

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Survey-based approach to generate regional multipliers for the Indonesian tropical tuna fisheries

Eriko Hoshino,^{1*}  Sean Pascoe,² Ingrid van Putten,^{1,3} Budy P. Resosudarmo,⁴ Fayakun Satria,⁵ and Lilis Sadiyah⁵

¹CSIRO Environment, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia; ²CSIRO Environment, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia; ³Centre for Marine Socio-ecology, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia; ⁴Arndt-Corden Department of Economics, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia and ⁵Research Centre for Fishery, National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Cibinong, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: Eriko Hoshino; Email: Eriko.hoshino@csiro.au

(Submitted 25 September 2023; revised 12 March 2024, 28 May 2024; accepted 30 May 2024; first published online 15 October 2024)

Abstract

This study applies surveys of business and household expenditure to draw inferences about the size of regional multipliers to assess the cascading economic impacts of the data-limited Indonesian tropical tuna fishery. The average business-level production multiplier was estimated at around 1.3 across survey respondents, while household-level consumption effects were considerably higher, with the total economic effect roughly three times larger than the production value. A statistical analysis using generalized additive models suggests that there is considerable difference in production multipliers across regions, driven by the individual characteristics of operators, such as revenue/profit, size of the boat, type of gear, and the class of the port where the business is located. This research has the potential to provide a practical management tool to measure flow-on economic impacts of a fishery when information necessary for more formal economic analysis is unavailable, such as for data-limited fisheries or small regional studies.

Keywords: GAM; I-O analysis; multipliers; survey; tuna

JEL classification: C39; C67; C83

1. Introduction

The contribution of the fisheries sector to a national or regional economy is one of the important dimensions for sustainable fisheries policy. The contribution of a sector to total gross domestic product (GDP) is commonly considered by policy makers as an indicator of the sector's importance to the economy in a country or in a region, although such a metric is reported to severely underestimate the true contributions of the sector (Béné *et al.*, 2007; World Bank, 2012). In particular, small-scale fisheries often fare poorly

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

with regard to this metric relative to industrial fisheries, despite their often significant role in supporting regional livelihoods (Ayilu *et al.*, 2022).

An alternative to GDP as a measure of a fisheries' regional importance is the additional contribution it makes beyond GDP. The commercial fishing industry requires inputs from other industries, such as nets, traps, ice, and wood for boat making. The purchase of these inputs generates additional economic activity in these interconnected industries ('business-induced effects'). Similarly, fishers boost the local economy through their personal and household expenditure of the incomes they receive from fishing, as well as through expenditure arising from the increased incomes of those workers in businesses supplying the fishery ('consumption-induced effects'). These cascading economic impacts (also known as 'flow-on') are together referred to as the multiplier effect (Watson and Beleiciks, 2009; Jacobsen *et al.*, 2014). An understanding of multipliers is particularly useful in policy decision making as it allows policy makers to assess the degree of fisheries' dependence in regions and evaluate the broader implications of any changes in supply or demand resulting from management changes.

Previous studies have attempted to estimate fisheries' contribution beyond GDP by considering the wider social and economic aspects of the sector, primarily using input-output analysis (Leontief, 1936, 1941) to estimate the fisheries multipliers. Leung and Pooley (2001) and Cai *et al.* (2005) both estimated the output multipliers for the Hawaiian tuna longline fishery to be 2.4. This means that for every dollar of output produced by the tuna longline fishery, an additional \$1.4 was generated in the Hawaiian economy. Cai *et al.* (2005) explored inter-sectoral linkages of the Hawaiian tuna fisheries sector and found that Hawaii's longline targeting tuna and swordfish had strong economic linkages to the rest of the economy, particularly to the upstream industries. Even though not quantified, the study found that the economic impacts of longline regulations would go beyond the fishing sectors that were being restricted. Norman-López *et al.* (2011) estimated the output multiplier for the Australian Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery to be 3.6, the highest multiplier estimated for a fisheries sector in their study within Australia. Jacobsen *et al.* (2014) provided a global synthesis of the economic multiplier effects of marine sectors (including commercial fishing, coastal tourism, aquaculture, seafood processing, recreational harvesting, etc.) for more than 180 countries and found the global average output multiplier (i.e., measured in terms of revenue) to be around 1.82, with substantial variation between industries and countries. Dyck and Sumaila (2010) estimated the contribution of fisheries to the global economy at US\$380 billion, nearly three times larger than the direct value of fish landings.

Input-output (I-O) analysis is an established technique in quantitative economic research, which has been extensively applied to policy impact analysis worldwide (Miernyk, 1965; van Leeuwen *et al.*, 2005). The usual sources of data for I-O analysis are national or regional accounts, requiring substantial information on all input and output flows in a national or regional economy. It is a data intensive approach, such that the data requirements for building a comprehensive I-O model are formidable (van Leeuwen *et al.*, 2005; Dyck and Sumaila, 2010). Hence, the application of I-O analysis is not always feasible for small regional analyses or data-limited industries such as fisheries. Moreover, traditional I-O analysis works only at an aggregate level, that is, the flow-on effect for the whole fishery would be assessed on the basis of one 'average' multiplier value and the total output from the sector.

Stoeckl (2007) developed an alternative approach to draw inferences about the size of regional multipliers to assess the flow-on economic impacts of the tourism sector in

Northern Australia, building on the original concept of the multiplier effect developed by Keynes (1936). The approach uses data derived from a survey of the sector of interest, collecting information on inputs used and from where they were purchased, and does not require a full I-O transaction table. With this approach, the flow-on effects can be derived at the individual vessel level, taking into account heterogeneity in both production and expenditure patterns, producing a distribution of multiplier impacts (Pascoe *et al.*, 2018). This in turn allows researchers to undertake statistical analysis to investigate what factors may affect the individual business-level multiplier values. Stoeckl (2012) found that the multipliers for fifteen industries derived from the survey-based approach and those from the I-O table of Western Australia were very similar on average, but they did not include the fisheries sector. Pascoe *et al.* (2018) applied this approach to estimate multiplier effects of the Queensland inshore fisheries in Australia and showed that this approach resulted in estimates of multipliers similar to those derived in previous I-O based multipliers, suggesting that such a short-cut approach can provide reliable estimates. However, they noted that the transferability of the approach to other fisheries will not be known until they are tested elsewhere.

This study applies surveys on business and household expenditure to gain insights into the scale of regional multipliers, enabling an assessment of the flow-on economic effects of the Indonesian tropical tuna fishery – a data-limited fishery. Although Indonesia has a national I-O table, it does not have regional tables. The national table is also highly aggregated in terms of fisheries-related industries, with all marine, brackish, and freshwater fish species (both captured and farmed) added together. Hence the case study presents an ideal opportunity to apply the alternative survey-based approach to generate regional multipliers for a specific fishery (i.e., tuna) under data limited conditions.

This paper is organised as follows: it first provides a background to the case study fishery and the motivation behind the development of economic indicators to assist sustainable management of tuna resources. It then presents methods for estimating multipliers and the survey instruments used to obtain the appropriate data for these. The results of the survey-based approach are compared with national-level multipliers derived from traditional I-O analyses as a form of validation. Key findings and their policy implications are discussed, highlighting the importance of considering flow-on economic impacts of a fishery on the regional economy in evaluating policy interventions. The limitations of the present study and future research needs are also discussed, followed by conclusions.

2. Case study

Indonesia is one of the world's largest producers of tuna (FAO, 2023). The tropical tuna stocks and associated fisheries are central to food security, employment, regional economic development, and the national terms of trade. Tropical tuna species represent approximately 11 per cent of Indonesian fisheries' production by weight (Anonymous, 2022; MMAF, 2022), with an export value of around US\$565 million in 2022 (Selina Wamucii, 2023). The number of tuna fishing vessels/boats in Indonesia is extremely large (estimated to be at least 300,000), consisting of both motorised and non-motorised vessels and ranging in size from less than 1 gross tonnage (GT) to as large as 198 GT (MMAF and OFP-SPC, 2021). Although the number of people engaged in tuna fisheries is not well understood, a previous study at the Bitung Oceanic Port (North Sulawesi), one of the largest tuna fishing ports in Indonesia, estimated that the fishery in that area employs approximately 6,700 people (USAID Oceans, 2018).

A large proportion of the fishing vessels targeting tuna are smaller than 30 GT, placing them under provincial and regency jurisdictions for licensing purposes. Vessels larger than 30 GT are licensed under national jurisdiction by the central government. As in many countries, jurisdictions are also defined into fishing zones; up to 12 nautical miles (nm) is under provincial jurisdiction,¹ and 12–200 nm is under national jurisdiction.² These vessel- and zone-based jurisdictions add to the complexities of designing, monitoring, and implementing effective fisheries management for highly migratory tuna resources.

Catches by Indonesia's tuna fishing vessels include skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*), yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*), bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*), albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*), and neritic tunas, with bycatch such as marlins, swordfish, and dolphin fish (Proctor *et al.*, 2019). The fishery involves a wide variety of gear types including gillnet, hook and line, surface handline (HLS) and deep handline (HLD), longline (LL), purse seine (PS), ring net, pole and line (PL), and other small-scale gears. Coastal small-scale pole and line (called *funai*) and handline/hook and line tuna fisheries (collectively termed 'one-by-one' as they catch one tuna at a time) are traditional, low-impact methods that have been generally regarded as environmentally and socially responsible ways to target tuna, as they are highly selective with little to no bycatch, with little impact on benthic habitats, and employ a large number of people (MMAF, 2021). Due to such attributes, there is an increasing demand for products from one-by-one fisheries globally, with many retailers and supermarket brands making a commitment to supply one-by-one caught tuna and third-party sustainably certified tuna (MMAF, 2021).

Indonesia's archipelagic waters, which encompass Fisheries Management Areas (FMAs) 713, 714 and 715, supply a substantial proportion of the annual catches of two major tropical tuna species (skipjack and yellowfin tuna). Historical estimates of catches are not available for most small-scale fisheries, however, an expert workshop estimated that approximately 70–82 per cent (79 per cent or ~411,000 tonnes on average) of Indonesia's catch in the Western Central Pacific Ocean between 2016 and 2021 came from the archipelagic water, of which approximately 51 per cent was taken by small-scale gear³ (Satria *et al.*, 2023b). Similarly, in Indonesia's exclusive economic zone (FMAs 716, 717), catches from small-scale gear during the same period account for, on average, approximately 44 per cent, followed by purse seine (42 per cent) (Satria *et al.*, 2023b). In the Indian Ocean, the fleet is dominated by purse seine and handline in the area of western Sumatra, while on the southern part of Java, Bali and Nusa Tenggara, it is dominated by the handline/troll line (TL) fleet (BRIN and MMAF, 2023). The number of small handline vessels and their catches have expanded rapidly across Indonesia in recent years (Satria *et al.*, 2023a). For instance, the proportion of the yellowfin tuna catches from small-scale handline/hook and line in the Western Pacific Ocean increased from approximately 11.1 per cent in 2014 to 54.1 per cent in 2022, while the share of

¹Law No. 23/2014 on Local Government withdraws jurisdiction over capture fisheries from regencies and cities, and is now under provincial jurisdiction. Article 27(5) of the 2014 law, however, states that the provincial mandate within the 12 nm zone does *not* include capture fishing by small-scale fishers. Annex Y(1) of the law further interprets small-scale fishers as constituting those using vessels ≤ 5 GT.

²Under the new Government Regulation (Number 11 year 2023 on Quota-based Fishing, *Penangkapan Ikan Terukur*), it is expected that all fishing vessels that fish beyond 12 nm will be required to obtain fishing licences from the central government regardless of the size of the vessel.

³Small-scale gear consisted of handline, troll line, gillnet and others, but excluded pole and line, purse seine and longline.

the catches from large-scale longline and pole-and-line decreased from 20.9 per cent to 3.9 per cent, and from 14.9 per cent to 6.4 per cent, respectively, during the same period (WCPFC, 2022).

As a member of three tuna Regional Fisheries Management Organizations (RFMOs),⁴ Indonesia has a responsibility to participate in utilizing tuna resources sustainably and support conservation and management measures adopted by the adjacent RFMOs. Recognizing the importance of effective management of tuna resources, Indonesia's Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries (MMAF) initiated discussions in 2014 on the potential for developing formal harvest strategies for the management of tuna resources (Hoshino *et al.*, 2020). In June 2023, Indonesia adopted a comprehensive harvest strategy framework for tropical tuna, outlining the necessary management actions for the fishery to achieve predetermined biological, ecological, and socio-economic objectives (Satria *et al.*, 2023b). The aim of a harvest strategy is to achieve the stock conservation objectives for the fishery, while providing an appropriate balance across social and economic objectives.

While the social and economic importance of the tropical tuna fishery has been recognised, Indonesia currently has limited relevant information that allows decision makers to assess the relative importance of, or dependency on, the tuna fisheries at a regional or national scale. Quantitative metrics that can be used to evaluate potential implications of a harvest strategy in meeting social and economic objectives are not currently available and therefore not considered explicitly in the harvest strategies development process. There is an increasing need to establish quantitative indicators that can be used to assess the degree of dependence on tuna fisheries in different regions of Indonesia. Only by gathering regionally relevant information can the implications of any changes in supply and demand be evaluated.

3. Methods

3.1 Input-output analysis

I-O analysis is used to estimate the net effect of a change in final demand in different sectors of the economy. The technical details of I-O multiplier estimation are given in online appendix A.

Indonesia's Central Bureau of Statistics (*Badan Pusat Statistik*, BPS) has released national I-O tables since 1971 and the most recent table, 'Tabel Input-Output Indonesia 2016' (hereafter called 'I-O table 2016') was released in 2021 (BPS, 2021). It was developed in accordance with the United Nations (UN) System of National Accounts (SNA) 2008. Indonesia's I-O table describes the reciprocal relationship and interconnectedness between economic units as well as analysis of the impact of changes in consumption by households, investment by governments, and exports by companies on the economy in Indonesia (BPS, 2021).

The Indonesian I-O table 2016 consists of 185 industries categorised under 17 industry groups. Under the Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries industry group, fisheries are categorised as Fish (33), Shrimp and crustacean (34), Other aquatic biota (35), Seaweed (36). Fish (33) contains production of fish species (excluding shrimp, crustaceans, and seaweed) captured or farmed in freshwater, blackish water, and marine water. Under

⁴The three RMOs are the Western Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC), the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC) and the Commission for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna.

the Processing industry group, the Dried, salted fish (55) and Fish processing and preservation (56) industries are categorised.

Two types of multipliers are estimated: Type I multipliers are the sum of the direct effect and production-induced effect; and Type II multipliers are the sum of the direct effect, production-induced effect, and consumption-induced effect. These I-O-based multipliers are generally presented separately as there is greater uncertainty around the consumption-induced effects due to heterogeneity in households' marginal propensity to consume different products as income changes (Emonts-Holley *et al.*, 2021). For example, as income increases, consumption of staple products (e.g., food) is unlikely to increase proportionally. Hence, they are considered less reliable than Type I multipliers, although the omission of consumption-induced impacts would underestimate the total impact of an output change.

3.2 Survey-based approach

The survey-based approach estimates business-level production multipliers rather than national (average) multipliers. The approach is based on the Keynesian multiplier concept (Keynes, 2018), where the total income generated in an economy from an additional increase in income is largely determined by the marginal propensity to consume, which in turn is estimated based on the level of leakages in the economy (i.e., savings, taxes, and imports). Unlike the I-O model, which estimates a multiplier for the sector as a whole, the survey-based multiplier is estimated for the individual business, from which the distribution of multipliers for the sector as a whole can be derived.

The approach involved the collection of survey data regarding the commercial fishery cost and revenue. Each business i was asked to provide information on (i) the proportional total costs of different inputs, $j : R_{j=1\dots n}$; and (ii) the proportion of the expenditure on these purchased inputs that was made in the local region, $\theta_{j=1\dots n}$, with proportions of both bounded by 0 and 1. The proportional total cost of individual commercial fisheries' expenditure in the local region (ρ_i) is then estimated as

$$\rho_i = \sum_{j=1}^n R_j \theta_j. \quad (1)$$

The revenue data collected during the surveys was used to estimate the proportion of saved revenue of each fishery (PS_i) (or 'leakages' due to saving and tax) and were combined with estimates of ρ_i to calculate the 'multiplier' (M_i) of an individual commercial fishery:

$$M_i = \frac{1}{1 - (1 - PS_i)\rho_i}. \quad (2)$$

This measure will be equivalent to the I-O analysis multiplier estimate only if the expenditure patterns of all industries and households within the region are identical to those of the surveyed business (the assumption which would also ensure that the multiplier derived from equation (2) equals the traditional Keynesian multiplier). However, it is unlikely this assumption holds. Therefore, this business-level production multiplier does not provide general equilibrium regional multiplier information (Stoeckl, 2007). However, it has the advantage that it does not need to assume homogeneity, and hence different impacts may be realised based on which, and how, individual businesses change their production (Pascoe *et al.*, 2018).

These equations can also be used to estimate household-level consumption multipliers using surveys of household expenditures and their locations. That is, the proportion of household consumption of different items can be derived from the household expenditure survey, while individuals surveyed are asked where these items were purchased (i.e., locally or from other regions). While these estimates are not analytically equivalent to those generated using I-O analysis because the individual-based multiplier only considers the expenditure patterns of one business or household at a time (rather than an entire regional system of expenditure patterns) (Stoeckl, 2007), it is nonetheless interesting to compare these estimates.

The average value of business-level production multipliers generated from the survey-based approach may be similar to the Type I multiplier generated from I-O analysis because both capture the direct effect and production-induced effect of upstream industry. Similarly, the mean value of individual household-level consumption multipliers based on the surveys of household expenditures and their location could be used to estimate the total multiplier effects involving direct, production-induced, and consumption-induced effects, similar to the Type II multipliers generated from I-O analysis.

3.3 Survey questionnaire

A survey questionnaire was developed to determine expenditure patterns for the Indonesian tropical tuna fisheries. The survey consisted of six major sections including: (1) basic questions about the respondent's fishing business (e.g., types of fishers, types of gear, size of the boat, number of crew on board, etc); (2) annual- or trip-level revenue and costs (depending on whether fishers keep accounting books or not); (3) sales locations (markets); (4) business expenditure locations; (5) personal and household expenditure and the proportion of household income coming from tropical tuna fishery; (6) personal and household expenditure location. In this survey, 'local area' was defined as the province. In section 2, respondents were asked about either their annual- or trip-level catches of eight species groups consisting of four tropical tuna species (albacore, bigeye, skipjack, and yellowfin tuna), bluefin tuna, bait fish,⁵ neritic tuna and mackerels, and others; as well as their composition in total annual catches. A copy of the questionnaire (English version) is available in online appendix B.

Ethics approval was obtained through the CSIRO's Social Science Human Research Ethics Committee in accordance with the National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research (2007) (Approval number 021/21). Enumerators and the regional coordinators who oversee the enumerators were recruited from industry and NGO partners who have been participating various tuna port-based monitoring/sampling programs in Indonesia. Enumerator training workshops were carried out in November 2021 and January 2022 to ensure enumerators and regional coordinators understood the ethics requirements and the purpose of the survey, and were familiar with the questions in the survey.

The survey was first piloted with a small number of tuna fishers. The survey was fully implemented via face-to-face interview between December 2021 and March 2022 at tuna fishing ports that varied in size and loading capacity throughout Java, Nusa Tenggara,

⁵'Bait fish' includes anchovy, sardine, scad, and other small pelagics used for bait to catch tuna, while 'Neritic tuna and mackerels' included bullet tuna, frigate tuna, longtail tuna, kawakawa, narrow-barred Spanish mackerel, and Indo-Pacific mackerel.




Figure 1. Sampling locations for the study.

Notes: Pink dots = 1st survey (Dec 2021–Mar 2022) covering PPS (Category A) ports of Bitung, Cilacap, Kendari; PPN (Category B) ports of Ambon, Palabuhanratu; PPS (Category C) port of Sorong; PPI (Category D) ports of Sangsit, Benoa (Kedonganan); PP (unclassified ports) of Alok, Lappa, and Sendang Biru. Blue dots = 2nd survey (Mar–May 2022) covering Buru and Maluku Tengah. The size of the circle indicates the number of responses. Three-digit numbers indicate FMAs.

Sulawesi, Maluku and Papua islands (the first full survey). Based on their size and loading capacity, the ports are categorized into Oceanic Fishing Port (*Pelabuhan Perikanan Samudera*, PPS) with Category A being the largest, followed by Archipelagic Fishing Port (*Pelabuhan Perikanan Nusantara*, PPN), or Category B, Coastal Fishing Port (*Pelabuhan Perikanan Pantai*, PPP) or Category C, and Fish Landing Centre (*Pangkalan Pendaratan Ikan*, PPI) or Category D and Fishing port (*Pangkalan Pendaratan*, or PP) (hereafter called ‘larger fishing ports’). The second survey targeting tuna fishers at small (remote) landing places at the Buru Island and Maluku Tengah Island of Maluku province (hereafter called ‘small landing places in Maluku’) was carried out between March 2022 and May 2022. The locations of the survey responses collected are given in [figure 1](#).

A total of 379 responses was collected from the larger ports in the first survey. A high proportion (76 per cent) of respondents at larger ports were employees who did not own a boat (i.e., were either hired captains or crew). The second round of survey interviews targeting small-scale fishers collected 250 responses. In contrast to the respondents at larger ports, the majority (90 per cent) of them were the captains of their own boats, hereafter called ‘owner fishers’ (see online appendix A for more detailed characteristics of the respondents). The total number of completed responses was 629. Those respondents who did not provide information on expenditure were excluded in the multiplier estimates, although other information such as the prices of the tuna species and who financed the business costs (e.g., fuel) from all participants were used in a general analysis. A summary of respondents’ characteristics can be found in online appendix A. The majority of the respondents (100 per cent at larger ports and 98 per cent at small landing places) are dedicated fishers catching tuna and tuna-like species as their main source of income.

For respondents who did not keep accounting records, annual fishing revenue and profit for individual operators was calculated using the reported average catch (kg) per trip, the average price per kilo, total number of trips, and reported business expenditure. In designing the survey, the trip level catch category was capped at 1,000 kg/trip to make it easier for enumerators to record responses and to minimise interview time, assuming that larger vessel owners would keep accounting books, and hence would report annual revenue. However, this was not the case because the majority of the respondents at larger ports were employees rather than owners. In cases when fishers reported ‘over 1,000 kg/trip’, an extrapolation was carried out (see details in online appendix A for the extrapolation method). In some instances, however, the catches of all species were reported to be over 1,000 kg/trip (23 respondents or 6.1 per cent), making the extrapolation impossible, hence they were excluded in the multiplier estimates. Around 20 per cent of operators at larger ports were estimated to make negative profits, possibly due to underestimation of catch or overestimation of operating costs. Those operators with negative profits were assumed to have made zero accounting profits in estimating business-level production multipliers. Approximately 49 per cent and 47 per cent of operators with negative profits were fishers in the 10–29 GT class and Over 30 GT class, respectively.

3.4 Regression analysis

A statistical analysis was undertaken to understand what factors may affect the flow-on impacts of individual tuna fishing operators. Regression analysis was performed with a linear specification first, followed by non-linear specification, using generalized additive models (GAMs) with the log of individual business-level production multipliers as the response variable.⁶ The *mgcv* package in R (R Development Core Team; Wood, 2017) was used for the analysis.

One major advantage of using an additive model, such as a GAM over a linear model, is that the fitting method automatically determines the optimal shape of the curve fit (or the degree of smoothness) for non-linear responses. The appropriate degree of smoothness was estimated using restricted marginal likelihood (REML) as the default since it is a computationally stable approach (Wood, 2011).

The analogue of multicollinearity in the GAM setting is concurvity, which describes nonlinear dependencies among the predictor variables. Like multicollinearity in linear models, concurvity causes unstable parameter estimates in GAMs and makes the marginal effect of features harder to interpret (Ramsay *et al.*, 2003; Kovács, 2024). While collinearity does not lead to biased estimates (Walmsley and Morrissey, 2022), several techniques have been developed to address concurvity (He, 2004; Wood, 2008; Gu *et al.*, 2010; Kovács, 2024). We used the direct GAM fitting approach of Wood (2008) which estimates coefficient variances directly, thereby sidestepping the well-publicized problem of concurvity-driven variance underestimation (Wood, 2008). Wood (2017) proposes indices of concurvity in GAMs in the ‘concurvity’ function in *mgcv* package. The concurvity values are bounded between 0 and 1, with 0 indicating no concurvity and 1 indicating total lack of identifiability. Marín-Enríquez *et al.* (2023) used a tolerance threshold of 0.7 for concurvity, while Kovács (2024) used 0.5 as a cut-off to remove

⁶The factors influencing the individual household-level consumption multipliers were not explored in the statistical analysis due to lack of information that is likely to influence expenditure patterns of households (e.g., income data).

a variable from a GAM. Gillnet and ‘other gears’ were excluded from the regression analysis due to their small sample sizes. We first considered profit and revenue (both log-transformed), gross tonnage, gear types, and port class as explanatory variables.

The tests for concurrency in the initial exploratory GAM, involving smooth terms for log profit and log revenue, suggest that they are highly correlated, i.e., concurrency values of 0.66, hence it may be difficult to interpret the marginal effect of profit and revenue because the response variable (business-level production multiplier values) could be responding to either one of them. For this reason, we also considered a reduced model where the smooth term for log revenue was removed from the initial model.

We also considered more complex models with interaction terms to increase the model’s predictive power. In selecting which model describes the observed multiplier values the best, we consider two measures of fit: the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) for model fit; and the percentage deviance explained. When we have reasons to favour simpler models over more complicated ones, an additional chi-square-based hypothesis testing approach was used to test the significance of improvement from a simpler model to a more complex model (Wood, 2011). The summary of the models considered in describing the observed business-level production multipliers is given in table A2 in the online appendix.

Among the six models considered, model 5 had the lowest AIC and the highest percentage of deviance explained (86.3 per cent), suggesting that the model can explain 86.3 per cent of variation in observed business-level production multiplier values (table A3). Therefore, we chose model 5 as the best model in describing the observed business-level production multipliers. The diagnostic plots (figure A6) suggest that while residuals have slight fat tails, the residuals are centred around zero and largely symmetric, thus it was considered that the basis dimension choices are adequate.

4. Results

4.1 Multipliers generated from the Indonesian I-O table, 2016

The output multipliers generated from the Indonesian I-O table 2016 are presented in table 1. The Type I multiplier for the aggregated Fish (33) industry was 1.33, comprising the direct effect plus the production-induced rounds of extra output. This means that for every additional \$1 output of Fish produced, an additional \$0.33 is generated in the Indonesian economy through input use. An additional \$0.53 is generated by consumption-induced effects. Hence, the Type II multiplier for the Fish industry is 1.86.

The multiplier for the Fish (33) industry is slightly higher than for the Shrimp and crustacean (34) industry but smaller than the Fish processing industry (2.54) (table 1). This is consistent with the results of a global study by Jacobsen *et al.* (2014), who reported that the seafood processing industry generally had higher multiplier values than the aquaculture and commercial capture fishing industries. The multiplier value for the Fish industry in Indonesia is similar to the global average multiplier of 1.82 in marine industries (Jacobsen *et al.*, 2014), but smaller than the average multiplier of 2.67 for capture fishery in Asia (Dyck and Sumaila, 2010).

4.2 Multipliers generated from the survey-based approach

The mean value of the individual business-level production multiplier for all respondents who provided expenditure information was estimated at 1.30 (\times table 2). The mean value

Table 1. Estimated output multipliers from the Indonesian I-O table, 2016

Industry	Direct effect	Production-induced effect	Consumption-induced effect	Type I multiplier	Type II multiplier
Fish (33)	1.00	0.33	0.53	1.33	1.86
Shrimp and crustacean (34)	1.00	0.27	0.44	1.27	1.70
Fish processing (56)	1.00	1.07	0.47	2.07	2.54

Table 2. Individual business-level production multiplier by provinces

Province	Sample size	95% CI			
		Mean	Lower	Higher	Median
Bali (Sangsit & Benoa)	17	1.39	1.13	1.65	1.16
Central Java (Cilacap)	51	1.84	1.66	2.02	1.68
East Java (Sendang Biru)	50	1.47	1.30	1.64	1.34
East Nusa Tenggara (Alok)	45	1.36	1.28	1.44	1.46
Maluku (Ambon, Buru & Maluku Tengah)	268	1.18	1.15	1.21	1.27
North Sulawesi (Bitung)	53	1.11	1.06	1.16	1.04
South Sulawesi (Lappa)	11	1.47	1.31	2.18	1.27
Southeast Sulawesi (Kendari)	8	1.32	1.02	1.63	1.12
West Java (Palabuhanratu)	50	1.14	1.12	1.17	1.19
West Papua (Sorong)	6	1.20	1.10	1.29	1.22
Overall	563	1.30	1.27	1.34	1.17

Notes: CI, confidence interval. Survey locations are in parentheses. Gorontalo and North Maluku are not shown due to small sample sizes.

is very similar to the value of the Type I multiplier of 1.33 for the Fish industry generated from the Indonesian I-O table, which falls within the 95 per cent confidence interval (CI) (1.27–1.34) of the estimated mean value of the survey-based approach.

At the provincial level, the highest mean business-level production multiplier was observed at Cilacap in Central Java (1.84, with 95 per cent CI 1.65–2.02) – an Indian Ocean port (see figure 1) – while those at Bitung in North Sulawesi adjacent to the Indonesian archipelagic water was approximately 15 per cent lower than the overall average (table 2), despite both being in the largest port A category. However, there was considerable variability in multiplier values among operators (as indicated by the height of the boxplot in figure 2), which may be attributed to the characteristics of vessels or operators. The regression analysis in the following section may provide further insights to explain these regional differences. Due to small sample sizes at some locations, however, provincial-level multiplier values need to be interpreted with caution.




Figure 2. Distribution of individual business-level multipliers for respondents.
Notes: Results for Gorontalo, North Maluku, Southeast Sulawesi, and West Papua are not reported in the figure due to small sample size. Red dot points represent the mean, the lines in the box represent the median, and the height of the box represents the interquartile range.

Table 3. Individual household expenditure multiplier by province where business was located

Province	Sample size	95% CI			
		Mean	Lower	Higher	Median
Bali (Sangsit & Benoa)	14	2.61	1.77	3.46	2.23
Central Java (Cilacap)	51	1.71	1.55	1.87	1.56
East Java (Sendang Biru)	38	5.37	4.54	6.20	5.00
East Nusa Tenggara (Alok)	45	3.92	2.85	5.00	1.74
Maluku (Ambon, Buru & Maluku Tengah)	255	3.60	3.30	3.91	3.00
North Sulawesi (Bitung)	57	4.30	3.63	4.97	5.00
South Sulawesi (Lappa)	17	1.04	1.02	1.07	1.02
Southeast Sulawesi (Kendari)	37	4.61	3.82	5.39	4.27
West Java (Palabuhanratu)	50	1.16	1.15	1.17	1.15
Overall	568	3.39	3.18	3.60	2.50

Notes: CI, confidence interval. Survey locations are in parentheses. Gorontalo, North Maluku and West Papua are not shown due to small sample sizes.

The mean individual household-level consumption multipliers across all respondents were estimated to be approximately 3.39 (3.18–3.60, 95 per cent CI) (table 3). This indicates that for every \$1 of income generated by the fishery (i.e., crew payments, owner share), an additional \$2.39 was generated locally on average.




Figure 3. Distribution of individual household expenditure multipliers by province where the business was located.

Notes: A small number of extreme outliers were removed to increase legibility of the graph. Red dot points represent the mean, the lines in the box represent the median, and the height of the box represents the interquartile range.

The mean value of household-level consumption multipliers was much lower at Palabuhanratu in West Java and Cilacap in Central Java – category B and A ports in the Indian Ocean – and also at the unclassified smaller port of Lappa in South Sulawesi, ranging between one third to one half of the overall average across all sampled regions (table 3 and figure 3). In Southeast Sulawesi (Kendari) and North Sulawesi (Bitung) – both category A ports – the multipliers were higher (approximately 36 to 59 per cent higher), although there was considerable variation among participants (as indicated by the height of the boxplots in figure 3).

The mean values of the household-level consumption multiplier reported above are income-based (i.e., the multiplicative effect relative to income), but can be converted to an output-based multiplier if the proportion of income generated per dollar of output (boat revenue) is known. While we do not know such information across the whole Indonesian tuna fishery sector, we estimate the proportion of income generated per dollar of output of the respondents in our survey⁷ at the small landing places in Maluku islands to be 0.79 on average (with a standard deviation of 0.094). Multiplying this value by the mean value of income-based consumption effect (2.39) gives the mean consumption-induced effect relative to output of 1.89, with the lower and

⁷Due to ethical concerns (e.g., to avoid discomfort), respondents were asked to provide the proportion of household income from tropical tuna fishing rather than the absolute value of household income. Indicative household income was estimated using this information and estimated boat revenue for small-scale owner fishers in Maluku. However, this was not possible for respondents from larger ports since the majority of these were employees rather than business owners.

upper 95 per cent CI of 1.72 and 2.06, respectively, based on the product of variance formula (Goodman, 1960). Given this, the average total multiplier effect (involving direct, production-induced, and consumption-induced effects) is estimated at 3.19 (95 per cent CI 3.02–3.36). This suggests that the total economic impact from the tropical tuna industry is roughly three times higher than the value of production when cascading impacts are accounted for.

4.3 Factors affecting business-level production multipliers

Since the non-linear models were better at explaining the variation in observed multiplier values than the linear models, we only present the summary results for the selected non-linear model.

The detailed regression results for the selected model and figures showing marginal effects are presented in [table 4](#), and figure A7 in the online appendix, respectively. It

Table 4. Regression results of business-level production multipliers based on the selected model

	Estimate	Standard error	<i>t</i> -value	Pr (> <i>t</i>)
(Intercept)	0.08	0.04	1.94	0.05
GT1-4.9GT	0.01	0.02	0.63	0.53
GT5-9.9GT	0.14	0.05	3.01	0.00
GT10-29.9GT	0.13	0.05	2.83	0.01
GT Over 30GT	0.15	0.07	2.24	0.03
Gear HLS	-0.12	0.17	-0.72	0.47
Gear LL	-0.41	0.07	-5.99	0.00
Gear PL	-0.06	0.06	-0.93	0.35
Gear PS	-0.22	0.04	-4.92	0.00
Gear TL	-0.47	0.04	-11.01	0.00
Port Class B	0.21	0.03	6.14	0.00
Port Class CD	0.16	0.05	3.32	0.00
Port Class PP	0.26	0.04	7.16	0.00
Port Class SLM	0.27	0.04	6.04	0.00
	EDF	Ref.df	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i> -value
log(Profit):Gear HLD	1.00	1.00	3.81	0.05
log(Profit):Gear HLS	6.17	6.64	9.06	0.00
log(Profit):Gear LL	2.14	2.54	0.81	0.60
log(Profit):Gear PL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
log(Profit):Gear PS	1.00	1.00	9.04	0.00
log(Profit):Gear TL	1.00	1.00	22.05	0.00
log(Rev)	6.89	7.47	5.09	0.00
log(Profit),log(Rev)	21.6	23.5	12.0	0.00

Notes: HLD, deep handline; HLS, surface handline; LL, longline; PL, pole and line; PS, purse seine; TL, troll line; EDF, effective degree of freedom; Ref.df, Reference degree of freedom used in computing test statistics and *p*-value. The estimated coefficients are compared against boats less than 1 GT, deep-set handline (HLD), and port class A operators.

was found that operators for some gear types (PS, HLD and TL) have higher multiplier values with higher profit (figure A7), all other things being equal (*ceteris paribus*), but the interaction between gear and profit was not always significant (table 4). The 2D plot of revenue and profit illustrates a non-linear interaction between them, where the predicted multiplier values are the lowest at high values of profit and lower values of revenue (figure A7). Such an apparent opposite effect on predicted outcomes and a potential issue associated with high concavity makes it difficult to interpret their marginal effects.

In terms of the impact of boat size, the operators of small boats (<1 GT) (base case) had significantly lower multiplier values, *ceteris paribus*, as indicated by the positive coefficients of the other size classes against the base case operators, although the difference between 1–4.9 GT and less than 1 GT boat operators is not statistically significant (table 4). A wide 95 per cent CI for boats over 30 GT (dotted lines in Gear in figure A7) indicates a large uncertainty in the estimated coefficient (e.g., an overlap of CI with other estimated coefficients indicates that the difference in estimates between the groups was not statistically significant).

Gear also had a significant impact, with HLD operators (base case) having significantly higher average business-level production multiplier values than that of LL, TL, and PS, *ceteris paribus* (table 4, and figure A7 in the online appendix). Similarly, PL operators had significantly higher average multiplier values than LL and TL (table 4 and figure A7). Pole and line operations typically require live bait from local sources, compared to longline operations that tend to use frozen bait (e.g., imported squid) suitable for a longer journey. The operators with businesses located at port class A (base case) – the largest class port category – had significantly lower multiplier values than other port categories, *ceteris paribus* (table 4 and figure A7). This may reflect the economic conditions where the port is located, since large ports are typically located in more urban areas and may have better road access, which makes it easier for operators to access inputs outside of the local area (e.g., cheaper fuel, imported baits).

5. Discussion

This study extends the application of the survey-based approach of Stoeckl (2007) to estimate multiplier impacts of the Indonesian tropical tuna fisheries. Our study is the second application of this approach in fisheries after Pascoe *et al.* (2018) and is the first in a data-limited fishery in a developing economy.

The mean business-level production multiplier generated from the survey-based approach was very similar to the Type I multiplier based on the conventional IO-based approach, although the I-O analysis is based on an aggregated fish industry and not just tuna. The differences in value for the production and consumption multipliers generally relate to differences in the cost structure of the sector (Norman-López *et al.*, 2011), so we expect different values of production multipliers for different fisheries if their business cost structures are considerably different. In contrast, there was a large discrepancy between the consumption-induced effects from the survey-based approach and the Type II multiplier generated from I-O analysis. The survey-based consumption-induced effects were approximately 70 per cent higher than the I-O analysis estimates. This difference reflects not only the different cost structure of tuna fishing compared with fishing in general, but also the savings habits of tuna fishers, as well as the relative availability of consumption goods in the tuna fishing ports and other landing areas. In our survey, the small-scale surface handline tuna fishers in Maluku were mostly owner fishers with

a one-man operation, with little to no labour cost (see online appendix A). In addition, small-scale tuna fishers in Indonesia have high reliance on middlemen/suppliers who provide key inputs such as fuel, ice, and other running costs in exchange for guaranteed supply or lower prices (Duggan and Kochen, 2016), hence a higher proportion of boat revenue is captured as income. Their remote location means that the fishers spend their business and household expenditure almost exclusively within the local area (online appendix A), which positively affects the regional multiplier estimates. The higher estimated average annual income of our sampled small-scale tuna fishers, relative to the minimum wage in the region,⁸ is also likely to affect the expenditure patterns of tuna fishing households.

Making a direct comparison between multipliers derived from I-O analysis and those obtained from surveys is challenging due to methodological differences, including the variation in aggregation methods, scope and temporal/spatial variation in sampling locations, assumptions on homogeneity in production technologies and households' consumption propensity, among others. One notable caveat for any survey-based approach is a potential sampling bias. Our sampled fishers at small landing places in Maluku (where we derived the proportion of income generated per dollar of output) may not be representative of the whole tuna fishing households within the country. Therefore, the total multiplier effect including consumption-induced effect estimated here needs to be considered as indicative only.

Notwithstanding these challenges, Stoeckl (2012) found that the multipliers derived from the two approaches for the Western Australian industries were very similar, and where disparities did exist, they were largely attributed to differences in the underlying production technologies. I-O tables generally reflect the economy with a time lag (e.g., 5-year lag for benchmark US I-O table) (Miller and Blair, 2009), even though production technologies can structurally change during that time. A number of 'extended' I-O models that account for some heterogeneity in businesses and households have been developed (e.g., Kim *et al.*, 2015; Emonts-Holley *et al.*, 2021; Oosterhaven, 2022). Also, more sophisticated computable general equilibrium (CGE) models that allow for other industries, prices and costs to adjust in response to the change in the industry of concern have been developed. The latter have gained popularity as an alternative to the I-O approach in regional policy analysis (Ghaith *et al.*, 2021). However, the complexity of creating the consistent data tables and the high demand for data to construct these models continue to be the major constraint for their wider adoption by practitioners in developing economies (Ghaith *et al.*, 2021; Akbari *et al.*, 2023). These complexities also generally result in higher levels of aggregation.

The survey-based approach applied here provides quantitative indicators that can be used to assess the degree of dependence on fisheries in different regions of Indonesia, allowing regional comparisons of multipliers in the absence of regional I-O tables. Unlike the I-O-based approach that provides a single 'average' multiplier value, the alternative approach provides a range of multiplier values recognising heterogeneity among fishing operators, which can be then used to assess the factors that may affect such flow-on impacts. Understanding the differences in multiplier effects across sectors can help policymakers tailor interventions more effectively, allocate resources strategically to areas

⁸The mean value of estimated annual profits for operators less than 1 GT was Rupiah 93 million, while the minimum wage in the Maluku province is Rupiah 31.4 million per year in 2021. The operator with crew/deckhand had a higher estimated annual profit (Rupiah 303 million) than those operators without crew/deckhand (Rupiah 193 million).

of greatest need, and foresee any unintended consequences stemming from policy decisions. This is particularly important if management decisions affect some components of the fleet differently (e.g., either vessel size, type or location), in which case an ‘average’ industry-wide multiplier will distort the estimate of the regional impact.

The Indonesian tropical tuna fishery is going through changes in fleet structure driven by a series of ministerial regulations since around 2016 to combat illegal fishing activities by large foreign-owned vessels (Hoshino *et al.*, 2024). This has resulted in a reduction in the number of active large vessels over 30 GT. Increasing market demand for one-by-one fisheries, together with the protection for small-scale fishers (*nelayan kecil*)⁹ under the national law¹⁰ has also resulted in a rapidly increasing number of and catches from small-scale tuna vessels, particularly handlines. The number of pole and line operators has declined in recent years due to difficulty in sourcing sufficient supplies of live bait, and increased competition with other fleets, such as small pelagic purse seiners and Danish seiners (Satria *et al.*, 2023a). Our results indicate that such changes in fleet structure could result in unexpected changes in flow-on economic impacts on the regional economy.

For example, we found that Cilacap (Central Java) had the highest level of production-induced multiplier on average, which may be explained by the relatively high concentration of mid-size vessels (10–30 GT) in our samples. Similarly, in Maluku province, where tuna vessels are predominantly surface handlines less than 5 GT, the average business-induced multiplier was relatively lower. The policies that favour a segment of the tuna fleet (e.g., certain size or type of gear) and provide disincentives for those fisheries with a higher production-induced multiplier may inadvertently result in negative impacts on the local economy.

Other considerations are also required when assessing regional impacts of management changes that are not captured by either the I-O or the survey approach. Non-monetary expenses such as unpaid labour (e.g., family member), and non-cash payment (e.g., fish for own or crew consumption) are not captured in either economic multiplier estimation, and separate metrics (e.g., food security) are necessary to evaluate these well-recognized contributions of small-scale fisheries to rural livelihoods (e.g., Béné, 2006; Béné *et al.*, 2007). We suggest that future studies consider monitoring and evaluating the implications of changing fleet dynamics on the regional and national economy, along with their impacts on resource sustainability. A potential next step is to extend the study to assess the trade-offs among candidate harvest strategies with specific management measures applied to a subset of the Indonesian tuna fleet (e.g., introducing a catch limit for large industrial-scale boats only or specific gear type) in achieving management objectives in a simulation evaluation framework (i.e., Management Strategy Evaluation), with an explicit inclusion of the flow-on economic contribution. Using such information, management policies can be structured to minimise

⁹*Nelayan kecil* are people who depend on fishing for their livelihood to meet their daily living needs and using fishing vessels less than 5 GT, under Law No. 45 of 2009 concerning Amendment of Law No. 31 of 2004 concerning Fisheries.

¹⁰Various definitions of small-scale fishers/fisheries exist within the country depending on the law. For example, Law No. 7 of 2016 concerning Protection and Empowerment of Fishers (*nelayan*), Fish Farmers (*pembudidaya ikan*), and Salt Farmers (*petambak garam*), defines small fishers as fishermen who catch fish to fulfill their daily needs, both for those who do not use fishing vessels or those who use fishing vessels with a maximum size of 10 GT. See MMAF and OFP-SPC (2021) for more details.

unintended consequences and avoid disproportionately impacting any particular region or community.

Due to the limited household income information from the survey and the absence of disaggregated national/regional household income data that separates tuna fishing households from other fisheries households, a statistical analysis was not undertaken to unpack what factors may contribute to consumption-induced multipliers of tuna fishers. Such an analysis would provide further insights and potentially explain the regional differences in total multiplier effects. It is worth noting that the ability of survey respondents to recollect the exact amount of tax and saving may have been inaccurate, with low levels of tax and saving leading to higher consumption-induced multiplier values since it assumes that there is little income that 'leaks out' (e.g., almost all income is spent in the local economy). Further data validation using the average levels of tax and savings based on national or regional statistics would be useful to reduce uncertainty and bias in the estimates of the total multiplier effects using the survey-based approach.

Another issue encountered was the potential underestimation of catches and negative estimated profits for those operators working on larger boats and who did not keep accounting books and those who reported the catch over 1,000 kg/trip. Our results are likely to be less accurate for those regions that host larger boat operators over 30 GT boats. This could be avoided through better survey designs and more rigorous pilot surveys¹¹ in the future. There is, however, a limitation to the port-based survey data collection method resulting from the fact that owners of larger companies may not fish themselves and may not be available for interviews. A different data collection mechanism to collect financial information from larger fishing companies is recommended for future study. The approaches we applied here could be extended to measure production-induced impact from 'downstream' industries (such as processing). Consideration of additional flow-on impacts that may arise from downstream industries not captured in this study (including employment multipliers) is required to estimate more comprehensive economic flow-on contributions from the tropical tuna fishing industry.

6. Conclusions

In many low-income and developing coastal economies, the availability of economic data that can be used to support fisheries management is often limited. We show that a survey-based approach can provide useful indicators that can be used to assess the economic multiplier effects for a particular fishery, even when information to undertake more formal economic analysis is unavailable. For our case study of the tuna fishery, the average business-level production multipliers generated from the survey-based approach was very similar to the Type I multiplier generated from more conventional I-O analysis, further supporting the findings from previous studies. These business-level multipliers provide managers with quantitative information to assess the degree of dependence of regional economies on tuna fisheries, allowing them to formulate tailored policy interventions that avoid/minimise unintended consequences from policy changes in particular regions or communities. However, the estimates for large operators and consumption-induced effects (and resulting total multiplier effects) are less reliable due to the survey design issues and lack of national/regional level financial information (i.e., household income for tuna fishers) that are likely to affect expenditure patterns

¹¹ Pandemic-related travel restrictions were in place during the designing phase of the study, which made it difficult to undertake field visits for the purpose of testing questionnaires.

of households. We suggest that future research consider collecting additional financial information from large tuna fishing operations through survey and attempts to create disaggregated economic accounts that include different fishing households in Indonesia.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355770X2400024X>.

Acknowledgements. This study was funded by the Walton Family Foundation (Grant number 00101981) and CSIRO. We thank all the stakeholders and MMAF staff who contributed and participated in the study, particularly Mr. Muhammad Anas (Division of Fisheries Statistics, DGCF-MMAF), enumerators and regional coordinators of the Masyarakat dan Perikanan Indonesia (MDPI), Yayasan IPNLF Indonesia (YII), and Yayasan Konservasi Alam Nusantara (YKAN). We also thank the staff of the Research Institute for Marine Fisheries (RIMF), PPS ports of Bitung, Cilacap, Kendari; PPN ports of Ambon, Palabuhanratu; PPS port of Sorong; PPI ports of Sangsit, Benoa (Kedonganan); PP of Alok, Lappa, and Sendang Biru; landing sites of Buru and Maluku Tengah.

Competing interest. The authors declare none.

References

- Akbari N, Failler P, Pan H, Drakeford B and Forse A** (2023) The impact of fisheries on the economy: a systematic review on the application of general equilibrium and input–output methods. *Sustainability* **15**, 6089.
- Anonymous** (2022) Annual Report to the Commission. Part 1: Information on fisheries, research and statistics. WCPFC Scientific Committee 18th Regular Session, 10–18 August 2022 (electronic meeting). WCPFC-SC18-AR/CCM-09 (Rev.01). Available at <https://meetings.wcpfc.int/node/15324>
- Ayilu RK, Fabinyi M and Barclay K** (2022) Small-scale fisheries in the blue economy: review of scholarly papers and multilateral documents. *Ocean & Coastal Management* **216**, 105982.
- Béné C** (2006) Small-scale fisheries: assessing their contribution to rural livelihoods in developing countries. FAO Fisheries Circular. No. 1008. Rome: FAO.
- Béné C, Macfadyen G and Allison EH** (2007) Increasing the contribution of small-scale fisheries to poverty alleviation and food security. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. No. 481. Rome: FAO.
- BPS** (2021) *Tabel Input-Output Indonesia 2016*. Jakarta, Indonesia: Badan Pusat Statistik (BPS).
- BRIN and MMAF** (2023) Indonesia National Report to the Scientific Committee of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, 2023. The 26th Session of the Scientific Committee, 4–8 December 2023, Indina/Hybrid meeting.
- Cai J, Leung P, Pan M and Pooley S** (2005) Economic linkage impacts of Hawaii’s longline fishing regulations. *Fisheries Research* **74**, 232–242.
- Duggan DE and Kochen M** (2016) Small in scale but big in potential: opportunities and challenges for fisheries certification of Indonesian small-scale tuna fisheries. *Marine Policy* **67**, 30–39.
- Dyck AJ and Sumaila UR** (2010) Economic impact of ocean fish populations in the global fishery. *Journal of Bioeconomics* **12**, 227–243.
- Emonts-Holley T, Ross A and Swales K** (2021) Estimating induced effects in IO impact analysis: variation in the methods for calculating the type II Leontief multipliers. *Economic Systems Research* **33**, 429–445.
- FAO** (2023) *FishStat*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Fisheries and Aquaculture. Available at <https://www.fao.org/fishery/en/fishstat>
- Ghaith Z, Kulshreshtha S, Natcher D and Cameron BT** (2021) Regional computable general equilibrium models: a review. *Journal of Policy Modeling* **43**, 710–724.
- Goodman LA** (1960) On the exact variance of products. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **55**, 708–713.
- Gu H, Kenney T and Zhu M** (2010) Partial generalized additive models: an information-theoretic approach for dealing with concavity and selecting variables. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* **19**, 531–551.
- He S** (2004) Generalized additive models for data with concavity: statistical issues and a novel model fitting approach (PhD thesis). University of Pittsburgh.

- Hoshino E, Hillary R, Davies C, Satria F, Sadiyah L, Ernawati T and Proctor C (2020) Development of pilot empirical harvest strategies for tropical tuna in Indonesian archipelagic waters: case studies of skipjack and yellowfin tuna. *Fisheries Research* **227**, 105539.
- Hoshino E, Satria F, Sadiyah L, Yunanda T, Suadela P, Proctor C, Dell J and Davies C (2024) Experiences in developing empirical harvest strategies for the Indonesian tropical tuna fisheries. *Ocean & Coastal Management* **253**, 107138.
- Jacobsen KI, Lester SE and Halpern BS (2014) A global synthesis of the economic multiplier effects of marine sectors. *Marine Policy* **44**, 273–278.
- Keynes JM (1936) *The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Keynes JM (2018) The marginal propensity to consume and the multiplier. In *The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, pp. 101–116.
- Kim K, Kratena K and Hewings GJD (2015) The extended econometric input-output model with heterogeneous household demand system. *Economic Systems Research* **27**, 257–285.
- Kovács L (2024) Feature selection algorithms in generalized additive models under concavity. *Computational Statistics* **39**, 461–493.
- Leontief WW (1936) Quantitative input and output relations in the economic systems of the United States. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* **18**, 105–125.
- Leontief WW (1941) *The Structure of American Economy, 1919–1929: An Empirical Application of Equilibrium Analysis*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Leung P and Pooley S (2001) Regional economic impacts of reductions in fisheries production: a supply-driven approach. *Marine Resource Economics* **16**, 251–262.
- Marín-Enríquez E, Ramírez-Pérez JS, Ruiz-Domínguez M, Izquierdo-Peña V, Sánchez-Cárdenas R, Cruz-Escalona VH and Enciso-Enciso C (2023) Effect of marine climate and baitfish availability on the tuna baitboat fishery CPUE off northwestern Mexico. *Ocean & Coastal Management* **232**, 106418.
- Miernyk WH (1965) *The Elements of Input-Output Analysis* (Reprint 2020). West Virginia, USA: WVU Research Repository.
- Miller RE and Blair PD (2009) *Input-Output Analysis: Foundations and Extensions*, 2nd Edn. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- MMAF (2021) Availability of catch estimates from the other commercial fisheries in Indonesia. Scientific Committee Seventeenth Regular Session, Electronic Meeting, 11–19 August 2021.
- MMAF (2022) Indonesia National Report to the Scientific Committee of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, 2022. IOTC 25th Session of the Scientific Committee, 5–9 December 2022, Victoria, Seychelles. IOTC-2022-SC25-NR09_Rev1. Available at https://iotc.org/sites/default/files/documents/2022/11/IOTC-2022-SC25-NR09_Rev1E_Indonesia.pdf
- MMAF and OFP-SPC (2021) Update01: Availability of Catch Estimates from the Other Commercial Fisheries in Indonesia. WCPFC Scientific Committee 17th Regular Session, 11–19 August 2021 (electronic Meeting). WCPFC-SC17-2021/ST-IP-09. Available at <https://meetings.wcpfc.int/node/12547>
- Norman-López A, Pascoe S and Hobday AJ (2011) Potential economic impacts of climate change on Australian fisheries and the need for adaptive management. *Climate Change Economics* **2**, 209–235.
- Oosterhaven J (2022) *Rethinking Input-Output Analysis. A Spatial Perspective*, 2nd Edn. Gewerbestrasse: Springer Cham.
- Pascoe S, Innes J, Tobin R, Stoeckl N, Paredes S and Dauth K (2018) Beyond GVP: the value of inshore commercial fisheries to fishers and consumers in regional communities on Queensland's east coast. Final Report. (Project No 2013-301). Canberra: Fisheries Research and Development Corporation.
- Proctor CH, Natsir M, Mahiswara, Widodo AA, Utama AA, Wudianto, Satria F, Hargiyatno IT, Sedana IGB, Cooper SP, Sadiyah L, Nurdin E, Anggawangsa RF and Susanto K (2019) A characterisation of FAD-based tuna fisheries in Indonesian waters. Final Report as output of ACIAR Project FIS/2009/059. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Canberra.
- Ramsay TO, Burnett RT and Krewski D (2003) The effect of concavity in generalized additive models linking mortality to ambient particulate matter. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)* **14**, 18–23.
- Satria F, Sadiyah L, Hoshino E, Sedana IGB and Dell J (2023a) Characterising the tropical tuna fisheries in Indonesia. Technical report prepared for the Walton Family Foundation.
- Satria F, Sadiyah L, Suadela P, Hernuryadin Y, Christijanto H, Budiarto A, Pratiwi M, Hoshino E, Davies CR, Hillary RM and Dell J (2023b) Harvest strategies for tropical tuna in Archipelagic waters

- of Indonesia: update. WCPFC Commission 20th Regular Session, 4–8 December 2023, Rarotonga, Cook Islands (Hybrid). WCPFC20-2023-DP12.
- Selina Wamucii** (2023) Indonesia tuna prices. Available at <https://www.selinawamucii.com/insights/prices/indonesia/tuna/>
- Stoeckl N** (2007) Using surveys of business expenditure to draw inferences about the size of regional multipliers: a case-study of tourism in Northern Australia. *Regional Studies* **41**, 917–931.
- Stoeckl N** (2012) Comparing multipliers from survey and non-survey based IO models: an empirical investigation from Northern Australia. *International Regional Science Review* **35**, 367–388.
- USAID Oceans** (2018) Stakeholder Validation Workshop proceeding. Manado, Indonesia, June 2017. USAID Oceans and Fisheries Partnership (USAID Oceans).
- van Leeuwen ES, Nijkamp P and Rietveld P** (2005) Regional input–output analysis. In Kempf-Leonard K (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Social Measurement*. New York: Elsevier, pp. 317–323.
- Walmsley SF and Morrissey MB** (2022) Causation, not collinearity: identifying sources of bias when modelling the evolution of brain size and other allometric traits. *Evolution Letters* **6**, 234–244.
- Watson P and Beleickis N** (2009) Small community level social accounting matrices and their application to determining marine resource dependency. *Marine Resource Economics* **24**, 253–270.
- WCPFC** (2022) WCPFC Tuna Fishery Yearbook – Annual Catch Estimates.
- Wood SN** (2008) Fast stable direct fitting and smoothness selection for generalized additive models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* **70**, 495–518.
- Wood SN** (2011) Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood estimation of semi-parametric generalized linear models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B (Statistical Methodology)* **73**, 3–36.
- Wood SN** (2017) *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R*, 2nd Edn. London: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- World Bank** (2012) *Hidden Harvest. The Global Contribution of Capture Fisheries*. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank.

Cite this article: Hoshino E, Pascoe S, van Putten I, Resosudarmo BP, Satria F, Sadiyah L (2024). Survey-based approach to generate regional multipliers for the Indonesian tropical tuna fisheries. *Environment and Development Economics* **29**, 405–425. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355770X2400024X>