Cuticular hydrocarbons discriminate cryptic *Macrolophus* species (Hemiptera: Miridae)

C. Gemeno¹*, N. Laserna¹, M. Riba², J. Valls³, C. Castañé⁴ and O. Alomar⁴

¹Department of Crop and Forest Sciences, University of Lleida, 25198 Lleida, Spain: ²Department of Chemistry, University of Lleida, 25198 Lleida, Spain: ³Biostatistics Unit. Biomedical Research Institute (IRBLLEIDA), 25198 Lleida, Spain: ⁴IRTA Entomology, carretera de Cabrils Km 2, 08348 Cabrils, Spain

Abstract

Macrolophus pygmaeus is commercially employed in the biological control of greenhouse and field vegetable pests. It is morphologically undistinguishable from the cryptic species *M. melanotoma*, and this interferes with the evaluation of the biological control activity of *M. pygmaeus*. We analysed the potential of cuticular hydrocarbon composition as a method to discriminate the two *Macrolophus* species. A third species, *M. costalis*, which is different from the other two species by having a dark spot at the tip of the scutellum, served as a control. Sex, diet and species, all had significant effects in the cuticular hydrocarbon profiles, but the variability associated to sex or diet was smaller than among species. Discriminant quadratic analysis of cuticular hydrocarbons confirmed the results of previous molecular genetic studies and showed, using cross-validation methods, that *M. pygmaeus* can be discriminated from *M. costalis* and *M. melanotoma* with prediction errors of 6.75% and 0%, respectively. Therefore, cuticular hydrocarbons can be used to separate *M. pygmaeus* from *M. melanotoma* reliably.

Keywords: cuticular hydrocarbons, biological control, taxonomy

(Accepted 29 February 2012; First published online 17 April 2012)

Introduction

Several species in the genus *Macrolophus* (Hemiptera: Miridae) are efficient predators of vegetable crops pests (e.g. whiteflies, aphids and thrips) (Riudavets & Castañé, 1998; Hansen *et al.*, 1999; Montserrat *et al.*, 2000; Lucas & Alomar, 2002; Athanassiou *et al.*, 2003; Margaritopoulos *et al.*, 2003; Alomar *et al.*, 2006). Control strategies that incorporate *Macrolophus* species are based on both seasonal inoculative releases of commercially produced individuals and habitat management to conserve natural populations and enhance colonization (Alomar *et al.*, 2002). In order to avoid the

*Author for correspondence Fax: +34 973-702690 E-mail: cesar.gemeno@pvcf.udl.cat incorrect use of predator reservoir plants, it is essential to correctly identify the predator species.

Several *Macrolophus* species are morphologically similar or have highly variable taxonomic characters, which hampers correct identification and questions species-identity status (Josifov, 1992; Kerzhner & Josifov, 1999). This is particularly true for the two species that have been most cited as biological control agents in vegetable crops, *M. pygmaeus* (Rambur, 1839) and *M. melanotoma* (Costa, 1853) (the last one mostly cited as its junior synonym *M. caliginosus* Wagner, 1951), and has probably resulted in incorrect attribution of biological control by *M. pygmaeus* to *M. melanotoma* (see Martínez-Casales *et al.*, 2006 for a historical review). DNA methods have been employed recently to distinguish *M. pygmaeus* from *M. melanotoma* (Perdikis *et al.*, 2003; Martínez-Cascales *et al.*, 2006). Cuticular hydrocarbon analysis could provide an additional method to separate morphologically similar species (Dall'Aglio-Holvorcem *et al.*, 2009; Bagnères & Wicker-Thomas, 2010).

The insect cuticle is coated with a thin lipid layer containing a high percentage of hydrocarbons (linear, branched, saturated and unsaturated), one of which main functions is to prevent desiccation and pathogen entrance into the body (Howard & Blomquist, 2005). Cuticular hydrocarbons are usually present in high amounts and are easy to extract by quickly rinsing the specimen in non-polar organic solvents (Blomquist *et al.*, 1987). In addition, most cuticular hydrocarbons are chemically stable and not very volatile (Martin *et al.*, 2009). The hydrocarbon blend is often species specific, and therefore it is a useful character in insect taxonomy (reviewed by Bagnères & Wicker-Thomas, 2010).

In contrast to other insect groups, there are relatively few studies of cuticular hydrocarbon taxonomy in Hemiptera. A recent publication reviews the hydrocarbons of blood-sucking bugs (Juárez & Fernández, 2007), and isolated studies compare cuticular hydrocarbons of *Orius* species (Anthocoridae) (Nakabou & Ohno, 2001) and aphids (Clements *et al.*, 2000). With regard to mirids, analysis of cuticular hydrocarbons has been reported only for *Lygocoris pabulinus* (L.) (Drijfhout & Groot, 2001).

The purpose of the present study is to determine if cuticular hydrocarbons can be used to distinguish adults of two *Macrolophus* species (*M. melanotoma* and *M. pygmaeus*) that cannot be distinguished easily by external morphological characters alone. Since diet and sex may affect insect cuticular hydrocarbon composition (Liang & Silverman, 2000; Thomas & Simmons, 2008; Guerrieri *et al.*, 2009), we controlled for the effect of diet (i.e. host plant and associated prey) and sex on cuticular hydrocarbons. *M. costalis* Fieber was included in the study as a reference species and to compare our results with a previous study on the taxonomic relationship of the three *Macrolophus* species based on DNA methods (Martínez-Cascales *et al.*, 2006).

Materials and methods

Insects

Chemical analyses were performed on adults of both sexes. Wild individuals were collected in the proximities of Cabrils (Barcelona, Spain) in the spring of 2008. Macrolophus melanotoma was collected from Dittrichia viscosa (L.) Greuter (Compositae), where it is abundant. Macrolophus costalis, which is readily distinguished by the dark spot on the scutellum, was collected on Cistus aldibus L. (Cistaceae), where it is commonly found. M. pygmaeus was obtained from a >5-year-old laboratory colony originated from tomato fields, and maintained on tobacco plants and frozen Ephestia kuehniella Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) egg prey. This colony is refreshed annually with individuals collected in local tomato fields. Examination of the length and shape of the respiratory horns of eggs (Perdikis et al., 2003) was used to confirm the identity of collected females of M. melanotoma and *M. pygmaeus*. Some of those individuals were frozen $(-20^{\circ}C)$ immediately or within seven days of being collected (in which case they were maintained in their respective diet) and are referred to as the 'field' individuals. Additionally, in order to evaluate the effect of diet on the cuticular hydrocarbon profile, 4th-instar M. pygmaeus nymphs taken from the colony, and the progeny of field-collected M. melanotoma and M. costalis, were reared individually on tobacco leaves and E. kuehniella eggs. The resulting adults, referred to as the 'laboratory' individuals, were frozen when 3–6 days old and analyzed at the same time as the 'field' individuals described above.

Cages used for oviposition and nymphal development (7-cm diameter \times 3.5-cm high with a ventilated lid) had a layer of 0.5% agar and a tobacco leaf disc placed on top of it, with the abaxial surface facing upwards, and were kept at 25±2°C and 85±5% RH, under a 16:8 light:dark photo regime. Individuals were moved to new cages as needed and were provided with frozen *E. kuehniella* egg prey twice per week.

Chemical analyses

Individuals were taken out of the freezer one at a time, let to defrost and submerged in 20μ l of Hexane (HPLC grade, Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) containing 50 ng of pentadecane (98% pure, Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) in a conicalbottom hexane-rinsed glass vial. The use of internal standard allows minimization of any differences in injection volume and in the daily response of the equipment. Previous analyses indicated that pentadecane is not present in significant amounts in cuticular extracts of these species. Five minutes after immersion in the solvent the insect was removed and the solution was either analysed immediately or returned to the freezer (-20° C) for later analysis.

For chemical analyses the volume of the extract was reduced immediately before injection to 2–4µl with a gentle nitrogen stream, and 1µl was injected manually in a gas chromatograph (GC) in the splitless mode (split valve opened after 1 min). Samples were analysed in either a GC-FID or a GC-MSD (Agilent Technologies (Madrid, Spain) 6890NGC and 5973 Network quadrupole MSD), each equipped with a DB-5 column $(30 \,\mathrm{m} \times 0.32 \,\mathrm{mm} \,\mathrm{ID} \times 0.25 \,\mathrm{\mu m})$ Agilent Technologies) and run with the same temperature program: start at 60°C for 1 min, then increase to 320°C at 10°C min⁻ and maintain at this temperature for 25 min. Carrier gas was Helium at constant flow (1 mlmin^{-1}) , the injector was set a 250°C and the detector at 280°C.

A total of 119 insects were analysed by GC-FID, and these are the samples used in the statistical comparisons (ten individuals of each species, sex and diet (laboratory versus field), except for nine field M. melanotoma males). Two additional insects of each sex and species were analysed by GC-MSD, and these data were used in the chemical identification of the compounds. Straight-chain alkanes were identified by comparison of retention times and mass spectra of authentic standards (Fluka, alkane standard solutions 04070 (C₈-C₂₀) and 04071 (C₂₁-C₄₀), Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain). Retention indices (RIs) were calculated according to van den Dool & Kratz (1963). Linear and methyl branched alkanes were tentatively identified by comparison of their RI and the characteristic ion fragments with those reported in the literature (Blomquist et al., 1987; Juárez & Blomquist, 1993; Carlson et al., 1998; Juárez et al., 2001; Gomes et al., 2008; Mullen et al., 2008; Dall'Aglio-Holvorcem et al., 2009).

Chain length of the selected compounds ranged between C₂₄ and more than C₄₀. Since our largest alkane standard was C₄₀, we fitted a curve to the straight chain alkane standards C₂₇-C₄₀ to extrapolate the retention times of C₄₁ and C₄₂ (R^2 =0.99, y=125.161-0.066×x+1.105×10⁻⁵x², where y= estimated RT and x=chain length), and then estimated the RI of sample peaks 27 and 28 (table 1).

Table 1. Cher	mical structure (of 28 cuticular hy	drocarbons of t	hree Macrolo	phus species	deduced fror	n the characte	eristic ions (af	ter GC-MSI))
and the reten	tion indices (RI) relative to straig	ght-chain hydro	ocarbons.						

Peak number	RT (min) RI		Tentative chemical structure	Diagnostic ions		
1	22.1	2400	<i>n</i> -tetracosane	338		
2	23.34	2557	11, 15-dimethylpentacosane	168, 211, 239		
3	26.82	3069	hentriacontene	434 (M+)		
4	27.2	3129	11-; 13-; 15-methylhentriacontane	168, 196, 224, 252, 280, 308		
5	27.39	3157	11, 19-dimethylhentriacontane	168, 196, 295, 323		
6	28.02	3248	tritriacontene	462 (M+)		
7	28.1	3267	2-methyldotriacontane	421 (M-43), 449 (M-15)		
8	28.58	3332	11-; 13-; 15-methyltritriacontane	168, 196, 224, 252, 280, 308, 336		
9	28.77	3355	mixture of di and trimethyltritriacontanes	168, 196, 181, 211, 239, 252, 267, 323, 356		
10	29.71	3465	2-methyltetratriacontane	449 (M-43), 477 (M-15)		
11	29.98	3492	2, 24-dimethyltetratriacontane	168, 336, 365, 463 (M-43), 491 (M-15)		
12	30.58	3552	11, 15-dimethylpentatriacontane	168, 211, 239, 280, 308, 379		
13	30.81	3570	11, 15, 19-trimethylpentatriacontane	168, 239, 252, 309, 323, 393		
14	31.43	3652	12, 16-; 12, 18-dimethylhexatriacontane	182, 253, 280, 308, 351, 379		
15	31.68	3669	3-methylhexatriacontane	491 (M-29)		
16	31.97	3692	4, 10-; 4, 12-dimethylhexatriacontane	168, 197, 225, 336, 364, 393		
17	32.17	3711	4, 10, 14-trimethylhexatriacontane	168, 239, 336, 407		
18	32.63	3729	11-; 13-; 15-methylheptatriacontane	168, 196, 224, 280, 308, 336, 364		
19	33.03	3751	11, 15-; 11, 19-dimethylheptatriacontane	168, 239, 280, 295, 407		
20	33.35	3771	11, 15, 19-trimethylhepatriacontane	168, 239, 280, 309, 351		
21	33.61	3789	11, 15, 23-trimethylheptatriacontane	168, 224, 239		
22	34.15	3837	unknown			
23	34.48	3849	12, 16-dimethyloctatriacontane	182, 407, 253, 336, 309		
24	34.84	3868	unknown	182, 225		
25	36.31	3953	11, 21-dimethylnonatriacontane	168, 280, 295, 323, 407, 435		
26	36.7	3973	11, 15, 19-trimethylnonatriacontane	168, 239, 309, 379, 449		
27	37.89	4072	12, 16-dimethyltetracontane	182, 253, 378, 449		
28	40.85	4186	11, 15, 19-trimethylhentetracontane	168, 239, 280, 308		

Statistical analyses

Percent relative abundance ((area of the target peak/area of the internal standard peak)×100) was the parameter used in all the group comparisons. We chose those compounds that showed a high relative abundance combined with a moderate coefficient of variation, relative to other compounds, and that occurred consistently in at least one class (i.e. species, sex or diet). This resulted in the selection of 28 peaks, some of which were abundant in one sex or species but bellow threshold level in others. A zero value was assigned to undetected compounds. A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess normality of the data.

We performed a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) in order to study how the 28 cuticular hydrocarbons altogether vary with species, sex and diet. To measure the variability that could be explained by each of these factors, we computed the Pillai-Bartlett statistic (Hand & Taylor, 1987), which provides a measure of the ratio of effect variance to error variance. We performed principal component analysis (PCA), using the correlation matrix of untransformed data, to obtain uncorrelated scores, visualize aggregation patterns of the different insect groups, and to determine which compounds had the strongest effect in this pattern. To build a prediction model for the species, the main principal components (those adding at least 78% total variability) were used in a quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA), and the prediction error of discriminant functions was evaluated using a ten-fold cross-validation method. The statistical tests were performed with R software (R Development Core Team, 2008). Analysis codes of the prediction model are available as Supplementary Material.

Results

Representative chromatograms indicating the 28 diagnostic peaks (by order of elution) are shown in fig. 1. Linear alkanes, from C_{21} to C_{35} , were identified in the cuticular samples of the three species, but in very small quantities, and only one of them (C_{24} or tetracosane, compound 1, table 1) was abundant enough to be included among the 28 selected compounds. The rest of the hydrocarbons consisted of unbranched monoenes and alkanes with one or more methyl branches (table 1). Ion fragmentation suggests that some peaks may contain more than one compound.

Normality assumption was accepted for all samples (P>0.0017, Bonferroni-corrected). Significant differences in cuticular hydrocarbon profiles for diet, sex and species were observed (MANOVA, P<0.00001; table 2, fig. 2). The differences between sexes or diets were much smaller than those between species. Using the approximated F-statistic, we found that variability among species was almost four times higher than between males and females (26.8/6.9=3.87) and almost three times higher than between diets (26.8/9.1=2.91).

PC1 and PC2 explained 46.28% and 21.73% of the variability, respectively, and clearly segregated *M. melanotoma* from the other two species, whereas sex and diet differences were only apparent in *M. melanotoma* (fig. 3). Different compounds contributed in varying degrees to the PCs (fig. 3). Roughly the first half of the 28 compounds (2–15) were more abundant in *M. melanotoma* than in the other two species, and the second half (16–28) were less abundant in *M. melanotoma* than in the other species (fig. 2). Compound 7 (2-methyldotriacontane) is clearly characteristic of the *M. melanotoma* cluster (fig. 3) and is practically absent in the



Fig. 1. Representative gas chromatograph traces of *Macrolophus* cuticular hydrocarbons. Each trace corresponds to a different single individual. The most representative peaks were numbered 1 to 28, corresponding with the column elution time. Notice the large difference between *M. melanotoma* and the other two species, as well as between *M. melanotoma* males and females. Arrow indicates the characteristic dark spot on the scutellum of *M. costalis*.

other two species (figs 1 and 2). Compounds 3 to 9 (but not 7) are less abundant in *M. melanotoma* females than males and contribute to separate the *M. melanotoma* female cluster, and compounds 11–15 are less abundant in *M. melanotoma* males than females and contribute to separate the *M. melanotoma*

male cluster (fig. 3). Compounds 17 and 20–28 characterize a cluster enclosing M. *pygmacus* and M. *costalis*, with no clear distinction between species, sexes or diet conditions (fig. 3). Individuals reared in the laboratory in isolation with a homogeneous diet of tobacco and moth eggs had a higher

Table 2. Results from MANOVA using Pillai-Bartllet's statistic when analysing variability due to species, sex and diet for 28 cuticular hydrocarbons of *Macrolophus*.

df Pillai statistic Approx. F Numerator df Denominator df P-val	lue
Species 2 1.790 26.806 56 176 <0.00	0001 0001 0001



Fig. 2. Cuticular hydrocarbon abundance (relative to the internal standard) in males and females of three *Macrolophus* species. Field individuals (white bars) were fed on their natural diet; laboratory individuals (black bars) were reared in a standard tobacco and moth-egg diet.

quantity of some hydrocarbons than their 'field' relatives, but the species-specific cuticular hydrocarbon pattern was not altered (fig. 2), thus indicating a weak effect of diet. With regard to the prediction model, a QDA based on PC1, PC2 and PC3 (which together explained 78% of total variability) was used to predict the species of each individual



Fig. 3. Biplot showing individuals projected onto the plane defined by the first two principal components (PC), and cuticular hydrocarbons (vectors 1–28) representing directions and correlations in the same space. PC1 explains 46% of the variability of *Macrolophus* cuticular hydrocarbons and separates *M. melanotoma* males (\bigcirc) from the females (\bigcirc), and from the other two species (\blacktriangle , *M. pygmaeus*; \blacksquare , *M. costalis*). PC2 explains 22% of the variability and separates *M. melanotoma* females from the andles and from the other two species. Laboratory individuals are marked with a asterisk; field individuals are unmarked.

(see Supplementary Material files). QDA was able to correctly classify all M. melatonoma individuals, but five M. costalis individuals were classified as M. pygmaeus and two M. pygmaeus were classified as M. costalis (table 3). Therefore the global error was 5.88% when comparing these three species. To further assess the error prediction level, we first run a training and test method using three-quarters of the sample (90 individuals chosen at random) to build a QDA, and the remaining quarter of the sample (29 individuals chosen at random) to test the prediction accuracy, which resulted in a 3.5% global error. Next, we used a ten-fold cross-validation method which indicated a global prediction error of 6.75% (ranging from 0% to 25%), attributed to the separation between M. pygmaeus and M. costalis, given that the error rate when discriminating M. melatonoma from M. pygmaeus or M. costalis was 0% in all cases. We also performed QDA separately for each sex, reaching an improved global error of 1.7% in both cases (only one insect in each sex was erroneously classified; tables S1 and S2, Supplementary Materials). Thus, the model predicted species identity despite the variability contributed by sex and diet, which supports the previous observation that the differences among species outweigh those between sexes and diets. Scripts of the model are available in R as Supplementary Material and can be modified to include other species and compounds.

Discussion

Our study shows that the cuticular hydrocarbon profiles of *M. pygmaeus* and *M. melanotoma* are markedly different from each other and can be used as a phenotypic character to discriminate adults of these otherwise practically

Table 3. Prediction errors in the classification of three *Macrolophus* species based on a quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA) using the three main principal components of the cuticular hydrocarbons of males and females. The number in each cell represents the number of individuals of the true species (column) assigned to the three predicted species (rows). A total of 119 insects where analysed.

True species	Predicted species by QDA					
	M. melanotoma	M. pygmaeus	M. costalis	Total		
M. melanotoma M. pygmaeus M. costalis	39 0 0	0 38 5	0 2 35	39 40 40		
Total Prediction error (%)	39 0	43 13.16	37 5.4	119 5.88		

indistinguishable species. Inclusion of a third species, *M. costalis*, which has a cuticular hydrocarbon profile that is very similar to that of *M. pygmaeus*, but different from *M. melanotoma*, strengthens the validity of the cuticular hydrocarbon method for species separation. Our results agree with sequence variation of *mt*DNA where *M. melanotoma* and *M. pygmaeus* separate in different clusters, whereas *M. pygmaeus* and *M. costalis* group in the same cluster, and are therefore considered as sister species (Martínez-Cascales *et al.*, 2006). Crossing experiments between *M. pygmaeus* and *M. melanotoma* as in this study produced no progeny (O.A. & C. C., personal observation), which is in accordance with the species status of the two taxa.

The prediction model results in 100% prediction of M. melanotoma, but the same model is less successful at separating M. pygmaeus from M. costalis, as the hydrocarbon profile of these two species are more similar to each other than to M. melanotoma. However, M. costalis has a dark spot on the scutellum that distinguishes it from M. pygmaeus, thus complete separation of all three species is possible. The same level of discrimination between M. pygmaeus and M. melanotoma than the one we have obtained is also achieved with mtDNA sequences (Martínez-Cascales et al., 2006), so both methods are equally suitable to distinguish between the two species. Although there were sex differences in cuticular hydrocarbon profiles, making a separate prediction model for each sex reduces only slightly the prediction error for *M. pygmaeus* and *M. costalis*, and thus it is not necessary to analyse males and females separately. However, the two sexes are easily distinguished by eye, and so they could be analysed separately if finer discrimination is needed. Diet also had an effect on the cuticular hydrocarbons, but this effect was smaller than the differences among species and thus diet does not affect the ability of the model to predict species identity. Therefore, the differences among species outweigh those between sexes and diets, and the model will work despite these additional sources of variation.

To our knowledge, there is only one other report of cuticular hydrocarbons in mirids (Drijfhout & Groot, 2001; Drijfhout *et al.*, 2003). We did not find any of the reported *L. pabulinus* compounds in *Macrolophus* cuticular extracts, neither are they present in several haematophagous triatomide heteroptera (Juárez & Fernández, 2007); however, there are similarities between the cuticular hydrocarbons of triatomide

bugs and *Macrolophus*. For example, the majority of compounds in both groups of insects are mostly between 20 and 40 carbons, alkenes are few, and mono and methyl branched alkanes are frequent, often with positions in carbons 11, 13 and 15. In contrast to the pattern observed in triatomide bugs and *Macrolophus*, in three species of the antocorid bug *Orius*, straight-chain alkanes, alkenes and single mono-metilated alkanes between 25 and 33 carbons in length comprise the most abundant cuticular hydrocarbons (Nakabou & Ohno, 2001).

M. melanotoma males and females had very different cuticular hydrocarbon profiles, but the hydrocarbon profiles of males and females of the other two *Macrolophus* species were very similar. Sexual differences are also relatively small in the heteropterans *Oncopeltus fasciatus* (Lygaeidae) and *Rhodnius prolixus* (Reduviidae) (Jackson, 1983; Juárez *et al.*, 2001). Whether sexual dimorphism of cuticular hydrocarbons plays a role in mediating sexual recognition in *Macrolophus* is unknown; however, there are many examples in other insects where this is the case (reviewed in Thomas & Simmons, 2008). In the mirid *L. pabulinus*, the leg hydrocarbons (Z)-9-pentacosene and (Z)-9-heptacosene are produced in sexspecific amounts and could be involved in mate location (Drijfhout & Groot, 2001; Drijfhout *et al.*, 2003).

The effect of diet on cuticular hydrocarbon composition is well documented in social omnivorous insects such as ants and cockroaches (Liang & Silverman, 2000; Guerrieri *et al.*, 2009). Although diet had a significant effect on the total quantity of cuticular hydrocarbons of *Macrolophus*, the speciesand sex-specific patterns of cuticular hydrocarbons were not altered by the diet, suggesting that the cuticular hydrocarbon composition of *Macrolophus* is largely under genetic control and not strongly influenced by diet.

Acknowledgements

We thank Montse Lloveras for technical assistance with the chemical analysis. This research was funded in part by the Spanish Department of Science and Innovation (MICINN), projects AGL2005-03768 and AGL2006-08726 to O.A. and C.C., and AGL2007-62366 to C.G.

References

- Alomar, O., Goula, M. & Albajes, R. (2002) Colonization of tomato fields by predatory mirid bugs (Hemiptera: Heteroptera) in northern Spain. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment 89, 105–115.
- Alomar, O., Riudavets, J. & Castañe, C. (2006) Macrolophus caliginosus in the biological control of *Bemisia tabaci* on greenhouse melons. *Biological Control* 36, 154–162.
- Athanassiou, C.G., Kavallieratos, N.G., Ragkou, V.S. & Buchelos, C.T. (2003) Seasonal abundance and spatial distribution of the predator *Macrolophus costalis* and its prey *Myzus persicae* on tobacco. *Phytoparasitica* **31**, 8–18.
- Bagnères, A.-G. & Wicker-Thomas, C. (2010) Chemical taxonomy with hydrocarbons. pp. 121–162 in Blomquist, G.J. & Bagnères, A.-G. (Eds) Insect Hydrocarbons: Biology, Biochemistry and Chemical Ecology. Cambridge, UK, Cambridge University Press.
- Blomquist, G.J., Nelson, D.R. & de Renobales, M. (1987) Chemistry, biochemistry, and physiology of insect cuticular lipids. Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology 6, 227–265.

- Carlson, D.A., Ulrich, R.B. & Sutton, B.D. (1998) Elution patterns from capillary GC for methyl-branched alkanes. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 24, 1845–1865.
- Clements, K.M., Wiegmann, B.M., Sorenson, C.E., Smith, C.F., Neese, P.A. & Roe, R.M. (2000) Genetic variation in the Myzus persicae complex (Homoptera: Aphididae): evidence for a single species. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 93, 31–46.
- Dall'Aglio-Holvorcem, C.G., Benson, W.W., Gilbert, L.E., Trager, J.C. & Trigo, J.R. (2009) Chemical tools to distinguish the fire ant species *Solenopsis invicta* and *S. saevissima* (Formicidae: Myrmicinae) in Southeast Brazil. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology* 37, 442–451.
- Drijfhout, F.P. & Groot, A.T. (2001) Close-range attraction in Lygocoris pabulinus (L.). Journal of Chemical Ecology 27, 1133–1149.
- Drijfhout, F.P., Groot, A.T., Beek, T.A.V. & Visser, H. (2003) Mate location in green capsid bug, *Lygocoris pabulinus*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicatta* **106**, 73–77.
- Gomes, C.C.G., Trigo, J.R. & Erias, A.E. (2008) Sex pheromone of the American warble fly, *Dermatobia hominis*: The role of cuticular hydrocarbons. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 34, 636–646.
- Guerrieri, F.J., Nehring, V., Jorgensen, C.G., Nielsen, J., Galizia, C.G. & d'Ettorre, P. (2009) Ants recognize foes, not friends. Proceedings of the Royal Society, Series B: Biological Sciences 276, 2461–2468.
- Hand, D.J. & Taylor, C.C. (1987) Multivariate Analysis of Variance and Repeated Measures. London, UK, Chapman and Hall.
- Hansen, D.L., Brödsgaard, H.F. & Enkegaard, A. (1999) Life table characteristics of *Macrolophus caliginosus* preying upon *Tetranychus urticae*. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicatta 93, 269–275.
- Howard, R.H. & Blomquist, G.J. (2005) Ecological, behavioral, and biochemical aspects of insect hydrocarbons. *Annual Review of Entomology* 50, 371–393.
- Jackson, L.L. (1983) Cuticular hydrocarbons of the milkweed bug, Oncopeltus fasciatus by age and sex. Insect Biochemistry 13, 19–25.
- Josifov, M. (1992) Zur Taxonomie der paläarktischen Macrolophus-Arten (Insecta, Heteroptera: Miridae). Reichenbachia 29, 1–4.
- Juárez, M.P. & Blomquist, G.J. (1993) Cuticular hydrocarbons of Triatoma infestans and T. mazzotti. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part B: Biochemistry & Molecular Biology 106, 667–674.
- Juárez, M.P. & Fernández, G.C. (2007) Cuticular hydrocarbons of triatomites. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology 147, 711–730.
- Juárez, M.P., Blomquist, G.J. & Schofield, C.J. (2001) Hydrocarbons of *Rhodnius prolixus*, a Chagas disease vector. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*, Part B: Biochemistry & Molecular Biology 129, 733–746.
- Kerzhner, I.M. & Josifov, M. (1999) Cimicomorpha II: Miridae. pp. 73–84 in Aukema, B. & Rieger, C. (Eds) Catalogue of the Heteroptera of the Palaearctic Region, vol. 3. Wageningen, The Netherlands, Nederlandse Entomologische Vereniging.
- Liang, D. & Silverman, J. (2000) You are what you eat: Diet modifies cuticular hydrocarbons and nestmate recognition in the Argentine ant, *Linepithema humile*. *Naturwissenschaften* 87, 412–416.
- Lucas, E. & Alomar, O. (2002) Impact of Macrolophus caliginosus presence on damage production by Dicyphus tamaninii

(Heteroptera: Miridae) on tomato fruits. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **95**, 1123–1129.

- Margaritopoulos, J.T., Tsitsipis, J.A. & Perdikis, D.C. (2003) Biological characteristics of the mirids Macrolophus costalis and Macrolophus pygmaeus preying on the tobacco form of Myzus persicae (Hemiptera: Aphididade). Bulletin of Entomological Research 93, 39–45.
- Martin, S.J., Zhong, W.H. & Drijfhout, F.P. (2009) Long-term stability of hornet cuticular hydrocarbons facilitates chemotaxonomy using museum specimens. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 96, 732–737.
- Martínez-Cascales, J.I., Cenis, J.L., Cassis, G. & Sanchez, J.A. (2006) Species identity of *Macrolophus melanotoma* (Costa 1853) and *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Rambur 1839) (Insecta: Heteroptera: Miridae) based on morphological and molecular data and bionomic implications. *Insect Systematics and Evolution* 37, 385–404.
- Montserrat, M., Albajes, R. & Castañé, C. (2000) Functional response of four Heteroptera predators preying on greenhouse whitefly and western flower thrips. *Environmental Entomology* 29, 1075–1082.
- Mullen, S.P., Millar, J.G., Schal, C. & Shaw, K.L. (2008) Identification and characterization of cuticular hydrocarbons from a rapid species radiation of Hawaiian swordtailed crickets (Gryllidae: Trigonidiinae: Laupala). Journal of Chemical Ecology 34, 198–204.

- Nakabou, M. & Ohno, T. (2001) Identification of Orius spp. by cuticular hydrocarbons Japanese Journal of Applied Entomology and Zoology 45, 18–21.
- Perdikis, D.C., Margaritopoulos, J.T., Stamatis, C., Mamuris, Z., Lykouressis, D.P., Tsitsipis, J.A. & Pekas, A. (2003) Discrimination of the closely related biocontrol agents Macrolophus melanotoma (Hemiptera: Miridae) and M. pygmaeus using mitochondrial DNA analysis. Bulletin of Entomological Research 93, 507–514.
- **R Development Core Team** (2008) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, available online at http://www.R-project.org.
- Riudavets, J. & Castañé, C. (1998) Identification and evaluation of native predators of *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) in the Mediterranean. *Environmental Entomology* 27, 86–93.
- Thomas, M.L. & Simmons, L.W. (2008) Sexual dimorphism in cuticular hydrocarbons of the Australian field cricket *Teleogryllus oceanicus* (Orthoptera: Gryllidae). *Journal of Insect Physiology* 54, 1081–1089.
- van den Dool, H. & Kratz, P.D. (1963) A generalization of the retention index system including linear temperature programmed gas-liquid partition chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography* 11, 463–471.