

and their disappearance - by these two non-Jewish Iraqi writers as reflecting something of the unease that some Iraqis feel about minority groups, and the contributions they have made, rapidly disappearing from Iraq in current times. This final chapter thus provides a succinct, detailed background to the politics of Jewish exile and the precarity of belonging in Iraq.

What, then, is the politics of this book's location? It is first and foremost an archive and homage to the writers with whom Zeidel has interacted with over the years. His interactions have taken place in many forms, the first of which is via his corpus of Iraqi literature. His corpus is the 330 novels he has read in Arabic. He also dedicates *Pluralism in the Iraqi Novel After 2003* to the Iraqi writers he has been interacting with, many of whose opinions and contributions to his work have been kept anonymous by Zeidel for their own safety (xi). This is why many statements on Iraqi literature which Zeidel attributes to Iraqi writers themselves, are not referenced to individual Iraqi writers. What Zeidel does state, however, is that much of his opinions on developments on Iraqi literature are very much informed by his "interactions" (xi) with Iraqi "activists, writers, publishers, journalists, poets, exiles, students and others", most of which were only possible post-2003 due to political changes in Iraq and what he terms as "the technical revolution" in Iraq. Zeidel makes it explicit that he sourced much of the primary materials for his research from the University of Haifa (xi), which is why it is not surprising that other books may not have come to his attention due this specific location. Zeidel puts forward, for example, *al-Dil' (The Rib, 2006)*, by Hamid al-Iqabi, as the sole example of a non-Kurdish Iraqi writer showing what Zeidel terms as "real empathy" (112) towards the tragedy of Kurdish Iraqis in Iraq.⁵ Other examples by non-Kurdish Iraqi writers showing similar empathy with Kurdish Iraqis within post-2003 context do exist, such as Hadiya Husayn's novel *Mā Sayā'tī* (What Will Come, 2017) as one example.⁶ I refer to this point not as a critique of Zeidel's analysis but to highlight how the 'politics of location' impacts on all scholars' archival literary research.

Zeidel holds a view of Iraq as a country needing to embrace societal plurality and diversity as part of its recovery from the Iraqi Ba'athist era and the prevalence of hegemonic discourses of national identity. He thus reads examples of how the nationalist novel has "become pluralistic" (1) by tracking how explicitly Iraqi writers have shown representations of Iraqi identities in their novels. He takes the community identity of each Iraqi writer as an equally explicit instrument of analysis. Zeidel also clarifies the literary perspectives from which he reads, noting that "as an historian, I consider the literary text primarily as a source and not a text...Indeed, the literary text should be analysed in depth by specialists" (15). Such an approach, as noted earlier, precludes exploring the aesthetics by which many Iraqi novelists have expressed their visions of Iraqi society. For this reason, Zeidel's broad-stroke "non-literary" approach alongside a "identity-framing" lens of analysis may initially come across as an unfamiliar methodology to scholars of literature accustomed to close readings of texts. Introduced by Zeidel with such openness, candor and clarity, *Pluralism in the Iraqi Novel After 2003* however makes very compelling reading and must be recognised as a great archival resource of Iraqi literature from beginning to end. In particular, the book's bibliography listing Iraqi authors and their novels showcases the range of Zeidel's research to great effect while furnishing us with much inspiration for further work on Iraq's diverse literatures.

doi:10.1017/S0020743821001203

The Arabic Prose Poem: Poetic Theory and Practice. Huda J. Fakhreddine (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2021). Pp. 288. \$105.00 cloth. ISBN: 9781474474962

Reviewed by Levi Thompson , Department of Middle Eastern Studies, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA (levi.thompson@austin.utexas.edu)

⁵Hamid Al-Iqabi, *al-Dil' (The Rib)*, (Cologne: Al-Jamal, 2006).

⁶Hadiya Husayn, *Mā Sayā'tī* (What Will Come), (Beirut: Al-Mu'asasa Al-'Arabiya, 2017).

Huda J. Fakhreddine spends little time defining what a prose poem is in *The Arabic Prose Poem: Poetic Theory and Practice*. She is rather interested in what poets writing in Arabic have been doing with their poetry since the first prose poetry manifestos appeared in 1960. “[N]either a survey nor a literary history of the prose poem,” she explains that the book offers instead “a close reading of the work of a select group of prose poets who reflect well the diversity, tensions and potentials/potentialities of the prose poem project in Arabic” (255). By avoiding an analysis based solely on the copula, Fakhreddine provides scholars of modern Arabic poetry with a lively and provocative account of what poets writing in Arabic have been up to for the past seventy years.

While the focus of *The Arabic Prose Poem* might initially seem to be a departure from her first monograph, *Metapoesis in the Arabic Tradition: From Modernists to Muhdathun* (Brill, 2015), which largely treated poetry from the Abbasid period (r. 750–1258), readers will quickly realize that Fakhreddine brings her critical eye for the metapoetic to this most recent project as well. Her analysis of what prose poets do with poetry develops alongside a sustained engagement with contemporary poetic criticism about the prose poem in Arabic. These inquiries frequently allow Fakhreddine to expose the contradictions between what poets say about prose poetry and what they actually do when they try to write it. She explains that Adonis’s (b. 1930) “very first attempt at a prose poem,” a translation of a section from Saint-John Perse’s (d. 1975) *Amers*, ends up “deviat[ing] from [...] those stipulations that Adonis himself and his contemporaries theorized in their manifestos” (70). Throughout the book, Fakhreddine explores tensions such as these as part of an extended “critical reading exercise” of the whole tradition of Arabic poetry, from the premodern to the modern, in which “we take the prose poem with us as a critical lens as we go back to reimagine the tradition” (42). Fakhreddine turns this lens on several poetic projects throughout the book, addressing the prose poem manifestos of Unsi al-Hajj (d. 2014) and Qasim Haddad (b. 1948) alongside that of Adonis as well as those of many other poets—including in particular Muhammad al-Maghut (d. 2006), Mahmoud Darwish (d. 2008), Salim Barakat (b. 1951), and Wadi‘ Sa‘adeh (b. 1948)—who have dealt with conceptions of the prose poem.

Fakhreddine’s combination of an analysis of the critical tradition in Arabic and her readings of prose poems is refreshing. She not only exposes significant shortcomings in critical analyses of prose poetry in Arabic (her critique of Khalida Sa‘id’s readings of al-Maghut are of particular note), but she also helpfully downplays the importance of “western models introduced through the translation of poetry and theory into Arabic” (3). Fakhreddine’s treatment of “the chaos of terminology, which is partly due to translation” (17) is enlightening in this regard as she makes a point to explain the disconnection of English “free verse” or French “*vers libre*” from Arabic *shi‘r ḥurr* (lit. “free verse,” even though it retains meter), also referred to as *qaṣīdat al-tafīla*, a poetry that “adopts the foot (*al-tafīla*) instead of the two-hemistich verse (*al-bayt*) as its metric unit” (18). Both the *qaṣīda* (the poem) and *shi‘r* (poetry) continue to haunt the development of the prose poem, but in ways quite different than they do *tafīla* poetry. “The prose poem is,” Fakhreddine eventually deigns to use the linking verb, “the first proposal that insists on declaring itself poetry without meter in Arabic. Although this might seem like a superficial and minor deviation to us today, it, in fact, defies a long and established distinction between poetry and prose in the Arabic tradition” (18). Another highlight is the book’s focus on the debates that went on within the Arabic critical tradition, for instance, between certain “free verse” poets like Nazik al-Mala‘ika (d. 2007) and Ahmad ‘Abd al-Mu‘ti Hijazi (b. 1935) and proponents or practitioners of prose poetry.

By focusing on these debates, Fakhreddine shows the multifarious nature of modernism in Arabic. “This approach,” she proposes in her Introduction, “serves to upset the illusion of a monolithic ‘Arabic modernism’ by breaking it down into modernist positions with multiple visions and proposals for what the modern Arabic poem can be” (3). She strings this idea throughout the rest of her analysis, which likewise studies various poets’ “postures” or “attitudes” toward the prose poem as much as it does their prose poems themselves (6). As part of this approach, Fakhreddine takes up al-Hajj’s “insist[ence] on the poem as a deliberate construction (*binā*) [...]” (16). In her Afterword, she returns to this fruitful term, *binā*, writing that the prose poem “invites us as critics and readers of Arabic poetry to revisit our understanding of structure in poetry (*binā*) and use that to arrive at form” (254). By resituating her analysis of the prose poem beyond just form and taking up what poets and poems do, Fakhreddine models a productive new way of thinking about modernist poetry more generally while at the same time not falling into the trap of an investigation interested only in poetic content. In fact, I would go further to suggest


that an examination of *bināʿ* across modernist poetries in which *al-ʿarūḍ* (the Arabic science of prosody) and Arabic poetic genres were previously standard, like Persian, Turkish, and others, would produce useful results. By way of example, the Iranian modernist Nima Yushij (d. 1960) makes a point to refer to the poem as a “*banā-yi khayālī*,” an “imaginary structure,” in his 1938 Persian poem “*Quqnūs*” (The Phoenix). Thinking about *bināʿ* first and its relationship with form could indeed help us better understand what happened to these poetries, and not just the prose poem, during the twentieth century.

A bold step forward in critical analysis of Arabic poetry, *The Arabic Prose Poem* challenges us to move beyond debates over origins and influence by taking up instead questions of writerly and readerly practices that work together with poetry and the poem to explore how poets do what they do. Bolstered throughout by close readings of the most prominent prose poets writing in Arabic and effective, thorough citations of relevant scholarship in English, Arabic, and some in French, Fakhreddine’s book is both engaging and thoroughly researched. The translations of poetry are generally well done, if somewhat literal. By way of example, I would change the rendering of “*sa-utliq al-raṣāṣ ʿalā ḥanjaratī*” from “I shall place a bullet in my throat” to “I will shoot myself in the throat” (122). The inclusion of block quotes from poems in the original Arabic script throughout is to be commended.

Separate from the book’s content, one issue remains. There are several unfortunate mistakes in the copyediting, such as “Chapter 3 traces trace Adonis’s ventures [...]” (8); “S. Khadr Jayyusi” replacing “S. Khadra Jayyusi” (136); “Moving one” in place of “Moving on” (214); “text move towards their” and not “texts move towards their” (219); “*al-mutawaḥḥish*” rather than “*al-mutawaḥḥish*” (165); and “*Tamyiz*” instead of “*Tamyiz*” (237). Having reviewed two books and read many others in the same series, I must note that this lack of attention to spelling, transliteration, and other simple matters is a pattern and therefore does not seem to be the fault of the authors. In light of this and other recent experiences I have had when reviewing my own article and chapter galleys, we may be due for a serious reckoning with basic editorial practices within the field of Arabic literary studies in English.

doi:10.1017/S0020743821001215

Showpiece City: How Architecture Made Dubai. Todd Reisz (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2021). Pp. 416. \$30.00 cloth. ISBN: 9781503609884

Reviewed by Deen Sharp , Geography and Environment, London School of Economics and Political Science, London, UK (d.s.sharp@lse.ac.uk)

Showpiece City: How Architecture Made Dubai by Todd Reisz is a masterful investigation of Dubai from the early 1950s to 1979 that illuminates how the “globalizing practices of architecture and urban development found its footing in the final years of British empire,” providing critical insights into contemporary social processes of urbanization and urbanism (9). The foundations of the book are built upon Reisz’s utilization of the work and archives of the British architect John Harris, who he identifies as Dubai’s first architect. *Showpiece City* tells the story not only of this British architect, or even of Dubai more broadly, but also of the social power of urban experts and space.

Reisz structures much of his book around the professional practice of Harris. This includes his urban plans for Dubai, the first in 1960 and 1971, and then selections of his architectural work in the city: Al Maktoum Hospital, National Bank of Dubai, Rashid Hospital, and Dubai World Trade Centre. Reisz’s admiration for the work of Harris shines through in the book. He stresses that Harris tried to push for an architecture in Dubai that would work with the climate and context, without resorting to Orientalist clichés, in contrast to the architecture, which started to form in the late 1970s.

However, John Harris’s design of Al Maktoum hospital is not significant architecturally. Reisz describes it as “barracks-like” (156). The Dubai World Trade Centre was modeled by Harris directly