

## EDITORIAL POLICY & GUIDELINES FOR CONTRIBUTORS

The *European Constitutional Law Review* (EuConst) follows the classical approach of constitutionalism, to discuss EU law's developments as well as comparative public law of European states, political and constitutional theory and history. The journal is a platform for scholarly discussion of European constitutional events and evolution. It is open to contributions in this field from any country in the world and from any discipline. These contributions should satisfy as to substance, apart from the common scholarly criteria, two specific conditions, to a) have a distinctly European relevance and b) include a reference to and discussion of legal aspects involved.

### *Submitting an article, case note or book review*

The editors of the *European Constitutional Law Review* are happy to receive contributions on relevant subjects at any time. Before submitting, authors should ensure that their contribution falls within the scope of EuConst as stated above.

Manuscripts should be sent in Microsoft Word format (and not, specifically, in PDF format) to enable editing, anonymisation and comments. EuConst has an exclusive submission policy. Authors are required to state clearly, when submitting, that their contribution is not under consideration elsewhere.

Articles and case notes can be sent by email to [euconst@uva.nl](mailto:euconst@uva.nl). Authors of article contributions are asked to aim for a length of no more than 10,000 words (including footnotes). Case notes should not exceed 5,000 words. Upon request, the editors will consider whether relaxation of these limits is justified.

Book reviews can be sent to our book review editors Nik de Boer and Vestert Borger at [euconst-books@uva.nl](mailto:euconst-books@uva.nl). Book reviews should not exceed 5,000 words. For more information on the EuConst book review section, see [cambridge.org/euconst](http://cambridge.org/euconst) under Information – Book review info.

All submissions must be written in good English. Authors who are uncertain whether their English is of sufficient quality, should have their manuscript reviewed and edited by a native speaker with a background in law. Accepted contributions will be edited, linguistically and substantively, subject to authors' approval. Authors should ensure that their submissions conform to the house style. A style sheet is available on the journal website, [cambridge.org/euconst](http://cambridge.org/euconst) under Information – Instructions for contributors.

### *Special sections*

EuConst is happy to host a special section of articles stemming from a conference or research project in one of its issues each year. We are especially interested in sets of articles that form a coherent whole of excellent research and fit well into the scope of our journal. A call for proposals is issued each year and one proposal selected. Please see our journal homepage [cambridge.org/euconst](http://cambridge.org/euconst) for any active call for proposals.



The *European Constitutional Law Review* is edited at the G.K. VAN HOGENDORP CENTRE FOR EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL STUDIES, a Jean Monnet centre of excellence at the University of Amsterdam.

# EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW REVIEW

## 2021 VOLUME 17 ISSUE 1

### CONTENTS

#### *Articles*

Renata MIEŃKOWSKA-NORKIENE – The Political Impact of the Case Law of the Court of Justice of the European Union – 1

Charlotte REYNS – Saving Judicial Independence: A Threat to the Preliminary Ruling Mechanism? – 26

Marcin ROJSZCZAK – Extraterritorial Bulk Surveillance after the German BND Act Judgment – 53

David QUINN – The Law and Norms of the European Central Bank as Sovereign Lender of Last Resort: Crystallising Endogenous Authority – 78

#### *Case Notes*

Marian ENACHE and Cristina TITIRIȘCĂ – References for Preliminary Rulings Submitted in a priori Constitutional Review. Insights from Romania in Light of Decision No. 137 of 13 March 2019 of the Romanian Constitutional Court – 107

Aleksandra GLISZCZYŃSKA-GRABIAS and Wojciech SADURSKI – The Judgment That Wasn't (But Which Nearly Brought Poland to a Standstill) – 130

#### *Book Review Essay*

Suvi SANKARI – Is Yet Another Book on Constitutional Pluralism Worth Reading? – 154

Darinka PIQANI – A Tale of Three Constitutional Courts in Democratic Transitions – 163

**Cambridge Core**

For further information about this journal please  
go to the journal website at:

[cambridge.org/euconst](https://www.cambridge.org/euconst)



**MIX**  
Paper from  
responsible sources  
**FSC® C007785**

**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS