Journal of Developmental Origins of Health and Disease

www.cambridge.org/doh

Original Article

Cite this article: Artigas R, Vega-Tapia F, Hamilton J, and Krause BJ. (2021) Dynamic DNA methylation changes in early versus late adulthood suggest nondeterministic effects of childhood adversity: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Developmental Origins of Health and Disease* **12**: 768–779. doi: 10.1017/S2040174420001075

Received: 13 July 2020 Revised: 5 October 2020 Accepted: 12 October 2020 First published online: 14 December 2020

Keywords:

Adverse childhood experiences; epigenetics; aging; DNA methylation

Address for correspondence:

Bernardo J. Krause, Avenida Libertador Bernardo O'Higgins 611, Rancagua, Chile. Email: bjkrause@gmail.com

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press in association with International Society for Developmental Origins of Health and Disease.



Dynamic DNA methylation changes in early versus late adulthood suggest nondeterministic effects of childhood adversity: a meta-analysis

CrossMark

Rocio Artigas^{1,2}, Fabián Vega-Tapia³, James Hamilton^{1,4} and Bernardo J. Krause^{1,3}

¹CUIDA – Centro de Investigación del Abuso y la Adversidad Temprana, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Avenida Libertador Bernardo O'Higgins 340, Santiago, Chile; ²Instituto de Ingeniería Biológica y Médica, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Macul, Santiago, Chile; ³Instituto de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad de O'Higgins, Avenida Libertador Bernardo O'Higgins 611, Rancagua, Chile and ⁴Fundación Para la Confianza, Pérez Valenzuela 1264, Providencia, Santiago, Chile

Abstract

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) are associated with a high risk of developing chronic diseases and decreased life expectancy, but no ACE epigenetic biomarkers have been identified until now. The latter may result from the interaction of multiple factors such as age, sex, degree of adversity, and lack of transcriptional effects of DNA methylation changes. We hypothesize that DNA methylation changes are related to childhood adversity levels and current age, and these markers evolve as aging proceeds. Two Gene Expression Omnibus datasets, regarding ACE, were selected (GSE72680 and GSE70603), considering raw- and meta-data availability, including validated ACE index (Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ) score). For DNA methylation, analyzed probes were restricted to those laying within promoters and first exons, and samples were grouped by CTQ scores terciles, to compare highly (ACE) with non-abused (control) cases. Comparison of control and ACE methylome profile did not retrieve differentially methylated CpG sites (DMCs) after correcting by false discovery rate < 0.05, and this was also observed when samples were separated by sex. In contrast, grouping by decade age ranges (i.e., the 20s, 30s, 40s, and 50s) showed a progressive increase in the number of DMCs and the intensity of changes, mainly related with hypomethylation. Comparison with transcriptome data for ACE subjects in the 40s, and 50s showed a similar age-dependent effect. This study provides evidence that epigenetic markers of ACE are age-dependent, but not defined in the long term. These differences among early, middle, and late adulthood epigenomic profiles suggest a window for interventions aimed to prevent the detrimental effects of ACE.

Introduction

Compelling evidence shows that early life adversity, including prenatal conditions, can negatively impact cognitive development and social functioning and increase the risk for acute and chronic health problems, mental illness, and deviant behavior^{1,2}. In particular, adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) resulting from childhood abuse (physical, sexual, or emotional) and neglect (physical or emotional), household dysfunction (e.g., intrafamilial violence) and hostile social environment have detrimental consequences on the well-being at long term, decreasing life expectancy and increasing the risk of noncommunicable chronic diseases^{3,4}.

Based on the strong relationship with adult health and the long-term consequences of ACE, many studies have aimed to identify molecular mediators that may register early-life experiences^{5,6}. In this regard, epigenetic mechanisms, such as DNA methylation, histone modifications, and ncRNAs, may result in a distinctive epigenetic signature of genes whose potential expression has been previously primed during early stages of life, and these epigenetic signatures may differ from that impinged by pregnancy or neonatal stress^{7,8}. Pioneer studies from Weaver and colleagues showed that maternal neglect in rats affects stress responses in the adult offspring, an effect mediated by the altered expression of the glucocorticoid receptor, resulting from changes in the DNA methylation pattern of the Nr3c1 gene promoter⁹. Since that report, several studies have reported epigenetic changes in peripheral tissues (i.e., circulating blood cells and saliva) from subjects with a history of ACE¹⁰.

Moreover, it appears that different forms of childhood maltreatment, not surprisingly, produce distinct effects on particular brain regions and circuits and the heterogeneity of the patient's past and more recent experience represents another important variable^{11,12}.



Evidence from combined imaging genetics and genetic studies has revealed the importance of selective polymorphisms of candidate genes as particularly impactful on provocative funtional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies of, for example, amygdala responsiveness to fearful stimuli¹³. Nonetheless, there is no consensus regarding an epigenetic biomarker or profile for ACE^{10,14}. The latter may result from the interaction of multiple factors such as age, sex, degree of adversity, and lack of transcriptional effects of DNA methylation changes studied^{10,14}. For instance, scoring ACE is difficult considering the retrospective and subjective evaluation of each subject that can be influenced by additional positive childhood experiences and current life stress at the moment in which a subject participates in a study¹⁵. Similarly, several reports show a sex-dependent effect of ACE, as well as accelerated aging that is more evident in older subjects⁸. In this regard, many of the reported DNA methylation changes occur in intergenic regions whose biological significance remains unsolved and therefore may bias the identification of epigenetic markers playing a role in gene expression.

To tackle these issues, a meta-analysis of genome-wide DNA methylation based on Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) datasets

focused on gene regions that show a DNA methylation–gene expression relationship was performed, in subjects with very high and very low exposure to ACE, according to their Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ) score (Fig. 1). Furthermore, comparison between control and ACE subjects considered sex, age ranges, and were complemented with transcriptomic reports in a comparable group.

Methods

Methylome arrays datasets selection and databases construction

Data search was conducted according to PRISMA guidelines (https://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/prisma/). This meta-analysis concerning Childhood Adverse Events (ACE) was based on the selection of 26 GEO databases, under the keywords ACE and epigenetics. Even though the output of the mentioned approach included ACE, post-traumatic stress disorders, panic disorders, and others, this study focused on reports based on ACE and methylome/transcriptomic platforms, specifically

 Table 1. Discovery Library tertiles description

CTQ tertile	CTQ score	Samples	Tissue	Selected probes
1 st	25–30	125	Whole Blood	40561
2 nd	31-44	130	Whole Blood	40561
3 rd	45-119	129	Whole Blood	40561

CTQ, childhood trauma questionnaire.

those having available raw and meta-data, including the CTQ score for each patient. Based on all the above, two studies with epigenomic data from the same array (Illumina 450K) were selected; however, preliminary analysis showed a considerable batch effect, and the dataset with the large number of cases was used for the study (Fig. 1, Supplementary Figure 1). From GSE72680¹⁶ used samples belonging to Cohort 1 group of the "DNA Methylation of African Americans from the Grady Trauma Project" (referred as Discovery Library) and GSE70603¹⁷ in the case (German subjects from the study "Investigation of gene expression responses to acute stress exposure in adults with early childhood adversity experience"), 45-min pre-stress group was included in the current analysis, being used as the Comparison Library.

After downloading the available meta-data, as well as the methylome and transcriptomic arrays raw data, methylome databases were constructed. To identify the effect that the methylation within the promoter and the first gene segment has on its expression, probes were filtering, keeping only those probes that localize within one of the following gene segments: first exon, 5'UTR, TSS200, or TSS1500 based on previous observation related with DNA methylation levels and gene expression in human cells¹⁸. The CTQ scores range and the number of total samples corresponding to each one of the CTQ terciles, as well as the number of selected probes, are shown in Table 1.

Exploratory analysis

Discovery Library samples were divided into terciles (i.e., T1, T2, and T3), according to CTQ score distribution, where T1 represents patients with the lowest CTQ scores, while T3 the highest (Table 1). Considering the difficulties to establish clear cutoff for substantial and non-substantial exposure to ACE, only T3 (high CTQ score, ACE) and T1 (low CTQ score, controls) were used for analysis. Exploratory steps carried out, in both for Discovery and Comparison libraries, were based on principal component analysis (PCA), to visualize the distribution and grouping of the samples, and based on their clinical ACE versus control condition. Since this algorithm reduces the dimensionality of the data keeping the directions with the highest variability, the distribution of the sample is plotted in the base of these directions or principal components and the samples are expected to group according to the main differences or similarities between them¹⁹. Samples grouping according to other variables, such as sex, age, and BMI, were explored in the base of their PCAs was also performed. For differentially methylated CpG sites (DMCs) analysis, data were fitted using linear models for microarrays data, using the R limma package²⁰, and data were normalized by quantiles²¹. All the analyses were performed using the R Software, 3.6.3 version (https://cran.rproject.org/).

Differentially expressed genes analysis

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) analysis was performed in parallel in groups over 40 years old (40<age<49 and 50<age)

belonging to the Comparison Library, following the same procedure used for DMCs obtention. After DMCs and DEGs were determined in parallel, common genes between the resultant hypo-/hypermethylated CpG sites and the up-/downregulated genes were obtained, respectively. The integration of these results with DMC was performed in both 40<age<49 and 50<age groups, independently.

Functional analysis

Overlapping genes between DNA methylome and transcriptome data were detected visually through Venn diagrams analysis using Venny 2.1 (https://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/). Concordance in DMC among different age ranges studied were analyzed by chord plots using the web tool Circos (http://mkweb.bcgsc.ca/tableviewer/visualize/)²². Gene subsets were created including these overlapping genes, and these gene subsets were labeled according to the direction of the changes (downregulated/hypomethylated; upregulated/hypomethylated; downregulated/hypermethylated; upregulated/hypermethylated). Each subset was submitted to Gene Ontology (GO) biological process enrichment analysis using the web tool InnateDB (https://www.innatedb.com/).

Statistical analysis

Comparison of DNA methylation profiling was based on Limma statistical analysis, by comparing the mean methylation level of each probe (beta value). Differences considered the following cut-off, a *P*-value < 0.05 with and adjustment for multiple comparisons using a false discovery rate (FDR, Benjamini–Hochberg) < 0.05. Fold changes were expressed as mean methylation level of a probe relative to contrast condition (i.e., non-ace, age, sex), and transformed to log(2) for graph ploting. Analysis of global changes in DNA methylation was compared by one-way ANOVA using the software GraphPad Prism 8.

Results

Methylome array exploratory analysis and DMCs

Comparison of genome-wide DNA methylation changes between control and ACE subjects, as well as comparison by sex in the Discovery Library, showed no clear separation by PCA (Fig. 2a and 2b), with very few DMCs, after correction with an FDR < 0.05 (data not shown). Nevertheless, when subjects within each group were separated by age ranges (i.e., 20–29; 30–39; 40–49; 50-77), no clear PCA grouping was observed (Fig. 2c-2f), but epigenetic differences between ACE and control conditions were higher and with several DMCs over the cutoff values applied, especially in subjects above 40 years. After DMCs analysis for each one of the age ranges, samples partially clustered according to their ACE history (Fig. 3), and this effect resulted more evident in 20<age<29 and in 30<age<39 groups (Fig. 3a and 3b). The number of the epigenetic differences associated with ACE was higher in older subjects (Fig. 3 volcano plots), and most of them were hypomethylation marks. The number of DMCs and genes with changes in methylation within each age range is detailed in Table 2. In young adults (20-39 years old) most of the DMCs occurred as single-gene changes, while older subjects showed a higher proportion of DMC within each gene (Supplementary Table 1).



Fig. 2. Visualization of Discovery Library samples distribution. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the samples from the Discovery Library (n = 189) for visual analysis. An initial assessment was performed with the whole library based on (a) CTQ score terciles and (b) sex. To evaluate the impact of age, PCA was performed based on CTQ score terciles at different age ranges: (c) 20–29 years; (d) 30–39 years; (e) 40–49 years; and (f) 50 years old and older. Red: ACE (n = 95); blue: control (n = 94); purple: female (n = 137); yellow: male (n = 52).



Fig. 3. Age-dependent fluctuations on DNA methylation associated with ACE. Heatmaps for the DMCs and their respective gene and CpGs dendrograms obtained in the different age groups based on CTQ score and sex (a–d). Volcano plots are also included showing significant differences in CpG methylation. Green: hypomethylated; red: hypermethylated. Values expressed as log(2) of fold change and log of *P*-value.

Age range	CTQ tertile 1 (n)	CTQ tertile 3 (n)	DMCs (<i>P</i> < 0.05)	Genes
20-29	16	12	179	151
30-39	17	26	64	60
40-49	25	32	1912	1378
50-77	36	25	1608	1068

Table 2. Differentially methylated CpG sites obtained according to age ranges

DMCs, differentially methylated CpG sites

Comparison of DMC profiles by decades in adults with ACE history

Considering that the number of DMCs in young adults with ACE history were less than 10% of those observed in subjects over 40 years, DMC occurring in the first two decades were searched in older groups showing a partial and non-preserved representation of hypomethylations across different ages (Fig. 4a). Furthermore, none of the hypermethylation changes observed in young adults were found in subjects over 50 years old (Fig. 4b). Additionally, global changes in DNA methylation across decades were different for hypomethylation (values as mean of fold change with [interquartile range]; 20s, 0.93 [0.88-0.95]; 30s, 0.96 [0.95-0.97]; 40s, 0.96 [0.93-0.97]; 50s, 0.84 [0.81-0.86]) (Fig. 4c) and hypermethylation (20s, 1.14 [1.08–1.20]; 30s, 1.08 [1.06–1.37]; 40s, 1.05 [1.04-1.08]; 50s 1.18 [1.17-1.23]) (Fig. 4d). The lack of concordance in genes with DMC among age ranges, either considering general changes or hyper- and hypomethylation, was also observed between the 40s and 50s (Supplementary Figure 2). Independently of probes or genes with DMC, comparison of top 10 hypo- and hypermethylated probes within each decade showed that fold changes were comparable among highly variable probes (Table 3).

Comparison of epigenomic and transcriptomic effects of ACE

To compare the epigenetic and transcriptomic changes related to ACE as molecular markers of childhood adversity, DEG analysis was performed using a dataset of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) in adult subjects reporting CTQ score. A unique dataset (GSE70603) with transcriptomic results in a comparable sample type (i.e., PBMC) was found according to the search term used, representing data from a cohort comprised of adults over 40 years old from Germany. As occurred with DNA methylation analysis, transcriptomic changes, in subjects between 40 and 49 years old, did not separate samples according to CTQ or sex in PCA (Fig. 5a and 5b). However, clear clustering was noticed according to DEG (Fig. 5c) between ACE and control subjects aged between 40 and 49 years, with a higher proportion of upregulated genes (876 up- vs. 688 downregulated transcripts) (Fig. 5d). Similarly, transcriptomic changes in subjects over 50 years old did not separate samples according to CTQ or sex in PCA (Fig. 6a and 6b), but there was a clear clustering according to DEGs, (Fig. 6c), with a higher proportion of upregulated genes (1016 up- vs. 856 downregulated transcripts) (Fig. 6d).

The concordance between genes with DMCs and differential expression was addressed by Venn diagram analysis. Based on

those genes sharing transcriptional and methylation changes in subjects over 50 years of age (Fig. 7a), functional enrichment of GO biological processes were determined (Table 4, Supplementary Table 2). Most of the hypomethylation changes were associated with upregulated genes. More than 50% of biological processes associated with upregulated genes and hypomethylation were also associated with downregulated genes (Fig. 7b). Additionally, most of these biological processes, common in upregulated genes as well as in hypomethylated CpG sites, were related to nervous system physiology and development (Fig. 6c).

Discussion

This meta-analysis aimed to determine the effect of high levels of ACE on the DNA methylation profile within gene expression-related regions in circulating cells, to further identify potential markers of childhood trauma. Further comparative analysis of transcriptomic changes related to ACE was performed to support the programming of biological processes. These data showed that ACE did not result in methylome changes when ACE subjects from different ages are considered, but there was an evident effect in subjects over 40 years old, in a sex-independent manner. Progressive changes in DNA methylation were associated with hypomethylation, which was more consistent in aged subjects and paralleled by increased gene expression in the comparison cohort. Furthermore, DNA methylation and transcriptomic profiles allowed to cluster subjects within each age range (40-49 years and over 50s) according to their exposure to ACE, and both profiles were associated with enriched biological processes related to the nervous system homeostasis and cortisol response. Altogether, these results suggest that ACE primes epigenetic and transcriptomic changes more evident in mature adults and suggest a potential window for interventions in young adults in which no prominent changes are observed.

Compelling evidence shows that ACEs, including prenatal conditions, can negatively impact cognitive development, chronic health problems, mental illness, impaired social functioning, and deviant behavior during the life course¹⁻⁴. Current knowledge largely relied on observational data and are thus limited by endogeneity bias, have retrospective designs, and show substantial heterogeneity in ACE definitions; therefore, there is a lack of causal relationships, with mechanisms and pathways poorly understood. Several reports have suggested that epigenetic mechanisms are at the forefront of how early-life experiences alter gene expression, frequently over the lifetime of the organism¹³. Despite a growing number of studies concerning gene-specific and genome-wide DNA methylation changes, no consensus epigenetic biomarker for ACE has been identified^{10,23}. One of the main issues in DNA methylation profiling studies is the functional relationship between changes in CpG methylation and gene expression. DNA methylation has been frequently associated with decreased gene expression; however, there is a complex interplay between the context and time in which a change in DNA methylation occurs and its effect on gene expression, and there is no clarity regarding the biological significance of intergenic DNA methylation changes^{24,25}. Nonetheless, a recent study in human embryos suggests that DNA methylation within the gene promoter and first exon shows the best correlation with gene expression¹⁸. Conversely, diverse samples and methods to



Fig. 4. Similarities in age-dependent changes in DNA methylation associated with ACE. Schematic representation of genes with DMC found in 20–29 years and 30–39 years, and their occurrence in older groups for (a) hypo- and (b) hypermethylations. Age-gene connecting ribbons represent the occurrence of a DMC for that gene in the corresponding decade, and concordance among age ranges is denoted by the concurrence of ribbons to a defined gene. Violin plots showing the distribution of changes in methylation (c, hypomethylation; d, hypermethylation) at different age ranges in ACE subjects. Values expressed as median (solid line) and interquartile range (red dotted lines), small letters denoting significant differences (P < 0.05) with 20–29 years (a), 30–39 years (b), 40–49 years (c), and over 50 years (d), one-way ANOVA.

determine DNA methylation have been applied, decreasing the applicability of the current knowledge^{26,27}. To overcome these issues, and to unveil an epigenomic mark for ACE with potential biological consequences, we searched DNA methylation datasets from studies reporting CTQ score, using peripheral blood cells, and similar profiling platforms to perform a meta-analysis only considering CpG sites within gene promoters and first exons. Based on the selection criteria, a dataset from the

Grady Trauma Project sample was selected (GSE72680), and extreme CTQ score terciles were defined as control (no ACE, low CTQ score tercile) and ACE (high CTQ -tercile).

A comparison of DNA methylation profile between ACE and control subjects showed very few DMCs between ACE and no ACE subjects. Further analysis considering sex showed no differences. Notably, there are no comparable results between the present data and the original study from Zannas and

Table 3. Top 10 hypo- and hypermethylated probes by decade

Table 3. (Continued)

Decade	Probe ID	Gene	Fold change	Mean DNAm	Adj. <i>P</i> -val	Decade	Probe ID	Gene	Fold change	Mean DNAm	Adj. <i>P</i> -val
20–29	cg14993186	RPS6KA6	0.76	0.24	8.85E-03	40-49	cg13877915	ZNF132	0.59	0.40	3.20E-02
20–29	cg16866567	PLEKHA2	0.76	0.05	4.61E-02	40-49	cg01449704	QRICH2	0.69	0.95	1.73E-02
20–29	cg09500531	MAGEE1	0.77	0.26	3.76E-03	40-49	cg25220359	HCRTR1	0.72	0.40	3.18E-02
20–29	cg01333849	PGRMC1	0.77	0.28	4.06E-03	40-49	cg03609493	MIR572	0.74	0.50	3.13E-02
20–29	cg24971873	KIAA2022	0.80	0.42	6.26E-04	40-49	cg15298323	ACAT2	0.74	0.26	1.02E-02
20–29	cg17936021	ZNF280C	0.82	0.40	9.61E-03	40-49	cg07042832	CLDN11	0.75	0.16	1.95E-02
20–29	cg00891541	SMPD3	0.83	0.62	1.55E-02	40-49	cg06131755	ACAT2	0.77	0.18	6.10E-03
20–29	cg05962092	KCNA7	0.83	0.43	3.51E-02	40-49	cg05531796	CCDC46	0.78	0.24	3.04E-02
20–29	cg13221899	C13orf27	0.83	0.46	4.90E-02	40-49	cg03049249	CCDC144A	0.79	0.57	4.17E-03
20–29	cg02944422	ZNF81	0.83	0.35	1.33E-02	40-49	cg16922167	FGR	1.16	0.54	7.07E-04
20–29	cg21762589	BNIP3	1.22	0.73	2.90E-02	40-49	cg24484138	C20orf112	1.16	0.43	7.09E-03
20–29	cg04089788	PLXNB2	1.22	0.52	1.20E-02	40-49	cg05922253	DGKK	1.17	0.44	8.77E-04
20–29	cg17878899	KIAA1210	1.23	0.68	1.20E-02	40-49	cg19628988	CXXC5	1.17	0.41	1.57E-02
20–29	cg01412970	PLD6	1.35	0.41	1.20E-02	40-49	cg22292345	LOC100129354	1.18	0.43	9.28E-04
20–29	cg05674437	PIGZ	1.48	0.92	3.64E-02	40-49	cg00713939	NAPRT1	1.22	0.09	1.72E-02
20–29	cg09393362	QRICH2	1.63	0.93	1.20E-02	40-49	cg07122893	OLFML2B	1.28	0.82	1.32E-02
20–29	cg09796800	SLC25A31	1.65	0.96	1.13E-02	40-49	cg12497786	FAM50B	1.28	0.62	3.61E-03
20–29	cg24010988	OOEP	1.65	0.96	1.13E-02	40-49	cg13862524	TDRD12	1.36	0.95	5.39E-03
20–29	cg06900650	TUBA3E	1.65	0.96	1.13E-02	40-49	cg09370594	LINGO3	1.51	0.96	9.22E-03
20–29	cg01263854	MC3R	1.65	0.96	1.13E-02	≥50	cg06503255	DND1	0.61	0.89	4.79E-02
30–39	cg11961138	IGFBP4	0.93	0.33	2.96E-02	≥50	cg25975621	ESRRG	0.65	0.12	4.49E-05
30–39	cg19587616	PRAF2	0.93	0.33	4.92E-02	≥50	cg00674365	ZNF471	0.66	0.12	4.58E-16
30–39	cg20560169	DIO3	0.94	0.10	4.88E-02	≥50	cg12869659	ZNF238	0.66	0.21	3.05E-11
30–39	cg07536910	EVX2	0.94	0.09	2.31E-02	≥50	cg13297960	NCAM2	0.67	0.20	1.30E-14
30–39	cg21159993	C5orf32	0.94	0.11	1.05E-02	≥50	cg23479922	MARCH11	0.67	0.28	1.15E-12
30–39	cg11286196	PRAF2	0.94	0.33	2.96E-02	≥50	cg24368848	ZSCAN1	0.67	0.13	3.39E-16
30–39	cg25499099	TNK1	0.94	0.15	4.31E-02	≥50	cg22664298	ADAMTS19	0.67	0.17	2.07E-02
30–39	cg06988368	ACP6	0.94	0.08	4.88E-02	≥50	cg19698993	ZNF238	0.67	0.19	5.20E-13
30–39	cg18292664	DBX1	0.95	0.06	2.96E-02	≥50	cg24713204	ZNF471	0.68	0.35	1.15E-14
30–39	cg07448060	MAGI2	0.95	0.11	4.31E-02	≥50	cg12032027	ZNF217	1.18	0.89	1.33E-07
30–39	cg07917127	C4orf37	1.09	0.05	4.40E-02	≥50	cg23463608	GNG7	1.18	0.44	1.16E-03
30–39	cg19576556	DUS1L	1.10	0.74	4.31E-02	≥50	cg13925011	KCNA3	1.18	0.65	2.05E-05
30–39	cg16593917	HPDL	1.11	0.36	2.96E-02	≥50	cg22459924	GNG7	1.18	0.64	4.27E-04
30–39	cg02249732	HOXD10	1.12	0.28	1.02E-02	≥50	cg01107178	ANKRD11	1.20	0.73	3.14E-05
30–39	cg01563671	KLHL34	1.14	0.61	2.96E-02	≥50	cg27513667	ANKRD11	1.22	0.75	5.15E-05
30–39	cg10272954	PRR23A	1.16	0.43	4.88E-02	≥50	cg01692482	ZNF217	1.23	0.71	2.66E-06
30-39	cg26896946	MIR886	1.36	0.46	3.86E-02	≥50	cg13662851	H1FNT	1.29	0.98	3.65E-02
30-39	cg26328633	MIR886	1.52	0.49	4.88E-02	≥50	cg00121551	ZNF831	1.29	0.96	2.81E-02
30–39	cg18678645	MIR886	1.60	0.47	3.04E-02	≥50	cg11704513	COX4I2	1.34	0.89	1.83E-03
30-39	cg09762182	C1orf159	3.81	0.55	1.05E-02	Data expresse	d as mean DNA m	ethylation (DNAm; 0–: <i>P</i> -value	L) and fold ch	ange of DNA	m in ACE relative
40-49	cg06103394	PAQR4	0.35	0.31	8.85E-04	to control, At	g. i -vai, aujusieu	value.			

(Continued)



Fig. 5. Differential expression analysis of subjects between 40 and 49 years old from the Comparison Library. (a) PCA for gene expression data of subjects between 40 and 49 years old (n = 17), classified according to their CTQ score category. Red: ACE. (n = 8); light blue: control (n = 9). (b) PCA for gene expression data from the samples classified by sex. Purple: female (n = 10); yellow: male (n = 7). (c) Heatmap for gene expression data classified by the CTQ score category. Orange: ACE; light blue: control; red: downregulated; green: upregulated. The sex of each subject is indicated on the right side of the heatmap. (d) Volcano plot for gene expression data of the samples. Red: downregulated, green: upregulated.

colleagues¹⁶ on this cohort, which focused on DNA methylation as an aging predictor. Furthermore, other related studies using data from this cohort have validated some epigenetic markers with partial success^{28,29}. In contrast, a study including two cohorts of adult women with ACE history shows no association between cumulative ACE score and DMCs, but some differentially methylated regions are scarcely replicated between each cohort³⁰. Another study comparing epigenetic markers in young adults with ACE shows comparable changes in females and males³¹, suggesting that sex-specific epigenetic marks of ACE remain elusive. Additionally, other studies have revealed a relatively low number of, either, CpG sites or regions differentially methylated (<1,000) in adult subjects, considering the large number of sites assayed (from 20,000 up to more than 400,000)^{32–35}. Altogether, this suggests that potential epigenetic markers of ACE may be masked by analysis strategies used, and further studies to identify common markers of childhood adversity are required.

Considering chronological age as an important source for changes in DNA methylation, this study compared the methylation profile among age ranges in ACE subjects. As the main result, DMC in young adults was barely found in older subjects with ACE history. While chronological age is arguably the strongest risk factor for aging-related death and disease, it is important to distinguish chronological time from biological aging. One potential biomarker that has gained significant interest in recent years is DNA methylation (DNAm), which may reflect a marker for aging using the Horvath's clock³⁶. In this regard, it has been demonstrated in the cohort from which the dataset for this meta-analysis was obtained, that ACE is associated with



Fig. 6. Differential expression analysis of 50-year-old subjects or older from the Comparison Library. (a) PCA for gene expression data of 50-year-old subjects or older (n = 42), classified according to their CTQ score category. Red: ACE. (n = 22); light blue: control (n = 20). (b) PCA for gene expression data from the samples classified by sex. Purple: female (n = 29); yellow: male (n = 13). (c) Heatmap for gene expression data classified by the CTQ score category. Orange: ACE; light blue: control; red: downregulated; green: upregulated. The sex of each subject is indicated on the right side of the heatmap. (d) Volcano plot for gene expression data of the samples. Red: downregulated, green: upregulated.

accelerated aging¹⁶. In this study, nested comparison of control and ACE subjects according to decades showed that either low CTQ or high CTQ subjects show epigenomic modifications unstable in time. Additionally, aged subjects with ACE showed the highest intensity and number of DMCs, and similar findings have been observed in terms of the Horvath's clock. These differences found in subjects with ACE over 50 years old occurred in spite of this group have a narrow age range (i.e., 50–63 years, mean age 55 years) compared with control group (i.e., 50–77 years, mean age 56 years). Differentially methylated genes reported in studies considering subjects in the 20s^{31,33,34,37}, 30s³⁵, and over 40s^{38–40} are not comparable, supporting that the methylome profile of aged ACE subjects is not comparable with ACE young adults. In contrast, several studies have shown the association between different sources of ACE and epigenetic markers of accelerated aging in adults^{8,41–43}, an effect that may be evident since childhood^{41,44,45}. To address the consequences of these differences in age-related epigenetic markers of ACE, we performed a by-decade analysis of transcriptomic datasets from circulating blood cells in datasets including subjects in middle and late adulthood¹⁷. Complementary to the data in DNA methylation, differentiation by decades resulted in a sex-independent clustering of ACE and non-ACE subjects, with a higher effect in upregulated genes in older subjects. However, there was a poor concordance among differently methylated and DEGs, but a significant correspondence in enriched biological processes. It is worth noting that several of these enriched processes in older subjects were related to nervous



Fig. 7. Associations and functional analysis of differentially methylated and differentially expressed genes in 50+ years old ACE subjects. (a) Differentially methylated (n = 818) and expressed (n = 1232) genes (adjusted *P*-value < 0.05) listed in the 50+ years old ACE subject subsets from the Discovery and Comparison libraries were selected. Venn diagram analysis revealed that 48 genes are present in both subsets. (b) Venn diagram analysis for the genes from the databases included in the study, classified according to the direction of the change in DNA methylation (hypo- or hypermethylated) and gene expression (down- or upregulated) (adjusted *P*-value < 0.05 for all the genes included). (c) List of biological processes enriched in the subset of hypomethylated/upregulated genes (n = 33), in the 50+ years old ACE subject subset.

system homeostasis and stress response. A limited correlation between DEGs and their promoter methylation has been reported in adults with ACE; however, that study only reports the enriched biological processes related to gene expression with no further data on DNA methylation⁴⁶. Furthermore, the relationship between DNA methylation and gene expression in these samples can be moderated by cis- and trans-regulatory mechanisms⁴⁷. Conversely, previous studies show that the aging-related magnitude of epigenetic changes associated with ACE differs between subjects in early and middle-to-late adulthood, with a higher effect in the latter group^{42,43}, which may result from further exposure to adversity after childhood¹⁶. Altogether, this data suggest that ACE may prime aging and support our findings regarding a progressive epigenetic differentiation in middle and late adulthood, which potentially involves the regulation of nervous system-related biological processes.

A considerable limitation in the current evidence in that inclusion of genetic and biological evidence is necessary for understanding the effects of ACEs and their intergenerational transmission¹³. A growing body of evidence suggests that genotypes can modify sensitivity to environmental adversity. Promising

avenues of research in this area include gene-experience interaction, the influence of early-life experience on genomic expression (epigenetics), and the role of inflammation¹⁰. In this regard, further studies should integrate genetic and epigenetic markers with transcriptomic profiling, considering more restricted age ranges and non-biased methodologies (e.g., machine learning analysis) to unveil the effects of ACE. Additionally, these results may be biased by a different number of subjects within each range compared, but no association between the number of subjects in each group and the number of probes differentially methylated, as it evidenced in 40s and <50 comparisons, was observed in this report. Additionally, adjusted P-values were comparable between the three youngest decades, despite the low number of subjects in 20s group. Conversely, the datasets used for the Discovery and Comparison studies comprised subjects from two different populations, which limits the potential significance of the proposed pathways involved in the long-term effects of ACE suggested in this study. In this regard, further studies are required to confirm a potential epigeneticmediated regulation of gene expression in ACE adults, including the factors previously discussed, with special attention in the effect of age¹⁰.

 Table 4. Enriched pathways for upregulated genes with hypomethylated regions in ACE subjects over 50 years old

GO term ID	Term description	FDR
GO:0045926	Negative regulation of growth (biological process)	0.0392
GO:0050405	Acetyl-CoA carboxylase kinase activity (molecular function)	0.0440
GO:0047322	HMG-CoA (NADPH) kinase activity (molecular function)	0.0440
GO:0071799	Cellular response to prostaglandin D stimulus (biological process)	0.0440
GO:0055026	Neg. reg. of cardiac muscle tissue development (biological process)	0.0440
GO:0034111	Neg. reg. of homotypic cell–cell adhesion (biological process)	0.0440
GO:1902998	Pos. reg. of neurofibrillary tangle assembly (biological process)	0.0440
GO:0004956	Prostaglandin D receptor activity (molecular function)	0.0440
GO:0001785	Prostaglandin J receptor activity (molecular function)	0.0440
GO:1900221	Regulation of beta-amyloid clearance (biological process)	0.0440
GO:0071294	Cellular response to zinc ion (biological process)	0.0451
GO:0000137	Golgi cis cisterna (cellular component)	0.0481
GO:0008467	HS3ST1 activity (molecular function)	0.0481
GO:0046085	Adenosine metabolic process (biological process)	0.0481
GO:0035174	Histone serine kinase activity (molecular function)	0.0481
GO:1902430	Neg. reg. of beta-amyloid formation (biological process)	0.0481
GO:0070100	Neg. reg. of chemokine-mediated signaling (biological process)	0.0481
GO:0030072	Peptide hormone secretion (biological process)	0.0481
GO:1902004	Pos. reg. of beta-amyloid formation (biological process)	0.0481
GO:1900029	Pos. reg. of ruffle assembly (biological process)	0.0481
GO:0051414	Response to cortisol (biological process)	0.0481
GO:0006685	Sphingomyelin catabolic process (biological process)	0.0481
GO:0006684	Sphingomyelin metabolic process (biological process)	0.0481

Conclusions

This study provides evidence that the epigenetic effects of ACE are age-dependent and not defined in the long term. DNA methylation in ACE subjects changes as aging proceeds, an effect characterized by increased hypomethylation in middle and late adult subjects, which are related to up- and downregulated biological processes involved in nervous system physiology, development, and behavior. The differences in the DNA methylation profile, and aging effects between early, middle, and late adulthood, suggest a very interesting window for interventions aimed to prevent the detrimental effects of ACE in young adults.

Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/S2040174420001075

Authors Contributions. RA carried out the data mining, database construction, data analysis process including both the DMCs and the DEGs obtention, and the manuscript writing. FV performed the functional analysis. JH and BJK conceived the study and contributed to the experimental design, teamwork supervision, and manuscript writing.

Conflict of interest. None.

References

- Anda RF, Butchart A, Felitti VJ, Brown DW. Building a framework for global surveillance of the public health implications of adverse childhood experiences. *Am J Prev Med.* 2010; 39(1), 93–98.
- Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, *et al.* Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *Am J Prev Med.* 1998; 14(4), 245–258.
- Brown DW, Anda RF, Tiemeier H, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and the risk of premature mortality. Am J Prev Med. 2009; 37(5), 389–396.
- Dong M, Giles WH, Felitti VJ, *et al.* Insights into causal pathways for ischemic heart disease: adverse childhood experiences study. *Circulation.* 2004; 110(13), 1761–1766.
- Ridout KK, Coe JL, Parade SH, *et al.* Molecular markers of neuroendocrine function and mitochondrial biogenesis associated with early life stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2020; 116, 104632.
- Ridout KK, Khan M, Ridout SJ. Adverse childhood experiences run deep: toxic early life stress, telomeres, and mitochondrial DNA copy number, the biological markers of cumulative stress. *Bioessays*. 2018; 40(9), e1800077.
- Oh DL, Jerman P, Silverio Marques S, *et al.* Systematic review of pediatric health outcomes associated with childhood adversity. *BMC Pediatr.* 2018; 18(1), 83.
- Lang J, McKie J, Smith H, *et al.* Adverse childhood experiences, epigenetics and telomere length variation in childhood and beyond: a systematic review of the literature. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2019; 29(10), 1329–1338. doi: 10.1007/s00787-019-01329-1.
- 9. Weaver IC, Cervoni N, Champagne FA, *et al.* Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. *Nat Neurosci.* 2004; 7(8), 847–854.
- Krause BJ, Artigas R, Sciolla AF, Hamilton J. Epigenetic mechanisms activated by childhood adversity *Epigenomics*. 2020; 12(14), 1239–1255. doi: 10.2217/epi-2020-0042.
- Walsh ND, Dalgleish T, Lombardo MV, et al. General and specific effects of early-life psychosocial adversities on adolescent grey matter volume. *Neuroimage Clin.* 2014; 4, 308–318.
- 12. Narita K, Fujihara K, Takei Y, *et al.* Associations among parenting experiences during childhood and adolescence, hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis hypoactivity, and hippocampal gray matter volume reduction in young adults. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2012; 33(9), 2211–2223.
- Nemeroff CB. Paradise Lost: The Neurobiological and Clinical Consequences of Child Abuse and Neglect. *Neuron.* 2016; 89(5), 892–909.
- Jiang S, Postovit L, Cattaneo A, Binder EB, Aitchison KJ. Epigenetic modifications in stress response genes associated with childhood trauma. *Front Psychiatry.* 2019; 10, 808.
- Oh DL, Jerman P, Purewal Boparai SK, *et al.* Review of tools for measuring exposure to adversity in children and adolescents. *J Pediatr Health Care*. 2018; 32(6), 564–583.
- Zannas AS, Arloth J, Carrillo-Roa T, *et al.* Lifetime stress accelerates epigenetic aging in an urban, African American cohort: relevance of glucocorticoid signaling. *Genome Biol.* 2015; 16, 266.
- 17. Schwaiger M, Grinberg M, Moser D, *et al.* Altered stress-induced regulation of genes in monocytes in adults with a history of childhood adversity. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2016; 41(10), 2530–2540.

- Luo R, Bai C, Yang L, et al. DNA methylation subpatterns at distinct regulatory regions in human early embryos. Open Biol. 2018; 8(10), 180131.
- Ringner M. What is principal component analysis? *Nat Biotechnol.* 2008; 26(3), 303–304.
- Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015; 43(7), e47.
- Liu X, Li N, Liu S, et al. Normalization methods for the analysis of unbalanced transcriptome data: a review. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2019; 7, 358.
- Krzywinski M, Schein J, Birol I, et al. Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Res. 2009; 19(9), 1639–1645.
- Palma-Gudiel H, Cordova-Palomera A, Leza JC, Fananas L. Glucocorticoid receptor gene (NR3C1) methylation processes as mediators of early adversity in stress-related disorders causality: a critical review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2015; 55, 520–535.
- Jones PA. Functions of DNA methylation: islands, start sites, gene bodies and beyond. Nat Rev Genet. 2012; 13(7), 484–492.
- Luo C, Hajkova P, Ecker JR. Dynamic DNA methylation: in the right place at the right time. *Science*. 2018; 361(6409), 1336–1340.
- Teschendorff AE, Relton CL. Statistical and integrative system-level analysis of DNA methylation data. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2018; 19(3), 129–147.
- Chatterjee A, Rodger EJ, Morison IM, Eccles MR, Stockwell PA. Tools and strategies for analysis of genome-wide and gene-specific DNA methylation patterns. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2017; 1537, 249–277.
- Clive ML, Boks MP, Vinkers CH, *et al.* Discovery and replication of a peripheral tissue DNA methylation biosignature to augment a suicide prediction model. *Clin Epigenetics*. 2016; 8, 113.
- 29. Rosen AD, Robertson KD, Hlady RA, et al. DNA methylation age is accelerated in alcohol dependence. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2018; 8(1), 182.
- Houtepen LC, Hardy R, Maddock J, et al. Childhood adversity and DNA methylation in two population-based cohorts. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2018; 8(1), 266.
- Guillemin C, Provencal N, Suderman M, et al. DNA methylation signature of childhood chronic physical aggression in T cells of both men and women. *PLoS One.* 2014; 9(1), e86822.
- Suderman M, Borghol N, Pappas JJ, et al. Childhood abuse is associated with methylation of multiple loci in adult DNA. BMC Med Genomics. 2014; 7, 13.
- Roberts AL, Gladish N, Gatev E, et al. Exposure to childhood abuse is associated with human sperm DNA methylation. Transl Psychiatry. 2018; 8(1), 194.

- Provencal N, Suderman MJ, Guillemin C, et al. Association of childhood chronic physical aggression with a DNA methylation signature in adult human T cells. PLoS One. 2014; 9(4), e89839.
- Prados J, Stenz L, Courtet P, et al. Borderline personality disorder and childhood maltreatment: a genome-wide methylation analysis. *Genes Brain Behav.* 2015; 14(2), 177–188.
- Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. *Genome Biol.* 2013; 14(10), R115.
- Naumova OY, Hein S, Suderman M, *et al.* Epigenetic patterns modulate the connection between developmental dynamics of parenting and offspring psychosocial adjustment. *Child Dev.* 2016; 87(1), 98–110.
- Houtepen LC, Vinkers CH, Carrillo-Roa T, et al. Genome-wide DNA methylation levels and altered cortisol stress reactivity following childhood trauma in humans. Nat Commun. 2016; 7, 10967.
- Suderman M, Pappas JJ, Borghol N, *et al.* Lymphoblastoid cell lines reveal associations of adult DNA methylation with childhood and current adversity that are distinct from whole blood associations. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2015; 44(4), 1331–1340.
- Marinova Z, Maercker A, Kuffer A, et al. DNA methylation profiles of elderly individuals subjected to indentured childhood labor and trauma. BMC Med Genet. 2017; 18(1), 21.
- Austin MK, Chen E, Ross KM, et al. Early-life socioeconomic disadvantage, not current, predicts accelerated epigenetic aging of monocytes. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2018; 97, 131–134.
- Fiorito G, Polidoro S, Dugue PA, *et al.* Social adversity and epigenetic aging: a multi-cohort study on socioeconomic differences in peripheral blood DNA methylation. *Sci Rep.* 2017; 7(1), 16266.
- Lawn RB, Anderson EL, Suderman M, et al. Psychosocial adversity and socioeconomic position during childhood and epigenetic age: analysis of two prospective cohort studies. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2018; 27(7), 1301–1308.
- Jovanovic T, Vance LA, Cross D, *et al.* Exposure to violence accelerates epigenetic aging in children. *Sci Rep.* 2017; 7(1), 8962.
- Marini S, Davis KA, Soare TW, et al. Adversity exposure during sensitive periods predicts accelerated epigenetic aging in children. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2020; 113, 104484.
- Mehta D, Klengel T, Conneely KN, *et al.* Childhood maltreatment is associated with distinct genomic and epigenetic profiles in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2013; 110(20), 8302–8307.
- Kennedy EM, Goehring GN, Nichols MH, *et al.* An integrated -omics analysis of the epigenetic landscape of gene expression in human blood cells. *BMC Genomics.* 2018; 19(1), 476.