cambridge.org/cty

# **Original Article**

**Cite this article:** Loomba RS, Dorsey V, Villarreal EG, and Flores S (2020) The effect of milrinone on hemodynamic and gas exchange parameters in children. *Cardiology in the Young* **30**: 55–61. doi: 10.1017/S1047951119002865

Received: 7 June 2019 Revised: 20 September 2019 Accepted: 25 October 2019 First published online: 17 December 2019

### **Keywords:**

Paediatrics; milrinone; intensive care units; phosphodiesterase 3 inhibitors; heart disease

### Author for correspondence:

E. G. Villarreal, MD, Cardiac Intensive Care Unit, Section of Critical Care and Cardiology, Texas Children's Hospital, Research Scholar Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA. Tel: +1 312 282 6935; Fax: +1 832 825 2969; E-mails: quique\_villarreal93@hotmail.com; noyola@bcm.edu

### © Cambridge University Press 2019.



# The effect of milrinone on hemodynamic and gas exchange parameters in children

Rohit S. Loomba<sup>1,2</sup>, Vincent Dorsey<sup>1</sup>, Enrique G. Villarreal<sup>3,4</sup> and Saul Flores<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cardiology, Pediatrics, Advocate Children's Heart Institute, Advocate Children's Hospital, Oak Lawn, IL, USA; <sup>2</sup>Medicine, Chicago Medical School/Rosalind Franklin University of Medicine and Science, North Chicago, IL, USA; <sup>3</sup>Critical Care and Cardiology, Pediatrics, Texas Children's Hospital/Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA and <sup>4</sup>Tecnologico de Monterrey, Escuela de Medicina y Ciencias de la Salud, Monterrey, Nuevo Leon, Mexico

# Abstract

Milrinone is a drug frequently used for hemodynamic support in children during critical illness. Although the hemodynamic changes induced by milrinone in children may appear similar to those of adults, the physiologic contributors of these changes remain vastly unknown. A systematic review was conducted to identify studies characterising the hemodynamic effects of milrinone in children during critical illness for hemodynamic support for various medical conditions. Studies were assessed for quality and those of satisfactory quality with pre- and postoperative hemodynamics for each patient were included in the final analyses. Those not limited to children and those not limited to patients with critical illness were excluded from the final analyses. A total of six studies with 791 patients were included in the final analyses. Milrinone infusion doses ranged from 0.3 to 0.75 mcg/kg/minute with the mean infusion dose being 0.5 mcg/kg/minute. Patients whom received milrinone infusion had greater cardiac output, greater left ventricle shortening fraction, lower right ventricular systolic pressure, and lower serum lactate levels. Systolic blood pressure mean arterial blood pressure and arterial oxygen concentration did not significantly change with administration of milrinone. These results were irrespective of milrinone infusion dose, infusion duration, and study size. Milrinone was found to have several beneficial hemodynamic effects in children during critical illness when used at usual clinical doses.

Milrinone was developed more than 30 years ago as WIN 47203, a non-glycosidic, non-adrenergic cardiotonic agent with a chemical structure analogous to that of amrinone.<sup>1</sup> Milrinone is a potent cardiac bipyridine with inotropic and vasodilator properties carried out by selective inhibition of the cardiac adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate (cAMP) phosphodiesterase III iso-zyme, with resultant increases in cardiac cAMP levels.<sup>2</sup> The pharmacologic effects of milrinone include relaxation of the smooth muscle, enhanced myocardial contractility, and improved myocardial relaxation.<sup>3,4</sup> The cardiovascular effects of milrinone were initially characterised in animal models and adult humans by increased cardiac output and decreased left ventricular end-diastolic pressure while significantly decreasing systemic vascular resistance.<sup>2,5,6</sup>

Milrinone has been used for a number of years to mitigate the effects of low cardiac output particularly in patients after congenital heart surgery.<sup>7</sup> The hemodynamic changes induced by milrinone in children appear to be similar to those of adults. However, the physiologic contributors of these changes remain vastly unknown. Furthermore, recent trials in children and adults have demonstrated conflicting results related to patient outcomes, medication effectiveness, and the impact on hemodynamics.<sup>8–10</sup> Therefore, the primary objective of this study was to determine the effect of milrinone on hemodynamic parameters in critically ill children by comparing hemodynamic data before and after the initiation of milrinone. A systematic review and subsequent meta-analyses were conducted.

# **Materials and methods**

# Study identification

A systematic review was conducted to identify studies pertaining to the hemodynamic effects of milrinone in children. A new review protocol was used for this study as there was no existing protocol. Pubmed, EMBASE, and the Cochrane databases were queried to identify such studies. The following keywords were used in individually and in various combinations with one another: "milrinone," "children," "pediatrics," "hemodynamics," "blood pressure," "cardiac output," "pulmonary artery pressure," and "vascular resistance" (Supplementary File S1). Both authors (RL, SF) reviewed the abstracts and titles of resulting studies. Full-text manuscripts of studies found to be pertinent to study questions were then obtained. These were reviewed for quality using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale and assessed for risk of bias using the

Cochrane Risk of Bias tool. Any discrepancies in these evaluations between the two authors were then reviewed by both authors and a consensus achieved. Studies of adequate quality and low risk of bias were then considered for inclusion.

Studies were included in the final pooled analyses if they met the following requirements: (1) utilised milrinone; (2) included children (under 18 years of age); (3) contained data for hemodynamic parameters before and after the initiation of milrinone; (4) published data; and (5) English language. Studies that met these criteria were then deemed appropriate for inclusion. Any discrepancies in appropriateness of inclusion between the two authors were reviewed by both authors and a consensus achieved.

# Endpoints

Reported endpoints from the studies deemed appropriate for inclusion were outlined. The number of studies reporting each endpoint were then reviewed and a decision was made to include endpoints that had data from two or more studies. The following endpoints were identified for pooled analyses: systolic blood pressure (mmHg), mean arterial blood pressure (mmHg), echocardiographic Doppler-derived cardiac output (ml/kg/minute), left ventricular shortening fraction (%), right ventricular systolic pressure (mmHg), heart rate (beats per minute), arterial oxygen concentration (mmHg), arterial carbon dioxide concentration (mmHg), fraction of inspired oxygen (%), and serum lactate (mmol/L).

# Data extraction

Data were extracted using an electronic data extraction tool. Data were extracted by both authors independently. As all variables were continuous, means and standard deviations for the pre- and postmilrinone data were recorded. The individually extracted data were then compared to identify any discrepancies. Discrepancies in the extracted data were then reviewed by the authors together and discrepancies resolved by consensus.

# **Bias analysis**

Bias analysis was performed with specific attention paid to patient selection, intervention selection, endpoint inclusion, and result reporting.

# Statistical analysis

Once data were extracted each endpoint underwent evaluation of heterogeneity. A Q-statistic and its resulting p value were calculated as was an  $I^2$  statistic. If the p value for the Q-statistic was less than 0.05 or if the  $I^2$  value was greater than 50%, heterogeneity was deemed significant. In the absence of significant heterogeneity, a fixed-effects model was used for the pooled analyses while a random-effects model was used if there was significant heterogeneity present. All endpoints were continuous in nature and thus pooled analyses were conducted to determine the mean difference and 95% confidence interval. Publication bias was assessed using an Egger test for endpoints with data from three or more studies. Meta-regression was not conducted due to the low number of pooled studies. Sensitivity analyses were conducted to determine the effect of milrinone dose, duration of milrinone infusion, and study size on the individual endpoints.



Figure 1. Study identification methodology.

### **Results**

# **Study characteristics**

A total of six studies including 791 patients were included in the analyses (Fig 1).<sup>11-16</sup> Studies were published between the years 2013 and 2018. A majority, four, of the six studies included patients in the first month of life. The mean age of included patients was 8.5 months. Milrinone infusion doses ranged from 0.3 to 0.75 mcg/kg/minute with the mean infusion dose being 0.5 mcg/kg/minute. The duration of milrinone infusion until repeat hemodynamics ranged from 20 to 408 hours with a mean of 119 hours (Table 1).

# Systolic blood pressure

A total of five studies with data from 774 patients were included in the pooled analysis of systolic blood pressure. Heterogeneity analysis resulted in a Q-statistic with a p value of less than 0.001 and an  $I^2$ value of 90%, indicating the presence of significant heterogeneity. Thus, a random-effects model was used. Systolic blood pressure was not significantly different with milrinone infusion. The mean difference in systolic blood pressure was 3.46 mmHg with a 95% confidence interval -1.20 to 8.12 (p = 0.15) (Fig 2).

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that milrinone infusion dose and duration did not impact the pooled result. Study size, however, did. With the removal of data from group A of the study from Lee et al, the change in systolic blood pressure was significantly different with a mean difference of 2.18 mmHg (95% confidence interval 0.36-4.00, p = 0.02).

### Table 1. Characteristics of selected studies

	Year	n1	Age (months)	Type of study	Mean milrinone infusion dose (mcg²/kg³/minute)	Duration of milrinone until repeat data gathered (hours)	Reason for admission
Bianchi et al	2015	17	0.1	Prospective	0.75	48	Preoperative and postoperative CHD <sup>4</sup>
James et al (CTY <sup>5</sup> )	2015	17	0.1	Retrospective	0.5	20	PPHN <sup>6</sup>
James et al (JOP <sup>7</sup> )	2014	7	0.1	Retrospective	0.5	70	PPHN <sup>6</sup>
Kumar et al	2018	12	0.1	Retrospective	0.35	140	Preoperative CHD <sup>4</sup>
Lee et al (group a)	2014	715	9	Retrospective	0.5	120	Postoperative CHD <sup>4</sup>
Lee et al (group b)	2014	12	29.6	Retrospective	0.5	408	Non-surgical HF <sup>8</sup>
McNamara et al	2013	11	0.1	Prospective	0.3	24	PPHN <sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sample size, <sup>2</sup>micrograms, <sup>3</sup>kilograms, <sup>4</sup>congenital heart disease, <sup>5</sup>Cardiology in the Young, <sup>6</sup>persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn, <sup>7</sup>Journal of Pediatrics, <sup>8</sup>heart failure

	Mili	inone		Ba	seline			Mean difference	Mean difference				
Study or subgroup	Mean (mmHg)	SD (mmHg)	Total	Mean (mmHg)	SD (mmHg)	Total	Weight (%)	IV, Random, 95% CI (mmHg)	IV, Random, 95% CI (mmHg)				
James et al (CTY)	61	4	17	60	6	17	18.3	1.00 [-2.43, 4.43]					
James et al (JOP)	48	5	7	46	4	7	16.8	2.00 [-2.74, 6.74]					
Kumar et al	54	4	12	52	5	12	18.1	2.00 [-1.62, 5.62]					
Lee et al (group a)	88	15	715	77	18	715	19.8	11.00 [9.28, 12.72]	-				
Lee et al (group b)	87	18	12	91	12	12	8.5	-4.00 [-16.24, 8.24]					
Macnamara et al	59	4	11	55	4	11	18.4	4.00 [0.66, 7.34]					
Total (95% CI)			774			774	100.0	3.46 [-1.20, 8.12]	-				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:	27.73; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 50 Z = 1.46 (p = 0.1	.33, df = 5 (p < 5)	0.000	01); I²= 90%				-	-20 -10 0 10 20				

Figure 2. Forest plot demonstrating impact of milrinone on systolic blood pressure.

	Mile	rinone		Ba	seline			Mean difference	Mean difference
Study or subgroup	Mean (mmHg)	SD (mmHg)	Total	Mean (mmHg)	SD (mmHg)	Total	Weight (%)	IV, Fixed, 95% CI (mmHg)	IV, Fixed, 95% CI (mmHg)
Bianchi et al	47	6	17	44	6	17	20.0	3.00 [-1.03, 7.03]	
James et al (CTY)	50	12	17	49	11	17	5.4	1.00 [-6.74, 8.74]	
James et al (JOP)	38	2	7	38	3	7	45.5	0.00 [-2.67, 2.67]	
Macnamara et al	45	4	11	43	4	11	29.1	2.00 [-1.34, 5.34]	
Total (95% CI)			52			52	100.0	1.23 [-0.57, 3.04]	◆
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	1.76, df = 3 (p = 7 = 1.34 (p = 0.1	0.62); I <sup>2</sup> = 0%						-	-10 -5 0 5 10
restion overall enect.	2 = 1.04 (p = 0.1	0)							Lower with milrinone Higher with milrinone



# Mean arterial blood pressure

A total of four studies with data from 52 patients were included in the pooled analysis of mean arterial blood pressure.<sup>11-13,16</sup> Heterogeneity analysis resulted in a Q-statistic with a p value of 0.62 and an I<sup>2</sup> value of 0%, indicating the absence of significant heterogeneity. Thus, a fixed-effects model was used. Mean arterial blood pressure was not significantly different with milrinone infusion. The mean difference in mean arterial blood pressure was 1.23 mmHg with a 95% confidence interval -0.57 to 3.04 (p = 0.18) (Fig 3).

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that milrinone infusion dose, milrinone infusion duration, and study size did not impact the pooled result.

# Cardiac output

A total of four studies with data from 52 patients were included in the pooled analysis of cardiac output.<sup>11–13,16</sup> Heterogeneity analysis resulted in a Q-statistic with a p value of 0.39 and an 1<sup>2</sup> value of 1%,

indicating the absence of significant heterogeneity. Thus, a fixedeffects model was used. Cardiac output was significantly different with milrinone infusion. The mean difference in cardiac output was 52.58 ml/kg/minute with a 95% confidence interval 36.98– 68.19 (p < 0.001) (Fig 4). Thus, cardiac output was significantly greater with milrinone.

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that milrinone infusion dose, milrinone infusion duration, and study size did not impact the pooled result.

# Left ventricular shortening fraction

A total of five studies with data from 219 patients were included in the pooled analysis of left ventricular shortening fraction.<sup>11–15</sup> Heterogeneity analysis resulted in a Q-statistic with a p value of less than 0.001 and an I<sup>2</sup> value of 75%, indicating the presence of significant heterogeneity. Thus, a random-effects model was used. Left ventricular shortening fraction was significantly different with milrinone infusion. The mean difference in left ventricular

	Milr	inone			Baseline			Mean difference	Mean difference				
Study or subgroup	Mean (cc/kg/minutes)	SD (cc/kg/minutes)	Total	Mean (cc/kg/minutes) SD (cc/kg/minutes) To			Weight (%)	IV, Fixed, 95% CI (cc/kg/minutes)		IV, Fixed,	95% CI (d	c/kg/c)	
Bianchi et al	306.5	77	17	239	73.5	17	9.5	67.50 [16.90, 118.10]					
James et al (CTY)	127	50	17	96	42	17	25.3	31.00 [-0.04, 62.04]				-	
James et al (JOP)	mes et al (JOP) 170 41 7				32	7	16.4 70.00 [31.47, 108.53]						
Macnamara et al	170	30	11	115	23	11	48.8	55.00 [32.66, 77.34]				_	-
Total (95% CI)			52			52	100.0	52.58 [36.98, 68.19]		17		+	
Heterogeneity: Chi* = Test for overall effect:	3.02, df = 3 (p = 0.39); l <sup>e</sup> Z = 6.60 (p < 0.00001)						-100	-50	Ó	50	100		
									Lower	with millrin	one Hi	gner with m	linnone

Figure 4. Forest plot demonstrating impact of milrinone on cardiac output.

	Mili	Milrinone Baseline						Mean difference	Mean difference
Study or subgroup	Mean (%)	SD (%)	Total	Mean (%)	SD (%)	Total	Weight (%)	IV, Random, 95% CI (%)	IV, Random, 95% CI (%)
James et al (CTY)	40	8	17	40	8	17	11.2	0.00 [-5.38, 5.38]	
James et al (JOP)	34	2	7	29	2	7	21.1	5.00 [2.90, 7.10]	
Kumar et al	40	4	12	30	5	12	16.1	10.00 [6.38, 13.62]	
Lee et al (group a)	32	7	164	28	8	164	22.5	4.00 [2.37, 5.63]	-
Lee et al (group b)	13	2	8	10	3	8	19.8	3.00 [0.50, 5.50]	
Macnamara et al	49	8	11	53	7	11	9.3	-4.00 [-10.28, 2.28]	
Total (95% CI)			219			219	100.0	3.78 [1.36, 6.21]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	6.11; Chi <sup>2</sup> :	= 20.24, 0	df = 5 (p	o = 0.001); I	<sup>2</sup> = 75%			3	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.06 (p	= 0.002)							-20 -10 0 10 20
									Lower with milrinone Higher with milrinone



	Mile	rinone		Ba	seline			Mean difference	Mean difference				
Study or subgroup	Mean (mmHg)	SD (mmHg)	Total	Mean (mmHg)	SD (mmHg)	Total	Weight (%)	IV, Random, 95% CI (mmHg)		IV, Rando	m, 95%	CI (mmHg)	
James et al (CTY)	28	7	17	42	4	17	32.6	-14.00 [-17.83, -10.17]		+			
James et al (JOP)	24	3	7	49	3	7	33.5	-25.00 [-28.14, -21.86]		-			
Kumar et al	32	3	12	45	4	12	33.9	-13.00 [-15.83, -10.17]			·   -		
Total (95% CI)			36			36	100.0	-17.35 [-25.15, -9.54]		+			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	44.76; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 34	.78, df = 2 (p	< 0.000	101); I <sup>2</sup> = 94%									
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.36 (p < 0.0	001)							-50	-25	0	25	50
									Lowerv	with milring	ne Hi	igher with	milrinone

Figure 6. Forest plot demonstrating impact of milrinone on right ventricle systolic pressure.

shortening fraction was 3.78% with a 95% confidence interval 1.36-6.21 (p = 0.002) (Fig 5). Thus, left ventricular shortening fraction was significantly greater with milrinone.

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that milrinone infusion dose, milrinone infusion duration, and study size did not impact the pooled result.

### Right ventricular systolic pressure

A total of three studies with data from 36 patients were included in the pooled analysis of right ventricular systolic pressure. Heterogeneity analysis resulted in a Q-statistic with a p value of <0.001 and an I<sup>2</sup> value of 94%, indicating the presence of significant heterogeneity. Thus, a random-effects model was used. Right ventricular systolic pressure was significantly different with milrinone infusion. The mean difference in right ventricular systolic pressure was -17.35 mmHg with a 95% confidence interval -25.15 to -9.54 (p < 0.001) (Fig 6). Thus, right ventricular systolic pressure was significantly lower with milrinone.

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that milrinone infusion dose, milrinone infusion duration, and study size did not impact the pooled result.

### Heart rate

A total of four studies with data from 772 patients were included in the pooled analysis of heart rate. Heterogeneity analysis resulted in a Q-statistic with a p value of less than 0.001 and an I<sup>2</sup> value of 94%, indicating the presence of significant heterogeneity. Thus, a random-effects model was used. Heart rate was significantly different with milrinone infusion. The mean difference in heart rate was -5.14 beats per minute with a 95% confidence interval -16.00 to 5.73 (p = 0.35) (Fig 7).

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that milrinone infusion dose, milrinone infusion duration, and study size did not impact the pooled result.

# Arterial oxygen concentration

A total of five studies with data from 774 patients were included in the pooled analysis of arterial oxygen concentration. Heterogeneity analysis resulted in a Q-statistic with a p value of less than 0.001 and an I<sup>2</sup> value of 90%, indicating the presence of significant heterogeneity. Thus, a random-effects model was used. Arterial oxygen concentration was not significantly different with milrinone infusion. The mean difference in arterial oxygen

	Mil	rinone		Ba	seline			Mean difference	Mean difference					
Study or subgroup	Mean (bpm)	SD (bpm)	Total	Mean (bpm)	SD (bpm)	Total	Weight (%)	IV, Random, 95% CI (bpm)		IV, Random,	95% CI (bpm)			
Bianchi et al	150	10	17	141	14	17	20.9	9.00 [0.82, 17.18]						
James et al (CTY)	132	7	17	131	5	17	23.0	1.00 [-3.09, 5.09]			<b>-</b>			
Lee et al (group a)	133	19	715	148	21	715	23.5	-15.00 [-17.08, -12.92]						
Lee et al (group b)	135	28	12	156	22	12	13.1	-21.00 [-41.15, -0.85]			1			
Macnamara et al	138	7	11	143	16	11	19.5	-5.00 [-15.32, 5.32]			-			
Total (95% CI)			772			772	100.0	-5.14 [-16.00, 5.73]		-	-			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	129.52; Chi2=	72.39, df=	4 (p <	0.00001); I <sup>2</sup> = 1	94%						l 1			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.93 (p = 0)	0.35)							-100	-50	0 5	0	100	
									Lower	with milrinone	Higher with	milrin	one	



	Mil	rinone		Ba	seline			Mean difference		nce			
Study or subgroup	Mean (mmHg)	SD (mmHg)	Total	Mean (mmHg)	SD (mmHg)	Total	Weight (%)	IV, Fixed, 95% CI (mmHg)		IV, Fixe	ed, 95% CI (	mmHg)	
James et al (CTY)	102	35.2	17	78.7	44.2	17	2.5	23.30 [-3.56, 50.16]			-		
Macnamara et al	69	7	11	39	2	11	97.5	30.00 [25.70, 34.30]					
Total (95% CI)			28			28	100.0	29.83 [25.58, 34.08]				•	
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:	0.23, df = 1 (p = Z = 13.76 (p < 0.	0.63); I <sup>2</sup> = 0% .00001)							-100	-50	Ó	50	100
	-								Lo	wer with milri	none Hig	her with milr	inone







concentration was 3.46 mmHg with a 95% confidence interval -1.20 to 8.12 (p = 0.15) (Fig 8).

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that milrinone infusion dose, milrinone infusion duration, and study size did not impact the pooled result.

### Arterial carbon dioxide concentration

A total of three studies with data from 45 patients were included in the pooled-analysis of arterial carbon dioxide concentration.<sup>12,13,16</sup> Heterogeneity analysis resulted in a Q-statistic with a p value of less than 0.001 and an I<sup>2</sup> value of 83%, indicating the presence of significant heterogeneity. Thus, a random-effects model was used. Arterial carbon dioxide concentration was not significantly different with milrinone infusion. The mean difference in arterial carbon dioxide concentration was 2.59 mmHg with a 95% confidence interval -4.25 to 9.42 (p = 0.46) (Fig 9).

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that milrinone infusion dose, milrinone infusion duration, and study size did not impact the pooled result.

# Fraction of inspired oxygen

A total of three studies with data from 35 patients were included in the pooled analysis of fraction of inspired oxygen. Heterogeneity analysis resulted in a Q-statistic with a p value of less than 0.001 and an  $I^2$  value of 97%, indicating the presence of significant heterogeneity. Thus, a random-effects model was used. Fraction of inspired oxygen was not significantly different with milrinone infusion. The mean difference in fraction of inspired oxygen was -16.52 with a 95% confidence interval -47.15 to 14.12 (p = 0.29) (Fig 10).

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that milrinone infusion dose, milrinone infusion duration, and study size did not impact the pooled result.

### Serum lactate

A total of three studies with data from 45 patients were included in the pooled analysis of serum lactate.<sup>12,13,16</sup> Heterogeneity analysis resulted in a Q-statistic with a p value of less than 0.001 and an l<sup>2</sup> value of 97%, indicating the presence of significant heterogeneity. Thus, a random-effects model was used. Serum lactate was significantly different with milrinone infusion. The mean difference in serum lactate was -1.77 mmol/L with a 95% confidence interval -3.01 to -0.52 (p < 0.001) (Fig 11). Thus, serum lactate was significantly lower with milrinone.

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that milrinone infusion dose, milrinone infusion duration, and study size did not impact the pooled result.

	Mili	inone		Ba	seline			Mean difference	Mean difference
Study or subgroup	Mean (%)	SD (%)	Total	Mean (%)	SD (%)	Total	Weight (%)	IV, Random, 95% CI (%)	IV, Random, 95% CI (%)
James et al (CTY)	80	17	17	60	15	17	33.2	20.00 [9.22, 30.78]	-
James et al (JOP)	23	2	7	47	3	7	34.5	-24.00 [-26.67, -21.33]	
Macnamara et al	44	20	11	90	12	11	32.3	-46.00 [-59.78, -32.22]	
Total (95% CI)			35			35	100.0	-16.52 [-47.15, 14.12]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	706.23; Ch	i <sup>2</sup> = 72.0	9, df = 2	2 (p < 0.000	01); I <sup>z</sup> =	97%		-	
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.06 (p	= 0.29)							–100 –50 0 50 100 Lower with milrinone Higher with milrinone

Figure 10. Forest plot demonstrating impact of milrinone on fraction of inspired oxygen.

	Milr	inone		Bas	eline		Mean difference			Mean difference				
Study or subgroup	Mean (mmol/L)	SD (mmol/L)	Total	Mean (mmol/L)	SD (mmol/L)	Total	Weight (%)	IV, Random, 95% CI (mmol/L)		IV, Random,	95% (	CI (mmol/L)		
Bianchi et al	1.21	0.4	17	2.5	1.2	17	32.1	-1.29 [-1.89, -0.69]	1		•			
James et al (CTY)	1.2	0.3	17	4.1	0.7	17	33.7	-2.90 [-3.26, -2.54]	l.					
Macnamara et al	1.2	0.2	11	2.3	0.3	11	34.3	-1.10 [-1.31, -0.89]	l.					
Total (95% CI)			45			45	100.0	-1.77 [-3.01, -0.52]		•				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	1.17; Chi2 = 71.2	6, df = 2 (p < 0	0.0000	1); I <sup>2</sup> = 97%					+		-			
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 2.78 (p = 0.0)	05)							-20	-10	0	10	20	
									1	Lower with milrinone	e Hig	gher with milring	one	



### Discussion

The pooled analysis from six studies contains data for 791 critically ill children with various medical conditions in whom hemodynamic data were captured before and after the initiation of milrinone infusion. Milrinone was found to have several beneficial hemodynamic effects. In terms of cardiac function, the pooled analysis demonstrated that patients whom received milrinone infusion had greater cardiac output, greater left ventricle shortening fraction, and lower right ventricular systolic pressure. It was not surprising to also identified lower heart rate in these patients likely due to a concurrent cardiac output increase during milrinone infusion. Similarly, the pooled analysis demonstrated lower serum lactate levels in patients who received milrinone, presumably as a consequence of better oxygen delivery following cardiac output augmentation.

In terms of blood pressure, although the pooled analysis did not demonstrate a significant difference in systolic or mean arterial blood pressure, sensitivity analyses allowed to uncover a difference in systolic blood pressure of 2.18 mmHg. However, the implications of this difference may not be clinically significant. Furthermore, some of the studies observed echocardiographic changes related to a decrease of pulmonary vascular resistance, but the lack of objective data in the included studies limits our ability to expand further.<sup>11,12</sup>

The pooled analysis also demonstrated no difference with regards to arterial oxygen concentration, arterial carbon dioxide concentration, and the fraction of inspired oxygen. Collectively, these findings may demonstrate that the physiologic benefits observed with milrinone are primarily related to cardiac output augmentation.

Five of the included studies in this meta-analysis were retrospective in nature and took place in a critical care setting, where patients required vasoactive support for various conditions. The study completed by McNamara et al was the only prospective interventional study found in the literature. This study was primarily set up to obtain pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic data of milrinone in children with persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn and the hemodynamic information was obtained as a secondary assessment.<sup>13</sup> Two more of the included studies were conducted in children with persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn.<sup>11–13</sup> Together, these studies identified a modest decrease in right ventricular systolic pressure with no changes in systolic blood pressure, mean arterial blood pressure, or fraction of inspired oxygen. These findings are important, particularly because most of the positive effects seen from milrinone were not impacted by the infusion dose, infusion duration, and study size.

This systematic review and meta-analysis is strengthened by the use of a comprehensive search strategy, by rigorous screening and eligibility criteria and transparent reporting of our findings. However, the studies included in this manuscript were mainly retrospective, relatively small, and not powered to estimate any specific hemodynamic changes. In addition, the studies included had a wide array of diagnoses, as well as significant heterogeneity in hemodynamic outcomes, which limited our capacity for subgroup analyses in selected circumstances. The estimation of cardiac output was derived in most studies from echocardiography which is heavily dependent on loading conditions and contains inherit limitations. Some of the studies included in the meta-analysis had simultaneous cointerventions during the study time; therefore, some of the effects of milrinone may have been potentiated by synergism. Finally, the inclusion of studies with a difference in patient population, diagnosis, and management could limit generalisability of the findings.

# Conclusion

The findings from this systematic review and meta-analysis identified significant hemodynamic differences after initiation of milrinone infusions in critically ill children with various medical conditions. Cardiac output, left ventricle shortening fraction, and lower right ventricular systolic pressure are significantly altered, whereas a difference in systolic blood pressure was only uncovered after excluding smaller studies. There was no difference in the fraction of inspired oxygen and the arterial oxygen and carbon dioxide concentration. Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/S1047951119002865

Acknowledgements. None.

Financial Support. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of Interest. None.

# References

- Maskin CS, Sinoway L, Chadwick B, Sonnenblick EH, Le Jemtel TH. Sustained hemodynamic and clinical effects of a new cardiotonic agent, WIN 47203, in patients with severe congestive heart failure. Circulation 1983; 67: 1065–1070.
- Alousi AA, Canter JM, Montenaro MJ, Fort DJ, Ferrari RA. Cardiotonic activity of milrinone, a new and potent cardiac bipyridine, on the normal and failing heart of experimental animals. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1983; 5: 792–803.
- Silver PJ, Harris AL, Canniff PC, et al. Phosphodiesterase isozyme inhibition, activation of the cAMP system, and positive inotropy mediated by milrinone in isolated guinea pig cardiac muscle. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1989; 13: 530–540.
- LeJemtel TH, Scortichini D, Levitt B, Sonnenblick EH. Effects of phosphodiesterase inhibition on skeletal muscle vasculature. Am J Cardiol 1989; 63: 27a–30a.
- Sinoway LS, Maskin CS, Chadwick B, Forman R, Sonnenblick EH, Le Jemtel TH. Long-term therapy with a new cardiotonic agent, WIN 47203: drug-dependent improvement in cardiac performance and progression of the underlying disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 1983; 2: 327–331.
- Baim DS, McDowell AV, Cherniles J, et al. Evaluation of a new bipyridine inotropic agent – milrinone – in patients with severe congestive heart failure. N Engl J Med 1983; 309: 748–756.
- Hoffman TM, Wernovsky G, Atz AM, et al. Prophylactic intravenous use of milrinone after cardiac operation in pediatrics (PRIMACORP) study.

Prophylactic intravenous use of milrinone after cardiac operation in pediatrics. Am Heart J 2002; 143: 15–21.

- Cavigelli-Brunner A, Hug MI, Dave H, et al. Prevention of low cardiac output syndrome after pediatric cardiac surgery: a double-blind randomized clinical pilot study comparing dobutamine and milrinone. Pediatr Crit Care Med 2018; 19: 619–625.
- Barnwal NK, Umbarkar SR, Sarkar MS, Dias RJ. Randomized comparative study of intravenous infusion of three different fixed doses of milrinone in pediatric patients with pulmonary hypertension undergoing open heart surgery. Ann Card Anaesth 2017; 20: 318–322.
- Ushio M, Egi M, Wakabayashi J, et al. Impact of milrinone administration in adult cardiac surgery patients: updated meta-analysis. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2016; 30: 1454–1460.
- James AT, Bee C, Corcoran JD, McNamara PJ, Franklin O, El-Khuffash AF. Treatment of premature infants with pulmonary hypertension and right ventricular dysfunction with milrinone: a case series. J Perinatol 2015; 35: 268–273.
- James AT, Corcoran JD, McNamara PJ, Franklin O, El-Khuffash AF. The effect of milrinone on right and left ventricular function when used as a rescue therapy for term infants with pulmonary hypertension. Cardiol Young 2016; 26: 90–99.
- McNamara PJ, Shivananda SP, Sahni M, Freeman D, Taddio A. Pharmacology of milrinone in neonates with persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn and suboptimal response to inhaled nitric oxide. Pediatr Crit Care Med 2013; 14: 74–84.
- Kumar VHS, Dadiz R, Koumoundouros J, Guilford S, Lakshminrusimha S. Response to pulmonary vasodilators in infants with congenital diaphragmatic hernia. Pediatr Surg Int 2018; 34: 735–742.
- Lee J, Kim GB, Kwon HW, et al. Safety and efficacy of the off-label use of milrinone in pediatric patients with heart diseases. Korean Circ J 2014; 44: 320–327.
- Bianchi MO, Cheung PY, Phillipos E, Aranha-Netto A, Joynt C. The effect of milrinone on splanchnic and cerebral perfusion in infants with congenital heart disease prior to surgery: an observational study. Shock 2015; 44: 115–120.