18.619	0.004	15.635	0.003	38.457	0.009	0.8397	0.0004	2.0658	0.0007
W10	Lunula	n/a	Galway	19.477	0.005	15.676	0.004	38.740	0.011	0.7989	0.0003	1.9765	0.0005
W11	Lunula	n/a	n/a							0.8471	0.0002	2.0863	0.0017
W12	Lunula	n/a	n/a							0.8406	0.0052	2.0653	0.0102
W13	Lunula	n/a	n/a							0.8159	0.0015	2.0115	0.0021

THE PREHISTORIC SOCIETY

APPENDIX 2: LEAD ISOTOPE SIGNATURE OF IRISH CHALCOLITHIC & EARLY BRONZE AGE GOLDWORK

Find Location		County	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²⁰⁴ Pb	2 S.E.	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁴ Pb	2 S.E.	$^{208}Pb/^{204}Pb$	2 S.E.	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	, 2 S.E.	²⁰⁸ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	~
n/a Dunfierth n/a		n/a Kildare n/a	18.605 18.475 18.365	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003\\ 0.004\\ 0.005\end{array}$	15.634 15.626 15.615	$\begin{array}{c} 0.002 \\ 0.003 \\ 0.004 \end{array}$	38.527 38.405 38.216	$\begin{array}{c} 0.008 \\ 0.010 \\ 0.010 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8401 \\ 0.8459 \\ 0.8503 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0005 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.0001 \end{array}$	2.0703 2.0793 2.0810	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0025\\ 0.0002\\ 0.0003\end{array}$
Belville		Cavan							0.8267	0.0024	2.0296	0.0039
Belville Belville		Cavan							0.8446	0.0013	2.0655	0.0030
Belville		Cavan							0.8371	0.0013	2.0497	0.0022
Belville		Cavan ,							0.8559	0.0016	2.0915	0.0038
n/a n/a		n/a n/a							0.8471	0.0015	2.0844	0.0042
²⁰⁴ Pb and Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb , s errors ar publishec	20 col col l ir MA	⁸ Pb/ ²⁰⁴ Pb col lected by lase 2 S.E. of the 1 Standish <i>et</i> JOR ELEMEN	lected by solut er ablation MC e mean of 2 an al. (2013), ^b Al NT SIGNATURH	ion MC C-ICP-MC alyses of I lead isc OF IRIS	-ICP-MS, er S, errors tyr S, errors tyr tope data c brope data c H CHALCO	rors±2 pically± the me: ollected LITHIC	standard er :2 S.E. of th an of 180 in by solution & EARLY BF	e mean tegratio MC-IC MCNZE	 of the rr of 3 analys n cycles. ^aI P-MS, ^call AGE GOLD' 	nean of 5 es, when aser abla samples f wORK	0 integratio sample size trion MC-IC from the sa	n P-MS ne
ct		Find L	ocation	County	ł	Ag %	2 S.E.	Sn '	% 2.5	S.E.	Си %	2 S.E.
		Carrov	vduff	Clare n/2		4.07	0.27	0.1	4 v 0 0	.08 0.2	0.18	0.08
		n/a		n/a		3.66	0.12	0.0	5 0.	02	0.12	0.12
		Mullin	gar	Westmea	ath 1	2.48	0.27	0.0	0.0	00	3.21	0.03
		Crossd	oney	Cavan Twene		1.20	0.15	0.0	9.0 V	-04 -	0.44	0.04
		Trenta	ch ch	Donegal		0.23	0.30	0.0	0. 0	02	0.39	0.09
e		Athlon	e	Westmea	ath	8.71	0.06	0.0	1 0.	.01	0.97	0.10
		${ m Ross}_{\pi}$		Westmea	ath 1	1.17	0.19	0.0	5 0.0	.03	0.08	0.03
		Iremo	ge Soort	I yrone		3.48 0.10	0.08	1.0		-04 0	0.15	0.02
7 0		Naran	JUdal	Donegal	<u> </u>	0.36	0.19	0.0	1 8	700	0.05	0.01
		Rosgar	ron	Derry	. —	1.55	0.12	0.1	• 4 • 0	.03	0.39	0.06
e		Bawnb	oy	Cavan	1	1.34	0.17	0.0	6 0.	.05	0.17	0.01
		n/a D 11		n/a	<u> </u>	3.80	0.15	0.0		02	0.09	0.01
		ballina n/a	groun	Nerry n/a	·	8.94 1.01	0.07	0.0		00	0.14	0.08
:		n/a		Roscom	non	9.77	0.20	0.0	5 0.	040	0.46	0.17
		Banem	ore	Kerry	1	$\frac{1.71}{2.2}$	0.17	0.0	1 0.	.02	0.07	0.02
		Banem	ore	Kerry Verry	·	1 38	0.11	0.0	0 4	00.00	0.08	0.03
		החוואוו	016	VCITY	-	0C.L.	~~~	~~~~	;	-04	07.0	1110

C. D. Standish et al. NON-LOCAL SOURCE OF IRISH CHALCOLITHIC & EBA GOLD

APPENDIX 3: (Cont	inued)								
Accession No.	Artefact	Find Location	County	Ag~%	2 S.E.	Sn %	2 S.E.	Си %	2 S.E.
R2612	Lunula	n/a	n/a	17.06	0.08	0.01	0.01	0.12	0.03
R4023	Lunula	n/a	n/a	12.95	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.11	0.01
R4024	Lunula	Island Magee	Antrim	12.54	0.19	0.04	0.01	0.36	0.03
R625	Lunula	n/a	n/a	8.37	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.03
SA1913:127	Pin	Ballyvourney	Cork	16.38	0.63	0.24	0.03	6.99	0.36
SA1913:128	Disc	Ballyvourney	Cork	16.33	0.18	0.04	0.03	0.25	0.07
SA1913:131	Trapezoidal Plaque	Knockane	Cork	16.57	0.16	0.15	0.02	5.83	0.08
SA1928:715	Lunula	Rossmore Park	Monaghan	13.77	0.13	0.21	0.07	0.07	0.02
W1	Lunula	n/a	n/a	11.17	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.05
W2	Lunula	Killarney	Kerry	3.79	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.02
W3	Lunula	n/a	n/a	12.40	0.26	0.07	0.04	0.18	0.01
W4/R136	Lunula	Dunfierth	Kildare	12.46	0.07	0.05	0.02	0.13	0.04
W5	Lunula	n/a	n/a	11.75	0.14	0.01	0.01	0.16	0.08
W6	Lunula	n/a	n/a	10.45	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.68	1.20
W7	Lunula	n/a	n/a	11.22	0.11	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.03
W8/R135	Lunula	Dunfierth	Kildare	13.82	0.07	0.31	0.16	0.01	0.01
W9/R138	Lunula	Dunfierth	Kildare	13.48	0.51	0.30	0.11	0.15	0.03
W10	Lunula	n/a	Galway	10.32	0.15	0.38	0.12	0.14	0.09
W11	Lunula	n/a	n/a	14.43	0.29	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.00
W12	Lunula	n/a	n/a	12.11	0.11	0.06	0.02	0.26	0.04
W13	Lunula	n/a	n/a	12.57	0.15	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.02
W14	Lunula	n/a	n/a	12.37	0.04	0.11	0.03	0.26	0.03
W15/R137	Lunula	Dunfierth	Kildare	12.97	0.11	0.16	0.02	0.14	0.06
$W74^{a}$	Basket Ornament	n/a	n/a	10.45	0.13	0.08	0.04	0.79	0.03
W75	Oval Plaque	Belville	Cavan	10.63	0.11	0.02	0.02	0.13	0.05
W76	Oval Plaque	Belville	Cavan	10.34	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.06
$M78^{b}$	Oval Plaque	Belville	Cavan	9.83	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.06
$m_{\rm q} = 0.00$	Oval Plaque	Belville	Cavan	9.94	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.13
$W81^{b}$	Oval Plaque	Belville	Cavan	9.89	0.41	0.01	0.02	0.31	0.11
W266	Disc	n/a	n/a	15.50	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.17	0.04
W270	Disc	n/a	n/a	11.84	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.47	0.07
Notes: Major elen Condliffe (School	nent concentrations collec of Earth Sciences, Univer-	ted by EPMA, errors sity of Leeds), ^b all sai	± 2 S.E. of the mean mples from the same	an of ≥3 an: ne artefact.	alyses. ^a Majo	or element a	nalysis perfo	rmed by Eric	

THE PREHISTORIC SOCIETY

County	Easting ^a	Northing ^a	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²⁰⁴ Pb	2 S.E.	$^{207}Pb/^{204}Pb$	2 S.E.	$^{208}Pb/^{204}Pb$	2 S.E.	$^{207}Pb/^{206}Pb$	2 S.E.	$^{208}Pb/^{206}Pb$	2 S.E.
Pembrokeshire	2117	2362	18.349	0.003	15.646	0.002	38.339	0.007	0.8527	0.0001	2.0894	0.0003
Pembrokeshire	2117	2362	18.289	0.006	15.625	0.006	38.324	0.016	0.8544	0.0001	2.0955	0.0004
Pembrokeshire	2117	2362	18.265	0.003	15.637	0.003	38.178	0.008	0.8561	0.0001	2.0902	0.0003
Pembrokeshire	2117	2362	18.249	0.004	15.615	0.003	38.241	0.008	0.8557	0.0001	2.0955	0.0003
Pembrokeshire	2117	2362	18.289	0.004	15.631	0.003	38.225	0.010	0.8546	0.0001	2.0901	0.0004
Perthshire	2992	7055	18.025	0.004	15.520	0.003	37.723	0.009	0.8610	0.0001	2.0928	0.0003
Derthshire	2992	7055	17.964	0.003	15.508	0.002	37.682	0.007	0.8633	0.0001	2.0976	0.0003
Derthshire	2992	7055	18.008	0.004	15.519	0.002	37.714	0.008	0.8618	0.0001	2.0944	0.0003
Derthshire	2992	7055	18.027	0.004	15.521	0.004	37.728	0.010	0.8610	0.0001	2.0929	0.0003
Derthshire	2992	7055	18.046	0.004	15.514	0.002	37.723	0.007	0.8597	0.0001	2.0904	0.0004
⁹ erthshire	2992	7055	17.965	0.004	15.509	0.003	37.686	0.008	0.8633	0.0001	2.0977	0.0003
⁹ erthshire	2992	7055	18.008	0.004	15.520	0.003	37.719	0.008	0.8618	0.0001	2.0946	0.0003
Perthshire	2992	7055	17.978	0.005	15.504	0.004	37.690	0.012	0.8624	0.0001	2.0965	0.0003
⁹ erthshire	2992	7055	18.027	0.005	15.516	0.005	37.719	0.014	0.8607	0.0001	2.0924	0.0005
⁹ erthshire	2992	7055	18.016	0.006	15.511	0.006	37.694	0.017	0.8610	0.0001	2.0923	0.0005
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	$801.853^{\rm b}$	18.317	0.013	15.605	0.016	38.256	0.052	0.8520	0.0003	2.0886	0.0014
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	$801.853^{\rm b}$	18.400	0.009	15.634	0.011	38.393	0.036	0.8497	0.0002	2.0866	0.0010
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	$801.853^{\rm b}$	18.410	0.004	15.627	0.003	38.384	0.010	0.8489	0.0001	2.0850	0.0003
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	$801.853^{\rm b}$	18.316	0.005	15.613	0.004	38.262	0.012	0.8524	0.0001	2.0890	0.0004
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	$801.853^{\rm b}$	18.286	0.005	15.620	0.005	38.279	0.015	0.8542	0.0001	2.0933	0.0004
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	$801.853^{\rm b}$	18.326	0.007	15.614	0.006	38.266	0.016	0.8520	0.0001	2.0881	0.0004
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	(801.853^{b})	18.404	0.004	15.637	0.004	38.419	0.011	0.8496	0.0001	2.0876	0.0004
Devon	2678	0483	19.478	0.010	15.613	0.008	38.520	0.023	0.8016	0.0001	1.9776	0.0004
Devon	2678	0483	19.187	0.007	15.629	0.007	38.516	0.022	0.8146	0.0001	2.0074	0.0006
Devon	2678	0483	19.573	0.028	15.614	0.023	38.403	0.057	0.7977	0.0002	1.9620	0.0005
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	801.6372 ^b	18.231	0.005	15.613	0.004	38.203	0.012	0.8564	0.0001	2.0955	0.0004
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	$801.6372^{\rm b}$	18.336	0.004	15.614	0.003	38.268	0.009	0.8515	0.0001	2.0871	0.0003
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	$801.6372^{\rm b}$	18.375	0.004	15.618	0.003	38.292	0.010	0.8500	0.0001	2.0839	0.0004
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	$801.6372^{\rm b}$	18.350	0.006	15.607	0.005	38.203	0.016	0.8505	0.0001	2.0819	0.0004
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	801.6372^{b}	18.269	0.006	15.608	0.006	38.191	0.018	0.8543	0.0001	2.0905	0.0005
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	801.6372^{b}	18.228	0.003	15.597	0.003	38.102	0.008	0.8557	0.0001	2.0903	0.0003
Cornwall	Ref: RCM	801.6372 ^b	18.293	0.004	15.623	0.002	38.296	0.008	0.8540	0.0001	2.0935	0.0003
Dumfries & Galloway	2849	6155	18.154	0.006	15.547	0.006	38.042	0.019	0.8563	0.0001	2.0955	0.0005
Dumfries & Galloway	2849	6155	18.197	0.004	15.553	0.003	38.096	0.010	0.8547	0.0001	2.0935	0.0004
Dumfries & Galloway	2849	6155	18.213	0.003	15.554	0.002	38.113	0.007	0.8540	0.0001	2.0927	0.0003
Dumfries & Galloway	2849	6155	18.209	0.003	15.551	0.002	38.104	0.008	0.8541	0.0001	2.0926	0.0004
standard errors of t	the mean c	of 50 integra	ation cycles.	. ^a Britis.	h National	Grid, ^b	reference nu	umber f	or samples	obtained	d from the B	oval
m, Truro.		2							-			
	Pembrokeshire Pembrokeshire Pembrokeshire Pembrokeshire Perthshire Perthshire Perthshire Perthshire Perthshire Perthshire Perthshire Perthshire Perthshire Perthshire Cornwall	Pembrokeshire2117Pembrokeshire2117Pembrokeshire2117Pembrokeshire2117Perthshire2992CornwallRef: RCMCornwallRef: RCMDevon2678Devon2678Devon2678OrnwallRef: RCMOrnwallRef: RCMOrnwall	Pembrokeshire 2117 2362 Perthshire 2992 7055 Perthshire 2992 7	Pembrokeshire 2117 2362 18.289 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.008 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.008 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.008 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.008 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.016 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.016 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.016 Cornwall Ref. RCM 801.853b 18.410 Cornwall Ref. RCM 801.853b 18.316 Cornwall Ref. RCM 801.853b 18.401 Cornwall Ref. RCM 801.853b 18.403 Cornwall Ref. RCM 801.853b 0.483	Pembrokeshire 2117 2362 18.289 0.006 Pembrokeshire 2117 2362 18.289 0.004 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.026 0.004 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.008 0.007 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.008 0.007 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.016 0.005 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.016 0.007 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.210 0.007 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.236 0.007 CornwallRef. RCM 801.853^{1} 19.478 0.007 Perton 2678 0483 19.478 0.007 Devon 26	Pembrokeshire2117236.218.2890.00615.6.37Pembrokeshire2117236.218.2490.00415.615Pembrokeshire2117236.218.2490.00415.615Perthshire2117236.218.2490.00415.519Perthshire2117236.218.2050.00415.519Perthshire2992705518.0080.00415.519Perthshire2992705518.0070.00415.519Perthshire2992705518.0080.00415.519Perthshire2992705518.0080.00415.519Perthshire2992705518.0080.00415.511Perthshire2992705518.0160.00415.516Perthshire2992705518.0160.00415.516Perthshire2992705518.0160.00615.516Perthshire2992705518.0160.00415.667Perthshire2992705518.0160.00615.516Perthshire2992705518.0160.00615.561Perthshire2992705518.3790.00715.613ConwallRef. RCM 801.853918.3170.01315.613ConwallRef. RCM 801.853918.3160.00715.614ConwallRef. RCM 801.853918.3160.00715.614ConwallRef. RCM 801.853918.3260.007<	Pernbrokeshire21172.36218.2890.00615.6530.006Pernbrokeshire21172.36218.2650.00315.6370.003Pernbrokeshire21172.36218.2650.00315.6370.003Pertshire21172.36218.2650.00415.6310.003Pertshire21172.36218.2650.00415.5190.003Pertshire2992705518.0270.00415.5190.004Pertshire2992705518.0270.00415.5140.003Pertshire2992705518.0080.00415.5140.003Pertshire2992705518.0070.00415.5140.004Pertshire2992705518.0170.00415.5140.004Pertshire2992705518.0160.00415.5140.004Pertshire2992705518.0160.00415.5140.004Pertshire2992705518.0160.00415.5140.004Pertshire2992705518.0160.00415.5140.004Pertshire2992705518.0160.00415.5140.006Pertshire2992705518.0160.00415.5140.006Pertshire2992705518.0160.00415.5140.006Pertshire2992705518.0100.00615.6140.006Cornwall <td>Dembolseshire 2117 2362 18.249 0.006 15.625 0.006 38.178 Pembrokeshire 2117 2362 18.249 0.004 15.525 0.003 38.178 Pembrokeshire 2117 2362 18.249 0.004 15.519 0.003 37.723 Pembrokeshire 2117 2362 18.249 0.004 15.519 0.003 37.713 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.008 0.004 15.519 0.003 37.713 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.007 0.004 15.511 0.003 37.694 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.017 0.004 15.511 0.003 37.694 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.317 0.004 15.511 0.003 37.694 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.317 0.004 15.514 0.003 37.694 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.317 0.003 35.616<td>Pertubulation 2117 2.362 18.239 0.006 35.537 0.003 35.637 0.006 33.248 0.0018 Pernbrokeshire 2117 2.362 18.239 0.003 15.645 0.003 35.757 0.003 35.757 0.003 35.758 0.004 37.724 0.003 Pernbrokeshire 2117 2.362 18.249 0.004 15.511 0.003 37.632 0.003 37.738 0.003 37.738 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.648 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.649</td><td>Pertubusions 2117 2362 18.2.89 0.006 15.6.37 0.006 0.3544 Pernbrokeshine 2117 2362 18.2.45 0.003 15.6.37 0.003 33.5.74 Pernbrokeshine 2117 2362 18.2.45 0.004 15.5.71 0.003 33.5.7 Pernbrokeshine 2117 2362 18.2.45 0.004 15.5.19 0.003 33.5.48 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.2.46 0.004 15.5.11 0.003 38.5.7 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.0.04 15.5.11 0.003 38.6.1 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.0.04 15.5.11 0.003 35.6.6 0.003 35.6.6 0.003 36.6.1 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.0.04 0.004 15.5.14 0.003 36.6.1 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.0.07 0.003 35.6.4 0.001 38.6.4 Pernbrike 2992 7055</td><td>$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$</td><td>The the function of the factor of the facto</td></td>	Dembolseshire 2117 2362 18.249 0.006 15.625 0.006 38.178 Pembrokeshire 2117 2362 18.249 0.004 15.525 0.003 38.178 Pembrokeshire 2117 2362 18.249 0.004 15.519 0.003 37.723 Pembrokeshire 2117 2362 18.249 0.004 15.519 0.003 37.713 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.008 0.004 15.519 0.003 37.713 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.007 0.004 15.511 0.003 37.694 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.017 0.004 15.511 0.003 37.694 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.317 0.004 15.511 0.003 37.694 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.317 0.004 15.514 0.003 37.694 Perthshire 2992 7055 18.317 0.003 35.616 <td>Pertubulation 2117 2.362 18.239 0.006 35.537 0.003 35.637 0.006 33.248 0.0018 Pernbrokeshire 2117 2.362 18.239 0.003 15.645 0.003 35.757 0.003 35.757 0.003 35.758 0.004 37.724 0.003 Pernbrokeshire 2117 2.362 18.249 0.004 15.511 0.003 37.632 0.003 37.738 0.003 37.738 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.648 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.649</td> <td>Pertubusions 2117 2362 18.2.89 0.006 15.6.37 0.006 0.3544 Pernbrokeshine 2117 2362 18.2.45 0.003 15.6.37 0.003 33.5.74 Pernbrokeshine 2117 2362 18.2.45 0.004 15.5.71 0.003 33.5.7 Pernbrokeshine 2117 2362 18.2.45 0.004 15.5.19 0.003 33.5.48 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.2.46 0.004 15.5.11 0.003 38.5.7 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.0.04 15.5.11 0.003 38.6.1 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.0.04 15.5.11 0.003 35.6.6 0.003 35.6.6 0.003 36.6.1 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.0.04 0.004 15.5.14 0.003 36.6.1 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.0.07 0.003 35.6.4 0.001 38.6.4 Pernbrike 2992 7055</td> <td>$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$</td> <td>The the function of the factor of the facto</td>	Pertubulation 2117 2.362 18.239 0.006 35.537 0.003 35.637 0.006 33.248 0.0018 Pernbrokeshire 2117 2.362 18.239 0.003 15.645 0.003 35.757 0.003 35.757 0.003 35.758 0.004 37.724 0.003 Pernbrokeshire 2117 2.362 18.249 0.004 15.511 0.003 37.632 0.003 37.738 0.003 37.738 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.748 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.648 0.003 37.649 0.003 37.649	Pertubusions 2117 2362 18.2.89 0.006 15.6.37 0.006 0.3544 Pernbrokeshine 2117 2362 18.2.45 0.003 15.6.37 0.003 33.5.74 Pernbrokeshine 2117 2362 18.2.45 0.004 15.5.71 0.003 33.5.7 Pernbrokeshine 2117 2362 18.2.45 0.004 15.5.19 0.003 33.5.48 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.2.46 0.004 15.5.11 0.003 38.5.7 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.0.04 15.5.11 0.003 38.6.1 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.0.04 15.5.11 0.003 35.6.6 0.003 35.6.6 0.003 36.6.1 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.0.04 0.004 15.5.14 0.003 36.6.1 Pernbrike 2992 7055 18.0.07 0.003 35.6.4 0.001 38.6.4 Pernbrike 2992 7055	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	The the function of the factor of the facto

175

THE PREHISTORIC SOCIETY

RÉSUMÉ

Une origine non locale pour l'or irlandais du chalcolithique et de l'âge du bronze ancien, de Christopher D. Standish, Bruno Dhuime, Chris J. Hawkesworth, et Alistair W.G. Pike

L'analyse des isotopes du plomb sur 50 objets irlandais du chalcolithique et de l'âge du bronze ancien suggère que l'or dont ils sont composés proviendrait d'Irlande du sud. Toutefois la comparaison des données isotopiques avec les données d'éléments majeurs montre que ces objets ne proviennent d'aucune source identifiée en Irlande à ce jour. La caractérisation fine des signatures isotopiques en plomb des différents gisements présents au sein de la région étudiée a permis de réduire la probabilité qu'un dépôt de minerai non analysé ait pu être exploité dans le passé. Si on suppose une origine locale (c'est à dire irlandaise) pour l'or, celui-ci proviendrait vraisemblablement de gisements d'âge Varisque associés aux formations du Old Red Sandstone et localisés au sud-ouest de l'Irlande. Ce scénario est toutefois considéré comme peu probable d'après les données de minéralisation établies pour cette région. Une source non-irlandaise pour l'or doit alors être envisagée, dans le cas par exemple d'une acquisition poussée par des croyances cosmologiques ou bien ésotériques. Les données géochimiques, associées aux données archéologiques disponibles, suggèrent ainsi que l'or proviendrait de dépôts alluvionnaires localisés au sud-ouest de la Grande-Bretagne.

ZUSSAMENFASSUNG

Ein nicht-lokaler Ursprung des irischen Goldes des Chalkolithikums und der Frühbronzezeit, von Christopher D. Standish, Bruno Dhuime, Chris J. Hawkesworth, und Alistair W. G. Pike

Analysen von Bleiisotopen von 50 Artefakten des irischen Chalkolithikums und der frühen Bronzezeit legen einen Ursprung des Goldes aus dem Süden Irlands nahe. Wenn diese Ergebnisse jedoch mit einer Hauptkomponentenanalyse kombiniert werden, lassen sich die Artefakte mit keiner bis heute untersuchten Goldlagerstätte Irlands in Übereinstimmung bringen. Eine bessere Kenntnis der Signaturen von Bleiisotopen von Erzlagerstätten innerhalb eines bestimmten Untersuchungsgebiets ermöglichen Rückschlüsse in Bezug auf die Wahrscheinlichkeit, dass eine noch nicht analysierte Lagerstätte in der Vorgeschichte ausgebeutet worden ist. Falls eine irische Quelle für das Gold der Artefakte angenommen wird, dann ist es am wahrscheinlichsten, dass das Gold aus Lagerstätten im Old Red-Sandstein im variskischen Erzlagergebiet im Südwesten Irlands stammt. Aufgrund unserer heutigen Kenntnis der Mineralisation dieses Gebiets muss dieses Szenario jedoch als unwahrscheinlich betrachtet werden. Eine nicht-irische Quelle des Goldes wird daher als wahrscheinlich angenommen – ein Szenario, das für einen kosmologisch motivierten Erwerb des Metalls sprechen mag, das heißt, dass das Material gezielt aus entfernten oder esoterischen Quellen beschafft wurde. Vorhandene geochemische Daten in Kombination mit vorliegenden archäologischen Kenntnissen sprechen für alluviale Lagerstätten im Südwesten Großbritanniens als der wahrscheinlichsten Quelle des Goldes.

RESUMEN

Procedencia no local del oro del Calcolítico y Edad del Bronce de Irlanda, por Christopher D. Standish, Bruno Dhuime, Chris J. Hawkesworth, y Alistair W. G. Pike

Los análisis de isótopos de plomo de 50 artefactos de oro del Calcolítico y Bronce Antiguo de Irlanda sugieren una fuente de abastecimiento para el oro situada en el sur de Irlanda. Sin embargo, al combinarlos con análisis de elementos principales, los artefactos no coinciden con ningún depósito de oro irlandés analizado hasta el momento. La comprensión de las signaturas isotópicas del plomo de los yacimientos minerales de una región determinada permite inferir de forma fundada la probabilidad de que un depósito mineral no analizado fuese explotado en el pasado. De asumir una fuente de oro en Irlanda, su origen más probable serían los depósitos de Old Red Sandstone en el distrito varisco del suroeste de Irlanda. Sin embargo, basándonos en nuestro conocimiento actual de la mineralogía de la región, este escenario es considerado improbable. Nos decantamos,

C. D. Standish et al. NON-LOCAL SOURCE OF IRISH CHALCOLITHIC & EBA GOLD

por tanto, por un origen no irlandés para el oro -un escenario que favorecería la adquisición por motivos cosmológicos, es decir, el abastecimiento deliberado de un material procedente de fuentes lejanas o esotéricas. Los datos geoquímicos disponibles, combinados con la evidencia arqueológica disponible en la actualidad, señalan los depósitos aluviales del suroeste de Inglaterra como la zona de abastecimiento más probable para el oro.