This is a “preproof” accepted article for Invasive Plant Science and Management. This version may be subject to change in the production process, *and does not include access to supplementary material.* DOI: 10.1017/inp.2025.3

Short title: Increasing invasive liana cover (Article type: Note)

Increasing invasive liana cover following tree mortality and containment treatments associated with a fungal pathogen

Scott R. Abella[1], Timothy L. Walters[2], and Karen S. Menard[3]

[1]Associate Professor (ORCID 0000-0002-9618-2886), University of Nevada Las Vegas, School of Life Sciences, Las Vegas, NV, USA and Founder and Ecologist, Natural Resource Conservation LLC, Boulder City, NV, USA; [2]Senior Technical Expert, Haley & Aldrich, Inc., 6420 South Macadam Avenue, Portland, OR 97239, USA; and [3]Research and Monitoring Supervisor, Metroparks Toledo, 5100 West Central Avenue, Toledo, OH 43615, USA

Author for correspondence: Scott R. Abella, University of Nevada Las Vegas, School of Life Sciences, 4505 South Maryland Parkway, Las Vegas, NV 89154-4004.
(Email: scott.abella@unlv.edu)

Abstract

Tree-afflicting pests, such as insects and pathogens, could change forests in ways promoting invasions by non-native plants. After tree death associated with the fungal pathogen oak wilt (*Bretziella fagacearum* (Bretz) Z.W. de Beer, Marincowitz, T.A. Duong & M.J. Wingfield) and its attempted containment (severing root connectivity and sanitation removal of infected trees), we examined change in cover of the non-native liana Oriental bittersweet (*Celastrus orbiculatus* Thunb.; hereafter *Celastrus*) at 28 sites in temperate black oak (*Quercus velutina* Lam.) forests, Ohio, USA. During our five-year study spanning 2020 to 2024, *Celastrus* cover increased significantly ($P < 0.05$) through time at oak wilt sites but not in untreated reference forest sites without evidence of oak wilt. *Celastrus* cover increased by an order of magnitude, up to an average of 32× among oak wilt treatments up to 10 years old. By 2024, *Celastrus* cover ranged from 6-22% on average in 5-10-year-old oak wilt treatments, compared with 1% cover in reference forest. Results indicate that non-native plant invasion accelerated following disturbance associated with a fungal pathogen and its attempted containment, and more generally, suggest that tree-afflicting pests can promote invasive plants in forests. Co-management of tree-afflicting pests and non-native plants may become increasingly important to ensure forests recovering from tree mortality are dominated by native plants.

Keywords: *Celastrus orbiculatus*; forest pest; oak wilt; *Quercus velutina*; tree death

Management Implications

Insects, pathogens, and other tree-afflicting pests are accumulating and spreading in many forests, often with poorly known effects on invasive plants. In temperate forests in Ohio, USA, we found that cover of the non-native liana Oriental bittersweet (*Celastrus orbiculatus* Thunb.; hereafter *Celastrus*) proliferated after black oak (*Quercus velutina* Lam.) tree mortality associated with the invasive fungal pathogen oak wilt (*Bretziella fagacearum* (Bretz) Z.W. de Beer, Marincowitz, T.A. Duong & M.J. Wingfield) and its attempted containment (severing root connectivity and sanitation removal of infected trees). Over five years between 2020 and 2024, *Celastrus* cover increased by an order of magnitude (reaching up to 22% average cover by 2024) in sites with oak wilt while it remained comparatively low (1%) in reference forest sites without evidence of oak wilt. By 2024, *Celastrus* cover was as high as 60% among oak wilt sites, and the liana formed a mat-like covering on native understory plants. In these forests with spatially and temporally dynamic oak wilt patches, we suggest that *Celastrus* be managed in two contexts: 1) proactively as incipient populations with low cover in understories of non-oak wilt-affected patches to potentially suppress the response of *Celastrus* should oak wilt arrive, and 2) reducing established *Celastrus* populations already with high cover in existing oak wilt patches to attempt enabling forest recovery from oak wilt to be dominated by native plants. Invasive plant management may need to be increasingly paired with forest management of and adaptation to tree-afflicting pests that may catalyze non-native plant invasions.

Introduction

Introduced, tree-afflicting pests, such as insects and pathogens, could make forests more invasible by non-native plants (Eschtruth and Battles 2009, Burnham and Lee 2010, Baron and Rubin 2021). Additionally, management attempting to contain or slow the spread of tree-afflicting pests could inadvertently accelerate non-plant invasions (Hausman et al. 2010). However, how these tree-afflicting pests or their attempted containment may be associated with non-native plants is unclear for many pests. The limited research available for three of the most major introduced pests of trees in eastern North American forests exemplifies potential variation in associations of tree pests with non-native plants. After invasion by the beetle emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis* Fairmaire), non-native plants increased after cutting *Fraxinus* trees for attempted quarantine of the invading insects (Hausman et al. 2010). However, without attempted cutting for quarantine of this pest, non-native plants have not increased (Abella et al. 2019) or have increased when already present (e.g., Hoven et al. 2017, Dolan and Kilgore 2018, Baron and Rubin 2021). After invasion by the sap-sucking insect hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae* Annand), non-native plants already present increased in one study (Eschtruth and Battles 2009) and not in another, but new invasions occurred (Small et al. 2005). After arrival of beech bark disease (a complex of an invasive insect and fungal pathogen), no non-native plants were recorded in diseased forest sites (Cale et al. 2013). With existing invasions of pests that afflict trees expanding and new introductions accumulating, further understanding their potential associations with invasive plants is a research priority (Gougherty et al. 2023).

We examined change in cover of the non-native liana Oriental bittersweet (*Celastrus orbiculatus* Thunb.; hereafter *Celastrus*) after ongoing death and sanitation removal of black oak

(*Quercus velutina* Lam.) trees associated with the fungal pathogen oak wilt (*Bretziella fagacearum* (Bretz) Z.W. de Beer, Marincowitz, T.A. Duong & M.J. Wingfield). Oak wilt was first documented in the U.S. in the 1940s and was reported in 24 eastern states by 2009 (Juzwik et al. 2011). Trees of the red oak group are most susceptible to oak wilt and can die the first growing season after infection (Juzwik et al. 2011). The pathogen can spread belowground through root grafts and overland via flying beetles transporting it to wounds on trees. A protocol intended to contain the spread of oak wilt includes severing root connectivity with neighboring trees followed by sanitation cutting and removal of infected trees (Juzwik et al. 2010).

In the oak wilt-affected forests of our study area, *Celastrus* was the dominant non-native plant species and the focus of our study. Originally from temperate climates in Asia, *Celastrus* is thought to have been introduced to North America (New York State) by the 1880s as a horticultural plant and has since spread to at least 34 states and five Canadian provinces (McKenzie-Gopsill and MacDonald 2021). We addressed the following questions: 1) Does cover of *Celastrus* vary among forest sites receiving one-time oak wilt containment treatments 1-10 years prior or change through time spanning our five-year study period (2020-2024)? 2) Is variation in *Celastrus* cover correlated with tree canopy cover?

Materials and Methods

Study Area and Oak Wilt Treatments

Within the 45,000-ha Oak Openings region in northwestern Ohio, USA, we performed the study in the 200-ha Wildwood Preserve (41°40'53"N, -83°40'26"W), managed by Metroparks Toledo. Oak forests in the preserve contain overstory trees 80-120+ years old of mostly *Q*. *velutina* with some white oak (*Quercus alba* L.). Total live basal area of these *Quercus* species in undisturbed forests is typically 30-50 m^2 ha^{-1} with 90-97% tree canopy cover. Understories typically contain vascular plants of all growth forms including native shrubs, tree seedlings, and herbaceous plants with mixtures of forbs, graminoids, and ferns (Abella et al. 2021). Soils are sandy Udipsamments of the Ottokee and Oakville series. Climate is temperate, averaging 86 cm $year^{-1}$ of precipitation (Toledo Airport weather station, National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC). Within our 2020-2024 study period, early to mid-summer (May through July) precipitation was 108% of the 26-cm (1955-2024) average, being 92% (2020), 133% (2021), 110% (2022), 87% (2023), and 116% (2024).

https://doi.org/10.1017/inp.2025.3 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Oak wilt was first noted in the preserve after 2010 based on symptoms exhibited by trees, signs of fungal presence on likely infected trees (Juzwik et al. 2011), and on tissue samples collected from symptomatic trees testing positive for oak wilt (C. Wayne Ellett Plant and Pest Diagnostic Clinic, Ohio State University, Reynoldsburg, OH). Thereafter, in scattered sites throughout the preserve, individual or groups of 2-3 mature trees of the susceptible *Q. velutina* showing oak wilt sign and symptoms began dying, often within the same year that symptoms were detected. In 2015, Metroparks Toledo began implementing an oak wilt containment protocol consisting of: 1) using a vibratory plow blade, mounted on a Ditch Witch RT125Q Quad Ride-On Tractor (Charles Machine Works, Inc., Perry, OK, USA), to establish a containment trench line (1.5 m deep and 4 cm wide) in the soil designed to sever root connectivity for 30 m around symptomatic trees and encircling the individual or groups of 2-3 symptomatic trees, 2) sanitation cutting (using chainsaws with cuts made just above ground level) of symptomatic trees within the containment line, and 3) chipping of wood and slash of the infected trees and removal of the chipped material (Juzwik et al. 2010). Although assessing effectiveness of this attempted containment would require a below and aboveground fungal distribution and transport investigation beyond the scope of our study, prior research in similar oak forests in Minnesota concluded that the containment protocol slowed the spread of oak wilt from infection centers for at least 4-6 years (Juzwik et al. 2010).

Data Collection and Analysis

We defined an oak wilt treatment site as the canopy gap centered on a single tree or group of 2-3 trees sanitation cut and encircled by a containment line following the protocol described above. We designated oak wilt treatments by their age according to the growing season immediately following the dormant season completion of the one-time treatments. We named these treatments as old (established in 2015 and age 6 years in 2020 when our study began and age 10 years in 2024 when our study ended), middle-aged (2018; age 3 years in 2020 and 7 years in 2024), and young (2020; age 1 year in 2020 and 5 years in 2024). Sites containing dead trees consistent with oak wilt symptoms, but that had not received oak wilt treatments, were not available to sample because managers wished to avoid leaving areas with potentially unabated spread of oak wilt. This situation of unavailability of invaded, untreated sites is common in invasive species science and management but can enable comparison of invaded, treated sites with uninvaded, reference sites (McNair et al. 2024). We used this type of design in our study. Thus, while oak wilt and its

https://doi.org/10.1017/inp.2025.3 Published online by Cambridge University Press

attempted containment are an inseparably combined influence in our study, we were able to sample mature oak forest sites without evidence of oak wilt as untreated reference forest. We randomly selected 7 sites for sampling for each of the three oak wilt treatment ages and for reference forests, totaling 28 sites. Sample sites among treatments and reference forests were interspersed across the landscape, averaged 0.3 km apart, had an extent of 1.0 km, and were intermixed on the same soil series (Ottokee and Oakville).

During peak plant cover in June-July, we measured *Celastrus* cover within a circular, 100-m^2 plot centered on the single or central stump of removed *Q. velutina* trees at each of the 21 oak wilt treatment sites. In each of the 7 sites in reference forests, we centered the plot on the bole of the nearest live *Q. velutina* tree to the randomly selected point. In 2020, stump diameters of focal, sanitized trees ranged from 29-130 cm in plots within treatment sites and from 45-107 cm for live, mature trees in reference forest plots. In all 28 plots in 2020, 2022, and 2024, we visually categorized areal cover of *Celastrus* as 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, and 1%, 1% intervals to 10% cover, and 5% intervals above 10% cover, up to the maximum 100% areal cover. Nearly all (typically 99-100%) *Celastrus* cover occurred as plants growing unsupported or mat-like on other understory plants or sub-canopy tree saplings, rather than as climbing plants on overstory trees (Fig. 1). Taxonomic identification of *Celastrus* in the study area included collecting and depositing two specimens (T.L. Walters #4077 and #4535) in a herbarium (Cleveland Museum of Natural History, Department of Botany, Cleveland, OH, USA). We also recorded tree canopy cover (defined as live foliage on stems above a height of 3 m) averaged per plot from sighting tube measurements (densitometer manufactured by Geographic Resource Solutions, Arcata, CA, USA) at the center and four cardinal directions along the perimeter of each plot.

We analyzed log10-transformed *Celastrus* cover using a generalized linear mixed model including oak wilt treatment (three treatment ages and reference forest), sample year (2020, 2022, and 2024), their interaction, and plot as the repeated measures subject. We performed the analysis in SAS 9.4 using PROC GLIMMIX with autoregressive structure and Tukey tests for multiple comparisons. We then examined association between tree canopy cover and *Celastrus* cover through time using repeated measures correlation (Marusich and Bakdash 2021).

Results and Discussion

In 2020 when our study began, *Celastrus* inhabited nearly all plots (25 of 28, 89%), being absent from only one young oak wilt treatment plot and two reference forest plots without evidence of oak wilt. By 2024, all 28 plots contained *Celastrus*. Mean *Celastrus* cover varied with the main effects of oak wilt treatment ($F_{3, 24}$ = 4.0, P = 0.019), study year ($F_{2, 48}$ = 57.7, P < 0.001), and their interaction ($F_{6, 48}$ = 3.3, P = 0.009; Fig. 2). In 2020 among different-aged oak wilt treatments, mean *Celastrus* cover ranged from 0.3% (young treatments one year old in 2020) to 1.0% (old treatments five years old in 2020), compared with 0.4% in reference forest. Subsequently, *Celastrus* cover increased sharply through time between 2020 and 2024. The increase was disproportionately high in oak wilt sites, increasing on average by 22× (old treatments) to 32× (middle-aged treatments), compared with 3× in reference forest. By 2024, *Celastrus* mean cover ranged from 6% (young) to 22% (old) among oak wilt treatments, compared with 1% in reference forest. Maximum covers among plots (all of which were in old oak wilt treatments) increased sharply from 3% in 2020 to 20% in 2022 and 60% in 2024.

Celastrus cover was not correlated with tree canopy cover across all years and plots (repeated measures r = 0.14, P = 0.316, df = 55) nor across only oak wilt plots (repeated measures r = 0.15, P = 0.334, df = 41). Nearly all or all (99-100%) of the *Celastrus* cover was in understories as a shrub- or mat-like growth form rather than as climbers on tree boles.

Results suggest that *Celastrus* was present at low cover in reference forest, and the inseparable influence in our study of oak wilt and its attempted containment acted as a catalyst for a major increase in *Celastrus* cover. As an influence of oak wilt was killing mature oaks to create canopy gaps, it may seem surprising that *Celastrus* cover was not correlated with tree canopy cover. Average tree canopy cover narrowly ranged from 51-60% among oak wilt treatments (compared with 91% in reference forest) and changed little (by |2-9|%) between 2020 and 2024. The lack of correlation between tree canopy cover and *Celastrus* cover could result from the qualitative presence of a canopy gap serving as a release event stimulating *Celastrus* growth, then *Celastrus* cover continuing to increase under minimally temporally varying canopy cover, resulting in little correlation. Although as mentioned previously our study is not intended to partition the potential relative influences of oak wilt-related tree mortality from disturbance associated with its attempted containment, prior research with *Celastrus* and its traits suggest that the appearance of canopy gaps in the presence of *Celastrus* seedlings was likely a major contributor to *Celastrus*'s increase (Pavlovic and Leicht-Young 2011). Although *Celastrus* may not form persistent soil seed banks, the species' shade tolerance enables persistence of seedlings in shaded, forest understories (Ellsworth et al. 2004). These seedlings grow slowly in shade but

https://doi.org/10.1017/inp.2025.3 Published online by Cambridge University Press

can initiate rapid growth if light increases (McNab and Meeker 1987). As *Celastrus* fruits are dispersed by animals (e.g., birds), which may be attracted to oak wilt openings, the increase in *Celastrus* cover we observed could stem from accelerated growth of existing seedlings as well as new recruitment (Greenberg et al. 2001, McNab and Loftis 2002).

To what extent oak wilt as a disturbance is unique in facilitating *Celastrus* invasion is not clear. Prior research has reported increases in *Celastrus* after logging (Silveri et al. 2001) and wind disturbance (Berg et al. 2023). A potential difference between these typically more discrete disturbance types and oak wilt is that oak wilt apparently kills trees on a more continual basis across the landscape (Juzwik et al. 2011). As these oak wilt-created canopy gaps form, *Celastrus* cover can keep increasing within them for at least 10 years based on our results and similar to continued increases across two decades observed after tree windthrow in North Carolina (Berg et al. 2023). In our study, this temporal pattern resulted in persistently increasing *Celastrus* cover in existing oak wilt sites, as well as *Celastrus* increasing as new oak wilt sites continually formed, cumulatively increasing the amount of *Celastrus* cover across the landscape.

Celastrus can negatively affect native plant communities by reducing growth or killing trees that the liana climbs and by shading and outcompeting native understory plants (McNab and Meeker 1987). The sharp increase in *Celastrus* we observed at oak wilt sites suggests that treating *Celastrus* could aid forest adaptation to oak wilt. Treating *Celastrus* (e.g., using herbicide; McKenzie-Gopsill and MacDonald 2021) proactively in reference forests where it occurred at low cover could potentially temper the increase that would otherwise occur if or when oak wilt arrived. Although treating *Celastrus* mats that have already formed on top of other understory plants following oak wilt presence may be more challenging, large-diameter stems of *Celastrus* can be controlled by cutting (McKenzie-Gopsill and MacDonald 2021). As *Celastrus* cover increased sharply through time in oak wilt treatments, co-managing oak wilt and *Celastrus* may help favor native plants in oak wilt patches. Our study highlights how forest changes from a tree-afflicting pest and its attempted containment were followed by acceleration of non-native plant invasion.

https://doi.org/10.1017/inp.2025.3 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Acknowledgments

We thank Zuri Carter, Tim Schetter, and Jay Wright (Metroparks Toledo) for facilitating the study; Metroparks Toledo interns Ashley Fink and Elizabeth Stahl for help sampling in 2022; LaRae Sprow, Jason Diver, Tim Gallaher, and Metroparks staff for planning and implementing oak wilt treatments; Josh Brenwell for GIS support; and two anonymous reviewers for comments on the manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by Metroparks Toledo through a contract to Natural Resource Conservation LLC.

Competing Interests

The authors declare none known.

References

Abella SR, Hausman CE, Jaeger JF, Menard KS, Schetter TA, Rocha OJ (2019) Fourteen years of swamp forest change from the onset, during, and after invasion of emerald ash borer. Biol Invasions 21:3685–3696

Abella SR, Sprow LA, Walters TL, Schetter TA (2021) Forest community structure and composition following containment treatments for the fungal pathogen oak wilt. Biol Invasions 23:3733–3747

Baron JN, Rubin BD (2021) Secondary invasion? Emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*) induced ash (*Fraxinus* spp.) mortality interacts with ecological integrity to facilitate European buckthorn (*Rhamnus cathartica*). Can J For Res 51:455–464

Berg EC, McNab H, Zarnoch S (2023) Asiatic bittersweet presence and cover over 20 years in upland hardwood forests after hurricane disturbance. J Torrey Bot Soc 150:484–502

Burnham KM, Lee TD (2010) Canopy gaps facilitate establishment, growth, and reproduction of invasive *Frangula alnus* in a *Tsuga canadensis* dominated forest. Biol Invasions 12:1509–1520

Cale JA, McNulty SA, Teale SA, Castello JD (2013) The impact of beech thickets on biodiversity. Biol Invasions 15:699–706

Dolan B, Kilgore J (2018) Forest regeneration following emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis* Fairemaire) enhances mesophication in eastern hardwood forests. Forests 9:353

Ellsworth JW, Harrington RA, Fownes JH (2004) Seedling emergence, growth, and allocation of Oriental bittersweet: effects of seed input, seed bank, and forest floor litter. For Ecol Manage 190:255–264

Eschtruth AK, Battles JJ (2009) Acceleration of exotic plant invasion in a forested ecosystem by a generalist herbivore. Conserv Biol 23:388–399

Gougherty AV, Elliott JM, LaRue EA, Gallion J, Fei S (2023) Positive association between emerald ash borer residence time and accumulation of invasive plants. Ecosphere 14:e4719

Greenberg CH, Smith LM, Levey DJ (2001) Fruit fate, seed germination and growth of an invasive vine – an experimental test of 'sit and wait' strategy. Biol Invasions 3:363–372

Hausman CE, Jaeger JF, Rocha OJ (2010) Impacts of the emerald ash borer (EAB) eradication and tree mortality: potential for a secondary spread of invasive plant species. Biol Invasions 12:2013–2023

Hoven BM, Gorchov DL, Knight KS, Peters VE (2017) The effect of emerald ash borer-caused tree mortality on the invasive shrub Amur honeysuckle and their combined effects on tree and shrub seedlings. Biol Invasions 19:2813–2836

Juzwik J, O'Brien J, Evenson C, Castillo P, Mahal G (2010) Controlling spread of the oak wilt pathogen (*Ceratocystis fagacearum*) in a Minnesota urban forest park reserve. Arboriculture Urban For 36:171–178

Juzwik J, Appel DN, MacDonald WL, Burks S (2011) Challenges and successes in managing oak wilt in the United States. Plant Disease 95:888–900

Marusich LR, Bakdash JZ (2021) rmcorrShiny: a web and standalone application for repeated measures correlation. F1000Research 10:697

McKenzie–Gopsill A, MacDonald AN (2021) The biology of invasive alien plants in Canada. 14. *Celastrus orbiculatus* Thunb. Can J Plant Sci 101:632–648

McNab WH, Meeker M (1987) Oriental bittersweet: a growing threat to hardwood silviculture in the Appalachians. Northern J Appl For 4:174–177

McNab WH, Loftis DL (2002) Probability of occurrence and habitat features for Oriental bittersweet in an oak forest in the southern Appalachian Mountains, USA. For Ecol Manage 155:45–54

McNair JN, Frobish D, Rice EK, Thum RA (2024) Alternative study designs and nonparametric statistical methods for adaptive management studies of invasive plants. Invasive Plant Sci Manage (in press)

Pavlovic NB, Leicht–Young SA (2011) Are temperate mature forests buffered from invasive lianas? J Torrey Bot Soc 138:85–92

Silveri A, Dunwiddie PW, Michaels HJ (2001) Logging and edaphic factors in the invasion of an Asian woody vine in a mesic North American forest. Biol Invasions 3:379–389

Small MJ, Small CJ, Dreyer GD (2005) Changes in a hemlock–dominated forest following woolly adelgid infestation in southern New England. J Torrey Bot Soc 132:458–470

Figure 1. Example plot showing the increase in cover of the invasive *Celastrus orbiculatus* in a young containment treatment for the fungal pathogen oak wilt, Wildwood Preserve, Ohio, USA. On this plot in June 2020 (the first growing season and three months after oak wilt sanitation treatment), *C. orbiculatus* had 0.25% cover, increasing to 2% in 2022 and 10% in 2024. In the June 2024 photo, *C. orbiculatus* formed a mat-like covering (visible in the foreground and topped with twining *C. orbiculatus*) on native woody and herbaceous understory plants and had also climbed the *Prunus serotina* tree in the foreground on the right. Photos by S.R. Abella.



Figure 2. Variation in mean cover of invasive *Celastrus orbiculatus* across oak wilt containment treatments and study years, Wildwood Preserve, Ohio, USA. Error bars are + 1 SEM. Means without shared letters differ at $P < 0.05$. Ages of oak wilt treatments during the 2020-2024 study period were 1-5 (young), 3-7 (middle), and 6-10 years (old). Plots in reference forest did not display evidence of oak wilt and were untreated for oak wilt.

https://doi.org/10.1017/inp.2025.3 Published online by Cambridge University Press