Unexpected deaths and unplanned re-admissions in infants discharged home after cardiac surgery: a systematic review of potential risk factors

Jenifer Tregay,¹ Jo Wray,¹ Catherine Bull,¹ Rodney C. Franklin,² Piers Daubeney,² David J. Barron,³ Katherine Brown,¹ Rachel L. Knowles⁴

¹Cardiorespiratory Unit, Great Ormond Street Hospital NHS Foundation Trust; ²Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Royal Brompton & Harefield NHS Trust, London; ³Cardiac Unit, Birmingham Children's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham; ⁴Population Policy and Practice Programme, UCL Institute of Child Health, London, United Kingdom

Abstract Background: Babies with CHDs are a particularly vulnerable population with significant mortality in their 1st year. Although most deaths occur in the hospital within the early postoperative period, around one-fifth of postoperative deaths in the 1st year of life may occur after hospital discharge in infants who have undergone apparently successful cardiac surgery. Aim: To systematically review the published literature and identify risk factors for adverse outcomes, specifically deaths and unplanned re-admissions, following hospital discharge after infant surgery for life-threatening CHDs. Methods: A systematic search was conducted in MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, Cochrane Library, Web of Knowledge, and PsycINFO electronic databases, supplemented by manual searching of conference abstracts. Results: A total of 15 studies were eligible for inclusion. Almost exclusively, studies were conducted in single US centres and focussed on children with complex single ventricle diagnoses. A wide range of risk factors were evaluated, and those more frequently identified as having a significant association with higher mortality or unplanned re-admission risk were non-Caucasian ethnicity, lower socio-economic status, co-morbid conditions, age at surgery, operative complexity and procedure type, and post-operative feeding difficulties. Conclusions: Studies investigating risk factors for adverse outcomes post-discharge following diverse congenital heart operations in infants are lacking. Further research is needed to systematically identify higher risk groups, and to develop interventions targeted at supporting the most vulnerable infants within an integrated primary and secondary care pathway.

Keywords: Congenital heart disease; systematic review; surgical outcomes; mortality

Received: 23 September 2014; Revised 22 November 2014; First published online: 30 December 2014

AJOR TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES IN PAEDIATRIC surgical and intensive care in recent decades, particularly for neonates, have resulted in the survival of children with previously life-threatening CHD;¹ yet, CHDs remain the most common cause of infant death in the United Kingdom.^{2,3} Although

many deaths are associated with surgery, national cardiac audit data suggest that significant numbers of deaths occur more than 30 days after neonatal cardiac surgery but within the first postoperative year.⁴ Moreover, analysis of 1018 neonates undergoing cardiac surgery in two London hospitals from 2000 to 2009 found that of 176 deaths during the 1st year of life, 116 (66%) occurred during the initial post-surgical hospital stay and 37 (21%) were unexpected late deaths in infants who had been discharged home

Correspondence to: Dr RL Knowles, Population, Policy and Practice Programme, Institute of Child Health, University College London, 30 Guilford St, London WC1N 1EH. Tel: + 44(0)20 7905 2278; E-mail: rachel.knowles@ucl.ac.uk

after apparently successful cardiac surgery.⁵ Thus, infants with cardiac disease remain vulnerable even after surgery, particularly if the cardiac intervention involves a series of staged procedures within the first few months of life.⁶ Nevertheless, it is well-recognised that babies with CHDs who survive past their first birthday are subsequently at lower mortality risk during childhood.^{3,7} Although considerable emphasis has been placed on quantifying and exploring the risk factors for early postoperative and in-hospital mortality,⁸⁻¹¹ far less attention has been paid to later adverse outcomes, particularly those occurring after discharge home, such as deaths in the community or emergency re-admissions.

Guidance on hospital discharge for high-risk neonates from the American Academy of Pediatrics is informed by a robust evidence base describing the specific needs of vulnerable newborns at the time of hospital discharge.¹² Although neonates with complex congenital anomalies are highlighted as a vulnerable population, specific guidance for the post-discharge care of neonates with CHDs is not provided.

Developing effective interventions to address late adverse outcomes through integrated networks of care, involving specialist hospitals and community provision, is a great challenge for health services, but would be timely in the light of the new review of congenital heart services, led by NHS England,¹³ which aims to improve standards across the whole patient care pathway. Nevertheless, to achieve optimal outcomes post-discharge in these babies and offer targeted support to vulnerable infants and their families at home in the community, it is also important to understand the risk factors for these late outcomes. We, therefore, undertook a systematic review of the published literature on infants with CHDs to identify the key risk factors, identifiable at the time of discharge home after apparently

successful cardiac surgery, which are associated with unexpected death in the community or unplanned re-admission to the hospital.

Methods

In order to ensure a comprehensive review of the evidence and to capture any pertinent risk factors that may not yet have been identified in studies with CHD patients, we used a broad search strategy that included other life-threatening congenital malformations requiring major surgery in the 1st year of life - for example, gastroschisis or diaphragmatic hernia. We used key terms relating to children, congenital abnormalities, surgical procedures, hospital discharge, and adverse outcomes to electronically search MEDLINE (1980 to 1February, 2013), EMBASE (1980 to 1 February, 2013), CINAHL (1981 to 1 February, 2013), Cochrane Library, Web of Knowledge (1980 to 1 February, 2013), and PsycINFO (1980 to 1 February, 2013). Conference abstracts from the Association for European Paediatric Cardiology, the American Heart Association, and the European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies symposia were searched for the period 2008-2012. A forward citation search was carried out on the reference lists of all the selected studies to identify additional published studies for review.

Studies were eligible for inclusion only if they separately reported outcomes for children discharged from hospital and in-hospital surgical mortality. To ensure relevance to infant survival, only studies involving children up to the age of 5 years were included in the review, and major surgery was defined as requiring intensive or high-dependency care in the postoperative period. Inclusion criteria for the studies included in the review are summarised in Table 1. Titles and abstracts for all studies were independently reviewed by two reviewers, J.T. and

Table 1. Summary of the eligibility criteria for inclusion in the review.

Inclusion criteria

- aged from birth up to and including 5 years of age
- with a life-threatening congenital abnormality
- who have undergone major surgery (involving intensive care) for potentially life-threatening congenital disease
- who were discharged home from the hospital following their successful surgery

Exclusion criteria

Studies that

- refer exclusively to adults, children over the age of 5 years, or where the age group of interest is not clearly defined
- include previously healthy children who had major surgery as a consequence of traumatic injury
- do not refer to specified adverse outcomes for example, death and unplanned hospital re-admission
- · do not present post-discharge events and risk factors separately from in-hospital events
- included children discharged home for palliative medical care
- where case series of fewer than 20 cases, personal communications, letters, and commentaries
- have no available English language abstract

Studies including children

J.W., and then full-text papers of the selected studies were assessed by three reviewers, J.T., K.B., and R.K., to determine whether they met the inclusion criteria. Any discrepancies between the reviewers were resolved through discussion with a fourth reviewer - J.W.

Data extraction was independently completed by two reviewers, J.T.and R.K., using a standard proforma that included information on study design, population, diagnosis, comparison groups, outcomes, and risk factors. Studies were assessed for methodological quality of study design using levels of evidence rated from "one" for most rigorous trials – for example, randomised controlled trial – to "four" for least rigorous trials – for example, retrospective uncontrolled case series.¹⁴ Within each evidence level, studies were assessed as "A" for high quality to "C" for the lowest quality, using predetermined criteria such as confounding, completeness of followup, and objective measurement of outcomes.

The outcomes of interest were unexpected death or unplanned re-admission to the hospital in the 1st year of life after discharge following cardiac surgery. Factors associated with increased mortality or re-admission risk are presented in a narrative synthesis.

The protocol and search strategy are registered (PROSPERO; CRD42013003483).¹⁵

Results

Study selection

There were 17 studies identified through systematic searches and further six studies through forward citations. Of 23 full-text papers reviewed, eight studies failed to meet the inclusion criteria, resulting in 15 studies eligible for review (Fig 1). Despite our inclusive search strategy, no studies of post-surgical outcomes for children with non-cardiac congenital anomalies met the inclusion criteria.

The review included eight retrospective reviews of surgical cases, ^{16–23} four retrospective cohort studies, ^{24–27} two case–control studies, ^{28,29} and one randomised controlled trial that was reported in two papers. ^{19,30} Only three studies ^{22,29,30} included a prospective element. Although study designs differed, all studies were rated as good quality (Table 2). Studies assigned a lower rating failed to address some potential confounding factors. In total, 10 reports were of patients with functional single ventricle diagnosis, ^{16,17,19–21,23,26,28–30} which was most often hypoplastic left heart syndrome. A total of 14 papers involved patients who underwent cardiac surgery during the 1st year of life, and the remaining study²⁴ included cardiac patients provided separately for each



Figure 1.

PRISMA flowchart showing study selection process.

Table 2. Summary of study qu	uality by levels of evidence.
------------------------------	-------------------------------

	Levels	Levels of Evidence (LOE) ¹										
References	LOE 1: Prospective cohort study, RCT, or meta-analysis		LOE case-c (inclu based	LOE 2: Prospective case-control study (including records- based)		LOE 3: Retrospective cohort or case-control study (including records-based)			LOE 4: Retrospective review of cases without controls			
Study quality	А	В	С	А	В	С	А	В	С	А	В	С
Ashburn et al16												
Carlo et al17												
Chang et al ²⁴												
Edwards et al18												
Fixler et al25												
Ghanayem et al ¹⁹												
Hansen et al ²⁰												
Hebson et al ²⁶												
Hehir et al ²⁸												
Kogon et al27												
Mackie et al29												
Mahle et al ²¹												
Ohye et al ³⁰												
Pinto et al ²²												
Simsic et al23											_	

LOE = levels of evidence; RCT = randomised controlled trial Rated A (high quality) to C (low quality)

age group. Only two studies were not conducted in the United States.^{16,20} In total, 29,019 patients were followed-up for mortality outcomes, of whom 1113 (4%) died; 452 (12%) of 3672 children died after single ventricle surgery compared with 661 (3%) of 25,347 children who underwent other types of cardiac surgery. Of 1639 children who were observed in three studies of unplanned re-admission, 173 (11%) were re-admitted to the hospital during the study follow-up period. Table 3 summarises the included papers.

Adverse outcomes

Reported mortality varied markedly and was influenced by the study population at risk as well as the duration of follow-up. A total of five studies^{16,19,23,26,28} involved children with single ventricle diagnoses undergoing staged palliative surgery and reported "inter-stage" mortality between first and second stage surgery that ranged from 8 to 16%, with follow-up ending at around 1 year after surgery in most studies. In addition, two studies focussed on "unexpected" inter-stage deaths, defined as acute events or sudden cardiovascular collapse, and reported 4-5% unexpected deaths in neonates discharged home between stages 1 and 2.^{21,30} Carlo et al¹⁷ and Hansen et al²⁰ reported inter-stage mortality of 9% for children discharged home between second - superior cavopulmonary anastomosis or bi-directional Glenn surgery – and third stage - Fontan surgery. Two studies investigated postdischarge mortality after all types of cardiac surgery: Chang et al²⁴ reported a low mortality of 0.62%

at 1 year after any cardiac surgery undertaken in children up to 18 years of age,²⁴ whereas Pinto et al²² found a higher mortality of 8% in the 2 years following discharge after neonatal congenital heart surgery. Mortality over 10% was reported in studies focussing on specific higher-risk cardiac defect subgroups²⁵ or patients discharged home on mechanical ventilation.¹⁸

In total, two studies evaluated unplanned hospital re-admissions distinct from mortality,^{22,27} and one further study²⁹ reported unplanned re-admissions as part of a combined outcome measure of mortality and re-admission. Re-admission rates within 30 days of hospital discharge ranged from 10^{27} to $30\%^{29}$ and at 2 years post-discharge were 45%.²² Variations were influenced by duration of follow-up and differences in data collection methods that included hospital records review^{27,29} and telephone survey.²²

Risk factors associated with adverse outcomes

Although many different factors were investigated (Table 4), the findings relating to individual factors were inconsistent; this may reflect the heterogeneity of participant characteristics and study designs. Table 4 summarises the factors investigated by different studies and indicates whether these were found to increase mortality risk.

Individual and family factors. Ethnicity, ^{19,22,25,27} socio-economic status, ^{19,25,29} and non-cardiac malformations or genetic syndromes^{25,27,29} were the most frequently evaluated individual factors. Socio-economic deprivation, assessed through measures

References	Participants	Setting and study design	Quality rating	Follow-up period	Primary outcome measure	Mortality (post-hospital discharge)	Factors associated with mortality/hospital re-admission
Ashburn et al ¹⁶	710 HLHS with critical aortic stenosis or aortic valve atresia who underwent Norwood stage 1 procedure (512 infants discharged alive after stage 1 surgery)	Canadian multicentre study; retrospective records review of surgical cases; risk analysis for outcomes including death, further surgery	4Λ	Follow-up at 1 month, 6 months, 1 year, and 5 years after stage 1 procedure	Time of transition to death, further planned surgery, transplantation or other outcomes	 12% of 512 infants died between stage 1 and stage 2 surgery. Survival after stage 1 surgery for the entire cohort: 72% at 1 month; 62% at 6 months; 60% at 1 year; and 54% at 5 years 	Patient-specific: low birth weight, smaller ascending aorta, older age at Norwood. Institutional: institutions enrolling ≤10 neonates had higher risk than institutions enrolling ≥40 neonates. Procedural: shunt originating from the aorta, longer circulatory arrest time, management of ascending aorta
Carlo et al ¹⁷	85 HLHS (65% male)	Single US centre;	4A	From BDG (stage 2)	Inter-stage attrition	8 died unexpectedly at	Attrition group had longer

Table 3. Studies meeting criteria for review (n = 15) examining risk factors for post-discharge mortality and/or unplanned hospital re-admission.

	critical aortic stenosis or aortic valve atresia who underwent Norwood stage 1 procedure (512 infants discharged alive after stage 1 surgery)	study; retrospective records review of surgical cases; risk analysis for outcomes including death, further surgery		6 months, 1 year, and 5 years after stage 1 procedure	death, further planned surgery, transplantation or other outcomes	between stage 1 and stage 2 surgery. Survival after stage 1 surgery for the entire cohort: 72% at 1 month; 62% at 6 months; 60% at 1 year; and 54% at 5 years	smaller ascending aorta, older age at Norwood. <i>Institutional:</i> institutions enrolling ≤ 10 neonates had higher risk than institutions enrolling ≥ 40 neonates. <i>Procedural:</i> shunt originating from the aorta, longer circulatory arrest time, management of ascending aorta
Carlo et al ¹⁷	85 HLHS (65% male) who underwent Norwood stage 1 followed by bi- directional Glenn procedure (BDG; stage 2)	Single US centre; retrospective records review of surgical cases; comparison between survivors and deceased	4A	From BDG (stage 2) until Fontan (stage 3) procedure, cardiac transplant or death. Follow-up for mean 3.4 (range 1.6–5.8) years after stage 2 procedure	Inter-stage attrition (death or cardiac transplantation) after hospital discharge from BDG (stage 2 procedure) and before Fontan (stage 3 procedure)	8 died unexpectedly at home (9.4% mortality) and 3 underwent cardiac transplantation (13% overall attrition)	Attrition group had longer intubation periods (median 2 days versus 1 day, p < 0.01) and hospital length of stay (median 19 days versus 6 days, p < 0.01). <i>Multivariable</i> <i>analysis</i> : lower weight z-score at BDG and moderate-to-severe tricuspid regurgitation were associated with attrition. <i>Inter- stage attrition not associated with</i> : sex, anatomic subtype in HLHS, use of antegrade cerebral perfusion, restrictive atrial septum at birth, age, or weight at stage 1 palliation, stage 1 operative characteristics, age at BDG, haemodynamic data obtained at cardiac catheterisation, aortic arch obstruction, right ventricular dysfunction

-

Table 3. Continued

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1047951114002492 Published online by Cambridge University Press

References	Participants	Setting and study design	Quality rating	Follow-up period	Primary outcome measure	Mortality (post-hospital discharge)	Factors associated with mortality/hospital re-admission
Chang et al ²⁴	23,897 children <18 years (55% male) with ICD-9-CM procedure codes indicating any cardiac surgery; includes cardiac surgery for non- congenital diagnoses	All US California state hospitals; retrospective cohort follow-up using state-wide database; multivariate analysis of risk factors	3 A	Up to 365 days post-discharge	Postoperative deaths within 1 year of surgery (included in-hospital death). "Late deaths" (occurring 31–365 days after hospital discharge) were reported separately	23, 987 "alive" hospital discharges, 148 deaths (0.62%) occurred within 365 days after discharge (37 deaths within 30 days; 44 deaths at 31–90 days; 67 deaths at 91–365 days)	Predictors of post-discharge death included younger age and procedure type. Neonates and infants undergoing Norwood procedure, aortopulmonary shunt with atrial septostomy, total anomalous pulmonary veins or truncus arteriosus repair, thoracic vessel procedures, and open valvotomy are at high risk of late post-discharge death. <i>Factors not associated with late death included</i> : ethnicity, sex, income, and hospital care volume
Edwards et al ¹⁸	35 CHD (various) (46% male) who underwent any CHD surgery and were under home mechanical ventilation programme	Single US centre; retrospective review using hospital records; survival analysis	4A	2–168 months after starting home mechanical ventilation	Mortality	12 (34%) died	Higher mortality risk was associated with: multivariable analysis: adjusted RACHS ⁸ score ≥4. Univariable analysis: broncho- pulmonary dysplasia, neurological disorder
Fixler et al ²⁵	1213 CHD diagnosis associated with >25% mortality	US state (Texas) registry; observational cohort follow-up using linked records; multivariate analysis of risk factors	3A	Up to 1 year after birth	1st year mortality	Overall 1st year survival was 59.9%	Overall ethnicity did not influence survival, but Hispanic infants with HLHS had decreased survival. Predictors of worse survival after adjustment for defect type: living on Mexican border (proxy for deprivation); low birth weight (<2500kg) and gestational age, extra-cardiac defects. Factors not associated with 1st year mortality included distance to cardiac centre, parental birthplace, sex, maternal education, and marital status

Table 3. Continued

References	Participants	Setting and study design	Quality rating	Follow-up period	Primary outcome measure	Mortality (post-hospital discharge)	Factors associated with mortality/hospital re-admission
Ghanayem et al ¹⁹	426 single ventricle diagnosis; survived to hospital discharge after Norwood stage 1 surgery	US multicentre; retrospective case records analysis within a controlled trial; multivariate risk factor analysis	3A	Until stage 2 surgery or death (up to 14 months after stage 1 surgery)	Inter-stage mortality post-hospital discharge	50 (12%) died	Predictors of worse survival included preterm delivery; Hispanic ethnicity; aortic/mitral atresia; higher number of postoperative complications; % below poverty line (US census data); shunt type (MBTS predicted worse survival than RVPAS).
Hansen et al ²⁰	115 HLHS (67% male); underwent superior cavo- pulmonary anastomosis (stage 2) surgery	Single centre, Germany; retrospective surgical case series; multivariate analysis of risk factors	4 A	Follow-up over a 14- year period – minimum follow-up of 2 years	Death/cardiac transplant; postoperative complication and adverse events	Late adverse outcome in 10 (8.7%) patients (death n = 8; cardiac transplant n = 2)	Risk factors for death/cardiac transplant: longer cardiopulmonary bypass time; \geq moderate tricuspid regurgitation on postoperative echocardiogram (odds ratio [OR] 16.5 (4.4–62.6, p < 0.001). Patients with \geq moderate tricuspid valve regurgitation had higher risk of adverse outcomes than those with less severe tricuspid regurgitation. Age <4 months at surgery did not increase the risk for adverse outcome (death or transplant) (OR 1.2 (0.4–3.6), p = 0.78)
Hebson et al. (2012) ²⁶	334 neonates aged <30 days at surgery; single ventricle diagnosis (varied); underwent Norwood stage 1 (n = 165), pulmonary artery band (n = 17), or MBTS (n = 152) procedures	Single US centre; retrospective cohort study; analysis of different feeding modalities post- discharge	3A	Until stage 2 surgery (follow-up ranged from 1 to 8 years overall, but was not specified for individual patients)	Inter-stage mortality	26 (7.8%) inter-stage deaths. 9 deaths with Nissen fundoplication (NF) or gastrostomy tube (GT); 17 without NF/GT. 7 died in hospital and 19 died at home/local facilities	Findings from multivariate analysis (adjusted for age, weight, genetic syndromes; prematurity; heterotaxy; postoperative arrbythmia; and ventricular function at discharge): feeding with gastrostomy tube (GT) with/without Nissen fundoplication (NF) was associated with higher risk of inter-stage mortality (relative risk 2.38 (1.05–5.40), p = 0.04)

Table 3. Continued

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1047951114002492 Published online by Cambridge University Press

References	Participants	Setting and study design	Quality rating	Follow-up period	Primary outcome measure	Mortality (post-hospital discharge)	Factors associated with mortality/hospital re-admission
Hehir et al. (2008) ²⁸	313 "hospital survivors" with HLHS (and variants); underwent Norwood stage 1 procedure	Single US centre; retrospective nested case–control study; multivariate analysis of risk factors	3A	Follow-up was for 1 year after stage 1 surgery or until stage 2 surgery or death, if earlier	Inter-stage mortality (post-discharge and before stage 2 procedure)	33 inter-stage deaths (10.5%)	Risk factors for death: restrictive atrial septum, older age at operation, post-operative arrhythmias and respiratory complications. Multivariate logistic regression: highly restrictive atrial septum (OR 7.6[1.9–29.6]), age at operation >7 days (OR 3.8 [1.3–11.2]). Factors not associated with inter-stage morality included intra-operative factors, cardiac status at discharge, sex, birth weight, gestation, prenatal diagnosis, distance from centre, feeding at discharge, non-cardiac anomalies, oxygen at discharge, re-operation, discharge on >3 medications, seizures, postoperative ECMO, or cardiac arrest
Kogon et al ²⁷	685 any CHD diagnosis requiring surgery (57% male)	Single US centre; retrospective observational cohort study; multivariate analysis of risk factors	3B	Until 30 days after hospital discharge	Unplanned re-admissions within 30 days of hospital discharge	70 patients (10.2%) were re-admitted (total 74 re-admissions in 70 patients)	Re-admission more likely if univariable analysis – younger age; lower weight at surgery; Hispanic; genetic syndrome; failure to thrive; pre-operative ventilation; higher RACHS-1 score; nasogastric feeding at discharge; palliative surgery; longer length of stay in ICU/ hospital. Multivariable analysis – Hispanic ethnicity; failure to thrive; hospital length of stay >10 days. Factors not associated with unplanned re-admission – arrhythmia, gastro-oesophageal reflux, and developmental delay

Table 3. Continued

References	Participants	Setting and study design	Quality rating	Follow-up period	Primary outcome measure	Mortality (post-hospital discharge)	Factors associated with mortality/hospital re-admission
Mackie et al ²⁹	162 (54 cases; 108 controls) HLHS, other single ventricle or transposition of the great arteries; underwent Norwood stage 1 or arterial switch procedure	Single US centre; case–control study; multivariate analysis of risk factors	2B	Until 30 days after hospital discharge	Unplanned re-admission or death (combined outcome used to explore risk factors)	54 cases (from 752 operated children) included 48 re-admissions (29.6% of 752); 6 deaths (3.7% of 752)	Risk factors for death or re-admission residual haemodynamic problems (OR 4.10), ICU stay >7 days (OR 5.17), establishment of full oral intake <2 days before. Combining with the control group, living in a low income areas was associated with a lower likelihood of re-admission (OR 0.25 [0.07, 0.85], p = 0.027)
Mahle et al ²¹	536 HLHS and variants; underwent stage 1 surgery for single ventricle reconstruction	Single US centre; retrospective records- based identification of cohort with prospective confirmation of outcomes; multivariate analysis of risk	3B	Deaths within the 1st year after stage 1 (range 25–227 days post-surgery)	"Unexpected" death (defined as cardiovascular collapse without regaining consciousness)	22 unexpected deaths (4.1%) and 63 non- surgery-related deaths (11.8%) from 536 infants discharged home after stage 1 surgery. Median age at unexpected death was 79 (25–227) days	Perioperative arrhythmia and earlier year of surgery were associated with higher mortality risk. Factors not associated with mortality risk included prenatal diagnosis, aortic atresia, age at admission, age at each surgical stage, peri- operative seizure, ventricular function measures and feeding difficulties
Ohye et al ³⁰	549 single ventricle; underwent Norwood stage 1 (randomised to two shunt types)	United States; multicentre; prospective RCT comparing two types of single ventricle surgery; multivariate analysis of risk factors	1B	Until 12 months after stage 1 surgery	Deaths (including "unexpected" death) in the 12 months following Norwood stage 1 surgery	164 died: 88 in- hospital at stage 1; 54 between stages 1 and 2; 16 in-hospital at stage 2; and 6 within 12 months of stage 2 discharge. 29 deaths were "unexpected"	Shunt type: MBTS associated with higher mortality than RVPAS. 12 (41%) of the 29 "unexpected" (post- discharge) deaths had prodromal illness including poor feeding/vomiting, fussiness, diarrhoea, cyanosis, fever, increased work of breathing

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1047951114002492 Published online by Cambridge University Press

References	Participants	Setting and study design	Quality rating	Follow-up period	Primary outcome measure	Mortality (post-hospital discharge)	Factors associated with mortality/hospital re-admission	
Pinto et al ²²	202 any CHD requiring surgery (51.5% male); underwent neonatal congenital heart surgery (not minor surgery)	Single US centre; retrospective records review and follow-up survey; multivariate analysis of risk factors	4 A	23.9 (±3.4) months post-discharge after neonatal congenital heart surgery	Mortality + "adverse events" (unplanned re-admissions and cardiac re- interventions)	16 deaths (8%). Post- discharge adverse events were reported for surviving patients by telephone survey (contact rate 59%). Of those, 49 (45%) had an unplanned re-admission	Patients residing 90–300 minutes from the surgical centre were less likely to experience an adverse event than those living <90 minutes away but there was a non-significant trend towards higher mortality in this same group when compared with those living <90 minutes and >30 minutes away. Residence >300 minutes from the hospital not associated with higher risk of post-discharge death	
Simsic et al ²³	50 HLHS/single ventricle diagnosis; underwent Norwood stage 1 procedure	Single US centre; retrospective review of surgical cases and outcomes; multivariable analysis of risk factors	4B	For 1 year after stage 1 surgery (until stage 2 surgery or death)	Inter-stage mortality between Norwood stage 1 discharge and stage 2 surgery	8 deaths (16%) within 1 year after Norwood procedure	Increased risk if, at discharge after Norwood, postoperative arrhythmias decreased ventricular function. Factors not associated with increased risk of inter-stage mortality included duration of cardiopulmonary bypass, cross- clamp or circulatory arrest; moderate valve regurgitation; postoperative epinephrine, length of mechanical ventilation; length of hospital stay; discharge medication	

ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; HLHS = hypoplastic left heart syndrome; MBTS = Modified Blalock–Taussig Shunt; RACHS = Risk Adjustment in Congenital Heart Surgery; RVPAS = right ventricle to pulmonary artery shunt

Table 4. S	Summary	of	factors	examined	in	the	15	studies.
------------	---------	----	---------	----------	----	-----	----	----------

Studies reporting "Not a risk factor" Studies reporting "Sudies reporting "Significant risk factor" Individual and family factors 24 19 22 25 Sex 24 19 22 25 Prematurity/gestational age -37 weeks 28 22 28 28 Low birth weight 28 28 22 28 28 Prematurity/gestational age -37 weeks 28 19 25 28 22 Extra-cardiac/genetic syndromes 21 17 20 24 19 29 29 Cardiac diagnostic factors 21 17 20 21 27 25 29 Anatomic subhyo in HLHS 21 17 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 22 27 24 18 22 27 24 18 22 27 24 18 22 27 24 18 22 27 24 28 27 24 18 22 27 24 18 28 27 27 21 28 <td< th=""><th>Factors reported (by category)</th><th>Reference</th><th>number</th><th>s for studies</th><th>reporting</th><th>on each</th><th>factor</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>	Factors reported (by category)	Reference	number	s for studies	reporting	on each	factor			
Individual and family factors 24 28 17 25 Sex Racviethnichy 24 19 29 25 Stance to cardiac centre 28 28 10 25 Prematifysetational age <37	· (-)	Studies	reporting	"Not a risk	factor"	Studi	ies repo	rting "S	ignificant	risk factor"
Sox 24 19 27 22 25 Race/ethnicity SEShome income 24 19 29 26 Parent lactors e.g. maternal education Distance to cardiac centre 28	Individual and family factors	24	28	17	25					
Rescriptionicity 24 19 29 25 SES/hore income 28 19 25 Prematurity/gestational age <37 weeks	Sex				24	19	27	22	25	
SES/home income Parent factors e.g. maternal education Distance to cardiac centre Premativity/setational age <37 weeks	Race/ethnicity				24	19	29	25		
Parent factors e.g. maternal education Distance to cardiac centre Prematurity/gestational age <37 weeks	SES/home income									
Distance to cardiac centre 28 22 Prematu//ygetational age -37 weeks 28 19 25 Low birth weight 28 19 25 28 Cardiac diagnostic factors 21 17 19 28 27 25 28 Cardiac diagnostic factors 21 17 19 17 20 100 20 27 25 28 Cardiac diagnostic factors 21 17 19 17 20 17 20 100 20 27 25 28 22 22 24 18 22 22 22 22 22 22 24 18 22 22 24 18 22 22 24 18 22 24 16 22 24 16 22 22 24 16 22 27 24 16 22 27 22 24 16 22 27 27 22 18 27 27 22 18 27 27 22 16 17 23 20	Parent factors e.g. maternal education				23					
Prematurity/gestational age -37 weeks 28 19 28 Low birth weight 28 27 25 28 Extra-cardiac/genetic syndromes 28 27 25 28 Cardiac diagnostic factors 21 17 29 28 27 25 28 Anatonic subtype in HLHS 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 17 20 18 <	Distance to cardiac centre			28	25	22				
Low birth weight Failure to thrive Extra-cardiac/genetic syndromes 28 16 25 Cardiac diagnostic factors Anatomic subtype in HLHS Tricusple feugrigitation (C, moderate) Intact/highly restrictive atrial septum Smaller ascending aorta Prenati diagnosis 21 17 20	Prematurity/gestational age <37 weeks				28	19	25	l		
Failure to thrive 24 27 28 27 25 28 Cardiac diagnostic factors 21 17 28 27 25 28 Cardiac diagnostic factors 17 28 27 25 28 Tricuspid regurgitationt (>moderate) 17 20 4 6 Prenatal diagnosis 21 28 22 7 Pre-operative arrivitive atrial septum 21 28 22 7 Operative characteristics at stage I surgery 21 7 7 7 Operative characteristics at stage I surgery 21 7 7 7 Operative characteristics at stage I surgery 21 7 7 7 Operative complexity (RACHS > 4) 7 7 7 7 Operative complexity (RACHS > 4) 7 27 7 7 Pre-op developmental delay 27 7 7 7 Pre-op reflux 28 20 7 7 7 Operative complexity (RACHS > 4) 7 7 7 8 8 7 <	Low birth weight				28	16	25			
Extra-cardiac/genetic syndromes 28 27 25 29 Cardiac diagnostic factors 21 17 19 Intac/highly restrictive atrial septum Smaller ascending aorta 17 20 Intac/highly restrictive atrial septum 17 28 Prenatal diagnossis 21 28 22 Pre-parative arrhythmia 21 28 28 27 29 Procedural and operative factors 21 28 22 Pre-parative factors 77 Operative characteristics at stage I surgery 21 24 16 28 27 Age at surgery 17 20 24 16 28 27 Weight at initial surgery 21 17 20 24 16 27 Pre-op ventiliation 27 27 22 18 27 27 Pre-op ventiliation 27 27 22 18 27 28 Pre-op ventiliation 23 16 19 30 27 28 Bypass time 23 16 19 28 21 28 28 <	Failure to thrive				24	27	1			
Cardiac diagnostic factors 21 17 19 Anatomic subtype in HLHS 17 20 30 30 Tricuspid regurgitationt (≥ moderate) 17 28 17 28 Intact/highly restrictive atrial septum 17 28 27 27 Pre-operative arrhythmia 21 28 27 Procedural and operative factors 17 20 24 16 Operative characteristics at stage I surgery 21 27 21 5 Meight at initial surgery 21 27 27 21 16 28 27 Surgical era 24 16 27 27 21 17 20 24 16 Hospial case volume 27 27 22 18 27 27 21 16 17 20 27 27 27 28 28 27 19 17 28 20 27 27 28 16 10 17 28 20 27 28 28 17 11 16 19 27 <t< td=""><td>Extra-cardiac/genetic syndromes</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>28</td><td>27</td><td>25</td><td>29</td><td>1</td><td></td></t<>	Extra-cardiac/genetic syndromes				28	27	25	29	1	
Construction 21 17 19 Anatomic subtype in HLHS 17 20 17 20 Tricuspid regurgitationt (>moderate) 17 28 22 Pre-operative atrial septum 21 28 22 Pre-operative atrivitive strial septum 21 28 22 Pre-operative atrivition 21 28 22 Pre-operative characteristics at stage I surgery 21 17 24 16 28 27 Age at surgery 21 17 24 16 28 27 Yeight at initial surgery 21 17 24 16 28 27 Surgical era 28 28 27 22 18 27 Pre-op ventilation 27 27 22 18 27 22 18 Pre-op reflux 27 27 22 18 27 27 22 18 Pre-op reflux complications 23 23 26 27 27 22 18 Post-op complications/re-oprexitive symptoms/complications <t< td=""><td>Cardiac diagnostic factors</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>47</td><td>- 10</td><td>1</td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td></td></t<>	Cardiac diagnostic factors				47	- 10	1		<u> </u>	
Tricuspid regurgitation (≥ moderate) 17 20 ig go to go	Anatomic subtype in HLHS			21	17	19			∠ s t	
Intact/highly restrictive atrial septumSmaller ascending aortaPrenatal diagnosisPre-operative arrhythmiaProcedural and operative factorsOperative characteristics at stage I surgeryAge at surgeryWeight at initial surgerySurgical eraHospital case volumePre-op veritizionPre-op refluxOperative complexity (RACHS>4)Pre-op refluxOperative complexity (RACHS)Pass timeCross-clamp timeCross-clamp timeOz at dischargePeri/post-operative sizurePeri/post-operative sizurePeri/post-operative sizurePeri/post-operative arrhythmiaPesidual hemodynamic problemsModerate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS)Artic arch obstructionUnspecified cardiac status at dischargePerified cardiac status at dischargePerified cardiac status at dischargePerific	Tricuspid regurgitationt (>moderate)					17	20		olex ardia tom	
Smaller ascending aoria 16 Smaller ascending aoria 16 Pre-operative arrhythmia 21 Procedural and operative factors 17 Operative characteristics at stage I surgery 21 Age at surgery 21 Weight at initial surgery 21 Surgical era 24 Hospital case volume 27 Pre-op reflux 27 Operative complexity (RACHS>4) 27 Pre-op reflux 27 Operative complexity (RACHS>4) 27 Pre-op reflux 22 Operative complexity (RACHS>4) 27 Procedure type* 22 Bypass time 23 Circulatory arrest time 23 Unspecified operative factors 28 Post-operative symptoms/complication 28 Q21 28 22 Peri/post-operative serure 21 Peri/post-operative arrhythmia 28 Post-op complications if discharge 21 Peri/post-operative arrhythmia 28 Post-op complications if cischarge 21 Pe	Intact/bighty restrictive atrial septum				17	28	1	1	om of ca	
Draw diagnosisPrenatal diagnosis 21 22 Procedural and operative factors 17 Operative characteristics at stage I surgery 21 17 Age at surgery 21 17 Age at surgery 21 17 Surgical era 24 16 28 Hospital case volume 27 21 Pre-op reflux 27 22 Operative complexity (RACHS > 4) 27 27 Pre-op reflux 27 22 18 Operative complexity (RACHS > 4) 27 27 22 Pre-op reflux 21 24 16 19 30 27 22 18 Bypass type 21 24 16 19 Bypass type 23 20 20 Bypass time 23 20 23 Cross-clamp time 23 20 23 Cross-clamp time 23 20 Cross-clamp time 23 21 Cross-clamp time 23 Dot-operative serizure 24 Peri/post-operative serizurePeri/post-operative serizureP	Smaller ascending aorta					16	1		0 0 4	
LLLLPre-operative artive factors27Operative characteristics at stage I surgery21Age at surgery21Weight at initial surgery21Surgical era24Hospital case volume27Pre-op ventilation27Pre-op reflux27Operative characteristics at stage I surgery21Weight at initial surgery21Surgical era24Hospital case volume27Pre-op reflux27Operative complexity (RACHS ≥ 4)27Pre-op reflux21Operative complexity (RACHS ≥ 4)27Pre-op reflux23Operative complexity (RACHS ≥ 4)27Pre-op reflux23Operative symptoms/complications23Circulatory arrest time23Unspecified operative factors28Post-operative symptoms/complication28Ot at discharge28Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative arrhythmia28Post-op complication (in HLHS)21Actic arch obstruction (in HLHS)21Actic arch obstruction (in HLHS)21Ventricular dysfunction28Unspecified ardiac status at discharge28Peeding difficulties28Z128Z228Z228Z329Z428Z527Z924<	Prenatal diagnosis			21	28	22]			
Indecident and operative factorsImage: Constrained and operative factorsOperative characteristics at stage I surgeryImage: Constrained and operative factorsAge at surgeryImage: Constrained and operative factorsSurgical eraImage: Constrained and operative factorsHospital case volumeImage: Constrained and operative factorsPre-op ventilationImage: Constrained and operative factorsPre-op refluxImage: Constrained and operative factorsOperative complexity (RACHS > 4)Image: Constrained and operative factorsProcedure type*Image: Constrained and operative factorsBypass timeImage: Constrained and operative factorsCross-clamp timeImage: Constrained and operative factorsInspecified operative symptoms/complicationsImage: Constrained and operative factorsInspecified operative sizureImage: Constrained and operative factorsPeri/post-operative arrhythmiaImage: Constrained and operative factorsPeri/post-operative arrhythmiaImage: Constrained and operative factorsImage: Constrained and provide and operative factorsImage: Constrained and operative factorsImage: Constrained and operative arrhythmiaImage: Constrained and operative factorsImage: Constrained and operative arrhythmiaImage: Const	Bro-operative arrhythmia			21	20	~~	1			
Procedural and operative factors17Operative characteristics at stage I surgery21Age at surgery21Surgical era24Hospital case volume27Pre-op ventilation27Pre-op ventilation27Pre-op ventilation27Pre-op reflux21Operative complexity (RACHS ≥ 4)27Procedure type*21Bypass type21Bypass time23Circulatory arrest time23Unspecified operative symptoms/complications28Intubative mixed complexity complications28Post-operative symptoms/complications28Dost-operative arrhythmia21Peri/post-operative arrhythmia21Post-op complications/re-op/revision28Longer ICU/hospital stay21Residual hemodynamic problems21Modicater AV valve regurgitation (in HLHS)21Artic arch obstruction (in HLHS)21Ventricular dysfunction21Unspecified cardiac status at discharge212828Peri/post-operative situes at discharge21Perifogation (in HLHS)21Artic arch obstruction (in HLHS)21Artic arch obstruction (in HLHS)21Pre-op (difficulties21282021282320242325292627292921282128 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>21</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					21					
21 17 20 24 16 28 27 Age at surgery 21 17 20 24 16 28 27 Surgical era 21 24 16 28 27 27 22 18 Pre-op ventilation 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 Pre-op ventilation 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 Bypass type 21 24 16 19 30 27 Bypass type 21 24 16 19 30 27 Bypass type 23 20 20 23 16 Unspecified operative symptoms/complications 23 16 23 28 17 Intubation time/airway complication 28 28 22 24 24 28 22 Peri/post-operative sizure 21 28 22 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 <td>Procedural and operative factors</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>17</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Procedural and operative factors				17					
Age at surgery1727Weight at initial surgery21Surgical era24Hospital case volume27Pre-op ventilation27Pre-op developmental delay27Pre-op flux27Operative complexity (RACHS \geq 4)27Procedure type*21Bypass type177Bypass type23Cross-clamp time23Circulatory arrest time23Unspecified operative factors28Post-operative symptoms/complications28Intubation time/airway complication28Q2 at discharge21Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative seizure23Peri/post-operative seizure23Peri/post-operative seizure23Peri/post-operative seizure23Peri/post-operative seizure23Peri/post-operative seizure23Peri/post-operative seizure23Peri/post-operative seizure23Peri/post-operative seizure23	Operative characteristics at stage I surgery		21	17	20	24	16	28	27	
Weight at initial surgery21Surgical era24Hospital case volume27Pre-op ventilation27Pre-op developmental delay27Pre-op reflux27Operative complexity (RACHS > 4)27Procedure type*21Bypass type17Bypass time23Circulatory arrest time23Unspecified operative factors28Post-operative symptoms/complications28Intubation time/airway complication28Qat discharge21Peri/post-operative earlythmia28Post-op complications/re-op/revision21Longer ICU/hospital stay17Residual hemodynamic problems23Moderate AV valar regurgitation (in HLHS)21Aortic arch obstruction21Unspecified cardiac status at discharge2128272929Longer ICU/hospital stay17Residual hemodynamic problems23Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS)21Aortic arch obstruction21Unspecified cardiac status at discharge282128232224262729	Age at surgery				17	27				
Surgical era2416Hospital case volume2727Pre-op ventilation27Pre-op developmental delay27Pre-op reflux27Operative complexity (RACHS \geq 4)21Procedure type*21Bypass type23Bypass time23Cross-clamp time23Circulatory arrest time23Unspecified operative factors28Post-operative symptoms/complications23Intubation time/airway complication28Q2 at discharge21Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative satus at discharge17Post-op complications/re-op/revision21Longer ICU/hospital stay17Residual hemodynamic problems17Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS)17Ventricular dystunction17Unspecified cardiac status at discharge212123212423272428Periopst-operative satus at discharge2123272428252126272729	Weight at initial surgery					21				
Hospital case volume 27 Pre-op vertiliation 27 Pre-op developmental delay 27 Pre-op reflux 27 Operative complexity (RACHS \geq 4) 27 Procedure type* 21 Bypass type 17 Bypass time 23 Cross-clamp time 23 Circulatory arrest time 23 Unspecified operative factors 28 Post-operative symptoms/complications 28 Intubation time/airway complication 28 Peri/post-operative seizure 21 Peri/post-operative sature seizure 17 Peri/post-operative surger 17 Peri/post-operative sature 21 Peri/post-operative surger 17 Qareate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS) 17 Ventricular dysfunction 17 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 21 28 26 27 29	Surgical era				24	16	1			
Pre-op ventilation27Pre-op developmental delay27Pre-op reflux27Operative complexity (RACHS > 4)27Procedure type*21Bypass type17Bypass time23Cross-clamp time23Circulatory arrest time23Unspecified operative factors28Post-operative symptoms/complications28Intubation time/airway complication28O2 at discharge21Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative arrhythmia28Post-op complications/re-op/revision21Longer ICU/hospital stay17Medicator (in HLHS)17Ventricular dysfunction17Unspecified cardiac status at discharge21Peri/post-operative anthythmia21Post-op complications/re-op/revision21Longer ICU/hospital stay17Question (in HLHS)17Ventricular dysfunction11Unspecified cardiac status at discharge21232424272929212729212117232124262729	Hospital case volume					27	Ì			
Pre-op developmental delay Pre-op reflux Operative complexity (RACHS \geq 4)272218Procedure type* Bypass type Bypass time Cross-clamp time Circulatory arrest time Unspecified operative factors232027Post-operative symptoms/complications Intubation time/airway complication O2 at discharge Peri/post-operative sizure282322Medications at discharge Peri/post-operative sizure Peri/post-operative sizure 	Pre-op ventilation				27					
Pre-op reflux Operative complexity (RACHS \geq 4)272218Procedure type* Bypass type212416193027Bypass type1717171818192017Bypass time232023201619212416193027Cross-clamp time23231623231616193027Unspecified operative factors2828171619281716Post-operative symptoms/complications2828222822282322Medications at discharge282128281619281619Peri/post-operative seizure2123272917232917281619Post-op complications/re-op/revision2123272917232317	Pre-op developmental delay				27					
Operative complexity (RACHS≥4) 27 22 18 Procedure type* 21 24 16 19 30 27 Bypass type 17 17 17 17 18 Bypass time 23 20 23 20 17 Cross-clamp time 23 20 16 19 30 27 Circulatory arrest time 23 16 16 16 17 16 Unspecified operative factors 28 16 18 17 16 18 17 Post-operative symptoms/complications 23 28 17 17 18 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 19 18 16 19 18 19 19 18 18 18 18 19 18 18 18 16 19 18 <	Pre-op reflux				21				-	
Procedure type*212416193027Bypass type17Bypass time2320Cross-clamp time2323Circulatory arrest time2316Unspecified operative factors28Post-operative symptoms/complications2328Intubation time/ainway complication2828O2 at discharge2823Medications at discharge2128Peri/post-operative seizure2128Peri/post-operative seizure2123Peri/post-operative arrhythmia2816Post-op complications/re-op/revision2123Longer ICU/hospital stay1729Residual hemodynamic problems2123Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS)2117Ventricular dysfunction2117Unspecified cardiac status at discharge2128Feeding difficulties212827	Operative complexity (RACHS ≥ 4)					27	22	18		
Bypass typeBypass typeBypass timeCross-clamp timeCross-clamp timeCirculatory arrest timeUnspecified operative factorsPost-operative symptoms/complicationsIntubation time/airway complicationO2 at dischargeMedications at dischargePeri/post-operative seizurePeri/post-operative seizurePeri/post-operative seizurePeri/post-operative arrhythmiaPost-op complications/re-op/revisionLonger ICU/hospital stayResidual hemodynamic problemsModerate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS)Ventricular dysfunctionUnspecified cardiac status at dischargeFeeding difficulties21282921232425262729202123242526272923232425262729212121232425272829212121222324252728292128292128292128292128	Procedure type*				21	24	16	19	30	27
Bypass time 11 Bypass time 23 Cross-clamp time 23 Circulatory arrest time 23 Unspecified operative factors 28 Post-operative symptoms/complications 23 Intubation time/airway complication 28 O2 at discharge 28 Medications at discharge 21 Peri/post-operative seizure 21 Peri/post-operative arrhythmia 28 Post-op complications/re-op/revision 21 Longer ICU/hospital stay 17 Residual hemodynamic problems 23 Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS) 21 Ventricular dysfunction 21 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 Feeding difficulties 21 28 21	Bypass type				17					
Dypass time2320Cross-clamp time2316Circulatory arrest time2316Unspecified operative factors2316Post-operative symptoms/complications2328Intubation time/airway complication2823O2 at discharge2823Medications at discharge2128Peri/post-operative seizure2123Peri/post-operative seizure2123Peri/post-operative arrhythmia2816Post-op complications/re-op/revision2123Longer ICU/hospital stay1729Residual hemodynamic problems1729Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS)17Ventricular dysfunction2123Unspecified cardiac status at discharge28Feeding difficulties21282128262729	Bypass time				17		1			
Cross-ctainp time 23 Circulatory arrest time 23 Unspecified operative factors 28 Post-operative symptoms/complications 23 Intubation time/airway complication 28 O2 at discharge 28 Medications at discharge 21 Peri/post-operative seizure 21 Peri/post-operative arrhythmia 28 Post-op complications/re-op/revision 21 Longer ICU/hospital stay 17 Residual hemodynamic problems 17 Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS) 17 Ventricular dysfunction 21 17 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 Feeding difficulties 21 28	Cross clown time				23	20	l			
Circulatory arrest time2316Unspecified operative factors28Post-operative symptoms/complications23Intubation time/airway complication28O2 at discharge28Medications at discharge21Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative seizure21Peri/post-operative arrhythmia28Post-op complications/re-op/revision21Longer ICU/hospital stay17Residual hemodynamic problems23Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS)21Ventricular dysfunction21Unspecified cardiac status at discharge28Feeding difficulties2128262729					23					
Unspecified operative factors 28 Post-operative symptoms/complications 23 28 Intubation time/airway complication 28 28 O2 at discharge 28 23 22 Medications at discharge 21 28 Peri/post-operative seizure 21 23 Peri/post-operative arrhythmia 28 16 19 Post-op complications/re-op/revision 21 23 27 29 Longer ICU/hospital stay 17 29 23 23 Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS) 23 17 23 Aortic arch obstruction 21 17 23 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 28 28 29 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 21 28 26 27 29					23	16				
Post-operative symptoms/complications232817Intubation time/airway complication28282322Medications at discharge21282322Medications at discharge21282128Peri/post-operative seizure21232729Peri/post-operative arrhythmia281619Post-op complications/re-op/revision21232729Longer ICU/hospital stay172923Residual hemodynamic problems2317Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS)1723Ventricular dysfunction211723Unspecified cardiac status at discharge282627Feeding difficulties21282627	Unspecified operative factors				28					
Intubation time/airway complication 28 O2 at discharge 28 Medications at discharge 21 Peri/post-operative seizure 21 Peri/post-operative arrhythmia 28 Post-op complications/re-op/revision 21 Longer ICU/hospital stay 17 Residual hemodynamic problems 23 Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS) 17 Ventricular dysfunction 21 17 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 Feeding difficulties 21 28	Post-operative symptoms/complications				23	28	17	1		
O2 at discharge282322Medications at discharge2128Peri/post-operative seizure2128Peri/post-operative arrhythmia2816Post-op complications/re-op/revision2123Longer ICU/hospital stay1729Residual hemodynamic problems23Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS)17Aortic arch obstruction2117Ventricular dysfunction2117Unspecified cardiac status at discharge28Feeding difficulties21282128262729	Intubation time/airway complication				28					
Medications at discharge 21 28 Peri/post-operative seizure 21 23 Peri/post-operative arrhythmia 28 16 19 Post-op complications/re-op/revision 21 23 27 29 Longer ICU/hospital stay 17 29 Residual hemodynamic problems 23 23 Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS) 17 23 Ventricular dysfunction 21 17 23 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 17 23 Feeding difficulties 21 28 26 27 29	O2 at discharge			28	23	22	1			
Peri/post-operative seizure 21 23 Peri/post-operative arrhythmia 28 16 19 Post-op complications/re-op/revision 21 23 27 29 Longer ICU/hospital stay 17 29 23 23 Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS) 21 17 23 Aortic arch obstruction 21 17 23 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 17 23 Feeding difficulties 21 28 26 27 29	Medications at discharge			21	28					
Peri/post-operative arrhythmia 28 16 19 Post-op complications/re-op/revision 21 23 27 29 Longer ICU/hospital stay 17 29 Residual hemodynamic problems 17 23 Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS) 17 Aortic arch obstruction (in HLHS) 21 17 Ventricular dysfunction 21 17 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 Feeding difficulties 21 28	Peri/post-operative seizure				-	21	23	1		
Post-op complications/re-op/revision 21 23 27 29 Longer ICU/hospital stay 17 29 Residual hemodynamic problems 17 29 Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS) 23 17 Aortic arch obstruction (in HLHS) 21 17 Ventricular dysfunction 21 17 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 Feeding difficulties 21 28	Peri/post-operative arrhythmia				28	16	19	1		
Longer ICU/hospital stay 17 29 Residual hemodynamic problems 23 Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS) 17 Aortic arch obstruction (in HLHS) 17 Ventricular dysfunction 21 17 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 Feeding difficulties 21 28	Post-op complications/re-op/revision			21	23	27	20	1		
Residual hemodynamic problems 17 29 Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS) 23 17 Aortic arch obstruction (in HLHS) 17 23 Ventricular dysfunction 21 17 23 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 28 Feeding difficulties 21 28 26 27 29	Longer ICU/hospital stay			21	23	27	29	I		
Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS) 23 Aortic arch obstruction (in HLHS) 17 Ventricular dysfunction 21 17 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 Feeding difficulties 21 28	Residual hemodynamic problems				17	29	l			
Aortic arch obstruction (in HLHS) 17 Ventricular dysfunction 21 17 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 Feeding difficulties 21 28	Moderate AV valvar regurgitation (in HLHS)				23					
Ventricular dysfunction 21 17 23 Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 Feeding difficulties 21 28 26 27 29	Aortic arch obstruction (in HLHS)				17		1			
Unspecified cardiac status at discharge 28 Feeding difficulties 21 28 26 27 29	Ventricular dysfunction			21	17	23	l			
Feeding difficulties 21 28 26 27 29	Unspecified cardiac status at discharge				28				_	
	Feeding difficulties			21	28	26	27	29		

AV = atrioventricular; HLHS = hypoplastic left heart syndrome.

^{*}Cardiac surgical procedures defined in these studies were as follows: palliative procedures, Norwood stage 1, bi-directional Glenn, shunt construction, thoracic vessel procedures, truncus arteriosus repair, total anomalous pulmonary venous return (TAPVR) repair, open valvotomy

such as household income,²⁹ family income below the national poverty threshold,¹⁹ and deprivation index of the residential area,²⁵ and Hispanic ethnicity^{14,19,22} were highlighted as risk factors for mortality and unplanned hospital re-admission in US studies. Preterm birth^{19,25} and low birth weight^{16,25} were risk factors for mortality, but patient gender was not significantly associated with adverse outcomes.^{17,24,25,28} Children living 90–300 minutes

from the cardiac centre were at significantly lower risk of unplanned re-admission²² compared with families living under 90 minutes away, but there was no association with mortality.^{22,25} Family factors including maternal education, marital status, and country of birth were not associated with adverse outcomes.²⁵

Cardiac diagnosis and procedural factors. Infants with more complex hypoplastic left heart variants^{16,17,19,20,28}

were at higher risk of mortality or re-admission. Children undergoing more complex operations, based on the Risk Adjustment in Congenital Heart Surgery 1 system,^{8,31} were at greater risk.^{18,22,27} Several studies reported that Norwood procedures,²⁴ specific shunt operations,^{16,19,24,30} total anomalous pulmonary venous connection repair,²⁴ and truncus arteriosus repair²⁴ were associated with significantly higher mortality, whereas palliative operations²⁷ increased the risk of unplanned re-admission. There was insufficient evidence to suggest that intra-operative characteristics such as cardiopulmonary bypass or circulatory arrest time had a negative impact on outcome.^{16,23,28}

In children undergoing staged palliative operations, older age at first procedure was associated with higher mortality risk,^{16,28} whereas younger age, under 4 months, at the second stage Glenn procedure increased the risk of postoperative complications.²⁰ Futhermore, two papers^{19,30} reporting findings from the Single Ventricle Reconstruction trial, in which patients with hypoplastic left heart syndrome were randomised to receive different surgical interventions, higher mortality after hospital discharge was observed within the group receiving a modified Blalock–Taussig shunt compared with a right ventricle-to-pulmonary artery conduit; this difference was no longer significant after adjustment for severity of postoperative atrioventricular valvar regurgitation.

Postoperative symptoms/complications. A total of five studies explored postoperative feeding difficulties;^{21,26–29} three of these identified feeding difficulties, including the need for gastrostomy tube placement,²⁶ as a risk factor for mortality or unplanned re-admission. Periand postoperative arrhythmias were also a significant risk factor for mortality in two studies,^{21,23} whereas airway complications, prolonged postoperative hospital length of stay, postoperative complications, and medications at discharge were not found to influence outcomes post-discharge.

Discussion

We identified 15 studies that evaluated the potential risk factors associated with mortality or unplanned hospital re-admission in children successfully discharged from the hospital after cardiac surgery for serious CHDs. Factors identified most frequently by these studies as predicting significantly increased risk of adverse events were non-Caucasian ethnicity, ^{19,22,25,27} lower socio-economic status, ^{19,25,29} co-morbid conditions including non-cardiac malformations and syndromes, ^{25,27,29} age at surgery, ^{16,24,27,28} operative complexity or procedure type, ^{16,18,19,22,24,27,30} and postoperative feeding difficulties. ^{26,27,29} Patient sex, parental factors, intra-operative factors, and

postoperative complications were also investigated, but were not found to be independent predictors of post-discharge outcomes.

Our review confirms the significant lack of research into adverse outcomes after hospital discharge following surgery, and highlights the fact that the evidence base to inform post-discharge clinical care and identify infants at high risk for focussed support is extremely limited. As many reports derive from North American studies, and the research population is often limited to infants who have severe and complex cardiac diagnoses requiring staged surgery, care must be taken in generalising the findings from these existing studies to the wider UK population of infants with CHDs.

Despite our broad search strategy that was intended to capture research into other life-threatening anomalies that require surgery during infancy, such as gastroschisis and diaphragmatic hernia,³² the only studies of post-discharge outcomes that met the inclusion criteria concerned CHDs. CHDs, however, have the highest rate of infant deaths of all congenital anomaly subgroups, and this may account for the greater interest in monitoring outcomes after hospital discharge; however, it is also notable that postdischarge outcomes of infants with CHDs came to prominence with the introduction of staged palliative surgery for HLHS, which led to improved early in-hospital outcomes^{33,34} and highlighted later interstage mortality as an important concern.^{19,35} In three US studies^{19,25,27} within our review,

patients of Hispanic ethnicity were found to be at risk of adverse outcomes relative to Caucasian patients post-hospital discharge. This confirms previous research, which has shown that US Hispanic communities are more likely to experience multiple barriers to healthcare, including language and immigration status, and financial barriers such as lack of health insurance or low family incomes.^{36,37} On the other hand, the impact of ethnicity and socioeconomic deprivation demonstrated in US studies may be influenced by an individual family's ability to pay for care,³⁶⁻⁴³ and the relevance of these findings for the UK healthcare system is uncertain. Nevertheless, there is evidence that lower income families in the United Kingdom also experience a considerable financial burden when caring for their child with CHD and that this may affect care-seeking behaviours.⁴³ The results of our review, therefore, add to the growing body of evidence suggesting that patients from minority ethnic and lower socioeconomic groups are more likely to experience barriers to timely and appropriate access to care, and underlines the relevance of these factors to the population of infants with CHDs following hospital discharge.

Postoperative feeding and growth were also significantly associated with adverse outcome in several studies^{26,27,29} identified within the review, consistent with previous research;⁴⁴ however, the relationship between feeding difficulties and adverse outcomes post-discharge is likely to be complex, due to potential confounding with poorer cardiac status and other co-morbidities, and therefore requires further investigation.

A limitation of our review was the rigour of our eligibility criteria, which excluded any studies that did not clearly differentiate between deaths that occurred before and after hospital discharge, and thus may have removed from the review some studies that evaluated additional risk factors to those reported here. Nevertheless, the relative lack of studies reporting post-discharge surgical outcomes may also simply reflect the limited monitoring of late adverse events and specifically of events occurring in the community or primary-care setting.²⁴

Re-admission to hospital will depend both on the child's clinical state and the response to this by parents and medical staff. It is possible that re-admission signified a timely response to a child's deteriorating clinical state in some cases, whereas in others it was a response to a child who became seriously unwell. In our review, we considered an unplanned re-admission to be an adverse event, indicating that a child's condition deteriorated unexpectedly at home, and thus did not experience a stable clinical course after discharge. Nevertheless, it is possible that the risk factors for re-admission may differ from those for deaths, and this may have contributed to the breadth of different risk factors identified.

We identified several key medical and social factors associated with a higher risk of mortality or unplanned hospital re-admission for children discharged from hospital after paediatric cardiac surgery. Some of these risk factors such as feeding difficulties would be amenable to modification through specific interventions, whereas others enable health professionals to identify children who are at greatest risk for adverse outcomes and to offer additional support, such as home monitoring programmes, targeted more effectively at vulnerable children and their families within the community setting. Although there were no studies of social and financial factors within the UK healthcare context, unequal access to care may disproportionately affect minority ethnic communities and low income families and should be a focus for future research in the United Kingdom. Crucially, this review highlights an evidence gap and important need for longer-term studies to investigate the risk factors for out-of-hospital outcomes after surgery separately from in-hospital outcomes. Such evidence would better inform post-discharge care and communitybased interventions to improve long-term survival and the quality of life of infants with CHDs.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the librarians at the UCL Institute of Child Health, London, United Kingdom for their assistance with development of the search strategy for this review.

Financial Support

This project was funded by the National Institute for Health Research Health Services and Delivery Research programme (Project No: 10/2002/29). The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NIHR HS&DR programme or the Department of Health. Dr Franklin and Dr Daubeney's research is supported by the Biomedical Research Unit at the Royal Brompton Hospital.

Conflicts of Interest

None.

Ethical Standards

Not applicable (no human subjects).

References

- Knowles RL, Bull C, Wren C, Dezateux C. Mortality with congenital heart defects in England and Wales, 1959-2009: exploring technological change through period and birth cohort analysis. Arch Dis Child 2012; 97: 861–865.
- Kurinczuk JJ, Hollowell J, Boyd PA, et al. Inequalities in Infant Mortality Project Briefing Paper 4: The contribution of congenital anomalies to infant mortality. University of Oxford, National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, Oxford, 2010.
- Billett J, Majeed A, Gatzoulis M, Cowie M. Trends in hospital admissions, in-hospital case fatality and population mortality from congenital heart disease in England, 1994 to 2004. Heart 2008; 94: 342–348.
- NICOR: National Institute for Cardiovascular Outcomes Research. Congenital Heart Disease Website. Retrieved August 29, 2014, from https://nicor4.nicor.org.uk/CHD/an_paeds.nsf/vwContent/ home?Opendocument
- Hindocha NBA. When, where and why do babies die after neonatal surgery for congenital heart disease? *National Medical Student Research Conference Abstracts, Medical Acorn Foundation*. London, UK, 2010.
- Azakie T, Merklinger SL, McCrindle BW, et al. Evolving strategies and improving outcomes of the modified Norwood procedure: a 10year single-institution experience. Ann Thorac Surg 2001; 72: 1349–1353.
- Gilboa SM, Salemi JL, Nembhard WN, et al. Mortality resulting from congenital heart disease among children and adults in the United States, 1999 to 2006. Circulation 2010; 122: 2254–2263.
- Jenkins KJ, Gauvreau K, Newburger JW, et al. Consensus-based method for risk adjustment for surgery for congenital heart disease. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2002; 123: 110–118.

- Lacour-Gayet F, Clarke D, Jacobs J, et al. The Aristotle score for congenital heart surgery. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg Pediatr Card Surg Annu 2004; 7: 185–191.
- Crowe S, Brown KL, Pagel C, et al. Development of a diagnosisand procedure-based risk model for 30-day outcome after pediatric cardiac surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2013; 145: 1270–1278.
- O'Brien SM, Clarke DR, Jacobs JP, et al. An empirically based tool for analyzing mortality associated with congenital heart surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2009; 138: 1139–1153.
- American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Fetus and Newborn. Hospital discharge of the high-risk neonate. Pediatrics 2008; 122: 1119–1126.
- NHS England. New review of congenital heart services. Board Paper – NHS England: NHSE180713. Retrieved August 29, 2014, from http://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/ 180713-item13.pdf
- 14. Morley PT. Quality assessment for indvidual studies to be used for the review of resuscitation science for 2010. American Heart Association International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR), 2008. Retrieved August 29, 2014, from http://www. heart.org/idc/groups/heart-public/@wcm/@private/@ecc/documents/ downloadable/ucm_308201.pdf
- Tregay J, Wray J, Brown KL, Knowles RL. A systematic review of risk factors associated with adverse outcomes for infants discharged from hospital after major surgery for congenital anomalies. PROSPERO 2013: CRD42013003483. Retrieved August 29, 2014, from http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record. asp?ID=CRD42013003483.
- Ashburn DA, McCrindle BW, Tchervenkov CI, et al. Outcomes after the Norwood operation in neonates with critical aortic stenosis or aortic valve atresia. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2003; 125: 1070–1082.
- Carlo WF, Carberry KE, Heinle JS, et al. Interstage attrition between bidirectional Glenn and Fontan palliation in children with hypoplastic left heart syndrome. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2011; 142: 511–516.
- Edwards JD, Kun SS, Keens TG, et al. Children with corrected or palliated congenital heart disease on home mechanical ventilation. Pediatr Pulm 2010; 45: 645–649.
- Ghanayem NS, Allen KR, Tabbutt S, et al. Interstage mortality after the Norwood procedure: results of the multicenter single ventricle reconstruction trial. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2012; 144: 896–906.
- Hansen JH, Uebing A, Furck AK, et al. Risk factors for adverse outcome after superior cavopulmonary anastomosis for hypoplastic left heart syndrome. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2011; 40: e43–e49.
- Mahle WT, Spray TL, Gaynor JW, Clark BJ. Unexpected death after reconstructive surgery for hypoplastic left heart syndrome. Ann Thorac Surg 2001; 71: 61–65.
- Pinto NM, Lasa J, Dominguez TE, et al. Regionalization in neonatal congenital heart surgery: the impact of distance on outcome after discharge. Pediatr Cardiol 2012; 33: 229–238.
- Simsic JM, Bradley SM, Stroud MR, Atz AM. Risk factors for interstage death after the Norwood procedure. Pediatr Cardiol 2005; 26: 400–403.
- Chang RK, Rodriguez S, Lee M, Klitzner TS. Risk factors for deaths occurring within 30 days and 1 year after hospital discharge for cardiac surgery among pediatric patients. Am Heart J 2006; 152: 386–393.
- Fixler DE, Nembhard WN, Xu P, et al. Effect of acculturation and distance from cardiac center on congenital heart disease mortality. Pediatrics 2012; 129: 1118–1124.

- Hebson CL, Oster ME, Kirshbom PM, et al. Association of feeding modality with interstage mortality after single-ventricle palliation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2012; 144: 173–177.
- Kogon B, Jain A, Oster M, et al. Risk factors associated with readmission after pediatric cardiothoracic surgery. Ann Thorac Surg 2012; 94: 865–873.
- Hehir DA, Dominguez TE, Ballweg JA, et al. Risk factors for interstage death after stage 1 reconstruction of hypoplastic left heart syndrome and variants. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2008; 136: 94–99.
- Mackie AS, Gauvreau K, Newburger JW, et al. Risk factors for readmission after neonatal cardiac surgery. Ann Thorac Surg 2004; 78: 1972–1978.
- Ohye RG, Schonbeck JV, Eghtesady P, et al. Cause, timing, and location of death in the single ventricle reconstruction trial. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2012; 144: 907–914.
- Jenkins KJ. Risk adjustment for congenital heart surgery: the RACHS-1 method. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg Pediatr Card Surg Annu 2004; 7: 180–184.
- Davis PJ, Firmin RK, Manktelow B, et al. Long-term outcome following extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for congenital diaphragmatic hernia: the UK experience. J Pediatr 2004; 144: 309–315.
- 33. Tweddell JS, Hoffman GM, Mussatto KA, et al. Improved survival of patients undergoing palliation of hypoplastic left heart syndrome: lessons learned from 115 consecutive patients. Circulation 2002; 106 (Suppl 1): I82–I89.
- McGuirk SP, Griselli M, Stumper OF, et al. Staged surgical management of hypoplastic left heart syndrome: a single institution 12 year experience. Heart 2006; 92: 364–370.
- Ghanayem NS, Hoffman GM, Mussatto KA, et al. Home surveillance program prevents interstage mortality after the Norwood procedure. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2003; 126: 1367–1377.
- 36. Cristancho S, Garces DM, Peters KE, Mueller BC. Listening to rural hispanic immigrants in the midwest: a community-based participatory assessment of major barriers to health care access and use. Qual Health Res 2008; 18: 633–646.
- Valdez RB, Giachello A, Rodriguez-Trias H, et al. Improving access to health care in Latino communities. Public Health Rep 1993; 108: 534–539.
- DeMone JA, Gonzalez PC, Gauvreau K, et al. Risk of death for Medicaid recipients undergoing congenital heart surgery. Pediatr Cardiol 2003; 24: 97–102.
- Fixler DE, Nembhard WN, Salemi JL, et al. Mortality in first 5 years in infants with functional single ventricle born in Texas, 1996 to 2003. Circulation 2010; 121: 644–650.
- 40. Flores G. Technical report racial and ethnic disparities in the health and health care of children. Pediatrics 2010; 125: e979–e1020.
- 41. Flores G, Lin H. Trends in racial/ethnic disparities in medical and oral health, access to care, and use of services in US children: has anything changed over the years? Int J Equity Health 2013; 12: 10.
- 42. Nembhard WN, Salemi JL, Ethen MK, et al. Racial/ethnic disparities in risk of early childhood mortality among children with congenital heart defects. Pediatrics 2011; 127: e1128–e1138.
- Connor JA, Kline NE, Mott S, et al. The meaning of cost for families of children with congenital heart disease. J Pediatr Health Care 2010; 24: 318–325.
- 44. Golbus JR, Wojcik BM, Charpie JR, Hirsch JC. Feeding complications in hypoplastic left heart syndrome after the Norwood procedure: a systematic review of the literature. Pediatr Cardiol 2011; 32: 539–552.