## **ORIGINAL ARTICLES**

## Randomized clinical trial on cognitive therapy for depression in women with metastatic breast cancer: Psychological and immunological effects

JOSÉE SAVARD, PH.D.,<sup>1,2</sup> SÉBASTIEN SIMARD, M.PS.,<sup>1,2</sup> ISABELLE GIGUÈRE, M.PS.,<sup>1</sup> HANS IVERS, M.PS.,<sup>1,2</sup> CHARLES M. MORIN, PH.D.,<sup>2</sup> ELIZABETH MAUNSELL, PH.D.,<sup>3,4</sup> PIERRE GAGNON, M.D.,<sup>5,6</sup> JEAN ROBERT, M.D.,<sup>4</sup> AND DANIÈLE MARCEAU, M.D.<sup>7</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Laval University Cancer Research Center, Université Laval, Québec, Québec, Canada
- <sup>2</sup>School of Psychology, Université Laval, Québec, Québec, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Population Health Research Unit, Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, Université Laval, Québec, Québec, Canada

<sup>4</sup>Centre des maladies du sein Deschênes-Fabia, Hôpital du St-Sacrement, Québec, Québec, Canada

<sup>5</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Université Laval, Québec, Québec, Canada

<sup>6</sup>Maison Michel-Sarrazin, Québec, Québec, Canada

<sup>7</sup>L'Hôtel-Dieu de Québec, Québec, Québec, Canada

(RECEIVED March 16, 2006; ACCEPTED May 13, 2006)

## ABSTRACT

*Objective:* Depression is particularly prevalent in patients with advanced cancer. Cognitive therapy (CT) is an empirically supported treatment for depression in the general population. However, efficacy remains to be demonstrated in patients with advanced cancer. A prior controlled trial of CT in a group format showed improvements in depression, mood disturbance, and self-esteem; however, these effects were not maintained over time. Studies examining the efficacy of individual format CT interventions that may ensure more long-term maintenance of benefits are necessary. This study assessed the efficacy of CT for depression administered individually in women with metastatic breast cancer and its effect on immune function.

*Method*: Forty-five women were randomly assigned to either individual CT or to a waiting-list control (WLC) condition. CT was composed of eight weekly sessions of CT and three booster sessions administered at 3-week intervals following the end of treatment.

Results: Patients treated with CT had significantly lower scores on the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale at posttreatment compared to untreated patients. Pooled data from both groups indicated significant reductions of depressive symptoms from pre- to posttreatment, as well as reduction of associated symptoms including anxiety, fatigue, and insomnia symptoms. These effects were well sustained at the 3- and 6-month follow-up evaluations. CT for depression did not appear to have a significant impact on immune functioning.

*Significance of results*: Findings of this study support the efficacy of CT for depression in this population and suggest that the administration of individual and booster sessions after treatment termination may be instrumental in sustaining the treatment effects over time.

**KEYWORDS:** Cognitive therapy, Metastatic breast cancer, Depression, Immunity

## **INTRODUCTION**

The prevalence of depression is particularly high in patients with advanced cancer. A systematic litera-

ture review has found the prevalence of major depressive disorder, defined by a diagnostic interview,

Corresponding author: Josée Savard, Ph.D., Laval University Cancer Research Center, 11 Côte du Palais, Québec, Québec, G1R 2J6, Canada. E-mail: josee.savard@psy.ulaval.ca

significant depression that could impair their quality of life. Yet, depression remains largely underdiagnosed and undertreated in this population (Wilson et al., 2000).

Beck's cognitive therapy (Beck et al., 1979*b*), a type of cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), is an empirically supported treatment for depression in the general population (DeRubeis & Crits-Christoph, 1998). Cognitive therapy is at least as efficacious as antidepressants in the treatment of major depression (Rush et al., 1977; Murphy et al., 1984; Hollon et al., 1992), even for moderate to severe major depression (DeRubeis et al., 2005). Furthermore, cognitive therapy is associated with a better maintenance of therapeutic gains over time, in comparison to short-term antidepressant therapy (Kovacs et al., 1981; Blackburn et al., 1986; Simons et al., 1986; Evans et al., 1992; Hollon et al., 2005).

A number of studies have assessed the efficacy of psychological interventions incorporating cognitivebehavioral strategies in cancer patients. Most of these studies have found significant positive outcomes, including reduction of depression, anxiety, fatigue, and general psychological distress (e.g., Fawzy et al., 1990; Edgar et al., 1992; Greer et al., 1992; Marchioro et al., 1996; Watson et al., 1996; Moorey et al., 1998; Antoni et al., 2001). However, to our knowledge, only one of these studies has been conducted exclusively in women with metastatic breast cancer (Edelman et al., 1999a). In that study, 124 women with metastatic breast cancer were assigned either to eight weekly sessions of CBT administered in a group format or to standard care (i.e., no CBT). The intervention incorporated a variety of behavioral and cognitive techniques (e.g., relaxation, problem solving, goal setting, communication strategies, cognitive restructuring), and expression of feelings and building of group support were encouraged in the group. Patients who received CBT showed greater improvements in depression, total mood disturbance, and self-esteem relative to patients in the control group at posttreatment. However, there were no group differences at the 3- and 6-month follow-up assessments. One of the explanations put forth by the authors for this lack of sustained gains over time is the impossibility in a group intervention of individualizing the intervention to each patient's specific needs. Studies assessing the efficacy of individual CBT, the most common treatment format used in clinical settings (Goodwin, 2004), were consequently recommended.

A recent pilot study supported the feasibility of short-term (i.e., eight weekly sessions) cognitive therapy administered individually in six women with metastatic cancer (Lévesque et al., 2004). This study, using an experimental case study design, revealed significant improvements in depression symptoms (e.g., anhedonia) and some associated features (i.e., anxiety, fatigue) for each participant, effects that were generally well maintained at the 6-month follow-up evaluation. Although promising, these preliminary findings need to be replicated in a randomized controlled trial.

Another area that warrants further investigation is the impact of psychological interventions on patients' medical status or physiological functioning potentially relevant to cancer progression. Except for the early study published by Spiegel et al. (1989), none of the other studies conducted among women with metastatic breast cancer have found any increase in survival associated with the administration of a psychological intervention (Cunningham et al., 1998; Edelman et al., 1999b; Goodwin et al., 2001). Assessing the effect of a treatment targeting a particular psychological disorder that has been found to be associated with impaired physiological functioning has the potential to shed new light on this matter. Cross-sectional studies conducted in noncancer populations have consistently found a relationship between depression and immunosuppression (Weisse, 1992; Herbert & Cohen, 1993; Cohen & Herbert, 1996; Irwin, 1999). Although still being debated (Garssen & Goodkin, 1999), the psychoneuroimmunology model of cancer postulates that this down-regulating effect of depression on immune functioning could ultimately affect cancer progression (Reiche et al., 2004). Conversely, depression treatment may be associated with improved immunological functioning, which could, in turn, translate into increased cancer survival, a hypothesis that has yet to be investigated.

The main goal of this study was to assess the efficacy of cognitive therapy, administered individually, in reducing depression among women with metastatic cancer. An exploratory goal was to assess the effect of this intervention on immunological functioning.

## **METHODS**

## **Participants**

## Study Population

The participants were recruited between May 1999 and June 2003, mainly through systematic screening of depressive symptoms in three cancer clinics (Centre des maladies du sein Deschênes-Fabia of the Hôpital St-Sacrement [HSS] and haematooncology departments of L'Hôtel-Dieu de Québec [HDQ] and L'Hôtel-Dieu de Lévis [HDL]) using the depression subscale of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS-D; Zigmond & Snaith, 1983). A small number of participants were recruited with the aid of posters and pamphlets as well as through physician referrals.

The study inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) a diagnosis of metastatic breast cancer (stage IV) and (b) a score of 7 or more on the HADS-D or of 15 or more on the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI; Beck et al., 1961). Exclusion criteria were (1) being in a terminal stage of the disease defined as a life expectancy of less than 2 months; (2) meeting DSM-IV criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) for a severe psychiatric disorder other than major depression (e.g., psychotic, substance use disorders); (3) presenting severe suicidal ideations with a risk of acting out, as evaluated by the Scale for Suicide Ideation (SSI; Beck et al., 1979a); (4) having recently (i.e., within the past 2 months) started an antidepressant medication or recently altered the dosage taken of an antidepressant medication; and (5) currently receiving a psychological intervention targeting depression. Patients excluded from the study were referred to the Psycho-oncology Service of HDQ.

#### Sample Characteristics

Figure 1 shows the rates of recruitment, exclusion, refusal, and dropout throughout the study. Of the 333 women screened for depressive symptoms, 75 (23% of women initially screened) obtained a HADS-D score of 7 or higher at some point. Of those, 45 (14% of women initially screened) were found eligible at the clinical interview and were enrolled in the study and randomized. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the 37 participants included in the analyses are presented in Table 1. All patients were Caucasian. Cardiovascular disease was the most common comordid physical condition (n = 7). Of all demographic and clinical variables examined, the only significant group difference at pretreatment was the time passed since the initial cancer diagnosis, with the cognitive therapy group having a longer duration of cancer (M =7.61 years) compared to the control group (M =4.35), t(35) = 2.08, p = .05.

## **Experimental Design**

Participants were first stratified according to the cancer clinic where they were recruited (HSS, HDQ, or HDL), and then randomly assigned either to the (1) cognitive therapy (CT) or (2) waiting-list control (WLC) condition (see Fig. 2). The group allocation was contained in individually sealed envelopes, pre-

pared by the principal investigator prior to study initiation using a computer-generated random numbers table. Participants assigned to the WLC group waited for a period corresponding to the duration of the intervention (8 weeks) and were reassessed on the study variables before receiving CT. This second assessment (pretreatment or postwaiting assessment) of control patients was contrasted to the posttreatment evaluation of treated patients to assess the short-term effects of CT. Additional evaluations were conducted 3 and 6 months after the end of their respective treatment to assess the maintenance of treatment effects over time. The study was approved by the ethical review boards of HSS, HDQ, HDL, and l'Université Laval.

#### Measures

#### Psychological Measures

Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID; First et al., 1996). The purpose of this interview is to evaluate the presence of current and past psychiatric disorders according to DSM-IV diagnostic criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 1994).

Scale for Suicide Ideation. The SSI (Beck et al., 1979a) is a semistructured interview evaluating the severity of suicidal ideation. The SSI was translated into French by the first author for use in this study.

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS; Zigmond & Snaith, 1983). This is a 14-item questionnaire divided into two sub-scales: depression (HADS-D: 7 items) and anxiety (HADS-A: 7 items). The HADS contains no somatic items that may be confused with symptoms of the physical illness. The French-Canadian version possesses psychometric qualities equivalent to those of the original English version (Savard et al., 1998).

Beck Depression Inventory (Beck et al., 1961). The BDI includes 21 items evaluating the severity of depressive symptoms, each with four response choices. The French-Canadian version used was developed and empirically validated by Bourque and Beaudette (1982), who found the psychometric properties to be comparable to those of the English version.

Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS; Hamilton, 1960). This clinical interview contains 17 items assessing the severity of depression symptoms. In this study, the Structured Interview Guide for the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (Williams, 1988) was used to standardize its administration. This interview was translated into French by the first author.



Fig. 1. Flow diagram of participants' progress through the study phases.

## Table 1. Demographic and medical variables

	Cognitive (n =	e therapy = 21)	Waiting-l (n =	ist control = 16)
Variables	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Age	51.47	8.05	51.66	8.62
	n	%	n	%
Marital status Married or living with partner Other Education completed	12 9	57.14 $42.86$	8 8	$50.00 \\ 50.00$
High school or less Junior college University	$\begin{array}{c} 6\\ 5\\ 10 \end{array}$	$28.57 \\ 23.81 \\ 47.62$	5 6 5	$31.25 \\ 37.50 \\ 31.25$
Working Not working	$\frac{3}{18}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.29\\ 85.71 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}3\\13\end{array}$	$18.75 \\ 81.25$
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Time since initial cancer diagnosis (years) Time since metastatic diagnosis (years) Number or recurrences (local and metastatic)	$7.61 \\ 1.69 \\ 3.38$	$6.06 \\ 1.97 \\ 1.72$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.35 \\ 1.26 \\ 2.75 \end{array}$	$3.34 \\ 1.45 \\ 1.34$
	п	%	n	%
At initial diagnosis TNM stage Stage I Stage III Stage III Stage IV Surgery <sup>a</sup> Lumportomy	$1\\12\\4\\4$	4.76 57.14 19.05 19.05	4 8 1 3	25.00 50.00 6.25 18.75 62.50
Mastectomy Adjuvant radiation therapy	11	52.38	10 6	37.50
Yes No Adjuvant chemotherapy	11 10	52.38 47.62	10 6	$62.50 \\ 37.50$
Yes No Adiuvant hormone therapy	$ \begin{array}{c} 15\\ 6 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 71.43 \\ 28.57 \end{array}$	8 8	$\begin{array}{c} 50.00\\ 50.00\end{array}$
Yes No At randomization Sites of metastases	8 13	$38.10 \\ 61.90$	8 8	$50.00 \\ 50.00$
Bone only Bone and visceral Other Lifetime treatment for metastases <sup>a</sup>	$\begin{smallmatrix} 6\\13\\2\end{smallmatrix}$	$28.57 \\ 61.90 \\ 9.53$	5 9 2	$31.25 \\ 56.25 \\ 12.50$
Chemotherapy Hormone therapy Radiation therapy Other treatments in progress <sup>a</sup>	18 18 17	$85.71 \\ 85.71 \\ 80.95$	14 12 11	$87.50 \\ 75.00 \\ 68.75$
Pamidronate Zoledronic Menonausal status	11 13	$\begin{array}{c} 52.38\\ 61.90\end{array}$	7 7	$43.75 \\ 43.75$
Pre- or perimenopausal Postmenopausal Psychiatria diagradarga	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 19 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 9.52\\90.48\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 16\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 100.00\end{array}$
Depressive disorder Anxiety disorder Adjustment disorder None	$\begin{array}{c} 17\\7\\4\\0\end{array}$	$80.95 \\ 33.33 \\ 19.05 \\ 0.00$	$\begin{array}{c}10\\5\\5\\0\end{array}$	$62.50 \\ 31.25 \\ 31.25 \\ 0.00$
Vomorbid physical condition Yes No	$\begin{array}{c} 6\\ 15\end{array}$	$28.57 \\ 71.43$	6 10	$37.50 \\ 62.50$

 $^{\rm a} The \ sum of \ these \ percentages \ exceeds \ 100\%$  because some patients had received more than one treatment or had more than one condition.

## **Cognitive Therapy Group**



Waiting-List Control Group



**Fig. 2.** Study design. Pre-tx: pretreatment; post-tx: posttreatment; f-up: follow-up; pre-wait.: prewaiting; post-wait.: postwaiting.

Insomnia Severity Index (ISI; Morin, 1993). The ISI is a 7-item questionnaire evaluating insomnia severity (e.g., difficulties falling asleep). Each item is rated using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (not at all) to 4 (very much), for a total score ranging from 0 to 28. The French-Canadian version of the ISI was recently validated in the context of cancer (Savard et al., 2005) and psychometric properties equivalent to those of the original version were found (Bastien et al., 2001; Smith & Trinder, 2001).

*Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory* (MFI; Smets et al., 1995). The French-Canadian version used, which possesses adequate psychometric properties (Fillion et al., 2003), is a short form of the original MFI. It contains 15 items (on a scale from 1 to 5) divided into four subscales including general and physical fatigue, reduction in activity, reduction in motivation, and mental fatigue. A global score of fatigue is obtained by calculating a mean for all items.

The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Quality of Life Questionnaire (QLQ-C33; Aaronson et al., 1993; Osoba et al., 1997). This questionnaire was developed and validated with cancer patients. Only the global quality of life scale, comprised of three items (i.e., physical condition, overall health, overall quality of life), was used as an outcome measure in this study. Other scales were used as potential confounding variables, including the physical functional scale, two symptom scales (pain and nausea/vomiting), and four single items (dyspnea, appetite loss, constipation, and diarrhea). The scores obtained are transformed to give a score ranging from 0 to 100. The French version was developed by the authors of the original English version.

The EORTC Breast Cancer-Specific Quality of Life Questionnaire Module (QLQ-BR23; Sprangers et al., 1996). This questionnaire was developed to evaluate the physical symptoms frequently associated with breast cancer. One functional scale (sexuality) and one symptom scale (systemic therapy side effects) were used as potential confounders in this study. As for the QLQ-C33, scores are transformed to give a score ranging from 0 to 100. The French-Canadian version was developed by our research team and has not yet been empirically validated.

*Health Behaviors Questionnaire* (HBQ). The HBQ assesses recent (i.e., past week) health behaviors that may potentially confound the relationship between psychological aspects and immune function (e.g., use of alcohol, tobacco, and exercise level) (Savard et al., 2003).

List of Life Events (LLE). This 19-item questionnaire was adapted from the Inventory of Recent Life Experiences for Cancer Patients (Fillion et al., 2001), which is a measure of cancer-related life events, and the List of Threatening Experiences (Brugha et al., 1985), which is a measure of general stressful life events. The presence of stressful life events was used as a potential confounding variable.

## Immunological Measures

At each assessment point, 36 ml of venous blood was collected in four heparinized tubes. The tubes were centrifuged at 1800 r.p.m. for 5 min at room temperature and the buffy coat was collected (3 ml by tube). Analyses were performed by laboratory personnel blind to the patients' randomization.

Lymphocyte subpopulations. White blood cell (WBC) subsets were determined in the whole blood by three-color direct immunofluorescence using a Coulter flow cytometer (Coulter EPICS Elite ESP, Beckman Coulter, Miami, FL). A minimum of 10,000 cells per sample was analyzed. To analyze lymphocyte surface antigens, monoclonal antibodies (Abs) were directly conjugated with either fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), phycoerythrin (PE), or peridinin chlorophyll protein (PerCP). Briefly, for each subset analysis, 10  $\mu$ l Abs (TriTEST) were added to 50  $\mu$ l of buffy coat and incubated for 20 min. The erythrocytes were then disintegrated using OPTILYSE-C reagent (Immunotech, Miami, FL). Enumeration by flow cytometry included the following cells: T cells (CD3+; CD3 FITC), T helper cells (CD3+CD4+; CD3 FITC/CD4 PE/CD45 PerCP), T suppressor/cytotoxic cells (CD3+CD8+; CD3 FITC/CD8 PE/CD45 PerCP), and natural killer (NK) cells (CD3 - /CD16 + CD56 +; CD3 FITC/CD16+CD56 PE/CD45 PerCP). All Abs and immunofluorescence reagents were purchased from Becton Dickinson (San Jose, CA). The absolute number per unit volume bearing each lymphocyte marker was determined by multiplying data obtained by flow cytometry with the absolute lymphocyte count derived from the complete blood count (CBC) and differential. The CBC was performed within 2 h after the blood was drawn, whereas flow cytometry was conducted the next morning (within 12 to 15 h after the blood was drawn).

**NK cell activity.** Peripheral blood lymphocytes were separated by density gradient centrifugation on a Ficoll-Hypaque gradient (Amersham Pharmacia Biothech, Piscataway, NJ) the morning following the blood withdrawal (within 12 to 15 h) and were stored (20% dimethyl sulfoxide; 80% fetal bovine serum [FBS] at  $-80^{\circ}$ C) until the assay was performed within a 3-year period after. The NK cytotoxicity was determined by flow cytometry (Robinson, 1993). This method is fast, reliable, and correlates well with the standard 51 CR-release assay while avoiding the use of radioactive material (Kroesen et al., 1992; Chang et al., 1993; Papadopoulos et al., 1994). Peripheral blood lymphocytes were thawed rapidly in a 37°C water bath, washed with RPMI 1640 + 10% FBS, and kept for one night in a humidified 5%  $CO_2$  atmosphere at 37°C. The following morning, they were transferred in culture flasks and kept for 30 min in a humidified 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at 37°C. Effector cells were washed, counted, and adjusted to  $5 \times 10^{6}$ /ml. Target cells,

K562, a human erythroleukemic cell line (American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD; CC L243) in log phase were washed, counted, and adjusted to  $1 \times 10^{5}$ /ml. Subsequently, the effector cells and target cells were mixed at four ratios of effector:target (E:T) cells (50:1, 25:1, 12.5:1, and 6.25:1) and were incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C in a humidified 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator for 10 min to promote conjugate formation. Then, 10  $\mu$ l of propidium iodide working solution (100  $\mu$ l/ml) were added into each tube and incubated for 90 min at 37°C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Finally, cells were stored in a dark ice bath, and flow cytometric data acquisition was performed using a Coulter flow cytometer (Coulter EPICS Elite ESP, Beckman Coulter). A previous study in which the same procedure was used revealed that cryopreservation of blood samples had no influence on NK cell activity (Savard et al., 2003).

*Cytokine secretion.* For determination of IL-1 $\beta$ and IFN- $\gamma$  production, a whole blood assay was performed (Kirchner et al., 1982). For stimulation of IL-1 $\beta$ , aliquots of 50  $\mu$ l of buffy coat were resuspended in 445 µl of RPMI 1640 medium (Cellgro, Winset Canadian Laboratories, St-Bruno, Canada) and 5  $\mu$ l of lipopolysaccharide from *Escherichia coli* (0.1 mg/ml). For stimulation of IFN- $\gamma$ , aliquots of 50  $\mu$ l of buffy coat were resuspended in 440  $\mu$ l of RPMI 1640 medium, and 10  $\mu$ l phytohemagglutinin (2%) were added. Every sample was stimulated in duplicate the morning following the blood withdrawal (within 12 to 15 h). Then, the samples were incubated for a minimum of 72 h at 37°C with 5%  $CO_2$ . The supernatant was stored at  $-80^{\circ}C$  until the assay was performed within a 3-year period after the blood withdrawal. A minimum incubation time of 72 h was chosen on the basis of previous kinetic studies indicating that it provides a good estimate for cytokines assessed in this study (De Groote et al., 1992). All cytokine levels were measured by ELISA kits (Biosource International, Camarillo, CA). The sensitivities of the assays were 1 pg/ml for IL-1 $\beta$  and 4 pg/ml for IFN- $\gamma$ .

## Procedure

## Screening

To identify women potentially eligible for the study, a systematic screening for depressive symptoms was conducted in each cancer clinic. All women with metastatic breast cancer were invited to provide informed consent to complete at repeated intervals the HADS-D, either at the clinic or by telephone, and to be approached to participate in the present study if considered eligible based on this information. Women potentially eligible were thus contacted by telephone by the research coordinator who provided brief information about the study and invited them to a face-to-face clinical interview to further assess their eligibility for the study.

## Clinical Interview

During this interview, patients were first provided with the detailed information about the study, and their written consent to participate was obtained. Subsequently, the patients were asked to complete once again the HADS-D and the BDI to confirm the presence of clinical levels of depressive symptoms. A clinical psychologist then administered the SCID and the SSI to rule out the presence of severe psychiatric disorders and suicidal ideations. This was followed by the administration of the HDRS by an independent evaluator, a resident in psychiatry, who was blind to study objectives and procedures.

Patients found eligible for the study and who accepted the randomization procedure were then randomized. Then, blood samples were drawn by a registered nurse and the Health Behavior Questionnaire was administered. To control for diurnal variations, all blood samples for a particular participant were drawn at the same time  $(\pm 1 \text{ h})$ . Finally, the participant received a battery of self-report scales to be completed at home (i.e., MFI, ISI, QLQ-C33, QLQ BR-23, LLE). The first treatment session was scheduled 2 weeks later for CT patients to allow completion of questionnaires, whereas it was scheduled 10 weeks later for WLC patients.

## Treatment

CT was administered individually and involved eight weekly sessions of 60 to 90 min. Strategies that were elaborated for the treatment of depression in the general population (Beck et al., 1979b) were slightly adapted to meet the specific needs of women with metastatic cancer. The ultimate goal was to develop an optimistic but realistic attitude toward their situation, as opposed to a negative (e.g., only thinking of death) or overly positive attitude (e.g., hoping to be cured). Two licensed psychologists with experience in the application of CT (i.e., 5 and 10 years) conducted CT sessions. Regular meetings were held to ensure treatment integrity. Because missed treatment sessions were rescheduled (n =18), all patients received the entire treatment program, excluding the seven patients who dropped out of the study during the course of CT (see Fig. 1).

CT began with the presentation of a cognitive theory of emotions (Beck et al., 1979b). Then, participants were encouraged to increase their level of daily activities, initially by self-monitoring their activities, then by planning more pleasant and energizing activities every day. This was done sensitively, taking into consideration each participant's physical condition. In some cases, the goal was rather to decrease extenuating activities (e.g., house cleaning) in favor of doing more leisure activities and activities leading to a sense of accomplishment. Participants were then trained to identify their negative thoughts (e.g., "I am going to die alone and in pain"; "Life is no longer worth living since I know I am going to die"; "I am no longer useful to my family; I am a burden"; "My metastases are progressing; it means that I am going to die within the next two months") and to use cognitive restructuring to modify dysfunctional or irrational cognitions about cancer and other situations in their life (e.g., "I know that the most important people to me will be there when I die and my doctor will ensure that I am sufficiently medicated to control pain"; "No one knows how long I am going to live; I may have enough time to achieve some goals that are important to me"; "It is true that I can't do as much as I used to, but I'm sure they are happy that I am still alive and happy to take care of me"; "My physician told me that there are still a couple of other treatment options that may slow down the progression"). Patients were then encouraged to redefine their life goals. Patients with advanced cancer often believe they can no longer have life goals because they have an incurable condition, an attitude that strongly enhances depression. During treatment, participants were encouraged to identify short-, mediumand even long-term objectives, the rationale being that they are better off to set life goals that they may not have time to achieve than to have no life goals, wait only for death to come, and to feel depressed. Finally, to help prevent a relapse of depression, future high-risk situations (e.g., cancer progression, treatment failure, terminal stage of the disease, hospitalization, autonomy loss) were identified, as well as strategies to cope with them.

#### **Booster Sessions**

Three booster sessions of CT were administered to participants every 3 weeks following treatment. The goal of these sessions was to review the difficulties the patient had experienced since the last session and the strategies used (or that could have been used) to cope with them.

#### Posttreatment and Follow-up Evaluations

At the posttreatment evaluation, as well as 3 and 6 months after the end of treatment, the participants again met the independent evaluator, who was blind

to study objectives and procedures and the patients' group allocation, for the administration of the HDRS and to complete the battery of self-report scales (i.e., HADS, BDI, MFI, ISI, QLQ-C33, QLQ BR-23, LLE).

## Analyses

## Statistical Analyses

Data were carefully inspected to identify missing data and outliers and to assess normality (Tabachnik & Fidell, 2001). No significant outliers were found and no missing data imputation was performed. Descriptive and inferential statistics were conducted using SAS 8.2 statistical software (SAS Institute, 2001). The alpha level was set at 5%(two-tailed) for all inferential tests. The main analyses were based on a split-plot group (two conditions)  $\times$  time (four assessments; pretreatment, posttreatment, and 3-month and 6-month followups) randomized design, although WLC patients actually completed two pretreatment assessments (prewaiting and pretreatment). Due to the unequal number of time assessments across groups, two subsets of analyses were performed. First, analyses were conducted to determine whether treated patients had greater improvements on all dependent variables at posttreatment compared to patients in the control group following their waiting period. These findings are reported in the Group Comparisons subsections, and because of this objective, significant group  $\times$  time interactions are emphasized. Second, all data were pooled together (while including the group effect to control for possible cohort effects) to assess, with a larger sample size, the possible benefits associated with the intervention at posttreatment and evaluate whether the therapeutic gains observed at posttreatment were maintained at follow-up assessments. These findings are reported in the Pooled Analyses subsections and significant time effects are emphasized.

Data were analyzed within an intent-to-treat framework. Thus, all patients with at least one observation post-randomization were included in the analyses (see Fig. 1; CT: n = 21; WLC: n = 16). Linear mixed models were used to test group, time, and interaction effects for all continuous dependent variables. A priori contrasts were used to break down these effects. Within-group effect sizes (and their 95% CI) were computed as the raw difference (and its 95% CI) divided by the square root of the mixed model mean square error (Bird, 2002). Satterthwaite *F* tests were computed because they are typically more robust to nonnormality, unbalanced

data, and violations of multisample sphericity (Keselman et al., 2001).

Following the strategy suggested by Frigon and Laurencelle (1993), various covariates were tested to assess their capacity to reduce the error term. To be included in the mixed model analyses as a covariate, a variable had to meet these three criteria: (1) a significant relationship between the covariate and the dependent variables, (2) within-group slope homogeneity between groups, and (3) a significant reduction of error variance for at least 50% of dependent variables. For psychological dependent variables, 10 covariates met these criteria and were therefore included in the mixed models to control for their potential impact on psychological outcomes: lifetime use of hormone therapy, oxazepam (Serax<sup>®</sup>) use, lifetime use of pamidronate (Aredia<sup>®</sup>), systemic therapy side effects (QLQ BR-23), appetite loss (QLQ-C33), pain level (QLQ-C33), alcohol use (HBQ), tobacco use (HBQ), activity level (HBQ), and the perceived impact of life events (LLE). For immunological dependent variables other than NK cell activity, five covariates were included in the mixed models: lifetime use of chemotherapy, appetite loss (QLQ-C33), alcohol use (HBQ), tobacco use (HBQ), and the perceived impact of life events (LLE). Finally, for NK cell activity, the seven covariates included in the mixed models were oxazepam (Serax<sup>®</sup>) use, number of local recurrences, delay since adjuvant radiation therapy, natural product use (HBQ), physical functioning (QLQ-C33), nausea/ vomiting (QLQ-C33), and constipation (QLQ-C33) symptoms. Because it was difficult to obtain a sufficient quantity of blood in many patients (and sometimes even to collect blood at all), these analyses were computed on a more limited number of patients. In fact, 39% and 50% of immune data were missing for CT and WLC groups, respectively. Moreover, because there were insufficient cells to perform the NK cell activity assay at ratios 50:1 and 25:1, only two E:T ratios were used, that is, 12.5:1 and 6.25:1.

## Clinical Significance

Four criteria of clinical significance of treatment effects were used. First, the proportion of patients having a significant mood improvement as assessed by the patient herself (score of 5 or higher on a scale ranging from 0, *not at all*, to 7, *extremely*), the clinician, and a significant other (score of 3 or greater on a scale ranging from 0, *not at all*, to 4, *extremely*) was calculated. Second, the proportion of patients with a depression score falling under the clinical cut-off score was used. The cut-off scores used were 7 for the HADS-D (Savard et al., 1999), 15 for the BDI (Bourque & Beaudette, 1982), and 12.6 for the HDRS, the later corresponding to the mean score obtained in six studies assessing the diagnostic accuracy of this instrument (Bagby et al., 2004). Third, the proportion of patients with a reduction in depression scores of at least 50% was assessed. Finally, the proportion of patients obtaining a score greater than 67 on the global quality of life scale of the QLQ-C33 was used. This score corresponds to the median score obtained by a group of 150 women with metastatic breast cancer in another study (McLachlan et al., 1998).

## RESULTS

## Depression

## Group Comparisons

A significant group  $\times$  time interaction was obtained for HDRS scores (p < .01; see Table 2 andFig. 3). A priori contrasts conducted on this variable revealed a significant time effect in the treatment condition, t(23) = 6.88, p < .0001, but not in the control condition, t(22) = 1.84, p = .08. As shown in Table 2, the mean HDRS score decreased from 14.2 to 6.9 (d = -1.81) in the treatment condition, whereas it decreased only from 14.4 to 12.2 (d =-0.54) in the control condition. Findings for BDI scores were in the same direction, with a greater reduction in the treatment condition (from 21.1 to 11.5; d = -1.86) than in the control condition (from 20.4 to 15.9; d = -0.86). However, the group  $\times$  time interaction obtained for this variable only approached statistical significance (p = .08). When somatic and cognitive/affective BDI items were analyzed separately (data not shown), a significant group  $\times$  time interaction was found on somatic items, F(1, 26) = 6.69, p < .05, but not on cognitive/ affective items (p = .33). Finally, the group  $\times$  time interaction obtained for the HADS-D scores was not significant (p = .32), although the effect size estimated for time effects was much greater for the treatment condition (d = -1.82) than the control condition (d = -1.30).

## Pooled Analyses

Significant reductions from pre- to posttreatment were obtained for all depression measures, namely the HDRS, BDI, and the HADS-D (all ps < .0001; see Table 3 and Fig. 4). As for the maintenance of these gains over time, no significant differences were obtained between posttreatment and follow-up assessments on BDI (p = .13) and HADS-D scores (p = .24), although the means indicated some further reduction in depressive symptoms during the follow-up period. Indeed, the mean BDI score further decreased from 12.0 at posttreatment to 9.5 at the 6-month follow-up, t(73) = 3.60, p = .06, and the mean HADS-D score decreased from 4.4 to 3.4 during the same period, t(69) = 2.11, p = .15. An additional significant reduction of HDRS scores was obtained from posttreatment to the follow-up period (p < .01). A priori contrasts showed that this significant effect mainly occurred between the 3-and 6-month follow-up, with mean HDRS scores decreasing from 8.2 to 4.1 during that time, t(48) = 9.71, p < .01.

# Other Psychological and Quality of Life Variables

## Group Comparisons

No significant group  $\times$  time interaction was found for any of the additional psychological variables, namely anxiety (p = .24), fatigue (p = .68), insomnia (p = .14), and global quality of life (p = .94; see Table 2). However, the effect sizes for time effects obtained were consistently greater in the treated group than the control group (HADS-A: -1.39 vs. -0.84; ISI: -0.96 vs. -0.09; MFI: -0.94 vs. -0.74).

#### Pooled Analyses

Significant reductions from pre- to posttreatment were obtained on anxiety, insomnia, and fatigue scores (all ps < .01). The mean HADS-A score decreased from 9.9 to 6.7, the mean MFI score decreased from 3.3 to 2.9, and the mean ISI score decreased from 11.5 to 5.5. These gains were maintained during the follow-up period as shown by the absence of significant differences between posttreatment and follow-up on HADS-A (p = .29), MFI (p = .55), and ISI (p = .18) scores. However, no significant difference was observed between pre- to posttreatment on the global quality of life, nor between posttreatment and the follow-up period.

#### **Immunological Variables**

#### Group Comparisons

Significant group  $\times$  time interactions were found only for enumerative measures of CD3+ (p < .05), CD4+ (p < .05), and CD8+ cells (p < .05). However, as shown in Table 2, there were important between-group differences at baseline, with control patients having uniformly higher values for these immune parameters than patients in the treatment condition, differences that tend to disappear at post-

	Pretreat (CT) prewai (WL	tment or iting C)	Posttrea (CT) postwa (WL	tment or iting C)		Effect sizes for time effects		Group intera	imes time	
	М	SE	М	SE	ES	95% CI	Df num.	Df den.	F	р
Depression measures										
BDI							1	30	3.22	.08
CT	21.13	1.18	11.52	1.40	-1.859	-2.607 to $-1.11$				
WLC	20.40	1.44	15.93	1.53	-0.864	-1.715 to $-0.014$				
HADS-D							1	30	1.02	.32
CT	9.42	0.53	5.19	0.63	-1.817	-2.505 to $-1.128$				
WLC	8.87	0.65	5.83	0.68	-1.303	-2.081 to $-0.525$				
HDRS							1	23	10.27	.01
CT	14.21	0.93	6.88	1.05	-1.811	-2.356 to $-1.265$				
WLC	14.40	1.13	12.21	1.16	-0.541	-1.151 to .070				
Other psychological measures										
HADS-A							1	24	1.45	.24
CT	10.95	0.78	6.23	0.90	-1.390	-2.014 to $-0.766$				
WLC	12.36	0.95	9.51	0.99	-0.842	-1.544 to $-0.139$				
MFI							1	28	0.17	.68
CT	3.62	0.12	3.13	0.14	-0.940	-1.605 to $-0.274$				
WLC	3.52	0.15	3.13	0.15	-0.736	-1.488 to $0.017$				
ISI							1	28	2.30	.14
СТ	10.85	1.09	6.38	1.26	-0.958	-1.725 to $-0.190$				
WLC	13.40	1.34	12.98	1.38	-0.089	-0.968 to .789				
QLQ-C33-global							1	25	0.01	.94
CT	42.51	3.19	55.36	3.62	0.953	0.288 to 1.618	_			
WLC	43.11	3.91	55.42	3.95	0.913	0.161 to 1.665				
Immunological measures	10111	0.01	00112	0.00	01010	01101 00 11000				
$CD3 \pm cells (\times 10^{9}/L)$							1	20	5 94	02
CT	0.77	0.09	0.94	0 11	0 456	-0 198 to 1 109	-		0101	
WLC	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.12	-0.666	-1.348 to $0.017$				
$CD4 \pm cells (\times 10^9/L)$	1.00	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.000	1.010 10 0.011	1	15	4 59	05
CT	0.51	0.06	0.63	0.07	0.487	-0.030 to 1.004	1	10	1.00	.00
WLC	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.07	-0.276	-0.813 to $0.260$				
CD8+ cells (× 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.210	0.010 10 0.200	1	16	5.07	04
	0.94	0.04	0.20	0.04	0 335	-0.073 to 0.743	T	10	0.01	.04
	0.44	11.114		11.114	1 1					

Table 2 Results of group comparisons: adjusted means (and SE) and effect sizes for each group

WLC	0.67	0.07	0.60	0.08	-0.276	-0.813 to 0.260				
CD8+ cells ( $\times$ 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)							1	16	5.07	.04
СТ	0.24	0.04	0.29	0.04	0.335	-0.073 to $0.743$				
WLC	0.38	0.05	0.33	0.05	-0.304	-0.727 to $0.119$				
CD16+/CD56+ cells (× 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)							1	16	0.51	.48
СТ	0.13	0.03	0.14	0.03	0.129	-0.184 to $0.442$				
WLC	0.20	0.03	0.19	0.03	-0.028	-0.354 to $0.298$				
WBC ( $\times 10^{9}/L$ )							1	23	2.65	.12
$\mathbf{CT}$	5.44	0.36	5.66	0.45	0.152	-0.563 to $0.866$				
WLC	5.88	0.44	4.91	0.48	-0.674	-1.419 to $0.070$				
Lymphocytes ( $\times 10^{9}/L$ )							1	17	2.76	.12
CT	1.31	0.14	1.46	0.15	0.249	-0.180 to $0.679$				
WLC	1.54	0.17	1.40	0.17	-0.249	-0.695 to $0.196$				
Monocytes ( $\times 10^{9}/L$ )							1	13	0.43	.52
CT	0.42	1.40	0.46	1.40	0.006	-0.010 to $0.022$				
WLC	2.93	1.72	2.92	1.72	-0.001	-0.017 to $0.015$				
IFN- $\gamma$ (pg/ml)							1	17	1.58	.23
CT	276.97	57.23	358.33	57.79	0.406	-0.141 to $0.953$				
WLC	525.58	65.27	512.70	68.44	-0.064	-0.627 to $0.498$				
IL-1 $\beta$ (pg/ml)							1	26	2.09	.16
CT	1751.88	318.45	1322.33	365.54	-0.359	-1.165 to $0.447$				
WLC	1514.66	385.81	2102.12	404.19	0.491	-0.404 to $1.386$				
NKCA (%)							1	18	0.70	.41
CT	7.26	0.82	6.38	0.97	-0.290	-0.774 to $0.194$				
WLC	9.46	1.11	7.47	1.28	-0.655	-1.402 to $0.091$				

CT: cognitive therapy; WLC: waiting-list control; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; HADS-D: depression subscale of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HDRS: Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; HADS-A: anxiety subscale of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; MFI: Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory; ISI: Insomnia Severity Index; QLQ-C33: The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire; WBC: white blood cells; IFN: interferon; IL: interleukin; NKCA: Natural Killer cell activity.



Fig. 3. Group comparisons on depression scores.

treatment (or postwaiting). Thus, it would appear that the significant interaction effects observed are more likely related to a phenomenon of regression to the mean than a real treatment effect on immune functioning.

## **Pooled Analyses**

Analyses revealed no significant differences between pretreatment and posttreatment. Comparisons between posttreatment and follow-up revealed

	Pretrea	tment	Posttree	tment	3-mo follow	nth /-up	6-mo follov	nth v-up		retreatme	ent vs. ment	Posttr fo	eatmen llow-up	t vs.
	М	SE	М	SE	M	SE	М	SE	df	t	d	df	F	d
Depression measures														
BDI	17.48	0.90	11.99	0.95	11.54	1.09	9.50	1.12	57	-5.40	<.0001	2,51	2.13	.13
HADS-D	7.24	0.52	4.41	0.54	4.33	0.61	3.42	0.64	56	-5.53	<.0001	2,51	1.47	.24
HDRS	12.51	0.86	7.54	0.91	8.18	1.01	4.15	1.08	58	-4.31	<.0001	2,57	5.10	.01
Other psychological measures														
HADS-A	9.91	0.58	6.71	0.61	6.28	0.70	5.39	0.72	54	-4.86	<.0001	2,47	1.26	.29
MFI	3.29	0.10	2.94	0.11	2.85	0.13	2.77	0.13	59	-2.80	.01	2,54	0.60	.55
ISI	11.52	0.85	5.54	0.92	8.03	1.07	5.65	1.07	56	-4.59	<.0001	2,64	1.75	.18
QLQ-C33—global	51.73	3.14	56.10	3.35	58.51	3.90	58.18	3.91	63	1.06	.30	2,60	0.16	.85
Immunological measures														
$CD3 + cells (\times 10^{9}/l)$	0.80	0.06	0.92	0.08	0.88	0.07	0.95	0.08	31	1.38	.18	2,29	0.29	.75
${ m CD4+ \ cells} \ ( imes \ 10^9/l)$	0.56	0.04	0.59	0.05	0.56	0.04	0.59	0.05	33	0.53	.60	2,31	0.30	.74
$CD8 + cells (\times 10^{9}/l)$	0.28	0.03	0.31	0.03	0.29	0.03	0.34	0.04	33	0.96	.34	2,31	1.27	.30
$CD16+/CD56+ cells (\times 10^{9}/l)$	0.17	0.02	0.18	0.03	0.18	0.03	0.19	0.03	41	0.35	.73	2,40	0.04	.97
WBC ( $\times$ 10 <sup>9</sup> /l)	5.38	0.30	5.34	0.34	5.01	0.35	5.36	0.39	41	-0.11	.91	2,40	0.93	.40
Lymphocytes ( $\times 10^{9}/1$ )	1.39	0.12	1.37	0.13	1.40	0.13	1.48	0.14	39	-0.19	.85	2,37	0.32	.73
Monocytes $(\times 10^{9}/1)$	0.42	0.03	0.42	0.04	0.44	0.04	0.47	0.04	41	0.01	66.	2,39	0.62	.54
IFN- $\gamma$ (pg/ml)	402.95	45.40	453.17	49.82	472.66	52.14	451.47	58.67	31	1.05	.30	2,29	0.13	.88
IL-1 $\beta$ (pg/ml)	1998.34	288.28	2388.30	338.07	1931.42	364.08	2604.75	413.73	36	1.10	.28	2,35	1.60	.22
NKCA (%)	7.63	0.63	6.86	0.64	8.23	0.66	6.58	0.65	91	1.53	.13	2,92	6.15	.01
BDI: Beck Depression Inventory;	HADS-D:	depression	n subscale	of the H	ospital An	xiety and	Depressio	n Scale;	HDR	S: Hamilt	on Depre	ssion R	ating S	cale;
HAUS-A: anxiety subscale of the I	Hospital Ar	ixiety and	Depressio	in Scale; I	MFT: Multi	dimensio	nal Fatigue	e Invento	ry; IS	l: Insomr	ua Severi	ty Index	c; QLQ-	C33:
NKCA: Natural Killer cell activity		III TI AMITI		nei guai	atter to An	ntomean	lalle, w.DV	V. WIIING L	noor	cerre, ILT	. III IAI IAI	011, 111.	narianti	(IN III,

**Table 3.** Adjusted means, standard errors for each time assessment and time effects obtained from the pooled data set



Fig. 4. Depression scores across time for both groups pooled together.

a significant time effect only for NK cell activity (see Table 3) and a trend analysis revealed a significant quadratic effect, indicating that NK cell activity increased from posttreatment to 3-month follow-up but decreased to posttreatement level at the 6-month follow-up.

## **Clinical Significance**

Among the total sample, 88% of the patients perceived that their mood was significantly improved at posttreatment. This proportion was 89% and 57% when the patient's degree of improvement was judged by the clinician and the significant other, respectively. Table 4 shows that the proportion of patients obtaining depression scores falling under the clinical cut-off score used was much higher in CT patients at posttreatment (from 73% to 87% of the patients) than WLC patients after their waiting period (from 25% to 58% of the patients). A majority of the patients attained this criterion at the 6-month follow-up (from 85% to 100% of the patients). Similarly, the proportion of patients showing at least a 50% reduction in depression scores was higher in CT patients at posttreatment (from 40% to 73% of the patients) compared to WLC patients after their waiting period (from 17% to 33% of the patients). Between 43% and 100% of the patients attained this criterion at the 6-month follow-up evaluation, with higher proportions obtained on HDRS. Finally, 43% of CT patients had a score greater than 67 on the global quality of life scale at posttreatment compared to only 20% of WLC patients at postwaiting. Between 33% and 42% attained that criterion at the 6-month follow-up evaluation.

## DISCUSSION

The main goal of this randomized controlled study was to assess the efficacy of CT, administered individually, for the treatment of depressive symptoms in women with metastatic breast cancer. Although the group comparison was statistically significant on HDRS scores only, comparison of means obtained on other measures (BDI, HADS-D) at posttreatment consistently revealed a greater reduction in depression scores in treated patients compared to the control group. In addition, analyses conducted on the pooled data set indicated a significant reduction for all depression measures (BDI, HADS-D, HDRS) from pre- to posttreatment, gains that were sustained, and in some cases (HDRS) even further increased significantly, during the follow-up phase. CT for depression appeared to be associated with other positive outcomes, including decreased anxiety, fatigue, and insomnia symptoms, although the results were significant only when using the pooled data set. In addition, using various criteria of psychological functioning and quality of life, the treatment effects were found to be clinically significant in a considerable proportion of patients.

Table 4. Proportion of path	ents reaching	clinical	significance	criteria	by group
and at each time assessment	ı t				

	Prewaiting (WLC)	Pretreatment (CT) or postwaiting (WLC)	Posttreatment	3-month follow-up	6-month follow-up
Score falling under the clinical cut-off score					
HADS-D $(<7)$					
CT	_	19.0%	73.3%	75.0%	84.6%
WLC	0%	58.3%	90%	85.7%	84.6%
BDI (<15)					
CT	_	0%	80.0%	66.7%	84.6%
WLC	13.3%	25.0%	70.0%	85.7%	85.7%
HDRS (<12.6)					
CT	_	38.1%	86.7%	78.6%	100%
WLC	26.7%	41.7%	72.7%	87.5%	100%
Reduction $\geq 50\%$ compared to					
pretreatment (CT) or prewaiting (WLC)					
HADS-D					
СТ	_	_	46.7%	66.7%	61.5%
WLC		33.3%	44.4%	42.9%	42.9%
BDI					
CT	—	—	40.0%	50.0%	69.2%
WLC		25.0%	22.2%	28.6%	42.9%
HDRS					
CT	_	—	73.3%	50.0%	76.9%
WLC		16.7%	70.0%	71.4%	100.0%
Global quality of life $> 67$					
CT	_	0%	42.9%	40.0%	41.7%
WLC	0%	20.0%	44.4%	42.9%	33.3%

Based on available data for each time assessment. —: not applicable; CT: cognitive therapy; WLC: waiting-list control; HADS-D: depression subscale of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; HDRS: Hamilton Depression Rating Scale.

Thus, the general pattern of findings supports the efficacy of CT for depression in this population. However, the failure to find significant group  $\times$ time interactions at posttreatment for several variables including the BDI and the HADS-D, two key dependent variables, deserves comment, Although one could argue that the only possible conclusion is that CT did not impact significantly on those variables, we think this argument would overlook the magnitude of effect sizes obtained. Indeed, large effect sizes were obtained in the treated group at posttreatment (BDI: d = -1.86; HADS-D: d = -1.82), which were much larger than those found in the control group (BDI: d = -0.86; HADS-D: d = -1.30). Thus, it seems reasonable to conclude that with a slightly larger sample size and increased statistical power, those findings would have become statistically significant. Unfortunately, as in other clinical studies conducted in metastatic patients (Edelman et al., 1999a), recruitment was a major challenge in this study and had to be stopped before attaining the projected sample size (n = 80) because of insufficient funding. It is also interesting to note that despite the fact that patients were selected on the basis of a minimal depression score and that most of them were actually suffering from a depressive disorder (73%), on average patients were not severely depressed at study entry, thus leaving less room for improvement. Previous studies that have assessed the efficacy of CT for depression in the general population included patients with BDI scores varying from 28 to 30 and HDRS scores varying from 18 to 24 at pretreatment (Murphy et al., 1984; Hollon et al., 1992), which is much higher than the scores of this study's participants (BDI: 17.5; HDRS: 12.5).

Treatment effects obtained in this study were of a greater magnitude for the HDRS relative to the BDI or the HADS-D. This is consistent with previous data indicating that the HDRS is more sensitive to clinical change than the BDI (Edwards et al., 1984; Lambert et al., 1986; Sayer et al., 1993), a finding that may be due to differences in measure content. The HDRS contains a larger proportion of somatic and behavioral items than the BDI, whereas the HADS-D contains no somatic item whatsoever. In this study, when cognitive/affective and somatic items of the BDI were analyzed separately, larger treatment effects were obtained for somatic items. This suggests that somatic and behavioral symptoms of depression improved more rapidly than other symptoms in this study, a hypothesis that is supported by previous data showing a more rapid decline of HDRS scores than BDI scores with depression treatment (Lambert et al., 1988). This may be related to the fact that CT begins with behavioral strategies (e.g., activity scheduling) that can have a strong beneficial effect on somatic and behavioral symptoms (e.g., sleep, fatigue, psychomotor retardation).

In general, this study supports the clinical significance of treatment effects. As much as 88% of patients perceived their mood improvement at posttreatment as clinically significant according to the criterion used. In addition, between 85% and 100% of women had a depression score falling under the clinical range at 6-month follow-up. The proportion of patients with at least a 50% reduction of depression scores relative to pretreatment data was somewhat lower, which is not surprising given that patients were generally not severely depressed at study entry. Finally, although fairly weak, the proportion of patients attaining the quality of life criterion (score > 67) at the 6-month follow-up evaluation ranging from 33% to 42%was much higher than the proportion of 0% obtained at pretreatment.

A secondary goal of this study was to assess the effect of CT for depression on immune function. No treatment effect was found on any of the immune variables. This finding contrasts with the results of previous studies, which have shown that psychological interventions can improve immunological functioning of patients with early-stage breast cancer (Gruber, 1993; Schedlowski et al., 1994; Larson et al., 2000; Andersen et al., 2004; McGregor et al., 2004). On the other hand, this finding is consistent with most studies that have failed to show any increase in survival associated with psychotherapy in women with metastatic breast cancer (Cunningham et al., 1998; Edelman et al., 1999b; Goodwin et al., 2001). It is likely that the important number of missing data on these variables has weakened the statistical power to detect an intervention effect. It is also possible that the potential influence of the metastatic disease itself on immune function goes beyond that of a psychological intervention in this population. Moreover, although we did everything possible to control for potential confounding variables that could affect immune function, these patients receive such a significant amount of treatment and medication for their disease that it becomes extremely difficult to effectively control for all of these factors.

This study is characterized by several strengths, including the use of a randomized design, selection of distressed patients, use of a theory-driven treatment, and use of a treatment manual. On the other hand, the small sample size and the associated lack of statistical power for several analyses is an important study limitation. However, it is increasingly argued that statistical significance is not the only or necessarily the best way to test hypotheses and that other indices such as effect sizes that are not influenced by sample size provide more useful information (Kline, 2004). Other limitations, including the fact that participants were all Caucasian, mostly well educated, were all breast cancer patients, and constituted a small proportion of all patients that were screened for the study, may limit the generalization of the results. The study is further limited by the use of a waiting-list control condition that did not control for nonspecific therapeutic ingredients. It is therefore impossible to determine whether the changes observed are really attributable to CT or to other ingredients common to all psychotherapeutic approaches (e.g., therapist empathy, treatment expectancies). Additionally, although it was advantageous from an ethical point of view, the fact that all patients received the intervention at some point limited the assessment of between-group differences in the long term.

In sum, this study supports the efficacy of CT for treating depressive symptoms in women with metastatic breast cancer. The notable maintenance of mood improvements over time obtained in this study, which is impressive considering the evolutive nature of the disease, compares favorably to a previous study conducted in the same population that failed to show sustained posttreatment gains at the 3- and 6-month follow-up evaluations (Edelman et al., 1999a). The better maintenance of gains in this study may be attributable to the use of individual treatment sessions, which allows the intervention to be tailored to the patient's needs. Alternatively, it may be due to the administration of three booster sessions following the end of treatment. Indeed, a continuation phase has been found to significantly reduce the relapse rate following acute CT for depression in the general population (Fava et al., 1994; Jarrett et al., 1998).

This study has important clinical implications. It suggests that short-term CT can effectively treat depression symptoms in women with metastatic breast cancer, in spite of the seriousness of the disease. Systematic screening of depression should therefore be implemented in this population, which could be followed by short-term CT. However, given the evolutive nature of metastatic disease, it may be necessary to offer individualized interventions and booster sessions, as needed or at fixed intervals, to decrease the risk of depression relapse. Future studies could assess more directly the differential efficacy of group and individual interventions, as well as compare the maintenance of gains over time of a short-term intervention with or without booster sessions.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The preparation of this article was supported in part by an operating grant from the Canadian Breast Cancer Research Initiative (010436) and salary support from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research awarded to the first author. The authors wish to acknowledge the important contribution of the administrative and medical staff of the Centre des maladies du sein Deschênes-Fabia, L'Hôtel-Dieu de Québec, and l'Hôtel-Dieu de Lévis, Mylène Lévesque, Lucie Casault, Séverine Hervouet, Aude Caplette-Gingras, Dominique Rioux, Véronique Dupéré, Marie-Hélène Savard, Catherine Gonthier, Francine Matte, David Olivier, Geneviève Bossé, Serge Côté, Diane Langlois, Diane Bisson, Nancy Roberge, Monique Pelletier, Hélène Drombrowski, and Denise L'Héreault.

## REFERENCES

- Aaronson, N.K., Ahmedzai, S., & Bergman, B. (1993). The EORTC QLQ-C30: A quality of life instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. *Journal of* the National Cancer Institute, 85, 365–376.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1994). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (4th ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Andersen, B.L., Farrar, W.B., Golden-Kreutz, D.M., et al. (2004). Psychological, behavioral, and immune changes after a psychological intervention: A clinical trial. *Jour*nal of Clinical Oncology, 22, 3570–3580.
- Antoni, M.H., Lehman, J.M., Kilbourn, K.M., Boyers, A.E., Culver, J.L., Alferi, S.M., et al. (2001). Cognitivebehavioral stress management intervention decreases the prevalence of depression and enhances benefit finding among women under treatment for early-stage breast cancer. *Health Psychology*, 20(1), 20–32.
- Bagby, R.M., Ryder, A.G., Schuller, D.R., et al. (2004). The Hamilton Depression Rating Scale: Has the gold standard become a lead weight? *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 161, 2163–2177.
- Bastien, C.H., Vallières, A., & Morin, C.M. (2001). Validation of the Insomnia Severity Index as an outcome measure for insomnia research. *Sleep Medicine*, 2, 297–307.
- Beck, A.T., Kovacs, M., & Weissman, A. (1979a). Assessment of suicidal intention: The Scale for Suicide Ideation. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 47, 343–352.
- Beck, A.T., Rush, A.J., Shaw, B.F., et al. (1979b). Cognitive Therapy of Depression. New York: The Guilford Press.

- Beck, A.T., Ward, C.E., Mendelson, M., et al. (1961). An inventory for measuring depression. Archives of General Psychiatry, 4, 561–571.
- Bird, K.D. (2002). Confidence intervals for effect sizes in analysis of variance. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 62, 197–226.
- Blackburn, I.M., Eunson, K.M., & Bishop, S. (1986). A two-year naturalistic follow-up of depressed patients treated with cognitive therapy, pharmacotherapy and combination of both. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 10, 67–75.
- Bourque, P. & Beaudette, D. (1982). Étude psychométrique du questionnaire de dépression de Beck auprès d'un échantillon d'étudiants universitaires francophones. *Revue Canadienne des Sciences du Comportement*, 14, 211–218.
- Brugha, T., Bebbington, P., Tennant, C., et al. (1985). The List of Threatening Experiences: A subset of 12 life event categories with considerable long-term contextual threat. *Psychological Medicine*, *15*, 189–194.
- Chang, L., Gusewitch, G.A., Chritton, D.B.W., et al. (1993). Rapid flow cytometric assay for the assessment of natural killer cell activity. *Journal of Immunological Methods*, 166, 45–54.
- Cohen, S. & Herbert, T.B. (1996). Health psychology: Psychological factors and physical disease from the perspective of human psychoneuroimmunology. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 47, 113–142.
- Cunningham, A.J., Edmonds, C.V.I., Jenkins, G.P., et al. (1998). A randomized controlled trial of the effects of group psychological therapy on survival in women with metastatic breast cancer. *Psycho-Oncology*, 7, 508–517.
- De Groote, D., Zangerle, P.F., Gevaert, Y., et al. (1992). Direct stimulation of cytokines (IL-1-B, TNF-a, IL-2, IFN-y and GM-CSF) in whole blood: Comparison with isolated PBMC stimulation. *Cytokine*, *4*, 239–248.
- DeRubeis, R.J. & Crits-Christoph, P. (1998). Empirically supported individual and group psychological treatments for adult mental disorders. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 66, 37–52.
- DeRubeis, R.J., Hollon, S.D., Amsterdam, J.D., et al. (2005). Cognitive therapy vs medications in the treatment of moderate to severe depression. Archives of General Psychiatry, 62, 409–416.
- Edelman, S., Bell, D.R., & Kidman, A.D. (1999a). A group cognitive behaviour therapy programme with metastatic breast cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology*, 8, 295–305.
- Edelman, S., Lemon, J., Bell, D.R., et al. (1999b). Effects of group CBT on the survival time of patients with metastatic breast cancer. *Psycho-Oncology*, 8, 474–481.
- Edgar, L., Rosberger, Z., & Nowlis, D. (1992). Coping with cancer during the first year after diagnosis. *Cancer*, 69, 817–828.
- Edwards, B.C., Lambert, M.J., Moran, P.W., et al. (1984). A meta-analytic comparison of the Beck Depression Inventory and the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression as measure of treatment outcome. *British Journal* of Clinical Psychology, 23, 93–99.
- Evans, M.D., Hollon, S.D., DeRubeis, R.J., et al. (1992). Differential relapse following cognitive therapy and pharmacotherapy for depression. Archives of General Psychiatry, 49, 802–808.
- Fava, G.A., Grandi, S., Zielezny, M., et al. (1994). Cognitive behavioral treatment of residual symptoms in primary major depressive disorder. *American Journal* of Psychiatry, 151, 1295–1299.

- Fawzy, F.I., Cousins, N., Fawzy, N.W., Kemeny, M.E., Elashoff, R., & Morton, D. (1990). A structured psychiatric intervention for cancer patients. I Changes over time in methods of coping and affective disturbance. Archives of General Psychiatry, 47, 720–725.
- Fillion, L., Gelinas, C., Simard, S., et al. (2003). Validation evidence for the French Canadian adaptation of the Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory as a measure of cancer-related fatigue. *Cancer Nursing*, 26, 143–154.
- Fillion, L., Kohn, P., Gagnon, P., et al. (2001). The Inventory of Recent Life Experiences for Cancer Patients (IRLE-C): A decontaminated measure of cancer-based hassles. *Psychology and Health*, 16, 443–459.
- First, M.B., Spitzer, R.L., Gibbon, M., et al. (1996). Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders-Patient Edition (SCID-I/P, Version 2.0). New York: Biometrics Research Department, New York State Psychiatric Institute.
- Frigon, J.-Y. & Laurencelle, L. (1993). Analysis of covariance: A proposed algorithm. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 53, 1–18.
- Garssen, B. & Goodkin, K. (1999). On the role of immunological factors as mediators between psychosocial factors and cancer progression. *Psychiatry Research*, 85, 51–61.
- Goodwin, P., Leszcz, M., Ennis, M., et al. (2001). The effect of group psychosocial support on survival in metastatic breast cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 345, 1719–1726.
- Goodwin, P.J. (2004). Support groups in breast cancer: When a negative result is positive. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 22, 4244–4246.
- Greer, S., Moorey, S., Baruch, J.D.R., Watson, M., Robertson, B.M., Mason, A., et al. (1992). Adjuvant psychological therapy for patients with cancer: A prospective randomised trial. *British Medical Journal*, 304, 675-680.
- Gruber, B.L. (1993). Immunological responses of breast cancer patients to behavioral interventions. *Biofeed*back and Self-Regulation, 18, 2–22.
- Hamilton, M.A. (1960). A rating scale for depression. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 23, 56-61.
- Herbert, T.B. & Cohen, S. (1993). Depression and immunity: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 113, 472–486.
- Hollon, S.D., DeRubeis, R.J., Evans, M.D., et al. (1992). Cognitive therapy and pharmacotherapy for depression: Singly and in combination. Archives of General Psychiatry, 49, 774–781.
- Hollon, S.D., DeRubeis, R.J., Shelton, R.C., et al. (2005). Prevention of relapse following cognitive therapy vs medications in moderate to severe depression. Archives of General Psychiatry, 62, 417–422.
- Hotopf, M., Chidgey, J., Addington-Hall, J., et al. (2002). Depression in advanced disease: A systematic review. Part 1. Prevalence and case finding. *Palliative Medicine*, 16, 81–97.
- Irwin, M. (1999). Immune correlates of depression. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, 461, 1–24.
- Jarrett, R.B., Basco, M.R., Risser, R., et al. (1998). Is there a role for continuation phase cognitive therapy for depressed outpatients? *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 66, 1036–1040.
- Keselman, H.J., Algina, J., & Kowalchuk, R.K. (2001). The analysis of repeated measures designs: A review.

British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 54, 1–20.

- Kirchner, H., Kleinicke, C., & Digel, W. (1982). A wholeblood technique for testing production of human interferon by leukocytes. *Journal of Immunological Methods*, 48, 213–219.
- Kline, R.B. (2004). Beyond Significance Testing: Reforming Data Analysis Methods in Behavioral Research. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Kovacs, M., Rush, A., Beck, A.T., et al. (1981). Depressed outpatients treated with cognitive therapy or pharmacotherapy: A one-year follow-up. Archives of General Psychiatry, 38, 33–39.
- Kroesen, B.-J., Mesander, G., ter Haar, J.G., et al. (1992). Direct visualisation and quantification of cellular cytotoxicity using two colour fluorescence. *Journal of Immunological Methods*, 156, 47–54.
- Lambert, M.J., Hatch, D.R., Kingston, M.D., et al. (1986). Zung, Beck, and Hamilton Rating Scales as measures of treatment outcome: A meta-analytic comparison. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 54, 54-59.
- Lambert, M.J., Masters, K.S., & Astle, D. (1988). An effect-size comparison of the Beck, Zung, and Hamilton rating scales for depression: A three-week and twelve-week analysis. *Psychological Reports*, 63, 467–470.
- Larson, M.R., Duberstein, P.R., Talbot, N.L., et al. (2000). A presurgical psychosocial intervention for breast cancer patients: Psychological distress and the immune response. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 48, 187–194.
- Lévesque, M., Savard, J., Simard, S., et al. (2004). Efficacy of cognitive therapy for depression among women with metastatic cancer: A single-case experimental study. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, *35*, 287–305.
- Marchioro, G., Azzarello, G., Checchin, F., Perale, M., Segati, R., Sampognaro, E., et al. (1996). The impact of a psychological intervention on quality of life in nonmetastatic breast cancer. *European Journal of Cancer*, 32A(9), 1612–1615.
- McGregor, B.A., Antoni, M.H., Boyers, A., et al. (2004). Cognitive-behavioral stress management increases benefit finding and immune function among women with early-stage breast cancer. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 56, 1–8.
- McLachlan, S.-A., Devins, G.M., & Goodwin, P.J. (1998). Validation of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer quality of life questionnaire (QLQ-C30) as a measure of psychosocial function in breast cancer patients. *European Journal of Cancer*, 34, 510–517.
- Moorey, S., Greer, S., Bliss, J., & Law, M. (1998). A comparison of adjuvant psychological therapy and supportive counselling in patients with cancer. *PsychoOncology*, 7, 218–228.
- Morin, C.M. (1993). Insomnia: Psychological Assessment and Management. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Murphy, G.E., Simons, A.D., Wetzel, R.D., et al. (1984). Cognitive therapy and pharmacotherapy: Singly and together in the treatment of depression. Archives of General Psychiatry, 41, 33-41.
- Osoba, D., Aaronson, N., Zee, B., et al. (1997). Modification of the EORTC QLQ-C30 (version 2.0) based on content validity and reliability testing in large samples of patients with cancer. *Quality of Life Research*, 6, 103–108.

- Papadopoulos, N.G., Dedoussis, G.V.Z., Spanakos, G., et al. (1994). An improved fluorescence assay for the determination of lymphocyte-mediated cytotoxicity using flow cytometry. *Journal of Immunological Methods*, 177, 101–111.
- Reiche, E.M., Nunes, S.O., & Morimoto, H.K. (2004). Stress, depression, the immune system, and cancer. *Lancet Oncology*, 5, 617–625.
- Robinson, J.P. (1993). Handbook of Flow Cytometry Method. New York: Wiley-Liss.
- Rush, A.J., Hollon, S.D., Beck, A.T., et al. (1977). Comparative efficacy of cognitive therapy and imipramine in the treatment of depressed outpatients. *Cognitive Therapy & Research*, 1, 17–37.
- SAS Institute. (2001). SAS/STAT User's guide, version 8: Volume 1, 2 & 3. Cary, NC: SAS Institute.
- Savard, J., Laberge, B., Gauthier, J.G., et al. (1998). Evaluating anxiety and depression in HIV-infected patients. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 71, 349–367.
- Savard, J., Laberge, B., Gauthier, J.G., et al. (1999). Screening clinical depression in HIV-seropositive patients using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. AIDS and Behavior, 3, 167–175.
- Savard, J., Laroche, L., Simard, S., et al. (2003). Chronic insomnia and immune functioning. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 65, 211–221.
- Savard, M.H., Savard, J., Simard, S., et al. (2005). Empirical validation of the Insomnia Severity Index in cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology*, *14*, 429–441.
- Sayer, N.A., Sackeim, H.A., Moeller, J.R., et al. (1993). The relations between observer-rating and self-report of depressive symptomatology. *Psychological Assessment*, 5, 350–360.
- Schedlowski, M., Tewes, U., & Schmoll, H.-J. (1994). The effects of psychological intervention on cortisol levels and leukocyte numbers in the peripheral blood of breast cancer patients. In *The Psychoimmunology of Cancer: Mind and Body in the Fight for Survival?* Lewis, C.E., O'Sullivan, C., & Barraclough, J. (eds.), pp. 336–348. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Simons, A.D., Murphy, G.E., Levine, J.L., et al. (1986).

Cognitive therapy and pharmacotherapy for depression. Archives of General Psychiatry, 43, 43–48.

- Smets, E.M.A., Garssen, B., Bonke, B., et al. (1995). The Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory (MFI) psychometric qualities of an instrument to assess fatigue. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 39, 315–325.
- Smith, S. & Trinder, J. (2001). Detecting insomnia: Comparison of four self-report measures of sleep in a young adult population. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 10, 229–235.
- Spiegel, D., Bloom, J.R., Kraemer, H.C., et al. (1989). Effect of psychosocial treatment on survival of patients with metastatic breast cancer. *The Lancet*, 2, 888–891.
- Sprangers, M.A.G., Groenvold, M., Arraras, J.I., et al. (1996). The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer breast cancer-specific quality-oflife questionnaire module: First results from a threecountry field study. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 14, 2756–2768.
- Tabachnik, B.G. & Fidell, L.S. (2001). Using Multivariate Statistics (4th ed.). New York: Harper Collins Publishers.
- Watson, M., Fenlon, D., McVey, G., & Fernandez-Marcos, M. (1996). A support group for breast cancer patients: Development of a cognitive-behavioral approach. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 24, 73–81.
- Weisse, C.S. (1992). Depression and immunocompetence: A review of the literature. *Psychological Bulletin*, 111, 475–489.
- Williams, J.B.W. (1988). A structured interview guide for the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale. Archives of General Psychiatry, 45, 742–747.
- Wilson, K.G., Chochinov, H.M., De Faye, B.J., et al. (2000). Diagnosis and management of depression in palliative care. In *Handbook of Psychiatry in Palliative Medicine*, Chochinov, H.M. & Breitbart, W. (eds.), pp. 25– 49. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Zigmond, A.S. & Snaith, R.P. (1983). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 67, 361–370.