

FUSION SYSTEMS AND GROUP ACTIONS WITH ABELIAN ISOTROPY SUBGROUPS

ÖZGÜN ÜNLÜ AND ERGÜN YALÇIN

Department of Mathematics, Bilkent University, Ankara 06800, Turkey
(unluo@fen.bilkent.edu.tr; yalcine@fen.bilkent.edu.tr)

(Received 22 April 2011)

Abstract We prove that if a finite group G acts smoothly on a manifold M such that all the isotropy subgroups are abelian groups with rank $\leq k$, then G acts freely and smoothly on $M \times \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_k}$ for some positive integers n_1, \dots, n_k . We construct these actions using a recursive method, introduced in an earlier paper, that involves abstract fusion systems on finite groups. As another application of this method, we prove that every finite solvable group acts freely and smoothly on some product of spheres, with trivial action on homology.

Keywords: fusion systems; free actions on manifolds; products of spheres

2010 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 57S25
Secondary 20D20

1. Introduction and statement of results

Madsen *et al.* [7] proved that a finite group G acts freely on a sphere if the following hold.

- (i) G has no subgroup isomorphic to the elementary abelian group $\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p$ for any prime number p .
- (ii) G has no subgroup isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{2p} of order $2p$ for any odd prime number p .

At that time, the necessity of these conditions were already known; Smith [12] proved that (i) is necessary and Milnor [8] showed the necessity of (ii).

As a generalization of the above problem, we are interested in the problem of characterizing those finite groups that can act freely and smoothly on a product of k spheres for a given positive integer k . In the case $k = 1$, Madsen *et al.* use surgery theory and consider the unit spheres of linear representations of subgroups to show that certain surgery obstructions vanish. In the case $k \geq 2$, one might hope for the same method to work. However, one would need to construct free actions for certain families of groups where the surgery obstructions could be detected when they are reduced to subgroups in

these families. Some general methods which can be used to do constructions for some of these families are given in [1, 14, 15]. Our goal in this paper is to improve the methods used in [15] and, as a result, obtain some new actions.

In the case $k = 2$, Heller [3] showed that if a finite group G acts freely on a product of two spheres, then G must have $\text{rk}(G) \leq 2$, where $\text{rk}(G)$, rank of G , denotes the maximum rank of elementary abelian subgroups $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$ in G . In [15], we showed that this result has a converse for finite p -groups; more precisely, we proved that a finite p -group G acts freely and smoothly on a product of two spheres if and only if $\text{rk}(G) \leq 2$. In [15], we also proved that if a finite group G acts smoothly on a manifold M such that all the isotropy subgroups of M are elementary abelian groups with rank $\leq k$, then G acts freely and smoothly on $M \times \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_k}$ for some positive integers n_1, \dots, n_k . This, in particular, implies that every extra-special p -group of rank k acts freely and smoothly on a product of k spheres.

To prove the results mentioned above, in [15] we introduced a recursive method for constructing group actions on the products of spheres. The main idea of this recursive method is to start with an action of a group G on a manifold M and obtain a new action of G on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ for some positive integer N by gluing unit spheres of $\mathbb{C}G_x$ -modules V_x over $x \in M$, where G_x denotes the isotropy subgroup of G at x . In the construction, we use a theorem of Lück and Oliver [6] on equivariant vector bundles. To apply the Lück–Oliver theorem, one needs to find a finite group Γ that has a complex representation V such that the representations V_x of isotropy subgroups G_x can be obtained from V by pulling back via a compatible family of homomorphisms $\alpha_x: G_x \rightarrow \Gamma$ (see §2 for more details).

In [15], we introduced a systematic way of constructing a finite group Γ satisfying the above properties using abstract fusion systems. The idea is the following: we first map all the isotropy groups into a finite group S , then we study the fusion system \mathcal{F} on S that comes from the conjugations in G and different choices of embeddings into S (see Definition 2.2 for a definition of a fusion system). Then, we use a theorem of Park [10] to find Γ as the automorphism group of a left \mathcal{F} -stable S - S -biset (see Definition 2.4).

In this paper, we improve the method described above by proving a result that allows us to construct left \mathcal{F} -stable bisets using strongly \mathcal{F} -closed subgroups of S (see Definition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2). This result is particularly useful when S is an abelian group. As a consequence, we obtain the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a finite group acting smoothly on a manifold M . If all the isotropy subgroups of M are abelian groups with rank $\leq k$, then G acts freely and smoothly on $M \times \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_k}$ for some positive integers n_1, \dots, n_k .*

A similar result has been proved recently in the homotopy category by Klaus [5], using G -fibrations. This new tool for constructing \mathcal{F} -stable S - S -bisets also makes it possible for us to tackle the following problem.

Problem 1.2. Does every finite group act freely on some product of spheres with trivial action on homology?

It is known that every finite group can act freely on some product of spheres. This follows from a general construction given in [9, p. 547] (which is attributed to Tornehave by Oliver). However, in this construction the free action is obtained by permuting the spheres, so the induced action on homology is not trivial. To find a free homologically trivial action of a finite group G on a product of spheres, one may try to take the product of unit spheres $\mathbb{S}(V)$ over some family of complex representations V of G . Actions that are obtained in this way are called linear actions on products of spheres. By construction, linear actions are homologically trivial, but not every finite group has a free linear action on a product of spheres. In fact, Ray [11] shows that finite groups that have such actions are rather special; if a finite group G has a free linear action on a product of spheres, then all the non-abelian simple sections of G are isomorphic to A_5 or A_6 .

Note that even solvable groups may not have free linear actions on a product of spheres. For example, the alternating group A_4 does not act freely on any product of equal dimensional spheres with trivial action on homology (see [9, Theorem 1]). So, it cannot act freely on a product of linear spheres. On the other hand, it is easy to construct homologically trivial actions on products of spheres for supersolvable groups and many other groups with small rank and fixity (see [11, 14]).

To construct a free homologically trivial action on a product of spheres, one can start with an action on some product of spheres, say on a product of all linear spheres, then apply the fusion system methods described above to obtain an action on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ for some N such that the total size of isotropy subgroups is smaller. Continuing this way, one can inductively construct a free homologically trivial action on a product of spheres as long as at each step a compatible family of representations coming from a representation of a finite group Γ can be found. So far, we could do this only for solvable groups.

Theorem 1.3. *Any finite solvable group can act freely on some product of spheres with trivial action on homology.*

The proof follows from special properties of maximal fusion systems on abelian groups. To extend the proof to all finite groups, one would need to study the conditions on a fusion system \mathcal{F} that would imply the existence of a left \mathcal{F} -stable biset with large isotropy subgroups. We plan to study this in a future paper.

The paper has the following structure. In §2, we recall the recursive method for constructing smooth actions that was introduced in [15]. Theorem 1.1 is proved in §3 and Theorem 1.3 is proved in §4.

2. Constructions of smooth actions

In this section, we summarize the recursive method that was introduced in [15] for constructing free smooth actions on products of spheres. The main result of this section is Proposition 2.7, which is proved in §2.4. Most of the results in this section already appear in [15], but our presentation here is slightly different. We also introduce some new terminology, which is used in the rest of the paper.

Let G be a finite group and let M be a finite-dimensional smooth manifold with a smooth G -action. Let \mathcal{H} denote the family of isotropy subgroups of the G -action on M .

Let Γ be a finite group and let

$$\mathbf{A} = (\alpha_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \in \varprojlim_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \text{Rep}(H, \Gamma)$$

be a *compatible family of representations*. This means that for every map $c_g: H \rightarrow K$ induced by conjugation with $g \in G$, there exists a $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\alpha_H} & \Gamma \\ \downarrow c_g & & \downarrow c_\gamma \\ K & \xrightarrow{\alpha_K} & \Gamma \end{array}$$

We sometimes write (α_H) instead of $(\alpha_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$, to simplify the notation. We have the following geometric result, which is the starting point for our constructions.

Proposition 2.1 (see [15, Corollary 4.4]). *Let G , M , Γ and $\mathbf{A} = (\alpha_H)$ be as above. Given a unitary representation $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow U(n)$, there exist a positive integer N and a smooth G -action on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ such that, for every $x \in M$, the G_x -action on the sphere $\{x\} \times \mathbb{S}^N$ is diffeomorphic to the linear G_x -action on $\mathbb{S}(V^{\oplus k})$, where $V = \rho \circ \alpha_{G_x}$ and k is some positive integer.*

As discussed in [15], there is a way to describe compatible representations using the terminology of fusion systems. Here, we again consider fusion systems on any group (not necessarily a p -group; in fact, not necessarily a finite group).

Definition 2.2. Let S be a group. A *fusion system* \mathcal{F} on S is a category whose objects are subgroups of S and whose morphisms are injective group homomorphisms, where the composition of morphisms in \mathcal{F} is the usual composition of group homomorphisms and where for every $P, Q \leq S$, the morphism set $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$ satisfies the following.

- (i) $\text{Hom}_S(P, Q) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$, where $\text{Hom}_S(P, Q)$ is the set of all conjugation homomorphisms induced by elements in S .
- (ii) For every morphism $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$, the induced isomorphism $P \rightarrow \varphi(P)$ and its inverse are also morphisms in \mathcal{F} .

If S is a subgroup of a group Γ , then an obvious example of a fusion system is the fusion system $\mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$ on S , for which the set of morphisms $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$ is defined as the set of all conjugations $c_\gamma(h) = \gamma h \gamma^{-1}$, where $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

2.1. Compatible homomorphisms

We now discuss another way to describe compatible representations using fusion systems. Let G be a finite group and let \mathcal{H} be a family of subgroups of G closed under conjugation. Let Γ be any group and let $(\alpha_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$ be a family of homomorphisms $\alpha_H: H \rightarrow \Gamma$. Assume that S is the subgroup of Γ generated by the union

$$\bigcup \{ \alpha_H(H) \mid H \in \mathcal{H} \}.$$

Let $\{\iota_H: H \rightarrow S \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ be a family of maps such that α_H is equal to the composition

$$H \xrightarrow{\iota_H} S \hookrightarrow \Gamma.$$

Suppose that there exists a fusion system \mathcal{F} on S such that for every map $c_g: H \rightarrow K$ induced by conjugation between subgroups in \mathcal{H} , there exists a monomorphism $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\iota_H} & \iota_H(H) \\ \downarrow c_g & & \downarrow f \\ K & \xrightarrow{\iota_K} & \iota_K(K) \end{array}$$

Assume that \mathcal{F} is the smallest fusion system with these properties. Then, one sees that the family $(\alpha_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$ is a compatible family of representations if and only if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$.

Before we continue our summary of the construction that we used in [15], we introduce new terminology to give a common name to families of homomorphisms that satisfy properties similar to the properties satisfied by (α_H) and (ι_H) . We consider them as homomorphisms between families of subgroups in fusions systems.

Definition 2.3. Let \mathcal{H} be a family of objects in a fusion system \mathcal{F}_G on a group G and let \mathcal{F}_S be a fusion system on a group S . For each $H \in \mathcal{H}$, let $\iota_H: H \rightarrow S$ be a group homomorphism. Then, we say that $\mathbf{A} = (\iota_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$ is a *compatible family of homomorphisms* from \mathcal{F}_G to \mathcal{F}_S (supported by \mathcal{H}) if for every $H, K \in \mathcal{H}$, and every morphism $f: H \rightarrow K$ in \mathcal{F}_G , there exists a morphism $\tilde{f}: \iota_H(H) \rightarrow \iota_K(K)$ in \mathcal{F}_S such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\iota_H} & \iota_H(H) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\ K & \xrightarrow{\iota_K} & \iota_K(K) \end{array}$$

In particular, a compatible family of representations $(\alpha_H: H \rightarrow \Gamma)_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$ is a compatible family of homomorphisms from $\mathcal{F}_G(G)$ to $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma(\Gamma)$ supported by \mathcal{H} .

2.2. Construction of Γ

This construction is the same as the construction given by Park [10] for saturated fusion systems. Let S be a finite group and let Ω be an S - S -biset. Let Γ_Ω denote the group of automorphisms of the set Ω preserving the right S -action. In other words,

$$\Gamma_\Omega = \{f: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \mid \forall s \in S, \forall x \in \Omega, f(xs) = f(x)s\}.$$

Define $\iota: S \rightarrow \Gamma_\Omega$ to be the homomorphism satisfying $\iota(s)(x) = sx$ for all $x \in \Omega$. If the left S -action on Ω is free and Ω is non-empty, then ι is a monomorphism; hence, we can consider S as a subgroup of Γ_Ω .

We now introduce some terminology related to bisets. Let Ω be an S - S -biset, let Q be a subgroup of S and let $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ be a monomorphism. Then, we write ${}_Q\Omega$ to denote the Q - S -biset obtained from Ω by restricting the left S -action to Q , and we write ${}_\varphi\Omega$ to denote the Q - S -biset obtained from Ω where the left Q -action is induced by φ . The following is a key lemma that we used in our previous paper [15].

Lemma 2.4 (see [10, Theorem 3]). *Let Ω be an S - S -biset with a free left S -action and let Q be a subgroup of S and let $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ be a monomorphism. Then, ${}_\varphi\Omega$ and ${}_Q\Omega$ are isomorphic as Q - S -bisets if and only if φ is a morphism in the fusion system $\mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma_\Omega)$.*

We introduce the following definition for the situation considered in Lemma 2.4.

Definition 2.5. Let \mathcal{F} be a fusion system on a finite group S . Then, a left free S - S -biset Ω is called *left \mathcal{F} -stable* if, for every subgroup $Q \leq S$ and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, S)$, the Q - S -bisets ${}_Q\Omega$ and ${}_\varphi\Omega$ are isomorphic.

Hence, by Lemma 2.4, we have the following.

Proposition 2.6. *Let \mathcal{F} be a fusion system on a finite group S . If Ω is a left \mathcal{F} -stable S - S -biset, then $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma_\Omega)$.*

In [10], Park actually proves that \mathcal{F} is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma_\Omega)$, i.e. $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma_\Omega)$ when Γ is a characteristic biset for the fusion system \mathcal{F} .

We now discuss a particular construction of a representation for Γ_Ω , which comes from a representation of S .

2.3. Construction of a representation for Γ

Let V be a left $\mathbb{C}S$ -module and let Ω be an S - S -biset. We define the $\mathbb{C}\Gamma_\Omega$ -module V_Ω as

$$V_\Omega = \mathbb{C}\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{C}S} V,$$

where $\mathbb{C}\Omega$ denotes the permutation $\mathbb{C}S$ - $\mathbb{C}S$ -bimodule, with basis given by Ω . The left Γ_Ω -action on $\mathbb{C}\Omega$ is given by evaluation of the bijections in Γ_Ω at the elements of Ω , and V_Ω is considered as a left $\mathbb{C}\Gamma_\Omega$ -module via this action.

Note that every transitive S - S -biset is of the form $S \times_\Delta S$ for some $\Delta \leq S \times S$, where $S \times_\Delta S$ is the set of equivalence classes of pairs (s_1, s_2) , where $(s_1 t_1, s_2) \sim (s_1, t_2 s_2)$ if and only if $(t_1, t_2) \in \Delta$. The left and right S -actions are given by the usual left and right multiplication in S . An S - S -biset is called bifree if both left and right S -actions are free. It is clear from the above description and from Goursat's Lemma that a transitive S - S -biset $S \times_\Delta S$ is bifree if and only if Δ is a graph of an injective map $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$, where $Q \leq S$. In this case, we define Δ by

$$\Delta(\varphi) = \{(s, \varphi(s)) \mid s \in Q\}.$$

So, a bifree S - S -biset Ω is a disjoint union of bisets of the form $S \times_{\Delta(\varphi)} S$, where $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ is a monomorphism. We define $\text{Isot}(\Omega)$, the isotropy of Ω , as the set

$$\text{Isot}(\Omega) = \{\varphi: Q \rightarrow S \mid S \times_{\Delta(\varphi)} S \text{ is isomorphic to a transitive summand of } \Omega\}.$$

Every transitive biset can be written as a product of five basic bisets (see [2, Lemma 2.3.26]). Since Ω is bifree, only three of these basic bisets, namely restriction, isogation and induction, are needed to express the transitive summands of Ω as a composition of basic bisets. By writing each transitive summand of Ω as a composition of the three basic bisets, we can express $\text{Res}_S^{I_\Omega} V_\Omega = \mathbb{C}\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{C}S} V$ as a direct sum of $\mathbb{C}S$ -modules of the form

$$\text{Ind}_Q^S \text{Iso}^*(\varphi) \text{Res}_{\varphi(Q)}^S V,$$

where $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ is in $\text{Isot}(\Omega)$. Note that $\text{Iso}^*(\varphi)$ is the contravariant isogation defined by $\text{Iso}^*(\varphi)(M) = \varphi^*(M)$, where M is a $\varphi(Q)$ -module.

2.4. Construction of group actions

Let V be a left $\mathbb{C}S$ -module, let Ω be a bifree S - S -biset and let V_Ω be the $\mathbb{C}\Gamma_\Omega$ -module constructed above. Then, for every $H \leq S$, the $\mathbb{C}H$ -module $\text{Res}_H^{I_\Omega} V_\Omega$ is a direct sum of modules in the form

$$\text{Ind}_{H \cap Q^x}^H \text{Iso}^*(\varphi \circ c_x) \text{Res}_{\varphi(xH \cap Q)}^S V,$$

where $x \in S$ and $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ is in $\text{Isot}(\Omega)$ (see [15, Proposition 5.8]). Now, we state the main result of this section.

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be a finite group, let M be a finite-dimensional smooth manifold with a smooth G -action and let \mathcal{H} denote the family of isotropy subgroups of the G -action on M . Let \mathcal{F} be a fusion system on a finite group S and let Ω be a left \mathcal{F} -stable S - S -biset.*

Then, given a compatible family of homomorphisms $(\iota_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$ from $\mathcal{F}_G(G)$ to \mathcal{F} supported by \mathcal{H} and a $\mathbb{C}S$ -module V , we can construct a smooth G -action on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ for some positive integer N such that a subgroup $K \leq G$ fixes a point on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ if and only if there exists $H \in \mathcal{H}$, $x \in S$ and $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ in $\text{Isot}(\Omega)$ such that $K \leq H$ and $\text{Res}_{\varphi(xL \cap Q)}^S V$ has a trivial summand where $L = \iota_H(K)$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, there exists a G -action on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ for some N such that for every $x \in M$ the G_x -action on \mathbb{S}^N is diffeomorphic to the linear action coming from the representation V_Ω of Γ_Ω . Assume that $K \leq G$ fixes a point on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$. Then, $K \leq H$ for some $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $L = \iota_H(K)$. Then, the $\mathbb{C}L$ -module $\text{Res}_L^{I_\Omega} V_\Omega$ is a direct sum of modules in the form

$$\text{Ind}_{L \cap Q^x}^L \text{Iso}^*(\varphi \circ c_x) \text{Res}_{\varphi(xL \cap Q)}^S V,$$

where $x \in S$ and $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ is in $\text{Isot}(\Omega)$. Hence, as a $\mathbb{C}K$ -module, $(\iota_H)^*(\text{Res}_L^{I_\Omega} V_\Omega)$ is a direct sum of modules of the form

$$\text{Inf}_{K/J}^K \text{Iso}^*(\iota_H) \text{Ind}_{L \cap Q^x}^L \text{Iso}^*(\varphi \circ c_x) \text{Res}_{\varphi(xL \cap Q)}^S V, \tag{2.1}$$

where $J = \ker(\iota_H) \cap K$, $x \in S$ and $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ is in $\text{Isot}(\Omega)$. A $\mathbb{C}K$ -module of the form (2.1) has a trivial summand if and only if $\text{Res}_{\varphi(xL \cap Q)}^S V$ has a trivial summand. Therefore, $K \leq G$ fixes a point on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ if and only if there exist $H \in \mathcal{H}$, $x \in S$ and $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ in $\text{Isot}(\Omega)$ such that $K \leq H$ and $\text{Res}_{\varphi(xL \cap Q)}^S V$ has a trivial summand. \square

3. Constructing \mathcal{F} -stable bisets

In the previous section, we summarized the method for constructing smooth actions using fusion systems. The main result of this method is stated as Proposition 2.7. This proposition suggests that to construct new smooth actions on products of spheres, we need to understand how to construct left \mathcal{F} -stable bisets with large isotropy subgroups. Note that for a fusion system \mathcal{F} on a finite group S , the S - S -biset $S \times S$ is a left \mathcal{F} -stable biset. However, it is clear that this biset will not be very useful for constructing free actions.

In this section, we prove a proposition that is very useful for constructing left \mathcal{F} -stable bisets with large isotropy. We start with a definition.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{F} be a fusion system on a finite group S . Then, we say that K is a *strongly \mathcal{F} -closed* subgroup of S if for any subgroup $L \leq K$ and for any morphism $\varphi: L \rightarrow S$ in \mathcal{F} we have that $\varphi(L) \leq K$.

Note that if K is a strongly \mathcal{F} -closed subgroup of S , then the fusion system \mathcal{F} restricts to a fusion system on K . We denote this fusion system by $\mathcal{F}|_K$. Now we are ready to state the main result of this section.

Proposition 3.2. Let \mathcal{F} be a fusion system on a finite group S and let K be a strongly \mathcal{F} -closed subgroup of S . Let Ω' be a left $\mathcal{F}|_K$ -stable K - K -biset. Then, the S - S -biset

$$\Omega = S \times_K \Omega' \times_K S$$

is left \mathcal{F} -stable. Moreover, if $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ is in $\text{Isot}(\Omega)$, then φ can be expressed as a composition

$$Q \xrightarrow{\varphi'} K \hookrightarrow S$$

for some $\varphi': Q \rightarrow K$ in $\text{Isot}(\Omega')$.

Proof. Note that the biset Ω is defined as a composition of three bisets, so we can write that $\Omega = \text{Ind}_K^S \Omega' \text{Res}_K^S$, where Ind_K^S denotes the S - K -biset ${}_S S_K$ and Res_K^S denotes the K - S -biset ${}_K S_S$. Given a morphism $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ in \mathcal{F} , we have that

$$\varphi \Omega = \text{Iso}^*(\varphi) \text{Res}_{\varphi(Q)}^S \Omega = \text{Iso}^*(\varphi) \text{Res}_{\varphi(Q)}^S \text{Ind}_K^S \Omega' \text{Res}_K^S.$$

Applying the Mackey formula to $\text{Res}_{\varphi(Q)}^S \text{Ind}_K^S$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \Omega &= \coprod_{\varphi(Q)xK \in \varphi(Q) \backslash S/K} \text{Iso}^*(\varphi) \text{Ind}_{\varphi(Q) \cap K^x}^{\varphi(Q)} \text{Iso}^*(c_x) \text{Res}_{x\varphi(Q) \cap K}^K \Omega' \text{Res}_K^S \\ &= \coprod_{\varphi(Q)xK \in \varphi(Q) \backslash S/K} \text{Ind}_{Q \cap \varphi^{-1}(K^x)}^Q \text{Iso}^*(\varphi) \text{Iso}^*(c_x) \text{Res}_{x\varphi(Q) \cap K}^K \Omega' \text{Res}_K^S, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality comes from the commutativity of isogation and induction. Now, since Ω' is a left $\mathcal{F}|_K$ -stable K - K -biset and K is a strongly \mathcal{F} -closed subgroup, we

obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\Omega &= \coprod_{\varphi(Q)xK \in \varphi(Q)\backslash S/K} \text{Ind}_{Q \cap \varphi^{-1}(K^x)}^Q \text{Res}_{Q \cap \varphi^{-1}(K^x)}^K \Omega' \text{Res}_K^S \\ &= \coprod_{\varphi(Q)xK \in \varphi(Q)\backslash S/K} \text{Ind}_{Q \cap K}^Q \text{Res}_{Q \cap K}^K \Omega' \text{Res}_K^S. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the disjoint union above we are taking a disjoint union of S - S -bisets, which do not depend on the double coset $\varphi(Q)xK$. So, we can write

$$\varphi\Omega = n_\varphi \text{Ind}_{Q \cap K}^Q \text{Res}_{Q \cap K}^K \Omega' \text{Res}_K^S,$$

where $n_\varphi = |\varphi(Q) \backslash S/K|$. Note that this computation holds for any $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$, in particular for the inclusion map $\text{inc}: Q \hookrightarrow S$. So, to prove that $\varphi\Omega \cong_Q \Omega$ as Q - S -bisets, it is enough to prove the equality $|\varphi(Q) \backslash S/K| = |Q \backslash S/K|$.

Since K is strongly \mathcal{F} -closed, K is normal in S . So, the number of double cosets $|\varphi(Q) \backslash S/K|$ is equal to $|S/\varphi(Q)K|$. Therefore, to prove the above equality, it is enough to show that $|\varphi(Q) \cap K| = |Q \cap K|$. Note that $\varphi|_{Q \cap K}: Q \cap K \rightarrow S$ is a morphism in \mathcal{F} and K is strongly \mathcal{F} -closed, so the image of $\varphi|_{Q \cap K}$ must lie in K . This gives that $\varphi(Q \cap K) \leq \varphi(Q) \cap K$, thus $|Q \cap K| \leq |\varphi(Q) \cap K|$. For the inverse inequality, apply the same argument to $\varphi^{-1}: \varphi(Q) \rightarrow S$. This completes the proof of the first part of the proposition.

For the second part, observe that Ω' can be expressed as a disjoint union of bisets of the form

$$\text{Ind}_Q^K \text{Iso}^*(\varphi') \text{Res}_{\varphi'(Q)}^K,$$

where the union is over the morphisms $\varphi': Q \rightarrow K$ in $\text{Isot}(\Omega')$ (see [2, Lemma 2.3.26]). Since $\Omega = \text{Ind}_K^S \Omega' \text{Res}_K^S$, we obtain that

$$\Omega = \text{Ind}_K^S \text{Ind}_Q^K \text{Iso}^*(\varphi') \text{Res}_{\varphi'(Q)}^K \text{Res}_K^S = \text{Ind}_Q^S \text{Iso}^*(\varphi') \text{Res}_{\varphi'(Q)}^S,$$

using the composition properties of restriction and induction bisets. So, we can conclude that every $\varphi \in \text{Isot}(\Omega)$ can be expressed as a composition

$$\varphi: Q \xrightarrow{\varphi'} K \hookrightarrow S$$

for some $\varphi': Q \rightarrow K$ in $\text{Isot}(\Omega')$. □

Now, Theorem 1.1 follows from a recursive application of the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and let G be a finite group acting smoothly on a manifold M . If all the isotropy subgroups of M are abelian groups with rank $\leq k$, then G acts freely and smoothly on $M \times \mathbb{S}^n$ for some positive integer n such that all the isotropy subgroups of $M \times \mathbb{S}^n$ are abelian groups with rank $\leq k - 1$.*

Proof. Let G be a finite group acting smoothly on a manifold M . Let \mathcal{H} denote the family of isotropy subgroups of the G -action on M . Assume that all the subgroups $H \in \mathcal{H}$ are abelian, with rank $\leq k$. Then, there exists a finite abelian group S of rank k such that for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists a monomorphism $\iota_H: H \rightarrow S$. Take \mathcal{F} to be the largest possible fusion system on S . Let K be the subgroup of S defined as

$$K = \{x \in S \mid \text{order of } x \text{ is a square free product of primes}\}.$$

It is clear that K is a strongly \mathcal{F} -closed subgroup of S . This enables us to restrict the fusion system \mathcal{F} to K . Let \mathcal{F}_K denote the restriction of \mathcal{F} to K .

We claim that K is also \mathcal{F} -characteristic [15, Definition 6.2], i.e. for any $L \leq K$ and for any morphism $\varphi: L \rightarrow K$ in \mathcal{F}_K , there exists a morphism $\tilde{\varphi}: K \rightarrow K$ in \mathcal{F}_K such that $\tilde{\varphi}(l) = \varphi(l)$ for all $l \in L$. To see this, note that K is a direct product of elementary abelian p -subgroups, so for any $L \leq K$ there are direct sum decompositions $K = L \oplus J = \varphi(L) \oplus J'$, where $J, J' \leq K$. Since $J \cong J'$, we can choose an isomorphism $\psi: J \rightarrow J'$ and define $\tilde{\varphi}: K \rightarrow K$ as the map $\tilde{\varphi}(l, j) = (\varphi(l), \psi(j))$ for every $l \in L$ and $j \in J$. So, K is \mathcal{F} -characteristic.

Now, since K is \mathcal{F} -characteristic, the K - K -biset

$$\Omega' = \coprod_{\varphi \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}_K}(K)} K \times_{\Delta(\varphi)} K$$

is left \mathcal{F}_K -stable (see [15, Lemma 6.3]). Using Proposition 3.2, we conclude that the S - S -biset

$$\Omega = S \times_K \Omega' \times_K S$$

is left \mathcal{F} -stable.

Let V be a one-dimensional $\mathbb{C}S$ -module that is not trivial when it is restricted to any Sylow p -subgroup of K . By Proposition 2.7, G acts smoothly on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ for some N such that if $U \leq G$ fixes a point $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$, then $U \leq H$ for some $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and

$$W = \text{Res}_{\varphi(\iota_H(U) \cap Q)}^S V$$

is trivial for some $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ in $\text{Isot}(\Omega)$. By Proposition 3.2, every morphism $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ in $\text{Isot}(\Omega)$ is of the form $\varphi: K \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow S$; in particular, $Q = K$. If U has rank equal to k , then $\varphi(\iota_H(U) \cap K)$ includes a Sylow p -subgroup of K , so W is not trivial for such subgroups. This completes the proof. □

4. Free actions of solvable groups

We now consider the problem stated in the introduction, which asks whether or not every finite group can act freely on some product of spheres with trivial action on homology. We first introduce some terminology, which we believe is useful for studying this problem, and then we prove Theorem 1.3, which solves the problem for finite solvable groups.

Let Γ_i be a group for $i = 1, 2$ and let \mathcal{F}_{Γ_i} be a fusion system on the group Γ_i for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\mathbf{A} = (\alpha_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$ be a compatible family of homomorphisms from $\mathcal{F}_G(G)$ to

\mathcal{F}_{Γ_1} supported by \mathcal{H} , and let $\mathbf{B} = (\beta_K)_{K \in \mathcal{K}}$ be a compatible family of homomorphisms from \mathcal{F}_{Γ_1} to \mathcal{F}_{Γ_2} supported by \mathcal{K} . If, for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $\alpha_H(H) \in \mathcal{K}$, then we can compose \mathbf{A} with \mathbf{B} and obtain that

$$\mathbf{B} \circ \mathbf{A} = (\beta_{\alpha_H(H)} \circ \alpha_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}},$$

which is a compatible family of homomorphisms from $\mathcal{F}_G(G)$ to \mathcal{F}_{Γ_2} supported by \mathcal{H} .

Note that if $\rho: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ is a group homomorphism, then ρ induces a compatible family of homomorphisms from $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_1}(\Gamma_1)$ to $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_2}(\Gamma_2)$. So, now we can give the following definition.

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathbf{V} = (V_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$ be a compatible family of unitary representations. We say that \mathbf{V} factors through a group Γ if there exist a homomorphism $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow U(n)$ and a compatible family of homomorphisms \mathbf{A} from $\mathcal{F}_G(G)$ to $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma(\Gamma)$ such that $\mathbf{V} = \rho \circ \mathbf{A}$.

Now, we can rephrase Proposition 2.1 in the following way.

Proposition 4.2. Let G be a finite group and let M be a finite-dimensional smooth manifold with a smooth G -action. Let \mathcal{H} denote the family of isotropy subgroups of the G -action on M . Let $\mathbf{V} = (V_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$ be a compatible family of unitary representations. If \mathbf{V} factors through a finite group, then there exists a smooth G -action on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ for some N such that for every $x \in M$ the G_x -action on the sphere $\{x\} \times \mathbb{S}^N$ is given by the linear G_x -action on $\mathbb{S}(V_{G_x}^{\oplus k})$ for some k . Moreover, if the G -action on M is homologically trivial, then there exists an N such that the G -action on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ is also homologically trivial.

Proof. We only need to prove the last statement. The first part is already proved in Proposition 2.1. Note that the G -space $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ is constructed as the total space of a sphere bundle of a G -equivariant orientable vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow M$. So, we need to show that there exists an $N > 0$ such that the G -action on the homology of SE is trivial, where SE denotes the total space of the sphere bundle of p . We will show this using the Gysin sequence, which relates the homology of M to the homology of SE . Recall that the Gysin sequence for a sphere bundle is obtained from the long exact sequence of the pair (DE, SE) , where DE denotes the total space of the disk bundle of p . In particular, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_n(SE) & \longrightarrow & H_n(DE) & \longrightarrow & H_n(DE, SE) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(DE) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & & & \cong \downarrow p_* & & \cong \downarrow \Phi \\ & & & & H_n(M) & & H_{n-N-1}(M) \end{array}$$

where the homomorphism Φ is defined by $\Phi(z) = p_*(U_p \cap z)$ for every $z \in H_n(DE, SE)$. Here $U_p \in H_{N+1}(D(E), S(E))$ denotes the Thom class of p (see [13, p. 260]). Note that if the Thom class is invariant under the G -action, then we can conclude that the Gysin sequence is a sequence of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules, since the rest of the above diagram is formed by $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules.

The G -action on the Thom class may be non-trivial in general, but for every $g \in G$ we always have that $gU_p = \pm U_p$. The Thom class of the Whitney sum of two vector bundles is the cup product of Thom classes of corresponding bundles (see [4, Theorem 2]). So, by taking the Whitney sum of p with itself, we can assume that the G -action on the Thom class is trivial. This means that we can assume that the Thom isomorphism $\Phi: H_n(DE, SE) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n-N-1}(M)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules, and hence the Gysin sequence is a sequence of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules.

By taking further Whitney sums if necessary, we can also assume that $N > \dim M$. Then, we will have that $H_n(SE) \cong H_n(M)$ for all $n < N$ and $H_n(SE) \cong H_{n-N}(M)$ for all $n \geq N$. These isomorphisms are isomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules and since it is assumed that the G -action on the homology of M is trivial, we can conclude that the G -action on the homology of SE is trivial. \square

Note that in the above proposition, if H is a maximal group in \mathcal{H} and if V_H has no trivial summands, then no point on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ will be fixed by H . Hence, the total size of isotropy subgroups of the G -action on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ will be smaller.

Definition 4.3. Let \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 be two families of subgroups in a group G that are closed under conjugation and taking subgroups. Let \mathcal{F} be a fusion system on the group G . Then, we say that \mathcal{H}_2 is reducible to \mathcal{H}_1 if there exists a compatible family of unitary representations $\mathbf{V} = (V_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$ of \mathcal{H}_2 such that \mathbf{V} factors through a finite group and \mathcal{H}_1 is equal to the family of all subgroups of isotropy groups of the G -action on the disjoint union of the left G -sets $G \times_H \mathbb{S}(V_H)$ over all $H \in \mathcal{H}_2$.

Let \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 be two families of subgroups of G that are closed under conjugation and taking subgroups. Then we write that $\mathcal{H}_1 \leq \mathcal{H}_2$ if every subgroup in \mathcal{H}_1 is contained in some subgroup in \mathcal{H}_2 . Note that if \mathcal{H}_2 is reducible to \mathcal{H}_1 , then $\mathcal{H}_1 \leq \mathcal{H}_2$. Moreover, we write that $\mathcal{H}_1 < \mathcal{H}_2$ if $\mathcal{H}_1 \leq \mathcal{H}_2$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \neq \mathcal{H}_2$. In particular, this means that there exists a maximal subgroup in \mathcal{H}_1 which is not maximal in \mathcal{H}_2 .

Definition 4.4. Let \mathcal{F} be a fusion system on a group G . A sequence

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}_0 < \mathcal{H}_1 < \cdots < \mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}$$

of families of subgroups in G closed under conjugation and taking subgroups is called a *reduction sequence* of length n from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{K} if the family \mathcal{H}_i is reducible to \mathcal{H}_{i-1} for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Moreover, in this case we say that \mathcal{H} is reducible to \mathcal{K} in n steps.

If there exists a reduction sequence in \mathcal{F} from \mathcal{H} to $\mathcal{K} = \{1\}$, then we say that the family \mathcal{H} is reducible. If \mathcal{H} is the family of all subgroups of G , then we say that the fusion system \mathcal{F} is reducible. If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G(G)$ is a reducible fusion system, we say that the group G is reducible. The smallest number of steps required to reduce a family \mathcal{H} , a fusion system \mathcal{F} or a group G is denoted by $n_{\mathcal{H}}$, $n_{\mathcal{F}}$ or n_G , respectively.

Proposition 4.5. *Let G be a finite group. If G is a reducible group, then G can act freely, smoothly and homologically trivially on a product of n_G spheres.*

Proof. Let G be reducible. Take a reduction sequence

$$\{1\} = \mathcal{H}_0 < \mathcal{H}_1 < \dots < \mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}$$

of families of subgroups in G such that $n = n_G$ and \mathcal{H} is the family of all subgroups in G . Note that G acts on $M = pt$ smoothly and homologically trivially with isotropy subgroups in \mathcal{H} . Now, assume that G acts smoothly on some smooth manifold M , homologically trivially with isotropy subgroups in \mathcal{H}_i for some $0 \leq i \leq n$. By Definition 4.3, there exists a compatible family of unitary representations $(V_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}_i}$ such that subgroups that fix a point in $G \times_H \mathbb{S}(V_H)$ are in \mathcal{H}_{i-1} for every $H \in \mathcal{H}_i$. Now, by Proposition 4.2, we obtain a smooth G -action on $M \times \mathbb{S}^N$ for some N , with trivial action on homology such that all the isotropy subgroups are in \mathcal{H}_{i-1} . Continuing this way, we get a smooth free action on a product of n spheres with trivial action on homology. \square

To prove Theorem 1.3, we will show that all solvable groups are reducible. The proof follows from a construction that is similar to the construction used in the previous section.

Proposition 4.6. *Let G be a finite solvable group. Then, G is reducible.*

Proof. Let G be a finite solvable group and let $G^{(0)} = G$ and $G^{(i+1)} = [G^{(i)}, G^{(i)}]$ for $i \geq 0$. Let \mathcal{H} be a family of subgroups in G closed under conjugation and taking subgroups. Assume that $\mathcal{H} \neq \{1\}$ and that n is the largest integer such that $H \leq G^{(n)}$ for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Let

$$r = \max\{\text{rk}(HG^{(n+1)}/G^{(n+1)}) \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

and let $S = (\mathbb{Z}/m)^r$, where m is equal to the exponent of $G^{(n)}/G^{(n+1)}$. For each $H \in \mathcal{H}$, choose a map $j_H: HG^{(n+1)}/G^{(n+1)} \rightarrow S$ and define $\iota_H: H \rightarrow S$ as the composition

$$H \rightarrow H/H \cap G^{(n+1)} \cong HG^{(n+1)}/G^{(n+1)} \xrightarrow{j_H} S$$

for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Let \mathcal{F}_S denote the largest possible fusion system on S . Then, $(\iota_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$ is a compatible family of homomorphisms from $\mathcal{F}_G(G)$ to \mathcal{F}_S supported by \mathcal{H} . Using the biset and the representation constructed in Proposition 3.3, we can reduce \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H}' such that every $H \in \mathcal{H}'$ satisfies $\text{rk}(HG^{(n+1)}/G^{(n+1)}) \leq r - 1$. In particular, \mathcal{H}' is strictly smaller than \mathcal{H} . This shows that G is reducible. \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. It is clear from the above proof that if we can find ways to construct \mathcal{F} -stable bisets with large isotropy subgroups, then we can prove more general theorems on constructions of free actions and possibly solve Problem 1.2 completely. In future work, we will investigate which conditions on a fusion system \mathcal{F} guarantee the existence of a left \mathcal{F} -stable biset with relatively large isotropy subgroups.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the referee for a careful reading of the paper and for many corrections and helpful comments. Both of the authors are partly supported by TÜBİTAK-TBAG/110T712.

References

1. A. ADEM, J. F. DAVIS AND Ö. ÜNLÜ, Fixity and free group actions on products of spheres, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **79** (2004), 758–778.
2. S. BOUC, *Biset functors for finite groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Volume 1990 (Springer, 2010).
3. A. HELLER, A note on spaces with operators, *Illinois J. Math.* **3** (1959), 98–100.
4. P. HOLM, Microbundles and Thom classes, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **72** (1966), 549–554.
5. M. KLAUS, Constructing free actions of p -groups on products of spheres, *Alg. Geom. Top.* **11** (2011), 3065–3084.
6. W. LÜCK AND R. OLIVER, The completion theorem in K -theory for proper actions of a discrete group, *Topology* **40** (2001), 585–616.
7. I. MADSEN, C. B. THOMAS AND C. T. C. WALL, The topological spherical space form problem, II, *Topology* **15** (1978), 375–382.
8. J. MILNOR, Groups which act on S^n without fixed points, *Am. J. Math.* **79** (1957), 623–630.
9. R. OLIVER, Free compact group actions on products of spheres, in *Algebraic topology*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Volume 763, pp. 539–548 (Springer, 1979).
10. S. PARK, Realizing a fusion system by a single group, *Arch. Math.* **94** (2010), 405–410.
11. U. RAY, Free linear actions of finite groups on products of spheres, *J. Alg.* **147** (1992), 456–490.
12. P. A. SMITH, Permutable periodic transformations, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **30** (1944), 105–108.
13. E. H. SPANIER, *Algebraic topology* (Springer, 1966).
14. Ö. ÜNLÜ AND E. YALÇIN, Quasilinear actions on products of spheres, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **42** (2010), 981–990.
15. Ö. ÜNLÜ AND E. YALÇIN, Fusion systems and constructing free actions on products of spheres, *Math. Z.* **270** (2012), 939–959.