

# Interpersonal Ambivalence in Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder

Steffen Moritz

*University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany*

Helen Niemeyer

*Heinrich-Heine-University, Düsseldorf, Germany*

Birgit Hottenrott

*University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany*

Lisa Schilling

*University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf and Schön Klinik Hamburg-Eilbek, Germany*

Carsten Spitzer

*Schön Klinik Hamburg-Eilbek, Hamburg, Germany*

**Background:** The social attitudes and interpersonal relationships of patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) are subject to a longstanding controversy. Whereas cognitive-behavioural researchers emphasize exaggerated pro-social attitudes in OCD like inflated responsibility and worry for other people (especially significant others), dynamic theories traditionally focus on anti-social attitudes such as latent aggression and hostility. In two recent studies, we gathered support not only for a co-existence of these seemingly opposing attitudes in OCD, but also for a functional connection: inflated responsibility in part appears to serve as a coping strategy (or “defense”) against negative interpersonal feelings. **Aims:** In the present study, we tested a shortened version of the Responsibility and Interpersonal Behaviours and Attitudes Questionnaire (RIBAQ-R). **Method:** The scale was administered to 34 participants with OCD and 34 healthy controls. The questionnaire concurrently measures pro-social and anti-social interpersonal attitudes across three subscales. **Results:** In line with our prior studies, patients displayed higher scores on both exaggerated pro-social attitudes

---

Reprint requests to Steffen Moritz, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Martinistr. 52, D-20246 Hamburg, Germany. E-mail: moritz@uke.uni-hamburg.de

© British Association for Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapies 2012

(e.g. "I suffer from a strict conscience concerning my relatives") as well as latent aggression (e.g. "Sometimes I would like to harm strangers on the street") and suspiciousness/distrust (e.g. "I cannot even trust my own family"). A total of 59% of the patients but only 12% of the healthy controls showed marked interpersonal ambivalence (defined as scores higher than one standard deviation from the mean of the nonclinical controls on both the pro-social and at least one of the two anti-social subscales). **Conclusions:** The study asserts high interpersonal ambivalence in OCD. Further research is required to pinpoint both the dynamic and causal links between opposing interpersonal styles. Normalization and social competence training may prove beneficial to resolve the apparent problems of patients with OCD regarding anger expression and social conflict management.

*Keywords:* Obsessive-compulsive disorder, cognitive-behavioural therapy, cognition, cognitive biases, latent aggression, responsibility.

## Introduction

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is a severe mental disorder characterized by repetitive and intrusive worries (i.e. obsessions), especially relating to taboo themes like sexuality, aggression, and blasphemy. Many patients fear that they may harm other people, particularly significant others (e.g. worry that one might stab or sexually assault one's children). Obsessive thoughts are usually followed by ritualized mental or motor actions aimed at neutralizing the obsessive contents or preventing feared consequences. A recent study (Adam, Meinschmidt, Gloster and Lieb, 2012) found that OCD has a 12-month prevalence of only 0.7%, whereas subclinical forms are quite frequent (8.3%), suggesting that the transition from occasional (functional) OCD-like to dysfunctional OCD behaviour is gradual and quasi-dimensional rather than qualitative.

Currently, the treatment of choice is cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT). Whereas randomized controlled studies assert the efficacy of CBT at a high effect size (Gava et al., 2007; O'Kearney, Anstey and von Sanden, 2006; Rosa-Alcazar, Sanchez-Meca, Gomez-Conesa and Marin-Martinez, 2008), up to 50% of the patients do not experience substantial symptom decline when drop-out rates are taken into account (Abramowitz, 2006; Pinard, 2006). Also, a considerable rate of patients are not seeking (Kohn, Saxena, Levav and Saraceno, 2004) or even refusing (certain forms of) intervention, particularly exposure with response prevention (ERP; Kozak and Foa, 1997). Moreover, quality of life sometimes remains low in OCD patients after treatment (Moritz, 2008).

### *Inflated responsibility: the cognitive-behavioural viewpoint*

Current cognitive models ascribe dysfunctional coping (e.g. rumination, thought suppression), cognitive biases (e.g. over-attention to threat, unrealistic pessimism) and dysfunctional beliefs (e.g. inflated responsibility, thought-action fusion) a core role for the pathogenesis of OCD (Obsessive Compulsive Cognitions Working Group, 1997, 2001, 2003, 2005). A large body of research in the domain of dysfunctional beliefs has been devoted to inflated responsibility (e.g. "I often believe I am responsible for things that other people don't think are my fault", item from the Obsessive-Beliefs Questionnaire, (OBQ)). Some studies show higher inflated responsibility in OCD patients relative to controls (Obsessive Compulsive Cognitions Working Group, 1997, 2001; Salkovskis et al., 2000; Steketee, Frost and Cohen, 1998). However, not all studies could secure the specificity of inflated

responsibility against psychiatric controls (Belloch et al., 2010). Salkovskis and others (Salkovskis, Shafran, Rachman and Freeston, 1999; Salkovskis and Forrester, 2002) put forward five different pathways to inflated responsibility: 1. Heightened responsibility as a child; 2. Rigid and extreme codes of conduct as a child; 3. Overprotective and critical parenting leading to a lack of experience with responsibility as a child; 4. Incidents in which one's actions/inactions caused a serious misfortune; and 5. Incidents in which it appeared that one's actions/inactions/thoughts influenced a serious misfortune. The Pathways to Inflated Responsibility Beliefs Scale, devised by Coles and Schofield (2008), aims at capturing these five aspects and the factor analytic results empirically validate Salkovskis's multidimensional causal concept, but suggest that factors 4 and 5 should be combined.

### *Inflated responsibility: the dynamic viewpoint*

Alternatively, dynamic theories assume that moral attitudes and responsible behaviour are partly rooted in hidden anti-social<sup>1</sup> attitudes. According to Freud (1955), latent aggression lies at the core of the pathogenesis of OCD. This seems to contradict the aforementioned cognitive-behavioural understanding of OCD, which emphasizes the pro-social aspects of inflated responsibility. According to Whiteside and Abramowitz (2005), who share a CBT-oriented understanding of OCD: "... clinical observations have suggested that individuals with this disorder [OCD] rarely present as angry or hostile. In fact, such patients often evidence considerable fear of being responsible for harm to the extent that even having angry thoughts about harming others provokes anxiety" (p. 106). Dynamic theorists may not fully disagree with this observation, as pro-social behaviour is interpreted as a façade concealing opposite motifs: "In fighting unconscious hostilities, the compulsion neurotic tends to be a gentle person in all his relationships and in a general way" (Fenichel, 1945).

Primarily based on casuistic evidence, Freud (1955) claims that OCD is the manifestation of a false compromise of the "ego" in reconciling the opposing demands of taboo (predominantly aggressive) urges ("id") versus the individual's high moral ("super-ego") attitudes. According to Freud, typical fears of patients with OCD, for example to commit horrible acts, and subsequent measures to banish these thoughts by means of compulsions and avoidance behaviour reflect the fundamental "id versus super-ego" conflict. Additionally, hypermorality and over-responsibility are regarded as an expression of "reaction formation" (Fenichel, 1945), a defense mechanism or coping strategy aimed at concealing unacceptable wishes or impulses by adopting opposing impulses and behaviour. Kempke and Luyten (2007) write: "Hence, typical characteristics of the OCD patient, such as conscientiousness and perfectionism, are understood as attempts to control warded off hostile and sexual wishes" (p. 294). Yet, such strategies are only partly successful according to dynamic theories, and hence unwanted aggressive, sexual or blasphemous impulses return as obsessive intrusions (Fenichel, 1945). Even though latent aggression represents mainly a dynamic construct, some CBT researchers have incorporated this aspect into their therapeutic models. Hand (1988, 1991, 1998) ascribes early deficits in social competence a key role for the development of aggression. Reasons for social deficits are multicausal and may reflect parental overprotection

---

<sup>1</sup>The term anti-social is used in a strictly descriptive sense and not to suggest that OCD patients have anti-social personality disorder

or frequent hospital stays that impede the formation of stable relationships to peers. This may first lead to social avoidance and at a later stage to the feeling that others are “bad” and should be mistrusted. In OCD, (latent) aggression serves to over-compensate low self-esteem according to Hand (1991, p. 36).

### *Latent aggression and reaction formation*

In the following, we will review the recent literature on (latent) aggression and reaction formation in OCD. Older studies (Manchanda, Sethi and Gupta, 1979; Millar, 1983) have been summarized by Stein and Hollander (1993). In line with their hypothesis, Offer and colleagues (Offer, Lavie, Gothelf and Apter, 2000) found higher reaction formation in adolescents with OCD relative to clinical and nonclinical controls on the clinician-rated Ego Defense Scale (EDS; Pfeffer, 1986), whereas no difference emerged on the self-report Life Style Index (LSI; Plutchik, Kellerman and Conte, 1979). The latter result is somewhat at odds with another study (Shoval, Zalsman, Sher, Apter and Weizman, 2006), which found higher reaction formation (a typical item capturing reaction formation is “I try to be nice to people I don’t like”) and “undoing” on the LSI in OCD patients relative to normal and several psychiatric control groups. Interestingly, OCD patients displayed higher scores on several indices of aggression and destructiveness, but had lower scores than patients with conduct disorder or anti-sociality.

Apter et al. (2003) found that impulsiveness and violence in OCD were comparable to patient controls (with the diagnoses schizophrenia, affective disorder, eating disorder or conduct disorder), but much higher than in healthy people. The same was true for anti-social behaviour, whereby OCD patients only achieved half the score of the patient controls.

Whiteside and Abramowitz (2004) found that nonclinical individuals with elevated OCD symptoms experienced more anger, with a tendency to suppress anger inwardly as measured with the Spielberger State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory (STAXI). In addition, high scoring subjects had difficulty in controlling anger relative to individuals low on OCD symptoms. When depression was controlled for, differences on the total score were diminished but still yielded a statistical trend. In their second study (Whiteside and Abramowitz, 2005), the authors detected elevated scores in OCD patients on the composite score of the STAXI. Differences to a control sample disappeared, however, when general distress was taken into account.

Results by Bejerot, Ekselius and van Knorring (1998) on adolescents indicate that OCD patients with personality disorders show elevated indirect aggression (e.g. slamming doors when angry) but normal levels of direct aggression (e.g. telling people off when annoyed, see also Radomsky, Ashbaugh and Gelfand, 2007). Likewise, another study (Moscovitch, McCabe, Antony, Rocca and Swinson, 2008) on four groups of psychiatric patients, including participants with OCD, found that those with panic, OCD, and social phobia reported a significantly greater propensity to experience anger. Again, depression moderated the relationship. In another study on an adolescent population (Guerrero et al., 2003), OCD was associated with several comorbid psychopathological features, for example aggression and depression. More recently, higher aggression scores have been associated with hoarding symptoms (Storch et al., 2007; however see Whiteside and Abramowitz, 2005).

In conclusion, results on overt aggression and hostility in OCD are equivocal ranging from lower-than-normal to higher-than-normal, with some but no solid evidence that latent (i.e. not openly expressed) and indirect acts of aggression are enhanced. Inconsistencies may stem

from different methodologies (self-report questionnaires that often pool distinct features of aggression versus expert-rating scales) and samples (adult versus adolescent OCD patients; nonclinical subjects high versus low on OCD; comorbidities such as depression).

### *Interpersonal ambivalence*

In two recent studies we pursued the hypothesis that the interpersonal attitudes of OCD patients are characterized by high interpersonal ambivalence: pro-social (e.g. high moral standards) co-exist with anti-social attitudes (especially calculating behaviour, distrust and latent aggression). To investigate this hypothesis, we constructed the Responsibility and Interpersonal Behaviours and Attitudes Questionnaire (RIBAQ), which consists of three subscales tapping pro-social (one subscale) and anti-social attitudes (two subscales). In line with our hypothesis and in accordance with findings from for example the Obsessive Compulsive Cognitions Working Group (1997, 2001, 2003, 2005) we found that patients with OCD displayed higher scores on items of the RIBAQ reflecting responsibility and worry for other people, particularly relating to significant others. Concurrently, latent aggression and suspiciousness/distrust were also higher than in nonclinical (Moritz, Kempke, Luyten, Randjbar and Jelinek, 2011; Moritz et al., 2009) as well as psychiatric controls diagnosed with anxiety disorders or depression (Moritz et al., 2009). To guard against the objection that latent aggression merely mirrors aggressive obsessions and thus represents a correlate or consequence rather than a prerequisite of OCD, we correlated the RIBAQ latent aggression subscale with aggressive OCD-related items which revealed no significant relationship, thus rendering a tautological relationship unlikely. In the second study (Moritz et al., 2011) distrust correlated modestly with the resistance factor of the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS). Furthermore, of all the RIBAQ subscales distrust correlated most strongly with the Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory-Revised (OCI-R) total score. Adding validity to the hypothesis that inflated responsibility is partly an overcompensation of hidden negative attitudes, positive interrelationships emerged for the three RIBAQ subscales. However, these associations do not prove our theoretical model since other causal relationships remain possible. Lending further validity to the RIBAQ, the responsibility dimension was strongly correlated with the OBQ responsibility/threat subscale, whereas the RIBAQ latent aggression and distrust subscales were significantly correlated with an established measure of aggression from the revised Freiburg Personality Inventory (FPI-R; Fahrenberg, Hampel and Selg, 1989).

### *The present study*

The aim of the present study was to independently replicate interpersonal ambivalence in OCD in a different clinical environment (see Method; the second author was the principal investigator). Second, we intended to provide a more fine-grained analysis of the latent aggression construct by looking at the most discriminating individual RIBAQ items. While item-wise analyses are prone to false-positive inference, they allow for more insight into the driving mechanism than subscales that marginalize specific information. We also assessed the percentage of participants with elevated interpersonal ambivalence, defined as participants who scored at least one standard deviation above the mean of the norm on both the pro-social *and* at least one of the two anti-social subscales. We assumed that patients with OCD display higher

**Table 1.** Background, psychopathological characteristics and RIBAQ-R scores

Variable	OCD ( <i>n</i> = 34)	Healthy ( <i>n</i> = 34)	Statistics ( <i>df</i> = 66)
<b>Background variables</b>			
Age	36.94 (12.24)	37.12 (12.53)	$t = 0.06, p > .9, d = .01$
Gender (female/male)	20/14	20/14	$\chi^2(1) = 0.00, p > .9$
High school level (13th grade or higher)	50%	50%	$\chi^2(1) = 0.00, p > .9$
<b>Psychopathology</b>			
Y-BOCS total	23.03 (5.95)	1.12 (2.31)	$t = 20.03, p < .001, d = 4.85$
BDI total	25.59 (12.45)	5.03 (4.41)	$t = 9.08, p < .001, d = 2.20$
OCI-R total	30.71 (7.92)	7.52 (4.82)	$t = 14.57, p < .001, d = 3.54$
On drug treatment	32%	---	---
<b>Interpersonal attitudes (mean scores)</b>			
Responsibility (RIBAQ-R)	2.63 (.56)	1.81 (.45)	$t = 6.64, p < .001, d = 1.61$
Latent aggression (RIBAQ-R)	1.93 (.57)	1.61 (.30)	$t = 2.82, p = .007, d = .70$
Distrust (RIBAQ-R)	2.35 (.61)	1.79 (.37)	$t = 4.60, p < .001, d = 1.11$

*Notes:* BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; OCI-R = Obsessive Compulsive Inventory-revised; RIBAQ-R = Responsibility and Interpersonal Behaviours and Attitudes Questionnaire Revised; Y-BOCS = Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale self-report version.

interpersonal ambivalence than controls, that is, a co-existence of pro-social (e.g. excessive worry for other people) and anti-social (e.g. suspiciousness, latent aggression) attitudes.

## Method

### Participants

Thirty-four patients with OCD were recruited from the outpatient clinic of the Psychology Department at the University Düsseldorf, Germany, as well as psychologists working in private practices. Diagnoses relied on the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID; Michael, Spitzer, Gibbon and Williams, 2002) and thorough psychological examination, respectively. None of the patients had any of the following conditions: drug/substance dependence, substantial neurological disorder (e.g. stroke, previous brain operations), current or previous episodes of schizophrenia or bipolar psychosis. Nine patients received antidepressant and/or neuroleptic agents.

Thirty-four healthy subjects served as the control group. Participants were recruited via word-of-mouth and an existing subject pool. Subjects underwent screening for neurological and psychiatric disorders using medical charts. All participants gave written informed consent to participate after they had been fully informed about the study. Data were obtained in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration. Socio-demographic, psychopathological characteristics and RIBAQ-R scores are displayed in Table 1.

### Instruments

*Psychopathology.* All psychopathological instruments were administered to both OCD patients and healthy controls. OCD symptom severity was determined using the self-rating

version of the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS; Goodman, Price, Rasmussen, Mazure, Delgado et al., 1989; Goodman, Price, Rasmussen, Mazure, Fleischmann et al., 1989). The Y-BOCS has good to excellent psychometric properties (Taylor, 1995), which also apply for the German translation (Jacobsen, Kloss, Fricke, Hand and Moritz, 2003) and the self-report version (Schaible, Armbrust and Nutzinger, 2001). Subscale composition relied on a previously published algorithm (Moritz et al., 2002). Depression symptom severity was determined with the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI; Beck, 1995).

*Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory-Revised (OCI-R; Foa et al., 2002; German translation by Gönner, Leonhart and Ecker, 2008).* In addition to the Y-BOCS, OCD symptom severity was assessed using the OCI-R. Unlike the Y-BOCS, which measures the overall severity of obsessions and compulsions irrespective of contents, the OCI-R is a self-report scale that quantifies the severity of core symptoms across six subscales: Checking, Washing, Ordering, Hoarding, Obsessing and Neutralizing. The psychometric properties of the OCI-R are good (Abramowitz and Deacon, 2006; Foa et al., 2002; Huppert et al., 2007), which has been confirmed for the German version (Gönner, Leonhart and Ecker, 2007; Gönner et al., 2008). The German translations (Gönner et al., 2007, 2008) recommend a cut-off score of 18 for distinguishing OCD patients from controls.

*Responsibility and Interpersonal Behaviours and Attitudes Questionnaire revised (RIBAQR).* At the core of the present investigation was the Responsibility and Interpersonal Behaviours and Attitudes Questionnaire (RIBAQR; Moritz et al., 2011, 2009). The RIBAQR was developed with the aid of clinical experts on OCD to assess responsibility-associated interpersonal attitudes and behaviours and was aimed at covering interpersonal ambivalence. Originally, the RIBAQR consisted of 10 subscales each with six items, which were further subdivided into three items relating to significant others versus three items relating to non-significant others (a 4-point Likert scale is used: do not agree at all – rather disagree – rather agree – fully agree). The long form of the RIBAQR consisting of 60 items was shortened to 32 items following factor analysis (Moritz et al., 2009), yielding three dimensions: “excessive worry and responsibility” (responsibility; 17 items; for example: “I am a very moral person and cannot even excuse small mistakes”; “I suffer from a strict conscience concerning my relatives”), “latent aggression and calculating behaviour” (latent aggression; 9 items; for example: “I am less moral than I pretend I am”; “Sometimes I would like to harm strangers on the street”) and “suspiciousness/distrust” (distrust; 6 items; for example: “I cannot even trust my own family”; “I rather take the burden of responsibility on myself, because I cannot rely on my friends”). Items were required to fulfill two core criteria: loadings had to be higher than 0.4 on a particular factor and showed at least a 0.2 difference to the loadings on the other two factors to ensure relative independence. The three RIBAQR subscales shared satisfactory to good internal consistency: “excessive worry and responsibility”,  $\alpha = .87$ ; “latent aggression and calculating behaviour”,  $\alpha = .70$ ; “suspiciousness/distrust”,  $\alpha = .75$ . Speaking for the external validity of the RIBAQR, the subscale “excessive worry and responsibility” and the OBQ “inflated responsibility” subscale were highly correlated ( $r = .64, p < .001$ ). The SCL-90-R aggression subscale was modestly but significantly correlated with “latent aggression and calculating behaviour” ( $r = .34, p < .001$ ).

For the present study, we further shortened the RIBAQR blind to results to improve the homogeneity of the construct and deleted items not fitting the essence of the subscale based



**Table 2.** Correlations between the RIBAQ-R scales with background and psychopathological variables (OCD patients only,  $n = 34$ )

Variables	Latent aggression	Distrust	Responsibility
Gender (1 = female; 2 = male)	.056	.089	-.073
Age	-.378(*)	.243	-.361(*)
Education	.578(****)	-.121	.132
In treatment due to OCD before (1 = yes, 2 = no)	.031	.093	-.019
Currently in treatment for OCD (1 = yes, 2 = no)	.054	.056	.259
On medication (1 = yes, 2 = no)	.242	-.099	-.155
OCI-R total	.315(+)	-.021	.252
OCI-R washing	.128	-.070	.099
OCI-R obsessions	.195	.045	.583(****)
OCI-R hoarding	.483(****)	.100	.094
OCI-R ordering	-.018	.028	-.078
OCI-R neutralizing	-.103	.062	-.069
OCI-R checking	.056	-.198	-.023
BDI total	.404(*)	.326(+)	.380(*)
Y-BOCS obsessions (revised)	.368(*)	.056	.214
Y-BOCS compulsions (revised)	.152	.138	-.102
Y-BOCS resistance (revised)	-.152	-.354(*)	-.032

Notes: +  $p \leq .1$ ; \*  $p \leq .05$ ; \*\*  $p \leq .01$ ; \*\*\*  $p \leq .005$ ; \*\*\*\*  $p \leq .0001$ .

on experts' assessment (RIBAQ-R; see Table 3). We deleted two items from the latent aggression subscale tapping "schadenfreude" (i.e. "When a little misfortune has happened to friends/relatives, I feel a bit pleasure (schadenfreude)"; "I am often a little amused/pleased, if a misfortune has happened to people who I do not know"). Another item loading on this scale in the prior factor analysis was also deleted as it shared no obvious connection with latent aggression ("I feel bad when I did not call a friend although I promised to do so" (reversed)). The excessive responsibility subscale was also shortened. Three items were judged as more relevant for perfectionism and over-estimation of threat (i.e. "I fear that people at work do not think that I am 100% reliable"; "Many friends of mine underestimate the risk of a terrorist attack or a disaster"; "My family thinks I see too many dangers and tend to paint the devil on the wall"). Six items were deleted as they appeared rather extreme (i.e. "To save the life of a relative, I would donate an organ"; "When a person is attacked, I immediately intervene") or carried the character of items tapping social desirability (i.e. "The fate of abducted people touches me deeply"; "When there is thunder and lightning outside, I am worried that somebody is hurt"; "The victims of terrorist attacks have my deep compassion"; "I feel bad about not investing more time for welfare activities"). The distrust scale was rather homogeneous and remained the same. The short form (RIBAQ-R) consists of 20 items.

## Results

Table 1 suggests that subjects were comparable on all major background variables. As expected, Y-BOCS, OCI-R and BDI total scores were significantly higher in the patient than



**Table 3.** Group differences on the revised Responsibility and Interpersonal Behaviours and Attitudes Questionnaire (RIBAQ-R)

No.	Item	Significance (direction: OCD > NC if not specified otherwise)
1.	I am less moral than I pretend I am. (LA)	****
2.	I rather take the burden of responsibility on myself, because I cannot rely on my friends. (D)	***
3.	It is extremely important for me that my friends think good about me. (R)	+
4.	When I am helpful to others, I do not expect much gratitude in return. (reverse) (LA)	n.s.
5.	I suffer from a strict conscience concerning my relatives. (R)	****
6.	To some extent, I am nice to friends because I need them later. (LA)	+
7.	You can only count on yourself. (D)	****
8.	Sometimes I would like to harm strangers on the street. (LA)	*
9.	Sometimes I almost feel hate for people that I should love. (LA)	*
10.	I have friends who I can trust blindly. (reverse) (D)	* (NC > OCD)
11.	I fear not to be judged as a “family man”. (R)	****
12.	I often dwell on the question which person I might have done wrong in the past. (R)	****
13.	I have no strong desire to get to know other people. (D)	*
14.	I am there for acquaintances. I expect the same from them in return. (LA)	n.s.
15.	I cannot even trust my own family. (D)	****
16.	I am often worried that something bad could happen to a family member. (R)	***
17.	I accuse myself of not caring more about my family. (R)	*
18.	I am a very moral person and cannot even excuse small mistakes. (R)	****
19.	At first, I am a bit suspicious to strangers, before I get to know them better. (D)	n.s.
20.	I suffer from severe guilt that I have caused my parents so much burden. (R)	****

Notes: OCD = obsessive-compulsive disorder; NC = normal controls +  $p \leq .1$ ; \*  $p \leq .05$ ; \*\*  $p \leq .01$ ; \*\*\*  $p \leq .005$ , \*\*\*\*  $p \leq .001$  LA = Latent aggression; D = Distrust; R = Responsibility.

in the control group. In accordance with our hypotheses, OCD patients showed higher scores on the RIBAQ-R subscales responsibility (very large effect size), distrust (large effect size) and latent aggression (medium to large effect size). The long form (see Moritz et al., 2011) and short form of the responsibility subscale were highly correlated at  $r = .91$  ( $p < .001$ ). For the latent aggression subscale, similar results emerged ( $r = .85$ ,  $p < .001$ ). The distrust subscale remained unchanged.

### *Interpersonal ambivalence*

We calculated the degree of interpersonal ambivalence. For each scale, participants were dichotomized into low versus high scorers. Subjects were regarded as high scorers if their scores on a particular scale exceeded the mean values of the healthy control group by at least one standard deviation. For distrust, 62% of the OCD but only 23% of the healthy subjects showed increased scores,  $\chi^2(1) = 10.16, p = .001$ . For latent aggression, discrepancies of similar magnitude emerged (OCD: 53% vs. healthy: 9%,  $\chi^2(1) = 15.50, p < .001$ ). The difference on responsibility was also significant (OCD: 71% vs. healthy: 15%;  $\chi^2(1) = 21.70, p < .001$ ).

Interpersonal ambivalence was assumed if participants achieved a high score on the responsibility scale and on either or both of the distrust or latent aggression subscales. A total of 59% in the OCD sample but only 12% of the healthy subjects showed this response pattern; the difference was highly significant,  $\chi^2(1) = 16.48, p < .001$ . When we split the OCD group for obsessive thoughts, the degree of interpersonal ambivalence was the same (59%) in both subgroups,  $\chi^2(1) = .00, p > .9$ . When we split the sample according to the Y-BOCS total score, the low (53%) and high (65%) groups were also indistinguishable,  $\chi^2(1) = .48, p > .4$ .

### *Intercorrelations*

As predicted, the RIBAQ-R responsibility subscale was significantly correlated with the two negative interpersonal RIBAQ-R subscales latent aggression ( $r = .45, p < .001$ ) and distrust ( $r = .47, p < .001$ ), whereas the two negative subscales were not correlated ( $r = .19, p > .1$ ). Latent aggression was at trend level correlated with the OCI-R total score (see Table 2). Hoarding was the single OCI-R subscale associated with latent aggression, but not with any of the other facets. The Y-BOCS obsessions score and the BDI total score also correlated with latent aggression. Distrust was only correlated with the BDI, whereas responsibility showed associations with the OCI-R obsessions subscore (but not the analogous Y-BOCS score) and the BDI. Medication with antidepressant drugs (yes/no) and gender (male/female) showed no association for any of the RIBAQ-R dimensions (nominal variables were dummy-code for correlations;  $r < .22, n.s.$ ). Interestingly, education was correlated with latent aggression ( $r = .42, p < .001$ ). However, this did not confound the present results as groups were indistinguishable on this background variable.

### *Individual items*

A closer inspection of the individual RIBAQ-R items (see Table 3) shows that patients with OCD are generally more suspicious (item 7: "You can only count on yourself"), especially relating to significant others (e.g. Item 15: "I cannot even trust my own family"). In contrast, patients are often worried and over-caring for significant others (e.g. item 5: "I suffer from a strict conscience concerning my relatives") but also expressed that this was partly due to selfish motifs.

## **Discussion**

Our results largely replicate and extend findings from our previous studies (Moritz et al., 2009, 2011). Excessive responsibility and concern for the well-being of others was elevated in patients with OCD relative to controls at a very large effect size ( $d = 1.61$ ). As shown

in a previous study, this subscale is associated with the inflated responsibility construct of CBT as measured for example by the OBQ (Moritz et al., 2011). In psychoanalytic terms this facet allegedly reflects the influence of the “super-ego” hosting the moral and value system. Also in line with previous mainly dynamic-oriented research, and in seeming contradiction to the theoretical framework of CBT, distrust (especially towards significant others; large effect size:  $d = 1.11$ ) as well as latent aggression (medium-to-large effect size:  $d = .7$ ) were highly elevated at the same time in patients.

As expected, the pro-social and anti-social constructs were positively correlated. Although this result is in line with the hypothesis of a functional relationship, longitudinal studies are needed to ultimately confirm this causal hypothesis. We determined that 59% of the OCD patients but only 12% of the healthy controls had elevated scores on both the pro-social and on at least one anti-social subscale (defined as one standard deviation above the norm) in line with the contention that OCD patients share a high interpersonal ambivalence.

In accordance with the study by Storch and others (2007; however see Whiteside and Abramowitz, 2005), latent aggression was associated with hoarding. In contrast to our previous studies, none of the three RIBAQ-R subscales was significantly correlated with any OCD total score (for latent aggression a trend was achieved). Obsessions were correlated with latent aggression (Y-BOCS only) and responsibility (OCI-R only). Further research is needed to pinpoint the symptom correlates of interpersonal ambivalence. Interestingly, the BDI showed modest correlations with all three RIBAQ-R subscales, which is compatible with an earlier study by Whiteside and Abramowitz (2004). However, we cannot yet infer whether high depression is a consequence of, for example, latent aggression inducing guilt or vice versa. Further studies should also investigate whether some frequent comorbid personality disorders such as schizotypal (including suspiciousness) and obsessive-compulsive personality disorder (OCPD; Coles, Pinto, Mancebo, Rasmussen and Eisen, 2008; Torres et al., 2006) moderate or even mediate the relationship between OCD and latent aggression. Latent aggression may be aggravated in cases with OCPD (de Reus and Emmelkamp, 2010).

In a next step, we plan to examine the causal factors that may lead to inflated responsibility and latent aggression and their functional relationships. The RIBAQ-R as well as the Pathways to Inflated Responsibility Beliefs Scale (Coles and Schofield, 2008) could be starting points but should be complemented by other scales tapping important moderating variables, for example thought-action fusion, levels of distress (i.e. latent aggression may partly reflect increased tension due to obsessive thoughts or exhausting compulsions) as well as thought suppression (i.e. thought suppression counter-intuitively enhances banned thoughts; this may both aggravate the frequency and the contents of aggressive thoughts as well as attempts to “undo” them by means of over-politeness and other pro-social behaviours). The course of illness also needs to be taken into account, particularly to shed light on the question of whether problems precede the outbreak of the disorder or occur afterwards. To illustrate, we regard interpersonal tensions in part as a vulnerability factor but also as a consequence of OCD (e.g. when relatives do not obey OCD rules imposed by the patient). We have recently put forward a vicious circle model attempting to explain the functional connection of responsibility and latent aggression (Moritz et al., 2011). High moral attitudes and latent aggression as well as distrust may fuel each other in yet not fully understood ways. In our view, high moral attitudes on the one hand partially serve the purpose to “undo” latent aggression (i.e. reaction formation) and may prompt the subject to choose more subtle (morally acceptable) forms

of anger expression. On the other hand, high moral standards may also create tensions and latent aggression, as moral attitudes do not only evaluate, praise or punish our own actions or feelings, but also judge our social environment. Accordingly, if other people violate a strict moral value system, they may be judged negatively. Further, if over-politeness as a reflection of reaction formation is not returned as desired or at least credited by others, anger, distrust and latent aggression may (re-)surface.

Regardless of whether or not latent aggression is a vulnerability factor and/or consequence of OCD behaviour, it deserves greater consideration in research and especially intervention in view of its frequency (Hauschildt, Jelinek, Randjbar, Hottenrott and Moritz, 2010). We found that more than half of the patients disclosed interpersonal conflicts in their partnerships because of OCD; every second patient affirmed that he/she was aggressive towards their partner (Hauschildt et al., 2010). Moreover, latent aggression has been discussed as a risk factor for treatment failure (Hand, 1991).

If the core hypothesis is confirmed, the interpersonal conflicts of OCD patients may be tackled at various ends. Dysfunctional inflated responsibility should be made clear to patients; over-protective and over-caring attitudes may not only lead to one's exhaustion but may also foster resentments. Normalization may also prove beneficial as latent aggression, even towards close persons, is not uncommon in the general population (Moritz, Jelinek, Hauschildt and Naber, 2010). According to an Internet survey with 100 healthy subjects, 63% disclosed that at times they feel great anger towards people they love (Moritz et al., 2010). In our experience, demonstrating patients the frequency of such thoughts in the normal population leads to a major relief and decreases attempts to suppress such thoughts (which counter-intuitively often nurtures aggressive thoughts). In the framework of social competence training (Hand, 1991) the patient could be taught to express their own wishes and urges in an acceptable and socially competent manner and to allow oneself aggressive thoughts from time to time. This may also improve a tense relationship towards the therapist.

Our study faces limitations. First, the sample size was modest and we did not recruit a psychiatric control group. However, past research has shown that the interpersonal ambivalence is higher in OCD than in patients with depression or anxiety (Moritz et al., 2009). For future research it may also be worthwhile to investigate patients with borderline personality, where both latent and overt aggression is presumably higher than in OCD patients, whereas inflated responsibility is expected to be relatively decreased. Second, the relationship between the RIBAQ-R subscales and symptom severity was only modest. Moreover, our cross-sectional approach does not allow us to delineate causal conclusions, for example, whether latent aggression derives from a yet undetected higher-order factor or whether latent aggression is a trait rather than state factor that waxes and wanes with symptom severity. As mentioned before, these proposed relationships are only speculations and await formal verification. Path analysis may prove useful but would however require greater samples sizes and should consider factors not covered in our study (e.g. thought suppression). Case studies may also help to shed light on this issue.

To conclude, our study adds further evidence to the notion that latent aggression and interpersonal distrust, especially for significant others, play a role in OCD that is not only of interest for our theoretical understanding of the disorder but may also offer a new treatment mechanism for psychotherapeutic intervention. Further investigation may also help to overcome the traditional trenches between CBT and psychoanalysis.

### Acknowledgements

The first two authors have equally contributed to the manuscript and thus share first authorship.

### References

- Abramowitz, J. S.** (2006). The psychological treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, *51*, 407–416.
- Abramowitz, J. S. and Deacon, B. J.** (2006). Psychometric properties and construct validity of the Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory-Revised: replication and extension with a clinical sample. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, *20*, 1016–1035.
- Adam, Y., Meinschmidt, G., Gloster, A. T. and Lieb, R.** (2012). Obsessive-compulsive disorder in the community: 12-month prevalence, comorbidity and impairment. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, *47*, 339–349.
- Apter, A., Horesh, N., Gothelf, D., Zalsman, G., Erlich, Z., Soreni, N., et al.** (2003). Depression and suicidal behaviour in adolescent inpatients with obsessive compulsive disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *75*, 181–189.
- Beck, A. T.** (1995). *Beck-Depressions-Inventar (BDI)*. [Beck Depression Inventory]. Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- Bejerot, S., Ekselius, L. and von Knorring, L.** (1998). Comorbidity between obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) and personality disorders. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, *97*, 398–402.
- Belloch, A., Morillo, C., Luciano, J. V., Garcia-Soriano, G., Cabedo, E. and Carrió, C.** (2010). Dysfunctional belief domains related to obsessive-compulsive disorder: a further examination of their dimensionality and specificity. *Spanish Journal of Psychology*, *13*, 376–388.
- Coles, M. E., Pinto, A., Mancebo, M. C., Rasmussen, S. A. and Eisen, J. L.** (2008). OCD with comorbid OCPD: a subtype of OCD? *Psychiatry Research*, *42*, 289–296.
- Coles, M. E. and Schofield, C. A.** (2008). Assessing the development of inflated responsibility beliefs: the pathways to inflated responsibility beliefs scale (PIRBS). *Behaviour Therapy*, *39*, 322–335.
- de Reus, R. J. M. and Emmelkamp, P. M. G.** (2010). Obsessive-compulsive personality disorder: a review of current empirical findings. *Personality and Mental Health*, *6*, 1–21.
- Fahrenberg, J., Hampel, R. and Selg, H.** (1989). *Das Freiburger Persönlichkeitsinventar FPI: revidierte Fassung FPI-R und teilweise geänderte Fassung FPI A1* [The Freiburg Personality Inventory FPI: revised version FPI-R and partly revised version FPI A1]. Göttingen, Germany: Hogrefe.
- Fenichel, O.** (1945). *The Psychoanalytic Theory of Neurosis*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.
- Foa, E. B., Huppert, J. D., Leiberg, S., Langner, R., Kichic, R., Hajcak, G., et al.** (2002). The Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory: development and validation of a short version. *Psychological Assessment*, *14*, 485–496.
- Freud, S.** (1955). Notes upon a case of obsessional neurosis. In J. Strachey (Ed.), *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud*. London: Hogarth Press.
- Gava, I., Barbui, C., Aguglia, E., Carlino, D., Churchill, R., De Vanna, M., et al.** (2007). Psychological treatments versus treatment as usual for obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.
- Gönner, S., Leonhart, R. and Ecker, W.** (2007). Das Zwangsinventar OCI-R - die deutsche Version des Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory-Revised: Ein kurzes Selbstbeurteilungsinstrument zur mehrdimensionalen Messung von Zwangssymptomen [The German version of the obsessive-compulsive inventory-revised: a brief self-report measure for the multidimensional assessment of obsessive-compulsive symptoms]. *Psychotherapie, Psychosomatik, Medizinische Psychologie*, *57*, 395–404.

- Göner, S., Leonhart, R. and Ecker, W.** (2008). The Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory-Revised (OCI-R): validation of the German version in a sample of patients with OCD, anxiety disorders, and depressive disorders. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 22, 734–749.
- Goodman, W. K., Price, L. H., Rasmussen, S. A., Mazure, C., Delgado, P., Heninger, G. R., et al.** (1989). The Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale. II. Validity. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 46, 1012–1016.
- Goodman, W. K., Price, L. H., Rasmussen, S. A., Mazure, C., Fleischmann, R. L., Hill, C. L., et al.** (1989). The Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale. I. development, use, and reliability. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 46, 1006–1011.
- Guerrero, A. P., Hishinuma, E. S., Andrade, N. N., Bell, C. K., Kurahara, D. K., Lee, T. G., et al.** (2003). Demographic and clinical characteristics of adolescents in Hawaii with obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*, 157, 665–670.
- Hand, I.** (1988). Obsessive-compulsive patients and their families. In I. R. H. Falloon (Ed.), *Handbook of Behavioural Family Therapy* (pp. 231–256). New York: Guilford Press.
- Hand, I.** (1991). Aggression und soziale Defizite bei psychischen Erkrankungen. Überlegungen zu einer Modellbildung [Aggression and social deficits in psychological disorders. Considerations for a new model]. In W. Pödlinger (Ed.), *Aggression und Autoaggression* (Vol. 8, pp. 27–45). Hanover: Duphar Med. Script.
- Hand, I.** (1998). Out-patient, multi-modal behaviour therapy for obsessive-compulsive disorder. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 35, 45–52.
- Hauschildt, M., Jelinek, L., Randjbar, S., Hottenrott, B. and Moritz, S.** (2010). Generic and illness-specific quality of life in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 38, 417–436.
- Hodgson, R. and Rachman, S.** (1977). Obsessive-compulsive complaints. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 15, 389–395.
- Huppert, J. D., Walther, M. R., Hajcak, G., Yadin, E., Foa, E. B., Simpson, H. B., et al.** (2007). The OCI-R: validation of the subscales in a clinical sample. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 21, 394–406.
- Jacobsen, D., Kloss, M., Fricke, S., Hand, I. and Moritz, S.** (2003). Reliabilität der deutschen Version der Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale [Reliability of the German version of the Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale]. *Verhaltenstherapie*, 13, 111–113.
- Kempke, S. and Luyten, P.** (2007). Psychodynamic and cognitive-behavioural approaches of obsessive-compulsive disorder: is it time to work through our ambivalence? *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic*, 71, 291–311.
- Kohn, R., Saxena, S., Levav, I. and Saraceno, B.** (2004). The treatment gap in mental health care. *Bulletin of the World Health Organisation*, 82, 858–866.
- Kozak, M. J. and Foa, E. B.** (1997). *Mastery of Obsessive Compulsive Disorder: a cognitive behavioural approach*. San Antonio: Psychological Corporation.
- Manchanda, R., Sethi, B. B. and Gupta, S. C.** (1979). Hostility and guilt in obsessive-compulsive neurosis. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 135, 52–54.
- Michael, B., Spitzer, R. L., Gibbon, M. and Williams, J. B. W.** (2002). *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders, Research Version, Patient Edition (SCID-I/P)* New York: Biometrics Research New York State Psychiatric Institute.
- Millar, D. G.** (1983). Hostile emotion and obsessional neurosis. *Psychological Medicine*, 13, 813–819.
- Moritz, S.** (2008). A review on quality of life and depression in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *CNS Spectrums*, 13 (Suppl. 14), 16–22.
- Moritz, S., Jelinek, L., Hauschildt, M. and Naber, D.** (2010). How to treat the untreated: effectiveness of a self-help metacognitive training program (myMCT) for obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Dialogues in Clinical Neurosciences*, 12, 209–220.



- Moritz, S., Kempke, S., Luyten, P., Randjbar, S. and Jelinek, L.** (2011). Was Freud partly right on obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD)? Investigation of latent aggression in OCD. *Psychiatry Research*, *187*, 180–184.
- Moritz, S., Meier, B., Kloss, M., Jacobsen, D., Wein, C., Fricke, S., et al.** (2002). Dimensional structure of the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS). *Psychiatry Research*, *109*, 193–199.
- Moritz, S., Wahl, K., Ertle, A., Jelinek, L., Hauschildt, M., Klinge, R., et al.** (2009). Neither saints nor wolves in disguise: ambivalent interpersonal attitudes and behaviours in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Behaviour Modification*, *33*, 274–292.
- Moscovitch, D. A., McCabe, R. E., Antony, M. M., Rocca, L. and Swinson, R. P.** (2008). Anger experience and expression across the anxiety disorders. *Depression & Anxiety*, *25*, 107–113.
- O’Kearney, R. T., Anstey, K. J. and von Sanden, C.** (2006). Behavioural and cognitive behavioural therapy for obsessive compulsive disorder in children and adolescents. *Cochrane Database Systematic Reviews*, *18*. CD004856.
- Obsessive Compulsive Cognitions Working Group** (1997). Cognitive assessment of obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *35*, 667–681.
- Obsessive Compulsive Cognitions Working Group** (2001). Development and initial validation of the Obsessive Beliefs Questionnaire and the Interpretation of Intrusions Inventory. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *39*, 987–1006.
- Obsessive Compulsive Cognitions Working Group** (2003). Psychometric validation of the Obsessive Beliefs Questionnaire and the Interpretation of Intrusions Inventory: Part I. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *41*, 863–878.
- Obsessive Compulsive Cognitions Working Group** (2005). Psychometric validation of the Obsessive Beliefs Questionnaire and the Interpretation of Intrusions Inventory – Part II: factor analyses and testing of a brief version. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *43*, 1527–1542.
- Offer, R., Lavie, R., Gothelf, D. and Apter, A.** (2000). Defense mechanisms, negative emotions, and psychopathology in adolescent inpatients. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, *41*, 35–41.
- Pfeffer, C. R.** (1986). *The Suicidal Child*. New York: Guilford.
- Pinard, G.** (2006). The pharmacologic and psychological treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, *51*, 405–406.
- Plutchik, R., Kellerman, H. and Conte, H. R.** (1979). A structural theory of ego defenses and emotions. In C. E. Izard (Ed.), *Emotions in Personality and Psychopathology* (pp. 229–257). New York: Plenum Press.
- Radomsky, A. S., Ashbaugh, A. R. and Gelfand, L. A.** (2007). Relationships between anger, symptoms, and cognitive factors in OCD checkers. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *45*, 2712–2725.
- Rosa-Alcazar, A. I., Sanchez-Meca, J., Gomez-Conesa, A. and Marin-Martinez, F.** (2008). Psychological treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder: a meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *28*, 1310–1325.
- Salkovskis, P., Shafran, R., Rachman, S. and Freeston, M. H.** (1999). Multiple pathways to inflated responsibility beliefs in obsessional problems: possible origins and implications for therapy and research. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *37*, 1055–1072.
- Salkovskis, P. M. and Forrester, E.** (2002). Responsibility. In R. O. Frost and G. Steketee (Eds.), *Cognitive Approaches to Obsessions and Compulsions: theory, assessment and treatment* (pp. 45–51). Amsterdam: Pergamon.
- Salkovskis, P. M., Wroe, A. L., Gledhill, A., Morrison, N., Forrester, E., Richards, C., et al.** (2000). Responsibility attitudes and interpretations are characteristic of obsessive compulsive disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *38*, 347–372.
- Schaible, R., Armbrust, M. and Nutzinger, D. O.** (2001). Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale: Sind Selbst- und Fremdrating äquivalent? [Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale: Are self-rating and interview equivalent measures?]. *Verhaltenstherapie*, *11*, 298–303.



- Shoval, G., Zalsman, G., Sher, L., Apter, A. and Weizman, A.** (2006). Clinical characteristics of inpatient adolescents with severe obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Depression and Anxiety*, *23*, 62–70.
- Stein, D. J. and Hollander, E.** (1993). Impulsive aggression and obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Psychiatric Annals*, *23*, 389–395.
- Steketee, G., Frost, R. O. and Cohen, I.** (1998). Beliefs in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, *12*, 525–537.
- Storch, E. A., Lack, C. W., Merlo, L. J., Geffken, G. R., Jacob, M. L., Murphy, T. K., et al.** (2007). Clinical features of children and adolescents with obsessive-compulsive disorder and hoarding symptoms. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, *48*, 313–318.
- Taylor, S.** (1995). Assessment of obsessions and compulsions: reliability, validity, and sensitivity to treatment effects. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *15*, 261–296.
- Torres, A. R., Moran, P., Bebbington, P., Brugha, T., Bhugra, D., Coid, J. W., et al.** (2006). Obsessive-compulsive disorder and personality disorder: evidence from the British National Survey of Psychiatric Morbidity 2000. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, *41*, 862–867.
- Whiteside, S. P. and Abramowitz, J. S.** (2004). Obsessive-compulsive symptoms and the expression of anger. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, *28*, 259–268.
- Whiteside, S. P. and Abramowitz, J. S.** (2005). The expression of anger and its relationship to symptoms and cognitions in Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder. *Depression and Anxiety*, *21*, 106–111.