www.cambridge.org/wet

Research Article

Cite this article: Kumar V, Liu R, Peterson DE, Stahlman PW (2021) Effective two-pass herbicide programs to control glyphosateresistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) in glyphosate/dicamba-resistant soybean. Weed Technol. **35**: 128–135. doi: 10.1017/wet.2020.90

Received: 3 June 2020 Revised: 6 July 2020 Accepted: 28 July 2020 First published online: 18 August 2020

Associate Editor: Lawrence E. Steckel, University of Tennessee

Keywords:

Glyphosate resistance; glyphosate/dicambaresistant soybean; selection pressure; soilresidual premixes

Nomenclature:

Carfentrazone-ethyl; chlorimuron; dicamba; flumioxazin; glyphosate; imazethapyr; metribuzin; pyroxasulfone; *S*-metolachlor; sulfentrazone; Palmer amaranth ; *Amaranthus palmeri* S. Watson; soybean; *Glycine max* (L.) Merr.

Author for correspondence:

Vipan Kumar, Kansas State University, Agricultural Research Center, 1232 240th Avenue, Hays, KS 67601. (Email: vkumar@ksu.edu)

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Weed Science Society of America.



Effective two-pass herbicide programs to control glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) in glyphosate/dicamba-resistant soybean

Vipan Kumar¹⁽⁰⁾, Rui Liu², Dallas E. Peterson³ and Phillip W. Stahlman⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Kansas State University, Agricultural Research Center, Hays, KS, USA; ²Assistant Scientist, Kansas State University, Agricultural Research Center, Hays, KS, USA; ³Emeritus Professor, Kansas State University, Department of Agronomy, Manhattan, KS, USA and ⁴Emeritus Professor, Kansas State University, Agricultural Research Center, Hays, KS, USA

Abstract

Field experiments were conducted in 2018 and 2019 at Kansas State University Ashland Bottoms (KSU-AB) research farm near Manhattan, KS, and Kansas State University Agricultural Research Center (KSU-ARC) near Hays, KS, to determine the effectiveness of various PRE-applied herbicide premixes and tank mixtures alone or followed by (fb) an early POST (EPOST) treatment of glyphosate + dicamba for controlling glyphosate-resistant (GR) Palmer amaranth in glyphosate/dicamba-resistant (GDR) soybean. In experiment 1, PRE-applied sulfentrazone + S-metolachlor, saflufenacil + imazethapyr + pyroxasulfone, chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone, and metribuzin + flumioxazin + imazethapyr provided 85% to 94% end-of-season control of GR Palmer amaranth across both sites. In comparison, Palmer amaranth control ranged from 63% to 87% at final evaluation with PRE-applied pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone, pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone plus metribuzin, pyroxasulfone +sulfentrazone plus carfentrazone + sulfentrazone, and sulfentrazone + metribuzin at the KSU-ARC site in experiment 2. All PRE fb EPOST (i.e., two-pass) programs provided nearcomplete (98% to 100%) control of GR Palmer amaranth at both sites. PRE-alone programs reduced Palmer amaranth shoot biomass by 35% to 76% in experiment 1 at both sites, whereas all two-pass programs prevented Palmer amaranth biomass production. No differences in soybean yields were observed among tested programs in experiment 1 at KSU-ARC site; however, PRE-alone sulfentrazone + S-metolachlor, saflufenacil + imazethapyr + pyroxasulfone, and chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone had lower grain yield (average, $4,342 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) compared with the top yielding (4,832 kg ha⁻¹) treatment at the KSU-AB site. PRE-applied sulfentrazone + metribuzin had a lower soybean yield $(1,776 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ compared with all other programs in experiment 2 at the KSU-ARC site. These results suggest growers should proactively adopt effective PRE-applied premixes fb EPOST programs evaluated in this study to reduce selection pressure from multiple POST dicamba applications for GR Palmer amaranth control in GDR soybean.

Introduction

Palmer amaranth, a member of the pigweed family (Amaranthaceae), is a dioecious plant species native to the southwestern United States and northern Mexico (Sauer 1957). Palmer amaranth is among the most problematic summer annual broadleaf weed species across the mid-south, southeastern, and central United States (Van Wychen 2017; Vencill et al. 2008; Webster 2005). Palmer amaranth has several unique biological attributes, including extended period of emergence, aggressive growth rates (up to 3.5 cm d^{-1}), high water-use efficiency, and prolific seed production (up to 0.6 million seeds per female plant) (Horak and Loughin 2000; Keeley et al. 1987; Steckel et al. 2004; Ward et al. 2013). Palmer amaranth tolerates drought and shading that allows it to survive under dry and light-limited environments (Ehleringer 1983; Jha et al. 2008; Place et al. 2008; Wright et al. 1999). Palmer amaranth also exhibits high genetic diversity within and among field populations (Ward et al. 2013). Season-long interference from Palmer amaranth at a density of 10 plants m⁻² reduced soybean grain yield by 68% in Arkansas (Klingaman and Oliver 1994).

Palmer amaranth also has a strong tendency to evolve herbicide resistance, primarily due to high genetic diversity and prolific seed production (Chahal et al. 2015; Heap 2020; Ward et al. 2013). Several Palmer amaranth populations have been documented in the United States as being resistant to one or more of the following herbicide sites of action (SOA): inhibitors of acetolactate synthase (ALS), 5-enolpyruvyl shikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS),

dinitroanilines, photosystem II, 4-hydroxyphenyl pyruvate dioxygenase, protoporphyrinogen oxidase (PPO), and synthetic auxins (Chahal et al. 2017; Garetson et al. 2019; Heap 2020; Jhala et al. 2014; Kumar et al. 2019, 2020; Ward et al. 2013). Glyphosateresistant (GR) Palmer amaranth was first reported in Kansas in 2011 (Heap 2020). Since then, glyphosate resistance has been found to be fairly widespread among Kansas Palmer amaranth populations (Kumar et al. 2020). Palmer amaranth resistant to multiple herbicide SOA, including 2,4-D, glyphosate, chlorsulfuron, atrazine, and mesotrione, has also become evident in Kansas in recent years (Kumar et al. 2019; 2020).

Recent introduction of glyphosate/dicamba-resistant (GDR) soybeans (Roundup Ready 2 Xtend®; Bayer Crop Science, St. Louis, MO) has allowed growers to use POST applications of low-volatile dicamba formulations (XtendiMax*, Bayer Crop Science, St. Louis, MO; FeXapan®, Corteva AgriScience, Indianapolis, IN; Engenia®, BASF Corporation, Research Triangle Park, NC; and Tavium®, Syngenta Crop Protection, Greensboro, NC) for controlling GR weed species, including Palmer amaranth. However, a Palmer amaranth population surviving POST dicamba applications at field use rates (560 g ae ha⁻¹) was recently identified in a long-term study at the Kansas State University Ashland Bottoms (KSU-AB) research farm near Manhattan, KS (Dallas Peterson, personal observation). In addition, reduced susceptibility in Palmer amaranth populations (generated through recurrent selection by sublethal dicamba dose or drift exposure over two to three generations) to dicamba has also been reported (Tehranchian et al. 2017; Vieira et al. 2020). These reports clearly indicate that increased dicamba use for in-season weed control in GDR soybeans may escalate the potential risk of widespread evolution of dicamba resistance among Palmer amaranth populations. To prevent this risk and further enhance the long-term sustainability of this stacked-trait technology, herbicide programs that comprise multiple effective SOAs are needed for GR Palmer amaranth control in GDR soybean.

The use of effective PRE or preplant soil-residual herbicides can help reduce the selection pressure imposed by multiple POST dicamba applications in GDR soybeans. Previous studies have reported mixed results on the effectiveness of various PRE herbicides for Palmer amaranth control in soybean. For instance, some studies have shown that PRE-applied herbicides such as pyroxasulfone, flumioxazin, and sulfentrazone alone can provide 82% to 90% end-of-season control of Palmer amaranth in soybean (Hay et al. 2019; Meyer et al. 2015; Whitaker et al. 2010). In contrast, a study conducted in Arkansas indicated that PRE-alone herbicides, including dicamba, metribuzin, flumioxazin, sulfentrazone, S-metolachlor, and pyroxasulfone, at field-use rates only provided 50%, 60%, 61%, 50%, 65%, and 79% control of Palmer amaranth at 35 d after treatment, respectively (Houston et al. 2019). Furthermore, PRE-applied premixes containing flumioxazin and pyroxasulfone or S-metolachlor and sulfentrazone have also shown long residual activity on Palmer amaranth, redroot pigweed (Amaranthus retroflexus L.), and smooth pigweed (A. hybridus L.) in soybean (Hay et al. 2019; Mahoney et al. 2014).

All these studies have evaluated the efficacy of PRE-applied herbicides across various soybean-producing regions (moistureenriched environments) in the United States. However, limited information exists on the residual activity of newly available PRE-applied premixes (containing two or three different SOA herbicides) for GR Palmer amaranth control in GDR soybean in the central Great Plains (a moisture-limited environment). The main objectives of this research were to (1) determine the effectiveness of various PRE herbicide mixtures or premixes applied alone (i.e., one-pass) or followed by (fb) a POST treatment of glyphosate + dicamba mixture (i.e., two-pass) for GR Palmer amaranth control in GDR soybean, and (2) evaluate the ultimate impact of those herbicide programs on grain yields of GDR soybean.

Materials and Methods

Two separate field experiments were established in 2018 and 2019 to evaluate the effectiveness of PRE-applied premixes alone or in a program approach with a sequential POST treatment of glyphosate + dicamba mixture for GR Palmer amaranth control in GDR soybean. Experiment 1 was conducted at the KSU-AB research farm near Manhattan, KS (39.12468°N, 96.60814°W); and at Kansas State University Agricultural Research Center (KSU-ARC) near Hays, KS (38.85196°N, 99.34279°W). Experiment 2 was only conducted at KSU-ARC. Soil type at the KSU-AB site was a Reading silt loam with pH of 5.8 and 2.7% organic matter, whereas soil type at the KSU-ARC site was Roxbury silt loam with pH of 7.6 and 2.1% organic matter. The study site at the KSU-AB was under conventional tillage system (without any supplemental irrigation) in a corn (Zea mays L.) and soybean rotation. In contrast, the KSU-ARC study site was under no-till dryland system with a typical 3-yr crop rotation (wheat [Triticum aestivum L.] fb summer crop fb fallow) for longer than 10 yr.

Field preparation at the KSU-AB site included disking and conventional cultivation before soybean planting in both years, whereas a burndown treatment of paraquat at 560 g ha⁻¹ was applied at the KSU-ARC site for weed control before soybean planting each year. The KSU-AB site had a natural seedbank of GR Palmer amaranth, whereas seeds of a known GR Palmer amaranth population (collected from Barton County, KS, during 2015 in a field survey) were uniformly spread across test plots at the KSU-ARC site each year.

All experiments were conducted in a randomized complete block design with four replications across sites and years. A plot size of 3.0×9.1 m was used across sites and years. A GDR soybean (Roundup Ready* 2 Xtend soybean) variety 'AG39X7' was planted at 321,100 seeds ha⁻¹ in 76-cm spaced rows (4 rows plot⁻¹) on June 4, 2018, and June 10, 2019, at the KSU-AB site. At the KSU-ARC site, GDR soybean variety 'AG34X7' was planted at 387,543 seeds ha⁻¹ in 76-cm spaced rows (4 rows plot⁻¹) on May 23, 2018, and June 5, 2019. All herbicide treatments in experiments 1 and 2 were applied with a CO₂-operated backpack sprayer fitted with Turbo TeeJet* Induction nozzles (TTI 11015; Spraying Systems Co., Wheaton, IL) calibrated to deliver 140 L ha⁻¹ at 276 kPa across sites and years.

Experiment 1

Herbicide programs evaluated in experiment 1 included PRE-applied sulfentrazone + S-metolachlor, saflufenacil + imazethapyr + pyroxasulfone, chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone, metribuzin + flumioxazin + imazethapyr alone, or PRE applications of the aforementioned premixes fb an early POST (EPOST) treatment of glyphosate + dicamba mixture, and PRE fb EPOST or EPOST fb late POST (LPOST) treatment of glyphosate + dicamba mixture (Table 1). A nontreated control and hand-weeded check plots were also included.

All PRE programs were applied immediately after soybean planting across both sites and years. At the KSU-AB site, the EPOST treatments were applied on June 25, 2018, and July 1,

Herbicide(s) ^a	Rate	Timing ^b	Trade name	Site-of-action group ^c	Manufacturer
	g ai or ae ha ⁻¹				
Sulfentrazone + S-metolachlor	172 + 1543	PRE	Authority® Elite	14, 15	FMC Corp.
Saflufenacil + imazethapyr + pyroxasulfone	25 + 70 + 125	PRE	Zidua® PRO	14, 2, 15	BASF Corp.
Chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone	23 + 86 + 109	PRE	Fierce [®] XLT	2, 14, 15	Valent Corp.
Metribuzin + flumioxazin + imazethapyr	315 + 70 + 59	PRE	Panther [®] PRO	5, 14, 2	Nufarm Company
Sulfentrazone + S-metolachlor fb glyphosate + dicamba	172 + 1,543 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	Authority® Elite fb Roundup PowerMax® + Engenia®	14, 15 fb 9, 4	FMC Corp.; Bayer Crop Science; BASF Corp.
Saflufenacil + imazethapyr + pyroxasulfone fb glyphosate + dicamba	120 + 70 + 25 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	Zidua [®] PRO fb Roundup PowerMax [®] + Engenia [®]	14, 2, 15 fb 9, 4	BASF Corp.; Bayer Crop Science
Chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone fb glyphosate + dicamba	23 + 86 + 109 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	Fierce® XLT fb Roundup PowerMax® + Engenia®	2, 14, 15 fb 9, 4	Valent Corp.; Bayer Crop Science; BASF Corp.
Metribuzin + flumioxazin + imazethapyr fb glyphosate + dicamba	315 + 70 + 59 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	Panther [®] PRO fb Roundup PowerMax [®] + Engenia [®]	5, 14, 2 fb 9, 4	Nufarm Company; Bayer Crop Science; BASF Corp.
Glyphosate + dicamba fb glyphosate + dicamba	1,260 + 560 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	Roundup PowerMax [®] + Engenia [®] fb Roundup PowerMax [®] + Engenia [®]	9, 4 fb 9, 4	BASF Corp.; Bayer Crop Science
Glyphosate + dicamba fb glyphosate + dicamba	1,260 + 560 fb 1,260 + 560	EPOST fb LPOST	Roundup PowerMax [®] + Engenia [®] fb Roundup PowerMax [®] + Engenia [®]	9, 4 fb 9, 4	BASF Corp.; Bayer Crop Science
Nontreated	NA	NA	Nontreated	NA	NA
Hand weeded	NA	NA	Hand weeded	NA	NA

Table 1. List of herbicide programs (experiment 1) tested for glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth control in glyphosate- and dicamba-resistant soybean at the Kansas State University Ashland Bottoms research farm near Manhattan, KS, and the Agricultural Research Center near Hays, KS, in 2018 and 2019.

^aAbbreviations: EPOST, early POST; fb, followed by; LPOST, late POST; NA, not applicable.

^bPRE herbicides were applied immediately after soybean planting; EPOST herbicides were applied at the V3 to V4 growth stage of soybean; LPOST herbicides were applied at the V7 to V8 growth stage of soybean.

^cThe site-of-action group is a classification system developed by the Weed Science Society of America.

2019, at V3 to V4 growth stages of soybean (8- to 12-cm tall Palmer amaranth in nontreated weedy checks) and LPOST treatments were applied on July 9, 2018, and July 15, 2019, at V7 to V8 growth stage of soybean (24- to 30-cm tall Palmer amaranth in nontreated weedy checks and <15-cm tall Palmer amaranth seedlings in PREalone treatments). At the KSU-ARC site, EPOST treatments were applied on June 13, 2018, and June 25, 2019, at V3 to V4 growth stages of soybean (6- to 10-cm tall Palmer amaranth in nontreated weedy checks) and LPOST treatments were applied on July 27, 2018, and July 9, 2019, at V7 to V8 growth stages of soybean (20- to 24-cm tall Palmer amaranth in nontreated weedy checks and <12-cm tall Palmer amaranth seedlings in PRE-alone treatments). In nontreated plots, Palmer amaranth density ranged from 65 to 98 plants m⁻² and 34 to 67 plants m⁻² at the time of EPOST application across both years at KSU-AB and KSU-ARC, respectively. Percent soybean injury and Palmer amaranth control were visually assessed on a scale of 0% to 100% (0%, no crop injury or control; 100%, complete plant death) at 3 wk after PRE (WAPRE), 6 WAPRE/3 wk after early POST (WAEPOST), and 9 WAPRE/6 WAEPOST.

Aboveground Palmer amaranth–shoot biomass was hand harvested using a $1-m^2$ quadrat from the center of each plot to determine shoot dry biomass (after oven drying at 45 C for 7 d) at soybean maturity. Palmer amaranth–shoot dry biomass from treated plots were expressed as a percent shoot biomass reduction compared with the nontreated weedy check using Equation 1:

$$Y = \left[\frac{A-B}{A}\right] \times 100 >$$
[1]

where *Y* represents Palmer amaranth–shoot biomass reduction (%), *A* is the shoot dry biomass from nontreated weedy check treatment, and *B* is the shoot dry biomass from a treated plot. Soybean grain yields (kg ha⁻¹) were estimated by harvesting the middle two rows from each plot using a plot combine. Soybean grain yields were adjusted to 13% moisture.

Experiment 2

Field experiments were conducted in 2018 and 2019 at the KSU-ARC site to evaluate the efficacy of PRE-applied pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone, pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone plus carfentrazoneethyl + sulfentrazone, pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone plus metribuzin, sulfentrazone + metribuzin alone, or PRE applied pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone, and pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone plus carfentrazone-ethyl + sulfentrazone premixes fb EPOST treatment of glyphosate + dicamba mixture for GR Palmer amaranth control in GDR soybean (Table 2). A nontreated weedy check treatment was also included. The timings of all PRE and the EPOST treatments were the same as described for experiment 1 in both years. Palmer amaranth density in experiment 2 was lower compared with experiment 1, ranging from 28 to 41 plants m⁻² at the time of EPOST application in nontreated plots. Data on percent crop injury and Palmer amaranth control at 3 WAPRE, 6 WAPRE/3 WAEPOST, 9 WAPRE/6 WAEPOST and soybean grain yields at maturity were recorded as described for experiment 1.

Statistical Analysis

All data collected in experiments 1 and 2 were checked for ANOVA assumptions (normality of residuals and homogeneity of variance) using PROC UNIVARIATE in SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC), and all data met those assumptions. Data from experiment 1 were analyzed and presented by each site to account for differences in soybean variety, seeding rates, environmental conditions, and agronomic practices. Data were subjected to ANOVA using the PROC MIXED procedure in SAS 9.3. For each site, the ANOVA model included herbicide treatments, year, and herbicide treatments by year interaction as fixed effects. Replication and interactions involving replication were considered random effects in the model. Interaction between herbicide treatment-byyear was nonsignificant (P \geq 0.05) for all variables; therefore, data were pooled across years before final analysis for each site.

 Table 2. List of herbicide programs (experiment 2) tested for glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth control in glyphosate- and dicamba-resistant soybean at the

 Kansas State University Agricultural Research Center near Hays, KS, in 2018 and 2019.

Herbicide(s) ^a	Rate	Timing ^b	Trade name	Site-of-action group ^c	Manufacturer
	g ai or ae ha ⁻¹				
Pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone	145 + 145	PRE	Authority [®] Supreme	15, 14	FMC Corp.
(Pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone) + (carfentrazone-ethyl + sulfentrazone)	(109 + 109) + (12 + 110)	PRE	Authority® Supreme + Spartan® charge	15, 14	FMC Corp.
(Pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone) + metribuzin	(109 + 109) + 315	PRE	Authority [®] Supreme + Sencor	15, 14, 5	FMC Corp.; Bayer Crop Science
Sulfentrazone + metribuzin	176 + 265	PRE	Authority MTZ	14, 5	FMC Corp.
Pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone fb glyphosate + dicamba	145 + 145 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	Authority [®] Supreme fb Roundup PowerMax [®] + Engenia [®]	15, 14 fb 9, 4	FMC Corp.; Bayer Crop Science; BASF Corp.
(Pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone) + (carfentrazone-ethyl + sulfentrazone) fb glyphosate + dicamba	(109 + 109) + (12 + 110) fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	Authority® Supreme + Spartan® charge fb Roundup PowerMax® + Engenia®	15, 14 fb 9, 4	FMC Corp.; Bayer Crop Science; BASF Corp.
Nontreated	NA	NA	Nontreated	NA	NA

^aAbbreviations: EPOST, early POST; fb, followed by; LPOST, late POST; NA, not applicable.

^bPRE herbicides were applied immediately after soybean planting; EPOST herbicides were applied at the V3 to V4 growth stage of soybean.

^cThe site-of-action group is a classification system developed by the Weed Science Society of America.

For experiment 2, herbicide treatments and year were considered fixed effects, and replication and interactions involving replication were considered random effects in the ANOVA model. Data on Palmer amaranth control (%) from nontreated plots were excluded from the analyses for each site. Where the ANOVA indicated significant differences, treatment means were separated using a Fisher protected LSD test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Results and Discussion

The average monthly air temperature during 2018 and 2019 growing seasons at the KSU-AB site ranged from 10 to 26 C (Table 3). The seasonal accumulated precipitation was 657 mm in 2018 and 863 mm in 2019 (Table 3). The monthly average air temperature during 2018 and 2019 growing seasons at the KSU-ARC site was comparable to that at the KSU-AB site and ranged from 9 to 26 C (Table 3). In contrast, the total seasonal precipitation at the KSU-ARC site was relatively lower than at the KSU-AB site and was 593 mm in 2018 and 657 mm in 2019 (Table 3). Overall, the seasonal precipitation received at the KSU-ARC site in both experimental years was relatively higher compared with the 30-yr averaged seasonal precipitation (data not shown).

Experiment 1

KSU-AB site

Palmer amaranth control and shoot biomass reduction. Timely early-season rainfall at the KSU-AB site in 2018 (18 mm within 5 d of planting) and 2019 (25 mm within 1 wk of planting) helped activate all PRE-applied soil-residual premixes. Averaged over years, PRE-applied saflufenacil + imazethapyr + pyroxasulfone, chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone, and metribuzin + flumioxazin + imazethapyr provided excellent control (98% to 100%) of GR Palmer amaranth at 3 WAPRE among all PRE-alone programs tested (Table 4). Furthermore, Palmer amaranth control averaged 94% at 3 WAPRE application of sulfentrazone + S-metolachlor. Although not tested in premixes, Hay et al. (2019) previously reported 89% to 94% control of Palmer amaranth at 4 WAPRE-alone treatments of flumioxazin, sulfentrazone, pyroxasulfone, flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone, and sulfentrazone + S-metolachlor in double-crop soybean at a nearby KSU-AB site. **Table 3.** Averaged monthly air temperatures and total precipitation during the 2018 and 2019 growing seasons at Kansas State University Ashland Bottom research farm near Manhattan, KS, and the Agricultural Research Center near Hays, KS.

		KSU-A	B site ^a		KSU-ARC site					
	Temperature (C)		Precipitation (mm)		Tempe (0	erature C)	Precipitation (mm)			
Month	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019		
Мау	22	17	83	309	21	15	93	197		
June	26	23	55	146	25	22	94	40		
July	26	26	73	59	25	26	198	24		
August	25	24	169	219	24	25	142	318		
September	21	24	128	60	19	24	88	40		
October	12	10	149	70	11	9	78	38		
Total	NA	NA	657	863	NA	NA	593	657		

^aAbbreviations: KSU-AB, Kansas State University Ashland Bottom; KSU-ARC, Kansas State University Agricultural Research Center; NA, not applicable.

In contrast, Houston et al. (2019) only observed 73% to 88% control of PPO-resistant Palmer amaranth in Arkansas at 4 WAPRE-alone treatments of flumioxazin, metribuzin, pyroxasulfone, S-metolachlor, sulfentrazone.

Differences in Palmer amaranth control reported by Houston et al. (2019) versus the findings of current study may be attributed to differences in herbicide SOAs being tested in both studies (herbicides with a single SOA by Houston et al. [2019]; herbicide premixes with two or three SOAs in the current study). In addition, the target Palmer amaranth population in the Houston et al. (2019) study had multiple resistance to EPSPS, ALS, and PPO inhibitors, whereas the Palmer amaranth population in current study was only resistant to EPSPS inhibitors. The least control (51%) was observed with PRE treatment of glyphosate + dicamba mixture at 3 WAPRE before EPOST sequential application. This compares with up to 71% control of PPO-resistant Palmer amaranth at 4 wk after dicamba PRE-alone treatment reported by Houston et al. (2019). Palmer amaranth control with all PRE-alone programs ranged from 92% to 94% at 9 WAPRE/6 WAEPOST (Table 4). All PRE fb EPOST (ie, two-pass programs) or sequential POST treatments (EPOST fb LPOST) of glyphosate + dicamba mixture provided excellent control (98% to 100%) of GR Palmer amaranth

				Palmer ama	ranth ^d		
Herbicide program ^b	Rate	Timing ^c	3 WAPRE	6 WAPRE/3 WAEPOST	9 WAPRE/6 WAEPOST	Shoot biomass reduction	Grain yield
	g ae or ai ha ⁻¹			% contr	ol	%	kg ha ^{−1}
Sulfentrazone + S-metolachlor	171 + 1,543	PRE	93 c	92 cd	92 d	57 b	4,247 c
Saflufenacil + imazethapyr + pyroxasulfone	25 + 70 + 120	PRE	98 ab	97 a	92 d	60 b	4,381 bc
Chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone	23 + 86 + 109	PRE	100 a	95 b	94 cd	76 b	4,400 bc
Metribuzin + flumioxazin + imazethapyr	315 + 70 + 59	PRE	99 a	94 b	94 cd	76 b	4,642 abc
Sulfentrazone + S-metolachlor fb glyphosate + dicamba	171 + 1,543 fb 1260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	95 bc	99 a	100 a	100 a	4,640 abc
Saflufenacil + imazethapyr + pyroxasulfone fb glyphosate + dicamba	25 + 70 + 120 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	97 ab	99 a	100 a	100 a	4,493 abc
Chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone fb glyphosate + dicamba	23 + 86 + 109 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	99 a	98 a	100 a	100 a	4,832 a
Metribuzin + flumioxazin + imazethapyr fb glyphosate + dicamba	315 + 70 + 59 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	98 ab	98 a	100 a	100 a	4,744 ab
Glyphosate + dicamba fb glyphosate + dicamba	1,260 + 560 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	51 d	95 b	98 ab	100 a	4,684 abc
${\sf Glyphosate} + {\sf dicamba} \; {\sf fb} \; {\sf glyphosate} + {\sf dicamba}$	1,260 + 560 fb 1,260 + 560	EPOST fb LPOST	NA	90 d	98 ab	100 a	4,567 abc
Hand weeded	NA	NA	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	4,726 ab
Nontreated	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,966 d

Table 4. Glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth control, shoot biomass reduction, and grain yield of glyphosate- and dicamba-resistant soybean with various herbicide programs (experiment 1) averaged across 2018 and 2019 at the Kansas State University Ashland Bottom research farm near Manhattan, KS.^a

^aAll data were averaged across 2018 and 2019 growing seasons.

^bAbbreviations: EPOST, early POST; fb, followed by; LPOST, late POST; NA, not applicable; WAEPOST, weeks after early POST; WAPRE, weeks after PRE.

^cPRE herbicides were applied immediately after soybean planting; EPOST herbicides were applied at the V3 to V4 growth stage of soybean; LPOST herbicides were applied at the V7 to V8 growth stage of soybean.

^dMeans within a column with similar letters are not significantly different based on Fisher protected LSD test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

at 9 WAPRE/6 WAEPOST in GDR soybean. Consistent with percent visual control, all PRE fb EPOST programs or a sequential POST treatment of glyphosate + dicamba mixture prevented GR Palmer amaranth biomass production at soybean maturity. In contrast, all PRE-alone programs (ie, one-pass programs) with no follow-up POST program only reduced GR Palmer amaranth shoot biomass by 57% to 76% compared with the nontreated weedy check at soybean maturity.

Soybean grain yield. No visual crop injury was observed throughout either growing season with any of the PRE or POST programs tested. Compared with the nontreated weedy check, all herbicide programs improved soybean grain yield (Table 4). Season-long interference of GR Palmer amaranth reduced soybean grain yield by 58% in the nontreated weedy check as compared with all top yielding treatments. No significant differences in soybean grain yield were observed with a majority of the herbicide programs tested. However, soybean yield in PRE-alone treatments of sulfentrazone + S-metolachlor, saflufenacil + imazethapyr + pyroxasulfone, and chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone averaged 4,342 kg ha⁻¹ compared with 4,832 kg ha⁻¹ with PRE-applied chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone fb a POST treatment of glyphosate + dicamba mixture, suggesting the need for a two-pass program comprising an effective PRE-applied premix (i.e., a mixture of alternative herbicide SOAs other than glyphosate or dicamba) fb a POST treatment of glyphosate + dicamba mixture to protect soybean yield loss.

KSU-ARC Site

Palmer amaranth control and shoot biomass reduction. Averaged across years, early-season control of GR Palmer amaranth was excellent (98% to 99% control at 3 WAPRE) with PRE-alone treatments of chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone and metribuzin + flumioxazin + imazethapyr, whereas it did not exceed 95% with PRE-applied sulfentrazone + *S*-metolachlor,

saflufenacil + imazethapyr + pyroxasulfone (Table 5). In contrast, only 66% control was observed with a glyphosate + dicamba mixture applied PRE (before a sequential EPOST treatment of the same mixture).

It is important to note that the KSU-ARC site had received approximately 41 and 20 mm precipitation within 5 d of soybean planting in 2018 and 2019, respectively. Timely precipitation in early season is extremely important for crop establishment and to activate PRE herbicides in the no-till dryland region of the central Great Plains, where residue from previous crop exists on the soil surface at the time of soybean planting and PRE applications. The early-season rainfall at the KSU-ARC site in both years helped provide good herbicide–soil contact for activation of PRE-applied premixes for weed control.

Similar to results at the KSU-AB site, Palmer amaranth control with PRE-alone programs also declined over the growing season. At final evaluation (9 WAPRE/6 WAEPOST), control was the greatest with PRE-applied metribuzin + flumioxazin + imazethapyr and chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone among all PRE-alone programs, and it did not differ from all two-pass programs. All two-pass programs (i.e., PRE fb EPOST or EPOST fb LPOST) provided complete or nearly complete control (98% to 100%) of Palmer amaranth at final evaluation. Consistent with percent control, all two-pass programs prevented shoot biomass production of Palmer amaranth at soybean maturity. In contrast, only 35% to 70% reduction in Palmer amaranth shoot biomass was achieved with PRE alone programs.

Soybean grain yield. No visual crop injury was observed with any of the tested programs. Averaged over years, soybean grain yield was lower at the KSU-ARC site compared with the KSU-AB site. All herbicide programs significantly improved soybean grain yield compared with the nontreated weedy control; however, there were no significant differences in soybean grain yield among herbicide

			Р				
Herbicide program ^b	Rate	Timing ^c	3 WAPRE	6 WAPRE/ 3 WAEPOST	9 WAPRE/ 6 WAEPOST	Shoot biomass reduction	Grain yield
	g ae or ai ha ⁻¹					%	kg ha ^{−1}
Sulfentrazone + s-metolachlor	171 + 1,543	PRE	94 c	87 d	85 d	35 c	1,798 ab
Saflufenacil + imazethapyr + pyroxasulfone	25 + 70 + 120	PRE	95 bc	91 cd	88 cd	51 bc	1,820 ab
Chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone	23 + 86 + 109	PRE	98 ab	96 ab	91 bc	73 b	1,799 ab
Metribuzin + flumioxazin + imazethapyr	315 + 70 + 59	PRE	99 a	96 ab	94 ab	70 b	1,943 ab
$\label{eq:sulfentrazone} \begin{split} & \text{Sulfentrazone} + \text{s-metolachlor fb glyphosate} + \\ & \text{dicamba} \end{split}$	171 + 1,543 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	94 c	98 ab	98 a	100 a	2,032 a
Saflufenacil + imazethapyr + pyroxasulfone fb glyphosate + dicamba	25 + 70 + 120 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	95 bc	99 ab	99 a	100 a	1,933 ab
Chlorimuron + flumioxazin + pyroxasulfone fb glyphosate + dicamba	23 + 86 + 109 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	98 ab	100 a	100 a	100 a	2,031 a
Metribuzin + flumioxazin + imazethapyr fb glyphosate + dicamba	315 + 70 + 59 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	97 ab	99 ab	100 a	100 a	1,969 ab
Glyphosate + dicamba fb glyphosate + dicamba	1,260 + 560 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	66 d	98 ab	98 a	100 a	1,944 ab
Glyphosate + dicamba fb glyphosate + dicamba	1,260 + 560 fb 1,260 + 560	EPOST fb LPOST	NA	95 bc	99 a	100 a	1,916 ab
Hand weeded	NA	NA	100 a	100 a	100 a	100 a	1,961 ab
Nontreated	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		1,321 c

Table 5. Glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth control, shoot biomass reduction, and grain yield of glyphosate- and dicamba-resistant soybean with various herbicide programs (experiment 1) averaged across 2018 and 2019 growing seasons at the Kansas State University Agricultural Research Center near Hays, KS.^a

^aAll data were averaged across 2018 and 2019 growing seasons.

^bAbbreviations: EPOST, early POST; fb, followed by; LPOST, late POST; NA, not applicable; WAEPOST, wk after early POST; WAPRE, wk after PRE.

^cPRE herbicides were applied immediately after soybean planting; EPOST herbicides were applied at the V3 to V4 growth stage of soybean; LPOST herbicides were applied at the V7 to V8 growth stage of soybean.

^dMeans within a column with similar letters are not significantly different based on Fisher protected LSD test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

treatments tested (Table 5). Soybean grain yield with all tested programs ranged from 1,798 to 2,032 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 5). A season-long infestation of GR Palmer amaranth reduced the soybean grain yield by 31% in the nontreated plots as compared with all top yielding treatments.

Experiment 2

Averaged over 2 yr, PRE-alone treatment of pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone plus carfentrazone-ethyl + sulfentrazone and pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone plus metribuzin provided excellent Palmer amaranth control (95% to 97%) at 3 WAPRE, whereas control did not exceed 93% with PRE-applied pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone (Table 6). Similar to aforementioned experiments, control declined over the growing season and was 86% to 87% with PRE-alone treatment of pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone plus carfentrazone-ethyl + sulfentrazone and pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone plus metribuzin and 82% with PRE-applied pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone at 9 WAPRE/6 WAEPOST. Among all tested programs, a PREalone treatment of sulfentrazone + metribuzin provided the least control (78% declining to 63%) of Palmer amaranth as the growing season progressed. In contrast to our results, Hay et al. (2019) previously reported good to excellent Palmer amaranth control (93% to 98%) throughout the season with PRE-applied sulfentrazone + metribuzin premix in double-crop soybean. This discrepancy in efficacy might be due to difference in use rates of this premix between these two studies $(176 + 265 \text{ g ha}^{-1})$ in the current study vs. 202 + 303 g ha⁻¹ in the Hay et al. [2019] study). Furthermore, both PRE fb EPOST programs provided complete season-long control of Palmer amaranth.

All tested programs improved soybean grain yield compared with the nontreated weedy check (Table 6). Averaged over 2 yr, season-long interference of GR Palmer amaranth in the nontreated weedy check reduced soybean yield by 39%, compared with all top yielding treatments. No significant differences in soybean yield were observed among tested programs, except for PRE-alone treatment of sulfentrazone + metribuzin. Soybean yield in PRE-alone treatment of sulfentrazone + metribuzin was 1,776 kg ha⁻¹ compared with 2,098 kg ha⁻¹ averaged yield with all PRE-alone or PRE fb EPOST programs.

Conclusions and Practical Implications

All two-pass herbicide programs (PRE fb EPOST) evaluated in experiments 1 and 2 provided excellent season-long control of GR Palmer amaranth and prevented shoot biomass production in GDR soybean. A PRE application of glyphosate + dicamba mixture provided low to moderate (51% to 70% control) residual activity on GR Palmer amaranth in early season across both locations. Although the majority of PRE-alone treatments provided 82% to 94% control at final evaluation, a sequential EPOST application of glyphosate + dicamba mixture was needed to achieve nearcomplete season-long control and shoot biomass reduction of GR Palmer amaranth in both experiments across sites. Although excellent control of GR Palmer amaranth was observed with a sequential treatment (EPOST fb LPOST) of glyphosate + dicamba mixture across both sites, growers should avoid this program because the repeated POST applications of dicamba will enhance the risk of widespread evolution of dicamba resistance in GR Palmer amaranth populations.

No differences were observed in soybean grain yield in one-pass versus two-pass programs; however, it is important to note that late-emerging cohorts of GR Palmer amaranth in PRE-alone programs may possibly contribute to the soil seedbank replenishment for future infestation. Reducing seed production is crucial in minimizing the risk of evolution and spread of herbicide-resistant weed biotypes (Neve et al. 2011; Taylor and Hartzler 2000). Overall, the use of the recently available PRE-applied premixes investigated in this study can serve as an important component of integrated weed management strategies for GR Palmer amaranth control in the

Table 6.	Glyphosate-resistant	Palmer amara	nth control an	d grain yield	d of glyphosate	 and dicamb 	oa-resistant :	soybean tre	eated with	various ł	nerbicide	programs
(experim	ent 2) averaged acros	s 2018 and 201	9 growing sea	sons at the K	Kansas State Ur	niversity Agric	ultural Rese	arch Cente	r near Hays	, KS.ª		

Herbicide program ^b	Rate	Timing ^c	3 WAPRE	6 WAPRE/ 3 WAEPOST	9 WAPRE/ 6 WAEPOST	Grain yield
	g ae or ai ha ⁻¹			—% control—		kg ha ^{−1}
Pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone	145 + 145	PRE	92 b	87 b	82 c	1,994 a
(Pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone) plus (carfentrazone-ethyl + sulfentrazone)	(109 + 109) plus (12 + 110)	PRE	97 a	88 b	87 b	2,041 a
(Pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone) plus metribuzin	(109 + 109) plus 315	PRE	95 ab	86 b	86 b	2,130 a
Sulfentrazone + metribuzin	176 + 265	PRE	78 c	73 c	63 d	1,776 b
Pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone fb glyphosate + dicamba	145 + 145 fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	93 b	100 a	100 a	2,128 a
(Pyroxasulfone + sulfentrazone) plus (carfentrazone-ethyl + sulfentrazone) fb glyphosate + dicamba	(109 + 109) plus (12 + 110) fb 1,260 + 560	PRE fb EPOST	97 a	100 a	100 a	2,198 a
Nontreated	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,288 c

^aAll data were averaged across 2018 and 2019 growing seasons.

^bAbbreviations: fb, followed by; NA, not applicable; WAPOST, wk after POST; WAPRE, wk after PRE.

^cPRE herbicides were applied immediately after soybean planting; EPOST herbicides were applied at the V3 to V4 growth stage of soybean.

^dMeans within a column with similar letters are not significantly different based on Fisher protected LSD test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

region and will help prolong the utility of this stacked-trait soybean technology.

It is important to point out that the KSU-AB site was under conventional tillage system and moisture-enriched environment (eastern Kansas; annual average precipitation, 890 mm), whereas the KSU-ARC site was under no-till production system with a moisture-deficit environment (western Kansas; annual average precipitation, 609 mm). Early-season rainfall events in both experimental years activated all tested PRE-applied premixes and provided consistent control of GR Palmer amaranth across both sites. However, it is cautioned that inconsistent and infrequent early-season precipitation can reduce the effectiveness of these PRE-applied premixes during dry years in no-till dryland conditions in the central Great Plains similar to the KSU-ARC site.

Palmer amaranth populations with multiple resistance to five herbicide SOAs, including glyphosate and 2,4-D, along with a recent suspected case of dicamba resistance, recently were reported in Kansas (Kumar et al. 2019; 2020; Dallas Peterson, personal observation). Therefore, the standalone use of herbicides can no longer be a sustainable approach for managing this weed species.

Future studies should evaluate the effectiveness of integrated ecological tactics, including cover crops, diversified and competitive crop rotations, rotational use of new generation of multipleherbicide-resistant crops, occasional tillage, and harvest weed seed control technologies (e.g., chaff lining, harvest weed seed destructor) in combination with effective herbicide premixes or mixtures for controlling GR Palmer amaranth seed banks in the soybean-based rotations in this region.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Taylor Lambert and Cathy Minihan at Kansas State University for providing technical support in conducting the field experiments. This research was partially supported by the Kansas Soybean Commission (grant no. GAHY004641), Bayer Crop Science, and FMC Corp. No conflicts of interest have been declared. This publication is contribution no. 20-312-J from the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station, Manhattan, KS.

References

Chahal PS, Aulakh JS, Jugulam M, Jhala AJ (2015) Herbicide-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri* S. Wats.) in the United States: mechanisms of resistance, impact, and management. Pages 1–29 *in* Price A, Kelton J, Sarunaite L, eds. *Herbicides, Agronomic Crops and Weed Biology*. Rijeka, Croatia: InTech

- Chahal PS, Varanasi VK, Jugulam M, Jhala AJ (2017) Glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) in Nebraska: confirmation, EPSPS gene amplification, and response to POST corn and soybean herbicides. Weed Technol 31:80–93
- Ehleringer J (1983) Ecophysiology of *Amaranthus palmeri*, a Sonoran desert summer annual. Oecologia 57:107–112
- Garetson R, Singh V, Singh S, Dotray P, Bagavathiannan M (2019) Distribution of herbicide-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) in row crop production systems in Texas. Weed Technol 33:355–365
- Hay MM, Shoup DE, Peterson DE (2019) Herbicide options for control of Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) and common waterhemp (*Amaranthus rudis*) in double-crop soybean. Weed Technol 33:106–114
- Heap IM (2020) International survey of herbicide resistant weeds. http://www. weedscience.org. Accessed: May 15, 2020
- Horak MJ, Loughin TM (2000) Growth analysis of four Amaranthus species. Weed Sci 48:347–355
- Houston MM, Norsworthy JK, Barber T, Brabham C (2019) Field evaluation of preemergence and postemergence herbicides for control of protoporphyrinogen oxidase-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri* S. Watson). Weed Technol 33: 610–615
- Jha P, Norsworthy JK, Riley MB, Bielenberg DG, Bridges W Jr. (2008) Acclimation of Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) to shading. Weed Sci 56:729–734
- Jhala AJ, Sandell LD, Rana N, Kruger GR, Knezevic SZ (2014) Confirmation and control of triazine and 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase-inhibiting herbicide-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) in Nebraska. Weed Technol 28:28–38
- Keeley PE, Carter CH, Thullen RJ (1987) Influence of planting date on growth of Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*). Weed Sci 35:199–204
- Klingaman TE, Oliver LR (1994) Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) interference in soybeans (*Glycine max*). Weed Sci 42:523–527
- Kumar V, Liu R, Boyer G, Stahlman PW (2019) Confirmation of 2,4-D resistance and identification of multiple resistance in a Kansas Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) population. Pest Manag Sci 75:2925–2933
- Kumar V, Liu R, Stahlman PW (2020) Differential sensitivity of Kansas Palmer amaranth populations to multiple herbicides. Agron J 112:2152–2163
- Mahoney KJ, Shropshire C, Sikkema PH (2014) Weed management in conventional- and no-till soybean using flumioxazin/pyroxasulfone. Weed Technol 28:298–306
- Meyer CJ, Norsworthy JK, Young BG, Steckel LE, Bradley KW, Johnson WG, Loux MM, Davis VM, Kruger GR, Bararpour MT, Ikley JT, Spaunhorst DJ, Butts TR (2015) Herbicide program approaches for managing glyphosateresistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) and waterhemp

Weed Technology

(Amaranthus tuberculatus and Amaranthus rudis) in future soybean-trait technologies. Weed Technol 29:716–729

- Neve P, Norsworthy JK, Smith KL, Zelaya IA (2011) Modelling evolution and management of glyphosate resistance in *Amaranthus palmeri*. Weed Res 51:99–112
- Place G, Bowman D, Burton M, Rufty T (2008) Root penetration through a high bulk density soil layer: differential response of a crop and weed species. Plant Soil 307:179–190
- Sauer JD (1957) Recent migration and evolution of the dioecious amaranths. Evolution 11:11-31
- Steckel LE, Sprague CL, Stoller EW, Wax LM (2004) Temperature effects on germination of nine Amaranthus species. Weed Sci 52:217–221
- Taylor KL, Hartzler RG (2000) Effect of seedbank augmentation on herbicide efficacy. Weed Technol 14:261–267
- Tehranchian P, Norsworthy JK, Powles S, Bararpour MT, Bagavathiannan MV, Barber T, Scott RC (2017) Recurrent sublethal-dose selection for reduced susceptibility of Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) to dicamba. Weed Sci 65:206–212
- Van Wychen L (2017) Survey of the most common and troublesome weeds in grass crops, pasture and turf in the United States and Canada. Weed

Science Society of America National Weed Survey Dataset. http://wssa. net/wp-content/uploads/WSSA-Weed-Survey-2017-05-19.pdf. Accessed: May 15, 2020

- Vencill WK, Grey TL, Culpepper AS, Gaines C, Westra P (2008) Herbicide-resistance in the Amaranthaceae. J Plant Dis Prot XXI(special issue):41–44
- Vieira BC, Luck JD, Amundsen KL, Werle R, Gaines TA, Kruger GR (2020) Herbicide drift exposure leads to reduced herbicide sensitivity in *Amaranthus spp.* Sci Rep 10:2146
- Ward SM, Webster TM, Steckel LE (2013) Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*): a review. Weed Technol 27:12–27
- Webster TM (2005) Weed survey-southern states: broadleaf crops subsection. Pages 291-306 *in* Proceedings of the Southern Weed Science Society Meeting. Champaign, IL: Southern Weed Science Society
- Whitaker JR, York AC, Jordan DL, Culpepper AS (2010) Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) control in soybean with glyphosate and conventional herbicide systems. Weed Technol 24:403–410
- Wright SR, Jennette MW, Coble HD, Rufty TW (1999) Root morphology of young Glycine max, Senna obtusifolia, and Amaranthus palmeri. Weed Sci 47:706–711