

## Influence of Tillage on Common Ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*) Emergence Pattern in Nebraska

Ethann R. Barnes, Rodrigo Werle, Lowell D. Sandell, John L. Lindquist, Stevan Z. Knezevic, Peter H. Sikkema, and Amit J. Jhala\*

Spring tillage is a component of an integrated weed management strategy for control of early emerging glyphosate-resistant weeds such as common ragweed; however, the effect of tillage on common ragweed emergence pattern is unknown. The objectives of this study were to evaluate whether spring tillage during emergence would influence the emergence pattern or stimulate additional emergence of common ragweed and to characterize common ragweed emergence in southeast Nebraska. A field experiment was conducted for three years (2014 to 2016) in Gage County, Nebraska in a field naturally infested with glyphosate-resistant common ragweed. Treatments consisted of a no-tillage control and three spring tillage timings. The Soil Temperature and Moisture Model (STM<sup>2</sup>) software was used to estimate soil temperature and moisture at a 2-cm depth. The Weibull function was fit to total common ragweed emergence (%) with day of year (DOY), thermal time, and hydrothermal time as independent variables. Tillage treatments and year had no effect on total common ragweed emergence (P = 0.88 and 0.35, respectively) and time to 10, 25, 50, 75, and 90% emergence (P = 0.31). However, emergence pattern was affected by year ( $P = \langle 0.001 \rangle$ ) with 50% total emergence reached on May 5 in 2014, April 20 in 2015, and April 2 in 2016 and 90% total emergence reached on May 12, 2014, May 8, 2015, and April 30, 2016. According to the corrected information-theoretic model comparison criterion (AICc), the Weibull function with thermal time and base temperature of 3 C best explained the emergence pattern over three years. This study concludes that spring tillage does not stimulate additional emergence; therefore, after the majority of the common ragweed has emerged and before the crop has been planted, tillage could be used as an effective component of an integrated glyphosate-resistant common ragweed management program in Nebraska.

Nomenclature: Glyphosate; common ragweed, Ambrosia artemisiifolia L.

Key words: Hydrothermal time, integrated weed management, model selection, spring tillage, thermal time, Weibull function, metric potential.

Common ragweed is a native, herbaceous, annual broadleaf weed found throughout temperate North America (Bazzaz 1970; Coble et al. 1981). The seeds of this species can remain viable in the soil for 39 years or longer (Bassett and Crompton 1975) until their dormancy is broken by cold stratification (Bazzaz 1970; Willemsen and Rice 1972). Common ragweed typically emerges early in the season, from mid-April through May in the Midwest (Werle et al. 2014a). Under favorable conditions, common ragweed plants can reach heights over 2 m (Bassett and Crompton 1975; Clewis et al. 2001). Common ragweed is one of the most prominent hay fever allergens, with the ability to produce more than one billion pollen grains per plant in late summer and early fall (Jordan et al. 2007).

Common ragweed's early-season emergence gives it a significant competitive advantage if it is not controlled before crop planting in many cropping systems, especially soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] (Coble et al. 1981) and peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) (Clewis et al. 2001). Common ragweed at a density

DOI: 10.1017/wet.2017.38

<sup>\*</sup> First, third, fourth, and seventh authors: Graduate Research Assistant (ORCID: 0000-0002-6697-3839), Weed Science Extension Educator, Professor, and Assistant Professor (ORCID: 0000-0001-8599-4996), Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68583; Second author: Assistant Professor, West Central Research and Extension Center, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, North Platte, NE 69101; Fifth author: Professor, Northeast Research and Extension Center, Haskell Agricultural Laboratory, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, Concord, NE 68728; Sixth author: University of Guelph, Ridgetown, ON NOP 2CO, Canada. Current address of third author: Valent U.S.A. Corporation, Lincoln, NE. Corresponding author's E-mail: Amit.Jhala@unl.edu

of four plants per ten meters of row reduced soybean yield by 8% (Jordan et al. 2015). Similarly, Clewis et al. (2001) reported that a single common ragweed plant per meter of row reduced peanut yield by 40%. Dickerson and Sweet (1971) reported that in the absence of competition, a small common ragweed plant (95 g fresh weight) produced 3,135 seeds per plant, and a large plant (2,400 g fresh weight) produced 62,000 seeds.

The overreliance on glyphosate following commercialization of glyphosate-resistant corn (Zea mays L.) and soybean throughout the midwestern United States has led to the evolution of glyphosate-resistant weeds, including common ragweed (Powles 2008; Shaner 2014). In the United States, glyphosateresistant common ragweed was first documented in Missouri in 2004 (Heap 2016; Pollard 2007) and has been recently documented in Nebraska (Ganie and Jhala 2017). The failure of glyphosate to control glyphosate-resistant common ragweed has forced producers to adopt diversified weed management strategies including mechanical and cultural approaches as well as the use of herbicides with alternate modes of action, both PRE and POST (Beckie 2011; Van Wely et al. 2014, 2015).

Before the extensive use of herbicides, tillage was an important tool for preplant weed control (Burnside 1996; Givens et al. 2009). Reduced or no-tillage production systems greatly increased in popularity after glyphosate-resistant crops were introduced in 1996, and the use of glyphosate for weed control widely replaced preplant tillage due to the affordability and effectiveness of glyphosate (Givens et al. 2009). The emergence patterns of common lambsquarters (Chenopodium album L.), field pennycress (Thlaspi arevense L.), green foxtail [Setaria viridis (L.) Beauv.], wild buckwheat (Polygonum convolvulus L.), and wild oat (Avena fatua L.) were delayed in conventional tillage systems compared with conservation tillage systems. However, the redroot pigweed (Amaranthus retroflexus L.) and wild mustard (Sinapis arvensis L.) emergence period was not affected by different tillage systems (Bullied et al. 2003). Common waterhemp [Amaranthus tuberculatus (Moq.) Sauer] emergence was greater, occurred over a longer period of time, and peaked later in no-till systems compared with chisel plow and moldboard plow systems (Leon and Owen 2006). In a two-year study on a long-term no-till field in Wisconsin, Mulugeta and Stoltenberg (1997) observed greater common lambsquarters emergence in

624 • Weed Technology 31, July–August 2017

one year and greater giant foxtail and redroot pigweed emergence in both years following secondary soil disturbance. Time to 25%, 50%, and 75% total emergence of pitted morningglory (Ipomoea lacunosa L.), and time to 25% sicklepod [Senna obtusifolia (L.) H.S. Irwin & Barneby] emergence was shortened by spring tillage (Norsworthy and Oliveira 2007). Control of glyphosate-resistant giant ragweed (Ambrosia trifida L.), a species closely related to common ragweed, with spring tillage before soybean planting is being considered (Ganie et al. 2016). A study by Kaur et al. (2016) revealed that tillage had no effect on the emergence pattern of glyphosateresistant giant ragweed, indicating that preplant tillage can be exploited for giant ragweed management. However, the effect of tillage on the emergence pattern of common ragweed is unknown. Understanding the emergence pattern of common ragweed, and its response to spring tillage, would be valuable information for management decisions. If spring tillage does not change common ragweed's emergence pattern, the weed's early-season emergence can be employed beneficially to control emerged seedlings with preplant tillage after most seedlings have emerged. To maximize the control of common ragweed using preplant tillage, a model of emergence would be of great value to optimize timing of tillage.

The two main environmental triggers of seedling emergence include soil temperature and soil water content (Grundy 2003). Soil temperature can be used as a predictor of seedling emergence and can be expressed as thermal time (TT) with a growing degree day calculation in which TT is only accumulated above a threshold base temperature  $(T_{base})$  (Forcella et al. 2000). Soil temperature and water content can be combined as a predictor and expressed as hydrothermal time (HTT), in which TT accumulates only when the soil temperature is greater than T<sub>base</sub> and the water matric potential is greater than a base matric potential  $(\Psi_{\text{base}})$  (Gummerson 1986). This indicates that time until germination is inversely proportional to the degree which  $T_{\text{base}}$  is exceeded while  $\Psi_{\text{base}}$  is achieved (Bradford 2002). Seedling emergence can also be modeled using day of year (DOY), in which case the emergence pattern of a species is described by DOY and the environment has no effect on the seedling emergence pattern. The objectives of this study were to evaluate whether spring tillage during emergence would influence the emergence pattern or stimulate additional emergence of common ragweed,

and to characterize naturally occurring common ragweed emergence in southeast Nebraska.

## **Materials and Methods**

**Field Experiments.** Field experiments were conducted in Gage County, Nebraska (40.4465°N, 96.6204°W) in 2014, 2015, and 2016 in a producer's field with a confirmed glyphosate-resistant common ragweed biotype (Ganie and Jhala 2017). The level of glyphosate resistance of this biotype was 7-fold as determined by control estimates, and 19-fold as determined by biomass reduction, compared with a known glyphosate-susceptible common ragweed biotype (Ganie and Jhala 2017). The soil was a fine, smectitic, mesic Aquertic Argiudoll (Wymore series silty clay loam; 37.6% silt, 37.6% clay, 24.8% sand) with 2.5% organic matter and a pH of 6.0. The experiment was moved to a new area within the field each year.

The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design with four treatments and four replications. Tillage treatments included three tillage timings and an untreated control (no tillage). The plot size was 1.5 m wide by 4.5 m long. Three  $0.25\text{-m}^2$  quadrats were evenly spaced within each plot for common ragweed emergence counts. Within each experimental year, the first tillage treatment was implemented one week after the first common ragweed seedlings were observed in the field, with the remaining tillage treatments implemented at two and five weeks after the first tillage treatment. Tillage was simulated using a 50-cm-wide rototiller (Honda FRC800, American Honda, Alpharetta, GA) operated at a depth of 10 cm. Tillage treatments were implemented on May 7, May 21, and June 12 in 2014; April 16, April 30, and May 21 in 2015; and March 31, April 14, and May 5 in 2016. The yearly variation in the timing of tillage treatments was due to variation in timing of common ragweed emergence as influenced by weather conditions (Figure 1).

**Data Collection.** Newly emerged common ragweed seedlings were counted and removed from each quadrat on a weekly basis from the first week of emergence through the end of June, when common ragweed emergence had ceased. Total emergence in the three quadrats for each plot was summed to obtain total emergence per plot. Weekly emergence



Figure 1. Daily soil temperature (C) and moisture potential (kPa) at the 2-cm depth, estimated using  $STM^2$  (soil temperature and moisture model software) during the common ragweed emergence period in a field experiment conducted in Gage County, Nebraska in 2014, 2015, and 2016.

data were converted to a percentage of the total number of emerged seedlings in each plot for each year (total emergence per plot). Total emergence per plot was converted to plants m<sup>-2</sup>. The Soil Temperature and Moisture Model software  $(STM^2)$ (Spokas and Forcella 2009) was used to predict daily soil temperature (C) and moisture (kPa) at the 2-cm depth (Figure 1). Daily precipitation and minimum and maximum air temperature were acquired from the nearest High Plains Regional Climate Center station, which was located near Virginia, Nebraska. The soil texture properties and organic matter (%), along with the latitude, longitude, and elevation (436 m) of the research site, were also used in the software prediction of daily soil temperature (C) and moisture content (matric potential, kPa).

**Tillage Effects.** The percent total emergence of each plot was modeled with the Weibull function using DOY as the explanatory variable:

$$y = asym \times \{1 - \exp[-\exp(lrc) \times (x^{pwr})]\}, \quad [1]$$

where y is the percent total emergence, x is the independent variable (DOY), and *asym* is the asymptote (normalized to 100%). Model parameters *lrc* and *pwr* 

Barnes et al.: Ragweed emergence pattern • 625

are the natural logarithm for the rate of increase and the power to which x is raised, respectively (Crawley 2007). The **nls** function in the STATS package in R version 3.3.1 (R Core Team 2014) was used to estimate the parameters of the Weibull function. The Weibull function has been shown to appropriately describe crop and weed cumulative emergence (Bridges et al. 1989; Werle et al. 2014a). Time to 10%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 90% total emergence ( $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{25}$ ,  $T_{50}$ ,  $T_{75}$ , and  $T_{90}$ ) were predicted from each model. Total emergence and  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{25}$ ,  $T_{50}$ ,  $T_{75}$ , and  $T_{90}$  for each plot were subjected to ANOVA and MANOVA, respectively in R version 3.3.1 (R Core Team 2014) with treatments as fixed factors and replications nested within years as random factors in the model. The ANOVA and MANOVA assumptions of normality and homogeneity were tested prior to analysis. Pillai's Trace test was used to determine significant effects in MANOVA.

**Model Configuration.** Accumulated HTT was calculated starting from January 1 for each year using the following equation (Gummerson 1986):

$$HTT = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [(T \times \Psi) \times (T_{mean} - T_{base})], \quad [2]$$

where T and  $\Psi$  represent the temperature and moisture portions of the equation, respectively. If  $T_{mean} \ge T_{base}$  then T = 1, otherwise T = 0; when  $\Psi_{\text{mean}} \ge \Psi_{\text{base}}$  then  $\Psi = 1$ , otherwise  $\Psi = 0$ .  $T_{mean}$  and  $\Psi_{mean}$  are the average daily soil temperature (C) and the average daily matric potential (kPa) at the 2-cm depth, respectively.  $T_{base}$  and  $\Psi_{base}$  are the minimum threshold temperature (C) and matric potential (kPa) required for seedling emergence, respectively. The starting date of the HTT calculation (January 1) is represented by *i*, and *n* represents the number of days after *i*. T and  $\Psi$  can only be 1 or 0; therefore,  $T_{mean} - T_{base}$  thermal units are accrued each day when both T and  $\Psi$  are sufficient for emergence (T = 1 and  $\Psi$  = 1). Because of inconsistent T<sub>base</sub> values reported for common ragweed in the literature (3.6 C, Shrestha et al. 1999; 4.0 C, Willemsen 1975b; 13.0 C, Werle et al. 2014a), 16 candidate threshold values ranging from 0 to 15 C were selected.  $\Psi_{\text{base}}$  values of -33 (wilting point), -750, -1500 (permanent wilting point), and  $-\infty$ (analogous to TT) kPa were evaluated, which are similar to those investigated by Werle et al. (2014b).

626 • Weed Technology 31, July–August 2017

Fitting the Model. Percent total emergence data for treatments that had similar T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>25</sub>, T<sub>50</sub>, T<sub>75</sub>, and  $T_{90}$  values (P > 0.05) were pooled over years. The Weibull function (Eq. 1) was fit to the pooled data. The independent variables tested were 48 combinations of HTT, 16 combinations of TT, and DOY. To ensure an appropriate model fit, data from plots for a specific year were only used if at least 10 seedlings emerged during the season. Using data from plots with a minimum of 10 seedlings prevented plots with minimal emergence from having substantial influence on the model fit. In 2016, 5 out of 16 plots had less than 10 total emerged seedlings; therefore, data from those plots were not included in the model. The **nls** function in the STATS package in R version 3.3.1 (R Core Team 2014) was used to estimate the Weibull model parameters (*lrc* and *pwr*). Model selection was based on the information-theoretic model comparison approach (AIC) (Anderson 2008). The corrected AIC (AICc) and model probability (AICw) were obtained for the 65 models using the aictabCustom function in the AICcmodavg package in R version 3.3.1 (R Core Team 2014). AICc was calculated as

$$AICc = -2LL + 2K(n/[n-K-1])$$
 [3]

(Anderson 2008), where LL is the log likelihood of the model parameters (calculated with logLik function in R version 3.3.1 [R Core Team 2014]), K is the number of model parameters, and n is the sample size. Models derived from HTT require an additional input (soil moisture) compared with TT (soil temperature) and DOY; therefore, an additional parameter (K + 1) was added to the HTT model's AICc computations (Werle et al. 2014a). AICw was calculated as

$$AICw_{i} = \left[ \exp^{\left(-\frac{1}{2\Delta i}\right)} / \sum_{r=1}^{R} \exp^{\left(-\frac{1}{2\Delta r}\right)} \right]$$
[4]

(Anderson 2008), where  $\Delta i$  is the difference between the model with the lowest AICc and the *i*<sup>th</sup> model, and *R* represents the total number of models (65). The AICw for each model represents the proportion of the total AICw for all models being compared. The model with the lowest AICc and the highest AICw is considered the "top model" and the best explanation of the results within the group of models being compared (Anderson 2008). The independent variable of the top model indicates the optimum emergence predictor (T<sub>base</sub> and  $\Psi_{base}$  or DOY) for this population of common ragweed. **Model Goodness of Fit.** To assess goodness of fit, root mean square error (RMSE) and modeling efficiency coefficient (ME) were calculated for the top model. The RMSE was calculated as

$$RMSE = \left[1/n \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Pi - Oi)^{2}\right]^{1/2}$$
 [5]

(Roman et al. 2000), where Pi and Oi are the predicted and observed values, respectively, and n is the total number of comparisons. The closer the predicted values are to the observed values, the lower the RMSE. The ME was calculated as

$$ME = 1 - \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Oi - Pi)^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Oi - \overline{O}i)^{2} \right]$$
[6]

(Mayer and Butler 1993), where  $\overline{Oi}$  is the mean observed value. The closer the value of ME is to 1, the more precise the prediction.

## **Results and Discussion**

**Spring Tillage.** Treatment by year interactions for total common ragweed seedling emergence (plants m<sup>-2</sup>) and T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>25</sub>, T<sub>50</sub>, T<sub>75</sub>, and T<sub>90</sub> were not significant (P = 0.363 and P = 0.311, respectively; Table 1); therefore, only main effects were evaluated. Tillage treatment had no effect on the total emergence in each plot (P = 0.875; Table 1). The effect of the tillage treatments on common ragweed emergence was not different between the three years of the study (P = 0.349; Table 1). T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>25</sub>, T<sub>50</sub>, T<sub>75</sub>, and T<sub>90</sub>

varied between years (P < 0.001; Table 1), reaching  $T_{50}$  and  $T_{90}$  on DOY 125 and 132 in 2014 (May 5 and May 14), on DOY 110 and 128 in 2015 (April 20 and May 9), and on DOY 93 and 121 in 2016 (April 3) and May 1), respectively (Figure 2). However, tillage treatments had no effect on T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>25</sub>, T<sub>50</sub>, T<sub>75</sub>, and  $T_{90}$  within year (P = 0.472; Table 1). Willemsen (1975a) reported that soil temperature differences (due to seeding depth) affected common ragweed emergence timing, but not the total emergence. In Nebraska, the usual soybean-planting season begins May 5 and ends June 8 (USDA 2010); thus, spring tillage could be used to control emerged common ragweed without altering the species emergence pattern. Because  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{25}$ ,  $T_{50}$ ,  $T_{75}$ , and  $T_{90}$  varied between years, explanatory variables that rely on environmental factors such as temperature and soil moisture could better predict  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{25}$ ,  $T_{50}$ ,  $T_{75}$ , and  $T_{90}$ . Predicted soil temperature and water potential at 2 cm varied during the early emergence period (Figure 1), potentially explaining the differences in emergence pattern among years.

**Model Selection and Fit.** For model selection, tillage treatments were pooled across years and timings because  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{25}$ ,  $T_{50}$ ,  $T_{75}$ , and  $T_{90}$  did not vary between tillage treatments (P>0.05). When the models were evaluated based on the AIC criterion, TT models had the lowest AICc at base temperatures between 0 and 11 C (Figure 3). This indicated that a TT model was the most appropriate option for predicting common ragweed emergence. Werle et al. (2014a) reported that the emergence patterns of 13 out of 23 summer annual weed species evaluated in their study were better

Table 1. Influence of spring tillage timing on total common ragweed seedling emergence (total emergence) and time to 10%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 90% emergence ( $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{25}$ ,  $T_{50}$ ,  $T_{75}$ , and  $T_{90}$ ) in a field experiment conducted in 2014, 2015, and 2016 in Gage County, Nebraska.

	Total seedling	T <sub>10</sub>	T <sub>25</sub>	T <sub>50</sub>	T <sub>75</sub>	T <sub>90</sub>	
Year	emergence m <sup>-2</sup> (SEM)	Day of year (SEM) Calendar date					
2014	290 (77.94)	115 (0.70) 25 April	120 (0.44)	125 (0.58)	129 (0.93)	132 (1.21)	
2015	312 (57.42)	87 (1.76)	98 (0.93)	110 (1.15)	120 (2.13)	12  (May) 128 (3.02)	
2016	129 (35.77)	28 March 64 (9.01) 4 March	8 April 77 (7.47) 17 March	20 April 93 (5.49) 2 April	30 April 108 (3.71) 17 April	8 May 121 (2.66) 30 April	
	— P values —	P values <sup>a</sup>					
Treatment	0.875	0.472					
Year	0.349	<0.001					
$Treatment \times year$	0.363	0.311					

<sup>a</sup> P values were determined with MANOVA based on Pillai's trace test.



Figure 2. Emergence pattern of common ragweed in Gage County, Nebraska, in a field experiment conducted in 2014, 2015, and 2016. As no differences were detected between tillage treatments, data within experimental years were combined. Lines represent the fit of the Weibull function for each year.

predicted with TT models than with HTT or DOY models. According to the results from this study, a  $T_{\text{base}}$ of 3 C best predicts the emergence pattern of common ragweed (AICw = 0.40; Table 2, Figure 4). The selection of 3 C as the base temperature is not a true base temperature for common ragweed seed germination and seedling emergence, but it is a numerical parameter that best described the emergence pattern. Werle et al. (2014a) reported a much higher T<sub>base</sub> (13 C) for predicting the emergence pattern of common ragweed in Iowa. The RMSE and ME for the top model were 16.09 and 0.73, respectively, which are within the range reported in the literature. For instance, Werle et al. (2014b) reported the RMSE and ME range for the emergence pattern of several winter annual weeds to be 13.4 to 23.1 and 0.63 to 0.85, respectively.

**Practical Implications.** This is the first study that describes the effect of tillage and environmental conditions on the emergence pattern of naturally occurring common ragweed in Nebraska. Soil temperature can be recorded or extrapolated by analyzing data from local weather stations with STM<sup>2</sup> software (Spokas and Forcella 2009). These data can be



Figure 3. Corrected information-theoretic model comparison criterion (AICc) of models for common ragweed emergence with threshold soil temperatures ( $T_{base}$ ) ranging from 0 to 15 C based on threshold matric potential ( $\Psi_{base}$ ) values of -33 (wilting point), -750, -1500 (permanent wilting point), and  $-\infty$  (analogous to thermal time, TT). Lower AICc values indicate a better fit of the model to the data. HTT, hydrothermal time.

converted into TT and used to estimate common ragweed emergence time. The top model from this study predicts 10%, 50%, 75%, and 90% total emergence at 259, 498, 635, and 757 accumulated TT, respectively. Once a preferred threshold is reached, tillage can be implemented to eliminate emerged seedlings before crop planting. Leblanc and

Table 2. Comparison of *K*, AICc, AICw, and LL for the best 6 out of 65 possible models for common ragweed emergence in Nebraska.<sup>a</sup> Models are ordered from lowest to highest AICc, with the lowest being the best fit (top model). The 6 best models were based on thermal time, and the top model had a  $T_{base}$  of 3 C.

			-		
T <sub>base</sub>	$\Psi_{\text{base}}$	K	AICc	$AIC_W$	LL
3 C	-	3	-305.87	0.40	155.97
4 C	-	3	-304.69	0.22	155.38
2 C	-	3	-304.12	0.17	155.09
5 C	-	3	-302.79	0.09	154.43
1 C	-	3	-301.85	0.05	153.95
0 C	-	3	-300.93	0.03	153.50

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations: AICc, corrected information-theoretic model comparison criterion; AICw, model probability; *K*, number of model parameters; LL, log likelihood;  $T_{base}$ , threshold soil temperature;  $\Psi_{base}$ , threshold soil matric potential.



Figure 4. Weibull function fit to percent total emergence of common ragweed in 2014, 2015, and 2016, with cumulative thermal time calculated with a threshold soil temperature of 3 C. Model parameter *asym* (horizontal asymptote) was normalized to 100%, while the model parameters *lrc* (natural logarithm for the rate of increase) and *pur* (power to which thermal time is raised) were -18.1718 and 2.8671, respectively. The root mean squared error (RMSE) and modeling efficiency coefficient (ME) for this model were 16.09 and 0.73, respectively.

Cloutier (2002) reported that models of weed emergence could be used for cultivation scheduling. Control of giant ragweed increased with spring tillage prior to soybean planting compared with a no-tillage approach, due to the weed's early-season, monophasic emergence pattern in Nebraska (Ganie et al. 2016). In an area adjacent to the study in 2016, tillage was performed on the day of soybean planting (May 26, 2016), and established seedlings were counted before and after tillage. There was 100% control of emerged common ragweed seedlings with the tillage operation, suggesting that spring tillage effectively controls established common ragweed (Barnes, unpublished data). More research is needed to evaluate the efficacy of different types of tillage equipment and tillage depths for the control of common ragweed before crop planting. Common ragweed had a short emergence window in this study, with a TT accumulation of 598 between 10% and 90% predicted emergence. The early, monophasic emergence pattern of common ragweed

in Nebraska is a biological characteristic that can be exploited for management prior to crop planting.

Selection pressure resulting from intensive management has led to an extended emergence pattern of giant ragweed in Ohio (Schutte et al. 2012), Illinois, Indiana, and Wisconsin (Regnier et al. 2016). This differs from the short, monophasic emergence pattern reported in Nebraska (Kaur et al. 2016) and Iowa (Werle et al. 2014a). Wortman et al. (2012) reported that giant ragweed demographic variation among a common seed lot was attributed to local temperature, rainfall, and elevation differences rather than regional gradients; however, it is important to note that these results should be used as a guide rather than an absolute predictor of common ragweed emergence due to possible biotype differences. Selection pressure can lead to herbicide resistance or shifts in emergence patterns, and therefore integrated weed management programs should be implemented to ensure long-term success. Sbatella and Wilson (2010) described a kochia [Kochia scoparia (L.) Schrad.] biotype in areas where isoxaflutole had been applied PRE that had elevated seed dormancy and required higher temperatures for germination compared to populations never exposed to isoxaflutole. Ganie et al. (2016, 2017) also reported the advantages of implementing preplant tillage into integrated glyphosate-resistant giant ragweed management programs in corn and soybean. The ability to predict the emergence pattern of common ragweed allows growers to properly time spring tillage and preplant herbicides in their weed management programs. According to our model, 50% and 90% total emergence can be estimated using a thermal time calculation with a base temperature of 3 C when thermal time accumulates to 498 and 757, respectively. Additionally, thermal time calculations with a  $T_{\text{base}}$  of 3 C can be used to estimate percent emergence. This knowledge can be used to schedule tillage before crops are planted and after most common ragweed seedlings have emerged.

## Literature Cited

- Anderson DR (2008) Model based inference in the life sciences: primer on evidence. New York: Springer. 184 p
- Bassett IJ, Crompton CW (1975) The biology of Canadian weeds. 11. *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L. and *A. pslostachya* DC. Can J Plant Sci 55:463–476
- Bazzaz FA (1970) Secondary dormancy in the seeds of common ragweed *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*. J Torrey Bot Soc 97:302–305

Barnes et al.: Ragweed emergence pattern • 629

- Beckie HJ (2011) Herbicide-resistant weed management: focus on glyphosate. Pest Manag Sci 67:1037–1048
- Bradford KJ (2002) Application of hydrothermal time to quantifying and modeling seed germination and dormancy. Weed Sci 50:248–260
- Bridges DC, Wu H, Sharpe PJH, Chandler JM (1989) Modeling distributions of crop and weed seed germination time. Weed Sci 37:724–729
- Bullied JW, Marginet AM, Van Acker RC (2003) Conventionaland conservation-tillage systems influence emergence periodicity of annual weed species in canola. Weed Sci 51:886–897
- Burnside OC (1996) The history of 2,4-D and its impact on the development of the discipline of weed science in the United States. Pages 5–15 *in* Burnside OC, ed. Biologic and Economic Assessment of Benefits from Use of Phenoxy Herbicides in the United States. Washington, DC: US Department of Agriculture NAPIAP Rep. 1-PA-96
- Coble HD, Williams FM, Ritter RL (1981) Common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*) interference in soybeans (*Glycine max*). Weed Sci 29:339–342
- Clewis SB, Askew SD, Wilcut JW (2001) Common ragweed interference in peanut. Weed Sci 49:768–772
- Crawley MJ (2007) The R Book. West Sussex, UK: J. Wiley. 942 p
- Dickerson CT Jr, Sweet RD (1971) Common ragweed ecotypes. Weed Sci 19:64–66
- Forcella F, Benech-Arnold RL, Sanchez RE, Ghersa CM (2000) Modeling seedling emergence. Field Crop Res 67:123–139
- Ganie Z, Jhala AJ (2017) Confirmation of glyphosate-resistant common ragweed (*Abrosia artemisiifolia*) in Nebraska and response to POST corn and soybean herbicides. Weed Technol 31:225–237
- Ganie ZA, Lindquist J, Jugulam M, Kruger GR, Marx DB, Jhala AJ (2017) An integrated approach to control glyphosate-resistant *Ambrosia trifida* L with tillage and herbicides in glyphosate-resistant maize. Weed Res 57:112–122
- Ganie ZA, Sandell LD, Jugulam M, Kruger GR, Marx DB, Jhala AJ (2016) Integrated management of glyphosate-resistant giant ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida*) with tillage and herbicides in soybean. Weed Technol 30:45–56
- Givens WA, Shaw DR, Kruger GR, Johnson WG, Weller SC, Young BG, Wilson RG, Owen MDK, Jordan D (2009) Survey of tillage trends following the adoption of glyphosateresistant crops. Weed Technol 23:150–155
- Grundy AC (2003) Predicting weed emergence: a review of approaches and future challenges. Weed Res 43:1–11
- Gummerson RJ (1986) The effect of constant temperatures and osmotic potential on the germination of sugar beet. J Exp Bot 41:1431–1439
- Heap I (2016) The International Survey of Herbicide Resistant Weeds. http://www.weedscience.org. Accessed October 14, 2016
- Jordan T, Nice G, Smeda R, Sprague C, Loux M, Johnson B (2007) Biology and management of common ragweed. West Lafayette, IN: Purdue Extension Publication GWC-14
- Kaur S, Werle R, Sandell L, Jhala AJ (2016) Spring-tillage has no effect on the emergence pattern of glyphosate-resistant giant ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida* L.) in Nebraska. Can J Plant Sci 96:726–729

- Leblanc ML, Cloutier DC (2002) Optimisation of cultivation timing by using a weed emergence model. Pages 14–16 *in* Proceedings of the 5th Workshop on Physical Weed Control. Pisa, Italy: European Weed Research Society
- Leon RG, Owen MDK (2006) Tillage systems and seed dormancy effects on common waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuber-culatus*) seedling emergence. Weed Sci 54:1037–1044
- Mayer DG, Butler DG (1993) Statistical validation. Ecol Model 68:21-32
- Mulugeta D, Stoltenberg DE (1997) Increased weed emergence and seed bank depletion by soil disturbance in a no-tillage system. Weed Sci 45:234–241
- Norsworthy JK, Oliveira MJ (2007) Effect of tillage and soyabean on *Ipomoea lacuosa* and *Senna obtusifolia* emergence. Weed Res 47:499–508
- Pollard JM (2007) Identification and Characterization of Glyphosate-Resistant Common Ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L.). MS Thesis. Columbia, Missouri: University of Missouri
- Powles SB (2008) Evolved glyphosate-resistant weeds around the world: lessons to be learnt. Pest Manag Sci 64: 360–365
- R Core Team (2014) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org/
- Regnier EE, Harrison SK, Loux MM, Hollowman C, Ventatesh R, Diekmann F, Taylor R, Ford RA, Stoltenberg DE, Hartzler RG, Davis AS, Schutte BJ, Cardina J, Mahoney KJ, Johnson WG (2016) Certified crop advisors' perceptions of giant ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida*) distribution, herbicide resistance, and management in the corn belt. Weed Sci 64:361–377
- Roman ES, Murphy SD, Swanton CJ (2000) Simulation of *Chenopodium album* seedling emergence. Weed Sci 48: 217–224
- Sbatella GM, Wilson RG (2010) Isoxaflutole shifts kochia (Kochia scoparia) populations in continuous corn. Weed Technol 24:392–396
- Schutte BJ, Regnier EE, Harrison SK (2012) Seed dormancy and adaptive seedling emergence timing in giant ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida*). Weed Sci 60:19–26
- Shaner DL (2014) Lessons learned from the history of herbicide resistance. Weed Sci 62:427-431
- Shrestha A, Roman ES, Thomas AG, Swanton CJ (1999) Modeling germination and shoot-radicle elongation of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*. Weed Sci 47:557–562
- Spokas K, Forcella F (2009) Software tools for weed seed germination modeling. Weed Sci 57:216–227
- [USDA] US Department of Agriculture (2010) Field Crops Usual Planting and Harvesting Dates. Washington, DC: National Agricultural Statistics Service Agricultural Handbook 628. 51 p
- Van Wely AC, Soltani N, Robinson DE, Hooker DC, Lawton MB, Sikkema PH (2014) Control of glyphosate and acetolactate synthase resistant common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia L.*) in soybean (*Glycine max* L.) with preplant herbicides. Am J Plant Sci 5:3934–3942
- Van Wely AC, Soltani N, Robinson DE, Hooker DC, Lawton MB, Sikkema PH (2015) Glyphosate-resistant common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*) control with postemergence herbicides and
- 630 Weed Technology 31, July–August 2017

glyphosate dose response in soybean in Ontario. Weed Technol 29:380-389

- Werle R, Bernards ML, Arkebauer TJ, Lindquist JL (2014b) Environmental triggers of winter annual weed emergence in the Midwestern United States. Weed Sci. 62:83–96
- Werle R, Sandell LD, Buhler DD, Hartzler RG, Lindquist JL (2014a) Predicting emergence of 23 summer annual weed species. Weed Sci 62:267–279
- Willemsen RW (1975a) Dormancy and germination of common ragweed seeds in the field. Am J Bot 62:639–643
- Willemsen RW (1975b) Effect of stratification temperature and germination temperature on germination and the induction of secondary dormancy in common ragweed seeds. Am J Bot 62:1–5

- Willemsen RW, Rice EL (1972) Mechanism of seed dormancy in *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*. Am J Bot 59:248–257
- Wortman SE, Davis AS, Schutte BJ, Lindquist JL, Cardina J, Felix J, Sprague CL, Dille AJ, Ramirez AHM, Reicks G, Clay SA (2012) Local conditions, not regional gradients, drive demographic variation of giant ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida*) and common sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) across northern U.S. maize belt. Weed Sci 60:440–450

Received December 31, 2016, and approved May 4, 2017.

Associate Editor for this paper: Ramon G. Leon, University of Florida