# Genetic dissection of association among within-boll yield components and their relationship with seed cotton yield in $F_3$ populations of *Gossypium hirsutum* L.

Sami Ul-Allah\*, Muhammad Iqbal, Muhammad Naeem and Waqas Zahid Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, UCA&ES, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur 63100, Pakistan

## Received 3 June 2015; Revised 14 July 2015; Accepted 11 September 2015 - First published online 20 October 2015

# Abstract

Only a little information is available on the genetic association of basic within-boll yield components of segregating populations. A total of 25 genotypes were evaluated for genetic diversity using SSR markers in 2012; of these, eight diverse genotypes were selected to attempt the generation of five crosses. From the progeny of these five crosses, five  $F_3$  populations were developed. Data for within-boll yield components were recorded by taking 30 observations (one observation average of five bolls) from each population, and analysed for genotypic and phenotypic correlations followed by the path coefficient analysis from the genotypic correlation. The highest genotypic correlation was observed between seed cotton yield per locule and number of seeds per boll (ranging from 0.654 to 0.972 for different crosses). Except number of seeds per locule and lint percentage, all the other within-boll yield components had a highly significant positive correlation with seed cotton yield, whereas the former two had a negative correlation. Path coefficient analysis revealed that number of seeds per boll had a maximum direct effect on yield (ranging from 0.776 to 0.895 for different crosses), and also had a relatively high magnitude of the indirect effect via other traits. Differences were observed in the magnitude of correlation coefficients and direct/indirect effects for different populations, but the direction of genetic association always remained the same. The present study showed that number of locules per boll, seed cotton yield per locule, number of seeds per boll and seed cotton yield per boll can be used as efficient selection criteria for the improvement in seed cotton yield.

Keywords: boll yield traits; correlation; genetic diversity; path coefficient analysis

# Introduction

Cotton is the most important fibre crop and grown over an area of around 32 million hectares (ICAC, 2015). It is considered as the backbone of cotton industry. For farmers, the most important trait is seed cotton yield, whereas for the textile industry, fibre traits are more important.

Breeders consider both yield and fibre traits as the primary objective for the improvement of cotton fibre quality. Cotton boll is a basic unit of seed cotton yield and fibre quality (Tang and Xiao, 2013, 2014; Jones *et al.*, 2014). This basic unit can be divided into several components such as number of locules per boll, seed cotton yield per locule, seed cotton yield per boll and lint percentage. These components play a vital role in the selection of cotton genotypes for seed cotton yield with better fibre quality traits (Wu *et al.*, 2004; Tang and Xiao, 2013; Bechere *et al.*, 2014). However, it is the ability of the breeder to

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail: sami\_llh@yahoo.com

identify and evaluate the genetic association of these yield components for a breeding programme.

Seed cotton yield is a complex trait that depends on the contribution of several other traits. Genetic correlation among these traits describes the genetic association and pleiotropic effects of the traits (Wu *et al.*, 2004; Karademir *et al.*, 2010). Path coefficient analysis splits the genetic association into direct and indirect effects to explain the pleiotropic magnitude of other traits (Bhatt, 1973; Bechere *et al.*, 2014). This analysis also helps to analyse the contribution of each component trait to the complex trait (Board *et al.*, 1997; Salahuddin *et al.*, 2010).

Within-boll yield-related traits are very important in determining the seed cotton yield. Because the measurement of a within-boll yield-related trait is not easy, only a small selection pressure is exerted on these traits (Coyle and Smith, 1997; Wu et al., 2004). Number of bolls per plant, number of locules per boll, seed cotton yield per boll and lint percentage have also been reported (Wu et al., 2004; Desalegn et al., 2009; Imran et al., 2012) to correlate positively with the seed cotton yield. Some basic within-boll-related traits such as number of locules per boll, seed cotton yield per locule and number of seeds per locule have been ignored in previous studies. Previous studies (Bednarz et al., 2007; Bechere et al., 2014) have suggested the need for continuous research to investigate the contribution of within-boll yield-related traits to the seed cotton yield. They reported that cotton boll traits were controlled by multiple genes, and that with the development of new genotypes, there may be changes in the genetic association among the traits.

Given the above-mentioned facts, the main objective of the present study was to (1) investigate the association of basic cotton boll traits with seed cotton yield and (2) determine whether the genetic association of withinboll yield-related traits changed with the development of new genotypes.

#### Materials and methods

#### Plant material for genetic studies

A total of 25 genotypes of cotton, collected from different research institutes of Pakistan, were sown in polythene bags in May 2012 to study their genetic diversity. Leaves were taken from 30 d-old seedlings. For DNA extraction, two true leaf samples were taken from each genotype. DNA was extracted by the CTAB method, and quality of the extracted DNA was assessed by running it on gel electrophoresis (0.86% agarose gel). PCR reaction mixture included 10  $\mu$ l of 2.5 mM DNTPs, 2  $\mu$ l Taq polymerase enzyme, 1.5  $\mu$ l primer, 3  $\mu$ l MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2  $\mu$ l PCR buffer and 2  $\mu$ l DNA template. From each of 50 SSR polymorphic

primers, 35 cycles were allowed to amplify the required segment of DNA. The amplified products were examined on gel electrophoresis (1.5% agarose gel), with ethidium bromide as the florescent dye. SSR data obtained were subjected to binary number for the analysis of the similarity index. The similarity matrix was subsequently used for the construction of dendrogram by using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA).

# Development of F<sub>3</sub> populations

From the dendrogram, eight diverse genotypes were selected, and the generation of the following cross combinations was attempted in July 2012 and the  $F_1$  populations were raised in the tunnel during November–March: (1) Bt-86 × CRSM-2007; (2) Bt-06 × CH-57; (3) Bt-1401 × CRSM-38; (4) CRSM-2007 × CIM-707; (5) CIM-707 × MNH-6070.

The  $F_2$  populations were raised in May 2013, and selection was made on the basis of the within-boll yield-related traits.

## Morphological and agronomic trait evaluations

The F<sub>3</sub> populations were raised during May-October 2014 and ten F3 rows of each cross were raised from the seed collected from F<sub>2</sub> populations. Plants were sown at a line-to-line distance of 75 cm and a plantto-plant distance of 30 cm, and 20 plants were maintained in each line. For parental data, two rows of each parent were also raised in a randomized complete block design with three replications. The soil was sandy loam (sand, silt and clay ratio 50:30:20) with a pH of 8.1. All standard agronomic and plant protection practices were carried out equally for all rows and crosses. For data collection of boll traits, 50 plants were randomly tagged from each population. To avoid any possible loss of boll during the data collection of boll yield, 50 plants were tagged separately for seed cotton yield per plant, of which 30 plants were harvested randomly to record the data.

For the within-boll yield-related traits, data for 30 bolls (each boll data average of five bolls from one plant) were randomly taken from each population, and data of number of locules per boll, number of seeds per locule, seed cotton yield per locule, number of seeds per boll, seed cotton yield per boll and lint percentage were recorded.

#### Statistical analysis

For correlation and path coefficient studies, segregating generations of all the five crosses were analysed

separately to determine whether the production of different cross combinations can break the strength of certain correlations. Phenotypic correlation coefficient among the different traits was calculated by using Pearson's correlation coefficient formula (Gomez and Gomez, 1984) as follows:

$$r = \frac{\sum XY - \sum X(\sum Y/n)}{\sqrt{\left[\sum X^2 - (\sum X)^2/n\right]\left[\sum Y^2 - (\sum Y)^2/n\right]}},$$

where n is the number of observations; X is the first variable; and Y is the second variable.

Genotypic correlation among the studied traits was calculated using the following formula:

$$r = \frac{\text{CoVF}_3 - \overline{\text{CoVp}}}{\sqrt{[\text{VF}_{3(X)} - \text{Vp}(X)][\text{VF}_3 - \overline{\text{CoVp}}(Y)]}}$$

where CoVF<sub>3</sub> is the covariance of the F<sub>3</sub> generation;  $\overline{\text{CoVp}}$  is the average covariance of parents; VF<sub>3(X)</sub> is the variance of the *X* trait in the F<sub>3</sub> generation; VF<sub>3(Y)</sub> is the variance of the *Y* trait in the F<sub>3</sub> generation;  $\overline{\text{Vp}(X)}$  is the average variance of parents for the *X* trait; and  $\overline{\text{Vp}(Y)}$  is the average variance of parents for the *Y* trait. Path coefficient analysis was performed according to the method given by Dewey and Lu (1959) for the within-boll yield components, considering seed cotton yield per plant as the resultant variable and within-boll yield components, i.e. number of locules per boll, number of seeds per locule, seed cotton yield per locule, number of seeds per boll, seed cotton yield per boll and lint percentage, as causal variables.

#### Results

#### Genetic diversity of parents

Diversity analysis of 25 cotton genotypes using 50 SSR markers revealed a dendrogram (Fig. 1) on the basis of genetic similarities and homology. As shown in the dendrogram, these 25 genotypes can be divided into three diverse groups. Group A contained only one genotype, i.e. Bt-86, group B consisted of three genotypes, i.e. Bt-06, Bt-1401 and CRSM-2007, and group C was further divided into two subgroups, of which one contained three genotypes and the other was further subdivided



Fig. 1. UPGMA cluster analysis showing the diversity and relatedness among the 25 cotton genotypes studied.

into two subgroups (Fig. 1). The dendrogram revealed that Bt-86, Bt-06, Bt-1401, CRSM-2007, CRSM-38, MNH-6070, CIM-707 and CH-57 were diverse genotypes on the basis of the 50 SSR makers used in the study, as all these genotypes belonged to different groups and sub-groups in the dendrogram. Based on genetic diversity, five crosses were attempted to generate from these eight genotypes and subsequent generations were developed for further studies. Genetic diversity is the true reflection of genotypic differences in genetic constitution, and its utility is very much essential for association studies.

#### Correlation analysis

With a few exceptions, all the within-boll yield components showed highly significant phenotypic and genotypic correlations among themselves (Table 1). For all cross combinations, number of locules per boll showed highly significant and positive phenotypic and genotypic correlations with all the within boll yield components, except seed cotton yield per locule and number of seeds per boll. The correlation between number of locules per boll and seed cotton yield per locule was always negative and non-significant, whereas in the case of genotypic correlation, the same component traits also showed a non-significant but positive correlation. The maximum genotypic and phenotypic correlations were observed between number of locules per boll and seed cotton yield per boll for all cross combinations, and the maximum value was observed in the cross combination CRSM-2007  $\times$  CIM-707 (0.72 and 0.77, respectively). Number of seeds per locule showed a highly significant genotypic and phenotypic correlation with all the studied traits, except lint percentage whose correlation was non-significant. The direction of the correlation was positive among all the within-boll yield components, except seed cotton yield per plant, for which it was negative. The maximum strength of the correlation coefficient was observed between number of seeds per locule and seed cotton yield per locule in all the cross combinations, and the maximum value was observed in the cross combination Bt-1401  $\times$  CRSM-38 (0.82). In contrast, the maximum strength of the phenotypic correlation was observed between number of seeds per locule and seed cotton yield per locule in all the cross combinations, except CIM-707 × MNH-6070 in which the maximum strength was observed for number of seeds per boll (0.77). Number of seeds per locule showed a maximum phenotypic correlation coefficient with seed cotton yield per locule (0.95) in the cross combination CRSM-2007  $\times$  CIM-707. Seed cotton yield per locule had a highly significant genotypic and phenotypic correlation with all the traits except number of locules per boll, which was non-significant. The strongest genotypic association was observed between seed cotton yield per locule and number of seeds per boll in all the crosses, while the phenotypic association was found to be strongest between seed cotton yield per boll and number of seeds per boll. The highest genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient value was observed between number of seeds per boll and seed cotton yield per locule in the cross combination Bt-86 × CRSM-2007 (0.99 and 0.98, respectively). Number of seeds per boll had a highly significant correlation with all the studied traits in all the cross combinations except number of locules per boll, which was non-significant. Seed cotton yield per boll had a positive and highly significant genotypic and phenotypic correlation with all the other studied traits in all the cross combinations. Lint percentage had a significant correlation with number of seeds per locule in the positive direction and with seed cotton yield per plant in the negative direction in all the cross combinations. Seed cotton yield per plant was negatively associated with number of seeds per locule and lint percentage, but it was positively associated with all the other traits, and the correlation coefficient was highly significant in all the cross combinations.

#### Path coefficient analysis

Path coefficient analysis partitioned the correlation into direct and indirect effects of different related traits. Genetic correlation of different within yield components with seed cotton yield per plant was divided into direct and indirect effects by the path coefficient analysis (Table 2). The genetic correlation coefficient between number of locules per boll and seed cotton yield ranged from 0.493 to 0.620 in the different crosses studied, but the direct effect was very low, which was always less than 0.1 and in the negative direction. The maximum value (-0.086) was found in the cross combination Bt- $06 \times CH-57$ . The maximum indirect effect exerted by number of seeds per boll, ranging from 0.299 to 0.374 in the different crosses studied. The maximum indirect effect exerted by number of seeds per boll was observed in the cross combination Bt-06  $\times$  CH-57. Number of seeds per locule showed a negative correlation with seed cotton yield per plant, whose value ranged from -0.394 to -0.440 and maximum value was observed in the cross combination Bt-1401 × CRSM-38. The direct effect of number of locules per boll ranged from 0.144 to 0.157 and was in the positive direction. The maximum indirect effect of number of seeds per locule was exerted by number of seeds per boll, with the value ranging from -0.442 to -0.494. Seed cotton yield per locule was Genetic association of within-boll yield components

**Table 1.** Phenotypic (above the diagonal) and genotypic (below the diagonal) correlation coefficients of the within-boll yield-related traits in the  $F_3$  populations of the five crosses

	L/B	S/L	SCY/L	S/B	SCY/B	L%	SCY/P
Bt-86 × 0	CRSM-2007						
L/B	1.00	0.342**	-0.050	0.051	0.648**	0.364**	0.586**
S/L	0.436**	1.00	0.735**	0.651**	0.588**	0.086	-0.421**
SCY/L	0.072	0.808**	1.00	0.972**	0.978**	0.221**	0.584**
S/B	0.060	0.689**	0.988**	1.00	0.972**	0.424**	0.507**
SCY/B	0.664**	0.644**	0.744**	0.404**	1.00	0.289**	0.628**
L%	0.440**	0.136	0.320**	0.441**	0.290**	1.00	-0.156*
SCY/P	0.513**	$-0.431^{**}$	0.654**	0.542**	0.639**	-0.171*	1.00
Bt-06 × 0	CH-57						
L/B	1.000	0.308**	-0.072	0.045	0.665**	0.294**	0.523**
S/L	0.401**	1.000	0.881**	0.604**	0.613**	0.097	-0.312**
SCY/L	0.062	0.816**	1.000	0.788**	0.905**	0.242**	0.494**
S/B	0.050	0.561**	0.897**	1.000	0.875**	0.433**	0.406**
SCY/B	0.713**	0.596**	0.897**	0.339**	1.000	0.223**	0.598**
L%	0.449**	0.145	0.350**	0.471**	0.192*	1.000	-0.145
SCY/P	0.620**	$-0.403^{**}$	0.662**	0.564**	0.585**	-0.162*	1.000
Bt-1401 2	× CRSM-38						
L/B	1.000	0.396**	-0.099	0.050	0.721**	0.309**	0.622**
S/L	0.398**	1.000	0.679**	0.663**	0.551**	0.056	-0.390**
SCY/L	0.052	0.822**	1.000	0.913**	0.956**	0.209**	0.209**
S/B	0.069	0.603**	0.802**	1.000	0.966**	0.390**	0.601**
SCY/B	0.607**	0.654**	0.578**	0.291**	1.000	0.324**	0.662*
L%	0.399**	0.147*	0.301**	0.404**	0.198*	1.000	-0.180*
SCY/P	0.493**	$-0.440^{**}$	0.592**	0.604**	0.568**	-0.213**	1.000
CRSM-20	007 × CIM-707						
L/B	1.000	0.349**	-0.056	0.079	0.771**	0.321**	0.600**
S/L	0.455**	1.000	0.954**	0.598**	0.689**	0.05	-0.291**
SCY/L	0.043	0.726**	1.000	0.654**	0.914**	0.207*	0.512**
S/B	0.0772	0.562**	0.753**	1.000	0.786**	0.399**	0.412**
SCY/B	0.718**	0.582**	0.635**	0.294**	1.000	0.256**	0.628**
L%	0.352**	0.182*	0.401**	0.461**	0.220**	1.000	-0.199*
SCY/P	0.612**	$-0.436^{**}$	0.670**	0.503**	0.486**	-0.201*	1.000
CIM-707	× MNH-6070						
L/B	1.000	0.398**	-0.083	0.078	0.689**	0.290**	0.557**
S/L	0.492**	1.000	0.665**	0.708**	0.565**	0.075	$-0.398^{**}$
SCY/L	0.041	0.613**	1.000	0.765**	0.812**	0.309**	0.623**
S/B	0.072	0.551**	0.882**	1.000	0.890**	0.404**	0.554**
SCY/B	0.706**	0.497**	0.843**	0.258**	1.000	0.202*	0.699**
L%	0.389**	0.166*	0.384**	0.404**	0.242**	1.000	-0.132
SCY/P	0.531**	$-0.394^{**}$	0.703**	0.470**	0.587**	-0.157 **	1.000

L/B, number of locules per boll; S/L, number of seeds per locule; SCY/L, seed cotton yield per locule; S/B, number of seeds per boll; SCY/B, seed cotton yield per boll; L%, lint percentage; SCY/P, seed cotton yield per plant. \* Significant at the 5% level.

\*\* Significant at the 1% level.

Bold values are diagonals.

highly correlated with seed cotton yield per plant, with the genotypic correlation coefficient value ranging from 0.592 to 0.703 in the different crosses studied. The direct effect of seed cotton yield per locule ranged from 0.229 to 0.279, and the maximum value was observed in the cross combination CIM-707 × MNH-6070. The indirect effect of seed cotton yield per locule was very high (range 0.677-0.804), and the maximum value was observed in the cross combination Bt-06 × CH-57. Number of seeds per boll had a highly significant genotypic correlation with seed cotton yield per plant, and the value ranged from 0.503 to 0.604, where the maximum correlation coefficient value was observed in the cross combination Bt-1401 × CRSM-38. The direct effect of number of seeds per boll was high, ranging from 0.696 to 0.895, and the maximum value of the direct effect was observed in the cross combination Bt-1401 × CRSM-38. The indirect effect of number of seeds per boll exerted by seed cotton yield per boll was high, but it was in the negative direction. The value of the indirect effect ranged from -0.413 to -0.491, and the maximum value was observed in the cross combination

populatio	ons of the five cro	osses		on yield-rela		eed cotton y	ield in the 13
	L/B	S/L	SCY/L	S/B	SCY/B	L%	Genetic correlation
Bt-86 × 0	CRSM-2007						
L/B	-0.086	0.069	0.081	0.311	0.064	0.074	0.513
o //							

Table 2 Direct and indirect effects of the within-boll yield-related traits on seed cotton yield in the E

	L/B	S/L	SCY/L	S/B	SCY/B	L%	correlation
Bt-86 × C	RSM-2007						
L/B	-0.086	0.069	0.081	0.311	0.064	0.074	0.513
S/L	0.025	0.153	-0.154	-0.484	0.012	0.017	-0.431
SCY/L	-0.016	-0.215	0.276	0.719	-0.043	-0.067	0.654
S/B	-0.004	-0.114	0.340	0.803	-0.413	-0.070	0.542
SCY/B	0.069	0.099	-0.176	0.565	0.050	0.032	0.639
L%	0.010	0.008	-0.083	-0.143	0.002	0.035	-0.171
Bt-06 × C	H-57						
L/B	-0.084	0.087	0.074	0.374	0.079	0.09	0.620
S/L	0.023	0.144	-0.147	-0.451	0.013	0.016	-0.402
SCY/L	-0.006	-0.202	0.279	0.728	-0.054	-0.083	0.662
S/B	-0.004	-0.117	0.315	0.881	-0.468	-0.044	0.563
SCY/B	0.064	0.090	-0.161	0.517	0.046	0.029	0.585
L%	0.009	0.007	-0.069	-0.139	0.002	0.028	-0.162
Bt-1401 ×	CRSM-38						
L/B	-0.067	0.069	0.059	0.299	0.06	0.073	0.493
S/L	0.026	0.157	-0.190	-0.494	0.034	0.027	-0.440
SCY/L	-0.005	-0.183	0.229	0.637	-0.030	-0.056	0.592
S/B	-0.004	-0.105	0.377	0.895	-0.491	-0.068	0.604
SCY/B	0.069	0.080	-0.156	0.503	0.044	0.028	0.568
L%	0.010	0.008	-0.058	-0.198	0.002	0.023	-0.213
CRSM-20	07 × CIM-707						
L/B	-0.083	0.086	0.073	0.371	0.076	0.089	0.612
S/L	0.025	0.156	-0.158	-0.489	0.016	0.017	-0.436
SCY/L	-0.006	-0.196	0.262	0.707	-0.034	-0.063	0.670
S/B	-0.004	-0.124	0.326	0.796	-0.426	-0.065	0.503
SCY/B	0.053	0.075	-0.134	0.430	0.038	0.024	0.486
L%	0.012	0.009	-0.051	-0.195	0.002	0.022	-0.201
CIM-707	X MNH-6070						
L/B	-0.072	0.070	0.064	0.322	0.068	0.079	0.531
S/L	0.023	0.141	-0.143	-0.442	0.013	0.014	-0.394
SCY/L	-0.006	-0.234	0.276	0.775	-0.039	-0.069	0.703
S/B	-0.003	-0.113	0.316	0.776	-0.445	-0.061	0.470
SCY/B	0.063	0.091	-0.162	0.520	0.046	0.029	0.587
L%	0.009	0.007	-0.071	-0.143	0.002	0.039	-0.157

L/B, number of locules per boll; S/L, number of seeds per locule; SCY/L, seed cotton yield per locule; S/B, number of seeds per boll; SCY/B, seed cotton yield per boll; L%, lint percentage; SCY/P, seed cotton yield per plant.

Bt-1401 × CRSM-38. Seed cotton yield per boll had a highly significant genotypic correlation with seed cotton yield per plant, whose value ranged from 0.486 to 0.639 in the different crosses studied and the maximum value was observed in the cross combination Bt-86 × CRSM-2007. The indirect effect was also high, ranging from 0.024 to 0.032. The indirect effect of seed cotton yield per boll exerted by number of seeds per boll was high, which ranged from 0.430 to 0.565 in the different crosses studied and the maximum value was observed in the cross combination Bt-86 × CRSM-2007. Lint percentage was negatively correlated with seed cotton yield per plant, with the correlation coefficient value ranging from -0.157 to -0.213. It had a very small direct effect in the positive direction, with the maximum value being 0.063. Most of the indirect effects were also low, but the indirect effect exerted by number of seeds per boll was relatively higher but in the negative direction, with values ranging from -0.139 to -0.198 in the different crosses studied.

# Discussion

Correlation describes the strength of association of different traits, whereas path coefficient analysis partitions this association into direct and indirect effects. The present study investigated five crosses of genetically diverse parents, and analysed each cross combination separately to determine whether the strength of association between different traits remained the same in all the crosses. A highly significant correlation among within-boll yield

components has also been reported in previous studies (Smith and Coyle, 1997; Bechere et al., 2014; Jones et al., 2014; Tang and Xiao, 2014). A highly significant genotypic and phenotypic association of number of locules per boll with other traits showed the significance of this trait in selection. Locule traits such as number of locules per boll, number of seeds per locule and seed cotton yield per locule are ignored in most of the within-boll yield-related studies (Smith and Coyle, 1997; Imran et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2014); however, in this paper, a highly significant genotypic and phenotypic correlation of these traits highlights their importance. All within-boll yield components, except number of locules per boll, were significantly correlated with seed cotton yield per locule and number of seeds per boll. The negative correlation of number of seeds per locule and lint percentage with seed cotton yield (Smith and Coyle, 1997; Imran et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2014) increases the importance of these traits in the selection for yield. Our results are contrary to those observed in some studies (Desalegn et al., 2009; Karademir et al., 2010) reporting the positive correlation between lint percentage and seed cotton yield per plant. The main reason for these contradicting results can be attributed to the use of entirely different genotypes in different agroecological zones, as gene expression may change under the aforementioned conditions (Hoffmann and Merilä, 1999; Saranga et al., 2001), leading to the change in the direction of association among the traits. Data for the five crosses were analysed separately to determine whether genetic differences among genotypes change the correlation direction and strength. It was observed from the results that the direction of association among the different traits studied remained the same in all the crosses, and there were differences in the strength of association. The maximum difference was found in the correlation between seed cotton yield per locule and seed cotton yield per plant, where the strength of Bt-1401 × CRSM-38 was reduced to less than half of the maximum value observed in the cross combination CIM-707  $\times$  MNH-6070. These results are in accordance with the findings of other researchers (Ali and Wynne, 1994; Biradar et al., 2010; Hussain et al., 2010) who investigated segregating populations of different crosses and reported differences in the strength of association.

Correlation analysis describes the strength association among traits, but does not explain the direct and indirect components of the association. Path coefficient analysis divides the correlation coefficient into direct effect of a particular trait and indirect effects exerted by other traits. Number of locules per boll had a highly significant positive correlation with seed cotton yield per plant, but its direct effect was very low and in the negative direction. Path coefficient analysis showed that it did not contribute directly to seed cotton yield per plant, but contributed via the other traits, especially number of seeds per boll (Table 1). Number of seeds per boll contributed a maximum value through the direct effect, while other traits such as number of seeds per locule, seed cotton yield per locule, seed cotton yield per boll and lint percentage contributed more through the indirect effect exerted by the other traits, and the direct effect was relatively low. Although the direction of association between seed cotton yield per plant and lint percentage was negative but its direct effect was positive with a small magnitude, also indirect effects exerted by these two traits had a very small magnitude, compromised selection for these traits might be helpful in increasing the seed cotton yield per plant. These results are supported by the findings of other researchers (Rauf et al., 2004; Bechere et al., 2014). These results indicated that direct selection for within-boll yield components can be successful only in the case of number of seeds per boll, while for others, direct selection may lead to wrong selection (Hussain et al., 2010) as their maximum effect came indirectly through other traits. Seed cotton yield per boll had a highly significant genotypic correlation with seed cotton yield per plant, but it had also a large negative effect via number of seeds per locule and seed cotton yield per boll, so care should be taken when considering these traits as selection criteria. Some researchers (Board et al., 1997; Bechere et al., 2014) have suggested to exclude such traits from selection criteria. All traits showed maximum indirect effects via number of seeds per boll, so inclusion of this trait in the selection criteria may be helpful for increasing the seed cotton yield. Overall differences were observed for direct and indirect effects in the population of different crosses, but the direction of association was the same in all the cases. It has been reported that within-boll vield components are controlled by many genes, and for different populations, the frequency of genes might be different that possibly caused the change in the magnitude of genetic association (Bednarz et al., 2007; Bechere et al., 2014).

# Conclusion

Number of locules per boll, seed cotton yield per locule, number of seeds per boll and seed cotton yield per boll showed a highly significant positive correlation with seed cotton yield per plant, and also these traits had a significant positive correlation among themselves, so these component traits can be used as the selection criteria for the improvement in seed cotton yield. Number of seeds per boll and seed cotton yield per locule had a maximum direct effect, and also the magnitude of the indirect effect exerted by these two traits was high, so these component traits can be considered of prime importance in selection. However, care should be taken in selecting number of seeds per locule and lint percentage, as these component traits are negatively correlated with seed cotton yield. Differences were observed in the strength of genetic association and direct and indirect effects for the different populations studied, but the direction of association was always the same.

#### References

- Ali N and Wynne J (1994) Heritability estimates and correlation studies of early maturity and other agronomic traits in two crosses of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Pakistan Journal* of Botany 26: 75–82.
- Bechere E, Zeng L and Boykin D (2014) Correlation and pathcoefficient analyses of lint yield and other traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Journal of Crop Improvement* 28: 852–870.
- Bednarz CW, Nichols RL and Brown SM (2007) Within-boll yield components of high yielding cotton cultivars. *Crop Science* 47: 2108–2112.
- Bhatt G (1973) Significance of path coefficient analysis in determining the nature of character association. *Euphytica* 22: 338–343.
- Biradar S, Salimath P and Sridevi O (2010) Association studies in the three segregating populations of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 20: 252–254.
- Board J, Kang M and Harville B (1997) Path analyses identify indirect selection criteria for yield of late-planted soybean. *Crop Science* 37: 879–884.
- Coyle GG and Smith CW (1997) Combining ability for withinboll yield components in cotton, *Gossypium birsutum* L. *Crop Science* 37: 1118–1122.
- Desalegn Z, Ratanadilok N and Kaveeta R (2009) Correlation and heritability for yield and fiber quality parameters of Ethiopian cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) estimated from 15 (diallel) crosses. *Kasetsart Journal: Natural Science* 43: 1–11.
- Dewey DR and Lu K (1959) A correlation and path-coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production. *Agronomy Journal* 51: 515–518.

- Gomez KA and Gomez AA (1984) Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Hoffmann AA and Merilä J (1999) Heritable variation and evolution under favourable and unfavourable conditions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 14: 96–101.
- Hussain K, Khan IA, Sadaqat HA and Amjad M (2010) Genotypic and phenotypic correlation analysis of yield and fiber quality determining traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* 12: 348–352.
- ICAC (2015) 2015/16 Area and Production Down. Washington, DC: International Cotton Advisory Committee.
- Imran M, Shakeel A, Azhar F, Farooq J, Saleem M, Saeed A, Nazeer W, Riaz M, Naeem M and Javaid A (2012) Combining ability analysis for within-boll yield components in upland cotton (*Gossypium birsutum* L.). *Genetic and Molecular Research* 11: 2790–2800.
- Jones WM, Joy K and Smith CW (2014) Within-boll yield components and fiber traits of upland cotton. *Crop Science* 54: 1057–1061.
- Karademir E, Karademir C, Ekininci R and Gencer O (2010) Relationship between yield, fiber length and other fiberrelated traits in advanced cotton strains. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca* 38: 111–116.
- Rauf S, Khan TM, Sadaqat HA and Khan AI (2004) Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield components in cotton (*Gossypium birsutum* L.). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* 6: 686–688.
- Salahuddin S, Abro S, Kandhro M, Salahuddin L and Laghari S (2010) Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield components of upland cotton (*Gossypium birsutum* L.) sympodial. World Applied Science Journal 8: 71–75.
- Saranga Y, Menz M, Jiang C-X, Wright RJ, Yakir D and Paterson AH (2001) Genomic dissection of genotype × environment interactions conferring adaptation of cotton to arid conditions. *Genome Research* 11: 1988–1995.
- Smith CW and Coyle GG (1997) Association of fiber quality parameters and within-boll yield components in upland cotton. *Crop Science* 37: 1775–1779.
- Tang F and Xiao W (2013) Genetic effects and heterosis of within-boll yield components in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Euphytica* 194: 41–51.
- Tang F and Xiao W (2014) Genetic association of within-boll yield components and boll morphological traits with fibre properties in upland cotton (*Gossypium birsutum* L.). *Plant Breeding* 133: 521–529.
- Wu J, Jenkins JN, McCarty JC and Zhu J (2004) Genetic association of yield with its component traits in a recombinant inbred line population of cotton. *Euphytica* 140: 171–179.