

ON THE CLARKE SUBDIFFERENTIAL OF AN INTEGRAL FUNCTIONAL ON L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$

E. GINER

ABSTRACT. Given an integral functional defined on L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, under a growth condition we give an upper bound of the Clarke directional derivative and we obtain a nice inclusion between the Clarke subdifferential of the integral functional and the set of selections of the subdifferential of the integrand.

0. Introduction. It was firstly for locally Lipschitz numerical functions that F. H. Clarke introduced the generalized subdifferential (see [2]). Then the geometrical notion of the tangent cone was developed following Clarke [4, 9] and this enabled R. T. Rockafellar [7, 8] to study a subdifferential of any numerical function. For integral functionals, *i.e.*, for functionals of the type:

$$x \mapsto I_f(x) = \int_{\Omega}^* f(\omega, x(\omega)) d\mu(\omega),$$

where f is the integrand defined on the cartesian product of a measured space Ω by a finite dimensional space E with values in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, the study of the subdifferential was carried out by R. T. Rockafellar in the convex case [6], and the Lipschitz case is treated, among others, in F. H. Clarke's book [2]. There remains the non-smooth case in general. We fill this gap in the present paper when I_f is defined on a space L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$. We suppose that the integrand f satisfies a growth condition which guarantees that the associated integral functional does not take the value $-\infty$. Under this sole condition we show that the generalized Clarke-Rockafellar derivative of the functional integral is bounded above by the integral functional associated with the generalized derivative of the integrand, *i.e.*, with R. T. Rockafellar's notation (see [7]), at a given point x of the domain of I_f :

$$\forall y \in L_p, \quad (I_f)^\uparrow(x; y) \leq I_{f^\uparrow(x, \cdot)}(y).$$

Finally, with the help of this upper bound, we deduce that the elements of the subdifferential of I_f at x are measurable selections of the subdifferential of the integrand f at x :

$$\partial^\uparrow I_f(x) \subset \left\{ x^* \in L_q, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 : x^*(\omega) \in \partial^\uparrow f(\omega, x(\omega)), \mu\text{-a.e.} \right\}.$$

Received by the editors June 20, 1996.

AMS subject classification: 28A25, 49J52, 46E30.

Key words and phrases: Integral functional, integrand, epi-derivative.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 1998.

1. **Preliminaries.** Let $(X, |\cdot|)$ be a normed vector space. Given a filtered family $(M_r)_r$ of subsets of X , we define its lower limit as follows:

$$\liminf M_r = \left\{ x \in X : \liminf_r d(x, M_r) = 0 \right\},$$

with $d(x, M_r) = \inf\{|x - m|, m \in M_r\}$.

For a subset M of X and an element x belonging to its closure, the Clarke tangent cone [2, 4, 5, 7] is defined by:

$$(1.1) \quad T_x^\uparrow M = \liminf_{\substack{r \rightarrow 0_+ \\ x' \xrightarrow{M} x}} r^{-1}(M - x'),$$

where $x' \xrightarrow{M} x$ means $x' \in M$, $x' \rightarrow x$.

The Clarke tangent cone is a closed convex cone with apex 0.

To this geometric tangent notion corresponds a generalized derivative for numerical functions. R. T. Rockafellar considers this case in [7]. More explicitly, if f is a numerical function defined on X with values in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, one considers its epigraph $\text{epi} f = \{(x, r) \in X \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) \leq r\}$ and the relation

$$(1.2) \quad \text{epi} f^\uparrow(x; \cdot) = T_{(x, f(x))}^\uparrow \text{epi} f$$

enables to define the Clarke-Rockafellar generalized derivative $f^\uparrow(x; \cdot)$ of f at the point x . The generalized derivative defined in such a way is convex lower semi-continuous and its subdifferential at 0 in the sense of convex analysis is just the Clarke subdifferential of f at x :

$$(1.3) \quad \partial^\uparrow f(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x^*, \cdot \rangle \leq f^\uparrow(x; \cdot)\}.$$

In the present work we study the subdifferential of integral functionals defined on spaces L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Throughout, we consider a measured space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ where μ is a σ -finite measure, \mathcal{S} a μ -complete tribe.

If E is a finite dimensional space, $\beta(E)$ denotes the Borel tribe in E and $L_p(\Omega, E)$ the Lebesgue space of classes of p -integrable functions (for almost μ -everywhere equality) with $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $f: \Omega \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a $\mathcal{S} \otimes \beta(E)$ -measurable integrand, "normal" in R. T. Rockafellar's sense [6], *i.e.*, such that for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $f(\omega, \cdot)$ is lower semicontinuous.

We denote by I_f the integral functional defined on $L_p(\Omega, E)$ by:

$$I_f(x) = \text{Inf} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu, u \in L_1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}), u(\cdot) \geq f(\cdot, x(\cdot)) \mu\text{-a.e.} \right\}.$$

We assume that the integrand satisfies the following growth condition:

(C) There exists $(a, x_0) \in L_1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \times L_p(\Omega, E)$, $b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $(\omega, e) \in \Omega \times E$:

$$f(\omega, e) \geq -b|e - x_0(\omega)|^p + a(\omega).$$

When this condition is fulfilled the integral functional I_f does not take the value $-\infty$ on $L_p(\Omega, E)$.

Condition (C) holds when I_f is finite at some point x_0 of $L_p(\Omega, E)$ and when the integrand f is Lipschitz, that is:

(L) There exists $k \in L_q(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, such that for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $e_1, e_2 \in E$

$$|f(\omega, e_1) - f(\omega, e_2)| \leq k(\omega)|e_1 - e_2|.$$

Indeed, Hölder's inequality implies:

$$f(\omega, e) \geq -k(\omega)|e - x_0(\omega)| + f(\omega, x_0(\omega)) \geq -\frac{1}{p}|e - x_0(\omega)|^p - \frac{1}{q}k(\omega)^q + f(\omega, x_0(\omega)).$$

Moreover, in the case where the integrand f is convex and satisfies $f = f^{**}$, with $f^*(\omega, e^*) = \sup_e (\langle e^*, e \rangle - f(\omega, e))$, the above condition is satisfied when there exists an element $x^* \in L_q(\Omega, E)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, such that $I_{f^*}(x^*)$ is finite.

Let us prove the latter assertion: x^* being such an element, for all $(\omega, e) \in \Omega \times E$ we have:

$$f(\omega, e) \geq \langle x^*(\omega), e \rangle - f^*(\omega, x^*(\omega));$$

thus Hölder's inequality yields:

$$f(\omega, e) \geq -\frac{1}{p}|e|^p - \frac{1}{q}|x^*(\omega)|^q - f^*(\omega, x^*(\omega)).$$

In the sequel, if $x: \Omega \rightarrow E$ is measurable, $f(x)$ will stand for, by abuse of notation, the function $\omega \rightarrow f(\omega, x(\omega))$.

2. Measurability questions. In this paragraph, we study the mathematical objects introduced previously, *i.e.*, the generalized derivative and the notion of subdifferential. For that end, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let M be a subset of E and let e be in the closure of M . Then*

$$T_e^\dagger M = \liminf_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ e' \xrightarrow{M} e}} n(M - e').$$

PROOF. Let $S = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(M - e')$; by virtue of 1.1, it is clear that $T_e^\uparrow M$ is contained in S . Conversely, if $s \in S$, let us show that for every sequence $(r_i)_i$ of real numbers converging to 0 and for every sequence $(e'_i) \xrightarrow{M} e$, we have $s \in \liminf r_i^{-1}(M - e'_i)$. For that matter, observe first of all that if for any real number r , $0 < r \leq 1$, n is the integer part of r^{-1} , we necessarily have:

$$d(s, r^{-1}(M - e')) = r^{-1}d(rs, M - e') \leq r^{-1}|rs - n^{-1}s| + r^{-1}d(n^{-1}s, M - e');$$

hence:

$$d(s, r^{-1}(M - e')) \leq |s| |1 - (rn)^{-1}| + (rn)^{-1}d(s, n(M - e')),$$

and if n_i stands for the integer part of r_i^{-1} , we have

$$d(s, r_i^{-1}(M - e'_i)) \leq |s| |1 - (r_i n_i)^{-1}| + (r_i n_i)^{-1}d(s, n_i(M - e'_i)).$$

Now, since $\lim_i r_i n_i = 1$, $\lim_i d(s, n_i(M - e'_i)) = 0$, we obtain $\lim_i d(s, r_i^{-1}(M - e'_i)) = 0$. The proof is therefore complete. ■

Let M be a measurable multifunction, in the sense of [1], defined on Ω and with closed non-empty values in E .

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If x is a measurable selection of M , then the multifunction $T_{x(\cdot)}^\uparrow M(\cdot)$ defined by*

$$\omega \rightarrow T_{x(\omega)}^\uparrow M(\omega) \text{ is measurable.}$$

PROOF. If B is the unit ball of E , we introduce the integrands

$$f_{n,m}(\omega, e) = \sup \left\{ d\left(e, n(M(\omega) - e')\right), e' \in M(\omega) \cap \left\{ x(\omega) + \frac{1}{m}B \right\} \right\}.$$

By applying ([1] III 39), $f_{n,m}(\cdot, e)$ is measurable and moreover, for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and e, e' in E , we have

$$f_{n,m}(\omega, e) \leq |e - e'| + f_{n,m}(\omega, e')$$

which shows that $f_{n,m}(\omega, \cdot)$ is Lipschitz. So by ([1] III 14), $f_{n,m}$ is $\mathcal{S} \otimes \beta(E)$ -measurable. Furthermore, Lemma 2.1 gives

$$\text{graph } (T_x^\uparrow M) = (\limsup_{n,m} f_{n,m})^{-1}(0)$$

and ([6] 1 E) implies the announced result. ■

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let f be a normal integrand and let x be a measurable selection of its domain. Then $f^\uparrow(x(\cdot); \cdot)$ is also a normal integrand.*

PROOF. By 1.2 we have:

$$\text{epi } f^\uparrow(x; \cdot) = T_{(x, f(x))}^\uparrow \text{epi } f$$

and since f is normal, $\text{epi } f$ is measurable (by [6] 2 A) and following Proposition 2.2, $T_{(x, f(x))}^\uparrow \text{epi } f$ is measurable. Using again ([6] 2 A) allows us to complete the proof. ■

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let f be a normal integrand and let $x: \Omega \rightarrow E$ be a measurable selection of its domain. Then the multifunction $\partial^\uparrow f(x)$ defined by $\omega \rightarrow \partial^\uparrow f(\omega, x(\omega))$ is measurable.*

PROOF. Set $\Omega_1 = \{\omega \in \Omega : f_\omega^\uparrow(x(\omega); 0) = -\infty\}$. Then by Corollary 2.3, Ω_1 is measurable and for all $\omega \in \Omega_1$ we have $\partial^\uparrow f(\omega, x(\omega)) = \emptyset$. For all $\omega \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_1, f_\omega^\uparrow(x(\omega); \cdot)$ is convex lower semicontinuous, proper and using (1.3) and ([6] 2 X) we conclude that $\partial^\uparrow f(x)$ is measurable on $\Omega \setminus \Omega_1$. ■

3. Epi-derivative and subdifferential of an integral functional defined on L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$. The main result of the present work is:

THEOREM. *Let $f: \Omega \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a normal integrand satisfying the growth condition (C). If $x \in L_p(\Omega, E)$ is such that I_f is finite at x , then for all y in $L_p(\Omega, E)$:*

$$(I_f)^\uparrow(x; y) \leq 1_{f^\uparrow(x, \cdot)}(y).$$

Furthermore, we always have the inclusion:

$$\partial^\uparrow (I_f)(x) \subset \left\{ x^* \in L_q(\Omega, E), \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 : x^*(\omega) \in \partial^\uparrow f_\omega(x(\omega)) \mu\text{-a.e.} \right\}.$$

We shall prove this result after having verified some intermediate results.

If M is a measurable multifunction defined on Ω with non-empty closed values in $E \times \mathbb{R}$, $L_p \times L_1(M)$ will stand for the set of measurable selections which are in $L_p(\Omega, E) \times L_1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. With this notation, we have the following result:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *For all $x \in L_p \times L_1(M)$ the following inclusion always holds:*

$$L_p \times L_1(T_x^\uparrow M) \subset T_x^\uparrow(L_p \times L_1(M)).$$

PROOF. For $z = (e, r)$, and $z' = (e', r')$ in $E \times \mathbb{R}$, set $\rho(z, z') = |e - e'|^p + |r - r'|$. Then in $E \times \mathbb{R}$, $\lim_n z_n = z \Leftrightarrow \lim_n \rho(z, z_n) = 0$, so that if for a subset A of $E \times \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$\rho(z, A) = \inf\{\rho(z, a), a \in A\},$$

then

$$z \in T_a^\uparrow A \iff \lim_{\substack{r \rightarrow 0_+ \\ a' \xrightarrow{A} a}} \rho(z, r^{-1}(A - a')) = 0.$$

Likewise, in $L_p \times L_1$ we have: $(x_n) \rightarrow x \Leftrightarrow \lim_n \int_\Omega \rho(x, x_n) d\mu = 0$, and if we denote for a subset N of $L_p \times L_1$:

$$d_\rho(x, N) = \inf_{u \in N} \int_\Omega \rho(x, u) d\mu$$

we have:

$$y \in T_x N \iff \lim_{\substack{r \rightarrow 0_+ \\ x' \xrightarrow{N} x}} d_\rho(y, r^{-1}(N - x')) = 0.$$

By virtue of ([6] 3 A), we have:

$$d_\rho(y, L_p \times L_1(M)) = \int_\Omega \inf_{e \in M(\omega)} \rho(y(\omega), e) d\mu = \int_\Omega \rho(y(\omega), M(\omega)) d\mu.$$

Let $y \in L_p \times L_1(T_x^\uparrow M)$ and let $(r_n)_n$ converge to 0_+ and $(x_n) \xrightarrow{L_p \times L_1(M)} x$. We can always extract from $(x_n)_n$ a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ converging μ -almost everywhere. Then we have for all $\omega \in \Omega$:

$$\lim_k \rho(y(\omega), r_{n_k}^{-1}(M(\omega) - x_{n_k}(\omega))) = 0.$$

Furthermore, since $0 \in r^{-1}(M(\omega) - x_{n_k}(\omega))$, we have the following bounds:

$$0 \leq \rho(y(\omega), r_{n_k}^{-1}(M(\omega) - x_{n_k}(\omega))) \leq |y_1(\omega)|^p + |y_2(\omega)| \in L_1(\Omega, E \times \mathbb{R}).$$

Using the Dominated Convergence Theorem we then deduce

$$\lim_k d_\rho(y, r_{n_k}^{-1}(L_p \times L_1(M - x_{n_k}))) = \lim_k \int_\Omega \rho(y(\omega), r_{n_k}^{-1}(M(\omega) - x_{n_k}(\omega))) d\mu = 0.$$

Since this is true for all sequences $(x_n)_n$ and $(r_n)_n$, we get

$$\lim_{\substack{r \rightarrow 0_+ \\ (x_n) \xrightarrow{L_p \times L_1(M)} x}} d_\rho(y, r^{-1}(L_p \times L_1(M - x'))) = 0,$$

that is, $y \in T_x^\uparrow(L_p \times L_1(M))$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let f be an integrand. If A is the mapping on $L_p \times L_1$, with values on $L_p \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by $A(x, u) = (x, \int_\Omega u d\mu)$, then:*

$$\text{epi } I_f = A(L_p \times L_1(\text{epi } f)).$$

PROOF. The inclusion $A(L_p \times L_1(\text{epi } f)) \subset \text{epi } I_f$ is trivial. Conversely let $(x, r) \in \text{epi } I_f$; then $I_f(x) \leq r$. We consider two cases. Either $f(x)$ is integrable or $f(x)$ is not integrable with $I_f(x) = -\infty$. In both cases, we can find $u \in L_1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f(x) \leq u$, μ -almost everywhere with $\int u d\mu \leq r$. We can choose then a positive integrable function α such that $\int \alpha d\mu = r - \int_\Omega u d\mu$, and we set $v = u + \alpha$. Then we have $(x, v) \in L_p \times L_1(\text{epi } f)$ and $A(x, v) = (x, r)$. ■

DEFINITION. Let $A: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous linear mapping between normed spaces X and Y , C a subset of X . We say that A is open on C at the point x if:

$$\forall (y_n)_n, (y_n) \xrightarrow{A(C)} A(x), \exists x_n \in C \cap A^{-1}(y_n), (x_n) \rightarrow x.$$

The interest of this definition lies in the following two results.

LEMMA 3.3. *If A is open on C at the point x , then:*

$$A(T_x^\uparrow C) \subset T_{A(x)}^\uparrow A(C).$$

PROPOSITION 3.4. *The mapping A defined in Proposition 3.2 is open on $L_p \times L_1(\text{epi } f)$ at every point $(x, f(x))$ where $I_f(x) < \infty$.*

Using the characterization of Clarke’s tangent cone

$$y \in T_x^\dagger M \iff \forall (r_n) \rightarrow 0_+, \quad \forall (x_n) \xrightarrow{M} x \quad \exists (y_n) \rightarrow y : \forall n, x_n + r_n y_n \in M.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.3 is easy and is left to the reader.

Let us prove Proposition 3.4. Let $(x_n, r_n)_n$ be a sequence of elements of $\text{epi } I_f$ converging to $(x, I_f(x)) = A(x, f(x))$ where $I_f(x) < \infty$.

By Proposition 3.2, one can find a sequence $(v_n)_n$ of integrable functions such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(x_n, v_n) \in L_p \times L_1(\text{epi } f); \quad A(x_n, v_n) = (x_n, r_n).$$

If we extract from $(x_n)_n$ a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ converging μ -almost everywhere to x , using the normality of the integrand f , we deduce that $(\inf\{v_{n_k}, f(x)\})_k$ converges μ -almost everywhere to $f(x)$. Since this last result is true for every subsequence of $(\inf\{v_n, f(x)\})_n$, we conclude that this sequence converges in measure to $f(x)$. But, by the growth condition (C) the last sequence is uniformly integrable and consequently the convergence holds true in $L_1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$.

Since $\lim_n r_n = I_f(x)$, the sequence of positive functions

$$v_n - f(x) - (\inf\{v_n, f(x)\} - f(x))$$

converges to 0 in $L_1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. This shows also that $(v_n)_n$ converges to $f(x)$ in $L_1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. Hence we have shown that $(x_n, v_n) \in A^{-1}(x_n, r_n)$ converges to $(x, f(x))$ in $L_p \times L_1(\text{epi } f)$. The proof of Proposition 3.4 is therefore complete. ■

Now we are in a position to prove the main Theorem.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. We show that if $I_f(x) < \infty$, then $(I_f)^\dagger(x; \cdot)$ is bounded above by $I_{f^\dagger(x; \cdot)}(\cdot)$, which is equivalent to the inclusion:

$$\text{epi } I_{f^\dagger(x; \cdot)}(\cdot) \subset \text{epi } (I_f)^\dagger(x; \cdot).$$

But we have the string of relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{epi } I_{f^\dagger(x; \cdot)}(\cdot) &= A[L_p \times L_1(\text{epi } f^\dagger(x; \cdot))] \quad (\text{Proposition 3.2}) \\ &= A[L_p \times L_1(T_{(x, f(x))}^\dagger \text{epi } f)] \quad (\text{see (1.2)}) \\ &\subset A[T_{(x, f(x))}^\dagger L_p \times L_1(\text{epi } f)] \quad (\text{Proposition 3.1}) \\ &\subset T_{A(x, f(x))}^\dagger A[L_p \times L_1(\text{epi } f)] \quad (\text{Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4}) \\ &= T_{(x, I_f(x))}^\dagger \text{epi } I_f \quad (\text{Proposition 3.2}) \\ &= \text{epi } (I_f)^\dagger(x; \cdot) \quad (\text{see 1.2}) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\text{epi } I_{f^\dagger(x; \cdot)} \subset \text{epi } (I_f)^\dagger(x; \cdot)$.

Let $x^* \in \partial^\uparrow I_f(x)$; then in the sense of convex analysis we have $x^* \in \partial I_{f^\uparrow(x, \cdot)}(0)$, and since $f^\uparrow(x; 0) \leq 0$ μ -a.e., the normal convex integrand $f^\uparrow(x; \cdot)$ verifies the hypotheses of ([6] 3 E) and, consequently, for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, we have

$$x^*(\omega) \in \partial^\uparrow f(\omega, x(\omega))$$

which shows the last inclusion of the Theorem. \blacksquare

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I would like to express my thanks, with deep appreciation, to Professor J.-P. Penot for his suggestion in the geometric presentation of the results above.

REFERENCES

1. C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions*. Lecture Notes in Math. **580**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
2. F. H. Clarke, *Optimization and nonsmooth analysis*. Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, Wiley Intersci. Publ., Wiley, New York, 1983.
3. E. Giner, *Études sur les fonctionnelles intégrales*. Thèse d'État, Université de Pau, 1985.
4. J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty, *Contributions à la programmation mathématique: cas déterministe et stochastique*. Thèse, Université de Clermont-Ferrand II, 1977.
5. ———, *Tangent cones, generalized gradients and mathematical programming in Banach spaces*. Math. Oper. Res. **14**(1979), 79–97.
6. R. T. Rockafellar, *Integral functionals, normal integrands and measurable selections*. In: Nonlinear operators and the calculus of variations. Lecture Notes in Math. **543**(1976), Springer Verlag, Berlin, 157–207.
7. ———, *Generalized directional derivatives and subgradients of nonconvex functions*. Canad. J. Math. **32**(1980), 257–280.
8. ———, *Directionally Lipschitzian functions and subdifferential calculus*. Proc. London Math. Soc. **39**(1979), 331–355.
9. L. Thibault, *Propriétés des sous-différentiels de fonctions localement lipschitziennes définies sur un espace de Banach séparable*. Thèse de spécialité, Montpellier, 1976.

Laboratoire Approximation et Optimisation
Université Paul Sabatier
 118, route de Narbonne
 31062 Toulouse
 France