CrossMar

Original Article

Intravenous paracetamol with a lower dose is also effective for the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in pre-term infants

Kadir Şerafettin Tekgündüz,¹ Naci Ceviz,² İbrahim Caner,¹ Haşim Olgun,² Yaşar Demirelli,¹ Canan Yolcu,² İrfan Oğuz Şahin,² Mustafa Kara¹

¹Division of Neonatology; ²Department of Pediatric Cardiology, Ataturk University Medical Faculty, Erzurum, Turkey

Abstract Introduction: Haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in pre-term infants. This retrospective study was conducted to investigate the usefulness of lower-dose paracetamol for the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in pre-term infants. Materials and Methods: A total of 13 pre-term infants who received intravenous paracetamol because of contrindications or side effects to oral ibuprofen were retrospectively enrolled. In the first patient, the dose regimen was 15 mg/kg/dose, every 6 hours. As the patient developed significant elevation in transaminase levels, the dose was decreased to 10 mg/ kg/dose, every 8 hours in the following 12 patients. Echocardiographic examination was conducted daily. In case of closure, it was repeated after 2 days and when needed thereafter in terms of reopening. *Results:* A total of 13 patients received intravenous paracetamol. Median gestational age was 29 weeks ranging from 24 to 31 weeks and birth weight was 950 g ranging from 470 to 1390 g. The median postnatal age at the first intravenous paracetamol dose was 3 days ranging from 2 to 9 days. In 10 of the 13 patients (76.9%), patent ductus arteriosus was closed at the median 2nd day of intravenous paracetamol ranging from 1 to 4 days. When the patient who developed hepatotoxicity was eliminated, the closure rate was found to be 83.3% (10/12). Conclusion: Intravenous paracetamol may be a useful treatment option for the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in pre-term infants with contrindication to ibuprofen. In our experience, lower-dose paracetamol is effective in closing the patent ductus arteriosus in 83.3% of the cases.

Keywords: Intravenous; paracetamol; patent ductus arteriosus; premature infant

Received: 11 March 2014; Accepted: 24 July 2014; First published online: 27 August 2014

HAEMODYNAMICALLY SIGNIFICANT PATENT DUCTUS arteriosus is an important problem of preterm babies.^{1–3} The first-line treatment is accepted as intravenous indomethacin and ibuprofen.^{2,3} Because of the more frequency of side effects, usage of indomethacin is limited in time.^{3,4,5} Although intravenous ibuprofen is widely used with a high closure rate and acceptable side effects, the intravenous form of the drug is not available in all countries.⁶ In patients with contraindications to ibuprofen, treatment of haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus is challenging. In recent years, the first experiences with $oral^{7-15}$ or intravenous paracetamol^{16–18} is reported with promising results. In the present study, we aimed to give the results of our experiences with lower doses of intravenous paracetamol in pre-term babies with haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus and contraindications to oral ibuprofen.

Materials and methods

The medical records of the 13 pre-term babies who received intravenous paracetamol (Perfalgan; Bristol-Myers Squibb, Munich, Germany) owing to

Correspondence to: N. Ceviz, Division of Pediatric Cardiology, Ataturk University Medical Faculty, Erzurum 25040, Turkey. Tel: + 90 442 344 6990 Fax: + 90 442 344 7696; E-mail: ceviznaci@yahoo.com

contraindications to oral ibuprofen (n = 7) and discontinuation of oral ibuprofen treatment owing to side effects (n = 6) were retrospectively evaluated.

Demographic features, postnatal age at diagnosis, postnatal age at first intravenous paracetamol dose, dose of intravenous paracetamol, pre- and post-treatment transaminase levels, response to treatment, recanalization after successful closure, and surgical ligation, if performed, were noted.

Our first-line treatment in patients with haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus (symptoms related to significant ductal shunt, ratio of left atrium/aorta >1.5, left heart enlargement, patent ductus arteriosus diameter with colour Doppler >1.5 mm) is fluid restriction and administration of oral ibuprofen. All patients had either contraindication for oral ibuprofen, or developed side effects related to ibuprofen.

In the first patient, the dose regimen was 15 mg/kg/ dose, every 6 hours. As the patient developed significant elevation in the transaminase levels, the dose was decreased to 10 mg/kg/dose, every 8 hours. The treatment was administered with intravenous infusion. Daily echocardiographic examination was conducted. In case of closure, it was repeated after 2 days and when needed thereafter in terms of reopening. Daily serum transaminase levels were also analysed.

Necrotising enterocolitis was staged according to the previously defined criteria.¹⁹ Feeding intolerance defined that the inability to digest enteral feedings presented as gastric residuals volume of more than 50%, abdominal distension, or emesis, or both.²⁰

Results

Between October, 2012 and November, 2013, a total of 13 patients received intravenous paracetamol. Median gestational age was 29 weeks ranging from 24 to 31 weeks and birth weight was 950 g ranging from 470 to 1390 g. Of the 13 patients, 8 were male.

In seven patients, owing to feeding intolerance intravenous paracetamol was the first-line treatment given. Of the remaining six patients, four developed necrotising enterocolitis, and two developed feeding intolerance after oral ibuprofen treatment; therefore, treatment was continued with intravenous paracetamol owing to persistence of significant ductal shunt. Oral ibuprofen received patients were unresponsive to one (n = 5) or two (n = 1) full courses.

The median postnatal age at the first intravenous paracetamol dose was 3 days ranging from 2 to 9 days. In the first patient, drug was administered in a dose of 15 mg/kg/dose, four doses a day. However, serum transaminase levels significantly increased at the end of first four doses (aspartate aminotransferase: 260 U/L, alanine aminotransferase: 180 U/L) when compared with pre-treatment levels (aspartate aminotransferase: 43 U/L, alanine aminotransferase: 11 U/L). Therefore, treatment was stopped, and the transaminase levels decreased to normal values during the following 5 days. The patient was relatively stable and received oral ibuprofen after resolution of feeding intolerance, and ductal closure occurred. In the following 12 patients, the dose was adjusted as 10 mg/kg/ dose, three doses a day, and none of the patients developed hepatotoxicity during the median treatment duration of 2 days ranging from 1 to 5 days.

In 10 of the 13 patients (76.9%), patent ductus arteriosus was closed at the median 2nd day of intravenous paracetamol ranging from 1 to 4 days. When the patient who developed hepatotoxicity was eliminated, the closure rate was found to be 83.3% (10/12). There were two unresponsive patients who underwent surgical ligation at the 3rd and 5th days of intravenous paracetamol treatment because of the ongoing renal failure and/or heart failure (Table 1).

Of the 10 responsive patients, 2 developed reopening 2 days after ductal closure. In both the patients, patent ductus arteriosus was haemodinamically significant. Signs of feeding intolerance were resolved and they had become suitable for oral ibuprofen treatment. In both the patients, ductal closure was observed after one course of oral ibuprofen treatment.

Discussion

Efficiency of ibuprofen and indomethacin are proven in pre-term infants with haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus.⁵ Nevertheless, their usage can be limited in the presence of contraindications or owing to some side effects, such as intraventricular haemorrhage, necrotising enterocolitis, renal failure, thrombocytopenia, etc.^{1–3} In these conditions the alternative treatment may be surgical ligation. However, oral or intravenous paracetamol has been reported as an effective alternative recently.^{7–18} In most of these reports results of oral administrations have been reported,^{7–15} and results of intravenous administration mostly depend on case reports.^{16–18}

The first report on the effects of intravenous paracetamol in pre-term infants with haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus belongs to Oncel et al.¹⁷ In 10 patients with a median gestational age of $27^{4/7}$ weeks ranging from 24 to 29 weeks), they applied a 3-day treatment (15 mg/kg dose, 4 doses/ day), and in the case of treatment failure at the end of the 3rd day a second course was administered. Intravenous paracetamol resulted in successful closure of haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus in all patients. Of the cases (70%), seven responded to a single course of intravenous paracetamol treatment, whereas three cases (30%) needed

Number GA	GA	BW	Number of IBU course	Day at starting PCM	Contraindication to IBU	Daily dosage of PCM	Duration of treatment	DC	Need of surgical ligation	Adverse events	Result
Infant 1	29	1045	None	3	Feeding intolerance	$15 \text{ mg/kg} \times 4$	1 day (four doses)	No	No	Elevated	PDA was closed by oral IBU, when the
Infant 2	30	1295	1	6	NEC	$10 \text{ mg/kg} \times 3$	3 days	Yes	No	transaminases None	contraindication of IBU use had disappeared Closed
Infant 3	29	770	None	33	Feeding intolerance	$10 \text{ mg/kg} \times 3$	3 days	Yes	No	None	Closed
Infant 4	30	1390	1	6	NEC	$10 \text{ mg/kg} \times 3$	3 days	No	Yes	None	Closed
Infant 5	31	1340	2	7	NEC	$10 \text{ mg/kg} \times 3$	4 days	Y_{es}	No	None	Closed
Infant 6	29	840	None	2	Feeding intolerance	$10 \text{ mg/kg} \times 3$	2 days	Yes	No	None	Closed
Infant 7	27	1045	1	2	Feeding intolerance	$10 \text{ mg/kg} \times 3$	1 day	Y_{es}	No	None	Closed
İnfant 8	24	470	None	2	Feeding intolerance	$10 \text{ mg/kg} \times 3$	2 days	Y_{es}	No	None	Closed
İnfant 9	29	950	None	2	Feeding intolerance	$10 \text{ mg/kg} \times 3$	3 days	Y_{es}	No	None	PDA reopened and was closed by oral IBU, when the
											contraindication of IBU use had disappeared
İnfant 10	31	1260	None	2	Feeding intolerance	$10 \text{ mg/kg} \times 3$	1 day	Yes	No	None	PDA reopened and was closed by
											oral IBU, when the contraindication of IBU
											use had disappeared
İnfant 11	28	760	1	5	NEC	$10 \text{ mg/kg} \times 3$	5 days	No	Yes	None	Closed
İnfant 12	26	685	None	3	Feeding intolerance	$10 \text{ mg/kg} \times 3$	2 days	Yes	No	None	Closed
İnfant 13	28	810	1	5	Feeding intolerance	$10 \text{ mg/kg} \times 3$	2 days	Yes	No	None	Closed
		, , ,									
BW = bir	rth wei£	ght; DC =	: ductal closure; G	A = gestational i	BW = birth weight; DC = ductal closure; GA = gestational age; IBU = ibuprofen; NEC = necrotising enterocolitis; PCM = paracetamol	$NEC = necrotisin_{i}$	g enterocolitis; PCN	I = parace	etamol		

Unfortunately no information about reopening was given. In our study, 10 of the 13 patients responded to intravenous paracetamol in 4 days. In three patients, treatment could not be completed because of side effect of the drugs (n = 1) and because of gradual deterioration of haemodynamic status (n = 2). In two patients, reopening occurred on the 2nd day of successful closure. The duration of the treatment was 1 day in 1 patient and 3 days in another patient. There are two main differences present between our study and a study by Oncel et al¹⁷ study. In our study, the dose is lower. In the literature, there is no information on the safe range of the serum level.

an extended course. No adverse side effects were observed in association with intravenous paracetamol.

our study and a study by Oncel et al study. In our study, the dose is lower. In the literature, there is no information on the safe range of the serum level of paracetamol in pre-term infants, and for those pre-term infants it is advised to decrease the dose and increase the time interval between doses.^{21–23} Depending on this knowledge and our observation in the first case, we lowered the dose. The second difference is the study design. We controlled the patients daily by echocardiography, and in case of ductal closure we stopped the treatment. Therefore, the maximum treatment duration was 5 days in our study.

In a recent report, with intravenous paracetamol in pre-term infants who had contraindications to indomethacin and ibuprofen, Terrin et al¹⁶ reported a closure in six of the eight patients with a dose of 7.5-15 mg/kg/day. They did not observe reopening. In this report, the intravenous paracetamol dose in patients with an unsuccessful result had not been reported. Therefore, we could not compare our results with that of this study.

There are some case series reporting the effects of oral paracetamol in pre-term infants, and closure rate with oral paracetamol has been reported to be 71.4–100%.^{7–15} There are only two prospective studies comparing the results of oral paracetamol and ibuprofen.^{10,11} Dang et al¹⁰ administered oral paracetamol to 80 pre-term infants (<34 weeks) with haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus and compared the results with that of patients who received the standard dose of ibuprofen. Closure rate with one course was 81.2 and 78.8%, respectively, and the difference was not significant. Reopening rate was 7.7% (n = 5) and 9.5% (n = 6), respectively. An additional four patients from both the groups responded to a second course with the same drug. Oncel et al¹¹ compared oral paracetamol (n = 40) and oral ibuprofen (n = 40) in pre-term infants (<30 weeks) with haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus. Closure rate with one course was 72.5 and 77.5%, respectively. Reopening rate was 24.1% (n = 7) and 16.1% (n = 5), respectively. Another five patients from the paracetamol

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the study population

group and four patients from the ibuprofen group responded to a second course with the same drug. In both the groups, no side effects related to paracetamol was reported.

The closure rate in our study was similar to the previously reported rates with oral paracetamol, and the reopening rate was similar to that reported by Oncel et al.¹¹ These results suggest that oral and intravenous administration are not superior to each other in terms of closure rate and reopening rate.

Ibuprofen reduces prostaglandin synthesis by inhibition of cyclooxygenase. As for paracetamol, it acts by inhibition of peroxidase.^{24–26} In our study, two patients with reopening responded to oral ibuprofen. On the other hand, Ozdemir et al¹³ reported a successful closure in five of the seven (71.4%) pre-term infants who were unresponsive to oral or intravenous ibuprofen. These results suggest that efficient prostaglandin synthesis inhibition pathways may be different in each patient. Therefore, in case of failure of one drug the other may be an efficient alternative, or both drugs may be used simultaneously in some patients.

Hepatotoxicity is dependent on the balance between the rate of NAPQI formation, the elimination rate of sulphate, and the rate of glucoronide production, as well as on the initial contact and maximal rate of synthesis of hepatic glutathione. The balance between these factors in neonates is unknown. Consequently, it is impossible to predict "safe" doses.^{27,28}

Pharmacokinetics studies with intravenous, rectal, and oral paracetamol indicated a prolonged serum halflife in pre-term infants. Therefore, dose adjustment is advised in this age group.^{21–23,29,30} In the present study, we decreased the intravenous paracetamol dose to 30 mg/kg/day, after the first case in whom hepatotoxicity developed at the end of the 1st day of intravenous paracetamol in a dose of 60 mg/kg/day. Although the success rate was 76.9% in all cases, when the first case was eliminated the success rate was 83.3% (10/12). In addition, no new case of hepatotoxicity was observed. In their letter, Alan et al³¹ reported hepatotoxicity in two of the three cases treated with intravenous paracetamol in the dose of 60 mg/kg/day. These results suggest that lower dose of intravenous paracetamol is as effective as high dose, and possibly lowers the risk of hepatotoxicity.

Study limitations; the retrospective nature of the study is a major limitation. As we controlled the patients after each day of paracetamol, we could not define the extent of one course. In patients with reopening, as the contraindication for paracetamol was disappeared oral ibuprofen was used. Therefore, we could not make a comment on the effectiveness of paracetamol on the reopened patent ductus arteriosus.

In conclusion, intravenous paracetamol seems as an effective and safe treatment option in pre-term infants with haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus and contraindication to ibuprofen. Owing to the potential for hepatotoxicity of paracetamol, the transaminase levels should be monitored, and it should be kept in mind that the safe dose for paracetamol is unknown in pre-term infants. New studies that aim to compare the different doses of paracetamol are needed.

Acknowledgements

None.

Financial Support

None.

Conflicts of Interest

None.

Ethical Standards

The authors assert that all procedures contributing to the work comply with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki.

References

- 1. Dani C, Bertini G, Corsini I, et al. The fate of ductus arteriosus in infants at 23–27 weeks of gestation: from spontaneous closure to ibuprofen resistance. Acta Paediatr 2008; 97: 1176–1180.
- Van Overmeire B, Chemtob S. The pharmacologic closure of the patent ductus arteriosus. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med 2005; 10: 177–184.
- Bose CL, Laughon MM. Patent ductus arteriosus: lack of evidence for common treatments. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2007; 92: F498–F502.
- 4. Chiruvolu A, Jaleel MA. Therapeutic management of patent ductus arteriosus. Early Hum Dev 2009; 85: 151–155.
- Ohlsson A, Walia R, Shah SS. Ibuprofen for the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm and/or low birth weight infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; 4: CD003481.
- Ghanem S, Mostafa M, Shafee M. Effect of oral ibuprofen on patent ductus arteriosus in premature newborns. J Saudi Heart Assoc 2010; 22: 7–12.
- Hammerman C, Bin-Nun A, Markovitch E, Schimmel MS, Kaplan M, Fink D. Ductal closure with paracetamol: a surprising new approach to patent ductus arteriosus treatment. Pediatrics 2011; 128: 1618–1621.
- 8. Oncel MY, Yurttutan S, Uras N, et al. An alternative drug (paracetamol) in the management of patent ductus arteriosus in ibuprofen resistant or contraindicated preterm infants. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2013; 98: F94.
- Yurttutan S, Oncel MY, Arayici S, et al. A different first choice drug in the medical management of patent ductus arteriosus: oral paracetamol. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2013; 26: 825–827.
- Dang D, Wang D, Zhang C, Zhou W, Zhou Q, Wu H. Comparison of oral Paracetamol versus Ibuprofen in premature infants with patent ductus arteriosus: a randomized controlled trial. PLoS One 2013; 8: e77888.

- Oncel MY, Yurttutan S, Erdeve O, et al. Oral paracetamol versus oral ibuprofen in the management of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm infants: a randomized controlled trial. J Pediatr 2013; 164: 510–514; e1.
- Sinha R, Negi V, Dalal SS. An interesting observation of PDA closure with oral paracetamol in preterm neonates. J Clin Neonatol 2013; 2: 30–32.
- Ozdemir OM, Doğan M, Küçüktaşçı K, Ergin H, Sahin O. Paracetamol therapy for patent ductus arteriosus in premature infants: a chance before surgical ligation. Pediatr Cardiol 2013; 35: 276–279.
- Kessel I, Waisman D, Lavie-Nevo K, Golzman M, Lorber A, Rotschild A. Paracetamol effectiveness, safety and blood level monitoring during patent ductus arteriosus closure: a case series. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2014, doi:10.3109/14767058.2013.871630.
- Jasani B, Kabra N, Nanavati RN. Oral paracetamol in treatment of closure of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm neonates. J Postgrad Med 2013; 59: 312–314.
- Terrin G, Conte F, Scipione A, et al. Efficacy of paracetamol for the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm neonates. Ital J Pediatr 2014; 40(1): 21.
- Oncel MY, Yurttutan S, Degirmencioglu H, et al. Intravenous paracetamol treatment in the management of patent ductus arteriosus in extremely low birth weight infants. Neonatology 2012; 103: 166–169.
- Tekgunduz KS, Ceviz N, Demirelli Y, et al. Intravenous paracetamol for patent ductus arteriosus in premature infants – a lower dose is also effective. Neonatology 2013; 104: 6–7.
- Gregory KE, Deforge CE, Natale KM, Phillips M, Van Marter LJ. Necrotizing enterocolitis in the premature infant: neonatal nursing assessment, disease pathogenesis, and clinical presentation. Adv Neonatal Care 2011; 11: 155–164.
- 20. Moore TA, Wilson ME. Feeding intolerance: a concept analysis. Adv Neonatal Care 2011; 11: 149–154.

- 21. Anderson BJ, Van Lingen RA, Hansen TG, et al. Acetaminophen developmental pharmacokinetics in premature neonates and infants. Anesthesiology 2002; 96: 1336–1345.
- 22. Van Lingen RA, Deinum HT, Quak JM, et al. Pharmacokinetics and metabolism of rectally administered paracetamol in preterm infants. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 1999; 80: F59–F63.
- Allegaert K, Van der Marel CD, Debeer A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of single dose intravenous propacetamol in neonates: effect of gestational age. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2004; 89: F25–F28.
- 24. Anderson BJ. Paracetamol (acetaminophen): mechanisms of action. Paediatr Anaesth 2008; 18: 915–921.
- 25. Aronoff DM, Oates JA, Boutaud O. New insights into the mechanism of action of acetaminophen: its clinical pharmacologic characteristics reflect its inhibition of the two prostaglandin H2 synthases. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2006; 79: 9–19.
- 26. Allegaert K, Anderson B, Simons S, van Overmeire B. Paracetamol to induce ductus arteriosus closure: is it valid? Arch Dis Child 2013; 98: 462–466.
- Porta R, Sánchez L, Nicolás M, García C, Martínez M. Lack of toxicity after paracetamol overdose in a extremely preterm neonate. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2012; 68: 901–902.
- 28. Allegaert K, Anderson BJ, Naulaers G, et al. Intravenous paracetamol (propacetamol) pharmacokinetics in term and preterm neonates. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2004; 60: 191–197.
- 29. Arana A, Morton NS, Hansen TG. Treatment with paracetamol in infants. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2001; 45: 20–29.
- Palmer GM, Atkins M, Anderson BJ, et al. I.V. acetaminophen pharmacokinetics in neonates after multiple doses. Br J Anaesth 2008; 101: 523–530.
- 31. Alan S, Kahvecioglu D, Erdeve O, Atasay B, Arsan S. Is paracetamol a useful treatment for ibuprofen-resistant patent ductus arteriosus? Neonatology 2013; 104: 168–169.