## SHORT COMMUNICATION

## Comparison of polymerase chain reaction assay and cytotaxonomy for identification of sibling species of *Anopheles fluviatilis* (Diptera: Culicidae)

# A. Manonmani<sup>1\*</sup>, N. Nanda<sup>2</sup>, P. Jambulingam<sup>1</sup>, S. Sahu<sup>1</sup>, T. Vijayakumar<sup>1</sup>, J. Ramya Vani<sup>1</sup> and S.K. Subbarao<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Vector Control Research Centre (ICMR), Medical Complex, Indira Nagar, Pondicherry 605 006, India: <sup>2</sup>Malaria Research Centre, Sham Nath Marg, New Delhi 110 054, India

#### Introduction

Anopheles fluviatilis s.s. James (Diptera: Culicidae) is one of the major malaria vectors in India, Pakistan and Nepal. It is widely distributed and found in hills, foothills and plains up to an altitude of 2500 m above mean sea level. Subbarao et al. (1994) have shown that A. fluviatilis is a complex of three species, identified by species-specific diagnostic inversions seen in the banding pattern of the polytene chromosomes. In the districts of Orissa State in India, which are highly endemic for malaria, A. fluviatilis has been incriminated as the main vector (Jambulingam et al., 1991) and the population comprises three sibling species S, T and U (Subbarao, 1998). In Koraput and Malkangiri districts, only two sibling species namely S and T have been reported based on cytotaxonomical identification (Nanda et al., personal communication). Subsequently, from the specimens collected from these two districts, a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay was developed from the rDNA region and two species were identified and provisionally designated as X and Y (Manonmani et al., 2001).

In order to correlate the results of the two identification techniques, field-collected specimens of *A. fluviatilis* were simultaneously examined cytotaxonomically and by PCR assay and the results compared.

#### Materials and methods

Samples were obtained from daytime indoor and outdoor resting mosquito catches, all night man landing collections and light trap catches made in selected villages (table 1) of Koraput (600 m above msl) and Malkangiri (150m above msl) districts of Orissa over one year period

\*Fax: 0413 272041 E-mail: ammanonmani@yahoo.com (10.III.98–13.IV.99). Daytime resting mosquitoes were collected in the morning hours (0600–0800 h) from human dwellings, cattle sheds and pit shelters using an aspirator and flashlight. All night man landing collections were done between 1800 h and 0600 h. Modified CDC miniature light traps (Sudia & Chamberlain, 1962; Gunasekaran *et al.*, 1994), were suspended approximately 60 cm above ground level in cattle sheds and above 150 cm in human dwellings between 1800 h and 0600 h. The next morning, the mosquito-collecting cages were removed and the adults collected. *Anopheles fluviatilis* samples from all the catches were identified morphologically and used for identification of sibling species by the two techniques.

Ovaries were removed from semigravid females and placed in modified Carnoy's fixative (1:3 acetic acid/methanol). Ovaries were processed in 50% propionic acid and stained in 2% lacto-aceto-orcein following the method of Green & Hunt (1980) for making polytene chromosome preparations. The specimens were identified to sibling species by examining the inversion genotypes of chromosome arm 2, i.e.  $2+q^{1}+r^{1}$  and  $2q^{1}+r^{1}$  diagnostic for species S and T respectively (Subbarao *et al.*, 1994). The specimens in polymorphic state  $q_1$ ,  $+q_1/q_1$ , and  $+q_1$  have been identified based on resting and feeding behaviour, viz. those collected from outdoor resting places and with bovine blood were classified as species T while those resting indoors and with human blood as species S. Blood from the gut of the same mosquito was smeared on to a Whatman No. 1 filter paper and tested by countercurrent immunoelectrophoresis (Bray et al., 1984) for blood meal assay. The remaining portions of the mosquito sample were dried at 90°C overnight for PCR assay. The blood smear, ovaries and the tissue for PCR assay of each individual mosquito were allocated the same number for tracking purposes.

Parts of individual mosquitoes were taken in separate microfuge tubes and ground to a fine powder with

Table 1.	Details of	Anopheles	fluviatilis samp	les used in t	he study.

Area	Village	Habitat Type colle	Type of	Samples	Blood meal analysis			Cytotaxonomy		PCR assay	
			collection	collected	Hu	Во	Hu+Bo	S	Т	Х	Y
Jeypore	Champapadar	HD	Hand catch	49	46	3	0	46	3	41	8
	1 1	CS	Hand catch	6	0	6	0	0	6	3	3
		PS	Hand catch	34	20	11	3	22	12	23	11
		ANMLC	Hand catch	18	18	0	0	18	0	18	0
		HD	Light trap	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
		CS	Light trap	3	0	3	0	0	3	2	1
	Chapper	HD	Hand catch	12	6	5	1	4	8	3	9
		CS	Hand catch	5	0	5	0	0	5	0	5
		PS	Hand catch	7	0	7	0	0	7	1	6
		ANMLC	Hand catch	4	2	1	1	3	1	4	0
		CS	Light trap	24	0	24	0	0	24	1	23
	K. Maliguda	HD	Hand catch	2	2	0	0	2	0	2	0
	Lariguda	CS	Light trap	73	0	73	0	0	73	0	73
Malkangiri	Balalguda	HD	Hand catch	66	62	2	2	66	0	63	3
		CS	Hand catch	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
		ANMLC	Hand catch	7	7	0	0	7	0	7	0
		CS	Light trap	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
	Batriantal	HD	Hand catch	8	8	0	0	8	0	8	0
	Kandhaguda	HD	Hand catch	42	41	0	1	42	0	40	2
		PS	Hand catch	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
		ANMLC	Hand catch	37	37	0	0	37	0	37	0
Total				401	251	142	8	257	144	256	145

ANMLC, all night man landing collection; Bo, bovine; CS, cattle shed; HD, human dwelling; Hu, human; PS, pit shelter. S, T, X and Y refer to the sibling species of *A. fluviatilis* identified cytologically and by PCR assay.

microfuge pestle grinders. They were then overlaid with 100 ml Tris-EDTA ( $T_{10}E_1$ ) buffer, vortexed briefly and incubated at 90°C for 10 min. After centrifugation at 13,000 rpm, the supernatant containing the DNA was transferred to a fresh tube and stored at 4°C. The polymerase chain reactions were carried out as described by Manonmani *et al.* (2001). The species diagnostic bands were resolved by electrophoresing the PCR products through a 1.5% agarose gel. The bands were visualized on a UV light trans-illuminator after staining the gels in ethidium bromide. Each gel contained a DNA size standard, a negative control and a positive control using DNA from both sibling species of *A. fluviatilis*. The DNA of the two sibling species of *A. fluviatilis* produced fragments of two distinct sizes, 350 bp for species X and 450 bp for species Y, respectively.

#### Results

A total of 401 specimens of *A. fluviatilis* was subjected to cytological identification and rDNA-ITS2 PCR assay (table 2). The majority (91%) of the indoor resting mosquitoes collected by aspirators from human dwellings and all night man landing collections were found to be species X, while the majority (96%) of the light trap samples from cattle sheds were identified as species Y. Species Y was found in greater proportion in the aspirator collections from cattle sheds (67%) while species X was represented in greater numbers in pit shelters (60%). In Koraput district, the occurrence of species T/Y was almost double that of species S/X. In Malkangiri district, species S/X was predominant with very few individuals of species T/Y observed in field samples.

Out of 257 specimens identified as 'S' cytologically, 98% had fed on human blood (table 1) while out of 144 specimens identified as 'T' cytologically 99% had fed on bovine blood.

https://doi.org/10.1079/BER2002219 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 2. *Anopheles fluviatilis* mosquitoes identified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay and cytotaxonomy.

Cyto-	PCR	PCR assay		%	
taxonomy	x	Y		concordance	
S T	242 14	15 130	257 144	94.1 90.2	

The results from 242 specimens (out of 401 tested) confirmed that species S and X were the same, since about 94% of the specimens identified as species S cytologically were identified as species X by PCR assay (table 2). Similarly, about 90% of the specimens identified as T cytologically were identified as Y by PCR, indicating that species T and Y were the same. However, results from 29 mosquitoes (7.2%) were contradictory. Fifteen mosquitoes that were identified as S cytologically were identified as T cytologically were identified as X by PCR, while 14 mosquitoes identified as T cytologically were identified as X by PCR. Samples which gave contradictory results were from all types of collections.

#### Discussion

Both the techniques demonstrated that in Malkangiri, one sibling species (S/X) was predominant as observed by Jambulingam *et al.* (personal communication). However, in Koraput, both sibling species existed sympatrically (X:Y::2:3) their within habitat preferences providing evidence of their separate species status. The study showed that the sibling species showed distinct behavioural characteristics, S/X being predominantly endophilic (resting in human dwellings) and anthropophagic and T/Y exophilic (resting

in cattle sheds) and zoophagic. An earlier study showed that the human blood index (HBI) for mosquitoes of the *A. fluviatilis* complex was 0.83 in Malkangiri district compared with 0.26 for mosquitoes of the same complex in Koraput zone/district (Gunasekaran *et al.*, 1994). The higher values recorded in Malkangiri were due to the predominance of sibling species S/X in contrast to Koraput district where both sibling species were present. In other areas, the sibling species S/X was found to exhibit a high degree of anthropophagy (Nanda *et al.*, 1996) and in the areas of its occurrence, malaria was found to be hyper-endemic with a prevalence of *Plasmodium falciparum* (Welch) (Plasmodidae) leading to deaths.

The small proportion (7.2%) of disagreement observed in this study between cytotaxonomy and the PCR assay might be due to the existence of polymorphism in A. fluviatilis in this area. As stated earlier, the q1 inversion has been reported to exist in a polymorphic state in the population of A. *fluviatilis*. In areas where such polymorphism is observed, biological characteristics of the population such as resting and feeding behaviour have been used, in addition to inversion genotypes, to classify the population as species S or T (Subbarao, 1998). However, an earlier study on hostfeeding patterns of the same species has shown that although species S is predominantly anthropophilic, a small proportion may feed on animals depending on the man:cattle ratio in the immediate vicinity (Nanda et al., 1996). Similarly, a small proportion of species T, which is mainly zoophilic may feed on man as also found in the present study. Such a phenomenon has also been observed with one of the members of the A. funestus Giles group namely A. vaneedeni Gillies & Coetzee (Diptera: Culicidae). This species though described as predominantly exophilic and zoophilic has been found resting indoors and feeding on humans in the absence of suitable animal hosts (Brooke et al., 2001). Therefore, using resting and feeding behaviours as the main identification characters may result in some degree of error in the identification.

Paskewitz *et al.* (1993) found absolute concordance in the identification of *A. gambiae* Giles and *A. arabiensis* Patton (Diptera: Culicidae) by cytotaxonomy and polymerase chain reaction assay. However, Kampen *et al.* (1995) found a discrepancy of about 5.3% between the two techniques which they traced back to mistakes in the cytotaxonomic identification procedure.

Except for the small discrepancy in the identification results, both the techniques revealed the presence of only two species of the *A. fluviatilis* complex in these areas as has been observed earlier (Manonmani *et al.*, 2001). The study shows that the species identified as X and Y by PCR assay are the sibling species S and T identified cytologically.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Dr P.K. Das, Director, Vector Control Research Centre, Pondicherry for his keen interest in this study and for providing the required facilities. They also thank Dr C. Sadanandane, for reviewing the manuscript and for his valuable suggestions. The technical assistance rendered by Mr S. Murugaraj and the staff of the Cytogenetics Division, Malaria Research Centre, Delhi and VCRC Field Station, Malkangiri is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

- Bray, R.S., Gill, G.S. & Killick-Kendrick, R. (1984) Current and possible future technique for the identification of bloodmeals of vector haematophagous arthropods. Unpublished document WHO/VBC/84.905.
- Brooke, B.D., Kloke, G., Hunt, R.H., Koekemoer, L.L., Temu, E.A., Taylor, M.E., Small, G., Hemingway, J. & Coetzee, M. (2001) Bioassay and biochemical analyses of insecticide resistance in South African Anopheles funestus (Diptera: Culicidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research 91, 265–272.
- Green, C.A & Hunt, R.H. (1980) Interpretation of variation in ovarian polytene chromosomes of *Anopheles funestus* Giles, *Anopheles parensis* Giles and *Anopheles aruni*. *Genetica* 51, 187–195.
- Gunasekaran, K., Sadanandane, C., Parida, S.K., Sahu, S.S., Patra, K.P. & Jambulingam, P. (1994) Observations on nocturnal activity and man biting habits of malaria vectors, *Anopheles fluviatilis* in the hill tracts of Koraput district, Orissa, India. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health 25, 187–195.
- Gunasekaran, K., Jambulingam, P., Sadanandane, C., Sahu, S.S. & Das, P.K. (1994) Reliability of light trap sampling for *Anopheles fluviatilis*, a vector of malaria. *Acta Tropica* 58, 1–11.
- Jambulingam, P., Mohapatra, S.S.S., Govardhini, P., Das, L.K., Manoharan, A., Pani, S.P. & Das, P.K. (1991) Microlevel epidemiological varations in malaria and its implications on control strategy. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 93, 371–378.
- Kampen, H., Straif, S., Maier, W.A. & Seitz, H.M. (1995) Comparison of PCR and cytotaxonomy in the differentiation of *Anopheles gambiae* sibling species exemplified in mosquito specimens from Cameroon. *Applied Parasitology* 36, 271–278.
- Manonmani, A., Townson, H., Adeniran, T., Jambulingam, P., Sahu, S. & Vijayakumar, T. (2001) rDNA-ITS2 polymerase chain reaction assay for the sibling species of *Anopheles fluviatilis. Acta Tropica* 1, 7–11.
- Nanda, N., Joshi, H., Subbarao, S.K., Yadav, R.S., Shukla, R.P., Dua, V.K. & Sharma, V.P. (1996) Anopheles fluviatilis complex: host feeding patterns of species S, T, and U. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 12, 147–149.
- Paskewitz, S.M., Ng, K., Coetzee, M. & Hunt R.H. (1993) Evaluation of the PCR method for identifying members of the *Anopheles gambiae* (Diptera: Culicidae) complex in Southern Africa. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 30, 953–957.
- Subbarao, S.K. (1998) Anopheline species complexes in South-East Asia. *Technical Publications of WHO, SEARO* No. 18 New Delhi.
- Subbarao, S.K., Nanda, N., Vasantha, K., Dua, V.K., Malhotra, M.S., Yadav, R.S. & Sharma, V.P. (1994) Cytogenetic evidence for three sibling species in Anopheles fluviatilis (Diptera: Culicidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 87, 116–121.
- Sudia, W.D. & Chamberlin, R.W. (1962) Battery operated light trap, an improved model. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* 22, 126–129.

(Accepted 24 October 2002) © CAB International, 2003

# NEW BOOK INFORMATION From CABI PUBLISHING

# Tsetse Biology and Ecology: Their Role in the Epidemiology and Control of Trypanosomosis

S G A Leak, International Livestock Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya

ISBN December 1998 Hardback 0 85199 300 1 592 pages £70.00 (US\$120.00)

### Readership

Medical and veterinary entomologists, parasitologists and epidemiologists.

### Description

Domestic livestock in Africa are of importance not only as a source of milk and meat but also as a source of animal traction enabling farmers to cultivate larger areas, with crops providing the staple foods. Trypanosomosis, a parasitic disease transmitted cyclically by the tsetse fly (*Glossina* spp.), is arguably still the main constraint to livestock production on the continent, preventing full use of the land to feed the rapidly increasing human population. Sleeping sickness, the disease caused in humans by species of *Trypanosoma*, is an important and neglected disease posing a threat to millions of people in tsetse-infested areas. Often wrongly thought of as a disease of the past, the prevalence of human sleeping sickness is increasing in many areas.

### To view full contents or to order online please visit www.cabi-publishing.org/bookshop

**Postage & Packing:** For pre-paid orders in the UK, please add  $\pm 2.75$  for the 1st book and 60p for each additional book ordered (up to max. of 10). For prepaid orders elsewhere, please add  $\pm 4.00$  for the 1st book and  $\pm 1.00$  for each additional book. For orders not pre-paid, postage and packing will be charged according to the weight of the book. CABI Publishing, CAB International Wallingford, Oxon OX10 8DE, UK Tel: +44 (0)1491 832111 Fax: +44 (0)1491 829292 Email: orders@cabi.org CABI Publishing North America 44 Brattle Street, 4th Floor Cambridge, MA 02138, USA Tel: 001 61 7 395 4056 Fax: 001 61 7 354 6875 Email: cabi-nao@cabi.org

