

The European Paromomyidae (Primates, Mammalia): taxonomy, phylogeny, and biogeographic implications

Sergi López-Torres^{1,2} and Mary T. Silcox³

¹Department of Anthropology, University of Toronto Scarborough, Toronto, Ontario, M1C 1A4, Canada (sergi.lopeztorres@mail.utoronto.ca) ²Current address: Department of Evolutionary Paleobiology, Roman Kozłowski Institute of Paleobiology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Twarda 51/55, Warsaw 00-818, Poland (slopeztorres@twarda.pan.pl)

³Department of Anthropology, University of Toronto Scarborough, Toronto, Ontario, M1C 1A4, Canada (msilcox@utsc.utoronto.ca)

Abstract.—Plesiadapiforms represent the first radiation of Primates, appearing near the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary. Eleven families of plesiadapiforms are recognized, including the Paromomyidae. Four species of paromomyids from the early Eocene have been reported from Europe: *Arcius fuscus* Russell et al., 1967, *Arcius lapparenti* Russell et al., 1967, and *Arcius rougieri* Godinot, 1984 from France and *Arcius zbyszewskii* Estravís, 2000 from Portugal. Other *Arcius* specimens from the early Eocene are known from Masia de l'Hereuet (Spain), Abbey Wood (England), and Sotteville-sur-Mer (Normandy, France). A cladistic analysis of the European paromomyids has never previously been published. A total of 53 dental characters were analyzed for the four *Arcius* species and the specimens from Spain, England, and Normandy. The results of a parsimony analysis using TNT agree with previous conceptions of *A. zbyszewskii* as the most primitive member of the genus. Consistent with existing hypotheses, *Arcius rougieri* is positioned as the sister taxon of *A. fuscus* and *A. lapparenti*, and the results suggest that the fossil from Normandy is *A. zbyszewskii*. However, the English fossil pertains to a primitive lineage, rather than grouping with *A. lapparenti* as had been suggested; as such it is recognized here as a distinct species (*Arcius hookeri* new species). The Spanish fossils cluster together with the French species but do not show the previously proposed special relationship with *A. lapparenti* and are sufficiently distinct to be placed in a new species (*Arcius ilerdensis*). *Arcius* is recovered as monophyletic, which is consistent with a single migration event from North America to Europe around the earliest Eocene through the Greenland land bridge.

UUID: http://zoobank.org/f4aac438-82d2-4a25-887b-3e0c072d87f6

Introduction

Paromomyidae Simpson, 1940 is a family of plesiadapiforms known from North America (Silcox and Gunnell, 2008; Silcox et al., 2008), Europe (Russell et al., 1967; Godinot, 1984; Estravís, 2000; Aumont, 2003; Marigó et al., 2012, 2014), and Asia (Tong and Wang, 1998). Plesiadapiforms are herein considered stem primates (following Simpson, 1955; Van Valen and Sloan, 1965; Szalay, 1968, 1975a, 1975b; Gazin, 1971; Szalay et al., 1975, 1987; Bown and Rose, 1976; Radinsky, 1982; Rose and Bown, 1982; Silcox, 2001, 2007, 2008, 2017; Bloch and Boyer, 2002, 2007; Sargis, 2002; Bloch and Silcox, 2006; Bloch et al., 2007; Boyer and Bloch, 2008; Silcox et al., 2010, 2015, 2017; Silcox and López-Torres, 2017). We acknowledge that some authors consider plesiadapiforms to be more distantly related to primates, with some or all taxa sharing a closer relationship to dermopterans (e.g., Beard, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1993a, 1993b; Kay et al., 1990, 1992; Ni et al., 2013, 2016). The debate over the broader relationships of plesiadapiforms does not impact the current study as it deals with relationships internal to Paromomyidae. The oldest paromomyid is Paromomys farrandi Clemens and Wilson, 2009, which is reported from the early Paleocene (Torrejonian 1

North American Land Mammal Age [NALMA]) of northeastern Montana. The early age of this species, coupled with the fact that all of the most likely ancestors of paromomyids (i.e., members of the plesiadapiform families Purgatoriidae and Palaechthonidae) are also known from North America, is consistent with the hypothesis that this family had its origins on that continent. However, the paromomyids from Asia (Tong and Wang, 1998) have never been formally described, which complicates the study of dispersals between North America and Asia. On the other hand, the European paromomyids have received more attention in the literature (Russell et al., 1967; Godinot, 1981, 1984; Marandat, 1991; Estravís, 2000; Aumont, 2003, 2004; Hooker, 2010; Smith et al., 2011; Marigó et al., 2012), and the dispersal of these primates from North America to Europe is better understood. Recently, Hooker (2015) formulated a two-phase model to account for mammalian dispersal patterns during the Paleocene-Eocene transition. The first (Phase 1) occurred during the latest Paleocene and brought to Europe euprimates, artiodactyls, and perissodactyls from Asia; rodents, pantodonts, oxyaenids, herpetotheriids, apatemyids, palaeanodonts, condylarths, neoplagiaulacids, viverravids, tillodonts from North America; and hyaenodontids from Africa. However, Beard et al. (2010) pointed out that direct migration between Asia and Europe would have been rather difficult because the Turgai Strait would have posed a significant marine barrier to terrestrial mammals. The second wave of immigrant species (Phase 2) to Europe from North America was possible due to increased temperatures during the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM) that allowed high latitude dispersal. The second dispersal included the marsupial *Peradectes* Matthew and Granger, 1921 and the mesonychid *Pachyaena* Cope 1874 and is thought to have included paromomyids (Hooker, 2015; but see the following).

European paromomyids were first reported from Pourcy, France (Paleocene-Eocene Mammal Zone [PE] III), by Louis and Michaux (1962) in a faunal list as an indeterminate species and genus of the 'Phenacolemuridae,' a family name now considered a junior synonym to Paromomyidae (Simpson, 1955). They were later ascribed to the North American paromomyid genus Phenacolemur Matthew, 1915 by Louis (1966), but no species level identification was given. Soon after, Russell et al. (1967) wrote the first comprehensive taxonomic study of European paromomyids in which they described two species from France: Phenacolemur fuscus from Mutigny, and Phenacolemur lapparenti from Avenay (PE V). Later, Godinot (1984) described a new species, Arcius rougieri, from Palette (PE II), France. In that paper, Godinot (1984) transferred the other two known species of European paromomyid into the newly named genus Arcius. Paromomyids have also been described from multiple early Eocene sites in France (Azillanet [Marandat, 1986], Condéen-Brie [Louis, 1966; Aumont, 2003, 2004], Fordones [Marandat, 1991], Fournes [Marandat, 1991], Gland [Aumont, 2003], Grauves [Louis, 1970], Meudon [Russell et al., 1988, 1990], Prémontré [Dégremont et al., 1985], Rians [Godinot, 1981], Sézanne [Louis, 1970], Sotteville-sur-Mer [Smith et al., 2011], St. Agnan [Louis and Laurain, 1983], Venteuil [Aumont, 2003]), the United Kingdom (Abbey Wood [Hooker and Insole, 1980; Hooker, 1991, 1996, 1998]), Portugal (Silveirinha [Antunes, 1981; Estravís, 1992, 2000; Antunes et al., 1997]), and Spain (Masia de l'Hereuet [Marigó et al., 2012]). Godinot (1984) hypothesized that all paromomyids from Europe belong to a single genus, Arcius.

A full analysis of the phylogenetic relationships of the European paromomyids has never been published, although some ideas about possible relationships have been expressed. Russell et al. (1967) suggested that the European paromomyids were closely related to Phenacolemur; Godinot (1984) proposed A. rougieri as a potential ancestor to Arcius fuscus Russell et al., 1967 and Arcius lapparenti Russell et al., 1967 and that Arcius would be more closely related to Ignacius Matthew and Granger, 1921; Estravís (2000) advocated that A. zbyszewskii Estravís, 2000 was the most basal species of Arcius. The only cladistic analysis of the European paromomyids was included by Aumont (2003) in her unpublished dissertation, using 12 taxa and 35 dental characters. She found that A. fuscus and A. lapparenti formed a clade, with A. zbyszewskii as its sister taxon and A. rougieri being the most primitive lineage of the genus. The sister taxon for Arcius was the North American paromomyid Acidomomys hebeticus Bloch et al., 2002.

This paper has three aims: (1) to revisit the alpha taxonomy of the European Paromomyidae, (2) to analyze the phylogenetic relationships among European paromomyids and their relationships to other members of the family using a data set that samples both characters and taxa more comprehensively than Aumont (2003), and (3) to discuss the biogeographic implications of the phylogenetic analysis.

Repositories and institutional abbreviations.—British Museum of Natural History (BMNH), London, UK; Institut Català de Paleontologia Miquel Crusafont (IPS), Sabadell, Spain; Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (Avenay collection, AV; Condé-en-Brie collection, CB; Mutigny collection, MU; Rians collection, RI), Paris, France; Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences (Mammal collection, MAM), Brussels, Belgium; Universidade Nova de Lisboa (Silveirinha collection, SV), Lisbon, Portugal; Université de Montpellier (Fondones collection, FDN; Fournes collection, FRN; Palette collection, PAT), Montpellier, France; University of Alberta Laboratory of Vertebrate Paleontology (UALVP), Edmonton, Canada; University of California Museum of Paleontology (UCMP), Berkeley, USA; University of Michigan Museum of Paleontology (UM), Ann Arbor, USA; United States Geological Survey collection (USGS), Washington, USA; United States National Museum (USNM) Washington, USA; Yale Peabody Museum (Princeton University collection, YPM-PU), New Haven, USA.

Systematic paleontology

Order Primates Linnaeus, 1758 Superfamily Paromomyoidea (Simpson, 1940) Family Paromomyidae Simpson, 1940 *Arcius* Godinot, 1984

- 1962 'Phenacolemuridae' gen. indet. Louis and Michaux, p. 171.
- 1966 Phenacolemur Louis, p. 51.
- 1967 Phenacolemur; Russell et al., p. 8, 12.
- 1970 Phenacolemur; Louis, p. 114.
- 1980 Phenacolemur; Hooker and Insole, p. 38.
- 1981 Phenacolemur; Antunes, p. 257.
- 1981 Phenacolemur; Antunes and Russell, p. 1101.
- 1981 Phenacolemur; Godinot, p. 77.
- 1983 Phenacolemur; Louis and Laurain, p. 9.
- 1984 Arcius Godinot, p. 85.
- 1985 Phenacolemur; Dégremont et al., p. 16.
- 1986 Arcius; Marandat, p. 88.
- 1988 Arcius; Russell et al., p. 432.
- 1991 Arcius; Marandat, p. 92.
- 1996 Arcius; Hooker, p. 209.
- 1998 Arcius; Hooker, p. 449.
- 2000 Arcius; Estravís, p. 283.
- 2003 Arcius; Aumont, pl. 1–24.
- 2004 Arcius; Aumont, fig. 3.
- 2010 Arcius; Hooker, p. 48.
- 2011 Arcius; Smith et al., fig. 19A, B.
- 2012 Arcius; Marigó et al., p. 430.

Type species.—Arcius rougieri.

Other species.—A. fuscus, A. lapparenti, A. zbyszewskii, Arcius hookeri n. sp., Arcius ilerdensis n. sp. (see descriptions below).

Emended diagnosis (modified from Godinot, 1984).—Presence of a molariform P^4 (i.e., metacone approaching the size of paracone, expanded distolingual basin), not observed in any other paromomyid. Upper molars with distolingual basins less expanded distally than in *Phenacolemur*. Incisor with a lingual and a buccal crest, in contrast to Ignacius. The computed two-dimensional (2-D) area (max length x width of the crown in occlusal view) of P_4 is similar to that of M_1 , in contrast to Ignacius. Lower molar cusps relatively taller relative to the base of the crown than those of Ignacius. Lower molar trigonids not as mesially inclined relative to the level of the base of the talonid basin as in any other paromomyid genus. Large third molar hypoconulid with a simple rounded lobe, in contrast to Phenacolemur, Ignacius, Acidomomys, and some species of Paromomys, which exhibit a central invagination (evident from a distal view) that runs mesiodistally on the hypoconulid lobe.

Occurrence.—Neustrian (early Eocene) of France, United Kingdom, Portugal, and Spain; Grauvian (middle Eocene) of France.

Remarks.—Following the classification of fossils from Condé-en-Brie to the genus *Phenacolemur* by Louis (1966), Russell et al. (1967) subsequently described new fossils from Mutigny and Avenay and classified them under that genus based on their resemblance to some of the North American representatives known at that time, such as *Phenacolemur jepseni* Simpson, 1955; *Phenacolemur praecox* Matthew, 1915; and *Phenacolemur citatus* Matthew, 1915. Russell et al. (1967) referred to the development of the hypoconulid in M_3 in the European forms as reminiscent of that in *Phenacolemur citatus* and *Phenacolemur jepseni*, and the lack of ectocingulum in upper molars as similar to *Phenacolemur jepseni*. However, Russell et al. (1967) also mentioned that the P_4 in the European specimens is smaller in size than the M_1 , a trait that is characteristic of *Ignacius* rather than *Phenacolemur*.

Following this line of reasoning, Godinot (1984), in his paper naming the genus Arcius, suggested that it was closely related to Ignacius instead, specifically to Ignacius graybullianus Bown and Rose, 1976 from the early Eocene of Wyoming. One of the characters that Godinot (1984) highlighted as diagnostic of the genus was that the mandible (or, more appropriately, the alveolar ridge) reaches its highest point in the area of P₄ and M₁ alveoli, but at that time, the only mandible known was that of Arcius rougieri (Fig. 1). Still today, Arcius gnathic fossils are extremely rare, with the only ones reported being the mandible and maxillary fragments of A. rougieri (PAT1, PAT1 bis, and PAT2), two mandibles of A. lapparenti from Condé-en-Brie (CBX1-ph and an uncatalogued specimen [for the uncatalogued specimen, see Aumont, 2003, appendix G, pl. 3, fig. 3]), a maxilla and a mandible of Arcius sp. from Fournes (FNR43 and FNR54), an uncatalogued mandible of Arcius sp. from Le Quesnoy (Aumont, 2003, appendix G, pl. 3, fig. 4), and a mandible with M₂₋₃ from Abbey Wood. The only lower jaw specimen known to exhibit the character described by Godinot (1984) is PAT2 (Fig. 1; Arcius rougieri), and it is not present in other species (Aumont, 2003, appendix G, pl. 3, figs. 3 and 4). Therefore, this character is an autapomorphy of A. rougieri, and



Figure 1. Micro-CT scan images of a cast of the mandible of *Arcius* rougieri Godinot, 1984 (PAT2) (1) in occlusal, (2) buccal, and (3) lingual views. Note that the strong line cutting through the mandible is a feature of the cast, not on the original specimen. Scale bar = 0.5 cm.

should not be considered diagnostic of the genus, but rather solely diagnostic of *A. rougieri* (see the following).

Later, Robinson and Ivy (1994) suggested that Arcius was not monophyletic and classified this genus in the subfamily 'Phenacolemurinae.' According to Robinson and Ivy (1994), this subfamily contained the genera *Phenacolemur*, *Ignacius*, and *Elwynella* Rose and Bown, 1982, along with their proposed genera 'Simpsonlemur,' 'Pulverflumen,' and 'Dillerlemur,' whose validity has been a source of debate (Silcox and Gunnell, 2008). By contrast, Aumont (2003) recovered a monophyletic *Arcius* in her cladistic analysis, with the North American *Acidomomys* Bloch et al., 2002 as its sister taxon.

Diagnostic characters for Arcius included here that have not been discussed previously in the literature include the simple enlarged hypoconulid lobe, the molariform P⁴, and the weak mesial inflection of the molar trigonids. With the exception of primitive representatives of the paromomyid clade (i.e., Paromomys farrandi), a markedly enlarged M₃ hypoconulid lobe is typical of paromomyids. There are, however, two types of morphology of these lobes: simple and divided. Simple lobes, as observed in all species of Arcius, have a rounded shape in distal view, with a concave outline (Fig. 2.6-2.9). Divided lobes, as observed in Phenacolemur, Ignacius, Edworthia Fox et al., 2010, some species of Paromomys Gidley, 1923, and to a lesser extent, Acidomomys, exhibit an invagination of the occlusal surface that runs mesiodistally through the center of the lobe (Fig. 2.1–2.5). This gives the lobe a heart-shaped appearance in distal view. Arcius is also characterized by having a P^4 that resembles a molar, more so than in other genera (Figs. 3.13, 3.17, 4.1). Although the P^4 metacone is smaller than the paracone, it approaches the size of the paracone. This, combined with the similarity in size of P^4 to M^1 makes the premolar very molar-like. The similarity between the adult P^4 to the M^1 in Arcius parallels the resemblance in morphologies between the deciduous P^4 and the M¹ observed in other paromomyids, such as *Phenacolemur*



Figure 2. Distal view of micro-CT scan images of the M_3 of various species of North American and European paromomyids. (1) *Phenacolemur citatus* Matthew, 1915, USGS 21712, right; (2) *Paromomys depressidens* Gidley, 1923, USNM 9677; (3) *Edworthia lerbekmoi* Fox et al., 2010, UALVP 50990, right; (4) *Ignacius frugivorus* Matthew and Granger, 1921, YPM-PU 20690, left; (5) *Acidomomys hebeticus* Bloch et al., 2002, UM 108206, left; (6) *Arcius fuscus* Russell et al., 1967, MU 6507, left; (7) *Arcius lapparenti* Russell et al., 1967, AV 5849, left; (8) *Arcius zbyszewskii* Estravís, 2000, SV3-47, left; (9) *Arcius hookeri* n. sp., BMNH.M 44945, right. The paromomyids on the top row (1–5) have an invagination at the top central area of the hypoconulid lobe; all *Arcius* species (6–9) lack this feature. Scale bar = 1 mm.

(Rose, 1981; Silcox et al., 2008) and *Acidomomys* (Bloch et al., 2002). This might suggest that *Arcius* underwent a process of retention of a deciduous P^4 . *Arcius rougieri* shows a possible retention of the deciduous upper central incisor (see the following).

Based on the two most complete specimens that belong to the genus *Arcius*, PAT1 and PAT2, the dental formula for this genus would be $\frac{2.1.2.3}{1.0.1.3}$. See also Table 1 for estimated body masses for all the species in the genus.

Arcius rougieri Godinot, 1984 Figures 1, 5

- 1981 Arcius cf. A. fuscus Godinot, 1981, p. 77.
- 1984 Arcius rougieri Godinot, 1984, p. 85.
- 1991 ?Arcius rougieri; Marandat, 1991, p. 92.
- 2003 Arcius rougieri; Aumont, 2003, pl. 3, fig. 5, pl. 6, fig. 5, 6. Pl. 18, fig. 1, pl. 19, 23.

Holotype.—PAT1, partial maxilla with right I^1 –C and left I^1 – M^1 .

Emended diagnosis (modified from Godinot, 1984).—Smaller M_2 than *Arcius lapparenti, A. hookeri* n. sp., and *A. ilerdensis* n. sp., but larger M_1 than *A. zbyszewskii*. Further differs from *A. fuscus* and *A. lapparenti* in having a more apically extended (taller) anterocone than mediocone on I^1 , with a significantly smaller posterocone, and laterocone proximally shifted relative to the anterocone. Further differs from all other species of *Arcius* in having a more buccally extended parastylar region on M^1 and a stepped postvallid on M_1 and M_2 . Further differs from *A. fuscus* in having a more distally expanded distolingual basin

on M^3 . Further differs from *A. fuscus* in having two crests, instead of three, on the P₄ postvallid. Paraconid of M₁ is smaller than in *A. fuscus* and *A. lapparenti* but larger than in *A. zbyszewskii*. Mesial inflection of M₁ and M₂ trigonids weaker than in *A. ilerdensis* n. sp. but stronger than in the other known species of *Arcius*. Further differs from *A. zbyszewskii* and *A. ilerdensis* n. sp. in having a cingulid on the buccal half of the distal aspect of M₁ that runs up to the hypoconulid. Highest point of the alveolar ridge between P₄ and M₁; this has not been observed in any other species of *Arcius*.

Horizon and locality.—Palette (type locality, PE II, see Biostratigraphy in the following), Bouches-du-Rhône, France; Fordones (PE II, see Biostratigraphy), Aude, France; Rians (PE II; Marandat et al., 2012), Var, France.

Biostratigraphy.—There is some disagreement as to the age of Palette and Fordones. Marandat et al. (2012) assigned Palette and Fordones to Paleocene-Eocene biozone I (PE I) and considered them intermediate in age between Silveirinha (older) and Rians/ Fournes (younger). However, there seems to be a consensus that Silveirinha is very close in age to Sotteville-sur-Mer, probably slightly younger (Smith et al., 2011; Marandat et al., 2012; Hooker, 2015), and Hooker (2015) assigned Sotteville-sur-Mer to PE II, close to the onset of the carbon isotope excursion (CIE). Rians and Fournes have been calibrated to be of similar age to the site of Meudon (Marandat et al., 2012), and Hooker (2015) suggested PE II as the age for Meudon as well. Therefore, based on the correlations suggested by Hooker (2015), Palette and Fordones would be considered to be PE II in age.



Figure 3. Micro-CT scan images; (1-4, 9, 10, 13–16) Arcius fuscus Russell et al., 1967; (5–8, 11, 12, 17–19) Arcius lapparenti Russell et al., 1967. (1) CB 2560, left P_4 , occlusal view; (2) MU 6458, right M_1 , occlusal view; (3) AV 422-L, left M_2 , occlusal view; (4) MU 6507, holotype, left M_3 , occlusal view; (5) CB 4162, left P_4 , occlusal view; (6) AV 7716, right M_1 , occlusal view; (7) AV 7707, right M_2 , occlusal view; (8) AV 5849, left M_3 , holotype, occlusal view; (9, 10) AV 6388, left I^1 , (9) lingual view, (10) mesial view; (11, 12) AV 7714, right I^1 , (11) lingual view, (12) mesial view; (13) CB 1914-L, left P^4 , occlusal view; (14) UCMP 71976, left M^1 , occlusal view; (15) AV 610-BN, left M^2 , occlusal view; (26) UCMP 71982, left M^3 , occlusal view; (17) CB 232-BN, left P^4 , occlusal view; (18) AV 1306-Ph, right M^1 , occlusal view; (19) AV 1092-BN, right M^2 , occlusal view. Scale bar = 1 mm.

Materials.—PAT2, mandible with right I_1 – M_1 ; PAT3, left M^3 ; PAT5, right M_2 .

Remarks.—In this paper, all diagnoses for the six species of *Arcius* include diagnostic features of the referred material along with the holotype. The reason behind this decision is that the holotypes for *A. fuscus* and *A. lapparenti* are M_3 specimens (Russell et al., 1967), and this tooth position is probably the most variable in paromomyids, in particular with respect to the relative proportions of the hypoconulid lobe with the rest of the tooth. This would make the diagnosis for these species solely based on M_3 morphology very limited and not very diagnostic.

The holotype of *Arcius rougieri*, along with PAT1 bis and PAT2, represent the first described gnathic fossils with associated teeth in a European paromomyid. The I^1 of

A. rougieri differs greatly from those of A. fuscus and A. lapparenti (Rose et al., 1993) and is more similar to the deciduous I^1 of A. fuscus and A. lapparenti (Fig. 6) in that the anterocone is the tallest cusp rather than the mediocone (tallest in adult specimens attributed to A. fuscus and A. lapparenti). In addition, the laterocone is more proximally located to the mediocone in juveniles of A. fuscus and A. lapparenti than in adult representatives of the tooth, as is observed in the adult form of A. rougieri. There are some differences, however, between the I^1 of A. rougieri and the deciduous I^1 of A. fuscus and A. lapparenti in that the mediocone is better developed in juveniles of A. fuscus and A. lapparenti while the posterocone is less so, although the posterocone is still poorly expressed in A. rougieri compared to the condition in most adult paromomyid I^1 s. PAT1 is inferred to pertain to an adult because all of its



Figure 4. Micro-CT scan images of *Arcius zbyszewskii* Estravís, 2000. (1) SV2-99, right P^4 , occlusal view; (2) SV3-125, right M^1 fragment, occlusal view; (3) SV1-29, right M^2 , holotype, occlusal view; (4) SV3-317, right M_1 , occlusal view; (5) SV3-47, left M_3 , occlusal view. Scale bar = 1 mm.

Table 1. Estimated average body masses of all species of *Arcius* Godinot, 1984 based on data from Aumont (2003) and the current study (for *A. hookeri* n. sp. and *A. ilerdensis* n. sp.). The regression equation used for Conroy's (1987) estimates was the one based on the prosimian sample.

	Gingerich	Conroy's (1097)			
	M1	M_2	M^1	M^2	equation (M_1)
A. rougeri	164 g	201 g	143 g	_	78 g
A. fuscus	194 g	205 g	119 g	119 g	93 g
A. lapparenti	313 g	327 g	264 g	264 g	156 g
A. zbyszewskii	130 g	_	_	116 g	60 g
A. hookeri	_	284 g	_	_	_
A. ilerdensis	_	247 g	_	316 g	_

adult teeth have erupted. The second upper incisor and the fourth upper premolar erupt after I¹ in the North American paromomyid *Acidomomys* (Bloch et al., 2002), and both teeth are fully erupted in PAT1. Although it is possible that the dental eruption sequence varied in *Arcius* from that observed in *Acidomomys*, it would be surprising for it to vary so much that I¹ would erupt after all the adult teeth, particularly since no primate species is known to have I¹ as the last tooth to erupt. Indeed, I¹ is usually one of the first teeth to erupt (López-Torres et al., 2015, table 1). Therefore, it seems that the upper central incisors of *A. rougieri* were retained. Interestingly, as noted, the genus *Arcius* also has an adult P⁴ that resembles a deciduous P⁴ (or an adult molar).

Previous to the description of *Arcius*, Godinot (1981) described a paromomyid M_1 from Rians (RI225) that he tentatively ascribed to *Phenacolemur* cf. *P. fuscus*. Godinot (1981) highlights some differences between the Rians specimen and the specimens from Mutigny (type locality of *Arcius fuscus*), such as the Rians specimen having a paraconid closer to metaconid, a paracristid curved downward, and a greater mesiodistal length of the whole tooth. Godinot (1981) admits that high intraspecific variability for *A. fuscus* could allow this tooth to be attributed to that species but suggests that new fossils could shed light on "l'espèce de Rians" ("the species of Rians"; Godinot, 1981, p. 78). The paraconid on RI225 is weak, which contrasts with the fairly strong paraconids on the M_1 of *A. fuscus* and *A. lapparenti*. The only *Arcius* species with poorly



Figure 5. Micro-CT scan images of *Arcius rougieri* Godinot, 1984. Maxilla (PAT1, holotype) in (1) occlusal, (2) oblique occlusal, (3) oblique frontal views; (4) PAT5, right M_2 , occlusal view; (5) PAT3, left M^3 , occlusal view. (1–3) Scale bar = 0.5 cm; (4, 5) scale bar = 1 mm.



Figure 6. Drawing of a decidious upper central incisor of *Arcius lapparenti* Russell et al., 1967 (GR10029) in (1) medial view, (2) lingual view, (3) oblique (mediolingual) view. Original photograph in Aumont (2003, appendix G, pl. 9, fig. 8). Scale bar = 1 mm. Drawing by V. Bodo.

expressed paraconids on M_1 and a size comparable to A. fuscus is A. rougieri. Therefore, we suggest that the RI225 belongs to A. rougieri.

Marandat (1991) tentatively ascribed to A. rougieri fossils found in the locality of Fordones. The Fordones fossils include the only known M_3 for this species (FDN28). This specimen is missing the hypoconulid lobe, which is particularly relevant in determining which species of *Arcius* it belongs to. Aumont (2003) suggested that the only way to know the correct ascription of FDN28 is to find an M_3 from the type locality for *A. rougieri* (Palette), but the size, the metric proportions, and the fact that other *A. rougieri* fossils have been found in Fordones support the inference that FDN28 is the first M_3 known of *A. rougieri* (Marandat, 1991; Aumont, 2003).

Arcius fuscus Russell, Louis, and Savage, 1967 Figures 2.6, 3.1–3.4, 3.9, 3.10, 3.13–3.16, 7.2, 7.5

- 1962 'Phenacolemuridae' gen. indet. sp. indet. Louis and Michaux, p. 171.
- 1966 Phenacolemur sp. Louis, p. 51.
- 1967 *Phenacolemur fuscus* (in part) Russell et al., figs. 2a–j, l, 3d, g.
- 1981 Phenacolemur cf. P. fuscus Godinot, p. 77.
- 1984 Arcius fuscus Godinot, fig. 2b.
- 1991 Phenacolemur cf. P. fuscus Marandat, p. 92.
- 2003 Arcius fuscus; Aumont, pl. 1, figs. 3, 4, 8, pl. 2, figs. 9–12, pl. 4, figs. 4, 5, pl. 5, figs. 5, 6, 10, pl. 7, figs. 8–15, pl. 8, figs. 3, 8, 9, 13, 14, pl. 10, figs. 6–8, pl. 11, fig. 2, pl. 12, figs. 4, 6, pl. 13, figs. 3–5, 10, pl. 14, fig. 3.
- 2004 Arcius fuscus; Aumont, fig. 3.5–3.9, 3.14–3.16.

Holotype.—MU 6507, left M₃.

Emended diagnosis (modified from Russell et al., 1967 and Aumont, 2003).—Smaller M₂ than those of *Arcius lapparenti, A. hookeri* n. sp., and *A. ilerdensis* n. sp. but larger M₁ than those of *A. rougieri* and *A. zbyszewskii.* Further differs from *A. rougieri* in having a more apically extended (taller) mediocone than anterocone on I¹, no expansion of the parastylar area of M³, presence of 'prehypoflexid cristid' on the P₄, a concave mesial border of P₄ in lateral view, stronger mesial inflection of molar trigonids, and lacking a stepped postvallid on M₁. Further differs from *A. zbyszewskii* and *A. ilerdensis* n. sp. in having a cingulid on the buccal half of the distal aspect of M₁ that runs up to the hypoconulid. Further differs from *A. zbyszewskii* in not having a paraconid on M₃.

Occurrence.—Mutigny (type locality, PE IV; Marandat et al., 2012), Marne, France; Fournes (PE II; Marandat et al., 2012), Hérault, France; Var, France; Gland (PE V; Aumont, 2003), Aisne, France; Avenay (PE V; Marandat et al., 2012), Marne, France; Sézanne (PE V; Aumont, 2003), Aisne, France; Condéen-Brie (PE V; Hooker and Russell, 2012), Aisne, France; St. Agnan (MP10; Hooker, 1996), Aisne, France.

Materials.—AV 422-L, left M₂; AV 610-BN, left M²; AV 6838, left I¹; CB 1914-L, left P⁴; CB 2560, left P₄; MU 6458, right M₁; UCMP 71976, left M¹; UCMP 71982, left M³.

Remarks.—*Arcius fuscus*, along with *A. lapparenti*, is one of the most common species of the genus found in Europe. When it was first described by Russell et al. (1967), *A. fuscus* was thought to come just from its type locality, Mutigny (Marne,

France). Arcius lapparenti was also described for the first time by Russell et al. (1967) and at that time was thought to come only from its type locality, Avenay (Marne, France). However, Aumont (2003, 2004) argued these two species were sympatric across many sites in France. Aumont (2003) also interpreted the *Arcius fuscus* sample from Russell et al. (1967) to be a mixture of both *A. fuscus* and *A. lapparenti* and considered the only two P₄ specimens (MU5627 and MU6294) found at Mutigny to belong to *A. lapparenti*, which is significant since P₄ is generally the most diagnostic tooth for paromomyids. Aumont (2003) came to that conclusion after studying the large sample from Condé-en-Brie (Aisne, France), where there are two distinct P₄ sizes and morphs.

Russell et al. (1967) did not choose a P_4 as the holotype for *Arcius fuscus* but instead selected an M_3 . They used differences in the inclination of the M_3 postvallid, and in the degree of lateral projection of the entoconid, to differentiate *A. fuscus* from *A. lapparenti*. However, after the study of the large collection from Condé-en-Brie, it seems as though there is too much variability in these characters for them to be considered diagnostic of species of *Arcius*. Although form of the M_3 hypoconulid is diagnostic for the genus as a whole, variability in this tooth position implies that distinguishing among species in the genus *Arcius* also requires consideration of characters from the premolars and other molars.

There are two genera of paromomyids that have three distinct crests on the postvallid of the P4 of some species: Arcius and Paromomys. These crests are interpreted here as a metacristid (this term used in preference to premetacristid or postmetacristid because of the absence of a metaconid; in this case, 'metacristid' is used for a crest in the metaconid position), a postprotocristid, and a third crest that we are referring to here as a 'prehypoflexid cristid.' These crests can be distinguished in the following way: (1) the metacristid runs lingually from the protoconid to the entocristid or to the lingual aspect of the bottom of the postvallid (if no entocristid is present); (2) the postprotocristid runs buccally or centrally from the protoconid to the cristid obliqua; and (3) the 'prehypoflexid cristid' runs buccally from the protoconid to the hypoflexid. The main difference between these two taxa is that all three crests are in the same plane in Arcius fuscus, but the 'prehypoflexid cristid' is less well defined and more distally located in Paromomys (Fig. 7). Only two crests are visible on the postvallid in all other known paromomyid species.

Arcius lapparenti Russell, Louis, and Savage, 1967 Figures 2.7, 3.5–3.8, 3.11, 3.12, 3.17–3.19, 6, 7.3, 7.6

- 1967 *Phenacolemur lapparenti* (in part) Russell et al., figs. 2k, 3a–c, e, f.
- 1984 Arcius lapparenti Godinot, fig. 2c-f.
- 2003 Arcius lapparenti; Aumont, pl. 1, figs. 1, 2, 5–7, pl. 2, figs. 1–8, pl. 3, figs. 1–3, pl. 4, figs. 1–3, 6–8, pl. 5, figs. 1, 2, 7–9, pl. 6, figs. 1–4, pl. 7, figs. 1–7, pl. 8, figs. 1, 2, pl. 9, pl. 10, figs. 1–5, pl. 11, figs. 1, 9, pl. 12, figs. 1–3, 5, 7–12, pl. 13, figs. 1, 2, 6–9, 11–13, pl. 14, figs. 1, 2, 4–8, pl. 15, figs. 1, 2, pl. 16, 17, pl. 18, figs. 3, 4.
- 2004 Arcius lapparenti; Aumont, fig. 3.1–3.4, 3.10–3.13.

Holotype.—AV 5849, left M₃.

Figure 7. Micro-CT scan images of three paromomyid P_{4s} . (1, 2, 4, 5) The 'prehypoflexid cristid' (white arrow) as seen on the P_4 of (1, 4) *Paromomys maturus* Gidley, 1923 and (2, 5) *Arcius fuscus* Russell et al., 1967. (3, 6) *Arcius lapparenti* Russell et al., 1967 is shown as an example of a paromomyid with no prehypoflexid cristid. When the prehypoflexid cristid is present, the postprotocristid (black arrow) is shifted to a central position across the postvallid. The main difference between the prehypoflexid cristid of *Paromomys maturus* and that of *A. fuscus* is that in (4) *Paromomys maturus* it is located more mesially, contrary to (5) *A. fuscus*, in which the three cristids are in the same plane on the postvallid. Scale bar = 1 mm.

Emended diagnosis (modified from Russell et al., 1967 and Aumont, 2003).—Largest species of *Arcius*. Further differs from *A. rougieri* in having a more apically extended (taller) mediocone than anterocone on I¹, no expansion of the parastylar area of M³, and stronger mesial inflection of molar trigonids and lacking a stepped postvallid on M₁. Further differs from *A. fuscus* in lacking a 'prehypoflexid cristid.' Well-developed paraconid on M₁, but proportionally smaller relative to the metaconid than in *A. fuscus*. Further differs from *A. zbyszewskii* and *A. ilerdensis* n. sp. in having a cingulid on the buccal half of the distal aspect of M₁ that runs up to the hypoconulid. Further differs from *A. zbyszewskii* in not having a paraconid on M₃.

Occurrence.—Avenay (type locality, PE V; Marandat et al., 2012), Marne, France; Fournes (PE II; Marandat et al., 2012), Hérault, France; Meudon (PE II; Hooker, 2015), Hauts-de-Seine, France; Pourcy (PE III [55.12 mya]; Hooker, 2010), Marne, France; Mutigny (PE IV; Marandat et al., 2012), Marne, France; Gland (PE V; Aumont, 2003), Aisne, France; Condé-en-Brie (PE V; Hooker and Russell, 2012), Aisne, France; Venteuil (MP10; Aumont, 2003), Marne, France; St. Agnan (MP10; Hooker, 1996), Aisne, France; Prémontré (MP10 [48.4 mya]; Franzen, 2005), Aisne, France.

Materials.—AV 1092-BN, right M^2 ; CB 232-BN, left P^4 ; AV 7707, right M_2 ; AV 7714, right I¹; AV 7716, right M_1 ; CB 3583, right M^3 ; CB 4162, left P_4 ; CBX 2-Ph, I₁; AV 1306-Ph, right M^1 .

Remarks.—Along with *A. fuscus*, *A. lapparenti* is one of the most common species of this European genus. While Russell et al. (1967) described *A. fuscus* as appearing at Mutigny, *A. lapparenti* was argued by the same authors to have been present in Avenay, very close geographically to Mutigny.

When Aumont (2003, 2004) suggested the sympatry of these two species, she also reported that the samples from the type localities of both species were mixed. In addition, the molariform morphology of *Arcius* fourth upper premolars led to misidentification in the original Russell et al. (1967) paper. AV 5775, reported in Russell et al. (1967) as an M¹ of *A. lapparenti*, is interpreted here to be a P⁴ based on its poorly developed postprotocrista, a feature of P⁴ in other species of *Arcius*. These two teeth can be distinguished mainly by the lack of a postprotocrista and by the slightly convex mesial aspect of the tooth on P⁴. Whereas relative size of the metacone to the paracone is often used to discriminate between M¹ and P⁴ in primate species, the paracone of the P⁴ is so close in size to the metacone in *A. lapparenti* that it is quite challenging to see a size difference between these two cusps.

Arcius zbyszewskii Estravís, 2000 Figures 2.8, 4

- 1981 Phenacolemur sp. Antunes, p. 257.
- 1981 *Phenacolemur* cf. *P. fuscus* Antunes and Russell, p. 1101.
- 2000 Arcius zbyszewskii Estravís, p. 283.
- 2003 Arcius zbyszewskii; Aumont, pl. 24.
- 2011 Arcius sp.; Smith et al., fig. 19A, B.

Holotype.—SV1-29, right M^2 .

Emended diagnosis (modified from Estravís, 2000).—Smallest species of *Arcius*, approaching the size of *A. rougieri*. Distolingual basin of M^3 somewhat expanded distally, more than in *A. rougieri* but less than in *A. fuscus*. Small but patent paraconid on M_1 , stronger paraconid on M_3 than in other species of *Arcius*. The hypoconulid lobe on M_3 is enlarged, as typical of paromomyids, but is the smallest lobe in proportion to the rest of the tooth relative to any other paromomyid, with the exception of *Paromomys farrandi*.

Horizon and locality.—.Silveirinha (type locality, PE II; see Biostratigraphy), Baixo Mondego, Portugal; Sotteville-sur-Mer (PE II; Hooker, 2015), Normandy, France.

Biostratigraphy.—Silveirinha has been considered to belong to MP 7 (PE I) (Estravís, 1992; López-Martínez et al., 2006; Badiola et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2011; Marandat et al., 2012), but also to be very close in age to Sotteville-sur-Mer, probably slightly younger (Smith et al., 2011; Marandat et al., 2012). Recently, Hooker (2015) assigned Sotteville-sur-Mer to PE II, so Silveirinha is here considered to be PE II in age following his temporal framework.

Material.—SV2-99, right P^4 ; SV3-47, left M_3 ; SV3-125, right M_1 ; SV3-317, right M^1 ; SV3-565, right M^3 .

Remarks.—Antunes (1981) first reported the presence of paromomyids in Portugal by including *Phenacolemur* in his faunal list of Silveirinha. It was not until Estravís's work (1992, 2000) that the Portuguese fossils were included in the genus *Arcius* and assigned to a new species. *Arcius zbyszewskii* was suggested by Estravís (2000) to represent a primitive lineage of European paromomyids due to its mosaic of *Arcius* characters together with primitive paromomyid characters.

Our interpretation of the Portuguese fossils differs from Estravís (2000) in that SV1-24 is interpreted as an M_1 , instead of an M_2 . We argue that the paraconid on SV1-24 is no smaller than that of the other M_1 (SV3-125), which is typical for paromomyids. There is also no difference in the mesiodistal length of the trigonid between the two specimens, while it is a common trait for paromomyids to have a shorter M_2 trigonid.

Smith et al. (2011) described a diminutive upper tooth of a paromomyid from Sotteville-sur-Mer (Normandy, France) that they considered to be a broken M¹. The authors acknowledged the similarities of this tooth to the Portuguese fossils but did not ascribe it to any particular species of Arcius. The poor state of preservation of the tooth and the fact that Arcius is characterized by having molariform upper premolars makes it difficult to assign the specimen to a tooth position, but its very weak postprotocrista suggests it is a P^4 rather than an M^1 . Arcius *zbyszewskii* is the only species of the genus in which the P^4 has a very weak postprotocrista instead of it being completely absent. This trait, paired with the similarity in the small size of the specimen from Sotteville-sur-Mer compared to the collection from Silverinha, supports attribution of the Normandy specimen to A. zbyszewskii. Sotteville-sur-Mer and Silveirinha are considered to be of very similar age (Smith et al., 2011) and are the oldest sites in Europe that yield paromomyid fossils, which would be consistent with grouping the Arcius from both sites under the same species.

Arcius hookeri new species Figures 2.9, 8

- 1980 Phenacolemur cf. P. fuscus Hooker and Insole, p. 38.
- 1996 Arcius fuscus Hooker, p. 209.
- 1998 Arcius fuscus Hooker, p. 449.
- 2003 Arcius lapparenti (in part) Aumont, appendix A, tables 3, 4.
- 2010 Arcius lapparenti Hooker, p. 48.

Holotype.—BMNH.M 44945, right dentary with M₂-M₃.

Diagnosis.—Buccal cingulids present on M_2 , but weaker than those found in *A. fuscus* and *A. lapparenti* and not extended onto the talonid. Trigonid wider, with a greater difference in height between the metaconid and the protoconid, than in other species of *Arcius*. Mesial inflection of the trigonid not as pronounced as in species of other paromomyid genera, but not as vertical as in *A. rougieri* and *A. ilerdensis* n. sp. Differs from all other species of *Arcius*, except for *A. ilerdensis* n. sp., in having a taller



Figure 8. Micro-CT scan images of the holotype of *Arcius hookeri* n. sp. (BMNH.M 44945) in (1) occlusal, (2) buccal, (3) lingual views. Arrows indicate the presence of a double entoconid. Scale bar = 1 mm.

metaconid than the protoconid on M_2 and M_3 . Differs from *A. zbyszewskii* in not having a paraconid on M_3 . Double entoconid present on M_3 , unlike all other species of *Arcius*.

Horizon and locality.—Blackheath Beds from Abbey Wood (type locality, PE III), England, UK.

Biostratigraphy.—Abbey Wood is a reference locality for PE III using mammalian biostratigraphy (Hooker, 1996).

Description.—BMNH.M 44945 is the only specimen known of this species. It is composed of a partial dentary with an associated M_2 and M_3 in place. The complete distal alveolus of M_1 is preserved, as well as the distal aspect of the mesial alveolus of M_1 . The mandibular ramus is partially preserved, and it retains the anterior edge of the masseteric fossa (Hooker, 2010). *Arcius hookeri* n. sp. shows typical paromomyid characteristics such as reduced paraconids, a protoconid-metaconid notch that is obscured by a fold of enamel, and an expanded hypoconulid on M_3 that forms an additional lobe (Silcox and Gunnell, 2008). It shares with other *Arcius* species features such as taller cusps than in *Ignacius*, trigonids not as inclined as in other paromomyid genera, and the presence of a simple rounded hypoconulid lobe on M_3 (instead of the bilobed hypoconulid lobe seen in other paromomyids; Fig. 2).

Etymology.—Named after Dr. Jeremy J. Hooker of the British Museum of Natural History for his unparalleled contributions to the study of the mammalian paleontology of England.

Remarks.—The record of paromomyids from England is very limited, with BMNH.M 44945 being the only specimen of a

paromomyid to have ever been found in northwestern Europe. Hooker and Insole (1980) tentatively reported BMNH.M 44945 as pertaining to Phenacolemur cf. P. fuscus, making this specimen the first known representative of Arcius outside continental Europe. Since then, the specific classification of this specimen has been problematic; it has been suggested to pertain to either A. fuscus (Hooker, 1996, 1998) or A. lapparenti (Aumont, 2003, Hooker, 2010). Hooker (2010) noted that the English specimen has a small M_3 that falls within the size range of A. *fuscus* for that tooth. He also noted that the M_2 , although not strictly falling within the size range for that tooth reported by Aumont (2003, 2004), is closer in size to that of A. lapparenti than to that of A. fuscus. This suggests differences in terms of the relative proportions of these two teeth, but there are no specimens known for either A. lapparenti or A. fuscus in which the M_2 and M_3 are preserved in series to assess this possibility. Hooker (2010) also pointed out the presence of two entoconids on the M_3 , a trait never seen in paromomyids before. When considered alongside the contrasts newly noted here (listed in the diagnosis), it seems as though the best solution is to consider the English specimen to pertain to a new species. See also Table 2 for diagnostic comparisons.

> Arcius ilerdensis new species Figure 9

2012 Arcius sp. Marigó et al.

Holotype.—IPS 57510, right M₂.

Diagnosis.—Larger M_2 than *A. rougieri*, larger M^2 than *A. zbyszewskii*, similar areas of M_2 to *A. hookeri* n. sp., and smaller M_2 than *A. lapparenti*. Absence of distal cingulid on M_2 , unlike *A. fuscus*, *A. lapparenti*, and *A. rougieri*. Differs from all other species of *Arcius* in lacking a buccal cingulid on M_2 and having a short buccal cingulid on the M_3 trigonid. Paraconid present on M_2 , but weakly expressed. Mesial inflection of the trigonid being completely vertical. Differs from all other species of *Arcius*, except *A. hookeri* n. sp., in having a taller metaconid

than the protoconid on M_2 and M_3 . Further differs from *A. zbyszewskii* in not having a paraconid on M_3 .

Horizon and locality.—Masia de l'Hereuet (MP10?), Lleida, Spain.

Biostratigraphy.—Marigó et al. (2012) described this site as MP8+9, but most genera found in Masia de l'Hereuet usually appear in younger deposits (e.g., *Eogliravus*, MP10-13 [Escarguel, 1999]; *Lophiodon*, MP 10 and later [Cuesta, 1994], although it is present but rare in MP8+9 [Checa, 1997]).

Description.—Arcius ilerdensis n. sp. is represented only by four isolated teeth: two left M² (one of which is damaged; IPS57509), a right M_2 , a right M_3 . It shares with other Arcius species features such as taller cusps than in Ignacius, trigonids not as inclined as in other paromomyid genera, and the presence of a simple, rounded hypoconulid lobe on M_3 (instead of the bilobed hypoconulid lobe seen in other paromomyids; Fig. 2). The M² (IPS57508) has a strong postparacrista and premetacrista, with deep basins. A very small paraconule and metaconule are present, typical of paromomyids (Silcox and Gunnell, 2008). The distal margin of the tooth is straight, with a distolingual basin that is fairly expanded, but less so than in Phenacolemur, a typical Arcius trait. The buccal cingulum is broad. The parastylar region is expanded, forming a parastyle, but no metastyle is present. A precingulum is present, but short (Marigó et al., 2012). The M₂ (IPS57510) exhibits a trigonid and talonid of similar width and a concave postvallid on the trigonid. The protoconid is lower than the metaconid, and the paraconid is reduced, as is typical of paromomyids (Silcox and Gunnell, 2008). On the talonid, the hypoconulid and the entoconid are poorly distinguishable (Marigó et al., 2012). The M₃ (IPS57511) has a more buccally positioned cristid obliqua than on the M₂, with more clearly demarcated entoconid and hypoconid (Marigó et al., 2012). It lacks a paraconid and has a very broad hypoconulid lobe, which are typical features of paromomyids (Silcox and Gunnell, 2008).

Table 2. Diagnostic characters for Arcius Godinot, 1984 species. Question marks indicate nonobservable characters.

	A. rougieri	A. fuscus	A. lapparenti	A. zbyszewskii	A. hookeri	A. ilerdensis
Highest cusp on I ¹	Anterocone	Mediocone	Mediocone	?	?	?
Parastylar region on M ¹	Expanded buccally	Not expanded	Not expanded	?	?	?
Parastylar region on M ³	Expanded buccally	Not expanded	Not expanded	?	?	?
Distolingual basin on M ³	Not expanded	Expanded	Somewhat expanded	Somewhat expanded	?	?
Number of crests on the P ₄ postvallid	2	3	2	?	?	?
Mesial border of the P ₄ protoconid	Slightly concave	Concave	Mostly straight	?	?	?
Size of M ₁ paraconid	Medium	Large	Large	Small	?	?
Stepped postvallid on M ₁	Present	Absent	Absent	Absent	?	?
Cingulid on the buccodistal aspect of M_{1-2}	Present	Present	Present	Absent	Absent	Absent
Metaconid/protoconid on M ₂	?	Protoconid taller than metaconid	Protoconid taller than metaconid	?	Metaconid taller than protoconid	Metaconid taller than protoconid
Paraconid on M ₃	?	Absent	Absent	Present	Absent	Absent
Entoconid on M ₃	?	Simple	Simple	Simple	Double	Simple
Mesial inflection of lower molars	Weak	Somewhat weak	Somewhat weak	Somewhat weak	Somewhat weak	Very weak
Highest point of the alveolar ridge	Between P ₄ and M ₁	?	Flat	?	Flat	?



Figure 9. Micro-CT scan images of *Arcius ilerdensis* n. sp. (1) IPS 57508, left M^2 , occlusal view; (2) IPS 57510, lower right M_2 , holotype, occlusal view; (3) IPS 57511, right M_3 , occlusal view. Scale bar = 1 mm.

Etymology.—From the Latin *Ilerda* (ancient name of the city of Lleida), in allusion to its province of origin.

Materials.—IPS 57508, left M²; IPS 57511, right M₃.

Remarks.—The Masia de l'Hereuet specimens were described by Marigó et al. (2012) as members of the genus *Arcius*, but those authors did not give them a specific ascription due to the scarcity of the material. Although we agree with Marigó et al. (2012) that it would be beneficial for more fossils to be recovered from that site, the few fossils known show enough distinctive traits to be discerned from other species.

Lower molars of paromomyid species that do not belong to the genus *Arcius* have trigonids that are strongly mesially inflected, while *Arcius* shows weak levels of inflection. However, *Arcius ilerdensis* has a uniquely vertical trigonid, even relative to other members of the genus. It is also uncommon among *Arcius* that the metaconid is clearly taller than the protoconid in lower molars, a feature also seen in *A. hookeri* n. sp. The lack of a distal cingulid on the buccal half of the distal aspect of the M_{1-2} also contrasts with the more derived members of the genus (*A. fuscus, A. lapparenti*, and *A. rougieri*), suggesting that *A. ilerdensis* still retains somewhat primitive characters in the *Arcius* lineage.

Phylogenetic relationships of Arcius

To assess the phylogenetic relationships among the different species of Arcius, and the position of the genus among Paromomyidae, we conducted a cladistic analysis. A character list was created to assess specifically the relationships of Arcius based on character diagnoses from Matthew (1915), Gidley (1923), Russell et al. (1967), Rose and Bown (1982), Godinot (1984), Robinson and Ivy (1994), Estravís (2000), Bloch et al. (2002), Silcox et al. (2008), and Fox et al. (2010) (Table 3). Some of the characters that relate to higher-level relationships among plesiadapiforms were taken from Silcox's (2001) matrix for plesiadapiforms. The primitive purgatoriid Purgatorius coracis Fox and Scott, 2011 was chosen as the outgroup for Paromomyidae. The analysis also includes the oldest and most primitive members of every paromomyid genus: Paromomys farrandi, Edworthia lerbekmoi Fox et al., 2010, Phenacolemur archus Secord, 2008, Acidomomys hebeticus, and Ignacius fremontensis Gazin, 1971. The genus Elwynella, which includes only the species Elwynella oreas Rose and Bown, 1982, was excluded from this analysis because it is the most recent genus of paromomyid to appear in the fossil record, and although it exhibits the primitive character of retention of a P₃, it shares many derived molar characters found in the late Wasatchian paromomyid Phenacolemur jepseni, which suggests that it may be nested within a higher-level grouping of paromomyids. A total of 53 dental characters were scored for 13 taxa (Table 3; matrix available on publication from the Dryad Digital Repository; see also Supplemental Data 2).

The parsimony analyses were performed using TNT (Goloboff et al., 2008) with all characters equally weighted. Four of the 53 characters (1, 8, 13, and 39) were ordered, and the rest were left unordered. A heuristic search was implemented with 1,000 repetitions, with 1,000 trees saved per replication. Five cladistics analyses were run: (1) a basic analysis that excluded the poorly sampled taxa from Abbey Wood (UK), Sotteville-sur-Mer (France), and Masia de l'Hereuet (Spain); (2) an analysis that included the Arcius from Abbey Wood; (3) an analysis that included the Arcius from Sotteville-sur-Mer; (4) an analysis that included the Arcius from Masia de l'Hereuet; and (5) an analysis that included all European paromomyid taxa. Analysis 1 is meant to give a general understanding of the relationships of Arcius based on the well-preserved species, whereas analyses 2, 3, and 4 are meant to accurately place the poorly sampled taxa. Analysis 5 is also meant to give a general understanding of the relationships of the genus but including all taxa (both well and poorly sampled). Analyses 1, 2, and 3 yielded only one unequivocal tree each (Fig. 10.1-10.3). Analysis 4 yielded 6 equally parsimonious trees. A strict consensus tree was generated in TNT from these trees (Fig. 10.4). Analysis 5 also yielded 6 equally parsimonious trees, and a strict consensus tree was generated in TNT (Fig. 11).

Table 3. Descriptions of dental characters.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

21

23

27 28

37

- Upper incisors Presence of posterocone on I^1 (ordered) 0: Absent: 1: Present 0: Anterocone taller than mediocone; 1: Mediocone taller than anterocone Relative height anterocone/mediocone on I¹ Upper premolars Presence of P 0: Absent; 1: Present Presence of metacone on P4 0: Absent; 1: Present
 0: P⁴ with a metacone significantly smaller than the paracone and no expanded distolingual basin;
 1: P⁴ with a metacone approaching in size to the paracone and an expanded distolingual basin Presence of a molariform P⁴ Presence of precingulum on P⁴ 0: Absent; 1: Present Presence of parastyle on P Shape of P^4 (ordered) 0: Absent; 1: Present 0: T-shaped; 1: Triangular; 2: Quadrangular Mesial parastylar expansion on P⁴ Acuteness of P⁴ cusps 0: Projecting beyond the mesial border; 1: Not projecting. 0: Acute; 1: Bulbous 10 11 Height of postprotocingulum on P⁴ Upper molars 12 Depth of distolingual basin on M¹⁻² 0: Shallow; 1: Deep 14 Parastylar expansion on M¹⁻² (ordered)
 15 Outline of M¹ 0: No expansion; 2: Expanded 0: Squared; 1: Rectangular and narrow Depth of trigon basin on M1-2 0: Shallow; 1: Deep 16 17 Presence of postmetaconule crista on M1-2 0: Absent; 1: Present 18 Expansion of mesiolabial corner on M 0: Not expanded, buccal border is straight; 1: Expanded Lower canine 19 Presence of C1 0: Present: 1: Absent Lower premolars 0: Present; 1: Absent 20 Presence of P₂ 0: Present; 1: Absent Presence of P₂ Trigonid/talonid width proportion on P4 P_4/M_1 width proportion 24 Width at the base of the P₄ protoconid 0: Narrowly based protoconid; 1: Broadly based protoconid Presence of a mesial bulge in the base of the P₄ protoconid 0: Absent; 1: Present 26 P₄/M₁ area proportion 0: Small P₄ area compared to M₁ area; 1: Similar 0: P_4 shorter than M_1 ; 1: P_4 equal or longer than M_1 0: Distinct, deep; 1: Not distinct, shallow Relative mesiodistal length of P₄ to M₁ Morphology of the hypoflexid Presence of paracristid 0: Present; 1: Absent 30 Relative length of the talonid compared to the length of 26% of the tooth length) the tooth 31 Presence of a crest connecting the protoconid and the 0: Absent; 1: Present hypoflexid fold (prehypoflexid cristid) Lower molars 32 Length of trigonid 1: Trigonids become more mesiodistally compressed from M1 to M3 Shape of the protocristid on M_1 Presence of distal cingulid on M_1 and M_2 Presence of hypoconulid on M_1 and M_2 0: V-shaped; 1: Slightly concave 0: Absent; 1: Present 33 34 35 0: Absent; 1: Present Presence of buccal cingulid on M1 and M2 trigonids 36 0: Absent: 1: Present Presence of buccal cingulid on M_1 and M_2 talonids 0: Absent; 1: Present 0: Semicircular; 1: Squared; 2: Triangular 38 Shape of the M₁ trigonid basin 0: Absent/weak; 1: Somewhat pronounced; 2: Very pronounced 0: Hypoconid taller than entoconid; 1: Subequal; 2: Entoconid taller than hypoconid Mesial inflection of the M1 and M2 trigonids (ordered) 39 Relative height of the hypoconid compared to the 40 entoconid on M₁ 41 Relative height of the protoconid compared to the metaconid on M1 42 Presence of paraconid on M₂ 0: Absent: 1: Present 43 Distinctiveness of the M2 paraconid relative to the M1 paraconid 44 Relative height of the paraconid compared to the metaconid on M2 45 Relative height of the hypoconid compared to the entoconid on M2 46 Relative height of the protoconid compared to the metaconid on M2
- 47 Acuteness of cusps
- 48 Molar enamel roughness inside the basin of M₃
- 49 Presence of M3 paraconid
- Relative height of the hypoconid compared to the 50 entoconid on Ma
- 51 Relative height of the protoconid compared to the metaconid on M3
- M3 trigonid basin area
- 53 Morphology of the M₃ hypoconulid lobe

- 0: Low (crest dips closer to the roots); 1: High (crest stays near the tip of the protocone in height)
- 0: Both conules present; 1: Metaconules absent; 2: Both conules absent
- 0: Talonid as wide as or wider than trigonid; 1: Talonid narrower than trigonid
- 0: P₄ narrower than M₁; 1: P₄ of approximately the same with as M₁

- 0: Relatively short talonid (less than 26% of the tooth length); 1: Relatively long talonid (more than
- 0: Trigonids become less mesiodistally compressed from M1 to M3, or there is no change;
- 0: Protoconid taller than metaconid; 1: Subequal; 2: Metaconid taller than protoconid
- 0: Comparably distinct to the M1 paraconid; 1: Less distinct than the M1 paraconid
- 0: Paraconid lower than metaconid; 1: Paraconid subequal of taller than metaconid
- 0: Hypoconid taller than entoconid; 1: Subequal; 2: Entoconid taller than hypoconid
- 0: Protoconid taller than metaconid; 1: Subequal; 2: Metaconid taller than protoconid
- 0: Relatively acute; 1: Blunter
- 0: Smooth; 1: Crenulated
- 0: Absent; 1: Present
- 0: Hypoconid taller than entoconid; 1: Subequal; 2: Entoconid taller than hypoconid
- 0: Protoconid taller than metaconid; 1: Subequal; 2: Metaconid taller than protoconid
- 0: Small basin, straight at the front; 1: Expansive trigonid basin, curved at the front
 - 0: From a distal view, the central occlusal surface is taller than the sides; 1: from a distal view, the medial and later edges are taller than the central occlusal surface

Results of the phylogenetic analysis.—In the tree from Analysis 1, Arcius is found to be monophyletic, with A. fuscus and A. lapparenti forming a clade. Arcius rougieri appears as the sister group to the A. fuscus-A. lapparenti clade. Arcius zbyszewskii is placed as the most basal European paromomyid, which agrees with the inference by Estravís (2000) that A. zbyszewskii is a



Figure 10. Hypotheses of phylogenetic relationship among selected genera of North American and European paromomyids based on cladistic analysis of 53 dental characters (Table 3, Supplemental Data 1, Figure S1). Cladistic analysis yielded a single most parsimonious cladogram for (1), (2), and (3). All cladograms rooted with Puercan *Purgatorius coracis* Fox and Scott, 2011. All characters were unordered, except for characters 1, 8, 13, and 39. Cladogram (4) was generated by strict consensus of six trees (Figure S1).



Figure 11. Cladogram generated by strict consensus of six trees. All European paromomyid taxa were included in this tree.

primitive lineage of European paromomyids, opening up the possibility of it being an ancestral species to all European paromomyids. In terms of the rest of paromomyid relationships, all of the trees from this paper agree with Bloch et al. (2002) and Aumont (2003) that Phenacolemur and Ignacius are closely related and that Paromomys is a very primitive member of the family. However, the position of Acidomomys seems more contentious. Whereas Acidomomys makes a clade with Ignacius in our trees, Bloch et al. (2002) found Acidomomys to be the sister taxon of the clade *Ignacius* + *Phenacolemur*, and Aumont's (2003) analysis resulted in Acidomomys being the sister taxon to Arcius. Aumont (2003) stated that the two characters that support the Acidomomys-Arcius clade are "l'absence de la p3" (absence of P3) and "la presence d'une P3 uniradiculée" (presence of a single-rooted P³) (Aumont, 2003, p. 364). However, Acidomomys hebeticus does have a P₃ (Bloch et al., 2002), and therefore that trait seems to be incorrectly coded in Aumont's (2003) matrix. In addition, the other character (presence of a single-rooted P³) seems to be misreported because P³ is coded in the matrix as being double rooted for both Acidomomys hebeticus and Arcius rougieri and not observable (i.e., question mark) in the rest of Arcius species. While it is clear that Acidomomys hebeticus had a double-rooted P³ (Bloch et al., 2002), as coded, the number of roots for P³ in Arcius is difficult to assess. Only a small piece of the distobuccal aspect of the P³ of A. rougieri is preserved (Godinot, 1984), and the alveoli for that tooth are not preserved. It is, therefore, impossible to determine how many roots would have been present. In light of these considerations, we would argue that there is no support for an *Acidomomys-Arcius* clade, which Aumont (2003) tentatively named as the tribe Arciini (incorrectly reported by Aumont [2003, p. 364] as 'Arciusini'). In addition, the fact that *Acidomomys* appears as the sister taxon to *Arcius* might explain why Aumont's phylogeny differs from those reported here in that *Arcius rougieri* represents the most primitive lineage of European paromomyids in her analysis, instead of *Arcius zbyszewskii* as found here.

When Arcius hookeri n. sp. is included in the analysis (Analysis 2; Fig. 10.2), it also yields one tree unequivocally. Arcius hookeri is placed as the sister taxon of a clade that includes A. fuscus, A. lapparenti, and A. rougieri. This result supports the inference that the Arcius specimen from England pertains to a separate lineage and therefore should be named as a distinct species. The clade that includes A. hookeri, A. fuscus, A. lapparenti, and A. rougieri is supported by the loss of the paraconid on the M₃, which is clearly present in the more primitive A. zbyszewskii.

The addition of the paromomyid specimen from Sottevillesur-Mer (Normandy, France) also results in a single most parsimonious tree (Analysis 3; Fig. 10.3). In this case, the Normandy specimen (MAM 6 STV 2008) forms a clade with *Arcius zbyszewskii*. This result is consistent with the morphological resemblance between the fossils from Portugal and the one from Normandy and supports the inference that all these specimens belong to the same species, *A. zbyszewskii*.

When including *Arcius ilerdensis* n. sp. in the analysis (Analysis 4), the clade *A. fuscus-A. lapparenti-A. rougieri*, present in the results from Analyses 1–3, collapses (Fig. 10.4). In three of the six trees recovered from this analysis (Fig. S1.1, S1.2, S1.6), *A. ilerdensis* falls out as being most closely related to *A. rougieri*. *Arcius ilerdensis* also appears in two other trees (Fig. S1.4, S1.5) as the sister group to the clade *A. fuscus-A. lapparenti-A. rougieri*, and as most closely related to *A. lapparenti* in another tree (Fig. S1.3).

When all species are included in the analysis (Analysis 5; Fig. 11), most *Arcius* lineages collapse, likely because of the large amounts of missing data for several taxa. However, it is important to note that the clade including *A. fuscus* and *A. lapparenti* still holds in this cladogram.

In terms of the other genera of paromomyids, our results show that the sister group of Arcius is not Acidomomys, as reported in Aumont (2003), but the clade that includes Phenacolemur, Ignacius, and Acidomomys. The genus Acidomomys is most closely related to Ignacius. Paromomys and Edworthia appear as members of the most primitive lineage of paromomyids. These results also support the idea from Gunnell (1989) that the family Paromomyidae could be subdivided into two subfamilies: the Paromomyinae and the Phenacolemurinae. According to Gunnell (1989), based on the genera described at that time, paromomyines would only be composed of the genus Paromomys, and phenacolemurines would include Phenacolemur, Ignacius, and Elwynella. Here, Paromomyinae would be extended to include Paromomys and Edworthia, and Phenacolemurinae would include Phenacolemur, Ignacius, Arcius, and possibly Elwynella.



Figure 12. Paleogeography of Western Europe and Greenland during the earliest Eocene and location of some selected paromomyid-bearing locations (modified from Eberle and Greenwood, 2012, fig. 3; Marandat et al., 2012, fig. 1; and Hooker, 2015, fig. 8). (1) Eureka Sound Group, Canada; (2) Abbey Wood, UK; (3) Sotteville-sur-mer, France; (4) Paris Basin, France; (5) Palette, France; (6) Masia de l'Hereuet, Spain; (7) Silveirinha, Portugal.

However, to assess this question more fully, additional North American paromomyid species should be included in the analysis of the family.

Biogeographic implications.—The results of the phylogenetic analyses, which consistently support the monophyly of *Arcius*, would suggest that there was only one dispersal event of paromomyids between North America and Europe. This dispersal would have been possible through land bridges between North America and Europe. The volcanic activity and regional uplift in eastern Greenland closed the Greenland Strait (or Denmark Strait) between Europe and Greenland, making it much narrower during the Paleocene and Eocene (Knox, 1998). In addition, the English Channel was bridged, allowing dispersal between the British Isles and continental Europe, and in particular with France (King, 2006).

One of the oldest occurrences of *Arcius* comes from the site of Sotteville-sur-Mer in Normandy, France. That region of Normandy could have been the entry point to continental Europe from the Greenland land bridge (Fig. 12), which would be consistent with finding the oldest representative of the genus in that area. However, *Arcius zbyszewskii* is also found at Silveirinha, which is inferred to be of nearly equivalent age to Sotteville-sur-Mer (i.e., PE II according to Hooker, 2015) and is quite distant in space. Iberia was isolated from continental Europe by the Carcassonne Strait already by the earliest Eocene (Marandat et al., 2012), and the intercontinental dispersal of paromomyids is argued to have occurred during the PETM (Hooker, 2015), making the dispersal of A. zbyszewskii into southwestern Europe rather difficult. However, other sources (Plaziat, 1981; Badiola et al., 2009) illustrate a land connection between Iberia and continental Europe during the early Eocene, suggesting that faunal dispersal from continental Europe into Iberia might have been possible by the earliest Eocene. In any case, the broad biogeographic range of Arcius zbyszewskii across western Europe suggests that this species might have undergone rapid dispersal across the continent in a short period of time. Even if the fossils from Silveirinha and Normandy did not belong to the same species, it would be one of the first cases of finding similar species in northern and southern Europe, which goes against the strong trend of north-south regionalism in this continent (Marandat, 1997).

In terms of the origins of Arcius, the phylogenetic results unequivocally support the existence of a long ghost lineage. The oldest member of the Phenacolemur-Ignacius-Acidomomys clade is Ignacius cf. I. fremontensis from Torrejonian 2 (To2; Rose, 1981; Silcox and Williamson, 2012). Therefore, the Arcius lineage is inferred to have branched off prior to To2. The absence of Arcius-like species in the well-sampled western North American record during the Torrejonian, Tiffanian, and Clarkforkian suggests that the evolution of this lineage might have happened in more northern latitudes and closer to the land bridges that would later connect North America with Europe. In fact, undescribed Ignacius-like paromomyids have been reported from the Eocene of Ellesmere Island, in the Canadian Arctic (West and Dawson, 1977; Eberle and Greenwood, 2012), hinting at the potential of this region, and of other areas in the Greenland land bridge (e.g., the east coast of Greenland; Larsen et al., 2001, 2002), to rewrite our understanding of paromomyid evolution and biogeography.

Conclusions

A new revision of the paromomyids from Europe (after Aumont, 2004) prompts the rediagnosis of the genus *Arcius* based on a significant collection of previously unpublished material, redefining *Arcius fuscus* and *Arcius lapparenti* after identifying the mix of specimens in the original taxonomic study by Russell et al. (1967), and the description of two new species: *Arcius hookeri* n. sp. and *Arcius ilerdensis* n. sp.

Our phylogenetic analysis shows that *Arcius* is monophyletic. This is consistent with previous work done by Aumont (2003) but differs from her results in the precise relationships among species of *Arcius*. Our tree suggests that *Arcius zbyszewskii* is the most basal species of *Arcius*, in line with Estravís's (2000) inferences. Our results further differ from Aumont's (2003) in finding the clade *Phenacolemur-Ignacius-Acidomomys* as the sister clade to the European paromomyids, instead of just *Acidomomys*. When the more fragmentary taxa were added to the phylogenetic analysis, the results are consistent with the inference that the Normandy specimen belongs to *Arcius zbyszewskii* and that *Arcius hookeri* belongs to an independent lineage. The phylogenetic relationships of

Arcius ilerdensis are less clear, but it appears basal to the clade of French species of *Arcius*. Finally, the monophyly of *Arcius* is consistent with a single dispersal for the family Paromomyidae from North America to Europe.

Acknowledgments

We thank M. Godinot and C. Argot (Muséum Nationale d'Histoire Naturelle), R. Rocha (Universidade Nova de Lisboa), J.J. Hooker (British Museum of Natural History), T. Smith (Royal Belgian Museum of Natural Sciences), J. Galkin, A. Davison, and R. O'Leary (American Museum of Natural History), P.A. Holroyd and W.A. Clemens (University of California Museum of Paleontology), R.C. Fox and M.W. Caldwell (University of Alberta), P.D. Gingerich, W.J. Sanders, and A. Rountrey (University of Michigan), E.J. Sargis, D. Brinkman, M. Fox, and C.A. Norris (Yale Peabody Museum), D.J. Bohaska and N. Pyenson (National Museum of Natural History - Smithsonian Institution), K.D. Rose (Johns Hopkins University), and J.I. Bloch (Florida Museum of Natural History) for access to specimens and/or casts. Thanks to T.B. Viola for fruitful discussions and to K.C. Beard, D.R. Begun, W.A. Clemens, and M.A. Schillaci for helpful comments. Viktoria Bodo prepared Figure 6; it is included with her permission. We are grateful to R. Minwer-Barakat and J. Marigó for permission to use original figures. We thank A. Aumont, M. Godinot, and R. Rocha for access to unpublished material. Thanks to E. Charles, R. Bhagat, and D. Lin for making relevant casts. We are very grateful to J.T. Gladman and C. Crawford for their assistance in CT-scanning the specimens of Arcius and to D.M. Boyer for access to resources. Thanks to K.R. Selig for further assistance during scanning. We are grateful to M.C. Torres Jové for access to data. We also thank M. Godinot, J.I. Bloch, and H.-D. Sues, whose comments significantly improved this paper. This research was supported by the Doris O. and Samuel P. Welles Research Fund, an American Museum of Natural History Collections Study Grant, and University of Toronto Department of Anthropology Research Travelling Grants to SLT, and an NSERC Discovery Grant to MTS.

Accessibility of supplemental data

Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: https://doi. org/10.5061/dryad.873c4

References

- Antunes, M.T., 1981, As areias e argilas de Silveirinha. II Aspectos paleontológicos: Memórias e Noticias: Publicações do Museu e Laboratório Mineralógico e Geológico da Universidade de Coimbra, v. 91–92, p. 253–267.
- Antunes, M.T., and Russell, D.E., 1981, Le gisement de Silveirinha (Bas Mondego, Portugal): la plus ancienne faune de Vertébrés éocènes connue en Europe: Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Paris, Série II, v. 293, p. 1099–1102.
- Antunes, M.T., Casanovas, M.L., Cuesta, M.A., Checa, L., Santafé, J.V., and Agustí, J., 1997, Eocene mammals from the Iberian Peninsula, *in* Aguilar, J.P., Legendre, S., and Michaux, J., eds., Actes du Congrès BiochroM'97: Montpellier, École Pratique des Hautes Études Institute de Montpellier, p. 337–352.

- Aumont, A., 2003, Systématique et phylogénie des Paromomyidés européens (Eocène – Plésiadapiformes, Mammifères) [Ph.D. thesis]: Paris, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris, 427 p.
- Aumont, A., 2004, Première découverte d'espèces sympatriques de Paromomyidés (Plésiadapiformes, Mammifères) en Europe: C. R. Palevol, v. 3, p. 27–34.
- Badiola, A., Checa, L., Cuesta, M.A., Quer, R., Hooker, J.J., and Astibia, H., 2009, The role of new Iberian finds in understanding European Eocene mammalian paleobiogeography: Geologica Acta, v. 7, p. 243–258.
- Beard, K.C., 1989, Postcranial anatomy, locomotor adaptations, and paleoecology of early Cenozoic Plesiadapidae, Paromomyide, and Micromomyidae (Eutheria, Dermoptera) [Ph.D. thesis]: Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University, 661 p.
- Beard, K.C., 1990, Gliding behaviour and palaeoecology of the alleged primate family Paromomyidae (Mammalia, Dermoptera): Nature, v. 345, p. 340–341.
- Beard, K.C., 1991, Vertical posture and climbing in the morphotype of Primatomorpha; Implications for locomotor evolution in primate history, *in* Coppens, Y., and Senut, B., eds., Origines de la Bipédie chez les Hominidés: Paris, France, CNRS.
- Beard, K.C., 1993a, Origin and evolution of gliding in early Cenozoic Dermoptera (Mammalia, Primatomorpha), *in* MacPhee, R.D.E., ed., Primates and Their Relatives in Phylogenetic Perspective: New York, New York, Plenum Press, p. 63–90.
- Beard, K.C., 1993b, Phylogenetic systematics of the Primatomorpha, with special reference to Dermoptera, *in* Szalay, F.S., Novacek, M.J., and McKenna, M.C., eds., Mammal Phylogeny: Placentals: New York, New York, Springer-Verlag, p. 129–150.
- Beard, K.C., Wang, Y.-Q., Meng, J., Ni, X.-J., Gebo, D.L., and Li, C.-K., 2010, Paleocene *Hapalodectes* (Mammalia: Mesonychia) from Subeng, Nei Mongol: Further evidence of "East of Eden" dispersal at the Paleocene-Eocene boundary: Vertebrata PalAsiatica, v. 48, p. 375–389.
- Bloch, J.I., and Boyer, D.M., 2002, Grasping primate origins: Science, v. 298, p. 1606–1610.
- Bloch, J.I., and Boyer, D.M., 2007, New skeletons of Paleocene-Eocene Plesiadapiformes: A diversity of arboreal positional behaviors in early primates, *in* Ravosa, M.J., and Dagosto, M., eds., Primate Origins: Adaptations and Evolution: New York, New York, Plenum Press, p. 535–581.
- Bloch, J.I., and Silcox, M.T., 2006, Cranial anatomy of the Paleocene plesiadapiform *Carpolestes simpsoni* (Mammalia, Primates) using ultra high-resolution X-ray computed tomography, and the relationships of plesiadapiforms to Euprimates: Journal of Human Evolution, v. 50, p. 1–35.
- Bloch, J.I., Boyer, D.M., Gingerich, P.D., and Gunnell, G.F., 2002, New primitive paromomyid from the Clarkforkian of Wyoming and dental eruption in Plesiadapiformes: Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, v. 22, p. 366–379.
- Bloch, J.I., Silcox, M.T., Boyer, D.M., and Sargis, E.J., 2007, New Paleocene skeletons and the relationship of plesiadapiforms to crown-clade primates: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States, v. 104, p. 1159–1164.
- Bown, T.M, and Rose, K.D., 1976, New early Tertiary Primates and a reappraisal of some Plesiadapiformes: Folia Primatologica, v. 26, p. 109–138.
- Boyer, D.M., and Bloch, J.I., 2008, Evaluating the Mitten-Gliding Hypothesis for Paromomyidae and Micromomyidae (Mammalia, "Plesiadapiformes") using comparative functional morphology of new Paleogene skeletons, *in* Sargis, E.J., and Dagosto, M., eds., Mammalian Evolutionary Morphology: A Tribute to Frederick S. Szalay: New York, New York, Springer-Verlag, p. 233–284.
- Checa, L., 1997, Los perisodáctilos (Mammalia, Ungulata) del eoceno catalán: Paleontologia i Evolució, v. 30–31, p. 149–234.
- Clemens, W.A., and Wilson, G.P., 2009, Early Torrejonian mammalian local faunas from northeastern Montana, U.S.A., *in* Albright L.B. III, ed., Papers on Geology, Vertebrate Paleontology, and Biostratigraphy in Honor of Michael O. Woodburne: Museum of Northern Arizona Bulletin, Flagstaff, AZ, v. 65, p. 111–158.
- Conroy, G.C., 1987, Problems of body-weight estimation in fossil primates: International Journal of Primatology, v. 8, p. 115–137.
- Cope, E.D., 1874, Report upon vertebrate fossils discovered in New Mexico, with description of new species: Washington, D.C., Ann Rep Chief Engineers, U.S. Government Printing Office, Appendix FF, p. 1–18.
- Cuesta, M.A., 1994, Los Lophiodontidae (Perissodactyla, Mammalia) del eoceno de la Cuenca del Duero (Castilla y León, España): Studia Geologica Salmanticensia, v. 29, p. 23–65.
- Dégremont, E., Duchaussois, F., Hautefeuille, F., Laurain, M., Louis, P., and Tetu, R., 1985, Paléontologie: découverte d'un gisement du Cuisien tardif à Prémontré: Bull Inf Géol Bass Paris, v. 22, p 11–18.
- Eberle, J.J., and Greenwood, D.R., 2012, Life at the top of the greenhouse Eocene world—A review of the Eocene flora and vertebrate fauna from Canada's High Arctic: GSA Bulletin, v. 124, p. 3–23.

- Escarguel, G., 1999, Les rongeurs de l'Eocène inférieur et moyen d'Europe Occidentale. Systématique, phylogénie, biochronologie et paléobiogéographie des niveaux-repères MP 7 a MP 14: Palaeovertebrata, v. 28, p. 89–351.
- Estravís, C., 1992, Estudo dos mamíferos do Eocénico Inferior de Silveirinha (Baixo Mondego) [Ph.D. thesis]: Lisbon, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 254 p.
- Estravís, C., 2000, Nuevos mamíferos del Eoceno Inferior de Silveirinha (Baixo Mondego, Portugal): Coloquios de Paleontología, v. 51, p. 281–311.
- Fox, R.C., and Scott, C.S., 2011, A new, early Puercan (earliest Paleocene) species of *Purgatorius* (Plesiadapiformes, Primates) from Saskatchewan, Canada: Journal of Paleontology, v. 85, p. 537–548.
- Fox, R.C., Scott, C.S., and Rankin, B.D., 2010, *Edworthia lerbekmoi*, a new primitive paromomyid primate from the Torrejonian (early Paleocene) of Alberta, Canada: Journal of Paleontology, v. 84, p. 868–878.
- Franzen, J.L., 2005, The implications of the numerical dating of the Messel fossil deposit (Eocene, Germany) for mammalian biochronology: Annals of Paleontology, v. 91, p. 329–335.
- Gazin, C.L., 1971, Paleocene Primates from the Shotgun Member of the Fort Union Formation in the Wind River Basin, Wyoming: Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington, v. 84, p. 13–38.
- Gidley, J.W., 1923, Paleocene primates of the Fort Union, with discussion of relationships of Eocene primates: Proceedings of the United States National Museum, v. 63, p. 1–38.
- Gingerich, P.D., Smith, B.H., and Rosenberg, K., 1982, Allometric scaling in the dentition of primates and prediction of body weight from tooth size in fossils: American Journal of Physical Anthropology, v. 58, p. 81–100.
- Godinot, M., 1981, Les Mammifères de Rians (Éocène Inférieur, Provence): Palaeovertebrata, v. 10, p. 43–126.
- Godinot, M., 1984, Un noveau genre de Paromomyidae (Primates) de l'Eocène Inférieur d'Europe: Folia Primatologica, v. 43, p. 84–96.
- Goloboff, P.A., Farris, J.S., and Nixon, K.C., 2008, TNT, a free program for phylogenetic analysis: Cladistics, v. 24, p. 774–786.
- Gunnell, G.F., 1989, Evolutionary history of Microsopyoidea (Mammalia, ?Primates) and the relationship between Plesiadapiformes and Primates: University of Michigan Papers in Paleontology, v. 27, p. 1–157.
- Hooker, J.J., 1991, The sequence of mammals in the Thanetian and Ypresian of the London and Belgian basins. Localisation of the Paleocene-Eocene boundary: Newsletters on Stratigraphy, v. 25, p. 75–90.
- Hooker, J.J., 1996, Mammalian biostratigraphy across the Paleocene-Eocene boundary in the Paris, London and Belgian basins, *in* Knox, R.W.O'B., Corfield, R.M., and Dunay, R.E., eds., Correlation of the Early Paleogene in Northwest Europe: Geological Society Special Publication, v. 101, p. 205–218.
- Hooker, J.J., 1998, Mammalian faunal change across the Paleocene-Eocene transition in Europe, *in* Aubry, M.P., Lucas, S., and Berggren, W.A., eds., Climatic and Biotic Events in the Marine and Terrestrial Records: New York, New York, Columbia University Press, p. 428–450.
- Hooker, J.J., 2010, The mammal fauna of the early Eocene Blackheath Formation of Abbey Wood, London: Monograph of the Palaeontological Society, v. 165, p. 1–162.
- Hooker, J.J., 2015, A two-phase mammalian dispersal event across the Paleocene-Eocene transition: Newsletters on Stratigraphy, v. 48, p. 201–220.
- Hooker, J.J., and Insole, A.N., 1980, The distribution of mammals in the English Palaeogene: Tertiary Research, v. 3, p. 31–45.
- Hooker, J.J., and Russell, D.E., 2012, Early Palaeogene Louisinidae (Macroscelidea, Mammalia), their relationships and north European diversity: Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, v. 164, p. 856–936.
- Kay, R.F., Thorington, R.W. Jr., and Houde, P., 1990, Eocene plesiadapiform shows affinities with flying lemurs not primates: Nature, v. 345, p. 342–344.
- Kay, R.F., Thewissen, J.G.M., and Yoder, A.D., 1992, Cranial anatomy of *Ignacius graybullianus* and the affinities of Plesiadapiformes: American Journal of Physical Anthropology, v. 89, p. 477–498.
- King, C., 2006, Paleogene and Neogene: Uplift and a cooling climate, in Brenchley, P.J., and Rawson, P.F., eds., The Geology of England and Wales (second edition): Geological Society of London, p. 395–427.
- Knox, R.W.O'B., 1998, The tectonic and volcanic history of the North Atlantic region during the Paleocene-Eocene transition: Implications for NW European and global biotic events, *in* Aubry, M.P., Lucas, S., and Berggren, W.A., eds., Climatic and Biotic Events in the Marine and Terrestrial Records: New York, New York, Columbia University Press, p. 91–102.
- Larsen, M., Bjerager, M., Nedkvitne, T., Olaussen, S., and Preuss, T., 2001, Pre-basaltic sediments (Aptian-Paleocene) of the Kangerlussuaq Basin, southern East Greenland: Geology of Greenland Survey Bulletin, v. 189, p. 99–106.
- Larsen, M., Piasecki, S., and Stemmerik, L., 2002, The post-basaltic Palaeogene and Neogene sediments at Kap Dalton and Savoia Halvø, East Greenland: Geology of Greenland Survey Bulletin, v. 191, p. 103–110.

- Linnaeus, C., 1758, Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Editio decima: Stockholm, Laurentii Salvii.
- López-Martínez, N., Smith, R., Peláez-Campomanes, P., and Smith, T., 2006, The acme of the micromammal *Paschatherium* across the Paleocene-Eocene boundary in continental Europe: Micropaleontology, v. 52, p. 267–280.
- López-Torres, S., Schillaci, M.A., and Silcox, M.T., 2015, Life history of the most complete fossil primate skeleton: Exploring growth models for *Darwinius*: Royal Society Open Science, v. 2, 150340.
- Louis, P., 1966, Note sure un nouveau gisement situé à Condé-en-Brie (Aisne) et renfermant des restes de mammifères de l'Eocène inférieur: Annales Scientifiques de l'Université de Reims et de l'ARERS, v. 4, p. 108–118.
- Louis, P., 1970, Note préliminaire sur un gisement de mammifères de l'Eocène inférieur situé route de Broyes à Sézanne (Marne): Annales Scientifiques de l'Université de Reims et de l'ARERS, v. 8, p. 48–62.
- Louis, P., and Laurain, M., 1983, Nouveau gisement de vertébrés dans le Cuisien supérieur de Saint-Agnan (Aisne) ses relations stratigraphiques avec les autres gisements yprésiens du bassin parisien: Bulletin d'Information des Géologues du Bassin de Paris, v. 20, p. 3–20.
- Louis, P., and Michaux, J., 1962, Présence de mammifères sparnaciens dans les sablières de Pourcy (Marne): Compte Rendu Sommaire des Séances de la Société géologique de France, v. 6, p. 170–171.
- Marandat, B., 1986, Découverte d'une faunule de micromammifères d'âge cuisien supérieur dans les marno-calcaires d'Agel à Azillanet (Minervois, Hérault): Géologie de la France, v. 2, p. 197–204.
- Marandat, B., 1991, Mammifères de l'Îlerdien Moyen (Eocène inférieur) des Corbières et du Minervois (Bas-Languedoc, France): Systématique, biostratigraphie, corrélations: Palaeovertebrata, v. 20, p. 55–144.
- Marandat, B., 1997, La disparité des faunes mammaliennes du niveau MP 7 (Eocène inférieur) des domaines péri-mésogéens et nordiques. Investigation d'un provincialisme européen: Newsletters on Stratigraphy, v. 35, p. 63–82.
- Marandat, B., Adnet, S., Marivaux, L., Martinez, A., Vianey-Liaud, M., and Tabuce, R., 2012, A new mammalian fauna from the earliest Eocene (Ilerdian) of the Corbières (Southern France): Palaeogeographical implications: Swiss Journal of Geosciences, v. 105, p. 417–434.
- Marigó, J., Minwer-Barakat, R., Moyà-Solà, S., and López-Torres, S., 2012, First record of Plesiadapiformes (Primates, Mammalia) from Spain: Journal of Human Evolution, v. 62, p. 429–433.
- Marigó, J., Susanna, I., Minwer-Barakat, R., Madurell-Malapeira, J., Moyà-Solà, S., Casanovas-Vilar, I., Robles, J.M., and Alba, D.M., 2014, The primate fossil record in the Iberian Peninsula: Journal of Iberian Geology, v. 40, p. 179–211.
- Matthew, W.D., 1915, Entelonychia, Primates, Insectivora (part), *in* Matthew, W.D., and Granger, W., eds., A revision of the Lower Eocene Wasatch and Wind River faunas: Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, v. 34, p. 429–483.
- Matthew, W.D., and Granger, W., 1921, New genera of Paleocene mammals: American Museum Novitates, v. 13, 1–7.
- Ni, X., Gebo, D.L., Dagosto, M., Meng, J., Tafforeau, P., Flynn, J.J., and Beard, K.C., 2013, The oldest known primate skeleton and early haplorhine evolution: Nature, v. 498, p. 60–64.
- Ni, X., Li, Q., Li, L., and Beard, K.C., 2016, Oligocene primates from China reveal divergence between African and Asian primate evolution: Science, v. 352, p. 673–677.
- Plaziat, J.-C., 1981, Late Cretaceous to late Eocene palaeogeographic evolution of southwest Europe: Palaeogeograpgy, Palaeoclimatology, and Palaeoecology, v. 36, p. 263–320.
- Radinsky, L.B., 1982, Some cautionary notes on making inferences about relative brain size, *in* Armstrong, E., and Falk, D., eds., Primate Brain Evolution: Methods and Concepts: New York, New York, Plenum, p. 29–37.
- Robinson, P., and Ivy, L.D., 1994, Paromomyidae (?Dermoptera) from the Powder River Basin, Wyoming and a discussion of microevolution in closely related species: Contributions to Geology, University of Wyoming, v. 30, p. 91–116.
- Rose, K.D., 1981, The Clarkforkian land-mammal age and mammalian faunal composition across the Paleocene-Eocene boundary: University of Michigan Papers on Paleontology, v. 26, p. 1–196.
- Rose, K.D., and Bown, T.M., 1982, New plesiadapiform primates from the Eocene of Wyoming and Montana: Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, v. 2, p. 63–69.
- Rose, K.D., Beard, K.C., and Houde, P., 1993, Exceptional new dentitions of the diminutive plesiadapiforms *Tinimomys* and *Niptomomys* (Mammalia), with comments on the upper incisors of Plesiadapiformes: Annals of Carnegie Museum, v. 62, p. 351–361.
- Russell, D.E., Louis, P., and Savage, D.E., 1967, Primates of the French Early Eocene: University of California Publications in Geological Sciences, v. 73, p. 1–46.

- Russell, D.E., Galoyer, A., Louis, P., and Gingerich, P.D., 1988, Nouveaux vertébrés sparnaciens du conglomérat de Meudon à Meudon, France: Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Paris, v. 307, p. 429–433.
- Russell, D.E., de Broin, F., Galoyer, A., Gaudant, J., Gingerich, P.D., and Rage, J.-C., 1990, Les vértebrés du Sparnacien tu Meudon: Bulletin d'Information des Géologues du Bassin de Paris, v. 27, p. 21–31.
- Sargis, E.J., 2002, The postcranial morphology of *Ptilocercus lowii* (Scandentia, Tupaiidae): An analysis of Primatomorpha and volitantian characters: Journal of Mammalian Evolution, v. 9, p. 137–160.
- Secord, R., 2008, The Tiffanian Land-Mammal Age (middle and late Paleocene) in the Northern Bighorn Basin, Wyoming: University of Michigan Papers in Paleontology, v. 35, p. 1–192.
- Silcox, M.T., 2001, A phylogenetic analysis of the Plesiadapiformes and their relationship to Euprimates and other Archonta [Ph.D. thesis]: Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University, 729 p.
- Silcox, M.T., 2007, Primate taxonomy, plesiadapiforms, and approaches to primate origins, *in* Ravosa, M.J., and Dagosto, M., eds., Primate Origins: Adaptations and Evolution: New York, Plenum Press, p. 143–178.
- Silcox, M.T., 2008, The biogeographic origins of Primates and Euprimates: East, west, north, or south of Eden?, *in* Sargis, E.J., and Dagosto, M., eds., Mammalian Evolutionary Morphology: A Tribute to Frederick S. Szalay: New York, New York, Springer-Verlag, p. 199–231.
- Silcox, M.T., 2017, Plesiadapiform, *in* Fuentes, A., ed., The International Encyclopedia of Primatology: Wiley, doi: 10.1002/978111917313. wbprim0038.
- Silcox, M.T., and Gunnell, G.F., 2008, Plesiadapiformes, *in* Janis, C.M., Gunnell G.F., and Uhen, M.D., eds., Evolution of Tertiary Mammals of North America, v. Vol. 2: Cambridge, UK, Cambridge University Press, p. 207–238.
- Silcox, M.T., and López-Torres, S., 2017, Major questions in the study of primate origins: Annual Review of Earth Planetary Sciences, v. 45, p. 113–137.
- Silcox, M.T., and Williamson, T.E., 2012, New discoveries of early Paleocene (Torrejonian) primates from the Nacimiento Formation, San Juan Basin, New Mexico: Journal of Human Evolution, v. 63, p. 805–833.
- Silcox, M.T., Rose, K.D., and Bown, T.M., 2008, Early Eocene Paromomyidae (Mammalia, Primates) from the Southern Bighorn Basin, Wyoming: Systematics and evolution: Journal of Paleontology, v. 82, p. 1074–1113.
- Silcox, M.T., Benham, A.E., and Bloch, J.I., 2010, Endocasts of *Microsyops* (Microsyopidae, Primates) and the evolution of the brain in primitive primates: Journal of Human Evolution, v. 58, p. 505–521.

- Silcox, M.T., Sargis, E.J., Bloch, J.I., and Boyer, D.M., 2015, Primate origins and supraordinal relationships: Morphological evidence, *in* Henke, W., and Tattersall, I., eds., Handbook of Palaeoanthropology (second edition): Berlin, Springer-Verlag, p. 1053–1081.
- Silcox, M.T., Bloch, J.I., Boyer, D.M., Chester, S.G.B., and López-Torres, S., 2017, The evolutionary radiation of plesiadapiforms: Evolutionary Anthropology, v. 26, p. 74–94.
- Simpson, G.G., 1940, Studies on the earliest primates: Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, v. 77, p. 185–212.
- Simpson, G.G., 1955, The Phenacolemuridae, new family of early primates: Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, v. 105, p. 415–441.
- Smith, T., Dupuis, C., Folie, A., Quesnel, F., Storme, J.-Y., Iacumin, P., Riveline, J., Missiaen, P., Ladevèze, S., and Yans, J., 2011, A new terrestrial vertebrate site just after the Paleocene-Eocene boundary in the Mortermer Formation of Upper Normandy, France: Comptes Rendus Palevol, v. 10, p. 11–20.
- Szalay, F.S., 1968, The Picrodontidae, a family of early primates: American Museum Novitates, v. 2329, p. 1–55.
- Szalay, F.S., 1975a, Phylogeny of primate higher taxa—the basicranial evidence, *in* Luckett, W.P., and Szalay, F.S., eds., Phylogeny of the Primates: New York, New York, Plenum Press, p. 91–125.
- Szalay, F.S., 1975b, Where to draw the nonprimate-primate taxonomic boundary: Folia Primatologica, v. 23, p. 158–163.
- Szalay, F.S., Tattersall, I., and Decker, R.L., 1975, Phylogenetic relationships of *Plesiadapis*—Postcranial evidence, *in* Szalay, F.S., ed., Approaches to Primate Paleobiology: Contributions to Primatology, v. 5, p. 136–166.
- Szalay, F.S., Rosenberger, A.L., and Dagosto, M., 1987, Diagnosis and differentiation of the order Primates: American Journal of Physical Anthropology, v. 30, p. 75–105.
 Tong, Y., and Wang, J., 1998, A preliminary report on the early Eocene mam-
- Tong, Y., and Wang, J., 1998, A preliminary report on the early Eocene mammals of the Wutu fauna, Shandong Province, China, *in* Beard, K.C., and Dawson, M.R., eds., Dawn of the Age of Mammals in Asia: Bulletin of the Carnegie Museum of Natural History, v. 34, p. 186–193.
- Van Valen, L., and Sloan, R.E., 1965, The earliest primates: Science, v. 150, p. 743–745.
- West, R.M., and Dawson, M.R., 1977, Mammals from the Palaeogene of the Eureka Sound Formation: Ellesmere Island, Arctic Canada: Géobios, v. 10, sup. 1, p. 107–124.

Accepted 3 February 2018