

# Early oocyte penetration can predict the efficiency of bovine embryo production *in vitro*

M. Machatkova<sup>1,2</sup>, J. Horakova<sup>2</sup>, P. Hulinska<sup>2</sup>, Z. Reckova<sup>2</sup> and K. Hanzalova<sup>2</sup>

Department of Genetics and Reproduction, Veterinary Research Institute, Brno, Czech Republic

Date submitted: 18.04.07. Date accepted: 16.07.07

## Summary

The aim of this work was to characterize oocyte fertilization and embryo cleavage in nine AI bulls to find parameters suitable for prediction of *in vitro* fertility. According to the d8 blastocysts rate, they were categorized as high, medium and low productive (HP, MP and LP, mean: 25.4, 21.0 and 13.6% respectively) bulls. For these categories, oocyte penetration and fertilization efficiency were assessed at 6 and 18 hours post insemination (hpi), respectively. Some presumptive zygotes were cultured and cleaved and fast-cleaved embryo rates were checked at 44 hpi. The penetration rate was significantly higher for HP bulls than for MP and LP bulls (67.9 versus 50.3 and 33.1%;  $p < 0.01$ ). The syngamy rate was significantly higher for HP bulls than for MP and LP bulls (21.4 versus 10.2 and 5.7%;  $p < 0.05$ ). Conversely, no significant differences in fertilization rates were found among HP, MP and LP bulls. The cleavage rate was significantly higher for HP than LP bulls (82.4 versus 74.4%;  $p < 0.01$ ). The fast cleavage rate was significantly higher for both HP and MP bulls, as compared with LP bulls (82.1 and 84.7 versus 73.5%;  $p < 0.01$ ). A strong correlation was found between blastocyst production and penetration ( $r = 0.803$ ), syngamy ( $r = 0.826$ ), cleavage ( $r = 0.635$ ) and fast cleavage ( $r = 0.709$ ). In conclusion, all the evaluated parameters showed a predictive value, the most significant being early penetration and syngamy.

Keywords: Assessment, Embryo development, Penetration, Syngamy

## Introduction

The method of *in vitro* fertilization can be used with advantage in breeding programmes provided that it will be possible to produce enough transferable embryos from the majority of elite sires. One of the options for achieving this goal is to test fertilizing abilities of the sperm of prospective sires in an *in vitro* system and, based on results, to prepare optimal conditions for a fertilization process. The production of transferable embryos can be enhanced by using optimal concentrations of spermatozoa or heparin during *in vitro* fertilization (Hillery *et al.*, 1990; Ward *et al.*, 2002; Lu & Seidel, 2004) or by adjusting the time of *in vitro* insemination to compensate for reduced *in vitro* fertility of some bulls (Ward *et al.*, 2001a). If the course

of fertilization and early embryo development could be predicted for individual sires before they are used in IVF programmes, it would be possible to control the process of fertilization effectively and to increase the probability of obtaining the desirable number of embryos from elite animals.

At present, the chief criterion for selection of bulls in IVF programmes is their field fertility, because the bulls with a low non-return rate (NRR) have been found to have a reduced capacity to produce *in vitro* embryos (Palma *et al.*, 1996). Some authors, who have studied relationships between *in vivo* and *in vitro* bull fertility, found a varying correlation between the NRR and the embryo cleavage or development to the blastocyst stage (Marquant–Le Guenne *et al.*, 1990; Zhang *et al.*, 1997; Ward *et al.*, 2001a, b, 2003). It has been shown that zygotes sired by high-fertility bulls have an earlier onset of the first S phase and a shorter G<sub>2</sub> phase and their entry into the M phase, cleavage and blastocyst stage was accelerated, as compared with zygotes sired by low-fertility bulls (Eid *et al.*, 1994; Eid & Parrish, 1995; Comizzoli *et al.*, 2000, 2003). Conversely, some other authors failed to prove a relationship between *in*

<sup>1</sup>All correspondence to: M. Machatkova. Department of Genetics and Reproduction, Veterinary Research Institute, Hudcova 70, 621 00 Brno, Czech Republic. Tel: +420 533 331418. Fax: +420 541 211229. e-mail: machatkova@vri.cz

<sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics and Reproduction, Veterinary Research Institute, Hudcova 70, 621 00 Brno, Czech Republic.

*vivo* and *in vitro* fertility or the correlation they found was very low (Schneider *et al.*, 1996, 1999; Vandaele *et al.*, 2006).

All studies dealing with *in vitro* bull fertility, however, have come to the conclusion that the proportion of embryos obtained under standard conditions of fertilization is highly variable (Palma & Brem, 1994; Kurtu *et al.*, 1996; Katska & Smorag, 1996; Palma & Sinowatz, 2004), even for bulls with high NRRs (Palma & Brem, 1994; Palma & Sinowatz, 2004; Yang *et al.*, 1995; Machatkova *et al.*, 1996).

It is of primary importance, therefore, to develop quick, exact and reliable methods for testing *in vitro* semen fertility, as well as to seek out the reasons for its high variability in bulls with high field fertility. Based on the results of these methods, *in vitro* conditions for oocyte fertilization should be modified so that the individual needs of each bull spermatozoa could be met and then the probability of producing embryos of desired genetic origin could be increased. This approach would allow us to make the best use of high performance sires and to eliminate those animals that are not suitable for the *in vitro* production of transferable embryos.

The aim of this study was to characterize *in vitro* fertilization of oocyte with spermatozoa of bulls with high field fertility but with different efficiencies of *in vitro* embryo production in order to find some parameters suitable for a prompt and reliable prediction of *in vitro* bull fertility. Based on the present knowledge of paternal influence on zygote and embryo behavior, we focused on the early oocyte penetration and fertilization and on the first and second embryo cleavage.

## Methods

### Bulls tested

The frozen semen of nine 2-year-old bulls, Czech pied breed, with 60.2–66.4% NRRs was obtained from one AI-centre. In preliminary experiments, *in vitro* embryos were prepared from each tested bull by the standard protocol described previously by Machatkova *et al.* (2004). Three replicates were performed for each tested bull; the control bull (A) was used in all the experiments.

### Embryo preparation

Cumulus–oocyte complexes were collected from follicles 3 to 6 mm in diameter after the appropriate ovaries were selected from slaughtered cows. Only good-quality oocytes with even, dark cytoplasm and a complete cumulus were used in the experiments.

They were matured in 500  $\mu$ l of TCM-199 medium (Earle's salt), with addition of 0.20 mM sodium pyruvate, 50 IU/ml penicillin, 50  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin (Sigma Chemical), 5% ECS (estrus cow serum) and gonadotropins (P.G.600 15 IU/ml; Intervet) in a microplate (Nunclon Intermed) for 24 h. Motile spermatozoa were isolated from frozen-thawed semen by the swim-up method, using SP-TALP medium. Fertilization was carried out in modified Tyrode's medium (IVF-TALP) containing  $1 \times 10^6$  spermatozoa/ml and 10  $\mu$ g/ml heparin. Twenty-four hours after fertilization, cumulus cells were removed by vortex and presumptive zygotes were cultured in 500  $\mu$ l of B<sub>2</sub> Menezo medium on BRL (Buffalo rat liver) cell line monolayer (ATCC) at 38.5 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air. The efficiency of embryo production was expressed as the blastocyst rate on days 7 (d7) and 8 (d8) after insemination.

### Bull categories and their assessment

According to the d8 blastocyst rate, the bulls were categorized as high-productive (HP: A, B, C), medium-productive (MP: D, E, F) or low-productive (LP: G, H, I). In further experiments, the efficiency of oocyte penetration, fertilization and cleavage was assessed for each bull and these categories at selected time points after insemination.

### Oocyte penetration and fertilization

Oocytes were examined for penetration and fertilization efficiencies at 6 and 18 h after insemination (hpi). The required numbers of oocytes were denuded from cumulus cells and spermatozoa by vortexing, fixed in aqueous glutaraldehyde solution, 2.5% (v/v), stained with bisbenzimidazole-33258 Hoechst in citrate buffer (10  $\mu$ l/ml in 0.154 M sodium chloride and 0.015 M trisodium citrate) at room temperature for 10 min and subsequently rinsed three times in Dulbecco–PBS. Wet mounts were prepared in 5  $\mu$ l glycerin buffer and the oocytes were examined by epifluorescence at  $\times 400$  magnification. They were evaluated according to the criteria given by Xu & Greve (1988). The oocytes with two pronuclei (2PN) were considered as normally fertilized, those with >2PN as polyspermic. For normally fertilized oocytes, the syngamy per penetration was calculated from the penetration rate at 6 hpi and syngamy rate at 18 hpi.

### Oocyte cleavage

At 24 hpi, some presumptive zygotes were denuded from cumulus cells by vortexing and transferred to a BRL cell line monolayer. They were cultured in 500  $\mu$ l of Menezo B<sub>2</sub> medium with 10% ECS at 38.5 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air. At 44 hpi, the cleaved and fast-cleaved embryo rates (i.e. achievement

**Table 1** Embryo production for the tested bulls and their categories.

Bull	Oocytes inseminated	Blastocyst rate		Bull category (mean %)
		d7 n (%)	d8 n (%)	
A	1171	316 (27.0)	301 (25.7) <sup>a</sup>	High-productive (25.4 <sup>a</sup> )
B	387	107 (27.6)	99 (25.6) <sup>a</sup>	
C	384	111 (28.9)	93 (24.2) <sup>a</sup>	
D	418	103 (24.6)	97 (23.2) <sup>a</sup>	Medium-productive (21.0 <sup>b</sup> )
E	432	86 (19.9)	87 (20.1) <sup>a</sup>	
F	199	43 (21.6)	36 (18.1) <sup>a</sup>	
G	255	46 (18.0)	39 (15.3) <sup>a</sup>	Low-productive (13.6 <sup>c</sup> )
H	458	81 (17.7)	60 (13.1) <sup>a</sup>	
I	311	48 (15.4)	40 (12.9) <sup>a</sup>	

There are no significant differences within the same bull category but significant differences were confirmed among the bull categories. Values with different superscripts within the column differ significantly ( $a-c$ ,  $b,c$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ;  $a,b$   $p < 0.05$ ).

of at least 4-cell embryo stage from all the cleaved oocytes) were recorded.

### Statistical analysis

The results were expressed as mean percentages. They were analysed by the chi-squared test and Pearson's correlation test using the ANOVA procedure, version 6.1, for Windows software (SPSS).

## Results

### Embryo production

There were no significant differences in d8 blastocyst rates among the bulls within the same category, but significant differences in blastocysts production were confirmed among the three bull categories (HP compared with MP bulls,  $p < 0.05$ ; HP compared

with LP and MP compared with LP bulls,  $p < 0.01$ ; Table 1).

### Comparison of bulls within the category

#### Oocyte penetration and fertilization

The efficiency of oocyte penetration and fertilization for the tested bulls are shown in Table 2. No significant differences in the 6 hpi penetration rate among the bulls of the same category were found except for bull B and bull E ( $p < 0.05$ ). It can be seen, however, that the efficiency of penetration at 6 hpi tends to decrease from the high-productive bull A to the low-productive bull I. The 18 hpi syngamy rate did not differ significantly within the bull category, but a tendency to decreasing syngamy rates from the bull A to the bull I was also found. Conversely, this tendency was not observed for the 18 hpi fertilization rates, which were not much different among the individual bulls; no significant differences in fertilization efficiency at 18 hpi were

**Table 2** Penetration and fertilization rates for the tested bulls and their categories.

Bull	Category	Oocytes			
		Penetrated/inseminated n (%)	Fertilized/inseminated n (%)	Syngamy/normally fertilized n	*Syngamy per penetration (%)
A	HP	91/120 (75.8) <sup>a</sup>	108/115 (93.9) <sup>a</sup>	32/92	26.4 <sup>a</sup>
B		30/59 (50.8) <sup>b</sup>	64/71 (90.1) <sup>a,b</sup>	20/56	18.1 <sup>a</sup>
C		38/55 (69.1) <sup>a</sup>	38/47 (80.9) <sup>b</sup>	6/36	11.5 <sup>a</sup>
D	MP	44/76 (57.9) <sup>a</sup>	84/90 (93.3) <sup>a</sup>	11/71	9.0 <sup>a</sup>
E		18/52 (34.6) <sup>b</sup>	70/79 (88.6) <sup>a</sup>	18/61	10.3 <sup>a</sup>
F		32/59 (54.2) <sup>a</sup>	72/79 (91.1) <sup>a</sup>	10/60	9.0 <sup>a</sup>
G	LP	21/55 (38.2) <sup>a</sup>	45/55 (81.8) <sup>a</sup>	8/40	7.6 <sup>a</sup>
H		13/57 (22.8) <sup>a</sup>	80/90 (88.9) <sup>a</sup>	12/65	4.2 <sup>a</sup>
I		21/54 (38.9) <sup>a</sup>	47/55 (85.5) <sup>a</sup>	5/39	5.0 <sup>a</sup>

Values within columns with different superscripts in the same bull category differ significantly ( $a,b$   $p < 0.05$ ).

\*The values were calculated according to the formula: syngamy rate (%)  $\times$  penetration rate (%) / 100.

**Table 3** Cleavage rates for the tested bulls and their categories.

Bull	Category	Oocytes cleaved/ inseminated <i>n</i> (%)	Embryos >4-cell/ cleaved <i>n</i> (%)
A	HP	254/312 (81.4) <sup>a</sup>	204/254 (80.3) <sup>a</sup>
B		195/240 (81.3) <sup>a</sup>	165/195 (84.6) <sup>a</sup>
C		98/112 (87.5) <sup>a</sup>	80/98 (81.6) <sup>a</sup>
D	MP	283/351 (80.6) <sup>a</sup>	238/283 (84.1) <sup>a</sup>
E		104/136 (76.5) <sup>a</sup>	90/104 (86.5) <sup>a</sup>
F		150/199 (75.4) <sup>a</sup>	127/150 (84.7) <sup>a</sup>
G	LP	121/155 (78.1) <sup>a</sup>	96/121 (79.3) <sup>a</sup>
H		167/208 (80.3) <sup>a</sup>	118/167 (70.7) <sup>a</sup>
I		63/109 (57.8) <sup>b</sup>	44/63 (69.8) <sup>a</sup>

Values within columns with different superscripts in the same bull category differ significantly (*a, b*  $p < 0.01$ ).

detected within the same bull category, except for bull C ( $p < 0.05$ ).

#### Oocyte cleavage

The efficiency of oocyte cleavage for the tested bulls is shown in Table 3. The 44 hpi cleavage rate did not differ significantly within the same bull category, except for the low-productive bull I ( $p < 0.01$ ). No significant differences in the fast-cleaved embryo rate were found among the bulls of the same category (Table 3).

#### Comparison of the bull categories

##### Oocyte penetration and fertilization

The 6 hpi penetration rate was significantly higher for the HP bulls than for the MP and LP bulls ( $p < 0.01$ ). A significant difference in the 6 hpi penetration rate was also found between the MP and LP bulls ( $p < 0.05$ ). The 18 hpi syngamy rate was significantly higher for the HP bulls, as compared with both the MP and LP bulls ( $p < 0.05$ ). Conversely, no significant differences in the 18 hpi fertilization rate were found among the HP, MP and LP bulls.

##### Oocyte cleavage

The 44 hpi cleavage rate was significantly higher for the HP than for the LP bulls ( $p < 0.01$ ), but it did not differ significantly between the HP and MP and between the MP and LP bulls. The fast-cleavage rate was significantly higher ( $p < 0.01$ ) for both the HP and MP bulls, as compared with the LP bulls (Table 4).

#### Relationship between evaluated parameters and embryo production

A strong positive correlation was found between the embryo production and each of the parameters that characterized oocyte fertilization and early embryo development, i.e. penetration ( $r = 0.803$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ),

**Table 4** Fertilization and cleavage rates related to the bull categories with different embryo production.

Parameters of assessment	Bull category		
	HP mean%	MP mean%	LP mean%
d8 blastocysts	25.4 <sup>a</sup>	21.0 <sup>b</sup>	13.6 <sup>c</sup>
Penetrated oocytes	67.9 <sup>a</sup>	50.3 <sup>c,d</sup>	33.1 <sup>c,e</sup>
Syngamy oocytes	21.4 <sup>a</sup>	10.2 <sup>b</sup>	5.7 <sup>b</sup>
Fertilized oocytes	90.1 <sup>a</sup>	91.1 <sup>a</sup>	86.0 <sup>a</sup>
Cleaved oocytes	82.4 <sup>a</sup>	78.3 <sup>a,c</sup>	74.4 <sup>c</sup>
Four-cell embryos/ cleaved oocytes	82.1 <sup>a</sup>	84.7 <sup>a</sup>	73.5 <sup>c</sup>

Values with different superscripts within the row differ significantly (*a-c*, *b, c*  $p < 0.01$ ; *a, b*, *d, e*  $p < 0.05$ ).

syngamy ( $r = 0.826$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), cleavage ( $r = 0.635$ ) and fast-cleavage ( $r = 0.709$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ).

## Discussion

A quick and reliable method for prediction of *in vitro* bull fertility is important not only for further progress of reproductive biotechnologies, but also for more effective production of genetically valuable embryos suitable for transfer and cryopreservation and utilizable in cattle breeding. Bulls with high field fertility are usually tested before their inclusion in IVF programmes on the basis of the 7- to 8-day embryo production after fertilization of oocytes collected from ovaries of slaughtered cows. The criteria most frequently used included the proportion of cleaved embryos, the proportion of blastocysts and their quality (Larsson & Rodriguez-Martinez, 2000). This approach, however, requires insemination of a high number of oocytes with spermatozoa of the tested bulls and cultivation of presumptive zygote for a relatively long time. Because such testing is limited by time and costs, the selection of a suitable sire and oocyte fertilization conditions is then made complicated.

Recently, simple functional tests have been developed for the prediction of both *in vivo* and *in vitro* bull fertility; they include sperm–zona pellucida, hemizona pellucida or oolemma binding assays and induction of a sperm–acrosome reaction. Although some correlation has been found between the results of these tests and *in vitro* embryo development, none of the tests has correlated with the outcomes of field fertility in the tested bulls. For *in vivo* bull fertility predictions, these tests had to be combined (Zhang *et al.*, 1999; Giritharan *et al.*, 2005).

In this study, we report on a method that allowed us to assess *in vitro* bull fertility, with the use of a relatively

low number of bovine oocytes and short time, usually 24 hours. Our test is based on the onset of penetration of spermatozoa into oocytes and syngamy of formed pronuclei that were evaluated at selected time points.

A test for detection of field fertility of both bulls and boars based on the assessment of sperm penetration into immature homologous oocytes has been described previously by Henault & Killian (1995) and Gadea *et al.* (1998) respectively. Recently, differences in the kinetics of sperm penetration into mature bovine oocytes among bulls with different NRRs have been reported by Ward *et al.* (2002) and Puglisi *et al.* (2004). Maximum differences in the penetration rate between high- and low-field fertility sires were determined at 9 to 12 h after insemination, but after that period these differences disappeared (Ward *et al.*, 2001a; Puglisi *et al.*, 2004).

In our experiments, sperm penetration was assessed at the early time, i.e. at 6 h after gamete co-cultivation. It is known that spermatozoa start to penetrate mature bovine oocytes at 3 to 4 h after insemination; between 5 and 6 h the penetration rate increases rapidly and reaching its maximum (Park *et al.*, 1989; Saeki *et al.*, 1991; Laurincik *et al.*, 1998). Our results showed that there was a positive correlation between early sperm penetration and the ability of bull to produce *in vitro* embryos. This finding is in agreement with the results reported by Ward *et al.* (2001a) who confirmed the relation between sperm penetration rates and NRR values.

No differences in the course of pronuclei formation were reported, regardless of whether spermatozoa came from high-field or low-field fertility bulls (Eid *et al.*, 1994). In addition, the timing of pronuclei formation was similar for both high-blastocyst-rate and low-blastocyst-rate bulls (Comizzoli *et al.*, 2000).

In our experiments, therefore, a further criterion for evaluation of fertilizing ability of spermatozoa was based on the syngamy rate. The breakdown of pronuclei and their synkaryosis have been observed in the ooplasm of mature bovine oocytes at 20 to 26 h after insemination (Xu & Greve, 1988; Hyttel *et al.*, 1989). We detected the early syngamy (at 18 hpi) and, therefore, for evaluations, the early penetration (at 6 hpi) was taken into consideration. Our assumption was that only early and normally penetrated oocytes, i.e. those by a single spermatozoon each, could reach the syngamy stage at 18 h after insemination. Using the penetration and syngamy rates, differences were found among the three (high, medium and low embryo production) bull categories as well as among individual bulls within the same category. Only the former, however, were statistically significant. This finding can be explained by the fact that standard conditions of insemination were used for spermatozoa of all tested bulls. It is possible that the differences among bulls in the same

category would be higher if conditions of fertilization would be modified for spermatozoa of each bull.

Information on differences in the fertilization rate in relation to either *in vivo* or *in vitro* bull fertility has been provided by Yang *et al.* (1995) and Alomar *et al.* (2006) respectively. Ward *et al.* (2003) reported a correlation between the fertilization rate at 17 h after oocyte insemination and the NRR and Alomar *et al.* (2006) described a correlation between the fertilization rate at 18 h after oocyte insemination and embryo development to the blastocyst stage.

In our study, the fertilization rate was also assessed at 18 hpi, but in contrast to the results of Alomar *et al.* (2006), no relationship between the fertilization efficiency of oocytes and embryo development to the blastocyst stage was found at that time. Our results, however, are in agreement with those of Puglisi *et al.* (2004), who reported that fertility rates that differed significantly at 15 hpi showed no differences at 18 hpi, when the low-field fertility bulls exceeded the high-field fertility ones.

The first cleavage of bovine oocytes was observed between 26 and 28 h after insemination (Xu & Greve, 1988). Van Soom *et al.* (1992) reported that, for developmentally capable embryos, cleavage in the first two cell cycles appeared at 36 and 42 h after insemination, respectively. The sire had a significant effect on the kinetics of early embryo development, as measured by time of the first cleavage (Ward *et al.*, 2001a). Eid & Parrish (1995) described that the earliest detected cleavage was at 30 h, with significant differences for zygotes sired by high-field fertility bulls, as compared with zygotes sired by low-field fertility bulls. In recent studies, the differences in cleavage, from 30 to 48 hours, have also been observed between high-field and low-field fertility bulls (Ward *et al.*, 2001a). A strong correlation between cleavage and blastocyst development was found by Gadea *et al.* (1998). The blastocyst rate was significantly higher for the 30- and 36-hour first-cleaved embryos sired by high-field fertility bulls than for the 48-hour first-cleaved embryos sired by low-field fertility bulls (Vandaele *et al.*, 2006).

In agreement with Ward *et al.* (2001b, 2003), who reported a correlation between the 33-hour and 48-hour cleavage rates and the NRR, we found a correlation between the 44-hour cleavage and blastocyst rates. This correlation was higher when the fast-cleaved embryos were employed. Our finding supports the conclusions made by Ward *et al.* (2001a) that the time of sperm penetration is responsible for the timing of cleavage because, also in our study, the blastocyst high-productive bulls with early sperm penetration produced more fast-cleaved embryos than the blastocyst low-productive bulls with delayed sperm penetration.

It can be concluded that: (1) differences were found in the efficiency of early fertilization (penetration and syngamy) and embryo development (first and second cleavage) in bulls with different *in vitro* blastocyst yields; (2) the parameters reported here were related to embryo production; early penetration and syngamy had the highest predictive values; and (3) the simple and quick test can be used for *in vitro* bull fertility assessment.

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grants MZE 0002716201 and 1B44018 from the Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic.

## References

- Alomar, M., Mahieu, J., Verhaeghe, B., Defoin, L. & Donnay, I. (2006). Assessment of sperm quality of six bulls showing different abilities to promote embryo development *in vitro*. *Reprod. Fertil. Dev.* **18**, 395–402.
- Comizzoli, P., Marquant-Le Guienne, B., Heyman, Y. & Renard, J.P. (2000). Onset of the first S-phase is determined by paternal effect during the G1-phase in bovine zygotes. *Biol. Reprod.* **62**, 1677–84.
- Comizzoli, P., Urner, F., Sakkas, D. & Renard, J.P. (2003). Up-regulation of glucose metabolism during male pronucleus formation determines the early onset of the S-phase in bovine zygotes. *Biol. Reprod.* **68**, 1934–40.
- Eid, L.N., Lorton, S.P. & Parrish, J.J. (1994). Paternal influence on S-phase in the first cell cycle of the bovine embryos. *Biol. Reprod.* **51**, 1232–7.
- Eid, L.N. & Parrish, J.J. (1995). Duration of G2-phase and onset of M-phase during the first cell cycle of the bovine embryo is dependent on bull *in vivo* fertility. *Theriogenology* **43**, 205. Abstract.
- Gadea, J., Matas, C. & Lucas, X. (1998). Prediction of porcine semen fertility by homologous *in vitro* penetration (hIVP) assay. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* **54**, 95–108.
- Giritharan, G., Ramakrishnappa, N., Balendran, A., Cheng, K. & Rajamahendran, R. (2005). Development of *in vitro* tests to predict fertility of bulls. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* **85**, 47–52.
- Henault, M. & Killian, G. (1995). Effect of sperm preparation and bull fertility on *in vitro* penetration of zona-free bovine oocytes. *Theriogenology* **43**, 739–49.
- Hillery, F., Parrish, J. & First, N. (1990). Bull specific effect on fertilization and embryo development *in vitro*. *Theriogenology* **33**, 249. Abstract.
- Hyttel, P., Greve, T. & Callesen, H. (1989). Ultrastructural aspects of oocyte maturation and fertilization in cattle. *J. Reprod. Fertil. Suppl.* **38**, 35–47.
- Katska, L. & Smorag, Z. (1996). Bull effect on *in vitro* embryo production in cattle. *J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* **47**, Suppl. 1, 71–8.
- Kurtu, M., Ambrose, D. & Rajamahendran, R. (1996). Cleavage rate of bovine oocytes *in vitro* is affected by bulls but not sperm concentration. *Theriogenology* **45**, 257. Abstract.
- Larsson, B. & Rodriguez-Martinez, H. (2000). Can we use *in vitro* fertilization tests to predict semen fertility? *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* **60–61**, 327–36.
- Laurincik, J., Hyttel, P., Baran, V., Eckert, J., Lucas-Hahn, A., Pivko, J., Niemann, H., Brem, G. & Schellander, K. (1998). A detailed analysis of pronucleus development in bovine zygotes *in vitro*: cell-cycle chronology and ultrastructure. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* **50**, 192–9.
- Lu, K. & Seidel, G. (2004). Effect of heparin and sperm concentration on cleavage and blastocyst development rates of bovine oocytes inseminated with flow cytometrically-sorted sperm. *Theriogenology* **62**, 819–30.
- Machatkova, M., Jokesova, E., Petelikova, J., Horoky, F. & Priesnitz, J. (1996). Developmental variability of bovine embryos derived *in vitro*: effect of the bulls. Proceedings of the 7th French–Czech–Slovak Symp. Fysiol. Reprod., Liblice, Czech Republic, 23–24 May 1996 p. 54.
- Machatkova, M., Krausova, K., Jokesova, E. & Tomanek, M. (2004). Developmental competence of bovine oocytes: effects of follicle size and the phase of follicular wave on *in vitro* embryo production. *Theriogenology* **61**, 329–35.
- Marquant-Le Guienne, B., Humblot, P., Thibier, M. & Thibault, C. (1990). Evaluation of bull semen fertility by homologous *in vitro* fertilization tests. *Reprod. Nutr. Dev.* **30**, 259–66.
- Palma, G. A. & Brem, G. (1994). Are there bulls unsuitable for IVF? Proceedings of the 10th Scientific Meeting of A.E.T.E., Lyon, France, 10–11 September 1994 p. 230.
- Palma, G. A., Braun, J., Stolla, R. & Brem, G. (1996). The ability to produce embryos *in vitro* using semen from bulls with a low non-return rate. *Theriogenology* **45**, 308. Abstract.
- Palma, G. A. & Sinowatz, F. (2004). Male and female effects on *in vitro* production of bovine embryos. *Anat. Histol. Embryol.* **33**, 257–62.
- Park, C., Ohgoda, O. & Niwa, K. (1989). Penetration of bovine follicular oocytes by frozen-thawed spermatozoa in the presence of caffeine and heparin. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* **86**, 577–82.
- Puglisi, R., Balduzzi, D. & Galli, A. (2004). *In vitro* sperm penetration speed and its relationship with *in vivo* bull fertility. *Reprod. Domest. Anim.* **39**, 424–48.
- Saeki, K., Kato, H., Hosoi, Y., Miyake, M., Utsumi, K. & Iritani, A. (1991). Early morphological events of *in vitro* fertilized bovine oocytes with frozen-thawed spermatozoa. *Theriogenology* **35**, 1051–8.
- Schneider, C., Ellington, J. & Wright, R. (1996). Effects of bulls with different field fertility on *in vitro* embryo cleavage and development using sperm co-culture systems. *Theriogenology* **45**, 262. Abstract.
- Schneider, C., Ellington, J. & Wright, R. (1999). Relationship between bull field fertility and *in vitro* embryo production using sperm preparation methods with and without somatic cell co-culture. *Theriogenology* **51**, 1085–98.
- Vandaele, L., Mateusen, B., Maes, D., de Kruif, A. & Van Soom, A. (2006). Is apoptosis in bovine *in vitro* produced embryos related to early developmental kinetics and *in vivo* bull fertility? *Theriogenology* **65**, 1691–703.
- Van Soom, A., Van Vlaenderen, I., Mahmoudzadeh, R., Deluyker, H. & de Kruif, A. (1992). Compaction rate of *in vitro* fertilized bovine embryos related to the interval from insemination to first cleavage. *Theriogenology* **38**, 905–19.

- Ward, F., Lonergan, P., Rizos, D., Corridan, D. & Boland, M. (2001a). Bull effects on kinetics of fertilization *in vitro* and the implications for subsequent embryo development. *Theriogenology* **55**, 375. Abstract.
- Ward, F., Rizos, D., Corridan, D., Quinn, K., Boland, M. & Lonergan, P. (2001b). Paternal influence on the time of the first embryonic cleavage post insemination and the implications for subsequent bovine embryo development *in vitro* and fertility *in vivo*. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* **60**, 47–55.
- Ward, F., Enright, B., Rizos, D., Boland, M. & Lonergan, P. (2002). Optimization of *in vitro* bovine embryos production: effect of duration of maturation, length of gamete co-incubation, sperm concentration and sire. *Theriogenology* **57**, 2105–17.
- Ward, F., Rizos, D., Boland, M. & Lonergan, P. (2003). Effect of reducing sperm concentration during IVF on the ability to distinguish between bulls of high and low field fertility: work in progress. *Theriogenology* **59**, 1575–84.
- Xu, P. & Greve, T. (1988). A detailed analysis of early events during *in vitro* fertilization of bovine follicular oocytes. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* **82**, 127–34.
- Yang, X., Giles, J., Liu, Z., Jiang, S., Presicce, G., Foote, R. & Wang, C. (1995). *In vitro* fertilization and embryo development are influenced by IVF technicians and bulls. *Theriogenology* **43**, 360. Abstract.
- Zhang, B., Larsson, B., Lundeheim, N. & Rodriguez-Martinez, H. (1997). Relationship between embryo development *in vitro* and 56-day non-return rates of cows inseminated with frozen-thawed semen from dairy bulls. *Theriogenology* **48**, 221–31.
- Zhang, B., Larsson, B., Lundeheim, N., Haard, M. & Rodriguez-Martinez, H. (1999). Prediction of bull fertility by combined *in vitro* assessment of frozen-thawed semen from young dairy bulls entering an AI-programme. *Int. J. Androl.* **22**, 253–260.