Intra-articular Placement of an Intraosseous Catheter

Zachary Grabel, BS; J. Mason DePasse, MD; Craig R. Lareau, MD; Christopher T. Born, MD; Alan H. Daniels, MD

Department of Orthopaedics, Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island USA

Correspondence:

Zachary Grabel, BS
Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown
University
Orthopaedics Department
593 Eddy Street
Providence, Rhode Island 02903 USA
E-mail: zachary_grabel@brown.edu

Conflicts of interest: none

Keywords: intraosseous catheter; trauma; vascular access

Abbreviations:

AP: anteroposterior ED: emergency department EMS: Emergency Medical Services IO: intraosseous IV: intravenous

Received: July 19, 2014 Accepted: September 28, 2014

Online publication: December 8, 2014

doi:10.1017/S1049023X14001290

Abstract

Gaining vascular access is essential in the resuscitation of critically ill patients. Intraosseous (IO) placement is a fundamentally important alternative to intravenous (IV) access in conditions where IV access delays resuscitation or is not possible. This case report presents a previously unreported example of prehospital misplacement of an IO catheter into the intra-articular space of the knee joint. This report serves to inform civilian and military first responders, as well as emergency medicine physicians, of intra-articular IO line placement as a potential complication of IO vascular access. Infusion of large amounts of fluid into the joint space could damage the joint and be catastrophic to a patient who needs immediate IV fluids or medications. In addition, intra-articular IO placement could result in septic arthritis of the knee.

Grabel Z, DePasse JM, Lareau CR, Born CT, Daniels AH. Intra-articular placement of an intraosseous catheter. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2015;30(1):89-92.

Introduction

Gaining vascular access is essential in the resuscitation of critically ill patients. Intravenous (IV) access is the first-choice vascular access for adult emergencies. Intraosseous (IO) placement is a primary alternative to IV access in conditions where IV access delays resuscitation or is not possible. Additionally, IO access is the first-line vascular access for pediatric emergencies. This report presents a case of prehospital misplacement of an IO catheter into the intra-articular space of the knee joint when access was attempted in the field. To the authors' knowledge, this complication has not been reported previously in the literature.

Report

A 42-year-old male bicyclist presented to the emergency department (ED) via Emergency Medical Services (EMS) after being struck by a motor vehicle. The patient was wearing a helmet and did not lose consciousness. Per EMS, the patient complained of abdominal pain and shortness of breath, and his systolic blood pressure was in the 80s in the field. Emergency Medical Services attempted IO line placement in the right proximal tibia, and IV fluids were administered prior to arriving in the ED.

At presentation to the ED, the patient was in no acute distress. The patient's vital signs had improved to a blood pressure of 124/74 with a heart rate of 85 beats/minute. His respiratory rate was 20, and his oxygen saturation was 100%. His physical exam was significant for epigastric tenderness without guarding and abrasions over the left flank, left thigh, left knee, and bilateral ankles. An IO line was noted in the right proximal tibia by the emergency physician. The catheter appeared to be very close to the joint line (Figure 1).

X-rays of the chest and pelvis and computed tomography scans of the abdomen, pelvis, and lumbosacral spine were unremarkable. Radiographs of the right knee and right tibia and fibula were performed to assess IO placement. Anteroposterior (AP) and lateral X-rays of the right knee revealed intra-articular placement of the IO catheter (Figure 2).

The orthopaedic surgery service was consulted for management. After sterile preparation of the skin, an additional 18-gauge angiocatheter needle was placed into the superolateral portal of the knee joint. One liter of sterile saline was lavaged through the

90 Placement of an IO Catheter



Grabel © 2014 Prehospital and Disaster Medicine

Figure 1. Clinical Photograph of Intraosseous Catheter Placed in Right Knee.

knee (Figure 3). Both needles were removed, and a sterile dressing was applied. Anteroposterior and lateral radiographs, as well as a photograph of the right knee, were obtained after removal of the IO catheter. The patient was allowed to bear weight as tolerated through his knee, and he recovered without complication.

Discussion

This case of IO catheter placement into the knee joint was managed with joint lavage and catheter removal. Although IO vascular access is generally a safe and effective resuscitation technique, IO lines placed incorrectly can have devastating effects, including compartment syndrome, muscle necrosis, major bleeding, and infection. 1,7-10

The most common IO insertion site in children and adults is the proximal tibia. Other common sites include the lateral aspect of the humeral head and the sternum. Reades et al demonstrated that the first-attempt success rate of IO insertion into the proximal tibia was greater than into the proximal humerus during resuscitation of cardiac arrest patients. For proper proximal tibial insertion, the IO catheter should be inserted into the anteromedial proximal tibia distal to the level of the tibial tubercle. More proximal placement should be avoided due to the fact that the knee capsule inserts on the tibia 14 mm distal to the articular surface of the tibial plateau, and intracapsular insertion places the joint at risk for infection. Higure 4 illustrates the proper IO catheter insertion point in the proximal tibia. Placement of the IO catheter may be more challenging in emergent conditions and when external landmarks are more difficult to identify, such as in obese patients or patients with variant anatomy.

There are few absolute contraindications to IO line placement. They include fracture or osteotomy of the bone, the presence





Grabel © 2014 Prehospital and Disaster Medicine

Figure 2. Anteroposterior (A) and Lateral (B) Radiographs of Right Knee Revealing Intraosseous Needle in Intra-articular Space.



Figure 3. Clinical Photograph of Right Knee During Lavage.

Grabel © 2014 Prehospital and Disaster Medicine



Grabel © 2014 Prehospital and Disaster Medicine

Figure 4. Clinical Photograph and AP Radiograph of Right Knee: Black Box Indicates Proper IO Catheter Insertion Point in the Anteromedial Proximal Tibia.

Abbreviations: AP, anteroposterior; IO, intraosseous.

92 Placement of an IO Catheter

of a prosthetic bone or joint, active infection at insertion site, recent IO access attempts in the same bone, and inability to identify external landmarks at insertion site. 11

Several complications of IO vascular access have been documented. The most common complication is fluid extravasation, which can cause compartment syndrome and muscle necrosis. Other previously identified complications of IO placement include osteomyelitis, soft-tissue infection, breaking or bending of the IO needle, and air or fat emboli. 11,15

As this case demonstrates, insertion of the IO needle into the intra-articular space is an additional complication of IO vascular placement. Intra-articular placement of the IO needle would not allow for effective resuscitation and could be catastrophic to a

patient who needs immediate IV fluids or drugs. Furthermore, infusion of large amounts of fluid into the joint space could damage to the joint capsule and could lead to septic arthritis if a meticulous sterile technique is not utilized.

Conclusion

Civilian and military first responders, as well as emergency physicians, should be aware of intra-articular IO line placement as a potential complication of IO vascular access. Radiographs of the affected insertion site should be performed to assess IO line placement before removal of the IO needle. Patients who present with intra-articular placement of IO line should be evaluated and followed for the development of septic arthritis.

References

- Lamhaut L, Dagron C, Apriotesei R, et al. Comparison of intravenous and intraosseous access by prehospital medical emergency personnel with and without CBRN protective equipment. Resuscitation. 2010;81(1):65-68.
- Nolan JP, Deakin CD, Soar J, Böttiger BW, Smith G. European Resuscitation Council. European Resuscitation Council guidelines for resuscitation 2005. Section 4. Adult Advanced Life Support. Resuscitation. 2005;67(Suppl 1):S39-86.
- American Heart Association. 2005 American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. Part 7.2: Management of cardiac arrest. Circulation. 2005;112(4):58-66.
- Biarent D, Bingham R, Richmond S, et al. European Resuscitation Council guidelines for resuscitation 2005. Section 6. Pediatric life support. Resuscitation. 2005;67(Suppl 1):S97-133.
- International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. The International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation consensus on science with treatment recommendations for pediatric and neonatal patients: pediatric Basic and Advanced Life Support. Pediatrics. 2006;117(5):e955-977.
- American Heart Association. 2005 American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. Part 12: Pediatric Advanced Life Support. Circulation. 2005;112(4):167-187.
- 7. LaRocco BG, Wang HE. Intraosseous infusion. Prehosp Emerg Care. 2003;7(2):280-285.

- Fowler R, Gallagher JV, Isaacs SM, Ossman E, Pepe P, Wayne M. The role of intraosseous vascular access in the out-of-hospital environment. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2007;11(1):63-66.
- Lowther A. Intraosseous access and adults in the emergency department. Nursing Standard. 2011;25(48):35–38.
- Buck ML, Wiggins BS, Sesler JM. Intraosseous drug administration in children and adults during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2007;41(10):1679-1686.
- 11. Paxton J. Intraosseous vascular access: a review. Trauma. 2012;14(3):195-232.
- Reades R, Studnek JR, Garrett JS, Vandeventer S, Blackwell T. Comparison of firstattempt success between tibial and humeral intraosseous insertions during out-ofhospital cardiac arrest. Prebosp Emerg Care. 2011;15(2):278-281.
- Cooper BR, Mahoney PF, Hodgetts TJ, Mellor A. Intra-osseous access (EZ-IO) for resuscitation: UK military combat experience. J R Army Med Corps. 2007;153(4): 314-316.
- Decoster TA, Crawford MK, Kraut MA. Safe extracapsular placement of proximal tibia transfixation pins. J Orthop Trauma. 2004;18(suppl 8):S43-S47.
- Fenton P, Bali N, Sargeant I, Jeffrey SL. A complication of the use of an intraosseous needle. J R Army Med Corps. 2009;155(2):110-111.