


Tall trees drive the nest-site selection of wild Crested Ibis *Nipponia nippon*

YONGJIE HUANG¹ , YUANXING YE¹, YAZU ZHANG²,
ARNAUD GIAN BARRAS^{3,4}, CHAO WANG², BAOPING QING² and
CHANGQING DING^{1*}

¹*School of Ecology and Nature Conservation, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, People's Republic of China.*

²*Shaanxi Hanzhong Crested Ibis National Nature Reserve, Shaanxi 723300, People's Republic of China.*

³*Division of Conservation Biology, Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland.*

⁴*Swiss Ornithological Institute, 6204 Sempach, Switzerland.*

* *Author for correspondence; email: cqding@bjfu.edu.cn*

(Received 19 May 2021; revision accepted 01 November 2021)

Summary

Understanding how birds select breeding sites plays an important role in habitat protection, especially for the conservation of endangered species. With the increase in population size of the endangered Crested Ibis *Nipponia nippon*, its distribution range has expanded from mountain areas to plains located outside protected areas, representing a new challenge for conservation of the species. Identifying the current nesting habitat requirements is thus needed and can provide valuable information for the planning of new nature reserves. In this research, we surveyed a total of 117 nests across the whole distribution range from 2015 to 2019. We used generalized linear mixed-effects models to assess nesting preferences of the Crested Ibis in the wild. Results showed that in mountain areas, Masson pines *Pinus massoniana* were preferred (64.6%), whereas elms *Ulmus pumila* (44.9%) and aspens *Populus davidiana* (40.6%) were used more frequently lower down, probably because of their higher availability. In both mountain areas and plains the ibises selected tall nesting trees with larger diameter at breast height and preferred nesting rather high above ground, especially in plains where taller trees provided higher suitable nesting positions. The ibises also preferred nesting close to tree trunks, especially in mountain areas, probably for more safety from collapsing. Furthermore, in mountain areas, slope and distance to path had positive effects on nesting occurrence, and understorey coverage was avoided by nesting ibises, while these variables had little impact in plains. Our results indicate that, despite their range expansion, Crested Ibises rely on very specific habitat characteristics for nesting. We suggest relatively tall trees like elms and aspens should be preserved in plains. In addition, we highlight how selection patterns of Crested Ibises may vary, and that such variation should be addressed in conservation planning, especially in future reintroduction.

Keywords: nest-site selection, Crested Ibis, lower plains, nesting trees, range expansion

Introduction

Nest-site selection refers to the selection of the breeding habitat (Jones 2001) and is crucial for birds as nest-site characteristics can have significant effects on their breeding success (Gaillard *et al.* 2010, Chalfoun and Schmidt 2012, Subedi *et al.* 2019). Breeding birds may select areas with abundant resources to improve the development of their chicks (Crampton and Sedinger 2011, Machin *et al.* 2017). Yet they are also expected to adjust their nest-site selection according to the perceived predation risk (Watts 1989, Segura *et al.* 2012). Thus, the choice of breeding sites is actually a dynamic process and should vary in space and time depending on the availability of suitable conditions (Wiens 1992, Forstmeier and Weiss 2004, Gjerdrum *et al.* 2005). This seems particularly true for species whose range is expanding (Veech *et al.* 2011, Perez-Granados *et al.* 2017). For threatened but recovering species such as the Crested Ibis *Nipponia nippon* (BirdLife International 2021), a sufficient knowledge of drivers of nest-site selection is therefore required to achieve the most effective conservation and management planning.

The Crested Ibis was once widely distributed in North-east Asia. Deforestation, habitat loss, environmental pollution and unsustainable hunting pressure have decimated populations since the late 19th century (Ding 2004, Li *et al.* 2009), resulting in the supposed extinction in the wild, until the rediscovery of seven birds in Yangxian County, Shaanxi Province of central China in 1981 (Liu 1981). Through a series of long-term and strenuous efforts including *in-situ* and *ex-situ* conservation and reintroductions, the wild population of Crested Ibis has increased to more than 2,500 individuals in 2019 (Wang *et al.* 2020). However, the only wild population is restricted to a limited area, and as the habitat quality continuously declines, the Crested Ibis is still listed as 'Endangered' (BirdLife International 2021). Following the current population increase, more and more ibises dispersed from the mountains (mostly within the core protected area; hereafter "original nesting area") to hills and plains at lower elevations. The species now breeds partly outside of protected areas, representing a new challenge to its conservation and effective habitat management.

Crested Ibises dispersing to lower elevations encounter totally new environments, because of the different land use (Ding 2010, Hu *et al.* 2016) and especially much higher anthropogenic pressure. Dispersing individuals have shown different responses to habitat features under new circumstances. For example, the winter-flooded paddy fields, which were previously considered the key foraging habitat in winter, are no longer regarded as limiting within the recently colonised range, because of the high food availability in other wetland types (Sun *et al.* 2014, Hu *et al.* 2016). Previous research showed that nest-site selection of Crested Ibis depends on a combination of multiple environmental factors including terrain, vegetation, food availability and human disturbance (Li *et al.* 2001, Ding 2004, 2010). Typically, breeding Crested Ibises prefer to nest in tall trees, within thick canopy, and select sites in the middle and lower parts of the mountain slope with winter-flooded paddy fields and low human disturbance (Ding 2010). However, these findings are restricted to the original nesting area. Interestingly, previous studies found that the ibises breeding in low plains had higher brood size and better fledging success than those in mountain areas (Song 2018). However, there is still a lack of knowledge on how the ibises select habitat features within the expanding range, especially in areas with higher human disturbance. Such information is crucial for guiding future conservation actions for the Crested Ibis.

We surveyed nests and investigated nest-site characteristics of Crested Ibis among its current distribution range, in order to identify the major factors affecting nest-site selection and to determine if those differ in recently expanded areas. We also address how these results should provide a key scientific basis for conservation management of the endangered Crested Ibis and for the spatial planning of future reintroduction programs.

Material and methods

Study area

The study area is located on the south slope of the Qinling Mountains, at the intersection of the Han River Plain in the south-west of Shaanxi Province, central China ($32^{\circ}53'N$ to $33^{\circ}41'N$, $106^{\circ}40'E$ to $107^{\circ}57'E$; Fig. 1). It includes most of the current distribution range of the wild Crested Ibis with an elevation ranging from 440 to 800 m. It is characterized by flat or rolling mountains, with major land cover types being forest, shrub, grass, open water, cropland, and built-up areas. The croplands comprise dry and flooded paddy-fields during the breeding season.

The mountain areas are characterized by complex terrain featuring woodland, raw cropland, and few settlements, while the lowland plains mostly consist of intensive anthropogenic landscapes such as farmlands, orchards, roads, and towns.

Data collection

The nests of Crested Ibis were regularly reported and checked by Shaanxi Hanzhong Crested Ibis National Nature Reserve each year. We conducted surveys and nest-site investigation based on the records from the nature reserve during incubation and chick-rearing period (April to June) in 2015–2019. Nest-site selection was assessed by characterizing the nest sites and neighbouring unused sites that were supposed to be less suitable, i.e. each nest site was paired with one random site at a distance of 50 to 100 m. No random site was placed if there was no tree in the surroundings of a nest site. We focused on habitat variables associated with terrain, nest conditions, vegetation, human disturbance, and food conditions. Measurements were carried out within a 10×10 m plot around each nest and random site (Appendix S1 in the online supplementary material).

Statistical analysis

We first compared the differences in nest characteristics between mountains and plains. We divided the nest sites into these two groups according to elevation (Hu *et al.* 2016); nest sites with elevation >600 m were classified within the 'mountain' group, while those under 600 m were part of the 'plain' group. For continuous variables, we used Mann-Whitney *U*-tests, as the data were not




Figure 1. Map of the study region and nests recorded.

normally distributed based on Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. The chi-square test was conducted for the categorical variables.

In a second step, we examined the important environmental drivers for nest-site selection using logistic regression in a generalized linear mixed-effects model (GLMM) with the binomial presence (0/1) as response variable. Models were fitted using the function *glmer* from the package *lme4* with habitat variables as fixed effects, while pair ID (a nest and its random counterpart) and nest year were designated as random effects. As a model selection approach, we generally followed the procedure described in Barras *et al.* (2020). The habitat variables involved were standardised beforehand. Prior to the regression, the collinearity among variables was examined by Spearman's correlation test, retaining only those with $|r| < 0.7$, and carefully checking that all variables had variance inflation factors (VIF) < 3 in all fitted models. From a full model containing all habitat variables, a list of candidate models with all possible variable combinations was generated and ranked according to the AICc (AIC with correction for small samples) using the function *dredge* from the package *MuMIn* (Bartoń 2018). The best-supported models were defined as those within $\Delta\text{AICc} < 2$ from the first-ranked one, after excluding models with uninformative parameters (Arnold 2010). The importance of each variable was assessed by summing the Akaike's model weight (wi) from all the possible candidate models (Burnham and Anderson 2002). To better understand the effect of habitat variables on current nest-site selection of the Crested Ibis, plots of nesting probability (i.e. suitability) against each significant variable (with $P < 0.05$) were drawn using the first-ranked model within the best-supported ones, with other retained variables set to their mean, and 95% credible intervals (as 2.5% and 97.5% quantiles) drawn from 10,000 simulations using the package *arm* (Gelman and Su 2018).

Lastly, to explore how major factors may differ or not under new circumstances, we further included the habitat type (mountain or plain) as a fixed factor in the top-ranked GLMM (with interactions) to see if selection curves vary accordingly. In addition, for variables associated with characteristics of nesting trees (DBH, nest height, height of nesting tree and distance to trunk), we used Mann-Whitney *U* test (data were not normally distributed based on Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) to compare them between main nesting trees in mountain areas and plains. All analyses were conducted in R 3.5.3 (R Development Core Team 2019).

Results

We located a total of 117 nest sites during 2015–2019, and collected information for 73 random sites, for a total of 190 sampled sites. The surveyed nests were found across the distribution range of wild Crested Ibis (Fig. 1), with 48 nests (41.0%) located in mountain areas and 69 nests (59.0%) in lowland plains. In mountain areas, six nesting-tree species were recorded, of which Masson pine (64.6%) was the most frequent. In plains, there were 11 nesting-tree species, of which the majority were elm (44.9%) and aspen (40.6%) (Fig. 2). Eleven habitat variables showed significant difference between mountains and plains ($P < 0.05$; Table 1). As expected, the elevation and slope were lower in plains. Six variables, DBH, height of nesting tree, nest height, cover above nest, understorey coverage and distance to tree trunk, were larger in plains. Distance to settlement was larger in mountain areas, while the distance to forest edge was lower. Besides, use of nesting-tree species differed between mountain areas and plains. Nest height and the height of nesting tree showed high correlation with each other ($r = 0.86$, $P < 0.05$), we retained the height of nesting tree in the multivariate models since it was more instructive concerning habitat conservation. All variables in our models had a VIF < 3 .

A final set of five top models within $\Delta\text{AICc} < 2$ was obtained in the model selection approach (Table S1). The results supported inclusion of 10 variables (Table S1), which showed consistent coefficient estimates in the best-supported models, thus the effect of each variable was well represented by the first-ranked model (Table 2). Within the 10 variables, six were significant ($P < 0.05$), of which DBH, height of nesting tree and distance to trunk showed the highest importance weight (1.000), followed by slope (0.964), distance to paths (0.783) and understorey




Figure 2. Proportion of nesting trees of Crested Ibis in mountain areas ($n = 48$) and plains ($n = 69$), respectively. PM, *Pinus massoniana*; UP, *Ulmus pumila*; PD, *Populus davidiana*; CG, *Cyclobalanopsis glauca*; PF, *Paulownia fortunei*; QV, *Quercus variabilis*; TS, *Toona sinensis*; RP, *Robinia pseudoacacia*; MA, *Morus alba*; FS, *Firmiana simplex*; PC, *Pistacia chinensis*; MG, *Metasequoia glyptostroboides*; CD, *Cedrus deodara*.

coverage (0.774) (Table 2). DBH had a positive effect on nest-site selection of the Crested Ibis ($\beta \pm SE = 2.24 \pm 0.64$), and nesting probability reached an optimum as it increased above 65 cm (Fig. 3A). Height of nesting tree was also strongly, positively correlated with nest-site selection ($\beta \pm se = 2.86 \pm 0.60$), when it increased more than 23 m, the nesting probability reached a steady optimum (Fig. 3B). The distance to trunk had a negative effect ($\beta \pm SE = -1.42 \pm 0.35$), i.e. Crested Ibises tended to nest closer to tree trunk. The slope ($\beta \pm SE = 1.29 \pm 0.42$) and distance to path ($\beta \pm SE = 1.33 \pm 0.62$) were both positively correlated with nest-site selection, while understorey coverage had a negative effect ($\beta \pm SE = -0.72 \pm 0.37$).

The top-ranked GLMM including habitat (mountain and plain) as a fix factor showed consistent coefficient estimates in the six significant variables above, and only plain factor showed interactions, especially with understorey coverage (Table S2). Although no interaction was found in mountain factor, nest-site selection pattern appeared to vary between different habitats according to the selection curves. We found consistent selection pattern for both DBH and height of nesting tree, with positive response (Fig. 4A and Fig. 4B). The distance to tree trunk had a negative effect within both habitats, but ibises in mountain areas preferred nesting closer to trunk (Fig. 4C). The three variables, slope, distance to path and understorey coverage, showed similar effects within mountain areas as those in top-ranked GLMM without 'habitat' variable, but within plains these variables seemed to have no clear effect on the nest-site selection of Crested Ibises (Fig. 4D, Fig. 4E and Fig. 4F). Analysis of Mann-Whitney U test showed that four variables associated with nesting trees tended to be larger in the most used trees in plains (Fig. 5).

Discussion

Knowledge of breeding habitat selection is crucial for understanding bird ecology (Cody 1985) and can thus provide vital information for the conservation management of endangered species (McKellar et al. 2016). Our study indicated that nesting-tree species of wild Crested Ibises vary in different habitats, and that DBH, height of nesting tree, distance to trunk, slope, distance to nearest path and understorey coverage are important factors affecting the nest-site selection of this bird species.

Table 1. Habitat variables measured at each nest and random plot. Mean \pm SD values are displayed. Results of comparison of habitat variables in nest plots between mountains and plains was also shown.

Variables	Mountain areas		Plains		Z-value	χ^2 -value	P-value
	Nest plots ($n = 48$)	Random plots ($n = 34$)	Nest plots ($n = 69$)	Random plots ($n = 39$)			
ELE (m)	600.88 \pm 43.07	596.44 \pm 47.03	494.57 \pm 28.24	486.87 \pm 21.15	-8.81		< 0.001***
SL ($^\circ$)	27.19 \pm 17.84	17.94 \pm 17.99	3.90 \pm 8.89	0.95 \pm 4.85	-7.36		< 0.001***
DBH (cm)	36.72 \pm 10.04	28.69 \pm 7.13	50.22 \pm 15.08	34.65 \pm 8.65	-5.27		< 0.001***
HNT (m)	18.42 \pm 3.09	12.44 \pm 3.42	20.29 \pm 3.70	12.9 \pm 3.84	-3.03		0.002**
NH (m)	13.25 \pm 2.91	7.74 \pm 3.21	15.14 \pm 2.94	7.56 \pm 3.14	-3.32		0.001**
CAN (%)	50.96 \pm 23.12	44.38 \pm 25.75	61.75 \pm 19.86	49.03 \pm 22.92	-2.63		0.009**
UC (%)	26.63 \pm 24.24	42.12 \pm 25.59	35.70 \pm 25.50	29.51 \pm 25.86	-2.05		0.040*
TC (%)	47.81 \pm 23.96	44.53 \pm 19.85	48.49 \pm 22.63	43.79 \pm 25.09	-0.04		0.965
DR (m)	58.88 \pm 54.86	30.26 \pm 46.37	65.75 \pm 56.81	65.62 \pm 74.1	-0.65		0.515
DP (m)	19.03 \pm 25.65	8.91 \pm 12.98	13.87 \pm 22.79	6.08 \pm 8.69	-1.81		0.071
DS (m)	39.08 \pm 51.30	20.38 \pm 21.58	38.66 \pm 99.71	48.03 \pm 126.89	-2.93		0.003**
DFE (m)	13.57 \pm 15.55	3.29 \pm 2.96	3.49 \pm 2.65	1.88 \pm 1.47	-4.25		< 0.001***
DPF (m)	137.33 \pm 147.28	77.53 \pm 73.13	144.65 \pm 169.32	125.21 \pm 142.66	-0.03		0.973
DWS (m)	233.00 \pm 267.15	200.56 \pm 254.81	337.97 \pm 338.23	360.26 \pm 378.86	-1.06		0.291
CT	20.31 \pm 9.88	20.65 \pm 12.56	17.84 \pm 13.48	15.46 \pm 10.93	-1.89		0.058
AHT (m)	16.26 \pm 7.89	10.68 \pm 2.59	16.48 \pm 7.3	11.15 \pm 3.05	-0.72		0.472
DTT (m)	0.50 \pm 0.74	1.86 \pm 1.09	1.20 \pm 1.03	1.82 \pm 1.14	-4.22		< 0.001***
NTS						71.09	< 0.001***

ELE Elevation, SL Slope, DBH Diameter at breast height, HNT Height of nesting tree, NH Nest height, CAN Cover above nest, UC Understorey coverage, TC Tree cover, DR Distance to road, DP Distance to Path, DS Distance to settlement, DFE Distance to forest edge, DPF Distance to paddy field, DWS Distance to water source, CT Count of trees, AHT Average height of trees, DTT Distance to tree trunk, NTS Nesting-tree species

* $P < 0.05$;

** $P < 0.01$;

*** $P < 0.001$

Table 2. Variables retained in the set of top models within $\Delta AICc < 2$ in the analysis of generalized linear mixed-effects models. Coefficient estimates, Z and P-values are from the top-ranked model in each analysis, whereas importance of the variable (from 0 to 1) is the sum of Akaike weights from all the possible candidate models.

Variables	Estimate \pm se	Z-value	Importance	P-value
Diameter at breast height	2.24 \pm 0.64	3.53	1.000	<0.001***
Height of nesting tree	2.86 \pm 0.60	4.78	1.000	<0.001***
Distance to tree trunk	-1.42 \pm 0.35	-4.01	1.000	<0.001***
Slope	1.29 \pm 0.42	3.06	0.964	0.002**
Distance to path	1.33 \pm 0.62	2.16	0.783	0.031*
Understorey coverage	-0.72 \pm 0.37	-1.96	0.774	0.043*
Count of trees	-0.58 \pm 0.34	-1.70	0.670	0.090
Cover above nest	0.53 \pm 0.32	1.66	0.517	0.096
Distance to paddy field	0.65 \pm 0.38	1.73	0.155	0.084
Average height of trees	-0.83 \pm 0.49	-1.70	0.155	0.089

* $P < 0.05$;
 ** $P < 0.01$;
 *** $P < 0.001$




Figure 3. Regression line of nesting probability against all the significant habitat variables from the top-ranked GLMM, along with 95% credible intervals (shaded grey areas).

Our analysis showed that the Crested Ibis prefers nesting in specific trees (Fig. 2). Among the 13 nesting-tree species recorded in this study, Masson pine, elm and aspen were most frequently used (Fig. 2). Selecting specific trees for nesting has also been observed in other tree-nesting ibis species such as Giant Ibis *Pseudibis gigantea* (Keo 2008), Red-naped Ibis *Pseudibis papillosa* (Soni et al. 2010) and Black-headed Ibis *Threskiornis melanocephalus* (Senma and Acharya 2010). Most




Figure 4. Regression line of nesting probability within mountain areas and plains, against all the significant habitat variables from the top-ranked GLMM, along with 95% credible intervals (shaded coloured areas).




Figure 5. Comparison of the nest characteristics in the main nesting trees between mountain areas and plains. Heights of bars represent mean values and error bars represent standard errors. PM, *Pinus massoniana* ($n = 31$); UP, *Ulmus pumila* ($n = 31$); PD, *Populus davidiana* ($n = 28$).

of those studies have shown that these relationships are driven by nesting opportunities, such as an adequate support for nesting structures, suitable height, and thick canopy. Similarly, the Crested Ibis prefers Masson pine, elm and aspen for nesting probably because these trees are larger in DBH and enable nesting high above ground but still within thick canopy. More importantly, these trees, especially elm and aspen in plains, are usually located in the direct vicinity of suitable feeding

grounds (in most cases flooded paddy fields). Furthermore, the difference of nesting-tree species between mountain areas and plains (Table 1, Fig. 2), is related to the distinct vertical distribution of forest types (Ding 2004), with aspen and elm being more abundant than Masson pines at low elevations.

We found consistent positive effects of DBH and height of nesting tree on nest-site selection within both mountain areas and plains, suggesting that this ibis prefers nesting in trees that are larger and higher in size. Trees with larger DBH are usually older and have thicker branches and can offer adequate support to Crested Ibis nests. Previous studies on other ibis species found that nests built in smaller trees had less structural support and were more likely to collapse (Garrett 1996), especially during storms and windy days (Olmos and Silva 2001), resulting in breeding failure. Therefore, the physical stability of a nesting substrate is an important prerequisite for breeding success (Si Bachir *et al.* 2008, Vlachos *et al.* 2008, Raimilla *et al.* 2015). Compared with mountain areas, the DBH of nesting trees in plains was larger (Table 1, Fig. 5), mostly because selected nesting-tree species, elm and aspen, are usually larger than Masson pine. Another reason is that branches of Masson pine commonly grow crosswise, thus being favourable to nesting and resting of the Crested Ibis (Ding 2004), while branches of elm and aspen grow obliquely upward, such that only large trees may offer branches thick and flat enough for nesting.

Selection of taller nesting trees and nesting higher above ground have also been evidenced in other Ciconiiformes species such as the Great Egret *Ardea alba* (Post 1990). Nesting higher would be beneficial for landing and take-off (Chaudhury and Koli 2018), and thus easy access to nests, as the nesting trees are generally taller than the surrounding trees. Besides, nesting higher may protect nestlings from potential terrestrial predators, as breeding success is generally better in nests high above ground (Nias 1986; Rodrigues *et al.* 2018). In plains, the main nesting trees were on average taller than those in mountain areas (Table 1, Fig. 5) and hence provide suitable branches for nesting higher above ground, which might compensate for the increased human disturbance resulting from higher human population density (Hu *et al.* 2016).

Distance to trunk was also one of the most important predictors for nest-site selection, with birds selecting places close to trunk. Similar preferences were observed in Cattle Egret *Bubulcus ibis*, with nests situated close to trunk showing higher fledging success (Si Bachir *et al.* 2008). The nest of the Crested Ibis is typically constructed like a simple platform of flimsy sticks (Ding 2004), so that branches close to trunk, which are thicker and sturdier (Horn 1971), offer increased support and stability for nest structures. This is particularly true for ibises nesting in Masson pines, whose branches far from trunk are usually rather slender. In the plains, however, Crested Ibises used different nesting trees which offer thicker and bifurcated branches spreading outward as discussed above, hence enabling nest placement farther from the trunk (Table 1, Fig. 4C, Fig. 5).

We found three other variables, namely slope, distance to path and understorey coverage, have significant impact on nest-site selection of Crested Ibises (Table 2, Fig. 3D, Fig. 3E and Fig. 3F). However, these factors seem to matter more in mountain areas, whereas they had only little impact in plains (Fig. 4D, Fig. 4E and Fig. 4F). This suggests that in spatially heterogeneous environments, considering distinct habitats is necessary when assessing species-habitat relationships, especially for conservation planning. One of the main differences between the two habitats is that the human population density is higher in plains. In mountain areas, human activities could be lower in areas with higher slope, thus ibises built their nests in steeper areas and farther from paths, presumably to avoid human disturbance as previously evidenced (Li *et al.* 2001). Another reason might be the higher availability of Masson pines in steeper slopes. For ibises in plains, the limited influence of slope and distance to path on nest-site selection suggests reduced sensitivity to human disturbance, which is consistent with regional differences in tolerance to human activity (Zou 2017). Nests in mountain areas are usually built close to forest, and nesting trees have relatively wide and thick canopy, thus lower understorey coverage in nest sites may be explained by the reduced light. In this case, the ground biomass may decrease and hence be not attractive to snakes or weasels, which are potential predators of Crested Ibis (Ding 2004), whereas in plains the terrestrial predation risk would be lower due to higher human activity.

Conservation implications

Our study indicated that tall trees with suitable nesting places are key in driving nest-site selection of the Crested Ibis, particularly in recently colonized lower elevation plains. Tall trees available for nesting are relatively restricted in the plains in comparison to mountain areas, and many are threatened by felling due to insect pests or shading the light from houses. For example, we documented three and five cases of anthropogenic damage to nesting trees in the field in 2018 and 2019, respectively (authors' unpubl. data), such that those could not be used as nest sites anymore. Therefore, we stress the importance of establishing an inventory of large trees like elms and aspens in plains, especially the trees already used for nesting, for their protection and preservation. As the population of the wild Crested Ibises continues to increase and expand, only a proactive approach might be effective for conservation. As most nests are built outside the protected area (73.9% recorded in this study), further efforts to establish new monitoring programmes in recently colonized areas with high-density nests are needed. Furthermore, we found that Crested Ibises' responses to different habitats could vary, and such variation should be addressed in conservation planning, especially in future reintroduction. Future reintroduction projects could be based on the successful development of the wild population and prioritize habitat in mountain areas with low human disturbance. Besides tall and large trees, the low understorey coverage should also be considered when choosing releasing sites, in order to reduce potential terrestrial predation risk.

Supplementary Materials

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959270921000526>.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the staff of the Shaanxi Hanzhong Crested Ibis National Nature Reserve for their field assistance. We thank Dr. Zitan Song and Dr. Canshi Hu for their contribution in data collection. This project was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31772483 and 31900371) and the Biodiversity Survey, Monitoring and Assessment Project of Ministry of Ecology and Environment, China (No. 2019HB2096001006).

References

- Arnold, T. W. (2010) Uninformative parameters and model selection using Akaike's information criterion. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 74: 1175–1178.
- Barras, A. G., Marti, S., Ettlin, S., Vignali, S., Resano-Mayor, J., Braunisch, V. and Arlettaz, R. (2020) The importance of seasonal environmental factors in the foraging habitat selection of Alpine Ring Ouzels *Turdus torquatus alpestris*. *Ibis* 162: 505–519.
- Bartoń, K. (2018) MuMIn: Multi-model Inference. R package version 1.42.1. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn>.
- BirdLife International. (2021) Species fact-sheet: *Nipponia nippon*. Downloaded from <http://www.birdlife.org>.
- Burnham, K. P. and Anderson, D. R. (2002) *Model selection and multimodel inference*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Chalfoun, A. D. and Schmidt, K. A. (2012) Adaptive breeding-habitat selection: is it for the birds? *Auk* 129: 589–599.
- Chaudhury, S. and Koli, V. K. (2018) Population status, habitat preference, and nesting characteristics of Black-headed Ibis *Threskiornis melanocephalus* Latham, 1790 in southern Rajasthan, India. *J. Asia Pac. Biodivers.* 11: 223–228.
- Cody, M. L. (1985) *Habitat Selection in Birds*. (ed). Cambridge, UK: Academic Press.
- Crampton, L. H. and Sedinger, J. S. (2011) Nest-habitat selection by the

- Phainopepla: congruence across spatial scales but not habitat types. *Condor* 113: 209–222.
- Ding, C. Q. (2010) Crested ibis. *Chinese Birds* 1: 156–162.
- Ding, C. Q. (2004) *Research on the Crested Ibis*. Shanghai: Shanghai Scientific and Technological Educational Publishing House. (In Chinese).
- Forstmeier, W. and Weiss, I. (2004) Adaptive plasticity in nest-site selection in response to changing predation risk. *Oikos* 104: 487.
- Gaillard, J. M., Hebblewhite, M., Loison, A., Fuller, M., Powell, R., Basille, M. and Van Moorter, B. (2010) Habitat-performance relationships: finding the right metric at a given spatial scale. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 365: 2255–2265.
- Garrett, K. C. (1996) Nesting ecology of the White-Faced Ibis (*Plegadis chihi*) in South-western Louisiana. *LSU Historical Dissertations and Theses* 6187.
- Gelman, A., Su, Y. S., Yajima, M., Hill, J., Pittau, M. G., Kerman, J., Zheng, T. and Dorie, V. (2018) *Arm: Data Analysis Using Regression and Multilevel/Hierarchical Models*. R package version 1.10-1. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=arm>.
- Gjerdrum, C., Elphick, C. S. and Rubega, M. (2005) Nest site selection and nesting success in saltmarsh breeding sparrows: the importance of nest habitat, timing, and study site differences. *Condor* 107: 849–862.
- Horn, H. S. (1971) *The adaptive geometry of trees*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Hu, C. S., Song, X., Ding, C. Q., Ye, Y. X., Qing, B. P. and Wang, C. (2016) The size of winter-flooded paddy fields no longer limits the foraging habitat use of the endangered crested ibis (*Nipponia nippon*) in winter. *Zool. Sci.* 33: 345–351.
- Jones, J. (2001) Habitat selection studies in avian ecology: a critical review. *Auk* 118: 557–562.
- Keo, O. (2008) Ecology and conservation of the Giant Ibis *Thaumatibis gigantea* in Cambodia. *Birding ASIA* 9: 100–106.
- Li, X. H., Ma, Z. J., Li, D. M., Ding, C. Q., Zhai, T. B. and Lu, B. Z. (2001) Using resource selection functions to study nest site selection of crested ibis. *Biodivers. Sci.* 9: 352–358. (In Chinese with English abstract).
- Li, X. H., Tian, H. D. and Li, D. M. (2009). Why the crested ibis declined in the middle twentieth century. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 18: 2165–2172.
- Liu, Y. Z. (1981) Recovery of Japanese crested ibis in Qin-ling range. *Acta Zool. Sin.* 27: 273. (In Chinese).
- Machin, P., Fernandez-Elipe, J., Flinks, H., Laso, M., Aguirre, J. I. and Klaassen, R. H. G. (2017) Habitat selection, diet and food availability of European Golden Plover *Pluvialis apricaria* chicks in Swedish Lapland. *Ibis* 159: 657–672.
- McKellar, A. E., Kesler, D. C. and Walters, J. R. (2016) Resource selection reflects fitness associations for an endangered bird in restored habitat. *Anim. Conserv.* 19: 131–138.
- Nias, R. C. (1986) Nest site characteristics and reproductive success in the superb fairy-wren. *Emu* 86: 139–144.
- Olmos, F. and Silva e Silva, R. (2001) Breeding biology and nest-site characteristics of the Scarlet Ibis in Southeastern Brazil. *Waterbirds* 24: 58–67.
- Perez-Granados, C., Lopez-Iborra, G. M. and Seoane, J. (2017). A multi-scale analysis of habitat selection in peripheral populations of the endangered Dupont's Lark *Chersophilus duponti*. *Bird Conserv. Internatn.* 27: 398–413.
- Post, W. (1990) Nest survival in a large Ibis-Heron colony during a three-year decline extinction. *Colonial Waterbirds* 13: 50–61.
- R Development Core Team. (2019) *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Raimilla, V., Rau, J. R. and Niklitschek, E. J. (2015) Use of exotic conifers as nesting sites by Black-faced Ibis (*Theristicus melanopis*) in an urban area of southern Chile. *Stud. Neotrop. Fauna. E.* 50: 130–136.
- Rodrigues, V.B., Jesus, F.M. and Campos, R.I. (2018) Local habitat disturbance increases bird nest predation in the Brazilian Atlantic rainforest. *Anim. Biodiv. Conserv.* 41: 117–120.
- Segura, L. N., Masson, D. A. and Gantchoff, M. G. (2012) Microhabitat nest cover effect on nest survival of the Red-crested Cardinal. *Wilson J. Ornithol.* 124: 506–512.

- Senma, R. C. and Acharya, C. A. (2010) Nest and nest contents of near threatened Black Headed Ibis (*Threskiornis melanocephalus*). *Asian J. Anim. Sci.* 4: 146–148.
- Si Bachir, A., Barbraud, C., Doumandji, S. and Hafner, H. (2008) Nest site selection and breeding success in an expanding species, the Cattle Egret *Bubulcus ibis*. *Ardea* 96: 99–107.
- Song, Z. T. (2018) Sex allocation pattern and reproduction strategy in the wild population of Crested Ibis (*Nipponia nippon*) DAG. thesis, School of Ecology and Nature Conservation, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing, China. (In Chinese with English abstract).
- Soni, K. C., Sharma, A. N. and Soni, V. C. (2010) Nesting ecology, interspecific interaction and nesting association of Indian Black Ibis (*Pseudibis papillosa*) inhabiting the arid zone of Rajasthan. *Our Nature* 8: 12–25.
- Subedi, T. R., Anadón, J. D., Baral, H. S., Virani, M. Z. and Sah, S. A. M. (2019) Breeding habitat and nest-site selection of Bearded Vulture *Gypaetus barbatus* in the Annapurna Himalaya Range of Nepal. *Ibis* 162: 153–161.
- Sun, Y. W., Skidmore, A. K., Wang, T. J., van Gils, H. A. M. J., Wang, Q., Qing, B. P. and Ding, C. Q. (2014) Reduced dependence of crested ibis on winter-flooded rice fields: implications for their conservation. *PLoS ONE* 9: e98690.
- Veech, J. A., Small, M. F. and Baccus, J. T. (2011). The effect of habitat on the range expansion of a native and an introduced bird species. *J. Biogeogr.* 38: 69–77.
- Vlachos, C. G., Bakaloudis, D. E., Alexandrou, O. G., Bontzorlos, V. A. and Papakosta, M. A. (2008) Factors affecting the nest site selection of the black stork, *Ciconia nigra* in the Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park, north-eastern Greece. *Folia Zool.* 57: 251–257.
- Wang, C., Zhang, Y. Z., Zeng, J. W., Gao, J., Yan, L. and Liu, D. P. (2020) Reproductive status and population size of wild Crested Ibis (*Nipponia nippon*) in China. *Scientia Silvae Sinicae* 56: 143–150. (In Chinese with English abstract).
- Watts, B. D. (1989) Nest-site characteristics of the yellow-crowned night-herons in Virginia. *Condor* 91: 979–983.
- Wiens, J. A. (1992) *The ecology of bird communities*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Zou Y. Q. (2017) Study on coping strategy of Crested ibis (*Nipponia nippon*) to non-lethal predation risk. MSc. thesis, School of Ecology and Nature Conservation, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing, - China. (In Chinese with English abstract).