Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization

cambridge.org/pgr

Research Article

Cite this article: Chandel R, Sadashiva AT, Ravishankar KV, Das A, Rout BM, Singh S (2021). Genetic combining, heterosis analysis for horticultural traits in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) using ToLCV-resistant lines and molecular validation of *Ty* genes. *Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization* **19**, 512–521. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S1479262121000630

Received: 19 March 2021 Revised: 15 December 2021 Accepted: 16 December 2021 First published online: 7 January 2022

Keywords:

Heterosis; leaf curl virus; molecular validation; tomato; *Ty* genes; yield traits

Author for correspondence: Rahul Chandel, E-mail: rahulchandel02@gmail.com



 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of NIAB

Genetic combining, heterosis analysis for horticultural traits in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) using ToLCV-resistant lines and molecular validation of *Ty* genes

Rahul Chandel¹, A. T. Sadashiva², Kundapura V. Ravishankar³, Anjan Das¹, Bichhinna Maitri Rout¹, and Saurabh Singh¹

¹Division of Vegetable Science, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110012, India; ²Division of Vegetable Crops, ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bengaluru 560089, India and ³Division of Biotechnology, ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bengaluru 560089, India

Abstract

Tomato is a pivotal vegetable crop worldwide concerning human nutrition, economy and in service to biotechnology. Tomato leaf curl virus (ToLCV) is a begomovirus transmitted through the whitefly (Bemisia tabaci) and is responsible for severe losses in tomato production. In this context, the current investigation was carried out to determine heterotic combiners with high yield, resistance to ToLCV and mode of gene action for economically important traits. For this, 11 prescreened inbred lines were crossed in a half diallel fashion to generate 55 F1 hybrids. The 55 crosses with 11 parents and commercial checks were evaluated for different horticultural traits and resistance to ToLCV. The molecular validation with SCAR markers TG0302 and SCAR1 confirmed the presence of ToLCV-resistant genes in parents and their crosses. The hybrid IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2852 showed the presence of both Ty-2 and Ty-3 alleles in the homozygous state. The estimation of σ_{SCA}^2 and σ_{GCA}^2 was significant. It also indicated that the genetic control of target traits was under additive and non-additive gene effects. The values of $\sigma_{A/D}2$ along with $\sigma_{GCA}2/\sigma_{SCA}^2$ found to be less than unity indicates the preponderance of non-additive gene action in the expression of the studied traits except for percent disease incidence. The parental line IIHR-2919 was the best combiner for fruit and yield traits. The cross combinations IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2898 exhibited significantly higher economic heterosis for yield along with the presence of Ty-2 and Ty-3 genes. The study paves the way for breeding high yielding and ToLCV-resistant hybrids in tomato.

Introduction

Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) is an important member of the solanaceae family and is cultivated extensively across the globe for consumption. In 2019, global tomato production was 180.76 Mt from an estimated area of 5.30 Mha, with an average yield of 35.93 t/ha (FAOSTAT, 2019). It has become a popular vegetable in recent years due to its nutritional value, processing traits and nutraceutical values. It is a vital source of several nutrients such as vitamins and minerals that play a significant role in the growth and development of human beings. Interest in tomatoes has grown since the discovery that lycopene has anti-oxidative, anti-cancer properties. The development of plant biotechnology and genetic engineering tools has opened several doors of opportunities for engineering tomato plants (Gerszberg et al., 2014). Tomatoes grown for salad must possess deep red colour, flavour and texture to satisfy consumer's preferences and at the same time, be able to withstand transportation, marketing and post-harvest handling. Tomato is in continuous demand throughout the year for its fresh and processed products in the international market (Hannan et al., 2007a). It is grown worldwide by farmers with small landholdings grow tomato as a high-value cash crop. Extensive tomato cultivation offers an opportunity to farmers to change from sustenance to commercial farming and considerably boost marginal farmer's income (Fan et al., 2013).

However, several major constraints including biotic (bacterial, fungal and viral pathogens) and abiotic stresses greatly reduce the yield, fruit quality, nutritional content and shelf-life of tomato fruits (Hanson *et al.*, 2016). In the past, commercial tomato growing areas have witnessed 100% yield losses worldwide due to tomato leaf curl virus (ToLCV) disease (Singh *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, there exists wide genetic diversity among begomoviruses. So far, more than 100 species have been identified and among which, ToLCV is a prominent disease, usually transmitted by whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*). In response to ToLCV infection, plants produce typical symptoms such as arrested growth, reduction in the size of the leaf and internodes, severe curling, twisting and rolling of the leaves that goes together with dark green

outgrowth or vein enation on the undersurface of the leaflets (Smith, 1935; Vasudeva and Samraj, 1948).

To date, there are no effective control measures present to manage the ToLCV disease. It has become practically impossible to control its vector viz., whitefly by chemical means. Moreover, the vector has developed strong resistance against various groups of insecticides in the past few years due to various reasons (Horowitz *et al.*, 2005) due to which breeding of varieties and hybrids resistant to ToLCV has gained scientific attention (Shankarappa *et al.*, 2008). The area under tomato cultivation comprises more than 90–95% hybrids as compared to varieties. Hybrids are known to be highly uniform, high yielding, better in terms of quality and possessing a higher level of resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses. Despite the high seed cost, farmers still prefer hybrid cultivars, for increasing their profits. This made the breeders direct their attention more towards hybrid development to satisfy the increased demands of growers and consumers.

The phenomena of heterosis in tomato hybrids have been supported by several investigators in the past (Bai and Lindhout, 2007; Solieman et al., 2013). The ability of a hybrid to resist the disease also depends upon the degree of resistance present in both the parents. Heterosis is well known to boost the yield and also to enhance other important qualitative and quantitative traits. Heterosis is a natural phenomenon where the F₁ hybrid is superior at least over an average of two parents. Two factors i.e. appropriate inbred selection and identification of superior heterotic combinations are extremely decisive in exploiting the heterosis in crop improvement (Singh et al., 2019). Combining ability analysis acts as a tool for identification of desirable parent and also specific cross combination for further exploitation (Munshi and Verma, 1999; Singh et al., 2019). Combining ability analysis provides a useful insight into the selection of diverse parents about the performance of the resistant hybrids. The involvement of various types of gene effects in different magnitudes to govern the quality traits which are generally quantitative in nature and also the lack of superior combiners have limited the production of resistant hybrids (Ahmad et al., 2009). Genetic analysis paves the way for assessment of the breeding potential of parental lines or identification of the best combiners in crop (Singh et al., 2014), which can be effectively exploited to develop hybrids or accumulate fixable genes to develop a variety.

The choice of effective breeding method and selection of suitable parents for the improvement of any crop depends upon the nature and magnitude of gene action controlling the inheritance of yield and its contributing traits (Rattan and Chadha, 2009). Furthermore, the selection of desirable mating designs such as line × tester or half diallel depending upon the number of parents and availability of resources is highly important to unravel the genetic basis of heterosis (Bansal *et al.*, 2012). Knowledge about gene action also helps to predict whether one can go for recombination and selection or heterosis breeding. Keeping in view the above facts, the current investigation was undertaken to evaluate the heterotic performance of testcross progenies, developed through half diallel mating design for yield and quality traits as well as for resistance to ToLCV disease.

Materials and methods

Planting material and experimental design

The current study was carried out in the experimental plot (block-8), Division of Vegetable Crops, Indian Institute of

Horticultural Research (IIHR), Hesaraghatta (coordinates: 130.58'N latitude and 770.37'E longitude), Bengaluru-89 (Karnataka). The experimentation materials consist of 11 prescreened lines, which were having different Ty genes (Chandel et al., 2019), were used as parents to develop 55 F₁ hybrids in a half diallel fashion. The crossing was performed in the months of September-October 2018; red ripe fruits were harvested in the last week of December. Seeds were extracted through the fermentation method and dried in sun for 2-3 d. The details about the parental line and their sources of origin are presented in online Supplementary Table S1. Parents, hybrids along with one resistant check Abhinav and one susceptible check Punjab Chhuhara were sown in RCBD design with three replication. Furthermore, evaluation for ToLCV resistance and other horticultural traits was performed from mid-February 2019 onwards. Each replication consists of 15 plants in a single row with a spacing of 100 cm \times 45 cm. Beds were covered with 25 μm thick black plastic mulch and fertigation was applied as per package of practices recommended by ICAR-IIHR for the cultivation of tomato. Protective insecticidal sprays were restricted against sucking pest (whiteflies) which serve as a vector for virus transmission and a variety that is susceptible to ToLCV (Punjab Chhuhara) was also planted around the main growing field to develop the viral load.

Agro-morphological characterization

The data for various morphological and horticultural traits were collected from 10 randomly tagged plants per replication. For plant height (cm) data were collected at final harvest, whereas data for the number of flowers per cluster were recorded at 50% blooming stage. The fruit set percentage was calculated by the ratio of number of fruits per cluster to the number of flowers per cluster. All fruits from each plant were weighed and counted respectively to measure yield per plant (kg), average fruit weight (g) and the number of fruits per plant. Five fruits from 10 randomly tagged plants in each replication were collected for recording data of fruit characteristic traits such as the number of locules, total soluble solids (TSS) (using hand refractometer model ERMA 0-10 °Brix FT 327), fruit firmness (kg/cm²) using a needle-type pocket penetrometer, pericarp thickness (cm) using a digital vernier caliper. Disease symptoms and severity of ToLCV were recorded from 15 plants of each genotype in each plot on 30 d after transplanting, 50-60 d after transplanting and 90 d after transplanting (fruit maturation stage) based on plant phenotype. No protection measures were taken during crop growth against whitefly and additional plants of ToLCV susceptible variety Puniab Chhuhara were sown in infector row to maintain a sufficient load of virus inoculum. Plants were examined for symptoms that appeared at 30, 60 and 90 d after transplanting (Muniyappa et al., 1991).

DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) reaction conditions

DNA was extracted using the CTAB method from the parents and hybrids at cotyledonary leaves stage of 3-5 d old tomato saplings using a method as suggested by Murray and Thompson (1980) with some minor modifications. Total genomic DNA was quantified by using a spectrophotometer at 260 nm; the concentration was calculated against the standard value of 1 OD₂₆₀ = 50 µg/ml and the integrity was examined by gel electrophoresis on 0.8%

(wt/vol) agarose gel. Two SCAR markers, namely TG0302 linked to *Ty*-2 allele and SCAR1 linked to *Ty*-3 allele, were used in the current study. PCR was carried out in a thermocycler (Mastercycler Gradient; Eppendorf). PCR amplification was performed in a volume of 10 µl in 0.2 ml thin-walled PCR tube strips containing 1 µl of template DNA, 0.5 µl of each forward and reverse primers, 5 µl of nuclease-free water and 3 µl master mix. Separation and visualization of PCR products were performed on 1.5% agarose gel. Optimal conditions for amplification of SCAR marker linked to *Ty*-2 were used following Yang *et al.* (2014). PCR conditions for the SCAR1 marker linked to *Ty*-3 performed as described by Dong *et al.* (2016). A gel documentation system (Alpha imager 2200 of Alpha Innotech Corporation, California) was used to visualize and capture the photograph of PCR products.

Statistical analysis

Heterosis for various traits under consideration was calculated in terms of percent decrease or increase of the F₁ hybrids over its better parent, mid-parent and standard check (Hayes *et al.*, 1965). Significance was tested at a probability of P < 0.05 and P < 0.01 through the *t*-test. The diallel analysis for estimation of general combining ability (GCA), specific combining ability (SCA), heritability, variance and mean performance was performed by using the computer software program Windowstat 9.2 (Indostat Services, Hyderabad, India).

Results

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and genetic components of variance

ANOVA revealed that all the treatments and the parents were significant for all the characters. Similarly among the F1, most of the traits were found to be significant (online Supplementary Table S2). However, the interaction between parents and hybrids was found to be significant for all the traits except for TSS. The diallel ANOVA for combining ability showed the existence of significant variation among the treatments and parents for all the studied traits (Table 1). Highly significant variation due to GCA as well as SCA indicated the importance of additive as well as non-additive types of gene action of inheritance for all the traits. Variance for GCA, SCA and GCA to SCA ratio, additive and dominance variance for 13 characters were estimated and presented in Table 1. The magnitude of SCA variance is more as compared to GCA variance for all the characters under study except for PDI. The GCA to SCA ratio is <1 for all the characters except for PDI. Also, the degree of dominance has shown values greater than unity for all the traits indicated dominant nature of these traits except for PDI. Dominance variance is more than additive variance for all the characters except for percent disease incidence.

Mean performances of parental lines along with hybrids for horticultural traits

The results for the mean performance of parents and hybrids used in this study are presented in Table 2 and online Supplementary Table S3. Mean values obtained from the parental line indicate wide ranges of genetic variability among them for most of the studied characters. The parental line IIHR-2919 was found to

produce the highest mean values for the traits such as plant height, number of flowers per cluster, number of fruits per cluster, lowest number of locules and TSS. For traits concerning earliness such as days to 50% flowering significant lowest mean values were reflected by the parental line IIHR-2898; whereas for yield and yield related traits such as fruit set percentage, number of fruits per plant and yield per plant, parent IIHR-2852 produced the significant highest mean values. Among the used parental lines IIHR-2853 gave the significant highest mean values for fruit diameter and average fruit weight. For traits such as pericarp thickness and fruit firmness, parent IIHR-2907 revealed the significant highest mean values. Furthermore, developed hybrids also reflected wide variations for all traits studied. Results obtained from hybrids showed that most of them produced average values that tended to be either more than their respective midparental values or exceeded the better-parental values. Out of 55 hybrids, the highest mean value were found to be those of the F1 hybrids; IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2852 for plant height and average fruit weight; IIHR-2907 × IIHR-2898 for days to 50% flowering; IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2919 for number of flowers per cluster; IIHR-2898 × IIHR-2853 for number of fruits per cluster, TLBR-6 × IIHR-2919 for fruit set percentage; IIHR-5-3-7-5 × IIHR-2853 for number of fruits per plant; IIHR-2919 \times IIHR-2898 for number of locules; IIHR-2886×IIHR-2853 for TSS: IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2853 for pericarp thickness; IIHR-2919×IIHR-2852 for fruit firmness and IIHR-2913× IIHR-2898 for yield per plant.

Molecular validation of Ty genes

In this experiment, only two markers linked to Ty-2 and Ty-3 alleles have been employed to validate the resistance source (online Supplementary Figs S1 and S2). These upon amplification with TG0302 primers (Ty-2) showed a band size of 900 bp (R) confirming the presence of the Ty-2 gene and SCAR1 marker with a band size of 519 (R) confers the presence of the Ty-3 gene whereas all the other genotypes showed a susceptible reaction with the absence of the resistance governing genes. IIHR-2852 and IIHR-2902 are the only parents which have shown the presence of Ty-2 alone whereas only three hybrids have shown the presence of Ty-2 alone in the homozygous state. Only one hybrid namely IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2852 has shown the presence of both alleles i.e. Ty-2 and Ty-3 in the homozygous state.

Estimation of GCA and SCA

The basic approach towards selecting parents for hybrids development based on their mean performance does not always provide fruitful results. Therefore, before drawing any conclusion, we have determined the combining ability, gene action and heterotic potential for all the traits under study. The estimates of desirable GCA and SCA effects of the top three parents and hybrids for various traits under study are presented in Table 3. Nature and magnitude of combining ability effects provide guidelines to identify the better parents and their effective utilization of hybrids development. The obtained results of GCA effects revealed that none of the parents were found to be a good general combiner for all the characters as evident from Table 4. An inclusive assessment of GCA effects showed that among all the parents, IIHR-2919 was a good general combiner for five traits namely

Table 1. Esti	mates of geneti	c components of	variance	for yield a	and quality	traits in	Tomato
---------------	-----------------	-----------------	----------	-------------	-------------	-----------	--------

Variation components	Plant height	Days to 50% flowering	No. of flowers per cluster	No. of fruits per cluster	Fruit set percentage (%)	No. of fruits per plant	Average fruit weight
$\sigma^2_{ m GCA}$	20.70	0.13	0.14	0.06	6.61	9.93	27.08
$\sigma_{ m SCA}^2$	117.99	2.18	0.38	0.15	35.34	43.93	357.07
$\sigma_{\rm GCA}^2/\sigma_{\rm SCA}^2$	0.17	0.06	0.36	0.43	0.22	0.22	0.07
σ_A^2	43.33	0.28	0.31	0.12	21.60	21.60	81.71
$\sigma_{ m D}^2$	108.33	2.12	0.36	0.14	32.87	32.87	314.11
$\sigma^2_{A/D}$	0.40	0.13	0.86	0.85	0.65	0.65	0.26
Degree of dominance	1.75	2.35	2.01	1.29	2.22	1.69	2.67
Heritability (narrow sense) (%)	25.00	9.20	41.80	0.42	0.27	29.60	13.10
Predictability ratio	0.25	0.10	0.42	0.46	0.27	0.31	0.13
Variation components		No. of locules	TSS Per	icarp thickness	Fruit firmness	Yield per plant (kg)	PDI (%)
$\sigma_{ m GCA}^2$		0.25	0.02	0.14	0.08	0.02	111.70
σ^2_{SCA}		0.60	0.16	1.00	0.49	0.18	105.60
$\sigma_{ m GCA}^2/\sigma_{ m SCA}^2$		0.42	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.15	1.05
σ_{A}^{2}		0.51	0.04	0.28	0.17	0.05	211.43
$\sigma_{ m D}^2$		0.60	0.16	1.00	0.49	0.15	111.70
$\sigma^2_{A/D}$		0.85	0.25	0.28	0.34	0.33	1.89
Degree of dominance		1.25	2.50	2.50	1.71	1.96	0.94
Heritability (narrow sens	e) (%)	45.61	21.20	21.90	25.80	22.40	0.64
Predictability ratio		0.45	0.22	0.23	0.26	0.23	0.65

number of flowers per cluster, number of fruits per cluster, number of fruits per plant, number of locules and yield per plant whereas for traits such as fruit set percentage, TSS and percent disease incidence parental line IIHR-2852 was found to be the most suitable general combiner. Among all the parental lines, IIHR-2907 was found to be a good general combiner for traits such as pericarp thickness and fruit firmness. Parents IIHR-2853 for plant height; IIHR-2888 for days to 50% flowering and TLBR-6 for average fruit weight have shown the significant GCA effect in the desirable direction. Dominance and performance of specific cross combination can be assessed through SCA of hybrids. In this study, SCA effect of all the 55 hybrids for different traits is represented in online Supplementary Table S4. Plant height is an important growth parameter to support yield and its component traits. The estimate of SCA effect for this trait revealed that crosses IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2852 (33.43) had a significant and higher magnitude of SCA effects. For days to 50% flowering, the highest negative SCA effect is observed in cross TLBR-6 × IIHR-2853 (-3.12). For the number of flowers per cluster, the highest positive SCA effect was observed in cross IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2919 in which parents are high × high GCA combiners. Hybrid IIHR-2898 × IIHR-2853 had the highest SCA effect for the number of fruits per cluster, in which parents are high × low GCA combiners. IIHR-5-3-7-5 × IIHR-2853 exhibited the highest SCA effect for the number of fruits per plant in which parents are L×H type combiners. For average fruit weight, IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2852 had the highest positive SCA effect. SCA effect was found to be minimum in hybrid IIHR-2902 \times

IIHR-2853 for the number of locules and parents were H × H negative combiners. For TSS IIHR-2886 × IIHR-2852 had the highest positive SCA effect and it has L × H combiners for the traits. IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2853 exhibited the highest positive SCA effect for pericarp thickness and it has L × L combiners. For fruit firmness, IIHR-2919 × IIHR-2853 exhibited the highest positive SCA effect with H × L combiners. The hybrid combinations IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2898, IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2886 and IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2888 were found to be best on the basis of both *per se* performance and SCA effect for the character namely yield per plant. For percent disease incidence, the highest negative SCA effect was observed in cross IIHR-5-3-7-5 × IIHR-2853 in which parents are H × H combiners.

Estimation of heterosis

The heterotic response of all the 55 testcross progenies varied in magnitude and highly significant heterosis was observed for most of the traits in both directions. Based on heterosis percentage over the standard check for 12 traits along with their better parent heterosis and mid parent heterosis, top five best hybrid combinations have been selected (online Supplementary Table S5). For plant height, 44 hybrids showed significant positive heterosis over the standard check (Abhinav) whereas only 13 hybrids were found to produce significant positive better parent heterosis. Also, 24 hybrids have exhibited significant positive mid-parent heterosis for plant height. Early flowering is generally an indication of early yield (Yordanov, 1983). With respect to the days

Parents	Plant height	Days to 50% flowering	No. of flowers/ clusters	No. of fruits/ clusters	Fruit set (%)	No. of fruits/ plants	Average fruit weight	No. of locules	TSS	Pericarp thickness	Fruit firmness	Yield per Plant
IIHR-2852	92.73	27.00	4.78	3.31	57.48	39.57	93.90	3.00	4.35	7.08	7.06	2.74
IIHR-2853	116.24	27.33	4.88	2.16	45.34	24.45	142.03	6.13	3.77	6.03	7.05	2.40
IIHR-2886	95.88	24.33	4.23	1.86	46.73	15.88	90.35	4.07	4.01	6.86	5.60	1.33
IIHR-2888	102.37	26.00	4.49	2.40	51.74	20.65	86.93	5.33	4.15	4.72	6.85	1.78
IIHR-2898	96.86	23.00	5.14	2.56	49.02	33.84	90.39	3.00	4.30	6.81	7.08	2.15
IIHR-2919	130.77	27.00	5.68	3.53	54.51	30.65	96.93	2.27	5.12	5.17	8.17	2.02
IIHR-5-3-7-5	95.03	26.67	3.55	1.60	42.73	15.37	103.41	5.00	4.71	4.37	6.62	1.38
IIHR-2913	93.94	26.33	4.14	2.13	50.10	28.61	87.47	3.00	4.05	4.89	5.88	2.27
TLBR-6	76.50	28.67	4.72	1.78	36.73	15.18	107.40	4.20	4.12	4.16	6.19	1.28
IIHR-2902	105.97	26.33	5.48	2.70	49.87	28.94	108.80	3.80	4.33	4.80	6.82	2.20
IIHR-2907	102.55	26.33	4.13	1.73	41.96	19.43	108.63	6.00	4.64	8.63	8.39	1.81
Abhinav (check)	88.73	26.66	5.48	3.56	59.67	36.59	99.60	3.00	5.10	6.32	6.93	3.02
Punjab Chhuhara (check)	57.33	26.66	2.50	1.20	25.58	4.46	56.40	2.40	4.27	7.11	6.53	0.28
Mean	95.72	26.45	4.59	2.42	48.01	25.91	97.82	3.87	4.39	6.03	6.97	2.03
SE m ±	2.26	0.57	0.14	0.13	2.34	1.26	2.00	0.12	0.15	0.10	0.06	0.12
CD at 5%	6.62	1.67	0.41	0.38	6.84	3.68	5.90	0.34	0.44	0.29	0.17	0.33

Table 2. Mean performance of parental lines and checks for different yield and quality traits in tomato

Table 3. Top three desirable parents and hybrids with their GCA and SCA effects for different yield and quality traits in Tomato

Traits	Top three significant desirable parents*	Top three significant desirable hybrids*
Plant height (cm)	IIHR-2853 (6.26**), IIHR-2902 (6.06**), IIHR-2919 (5.20**)	IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2852 (33.43**), TLBR-6 × IIHR-2898 (21.08**), IIHR-2919 × IIHR-2886 (15.57*)
Days to 50% flowering	IIHR-2888 (-0.50**), IIHR-2886 (-0.40*), IIHR-2902 (0.40*)	TLBR-6 × IIHR-2853 (-3.12**), IIHR-2907 × IIHR-2898 (-2.84**), IIHR-5-3-7-5 × IIHR-2853 (-2.69**)
Number of flowers per cluster	IIHR-2919 (0.55**), IIHR-2853 (0.43**), IIHR-2898 (0.43**)	IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2919 (1.33**), IIHR-5-3-7-5 × IIHR-2853 (1.16**), IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2853 (1.00**).
Number of fruits per cluster	IIHR-2919 (0.40**), TLBR-6 (0.30**), IIHR-2852 (0.24**)	IIHR-2898 × IIHR-2853 (0.87**), IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2898 (0.83**), TLBR-6 × IIHR-2919 (0.76**)
Fruit set percentage	IIHR-2852 (3.30**), IIHR-2898 (2.59**), IIHR-2919 (2.12**)	TLBR-6 × IIHR-2919 (16.51**), IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2888 (9.49**) IIHR-2898 × IIHR-2853 (9.37**)
Number of fruits per plant	IIHR-2919 (4.50**), IIHR-2853 (3.93**), IIHR-2852 (3.52**)	IIHR-5-3-7-5 × IIHR-2853 (16.34**), IIHR-2907 × IIHR-5-3-7-5 (12.11**), IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2853 (11.77**)
Average fruit weight (g)	TLBR-6 (10.92**), IIHR-2902(6.94**), IIHR-2907 (2.22**)	IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2853 (—1.49**), IIHR-2902 × IIHR-5-3-7-5 (—1.11**), IIHR-2888 × IIHR-2886 (—0.99*).
Number of locules	IIHR-2852 (0.27**), IIHR-5-3-7-5 (0.18**), IIHR-2919 (0.14**)	IIHR-2886 × IIHR-2852 (1.07**), IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2852 (0.80*), IIHR-2919 × IIHR-2853 (0.65*)
TSS (°Brix)	IIHR-2907 (0.66**), IIHR-2886 (0.48**), IIHR-2852 (0.21**)	IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2853 (2.31**), IIHR-5-3-7-5 × IIHR-2888 (2.11**), IIHR-2907 × TLBR-6 (1.65*)
Pericarp thickness (mm)	TLBR-6 (10.92**), IIHR-2902 (6.94**), IIHR-2907 (2.22**)	IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2852 (48.62**), IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2853 (31.51**), IIHR-5-3-7-5 × IIHR-2853 (30.11**)
Fruit firmness (kg/cm ²)	IIHR-2907 (0.62**), IIHR-2919 (0.37**), IIHR-2888 (0.10*)	IIHR-2919 × IIHR-2853 (1.12**), IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2852 (1.10**), IIHR-2898 × IIHR-2853 (1.02**)
Yield per plant (kg)	IIHR-2919 (0.26**), IIHR-2902 (0.16**), IIHR-2852 (0.13**)	IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2898 (1.00**), IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2886 (0.83**), IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2888 (0.66*)
Percent disease incidence	IIHR-2852 (—10.47**), IIHR-2919, (—10.47**), IIHR-2913 (—10.47**)	IIHR-5-3-7-5 × IIHR-2853 (–23.19**), TLBR-6 × IIHR-2852 (–12.59**), TLBR-6 × IIHR-2919 (–12.59**), TLBR-6 × IIHR-2913 (–12.59**), TLBR-6 × IIHR-2902(–12.59**)

*, **Significant at 5% and 1% probability levels, respectively.

to 50% flowering, 20 crosses showed significant negative heterosis over the standard check (Abhinav). Hybrid IIHR-2907 \times IIHR-2898 IIHR-2886 \times IIHR-2852 and followed by IIHR-2902 \times IIHR-2852 were considered as top three best hybrids based on standard heterosis whereas 31 and 22 hybrids have exhibited significantly negative better parent and mid-parent heterosis for days to 50% flowering, respectively. For higher productivity, the number of flowers per cluster is an important factor where greater number of flowers per cluster is preferred. Among 55 testcrosses, 25 and 33 hybrids have shown significant positive better parent and mid-parent heterosis, respectively. However, IIHR-2902 \times IIHR-2919 followed by IIHR-2919 \times IIHR-2898 and IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2853 were considered as top three best hybrids based on significant positive economic heterosis over the standard check for the number of flowers per cluster. The number of fruits per cluster is an important component that contributes to productivity. Twenty-four hybrids have shown significant positive mid-parent heterosis whereas 15 hybrids showed significant positive better parent heterosis. Only one hybrid IIHR-2898 × IIHR-2853 has shown significant positive economic heterosis over the standard check for the number of fruits per cluster. The yield of fruits per plant is dependent on the fruit-related characters namely, number of fruits per plant and average fruit weight. Thus for this character, one has to select the hybrids exhibiting positive heterosis. Among 55 hybrids, 44 and 28 crosses showed significant positive mid-parent and better parent heterosis for the number of fruits per plant respectively

whereas only five hybrids have shown significant positive standard check heterosis. However, for average fruit weight, 20 hybrids have shown significant positive economical heterosis over the standard check. Nineteen hybrids showed significant positive mid-parent heterosis whereas 12 hybrids showed significant positive better parent heterosis. Concerning fruit set percentage, 21 and 13 crosses have exhibited significant positive mid-parent and better parent heterosis, respectively. However, none of the hybrids have exhibited significant positive heterosis over the standard check. In tomato, quality parameters such as a smaller number of locules per fruit, TSS, pericarp thickness and firmness determine the quality related to taste, flavour and utility to processing or fresh market. Higher TSS are desirable for higher recovery of processed products. In this study, 36 hybrids showed significant negative mid-parent heterosis for the number of locules per fruit. IIHR-2919 × IIHR-2898 followed by IIHR-2898 × IIHR-2852 and IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2919 were considered to be the top three best hybrids on the basis of standard heterosis. For TSS, 14 and nine hybrids showed significant positive mid-parent and better parent heterosis respectively. None of the hybrids were found to show significant positive heterosis for TSS. Pericarp thickness plays a much important role in longer storability. Eight hybrids have shown significant positive heterosis over the standard check. However, 21 crosses showed significant positive mid-parent heterosis for pericarp thickness. For fruit firmness out of 55 hybrids, 14 hybrids exhibited significant positive mid-parent heterosis whereas six hybrids have shown

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1479262121000630 Published on	Table 4.
າe by Ca	
ambric	Parent
dge Ui	IIHR-28
nivers	IIHR-28
ity Pr	IIHR-28
ess	IIHR-28
	IIHR-28

-

518

Table 4. Estimates of GCA effects for yield and quality traits in tomato

Parents	Plant height	Days to 50% flowering	No. of flowers/ clusters	No. of fruits/ clusters	Fruit set (%)	No. of fruits/ plants	Average fruit weight	No. of locules	TSS	Pericarp thickness	Fruit firmness	Yield per plant	PDI
IIHR-2852	1.79*	0.05	-0.05*	0.24**	3.30**	3.52**	-1.27*	-0.30**	0.27**	0.21**	-0.01	0.13**	-10.47**
IIHR-2853	6.26**	0.41*	0.43**	0.00	-4.52**	3.93**	-5.37**	0.54**	0.03	-0.01	0.03	0.03	2.96**
IIHR-2886	-0.95	-0.40*	-0.17**	-0.22**	-1.39*	-1.58*	0.69	0.01	-0.00	0.48**	-0.17**	-0.12**	10.74**
IIHR-2888	1.88*	-0.50**	-0.17**	0.01	2.06**	-0.91	-0.02	0.11**	0.02	-0.01	0.10**	-0.02	11.08**
IIHR-2898	-1.20	-0.14	0.43**	0.03**	2.59**	0.84	-2.85**	-0.52**	-0.09*	-0.23**	-0.06*	0.01	7.00**
IIHR-2919	5.20**	0.41*	0.55**	0.40**	2.12**	4.50**	-2.93**	-0.90**	0.14**	0.09*	0.37**	0.26**	-10.47**
IIHR-5-3-7-5	-4.00**	0.05	-0.68**	-0.31**	0.94*	-1.09*	-7.15**	0.49**	0.18**	-0.76**	-0.02	-0.26**	8.87**
IIHR-2913	-7.24**	0.13	-0.23**	-0.05*	0.59	-0.92	-1.17*	-0.51**	-0.23**	-0.06	-0.48**	0.08*	10.47**
TLBR-6	-3.40**	0.77**	-0.10**	0.30*	-4.14**	-6.80**	10.92**	0.26**	-0.09*	-0.20**	-0.25**	-0.29**	11.72**
IIHR-2902	6.06**	-0.40*	0.26**	0.05*	-0.53	-0.86	6.94**	0.09**	-0.20**	-0.14**	-0.12**	0.16**	-10.47**
IIHR-2907	-4.39**	-0.27	-0.26**	-0.21**	-1.02*	-0.63	2.22**	0.71**	-0.03	0.66**	0.62**	0.03	-10.47**
SEM (gi)	0.66	0.17	0.02	0.02	0.47	0.49	0.42	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.69
SEM (gi – gi)	0.98	0.25	0.03	0.03	0.70	0.74	0.63	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.05	1.03

*, **Significant at 5% and 1% probability levels, respectively.

significant positive heterosis better parent. Hybrid IIHR-2919 \times IIHR-2853 have shown highest significant positive heterosis over the standard check. Further for yield per plant, only one hybrid IIHR-2913 \times IIHR-2898 exhibited the significant highest positive standard check heterosis whereas 39 and 27 hybrids have shown significant positive heterosis over mid-parent and better parent for yield per plant.

Discussion

The results pertaining to the mean performance of parents and their hybrids showed significant differences for all the traits under study. Substantial variations for all the studied characters pointed towards the presence of ample genetic variability among the parents and their resultant hybrids. The results concerning plant height, as observed in the current investigation are in close agreement with the findings of Singh and Asati (2011) and Solieman *et al.* (2013), where they found significant differences among the studied genotypes of tomato for this character. Ample variations for yield and its contributing traits were also previously reported by Dhatt and Singh (2008), Naveen *et al.* (2008), Chattopadhyay *et al.* (2011), Solieman *et al.* (2013), Pandiarana *et al.* (2015) and Kaushik and Dhaliwal (2018).

In any hybrid development programme selection of parents only based on mean performance does not necessarily give useful results (Allard, 1960). Therefore, per se performance along with GCA effect of the parents is equally important to select any parent for hybridization programme. Furthermore, estimation of the genetic components of variance namely additive and non-additive is crucial for a fruitful crop improvement programme. The results illustrated that estimates of σ_{SCA}^2 were higher in magnitude with respect to σ_{GCA}^2 for all of the characters except for PDI. Therefore, the non-additive gene action especially dominance variance played an important role of inheritance in such characters. For such traits, heterosis breeding is an appropriate strategy for their improvement. The outcomes showed that tomato hybrids may perform better in several aspects than either of their parents or commercial varieties. The more consistent and relative contribution estimates of these effects in the genetic control of various traits are governed by several genes of small effect provided by the predictability ratio (Baker, 1978). These results indicated the dominance of non-additive gene effects for most of the traits, as the predictability ratios were <0.50 except for the trait percent disease incidence. Earlier studies also showed that non-additive gene action controls fruit yield per plant along with most of the other studied fruit characters (Chattopadhyay et al., 2011; Shende et al., 2012; Pandiarana et al., 2015; Kaushik and Dhaliwal, 2018). In this study, the low to intermediate level of $h_{\rm ns}2$ was observed for the majority of traits suggesting non-additive genetic control of these traits, which might be due to large epistatic effects. The early generation selection for these yield and quality traits would be difficult due to dominance effects in the expression of phenotypic variance. Hence, selection must be done in later generations. The involvement of both additive and non-additive gene actions in the control of average fruit weight and PDI of ToLCV has also been documented previously (Chattopadhyay et al., 2011; Shende et al., 2012; Singh et al., 2014). Therefore, restricted recurrent selection by intermating the most desirable segregants or diallel selective mating in early segregating generation could be useful breeding strategies for improving such traits which are governed by additive gene action. However, some studies have shown 519

contrary findings including non-additive gene effects for PDI (Pandiarana *et al.*, 2015). Such differences in these studies on the genetic control of this trait may occur due to the use of different parents, the crossing pattern used, the precision of the study and variations in environmental conditions, which can affect estimates of gene actions. The quantitative characters and ToLCV resistance are controlled by a large number of genes and are strongly influenced by the environmental factors (Ahmad *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, it is desirable to make a strict individual plant selection on quantitative characters (Singh *et al.*, 2014).

Breeding for enhancing the yield is very difficult as yield is a complex trait and it is governed by several genes with a specific interaction. General performances of the 55 F1 hybrids showed superiority on their performances for the characters such as the number of fruits per plant and yield per plant. Different degrees of gene effects were found to be involved in the inheritance of the number of flowers per cluster, number of fruits per plant and yield per plant. The results were in agreement with the findings of Solieman (2009), Đorđević et al. (2010) and Pandiarana et al. (2015). None of the parental lines or cross combinations were found to have high GCA or SCA for all the traits studied. However, IIHR-2919 exhibited the maximum GCA for traits such as the number of flower per cluster, number of fruits per cluster, number of fruits per plant, yield per plant (kg), number of locules and PDI for ToLCV significantly in the desired direction. The selected top three cross combinations based on significant SCA effects in a desirable direction for all the traits revealed that no single F₁ hybrid exhibited the significant SCA effects for all the studied traits. The SCA effect governs the performance of test cross combination and the role of non-additive gene action in the identification of heterotic hybrids (Das et al., 2020).

Analysis of the different cross combinations showed that the crosses involved three types of combinations: $H \times H$, $H \times L$ or $L \times H$ and $L \times L$, where L for non-significant GCA effect either negative/positive in direction and H stands for a significant GCA effect in the desired direction (Pandiarana et al., 2015). In this study, the H × H cross combinations were found in hybrids IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2852 for plant height, IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2919 for number of flower per cluster and number of locules IIHR-2902 × IIHR-2853 for the number of locules, therefore additive and additive × additive interactions were involved in such cross combination. These hybrids would be very desirable in tomato, as promising segregants would be isolated in the early advanced generation whereas hybrids such as $IIHR-2913 \times$ IIHR-2898 for yield per plant, IIHR-2898 × IIHR2853 for the number of fruits per cluster, TLBR-6×IIHR-2919 for fruit set percentage and IIHR-5-3-7-5×IIHR-2853 for the number of fruits per plant which are showing $L \times H$ or $H \times L$ cross combinations. This indicates the presence of additive effect predominantly in good combiner and possibly a complementary epistatic effect in the poor combiner, to maximize gene expression, these two gene actions are acting in a complementary fashion (Salimath and Bahl, 1985). In hybrids IIHR-2913×IIHR-2853 for pericarp thickness involving L×L combinations, SCA effects seemed to play a prominent role and show the presence of non-additive gene action (Pandiarana et al., 2015). Significant and positive SCA effects for all of the economic characters of tomato involve these three types of combinations of GCA effects in the parents (Kumar et al., 2013; Solieman et al., 2013).

Heterosis breeding is one of the most significant tools to exploit genetic diversity in tomato (Mohanty and Mishra, 1999). The phenomenon of heterosis was reported by Shull (1948) and since then, several researchers have worked on different crops to exploit this phenomenon. The nature and magnitude of heterobeltiosis helps in the identification of promising cross combinations and their exploitation to obtain better transgressive segregants. Earlier studies conducted by using a different set of parents and environments have also reported significant positive mid-parent heterosis for traits such as plant height, number of flower per cluster, number of fruits per plant and yield per plant (Bhatt et al., 2001; Shende et al., 2012; Solieman et al., 2013; Pandiarana et al., 2015; Vijeth et al., 2018). However, significant negative heterosis for characters such as days to 50% flowering and number of locules per fruit were also reported in studies of Sekhar et al. (2010) and positive heterosis for TSS content by Dhadde et al. (2009). An absence of significant heterosis in most of the cross combinations in the current study may be due to the internal cancellation of heterosis components, as was observed in other previous studies (Shende et al., 2012; Pandiarana et al., 2015).

Biotic and abiotic stresses are major limiting factors in the successful cultivation of tomato (Vijeth et al., 2018). This study focused on the identification of tomato hybrids resistance to ToLCV along with improved horticultural traits. The parental lines namely IIHR-2852, IIHR-2919, IIHR-2913, IIHR-2902 and IIHR-2907 were symptomless until fruit-harvesting stage whereas the remaining parents developed mild to severe symptoms. The results showed that the presence of *Ty*-2 and *Ty*-3 in the parental lines influenced the host response against ToLCV (Prasanna et al., 2015; Hanson et al., 2016). Parents with Ty-3 resistant alleles either under homozygous or heterozygous conditions remained symptomless. Similar results were also obtained in the presence of a combination of both Ty-2 and Ty-3 genes. Parents or hybrids having Ty-2 alleles alone have shown the development of mild to severe symptoms. These results suggested that Ty-2 had become ineffective against ToLCV which was known to impart good resistance against the leaf curl-causing monopartite virus. Therefore a combination of Ty-2 and Ty-3 genes in the hybrids either in heterozygous for one (Ty-2 or Ty-3) or homozygous for both (Ty-2 and Ty-3) exhibited highly resistant reaction against ToLCV compared to Ty-2 alone carrying hybrids. The results are also in harmony with the previous researchers (Barbieri et al., 2010; Prasanna et al., 2015; Ohnishi et al., 2016; Prabhandakavi et al., 2018; Kaushal et al., 2020).

The current investigation revealed that a single parental line or hybrid cannot be considered as a good performer for all the characters. However, line IIHR-2919 was found to show maximum significant GCA in the desirable direction for all the characters except for plant height, days to 50% flowering, fruit set percentage and average fruit weight. Evaluation of heterosis% revealed that hybrid IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2898 can be considered as best performing hybrid for yield and average fruit weight over the standard check Abhinav which also exhibited highest positive and significant SCA effect for these traits. Furthermore, the presence of Ty-3 gene under heterozygous conditions and Ty-2 gene in the homozygous state could result in higher level of resistance against ToLCV monopartite virus at the field level. Therefore, parental line IIHR-2919 could be utilized as a good combiner and isolated to obtain desirable segregates for improving different traits. Also, the hybrid IIHR-2913 × IIHR-2898 can be released for commercial cultivation after further evaluation in multiple locations for multiple years.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S1479262121000630

Acknowledgements. The authors are highly thankful to Division of Vegetable Crops, ICAR-IIHR, Bengaluru and Department of Vegetable Science, COH, Bengaluru (UHS, Bagalkot) for providing all sorts of facilities and financial support for this study. The first author also wants to acknowledge the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) for awarding ICAR-PG fellowship during this period of research work. The authors are highly thankful to Dr Neeraj Sankhyan, Assistant Professor (English) Dr YSPUH&F, Nauni, Solan (HP) for assisting in editing of English language throughout the manuscript.

References

- Ahmad S, Quamruzzaman AKM and Nazimuddin M (2009) Combining ability estimates of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) in late summer. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture* 7, 43–56.
- Allard RW (1960) Principles of Plant Breeding. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Bai Y and Lindhout P (2007) Domestication and breeding of tomatoes: what have we gained and what can we gain in the future? *Annals of Botany* **100**, 1085–1094.
- Baker RJ (1978) Issues in diallel analysis. Crop Science 18, 533-536.
- Bansal P, Banga S and Banga SS (2012) Heterosis as investigated in terms of polyploidy and genetic diversity using designed *Brassica juncea* amphiploid and its progenitor diploid species. *PLoS One* 7, e29607.
- Barbieri M, Acciarri N, Sabatini E, Sardo L, Accotto GP and Pecchioni N (2010) Introgression of resistance to two Mediterranean virus species causing tomato yellow leaf curl into a valuable traditional tomato variety. *Journal of Plant Pathology* 92, 485–493.
- Bhatt RP, Biswas VR and Kumar N (2001) Heterosis, combining ability and genetics for vitamin C, total soluble solids and yield in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) at 1700 m altitude. The Journal of Agricultural Science 137, 71–75.
- Chandel R, Sadashiva AT and Singh AT (2019) Evaluation of tomato parental lines for leaf curl disease resistance and its validation through molecular markers. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* 76, 678–682.
- Chattopadhyay A, Dutta S, Dutta P and Hazra P (2011) Studies on heterobeltiosis, combining ability and gene action in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*). *International Journal of Plant Breeding* 5, 88–93.
- Das A, Yadav RK, Choudhary H, Singh S, Khade YP and Chandel R (2020) Determining genetic combining ability, heterotic potential and gene action for yield contributing traits and yellow vein mosaic virus (YVMV) resistance in Okra. *Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization* 1, 1–4.
- Dhadde SA, Patil RV, Dharmatti PR and Bhat R (2009) Pooling favourable genes and enhanced heterosis through three way crosses involving potential sour tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) hybrids. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science* **22**, 1062–1068.
- Dhatt AS and Singh S (2008) Genetics and potential of tomato ripening mutants under north-western plains of India. Vegetable Science 35, 14– 20.
- **Dong P, Han K, Siddique MI, Kwon JK, Zhao M and Wang F** (2016) Gene-based markers for the tomato yellow leaf curl virus resistance gene *Ty-3. Plant Breeding and Biotechnology* **4**, 79–86.
- **Đorđević R, Zečević B, Zdravković J, Živanović T and Todorović G** (2010) Inheritance of yield components in tomato. *Genetika* **42**, 575–583.
- Fan S, Brzeska J, Keyzer M and Halsema A (2013) From Subsistence to Profit: Transforming Smallholder Farms. NW, Washington, DC, USA: International Food Policy Research Institute.
- **FAOSTAT** (2019) Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) FAOSTAT Database.
- Gerszberg A, Hnatuszko-Konka K, Kowalczyk T and Kononowicz AK (2014) Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) in the service of biotechnology. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* **120**, 881–902.
- Hannan MM, Biswas MK, Ahmed MB, Hossain M and Islam R (2007*a*) Combining ability analysis of yield and yield components in tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum Mill.*). Turkish Journal of Botany **6**, 559–563.
- Hanson P, Lu SF, Wang JF, Chen W, Kenyon L and Tan CW (2016) Conventional and molecular marker-assisted selection and pyramiding of

genes for multiple disease resistance in tomato. *Scientia Horticulturae* **201**, 346–354.

- Hayes HK, Immer FR and Smith DC (1965) *Methods of Plant Breeding*. New Delhi, India: Biotech Books, p. 552.
- Horowitz AR, Kontsedalov S, Khasdan V and Ishaaya I (2005) Biotypes B and Q of *Bemisia tabaci* and their relevance to neonicoti-noid and pyriproxyfen resistance. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology* 58, 216–225.
- Kaushal A, Sadashiva AT, Krishna RM, Srinivasa RE, Singh TH, Sriram S, Dhananjay MV, Venugopalan R and Ravishankar KV (2020) Assessment of the effectiveness of *Ty* genes in tomato against tomato leaf curl Bangalore virus. *Plant Pathology* **69**, 1777–1786.
- Kaushik P and Dhaliwal MS (2018) Diallel analysis for morphological and biochemical traits in tomato cultivated under the influence of tomato leaf curl virus. Agronomy 8, 153.
- Kumar R, Srivastava K, Singh NP, Vasistha NK, Singh RK and Singh MK (2013) Combining ability analysis for yield and quality traits in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.). Journal of Agricultural Sciences 5, 213–218.
- Mohanty BK and Mishra RS (1999) Studies on heterosis for yield and yield attributes in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch. Ex, Poir.). *Indian Journal of Horticulture* 56, 171–178.
- Muniyappa V, Jalikop SH, Saikia AK, Channarayappa SG, Ishwarabhat A and Ramappa HK (1991) Reaction of *Lycopersicon* cultivars and wild accessions to tomato leaf curl virus. *Euphytica* **56**, 37–41.
- Munshi AD and Verma VK (1999) Combining ability in muskmelon (Cucumis melo). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 69, 214–216.
- Murray MG and Thompson WF (1980) Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA. *Nucleic Acids Research* 8, 4321–4326.
- Naveen G, Cheema DS and Dhatt AS (2008) Genetics of yield, quality and shelf life characteristics in tomato under normal and late planting conditions. *Euphytica* 159, 275–288.
- **Ohnishi J, Yamaguchi H and Saito A** (2016) Analysis of the mild strain of tomato yellow leaf curl virus, which overcomes *Ty*-2 gene-mediated resistance in tomato line H24. *Archives of Virology* **161**, 2207–2217.
- Pandiarana N, Chattopadhyay A, Seth T, Shende VD, Dutta S and Hazra P (2015) Heterobeltiosis, potence ratio and genetic control of processing quality and disease severity traits in tomato. New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science 43, 282–293.
- Prabhandakavi P, Kumar R, Palicherla SR, Ramchandran E and Pinnamaneni R (2018) Occurrence and molecular detection of bipartite begomovirus on tomato in southern India. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection 51, 951–955.
- Prasanna HC, Kashyap SP, Krishna R, Sinha DP, Reddy S and Malathi VG (2015) Marker assisted selection of *Ty*-2 and *Ty*-3 carrying tomato lines and their implications in breeding tomato leaf curl disease resistant hybrids. *Euphytica* **204**, 407–418.

- Rattan P and Chadha S (2009) Gene action studies for yield and its contributing characters. *Biological Forum-An International Journal* 1, 8–10.
- Salimath PM and Bahl PN (1985) Heterosis and combining ability for earliness in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding* 45, 97–100.
- Sekhar L, Prakash BG, Salimath PM, Hiremath PC, Sridevi O and Patil AA (2010) Implications of heterosis and combining ability among productive single cross hybrids in tomato. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding* 1, 706–711.
- Shankarappa KS, Sriharsha KT, Rangaswamy DS, Aswathanarayana HA, Prameela RS, Kulkarni V, Muniyappa A, Mohanrao MN and Maruthi MN (2008) Development of tomato hybrids resistant to tomato leaf curl virus disease in South India. *Euphytica* 164, 531–539.
- Shende VD, Seth T, Mukherjee S and Chattopadhyay A (2012) Breeding tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) for higher productivity and better processing qualities. SABRAO Journal Breeding and Genetics 44, 302–321.
- Shull GH (1948) What is 'heterosis'? Genetics 33, 439.
- Singh AK and Asati BS (2011) Combining ability and heterosis studies in tomato under bacterial wilt condition. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research* 36, 313–318.
- Singh RK, Rai N, Singh M, Singh SN and Srivastava K (2014) Genetic analysis to identify good combiners for ToLCV resistance and yield components in tomato using interspecific hybridization. *Journal of Genetics* 93, 623–629.
- Singh S, Dey SS, Bhatia R, Kumar R, Sharma K and Behera TK (2019) Heterosis and combining ability in cytoplasmic male sterile and doubled haploid based *Brassica oleracea* progenies and prediction of heterosis using microsatellites. *PLoS One* 14, e0210772.
- Smith KM (1935) A new virus disease of the tomato. Annals of Applied Biology 22, 731-741.
- **Solieman TH** (2009) Diallel analysis of five tomato cultivars and estimation of some genetic parameters for growth and yield characters. *Alexandria Science Exchange Journal* **30**, 274–288.
- Solieman TH, El-Gabry MA and Abido AI (2013) Heterosis, potence ratio and correlation of some important characters in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *Scientia Horticulturae* **150**, 25–30.
- Vasudeva RS and Samraj J (1948) Leaf curl disease of tomato. *Phytopathology* 38, 364–369.
- Vijeth S, Dhaliwal MS, Jindal SK and Sharma A (2018) Evaluation of tomato hybrids for resistance to leaf curl virus disease and for high-yield production. *Horticulture, Environment, and Biotechnology* 59, 699–709.
- Yang X, Caro M, Hutton SF, Scott JW, Guo Y and Wang X (2014) Fine mapping of the tomato yellow leaf curl virus resistance gene Ty-2 on chromosome 11 of tomato. *Molecular Breeding* 34, 749–760.
- Yordanov M (1983) Heterosis in tomato. In: Frankel R (Ed.) Monograph Theoretical and Applied Genetics, vol. 6, pp. 189–219.