Tables

I.I	Metadata available in the TLC.	page 8
I.2	Mean factors for all texts in conversation in Biber (1988).	25
2.1	Results of the Pearson correlation between turn length (in	,
	word tokens) and turn coordinate for each dimension.	43
2.2	The linguistic features most strongly associated with	
	Dimension 2.	44
2.3	The turns most strongly associated with positive and negative	
	Dimension 2.	45
2.4	The Dimension 2 association of the turns in the Conversation	
	task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and	
	overall mark.	47
2.5	The Dimension 2 association of the turns in the Discussion	
	task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade	
	and overall mark.	47
2.6	The Dimension 2 association of the turns in the Interactive task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade	
	and overall mark.	48
2.7	Cultural and linguistic background associations with	40
2./	Dimension 2.	49
2.8	The linguistic features most strongly associated with	47
2.0	Dimension 3.	50
2.9	Turns most strongly associated with positive and negative)-
,	Dimension 3.	51
2.10	The Dimension 3 association of the turns in the Conversation	
	task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade	
	and overall mark.	52
2.11	The Dimension 3 association of the turns in the Discussion	
	task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade	
	and overall mark.	53

List of Tables

2.12	The Dimension 2 association of the turns in the Discussion task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade	
	and overall mark.	53
	The Dimension 3 association of the turns from groups of learners defined by their linguistic and cultural backgrounds.	54
2.14	The linguistic features most strongly associated with Dimension 4.	55
2.15	Turns most strongly associated with positive and negative Dimension 4.	56
2.16	The Dimension 4 association of the turns in the Conversation task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.	57
2.17	The Dimension 4 association of the turns in the Discussion task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.	58
2.18	The Dimension 4 association of the turns in the Interactive task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.	58
2.19	The Dimension 4 association of the turns from groups of learners defined by their linguistic and cultural backgrounds.	59
2.20	The linguistic features most strongly associated with Dimension 5.	60
2.21	Turns most strongly associated with positive and negative Dimension 5.	60
2.22	The Dimension 5 association of the turns in the Conversation task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.	62
2.23	The Dimension 5 association of the turns in the Discussion task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.	62
2.24	The Dimension 5 association of the turns in the Interactive task from groups of learners defined by proficiency, grade and overall mark.	62
2.25	The Dimension 5 association of the turns from groups of	62
2.25	learners defined by their linguistic and cultural backgrounds.	63
2.26	Examiner discourse functions.	65
2.20 3.I	The linguistic features strongly associated with Dimension 2.	- 05 76
J.1	me miguistic reactives scrongly associated with Dimension 2.	70

xii

T · .	C		7 11
List	01	1	Tables

xiii

3.2	The association of the discourse units in the Conversation,	
	Discussion, and Interactive tasks with Dimension 2 according to the mark learners received.	
		79
3.3	The Dimension 2 association of the discourse units in	
	the Conversation task from groups of learners defined by	82
	proficiency, grade and overall mark. The Dimension 2 association of the discourse units in	02
3.4	the Discussion task from groups of learners defined by	
	proficiency, grade and overall mark.	83
	The Dimension 2 association of the discourse units in the	03
3.5	Interactive task from groups of learners defined by proficiency,	
	grade and overall mark.	84
26	The linguistic features strongly associated with Dimension 3.	87
3.6	The Dimension 3 association of the discourse units in the	0/
3.7	Conversation, Discussion and Interactive tasks from groups	
	of learners defined by overall mark.	00
29	The Dimension 3 association of the discourse units in the	90
3.8	Conversation task according to learners' proficiency, grade	
	and overall mark.	96
2.0	The Dimension 3 association of the discourse units in	90
3.9	the Discussion task from groups of learners defined by	
	proficiency, grade and overall mark.	97
3.10	The Dimension 3 association of the discourse units in)/
J.10	the Interactive task from groups of learners defined by	
	proficiency, grade and overall mark.	99
4.I	The linguistic features strongly associated with Dimension 4.	104
4.2	The association of the examiner turns to Information-Seeking	
4.2	(negative Dimension 2) function at grade 6 according to	
	learners' mark.	III
4.3	The Dimension 4 association of the discourse units in the	
1.2	Conversation, Discussion, and Interactive tasks according to	
	the mark the learners received.	112
4.4	The association of the examiner turns to Dimension 7	
• •	(Narrative versus Stance Seeking) according to the task and	
	the learners' grade and mark.	114
4.5	The association of the discourse units in the Discussion task	
	to Dimension 4 (Informational Narratives versus Seeking	
	and Encoding Stance) according to the learners' mark,	
	grade and proficiency level.	115

List	of	7	a	bl	les
1000	<i>vj</i>	-	w	~ ~	00

4.6	The association of the discourse units in the Conversation task to Dimension 4 according to the learners' mark, grade and proficiency level.	116
4 7	The linguistic features strongly associated with Dimension 5.	110
4.7	The association of the discourse units in the Discussion task	110
4.8	on Dimension 5 according to the mark learners' received.	121
4.0	The association of the discourse units in the Discussion task	121
4.9	according to learners' mark, grade and proficiency level on	
	Dimension 5.	125
4.10	The association of the discourse units in the Conversation	,
	task on Dimension 5 according to learners' mark, grade and	
	proficiency level.	126
5.1	Functions at turn level in the TLC L1 in tasks shared between	
	L2 (grades 6–8) and L1 speakers (grade 12).	136
5.2	Frequency, per 10,000 words, of features by grade.	139
5.3	Frequency of feature in Conversation compared to	
	Discussion.	141
5.4	Frequency of features across grade compared by task.	142
5.5	Individual variation at grade 6.	143
5.6	Individual variation at grade 7.	144
5.7	Individual variation at grade 8.	145
5.8	The percentage of speakers not producing a specific feature in either task by grade.	148
5.9	Features at each grade in Conversation compared to the	-+•
<i></i>	TLC LI.	149
5.10	Features at each grade in Discussion compared to the TLC LI.	149
5.11	The standard deviation of four grammatical features across	12
,	the Conversation and Discussion tasks in the L1 data.	150
5.12	Users not producing a grammatical function, by task,	
	in grade 8 L2 data and the L1 data.	151
5.13	Speakers not using a specific feature in either task in the	
	L2 grade 8 data and the L1 data.	152
5.14	The percentage of uses of a feature in examinee speech	
	following the use of the same feature by the examiner	
	in Conversation.	153
5.15	The percentage of uses of a feature in examinee speech	
	following the use of the same feature by the examiner in	
	Discussion.	153

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. Berklee College Of Music, on 05 Feb 2025 at 18:00:03, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009208932

xiv

List of Tables	XV
Functions at discourse unit level in the TLC L1 in tasks	
shared between L2 (grades 6–8) and L1 speakers (grade 12).	156
Features associated with Dimension 2.	162
Features associated with Dimension 3.	164
Features associated with Dimension 4.	165
Features associated with Dimension 5.	167
Features associated with Dimension 6.	168
Features associated with Dimension 7.	169
The discourse unit view of discourse functions in the	
BNC 2014, functions with reversed polarity relative to	
the turn-level view are marked with an asterisk.	170
Discourse functions across the three corpora.	178
Substructures in short discourse units in each corpus.	198
Revised discourse functions across the three corpora.	201
A micro-structural short-text MDA of the narrative in	
Figure 8.1.	219
Examples of different narrative elements in our TLC narrative	
sample.	223
Percentage of candidates who produced at least one narrative	
for each L1 group, ranked from highest to lowest.	226
Average number of turns per narrative for each LI group,	

5.16

6.1

6.2

6.3

6.4

6.5

6.6

6.7

7.I

7.2

7.3 8.1

9.I

9.2

9.3

227

228

- ranked from most to least frequent. Average number of elements per narrative for each L1 group, 9.4 ranked highest to lowest.
- Average number of unique elements produced by candidates 9.5 within each L1 group, ranked highest to lowest. 229 9.6 Percentage of candidates who produced each narrative element from each LI group. 229 Average age and age range for each LI group, ordered from 9.7 youngest to oldest (on average). 236 Proportion of age categories in each L1 group, ordered from 9.8 youngest to oldest (on average; see Table 9.7). 236
- Percentage of candidates who produced at least one narrative 9.9 for each age category, ranked from highest to lowest. 237 9.10 Percentage of young candidates who produced at least one
- narrative for each LI group, ranked from highest to lowest. 238 9.11 Average number of turns per narrative for young candidates
 - in each L1 group, ranked from most to least frequent. 239

List of Tables

9.12	Average number of elements per narrative for young	
	candidates in each L1 group, ranked highest to lowest.	239
9.13	Average number of unique elements produced by young	
	candidates within each L1 group, ranked highest to lowest.	240
9.14	Percentage of young candidates who produced each narrative	
	element from each L1 group.	240
9.15	Proportion of candidates who received at least one prompt out	
	of those who produced at least one narrative, grouped by L1	
	and ranked from highest to lowest.	249
9.16	Proportion of candidates within each age category who	
	did and did not receive at least one prompt, expressed as	
	percentages of overall number of candidates who produced	
	at least one narrative within each category.	250
9.17	Proportion of prompts that were initiating or mid-narrative	
	for each L1 group, expressed as percentages.	251
9.18	Elements following initiating prompts for each L1 group,	
	expressed as percentages.	251
9.19	Elements following mid-narrative prompts for each L1 group,	
	expressed as percentages.	252
	The full feature set.	269
A2	The short-text MDA feature set.	278

xvi