

KOMLOS LIMITS AND FATOU'S LEMMA IN SEVERAL DIMENSIONS

FRANK H. PAGE, JR.

ABSTRACT. Using Komlos' Theorem, a sequence decomposition result due to Gaposhkin, and two results due to Artstein, we prove a result concerning the properties of Komlos limits. We then show that a stronger version of Fatou's Lemma in several dimensions can be deduced from Artstein's version of the Lemma. The version of Fatou's Lemma proved here subsumes the most recent version of the Lemma in several dimensions given by Balder.

1. Introduction. Using Komlos' Theorem [7], a sequence decomposition result due to Gaposhkin [4], and two results due to Artstein [1], we prove a result concerning the properties of Komlos limits. We then show that a stronger version of Fatou's Lemma in several dimensions can be deduced from Artstein's version of the Lemma [1]. The version of Fatou's Lemma proved here subsumes the most recent version of the Lemma given by Balder [2], as well as the versions given by Schmeidler [8], Hildenbrand and Mertens [6], and Hildenbrand [5].

2. Preliminaries. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) denote a finite measure space, $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) \equiv L^1$ the space of all equivalence classes of real-valued integrable functions defined on Ω , and $L_m^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) \equiv L_m^1$ the m -fold product of L^1 . Thus, if $f \in L_m^1$, then $f = (f^1, \dots, f^m)$, where $f^i \in L^1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $\|f^i\|_1 = \int_{\Omega} |f^i| d\mu$. For $\{f_n\}_n$ and f in L_m^1 , $\lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f d\mu$ means $\lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n^i d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f^i d\mu$, for each i . Inequalities between vectors should be understood as componentwise inequalities.

We will denote by $Ls(f_n(\omega))$ the set of all limit points of $\{f_n(\omega)\}_n$, and by $\text{co } Ls(f_n(\omega))$ the convex hull of $Ls(f_n(\omega))$.

In proving the stronger version of Fatou's Lemma we will use the following generalization of Komlos' Theorem [7].

KOMLOS' THEOREM IN R^m . If $\{f_n\}_n \subset L_m^1$, with $\sup_n \|f_n^i\|_1 < \infty$ for all i , then there is a subsequence $\{f_{nk}\}_k$ and an $f^\wedge \in L_m^1$ such that,

- (1) $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} (1/k) f_{nj}(\omega) \rightarrow f^\wedge(\omega)$ a.e. $[\mu]$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e., $\{f_{nk}\}_k$ converges a.e. $[\mu]$ Cesàro to f^\wedge),
- (2) any further subsequence extracted from $\{f_{nk}\}_k$ also converges a.e. $[\mu]$ Cesàro to f^\wedge .

Received by the editors June 18, 1990.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 28B05, 28A20. Secondary: 46G10.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1991.

We will refer to any subsequence satisfying conclusions (1) and (2) of Komlos' Theorem as a K sequence and we will refer to the corresponding Cesàro limit $f^\wedge \in L_m^1$ as the K limit.

3. Results. Our first result concerns the properties of K limits.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $\{f_n\}_n \subset L_m^1$ be a K -sequence with K -limit $f^\wedge \in L_m^1$. Then*
 (1) $f^\wedge(\omega) \in \text{co } Ls\{f_n(\omega)\}$ a.e. $[\mu]$, and
 (2) *there exists an $f^* \in L_m^1$ such that $f^*(\omega) \in Ls(f_n(\omega))$ a.e. $[\mu]$ and*

$$\int_{\Omega} f^* d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f^\wedge d\mu.$$

Our second result is a stronger version of Fatou's Lemma in several dimensions.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $\{f_n\}_n \subset L_m^1$ be such that $\sup_n \|f_n^i\|_1 < \infty$ for all i and $\lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu$ exists. Without loss of generality, assume that $\{f_n\}_n$ is a K sequence.*

If for any subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}_k$ of $\{f_n\}_n$, $\{\max(0, -\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} (1/k)f_{n_j})\}_k$ is uniformly integrable, then there exists an $f^* \in L_m^1$ such that

- (1) $f^*(\omega) \in Ls(f_n(\omega))$ a.e. $[\mu]$, and
- (2) $\int_{\Omega} f^* d\mu \leq \lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu$.

If $\{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} (1/k)f_{n_j}\}_k$ is uniformly integrable, then (2) holds with equality.

REMARK. The most recent version of Fatou's Lemma in several dimensions is due to Balder [2].

BALDER'S LEMMA. *Let $\{f_n\}_n \subset L_m^1$ be such that $\{\max(0, -f_n)\}_n$ is uniformly integrable and $\lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu$ exists. Then there exists $f^* \in L_m^1$ such that*

- (1) $f^*(\omega) \in Ls(f_n(\omega))$ a.e. $[\mu]$, and
- (2) $\int_{\Omega} f^* d\mu \leq \lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu$.

If $\{f_n\}_n$ is uniformly integrable, then (2) holds with equality.

Recall that if $\{f_n\}_n$ is uniformly integrable, then $\sup_n \|f_n^i\|_1 < \infty$ for all i , but the converse is not true in general. Moreover, if $\{\max(0, -f_n)\}_n$ is uniformly integrable, then $\{\max(0, -\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} (1/n)f_k)\}_n$ is uniformly integrable. However, the converse is not true in general. A similar statement can be made concerning $\{f_n\}_n$ and $\{\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} (1/n)f_k\}_n$.

4. Proofs. First, we will need the following generalization, to m dimensions, of Gaphoshkin's [4] sequence decomposition lemma (Lemma C.I).

GAPOSHKIN'S LEMMA. *If $\{f_n\}_n \subset L_m^1$, with $\sup_n \|f_n^i\|_1 < \infty$ for all i , then there is a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}_k$ such that, for each k , $f_{n_k} = g_k + h_k$, where $\{g_k\}_k$ converges weakly [i.e., in $\sigma(L_m^1, L_m^\infty)$] to some $g^0 \in L_m^1$, and $\lim_k h_k(\omega) = 0$ a.e. $[\mu]$.*

We will also need the following results due to Artstein [1]:

ARTSTEIN'S RESULTS. (i) (Theorem A, Fatou's Lemma). Let $\{f_n\}_n \subset L_m^1$ be such that $\{f_n\}_n$ is uniformly integrable and $\lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu$ exists. Then there exists $f^* \in L_m^1$ such that

- (1) $f^*(\omega) \in Ls(f_n(\omega))$ a.e. $[\mu]$, and
- (2) $\int_{\Omega} f^* d\mu = \lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu$.

(ii) (Proposition C). Let $\{g_n\}_n \subset L_m^1$ be such that $\{g_n\}_n$ is uniformly integrable. If $\{g_n\}_n$ converges weakly to some $g^0 \in L_m^1$, then $g^0(\omega) \in \text{co}Ls\{g_n(\omega)\}$ a.e. $[\mu]$.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. By Gaposhkin's Lemma, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\{f_n\}_n$ is such that for each n , $f_n = g_n + h_n$, where $\{g_n\}_n$ converges weakly to some $g^0 \in L_m^1$ and $\lim_n h_n(\omega)$ a.e. $[\mu]$. Since $\{f_n\}_n$ is a K sequence with K limit f^\wedge , $\{g_n\}_n$ is also a K sequence with K limit f^\wedge . Also, $Ls(f_n(\omega)) = Ls(g_n(\omega))$ a.e. $[\mu]$. Applying Artstein's Theorem A to $\{g_n\}_n$, it follows that there exists an $f^* \in L_m^1$ such that $f^*(\omega) \in Ls(g_n(\omega))$ a.e. $[\mu]$, and such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f^* d\mu = \lim_n \int_{\Omega} g_n d\mu = \int_{\Omega} g^0 d\mu.$$

Since $\{g_n\}$ converges weakly to g^0 , $\{\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} (1/n)g_k\}_n$ must also converge weakly to g^0 . Thus, since $\{\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} (1/n)g_k\}_n$ converges to f^\wedge a.e. $[\mu]$, $g^0 = f^\wedge$ a.e. $[\mu]$. So f^* satisfies (2).

By Artstein's Proposition C, $g^0(\omega) \in \text{co}Ls\{g_n(\omega)\}$ a.e. $[\mu]$. Thus, since $\text{co}Ls\{g_n(\omega)\} = \text{co}Ls\{f_n(\omega)\}$ a.e. $[\mu]$ and $f^\wedge = g^0$ a.e. $[\mu]$, $f^\wedge(\omega) \in \text{co}Ls\{f_n(\omega)\}$ a.e. $[\mu]$, proving (1). ■

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2. Let $f^\wedge \in L_m^1$ be the K limit corresponding to the K sequence $\{f_n\}_n$. By part (2) of Proposition 1, there exists an $f^* \in L_m^1$ such that $f^*(\omega) \in Ls(f_n(\omega))$ a.e. $[\mu]$ and $\int_{\Omega} f^* d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f^\wedge d\mu$. Now let $\{f_{nk}\}_k$ be any subsequence of $\{f_n\}_n$ such that $\{\max(0, -\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} (1/k)f_{nj})\}_k$ is uniformly integrable. Since $\max(0, -(1/k)\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} f_{nj}(\omega)) \rightarrow \max(0, -f^\wedge(\omega))$ a.e. $[\mu]$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \max(0, -f^\wedge) d\mu = \lim_k \int_{\Omega} \max(0, -(1/k) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} f_{nj}) d\mu.$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu = \lim_k \int_{\Omega} f_{nk} d\mu = \lim_k (1/k) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \int_{\Omega} f_{nj} d\mu.$$

We have then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu &= \lim_k \int_{\Omega} f_{nk} d\mu = \lim_k (1/k) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \int_{\Omega} f_{nj} d\mu \\ &= \lim_k \inf \int_{\Omega} \left[\max(0, (1/k) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} f_{nj}) - \max(0, -(1/k) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} f_{nj}) \right] d\mu \\ &\geq \lim_k \inf \int_{\Omega} \left[\max(0, (1/k) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} f_{nj}) \right] d\mu \\ &\quad - \lim_k \sup \int_{\Omega} \max(0, -(1/k) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} f_{nj}) d\mu \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} \max(0, f^\wedge) d\mu - \int_{\Omega} \max(0, -f^\wedge) d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f^\wedge d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f^* d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $(1/k) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} f_{nj}(\omega) \rightarrow f^\wedge(\omega)$ a.e. $[\mu]$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that if $\{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} (1/k) f_{nj}\}_k$ is uniformly integrable, then

$$\lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu = \lim_k \int_{\Omega} f_{nk} d\mu = \lim_k (1/k) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \int_{\Omega} f_{nj} d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f^\wedge d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f^* d\mu.$$

■

REMARKS. In Balder [3], using Chacon's Biting Lemma (a result equivalent to Gaposhkin's sequence splitting result), Balder showed that his version of Fatou's Lemma (i.e., Balder [2]) could be deduced from Artstein's version of the Lemma (i.e., Artstein [1]). Here, using Gaposhkin's sequence splitting result [4] and Komlos' Theorem [7], we have shown, in a very direct way, that an even stronger version of Fatou's Lemma can be deduced from Artstein's Lemma.

5. **Acknowledgements.** I thank Erik Balder for helpful comments. I also thank M. Talagrand and H. P. Rosenthal for many helpful discussions. I am solely responsible for any errors.

REFERENCES

1. Z. Artstein, *A Note on Fatou's Lemma in Several Dimensions*, J. Math. Econom. **6**(1979), 277–282.
2. E. J. Balder, *A Unifying Note on Fatou's Lemma in Several Dimensions*, Math. Oper. Res. **9**(1984), 267–275.
3. ———, *More on Fatou's Lemma in Several Dimensions*, Canad. Math. Bull. **30**(1987), 334–339.
4. V. F. Gaposhkin, *Convergence and Limit Theorems for Sequences of Random Variables*, Theor. Probability Appl. **17**(1972), 379–400.
5. W. Hildenbrand, *Core and Equilibria of a Large Economy*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1974.
6. W. Hildenbrand and J. F. Mertens, *On Fatou's Lemma in Several Dimensions*, Z. Wahrsch. Th. Verw. Geb. **17**(1971), 151–155.
7. J. Komlos, *A Generalization of a Problem of Steinhaus*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **18**(1967), 217–229.
8. D. Schmeidler, *Fatou's Lemma in Several Dimensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24**(1970), 300–306.

Department of Finance
University of Alabama
Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35487
U.S.A.