Presented at the DOHaD 6th World Congress, Santiago, Chile

# The utility of focus group interviews to capture dietary consumption data in the distant past: dairy consumption in Kazakhstan villages 50 years ago

M. Schwerin<sup>1\*</sup>, S. Schonfeld<sup>2</sup>, V. Drozdovitch<sup>2</sup>, K. Akimzhanov<sup>3</sup>, D. Aldyngurov<sup>3</sup>, A. Bouville<sup>2</sup>, C. Land<sup>2</sup>, N. Luckyanov<sup>2</sup>, K. Mabuchi<sup>2</sup>, Y. Semenova<sup>3</sup>, S. Simon<sup>2</sup>, A. Tokaeva<sup>3</sup>, Z. Zhumadilov<sup>3</sup> and N. Potischman<sup>2</sup>

From 1949 to 1962, residents of several villages in Kazakhstan received substantial doses of radiation to the thyroid gland resulting from nuclear tests conducted at the Semipalatinsk Nuclear Test Site. The primary source of radiation was internal from an intake of radioactive iodine by consumption of contaminated dairy products. A previous research study of childhood exposure and thyroid disease in this region gathered limited data on study participants' dairy intake at the time of the fallout for the purpose of estimating past radiation doses. As many participants were too young at the time of the nuclear tests to recall dietary consumption and existing sources of archival data are limited, it was necessary to interview parents and other village residents who cared for children during this time – older adults ranging in age from 75 to 90 years. Results from 11 focus group interviews conducted in 2007 with 82 women from 4 villages in Kazakhstan yielded group-level estimates of age-, gender-, ethnicity- and village-specific dairy consumption patterns in rural Kazakhstan during the 1950s. Children typically consumed cow's milk with limited consumption of mare, goat and sheep milk; and consumed dairy products such as sour milk (airan), soft cottage cheese (tvorog) and fermented mare milk (koumiss) with the greatest amounts of koumiss reported at ages 15–21 years. The consumption patterns differed by age, and between Kazakh and Russian children, which should lead to different estimates of radiation exposure to the thyroid. This study showed the utility of focus groups to obtain quantitative estimates for dietary intake in the distant past.

Received 8 February 2010; Revised 30 March 2010; Accepted 13 April 2010; First published online 10 May 2010

Key words: diet, epidemiology, focus group interview, Kazakhstan, older respondents, radiation exposure

#### Introduction

In 1998, the United States National Cancer Institute (NCI) collaborated with the Semipalatinsk State Medical Academy<sup>a</sup> and the Kazakh Research Institute for Radiation Medicine and Ecology to conduct a field study to determine prevalence of thyroid disease in relation to childhood radiation exposure in Kazakhstan. Between 1949 and 1962, village residents received doses primarily from internal radiation exposure to the thyroid gland as a result of fallout from atmospheric nuclear tests conducted at the Semipalatinsk Nuclear Test Site (SNTS) in Northeastern Kazakhstan. <sup>1–3</sup> The major health concern is the risk of thyroid disease resulting from internal exposures of the thyroid to radioiodine that occurred primarily through consumption of

radioiodine-contaminated milk and other dairy products from animals grazing on contaminated pasture. Children are especially vulnerable, as they tend to typically consume substantial amounts of dairy products and have small thyroid glands, which lead the absorbed radiation dose to be higher than in adults. The amount of radiation released from the fallout-related radioactivity in the milk varied by type of animal, the interval between milking the animal and consuming the milk, and the grazing patterns of the animal. Radioiodine taken up by animals is transferred to milk at different efficiencies in different species of animal with cows being least efficient followed by horses, sheep and goats. Although the date and time of radiation is known for each village, the internal exposure to children depends on the probability of their consumption of contaminated milk products from different animal species at different ages.

#### Previous data collection and objectives of this study

At the time of the 1998 field study, participants completed a questionnaire-based interview that included a limited assessment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>RTI International, Research Triangle Park, Durham, NC, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>National Cancer Institute – Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, Bethesda, MD, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Government Semipalatinsk State Medical University, Semipalatinsk, Kazakhstan

<sup>\*</sup>Address for correspondence: M. Schwerin, RTI International, 3040 Cornwallis Road, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA. (Email schwerin@rti.org)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The Semipalatinsk State Medical Academy (SSMA) is now the Government Semipalatinsk State Medical University (GSSMU).

of diet at the time of the fallout. These data, combined with supplementary information provided by collaborators (including limited archival data), were used to generate preliminary radiation doses and thyroid disease risk estimates.<sup>3</sup> It was later realized that additional data, which could be obtained from the study population, had the potential to considerably improve dose estimates and thus quantification of thyroid disease risk among individuals exposed at young ages.<sup>3</sup> Most importantly, all participants in 1998 were asked about the frequency (daily, weekly and never) of consumption of cow and goat milk and several milk products, but only a subset were asked about consumption (yes, no, seasonally and rarely) of fresh mare, sheep and camel milk. Radioactive iodine is known to be concentrated in mare and sheep milk and Kazakhs tend to consume sheep and horse milk in addition to cow milk. The questionnaire did not capture any information about the quantity of milk consumed, and for any milk type.

Recognizing that many participants were too young at the time of the nuclear tests to know the frequency and amount of dairy consumption, it was considered necessary to interview their mothers to collect more detailed dairy consumption information to supplement the 1998 data and improve the dosimetry. However, because many of the 1998 study member parents were no longer living or available in 2007, it was more practical to ask surviving village residents in this age group (mothers ~18–28 years old at the time of the nuclear testing) who either had their own children or cared for other children during the relevant time period to provide estimates from their own experience that could be typical of other children in the village of similar ages. Women were the primary caregivers of children at the time of the nuclear tests and are, therefore, experts regarding children's diet during that time.

A feasibility assessment conducted in two villages and pilot study results from focus group interviews conducted in a third village led us to believe that a lengthy in-depth individual interview would be too tiresome for elderly villagers. In addition, conducting individual interviews were cost prohibitive. A written self-administered questionnaire was not feasible because of the high prevalence of vision impairment in this population. Maternal recall of early childhood dietary consumption using foodfrequency questionnaires 3 to 5 decades later has limited utility.<sup>4</sup> Focus group interviews seemed to be the best research approach available because they have been shown to increase participant comfort when individuals are gathered into homogeneous groups, 5-7 generate a broader range of thoughts than individual interviews,5 and allow for a general discussion of an ordinary behavior anchored by a very memorable event among village residents (i.e. atmospheric nuclear testing and/or village evacuation by the military) along with the judicious use of recall cues that have been shown to aid autobiographical memory recall.<sup>8–15</sup>

# Use of focus group interview methods to augment field survey data

Although focus group data may not be generalized to larger populations,  $^{16-18}$  there may be occasions when it is the best

reasonable alternative to conducting a large-scale field study of identified individuals. Previous research used focus group interview methodology both in the United States and abroad to collect data about nutrition knowledge, attitudes and intake patterns among the general population and in a village setting. <sup>19–25</sup> Those focus groups were primarily designed to collect qualitative dietary data such as types of foods consumed, beliefs about the health and nutritional value of foods and reasons for eating certain foods. In at least two studies, participants were also asked to quantify frequency or amount of food/drink consumed or fed to children but such quantitative data collection was limited. <sup>19,25</sup>

# Memory and autobiographical dietary recall

When asking participants to self-report data on daily life activities, researchers are relying on autobiographical memory <sup>26,27</sup> and responses to questions are provided as a result of multistage recall processes. <sup>28</sup> There are three types of autobiographical memories – personal memories, autobiographical facts and generic personal memories. Personal memories are mental images of a single unrepeated event (e.g. consuming some very exotic food for the first and only time). Autobiographical facts reflect factual knowledge of an event that is not image based (e.g. knowing that you consumed specific food products when you were 19 years old). Generic personal memories are similar to personal memories, except that the memory reflects a recurring event and is based on a typical schema as the time span between the event and recall increases (e.g. consuming an exotic food on a recurring basis).

Self-report of daily life activities or dietary intake is a complex task of cognitive processes that can be prone to distortion. Epidemiologic studies typically use food-frequency questionnaires, structured interviews of foods consumed and food diaries to ascertain dietary data.<sup>29</sup> Although some researchers note concern for errors in autobiographical dietary recall<sup>30–32</sup> and others note the influence that current intake could have on the accuracy of recall,<sup>30,32,33–40</sup> most highlight the utility of retrospective dietary recall as a reliable indicator when the recall period is from 1 to 10 years,<sup>28,40–45</sup> 15–20 years<sup>37</sup> and even with time periods exceeding 20 years.<sup>35,46–48</sup> In addition, research supports the reproducibility of dietary recall with correlations ranging from 0.4 to 0.85 measured 1–10 years apart.<sup>42,46,47,49–51</sup>

# Objectives of this study

As part of a larger study to examine dairy product consumption patterns and daily life activities of children from selected villages exposed to nuclear testing in Kazakhstan in the 1950s, the objectives of this paper are to (1) describe the methodology developed to capture data through group interviews among an older population and (2) present results on estimated milk and dairy product consumption patterns by children during the 1950s.

#### Materials and methods

In 2007, 11 focus group interviews were conducted with 82 women from four villages (Karaul, Kainar, Dolon and Kanonerka) in Kazakhstan. In three of the four villages, three focus groups were conducted to explore dairy consumption and daily life activities. In the fourth village (Dolon), because of the small population size, only two focus groups were conducted. As all of the major nuclear tests were conducted in the months of August to October (1949–1962), focus group questions targeted dairy consumption during that time of year. Focus group interviews were conducted separately with groups of ethnic Kazakhs and Russians, because of the potential effect of different consumption and living practices by these two ethnic groups. Of eight villages included in the 1998 field study, the above four villages (two primarily Russian and two primarily Kazakh villages) were selected for the focus group interviews to represent a range of moderate-to-high fallout exposure levels, as estimated by the fallout data.<sup>52</sup>

#### Participant recruitment

Participant recruitment was conducted through a partnership between Government Semipalatinsk State Medical University (GSSMU) collaborators and local village officials and healthcare providers. Approval to conduct the study in the selected villages was obtained from the regional administration prior to participant recruitment. Participants were recruited by GSSMU collaborators working closely with local village administrators and with physicians screening for participants who met study eligibility requirements. These local contacts were integral community members, who were critical for participant recruitment.

#### Participant eligibility

To be eligible for the study, participants had to speak Russian or Kazakh, agree to participate in a 2-h focus group session, and have lived in the village in the 1950s. Participants needed to be 70 years and older (~18-28 years of age at the time of exposure) and have had children or provided care to children at the time of the nuclear tests. Mothers and other caregivers (i.e. older sisters) who lived in those villages at the time of the tests and who could provide information about typical dietary and daily living practices were selected. When more participants were recruited than were required for the focus group interviews, NCI worked with GSSMU to select participants reflecting a range of offspring birth years. In villages where it was not possible to recruit a sufficient number of participants aged 70 and above, participants aged 65 and above were recruited. During the screening process, participants were informed of the general study objectives and that there would be a token incentive for attending the focus group interview (\$5 or 675 Tenge).<sup>b</sup>

# Focus group moderator guide and questions

The moderator guide was divided into sections addressing dairy consumption of children and the women's own milk consumption during early adulthood and breastfeeding. Groups were conducted in either Russian or Kazakh depending on the ethnic composition of the village. Women of only Kazakh ethnicity were interviewed in two villages (Karaul and Kainar), while only those of Russian ethnicity in the village of Kanonerka. In the village of Dolon, one focus group of each ethnicity was interviewed.

After consent was obtained, groups were started with participant introductions where women told us their first name and year of birth, the first name of each of their children, the child's gender and year of birth, and if they did not have children in the 1950s, any brothers or sisters, nieces or nephews, or other children in the village whom they cared for and fed. The first phase questions were designed to help prompt recall from life in the 1950s and anchor their reference to the time during the nuclear tests. Using a 'think back' approach to anchor the memories of participants in the 1950s, participants were asked to recall and discuss what they saw or felt at the time of the nuclear testing, whether the military contacted them, what they were told by officials, whether they were evacuated and if evacuated, when they were allowed to return to their homes.

To obtain data required for estimating internal radiation doses, women were asked questions about dairy consumption for their children (or children they cared for) by age group. For example, 'When your children were less than a year old, how much cow's milk did your children drink?' These questions were repeated for each type of milk, including goat, sheep, mare and any other type of milk, for various age groups – birth to 12 months, 1–3 years, 4–6 years, 7–14 years and age 15 years and above. Participants were also asked about their own milk consumption during breastfeeding and when they were 15-21 years of age. During the pilot study, we determined that it was very difficult for women to recall dietary patterns of children over the age of 14 and therefore we asked women about their own consumption between the ages of 15 and 21 (i.e. during the 1950s). This process was repeated for dairy products - koumiss (fermented mare milk), tvorog (a type of cottage cheese), prostokvasha (airan in Kazakh - a sour cow's milk) and other milk products (e.g. sour cream, cream, etc.).

#### Instrument and focus group interview approach

The project team piloted the focus group methodology in advance of the main data collection in an exposed village, Korostely, using a draft focus group interview guide for two groups - one women's group to provide diet and daily life activities and one to collect background information (not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> In one of the four villages, Kainar, participants were not screened in advance because of the logistical complexities of reaching remote villages. In-country study collaborators met with village health care providers to review the hospital registry and generate a list of potential participants who met the screening criteria. Those

<sup>(</sup>footnote continued)

identified were not screened and not informed in advance that they would receive an incentive for participating in the focus group interviews.

presented in this paper) from male residents about pasture practices (useful for estimating radioactive iodine levels) and housing construction materials (useful for estimating shielding effects for external exposure). Results of the pilot focus group interviews led to modifications to the study methodology that were implemented for the main focus group study including the following: (1) gender matching of moderator and group was not deemed necessary; (2) when asking about the quantity and volume of dairy consumption, drinking vessels and utensils graduated in size and volume commonly used by village residents in that time period were measured by the study team and used as reference points; (3) for a more clear understanding of information being collected, a large poster-sized paper wall chart was used where assistant moderators would record consumption data for the entire group to view and guide the moderator, which could improve data quality; (4) fewer and simpler questions in the interviewing guide; and (5) creation of a predictable pattern of responding to ease cognitive burden. The last technique methodically guided participants through questions for each child age group (i.e. for children from birth to 12 months old, 1-3 years old, 4-6 years old, 7-14 years old and age 15 and above) by dairy consumption (i.e. first for milk type: breast milk, cow, goat, sheep and mare; second for dairy products: koumiss, tvorog, airan and other dairy products). During the pilot study, we also determined that it was very difficult for women to recall dietary patterns of children over the age of 14 and therefore we asked women about their own consumption between the ages of 15 and 21 during the 1950s. In addition, lessons learned in group facilitation and note taking from the pilot study focus group interviews were integrated into training modules for local bilingual facilitators of the main study.

Following the practice described for training local bilingual facilitators<sup>53</sup> and general focus group procedures,<sup>6</sup> focus group interviews were conducted by four faculty members of the GSSMU who received 68 h of training as both lead? and assistant focus group moderator. One facilitator was a native Russian speaker and the other three facilitators were native bilingual Russian/Kazakh speakers. GSSMU focus group facilitator training included basic focus group methods, protocol-specific training with several practice sessions, hands-on practice on digital audio recording and data file transfer and training in note taking and data cleaning. Eight additional hours of focus group interviewer and assistant moderator refresher training was provided 1 day prior to traveling to remote villages to ensure consistency in how questions would be asked and data captured.

For each focus group interview, there was one lead facilitator, one assistant moderator dedicated to capturing data on wall charts and one assistant moderator dedicated to audio recording the focus group interview session and taking written notes on a paper-based note-taking template. Audio recordings were captured on two digital recording devices: a small micro digital audio recorder and a laptop computer with digital audio recording software – both with external

microphones placed in the center of the table where focus group participants were seated. Study team members from NCI, fluent in Russian, were present for focus group interviews in villages with predominant Russian ethnicity taking notes and providing feedback to facilitators and upon completion of each focus group interview.

All research instruments and procedures, including participant informed consent forms, were reviewed and approved by the research team's Institutional Review Boards and the Office of Management and Budget of the United States government. At the beginning of each focus group, participants were briefed on the purpose of the study and asked to read and sign the informed consent form and return the form to the focus group facilitator. Focus group facilitators also read aloud the informed consent form to assist participants with limited eyesight and other conditions as needed. Participants were treated in accordance with the guidelines published by the American Psychological Association. No adverse events occurred during the course of this study.

# Data capture, cleaning and analysis

The focus group moderator systematically asked each participant about her children's consumption of each type of milk and milk product at each of the five age groups (birth to 12 months, 1-3 years, 4-6 years, 7-14 years and 15-21 years). The moderator asked each participant about one milk-type or milk product before moving on to the next item. The participant was asked whether her children consumed the particular item or not. If yes, the woman was asked how often (reported per day, week or month) and in what quantities the children consumed these products during each age group. The frequency and quantities (as well as the unit given by the participant) were recorded on a wall chart. The data recorded on the wall chart were verified against the audio recordings during the note-cleaning process. Notes, audio recordings and data collection wall charts were reviewed upon completion of the focus group interviews in two phases. The first phase of note review was completed after interviews were completed for the first two villages (i.e. Karaul and Kainar). The second phase of note review was completed after interviews were completed for the final two villages (i.e. Dolon and Kanonerka). Data review and cleaning was completed in two phases because villages lacked the facilities for the entire project team to participate in note cleaning. All team members participated in a group debriefing session where audio recordings were played; notes reviewed, cleaned and verified; and wall chart data compiled into one master data file spreadsheet. Upon completion of the focus group interviews, Microsoft Excel data files were imported into SAS/STAT® software (SAS Version 9.1; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

From the frequencies and quantities reported, a daily amount consumed (ml) was calculated. A woman's response for a given age group could be counted multiple times (corresponding to the number of children she had who were in

#### Results

### Participant demographics and characteristics

There were six to eight women in each focus group with an average age of 75 years, ranging from 65 to 91 years (Table 1). Approximately 65% of the participants were ethnic Kazakhs and 35% were ethnic Russians. The mean number of children per woman in each village varied little and ranged between 2 and 5. The number of children per participant ranged from 1 to 10.

# Dairy consumption

Dairy consumption data are presented in Tables 2–5. Table 2 shows the prevalence (in percentage) of each type of fresh milk consumed by children at different age ranges by village. Across all the villages, cow's milk was the predominant type consumed, ranging from approximately 70–90% among toddlers, preschool and school-aged children (between 1 and 14 years). The prevalence of fresh milk consumption tended to be lower in infants, because breastfeeding was common. Fresh milk consumption was also less prevalent in adolescents or young adults (aged 15–21 years), although the prevalence

estimates were unstable because of the small number of participants. The amount of fresh cow milk consumed was also substantial (ranging from about 200 to more than 500 ml/day) and higher than other types of fresh milk (except for mare's milk in Kazakh villages, as presented below; Table 3). Among those who consumed cow's milk, the mean daily amount tended to increase with age, but the patterns at older ages varied. There was very little information obtained about the amount consumed by young adults of ages 15–21 years. Beginning at ages 1–3 years, it was quite common for children to consume milk with tea, reaching a prevalence of nearly 100% by age 15 years in Kainar and Dolon (Table 2), although the amount of cow's milk consumed with tea was lower than that consumed alone, typically <100 ml/day (Table 3).

Goat milk consumption was reported for a minority of children (3–28%) in two villages, Kainar (Kazakh village) and Dolon (Russian and Kazakh mixed; Table 2). In Kainar, goat milk consumption was reported only for a small proportion of infants while, in Dolon, both goat and sheep milk consumption was reported for infants, toddlers and preschool-aged children (<1–6 years) but only for Kazakhs. The prevalence of sheep milk consumption among Kazakh children in Dolon ranged from 13% to 47%. We had insufficient data regarding the amount of goat and sheep milk on which to draw any conclusions about the quantity of milk consumed (Table 3).

Mare's milk consumption was exclusively reported for Kazakh children in Kainar and Karaul (Table 2). Although data are based on few observations, the amount of mare milk consumed seemed to increase with age up to age 6 years. Mean daily quantities reported for children were high, ranging from 150 to 440 ml/day, amounts comparable with those reported for cow's milk. In Dolon, two Kazakh mothers indicated that they had consumed mare milk at ages 15–21 years (in the 1950s)

Table 1. Focus group interview participant characteristics

			Ethr	nicity			
Village	Group ethnic composition	Average age	KZ	RU	Average number of children		
Kainar	Group 1: KZ	76.6	8	0	3.9		
	Group 2: KZ	78.5	8	0	3.8		
	Group 3: KZ	75.1	8	0	3.6		
Karaul	Group 1: KZ	77.9	8	0	4.6		
	Group 2: KZ	70.5	8	0	2.3		
	Group 3: KZ	72.0	8	0	2.6		
Dolon	Group 1: KZ	70.8	6	0	4.2		
	Group 2 <sup>:</sup> RU	74.9	0	8	3.0		
Kanonerka	Group 1: RU	75.4	0	7	2.3		
	Group 2: RU	75.4	0	7	2.3		
	Group 3: RU	76.7	0	6	2.8		
Total	•	75.1	54	28	3.2		

KZ, Kazakh; RU, Russian.

Table 2. Prevalence<sup>a</sup> of milk consumption by village and age group reported by focus groups

	Village	Ethnicity	Age (years)								
Milk			<1	1–3	4–6	7–14	15–21	Mothers 15–21 <sup>b</sup>			
Cow	Kainar	KZ	0.44	0.89	0.89	0.70	0.55	0.63			
	Karaul	KZ	0.37	0.85	0.90	0.83	0.86	0.47			
	Dolon	KZ+RU	0.71	0.87	0.83	0.67	0.40	0.57			
	Kanonerka	RU	0.60	0.89	0.88	0.91	0.83	1.00			
Goat	Kainar	KZ	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
	Karaul	KZ	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
	Dolon	KZ+RU	0.23	0.20	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00			
	Kanonerka	RU	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
Sheep	Kainar	KZ	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
•	Karaul	KZ	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
	Dolon	KZ+RU	0.33	0.13	0.20	0.47	0.00	0.14			
	Kanonerka	RU	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
Mare	Kainar	KZ	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.00	0.00			
	Karaul	KZ	0.08	0.03	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.14			
	Dolon	KZ+RU	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29			
	Kanonerka	RU	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
Cow's milk with tea	Kainar	KZ	0.00	0.40	0.71	0.77	1.00	0.88			
	Karaul	KZ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
	Dolon	KZ+RU	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.80	1.00	0.86			
	Kanonerka	RU	0.00	0.48	0.46	0.43	0.17	1.00			
Number of children	Kainar	KZ	89	81	63	44	11	8			
	Karaul	KZ	76	71	42	29	7	15			
	Dolon	KZ+RU	49	46	29	15	5	7			
	Kanonerka	RU	50	48	41	32	6	5			

KZ, Kazakh; KZ+RU, Kazakh and Russian; NA, not applicable (focus group participants were not asked about consumption of this dairy product); RU = Russian.

but reported no consumption by their children at any age. None of the participants reported camel milk consumption for any age group (data not shown).

Daily dairy product consumption - koumiss (fermented mare milk), tvorog (cottage cheese) and prostokvasha (or airan, a sour milk) - was also asked of participants in each village (Tables 4 and 5). Consumption of fermented mare's milk (koumiss) was only reported for Kazakh children ≥1 year and adolescents. The prevalence of koumiss consumption generally increased with age beginning at ages 1-3 years (Table 4). Mean daily consumption rates are based on small numbers but suggest that those who consumed koumiss did so in fairly high quantities (comparable in some cells to that reported for cow's milk). Cottage cheese was widely consumed (Table 4) by both Russians and Kazakhs, particularly among children aged 1 year and above. Children typically consumed ≤100 g/day (Table 5). Focus group participants also reported a high prevalence of sour milk consumption among children aged 1 year and above (Table 4), with mean daily consumption rates ranging from 7 to 360 ml/day.

Data were insufficient to evaluate results across focus groups within a single village. However, where there were enough data to make comparisons, responses were pooled to test for within- and between-ethnicity agreement for responses pertaining to children at ages 1–3 and 4–6 years. Although there were limited data for analysis, results indicated no within-ethnicity differences but there were significant differences between ethnic Kazakh and Russian groups. There was no evidence that dietary patterns differed by gender within a given ethnicity. This indicates that the focus group methodology elicited similar responses within ethnicities as would be expected.

#### Discussion

Overall, focus group interviews generated distributions of age- and ethnicity-specific dairy consumption patterns in children from villages in Kazakhstan that received radiation exposure from atmospheric nuclear weapons tests conducted at the SNTS some 50 years ago. Typically, children consumed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The number of children for whom data were available varies slightly (by ≤6 children) across milk type. Prevalence estimates were based on the number of children for whom data were available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Report of consumption from women who were 15-21 years of age and also mothers during the 1950s.

	Village	Ethnicity	Age (years)								
Milk			<1	1–3	4–6	7–14	15–21	Mothers 15–21 <sup>b</sup>			
Cow	Kainar	KZ	200	250	410	430	DR	(370)°			
	Karaul	KZ	190	160	410	310	DR	(320)			
	Dolon	KZ+RU	240	290	400	510	(200)	(390)			
	Kanonerka	RU	440	500	640	450	(1250)	(540)			
Goat	Kainar	KZ	DR	_	_	_	_	_			
	Karaul	KZ	_	_	_	_	_	_			
	Dolon	KZ+RU	(100)	DR	DR	_	_	_			
	Kanonerka	RU	_	_	_	_	_	_			
Sheep	Kainar	KZ	_	_	_	_	_	_			
-	Karaul	KZ	_	_	_	_	_	_			
	Dolon	KZ+RU	90	DR	(250)	DR	_	DR			
	Kanonerka	RU	_	_	_	_	_	_			
Mare	Kainar	KZ	(150)	(330)	(440)	DR	_	_			
	Karaul	KZ	(160)	(180)	(250)	_	_	(750)			
	Dolon	KZ+RU	_	_	_	_	_	(830)			
	Kanonerka	RU	-	_	-	_	_	_			
Cow's milk with tea	Kainar	KZ	_	(20)	45	50	(55)	(90)			
	Karaul	KZ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
	Dolon	KZ+RU	-	_	45	90	(100)	(80)			
	Kanonerka	RU	_	110	100	60	DR	(100)			

DR, did consume but could not recall specific amounts; KZ, Kazakh; KZ+RU, Kazakh and Russian; NA, not applicable (focus group participants were not asked about consumption of this dairy product); RU, Russian.

cow's milk, although other types of milk such as mare's milk were also consumed by children of Kazakh ethnicity. There was limited consumption of goat and sheep milk. Mothers' own consumption at ages of 15–21 years tended toward cow milk, although they also reported consumption of other types of milk such as mare milk. Also, children and mothers typically consumed dairy products such as airan, tvorog and koumiss (only Kazakhs) with the greatest amounts of koumiss reported for mothers' own consumption at ages 15–21 years.

A noteworthy result of this study is the use of focus group interview methodology to elicit individual estimates of behaviors from the 1950s. Participants who had not participated in focus group interviews prior to this study were willing and able to understand and provide meaningful responses to questions asked. Use of physical examples of bowls, spoons and cups in these villages aided participants' recall and ability to provide estimates of milk and dairy product consumption. Our knowledge of the exact volume for each container and utensil used as reference points in the focus groups assisted in our estimation of amounts consumed.

In contrast to previous data on dietary consumption, the focus group methodology may have improved recall through the discussions about the lifestyles and practices. Our obser-

vations suggested that involving the focus group members in discussion about village life during this period might have aided recall. The variety of food items in the diet during the 1950s was much more limited in these communities than in studies from the United States in the same years. Dairy products were a main component of the diet, which may have improved the mothers' ability to recall intakes of these foods. In addition, there was a limited amount of milk provided by the animal each day, a limited amount permitted to be kept by a family, and a known amount of time that the milk would last before spoilage. We do not, however, have any validity criterion to judge the accuracy of their reports.

Previous work from the 1998 survey data collection<sup>3</sup> had limited intake information about dairy products and presumed some information for the purpose of dose estimation. Consistent general answers elicited through focus group interviews should improve the estimation of dose. Although the specific amounts may not be accurate for a given individual, the probability of consuming a particular amount for a child of a specific age, gender and ethnicity is likely to be more reliable than previous presumptions about individual-level data because we asked mothers instead of relying on the 1998 cohort members' own recall of their childhood diet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Average among persons who reported consumption rate of that type of dairy product rounded to the nearest five for consumption rates from 10 to 100 (ml/day) and to the nearest ten for consumption rates more than 100 (ml/day).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Report of consumption from women who were 15-21 years of age and also mothers during the 1950s.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Values in parentheses reflect groups with fewer than 10 responses.

Table 4. Prevalence<sup>a</sup> of dairy product consumption by village and age group reported by focus groups

				Age (years)					
Dairy product	Village	Ethnicity	<1	1–3	4–6	7–14	15–21	Mothers 15–21 <sup>b</sup>	
Fermented mare milk (also referred to as koumiss)	Kainar	KZ	0.00	0.05	0.31	0.48	0.70	0.75	
	Karaul	KZ	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.34	1.00	0.60	
	Dolon	KZ+RU	0.00	0.22	0.31	0.27	0.40	0.57	
	Kanonerka	RU	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Cottage cheese (also referred to as tvorog)	Kainar	KZ	0.03	0.19	0.20	0.25	0.10	0.88	
	Karaul	KZ	0.00	0.24	0.69	0.90	0.50	0.40	
	Dolon	KZ+RU	0.19	0.73	0.69	0.67	0.40	0.71	
	Kanonerka	RU	0.18	0.70	0.98	0.58	0.67	1.00	
Sour milk (also referred to as airan or prostokvasha)	Kainar	KZ	0.16	0.62	0.75	0.65	0.80	0.75	
	Karaul	KZ	0.00	0.72	0.82	1.00	1.00	0.47	
	Dolon	KZ+RU	0.48	0.69	0.66	0.93	1.00	0.71	
	Kanonerka	RU	0.10	0.28	0.33	0.10	0.17	0.60	
Sour cream	Kainar	KZ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Karaul	KZ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Dolon	KZ+RU	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Kanonerka	RU	0.06	0.38	0.65	0.68	0.83	1.00	
Number of children	Kainar	KZ	89	81	63	44	11	8	
	Karaul	KZ	76	71	42	29	7	15	
	Dolon	KZ+RU	49	46	29	15	5	7	
	Kanonerka	RU	50	48	41	32	6	5	

KZ, Kazakh; KZ+RU, Kazakh and Russian; NA, not applicable (focus group participants were not asked about consumption of this dairy product); RU, Russian.

The relative frequency and quantity of intake across these subgroups, especially ethnic and age subgroups, are especially important for dose estimation and the variability across groups is essential.

Several of our previous assumptions were modified as a result of information obtained from the focus groups. Focus group participants reported that there was no difference between boys and girls for the types of milk and dairy products consumed. Children did not drink camel milk as had been reported by some interviewees in 1998; children did not consume large quantities of fresh horse and sheep milk, and milk consumption by Russian and Kazakh children differed only by the amount of milk. Finally, no information was previously available about mother's milk intake during pregnancy and lactation, which is relevant to some individuals in the 1998 cohort who were exposed *in utero* or during breastfeeding.

Potential limitations of this study included a reluctance to participate in the focus group interviews, hypothesis guessing, inaccurate recall and participation bias because of mortality. In advance of focus group interviews with village residents, there was some concern as to whether village residents would actively participate in focus group interviews after having lived through an era of Soviet rule that may have discouraged

an open discussion of events related to nuclear testing in the SNTS. Results from the post-focus group evaluation and facilitator debriefings indicate that all but a few participants participated actively and there was no perceivable reluctance to participate. Because of the limited number of study sites for radiation exposure, the people of selected villages in Kazakhstan may have participated in other earlier studies, and may possess a response bias of hypothesis guessing<sup>55</sup> whereby participants provide responses that they believe aligns with the study objectives. However, post-focus group debriefings with focus group facilitators revealed that participants were surprised to hear that the objective of the study was to explore dairy consumption and village life in the 1950s despite being informed of the general study objectives during the screening. As a result, it is unlikely that participants came to the focus group with a preconceived notion of what responses the research team was anticipating.

Another potential threat to the validity of the results of the study was inaccurate recall. Several studies mentioned earlier note the general validity and reproducibility of autobiographical dietary recall 40–50 years later. 4,56 Chavarro et al. 4 studied the validity of maternal dietary recall using a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) after 43 years for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The number of children for whom data were available varies slightly (by ≤6 children) across dairy product type. Prevalence estimates were based on the number of children for whom data were available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Report of consumption from women who were 15–21 years of age and also mothers during the 1950s.

			Age (years)						
Dairy product	Village	Ethnicity	<1	1–3	4–6	7–14	15–21	Mothers 15–21 <sup>b</sup>	
Fermented mare milk (also referred to as koumiss)	Kainar	KZ	_	(75)°	270	260	(250)	(280)	
	Karaul	KZ	_	(250)	(250)	(35)	(250)	(650)	
	Dolon	KZ+RU	_	95	(250)	(440)	(220)	(560)	
	Kanonerka	RU	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Cottage cheese (also referred to as tvorog)	Kainar	KZ	(20)	(30)	(25)	(350)	DR	(35)	
	Karaul	KZ	_	(25)	(30)	30	DR	(200)	
	Dolon	KZ+RU	(40)	90	95	(70)	(65)	(120)	
	Kanonerka	RU	(7)	15	30	30	(20)	(30)	
Sour milk (also referred to as airan or prostokvasha)	Kainar	KZ	20	180	140	360	DR	(220)	
	Karaul	KZ	_	(190)	(190)	DR	DR	(280)	
	Dolon	KZ+RU	50	140	220	185	(130)	(260)	
	Kanonerka	RU	(20)	(50)	(45)	DR	(7)	(130)	
Sour cream (g/day)	Kainar	KZ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
•	Karaul	KZ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Dolon	KZ+RU	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Kanonerka	RU	(30)	25	30	20	(15)	(30)	

DR, did consume but could not recall specific amounts; KZ, Kazakh; KZ+RU, Kazakh and Russian; NA, not applicable (focus group participants were not asked about consumption of this dairy product); RU, Russian.

children aged 3-5 years. Results indicate a general lack of validity of maternal responses on an FFQ four decades later – especially for milk consumption. The FFQ provides a different type of information and requires different cognitive tasks than those used in our study. Smith et al. 56 examined the reliability of dietary recall and concluded that when dietary recall tasks exceeds several hours, participants may base their reports on generic memory, but they add that 'If dietary reports are based substantially on generic memory, perhaps generic memory is what epidemiologists should ask about' (Smith et al. 56, p. 290). Reporting higher intakes for themselves at age 15-21 years than their children at age 15–21 years suggests there was some evidence of current habits influencing their recall of the past. The distributions of intakes generated, however, are still likely to be useful for dose and risk estimation purposes since differences of reported intakes by ethnicity suggest some underlying validity to the reports. Although difficulty in recall may remain an issue, the discussions and concurrence among participants about lifestyles and events of that time period make this a unique study and not comparable to other published studies of individual recall.

As a result of the mortality of other village elders over time, it may be that participants available for this study had different daily life practices in the 1950s than parents of 1998 study members. There is no way to discount this potential bias but questions were designed to ask about their own life

practices and follow-up probes asked if their experience was different for others in the village that they knew. Conversely, village residents live such closely-knit lives that it may be difficult for one to appreciate that their experiences differed from others in the community or that if they did differ, that they would want to call attention to these differences. There was no indication in the interviews or the data collected that the participants' responses were different from typical village life in the 1950s.

This study provides researchers with a reasonable approach for collecting data from a difficult-to-reach population with questions that pose significant cognitive demands. Other applications could include epidemiologic studies of environmental exposures or agricultural practices in the past including chemicals used in particular regions or for particular foods. Previous research demonstrates that participants tend to rely on generic memory as the recall period increases.<sup>56</sup> Focus group interviews may help highlight the timeframe in question and could lead to more accurate recall of exposures or fields that change with time. For example, occupational physical activity may have changed over time, and therfore discussion and consensus on type of activity for particular jobs are likely to be more accurate than individual recall. It may be useful to have a focus group at the family level to determine typical food purchased at the household level for a specified period of time. The best use of such data

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Average among persons who reported consumption rate of that type of dairy product rounded to the nearest five for consumption rates from 10 to 100 (ml/day) and to the nearest 10 for consumption rates more than 100 (ml/day).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Report of consumption from women who were 15–21 years of age and also mothers during the 1950s.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Values in parentheses reflect groups with fewer than 10 responses.

is at the group level, but in some cases the group data can inform the individual level, as occurred in our study.

Our data regarding milk and dairy product consumption should improve radiation dose estimation in the ongoing epidemiologic studies of thyroid disease risk following fallout radiation exposure that occurred more than 50 years ago in Kazakhstan villages or those attempting to gain a better understanding of daily life in rural villages in Kazakhstan. Even where there was information from survey data on dairy intake from the 1960s or the more recent 1998 primary data collection, information from the focus group interviews made significant contributions in understanding assumptions about consumption by age, gender and stage of life. In many epidemiological studies of cancer and radiation exposure from past nuclear testing or accidents, retrospective dose reconstruction using very limited information presents major challenges. As in our case, past information available may not provide sufficient details needed to allow for a desired dose reconstruction with the necessary reliability. Interviews with individuals in a cohort having been exposed in the distant past may be problematic for a variety of reasons including memory that can be inaccurate, unreliable or biased. The present effort utilizing the focus group techniques may open up a new avenue for epidemiological research on long-term radiation effects in other historical cohorts.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the participants of their focus group interviews, medical officials and civil officials in Karaul, Kainar, Dolon, Kanonerka, Sarzhal, Korostely, Novopokrovka and Bolshaya Vladimirovka who aided in the field study in August–September 2007. The authors also acknowledge support and guidance from Dr Martha Linet of the National Cancer Institute and Ms Kim Aspinwall, Ms Dawn Ohse, Ms Norma Kim and Mr John Heinrich from RTI International. The authors thank Dr Deukwoo Kwon of the National Cancer Institute for statistical support. The authors extend their gratitude and appreciation to the anonymous reviewers for their constructive feedback.

#### References

- Carr ZA, Bouville A, Luckyanov N, et al. Thyroid disease prevalence and fallout exposure in Kazakhstan. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Dosimetry of the Population Living in the Proximity of the Semipalatinsk Atomic Weapons Test Site (STUK-A187) (eds. Lindholm C, Simon S and Makar B), 2002; pp. 55–61. STUK – Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority, Helsinki, Sweden.
- Grosche B, Land C, Bauer S, et al. Fallout from nuclear tests: health effects in Kazakhstan. Radiat Environ Biophys. 2002; 41, 75–80.
- 3. Land CE, Zhumadilov Z, Gusev BI, *et al.* Ultrasound-detected thyroid nodule prevalence and radiation dose from fallout. *Radiat Res.* 2008; 169, 373–383.

- Chavarro JE, Michels KB, Sheherazadh I, et al. Validity of maternal recall of preschool diet after 43 years. Am J Epidemiol. 2009; 169, 1148–1157.
- Kitzinger J. Qualitative research. Introducing focus groups. Br Med J. 1995; 311, 299–302.
- 6. Krueger R. Focus groups: A Practical Guide for Applied Research, 3rd edn, 2000. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Lakshman M, Charles M, Biswas M, et al. Focus group discussions in medical research. *Indian J Pediatr*. 2000; 67, 358–362.
- 8. Brown P, Keenan JM, Potts GR. The self-reference effect with imagery encoding. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1986; 51, 897–906.
- 9. Ervin RB, Smiciklas-Wright H. Using encoding and retrieval strategies to improve 24-hour dietary recalls among older adults. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 1998; 98, 989–994.
- Klein SB, Kihlstrom JF. Elaboration, organization, and the self-reference effect in memory. J Exp Psychol Gen. 1986; 115, 26–38.
- Klein SB, Loftus J. The nature of self-referent encoding: the contributions of elaborative and organizational processes. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 1988; 55, 5–11.
- Klein SB, Loftus J, Burton HA. Two self-reference effects: the importance of distinguishing between self-descriptiveness judgments and autobiographical retrieval in self-referent encoding. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1989; 56, 853–865.
- McDonough IM, Gallo DA. Autobiographical elaboration reduces memory distortion: cognitive operations and the distinctiveness heuristic. *J Exp Psychol Learn Mem Cogn.* 2008; 34, 1430–1445.
- 14. Rogers TB, Kuiper NA, Kirker WS. Self-reference and encoding of personal information. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 1977; 35, 677–688.
- Smith RE, Hunt RR. Distinctive processing improves memory for recent autobiographical events. In *Trends in Experimental Psychology Research* (ed. Rosen DT), 2005; pp. 269–281. Nova Science Publishers, Hauppauge, NY.
- Edmunds H. The Focus Group Research Handbook, 1999. NTC Business Books, Chicago, IL.
- Merton R, Fiske M, Kendall P. The Focused Interview: A Manual of Problems and Procedures, 2nd edn, 1990. Free Press, New York, NY.
- Morgan DL, Krueger RA. The Focus Group Kit, 1997. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- 19. Edmonds VM. The nutritional patterns of recently immigrated Honduran women. *J Transcult Nurs.* 2005; 16, 226–235.
- 20. Elmubarak E, Bromfield E, Bovell-Benjamin AC. Focused interviews with Sudanese Americans: perceptions about diet, nutrition, and cancer. *Prev Med.* 2005; 40, 502–509.
- 21. Hargreaves MK, Schlundt DG, Buchowski MS. Contextual factors influencing the eating behaviors of African American women: a focus group investigation. *Ethn Health*. 2002; 7, 133–147.
- 22. Jonsson IM, Hallbert LR-M, Gustafsson I-B. Cultural foodways in Sweden: repeated focus group interviews with Somalian women. *Int J Consum Stud.* 2002; 26, 328–339.
- 23. Jonsson IM, Wallin A-M, Hallbert LR-M, *et al.* Choice of food and food traditions in pre-war Bosnia-Herzegovina: focus group interviews with immigrant women in Sweden. *Ethn Health*. 2002; 7, 149–161.

- 24. Kruger R, Gericke GJ. A qualitative exploration of rural feeding and weaning practices, knowledge and attitudes on nutrition. *Pub Health Nutr.* 2003; 6, 217–223.
- Satia JA, Patterson RE, Taylor VM, et al. Use of qualitative methods to study diet, acculturation, and health in Chinese-American women. J Am Diet Assoc. 2000; 100, 934–940.
- Brewer WF. What is autobiographical memory? In *Autobiographical Memory* (ed. Rubin DC), 1986; pp. 25–49. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Brewer WF. Autobiographical memory and survey research. In Autobiographical Memory and the Validity of Retrospective Reports (eds. Schwarz N and Sudman S), 1994; pp. 11–20. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Tourangeau R. Cognitive sciences and survey methods. In Cognitive Aspects of Survey Methodology: Building a Bridge Between Disciplines (eds. Jabine T, Straf M, Tanur J, et al.), 1984; pp. 73–100. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Willett WC, Lenart E. Reproducibility and validity of food-frequency questionnaires. In *Nutritional Epidemiology* (ed. Willett WC), 2nd edn, 1998; pp. 101–147. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Dwyer JT, Coleman KA. Insights into dietary recall from a longitudinal study: accuracy over four decades. Am J Clin Nutr. 1997; 65(Suppl), 1153S–1158S.
- Marshall JR. Commentary: about that measurement problem. Int J Epidemiol. 2005; 34, 1376–1377.
- Wu ML, Whittemore AS, Jung DL. Errors in reported dietary intakes. II. Long-term recall. Am J Epidemiol. 1988; 128, 1137–1145.
- Bakkum A, Bloemberg B, van Staveren WA, et al. The relative validity of a retrospective estimate of food consumption based on a current dietary history and a food frequency list. Nutr Cancer. 1988; 11, 41–53.
- 34. Byers T, Rosenthal R, Marshall J, *et al.* Dietary history from the distant past: a methodological study. *Nutr Cancer.* 1983; 5, 69–77
- Dwyer JT, Gardner J, Halvorsen K, et al. Memory of food intake in the distant past. Am J Epidemiol. 1989; 130, 1033–1046.
- Friedenreich CM, Slimani N, Riboli E. Measurement of past diet: review of previous and proposed methods. *Epidemiol Rev.* 1992; 14, 177–196.
- Jensen OM, Wahrendorf J, Rosenqvist A, et al. The reliability of questionnaire-derived historical dietary information and temporal stability of food habits in individuals. Am J Epidemiol. 1984; 120, 281–290.
- 38. Rohan TE, Potter JD. Retrospective assessment of dietary intake. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1984; 120, 876–887.
- Thompson FE, Metzner HL, Lamphiear DE, et al.
   Characteristics of individuals and long term reproducibility of dietary reports: The Tecumseh Diet Methodology Study. J Clin Epidemiol. 1990; 43, 1169–1178.

- van Staveren W, West C, Hoffmans M, et al. Comparison of contemporaneous and retrospective estimates of food consumption made by a dietary history method. Am J Epidemiol. 1986; 123, 884–893.
- Ambrosini GL, van Roosbroeck SAH, Mackerras D, et al.
   The reliability of ten-year dietary recall: implications for cancer research. J Nutr. 2003; 133, 2663–2668.
- 42. Byers T, Marshall J, Anthony E, *et al.* The reliability of dietary history from the distant past. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1987; 125, 999–1011.
- Jain M, Howe GR, Harrison L, et al. A study of repeatability of dietary data over a seven-year period. Am J Epidemiol. 1989; 129, 422–429.
- 44. Linsted KD, Kuzma JW. Reliability of eight-year diet recall in cancer cases and controls. *Epidemiology*. 1990; 1, 392–401.
- 45. Willett WC, Sampson L, Browne ML, et al. The use of a self-administered questionnaire to assess diet four years in the past. Am J Epidemiol. 1988; 127, 188–199.
- Maruti SS, Feskanich D, Colditz GA, et al. Adult recall of adolescent diet: reproducibility and comparison with maternal reporting. Am J Epidemiol. 2005; 161, 89–97.
- Pietinen P, Hartman AM, Haapa E, et al. Reproducibility and validity of dietary assessment instruments. II. A qualitative food frequency questionnaire. Am J Epidemiol. 1988; 128, 667–676.
- Wolk A, Bergstrom R, Hansson LE, et al. Reliability of retrospective information on diet 20 years ago and consistency of independent measurements of remote adolescent diet. Nutr Cancer. 1997; 29, 234–241.
- Block G, Thompson FE, Harman AM, et al. Comparison of two dietary questionnaires validated against multiple dietary records collected during a 1-year period. J Am Diet Assoc. 1992; 92, 686–693.
- Frazier AL, Willett WC, Colditz GA. Reproducibility of recall of adolescent diet: Nurses' Health Study (United States). Cancer Causes Control. 1995; 6, 499–506.
- Frobisher C, Tilling K, Emmett PM, et al. Reproducibility measures and their effect on diet-cancer associations in the Boyd Orr Cohort. J Epidemiol Commun Health. 2007; 61, 434

  440.
- Gordeev K, Vasilenko I, Lebedev A, et al. Fallout from nuclear tests: dosimetry in Kazakhstan. Radiat Environ Biophys. 2002; 41, 61–67.
- Maynard-Tucker G. Conducting focus groups in developing countries: skill training for local bilingual facilitators. *Qual Health Res.* 2000; 10, 396–410.
- American Psychological Association. Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association, 5th edn, 2001. American Psychological Association, Washington, DC.
- Cook TD, Campbell DT. Quasi-experimentation: Design and Analysis Issues for Field Settings, 1979. Houghton-Mifflin, Boston, MA.
- Smith AF, Jobe JB, Mingay DJ. Retrieval from memory of dietary information. Appl Cogn Psychol. 1991; 5, 269–296.