

## INDEX

- Adjudicated Law model of CIL, 60
  - coherence and, 71
  - common law compared, 60
  - ECtHR and, 60–61
  - ICJ and, 69
  - interpretive path, 70
  - as justification-based source, 61, 62
  - Legislated Law model of CIL
    - compared, 72
  - Negotiated Law model of CIL
    - compared, 61, 69
    - overview, 53
- Adler, Ruth, 266
- aggression, prohibition as *jus cogens*, 219–20
- Agreement on the European Economic Area (EEA), 179
- Alexy, Robert, 266
- ambiguity
  - defined, 194
  - of indispensable parties
    - principle, 199
  - judicial effectiveness contrasted, 192, 194
- Ammoun, Fouad, 244–45
- analogy, 115–16
- anticipatory self-defence, 63
- application of CIL, interpretation prior to, 20
- arbitration, 204–5
- Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ARSIWA)
  - case-by-case analysis, 154
  - control under, 149–50
  - factual context of rules, 142
  - judicial reasoning regarding
    - threshold of control, 150–53
  - legal context of rules, 142
  - non-binding nature of, 131–32
  - overview, 130
  - statement of reasons for tribunal decision, 152, 154–55
  - state-owned enterprises and, 138–41
- attribution
  - Contras and, 132–33
  - ICJ and, 136, 141
  - ICTY and, 133–34, 136, 141
  - state-owned enterprises and, 132, 134–35, 150–51
- al-Bashir, Omar, 98–99, 282–83, 291
- basic social entities, 38–39
- Bodansky, Daniel, 18
- Boltzmann, Ludwig, 91
- Bos, Maarten, 189
- Bossa, Solomy Balungi, 94
- Bradley, Curtis A., 13, 29
- Broches, Aaron, 146
- Brussels II Regulation, 182–83
- Caflich, Lucius, 221, 227
- Cassese, Antonio, 28, 97
- chaotic systems, 82
- chess, 39–40, 50
- Choi, Stephen, 17–18, 268–69
- chronological paradox
  - CIL metarule and, 42–43, 47
  - constitutive rules and, 36
  - good faith principle and, 26–27, 49–50
  - ILC and, 26
  - opinio juris* and, 28–29
  - overview, 25–26
  - rejection of obligation element as
    - possible solution, 30
  - risks of, 26
  - rule identification and, 31

- CIL metarule  
 chronological paradox and,  
 42–43, 47  
 conditions, 41–43  
 as constitutive rule, 49  
 first explanation, 43–44, 49  
 good faith principle and, 44–50  
 is/ought gap, 43–44, 49  
*jus cogens* and, 48, 50  
 legal nature of obligation, 44, 49  
 legitimate expectations and, 45–47  
 overview, 40  
 second explanation, 43–44, 49–50  
 as unspoken behind-the-scenes  
 rule, 50
- circularity  
 of CIL, 29–30  
 of identification of CIL, 18  
 of *opinio juris*, 12–13, 16–17
- CJEU. *See* Court of Justice of the  
 European Union (CJEU)
- Clausius, Rudolf, 90
- coherence  
 Adjudicated Law model of CIL  
 and, 71  
 comprehensiveness and, 300,  
 306–7, 308  
 consistency and, 300, 302–4, 308  
 contextualisation and, 320–22. *See*  
*also* contextualisation  
 correctness and, 300, 304–6, 308  
 defined, 300  
 dual role of, 300, 309, 314–16,  
 325  
 framing and, 317–20. *See also*  
 framing  
 ILC on, 301  
 as independent concept with own  
 content, 308–9  
 indicators of, 300, 316–17  
 lack of scholarly attention to, 300–1  
 legal reasoning and, 300, 325  
 Legislated Law model of CIL and, 72  
 methodological dimension, 300, 309,  
 315–16, 325  
 Negotiated Law model of CIL and,  
 72–73  
 overview, 71, 75, 299–300  
 reflexivity and, 322–25. *See also*  
 reflexivity  
 self-defence and, 305  
 substantive dimension, 300, 309,  
 315–16, 325  
 VCLT and, 301
- collective acceptance, 30–31
- command responsibility, 273–74
- Committee against Torture, 226
- common law  
 Adjudicated Law model of CIL  
 compared, 60  
 state practice compared, 13
- ‘communities of practice’, 233–34
- complexity theory  
 complicatedness distinguished, 84  
 diversity of actors, 84–86, 88  
 dynamic complexity, 79, 80–83  
 judicial discretion and, 88  
 shared understanding of CIL and, 86  
 social system, CIL as, 79–80, 83–88  
 two-level complexity in CIL, 88
- comprehensiveness, coherence and,  
 300, 306–7, 308
- conflicting norms  
 in ICC, 282  
 as legal dilemma, 293–94  
 overview, 282–84  
 practical concordance. *See* practical  
 concordance  
 reconciliation of, 288, 291–292,  
 295–296
- consistency, coherence and, 300,  
 302–4, 308
- constitutive rules, 36, 40, 49
- constructive interpretation, 32–34, 97
- constructive rules, 109–10
- contextualisation, 320–22  
 defined, 320  
 head-of-state immunity and, 321–22  
 ICC and, 321–22  
 interpretation and, 320–21, 322  
 normative contextualisation and,  
 212–14  
 order and priority, imposing, 325  
 overview, 300, 316  
 systemic contextualisation and,  
 212–14

- continental shelf, 42, 114, 115–16, 265, 313–14
- control
  - under ARSIWA, 149–50
  - case-by-case analysis, 136, 153–54
  - Contras and, 132–33
  - corporate plus effective control, 141, 150
  - ‘effective control’ test. *See* ‘effective control’ test)
  - hybrid control tests, 141
  - ICJ and, 136, 141
  - ICTY and, 133–34, 136, 141
  - international investment, control tests in, 137–42
  - interpretation of, 132
  - judicial reasoning regarding threshold of control, 143, 150–53
  - lower thresholds for control, 138–41
  - statement of reasons for tribunal decision, 152, 154–55
  - state-owned enterprises, control tests, 132, 134–35, 138–41, 151–52
- Convention against Torture (1984), 223, 272
- Convention on the Continental Shelf (1958), 265
- Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) (1982), 114
- Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (ICSID Convention) (1965), 145–46, 148, 152, 314
- correctness
  - coherence and, 300, 304–6, 308
  - demonstrable correctness, 304–5
  - determinate correctness, 304, 305
- Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
  - Advocates General, 167–69
  - boundaries of EU law and, 162
  - determination of CIL in, 122
  - as domestic court, 184
  - erga omnes* obligations and, 166
  - EU institutions and, 162
  - EU law, interpretation of, 164–65, 181–83
- ICJ rulings, reliance on, 158–59
  - identification of CIL in, 176
  - importance of CIL in, 160–63
  - indispensable parties principle in, 205–6
  - interpretation of CIL generally, 156–60, 163–64, 165–66, 185
  - ‘mirroring’ of CIL norms, 178
  - ‘multiplying’ effect in, 166
- natural resources, state sovereignty over, 170–78, 183
- obligation not to defeat object and purpose of treaties and, 178–80, 182
- PCIJ rulings, reliance on, 158–59
  - reluctance to analyse CIL, 183–84
  - ‘re-packaging’ of CIL norms, 178
- resources of, 184
- self-determination and, 170–78, 183
- ‘snowball’ effect in, 166
- state immunity from jurisdiction and, 166–70, 182–83
- territorial scope of treaties and, 180–81, 182
- two-pronged test for interpretation, 183
- VCLT and, 161, 182
- crimes against humanity
  - ICTR and, 95
  - individual criminal responsibility for, 289
  - inhumane acts as, 95
  - jus cogens*, prohibition as, 219–20
  - systemic interpretation and, 272–73
- customary rules
  - acceptance of, 121–22
  - applicability of, 38
  - application of, 38
  - basis for interpretation of, general principles of law as, 249, 252
  - CIL metarule. *See* good faith principle
  - conflicting norms. *See* conflicting norms
  - constitutive rules, 36, 40, 49
  - constructive rules, 109–10
  - critical mass for creation of, 105–6
  - facts, emerging from, 11–12

- customary rules (cont.)
  - general principles of law,
    - interpretation by reference to, 275–78, 280
  - hierarchy of, 220–21
  - obligation element, 24, 27
  - ‘outer rules’, 47
  - practice element (*diuturnitas*), 24, 27
  - system of rules as a whole,
    - interpretation by reference to, 278–79
  - treaties, interpretation by reference to, 268–74, 280
- cyberspace, 119
- d’Aspremont, Jean, 35, 42, 156
- Daudet, Yves, 46
- declaratory treaties, 269
- deduction
  - from analogy, 115–16
  - defined, 108
  - in determination of CIL, 119–20, 123–24, 127, 165
  - in ICJ, 105, 112–21, 128–29
  - in identification of CIL, 105, 108–10, 118–19, 124–26
  - from legal principles, 116–18
  - normative deduction, 113–14
  - in PCIJ, 105
  - ‘triangular reasoning’, 116–17
  - without resort to elements, 112–21
- definitional concepts, 9–10, 12
- demonstrable correctness, 304–5
- Descamps, Baron Édouard, 42, 44
- descriptive interpretation, 32–33
- determinate correctness, 304, 305
- determination of CIL
  - in CJEU, 122
  - deduction in, 119–20, 123–24, 127, 165
  - foreign ministry determinations, 122–23
  - ICJ on, 122
  - identification distinguished, 106
  - by inference, 127–28
  - interpretation prior to, 20
  - judicial function and, 189
  - judicial process and, 189
  - UN General Assembly resolutions and, 123–24
- deterministic chaos, 82
- Dewey, John, 322–23
- diplomatic immunity, 116
- diuturnitas* (practice)
  - in customary rules, 24, 27
  - as state practice, 27
- doctrine of sources, 52, 325
- dolus eventualis*, 278
- domestic courts
  - CJEU as, 184
  - general principles of law originating in, 245, 246–47
  - head-of-state immunity in, 115
  - human rights law, role in, 232
- due process, 69–70
- Dworkin, Ronald, 33–34
- dynamic complexity, 79, 80–83
- dynamic interpretation, 159
- Eboe-Osuji, Chile, 94
- ECtHR. *See* European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
- ‘effective control’ test
  - Geneva Conventions and, 274
  - in ICJ, 132–33, 137–38, 141, 149–50
  - in ICTY, 134
  - international armed conflict and, 274
  - international investment law,
    - flexibility in, 141, 143, 148–50, 154
  - limitations of, 153–54
  - as standard of evaluation, 152–53
  - state-owned enterprises and, 138
- entropic approach to interpretation
  - in ICC, 94, 95–97
  - in ICJ, 98–100
  - in ICTR, 95
  - in ICTY, 95
  - in international criminal courts, 93–100, 101
  - overview, 80, 93, 101
  - shared understanding of CIL and, 94
  - in Special Tribunal for Lebanon, 97–98
- entropy
  - in CIL, 93
  - information entropy, 90, 91

- interpretation, entropic approach to.
  - See* entropic approach to interpretation
- overview, 80
- in social context, 91–92
- in statistical mechanics, 92–93
- in thermodynamics, 90–91
- equidistance rule, 14, 42
- equilibrium, 92
- erga omnes* obligations
  - CIL norms as, 172
  - CJEU rulings and, 166
  - Geneva Conventions Common Article 3 and, 95
  - in human rights law, 234
  - ICJ and, 234
  - self-determination as, 118, 174
  - state sovereignty over natural resources as, 174–75
- EU–China Comprehensive Agreement on Investment, 47
- European Convention on Human Rights, 225, 226–27, 260, 267–68
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
  - Adjudicated Law model of CIL and, 60–61
  - general principles of law in, 260
  - harmonisation through interpretation, 283
  - indispensable parties principle in, 206–7
  - judicial dialogue and, 220–22, 224, 225, 226–28
  - provisional measures and, 225, 226–28
  - state policy, impact on, 100
  - systemic interpretation and, 267–68
- European Union
  - CIL, lack of consent to, 184
  - CJEU. *See* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
- exceptions, 165–66
- Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC), 272–73, 278
- facts
  - customary rules emerging from, 11–12
  - no falsification by, 10–11
  - state practice as fact element of CIL, 4
- fair and equitable treatment clauses, 74, 143–44
- fairness, 69–70
- falsification by facts, 10–11
- Fitzmaurice, Gerald, 47, 255–56
- foreign ministry determinations, 122–23
- formal activism, 194–95
- Forrester, Jay, 83
- fragmentation
  - ILC on, 301
  - judicial dialogue as remedy for, 233–35
  - Legislated Law model of CIL and, 72
  - Negotiated Law model of CIL and, 56
- framing, 317–20
  - goals, formulating, 318
  - order and priority, imposing, 318–19, 325
  - overview, 300, 316
  - practice, identifying, 319
  - prima facie legal relevance and, 320
- France, practical concordance in, 293
- Gaja, Giorgio, 122
- general principles of law
  - ascertainment of, 244–47
  - as basis for interpretation of customary rules, 249, 252
  - as category of rules, 241–44, 251
  - CIL compared, 239–40
  - courts and tribunals, role of, 241, 244–47
  - customary rules, interpretation by reference to general principles of law, 275–78, 280
  - disagreement regarding, 240–41
  - domestic courts, originating in, 245, 246–47
  - in ECtHR, 260
  - elements of crime and, 278
  - embeddedness with custom, 275–77
  - ICJ and, 276, 277
  - under ICJ Statute, 241–44, 251, 260
  - ICTY and, 260–61, 277–78

- general principles of law (cont.)  
 identification of CIL contrasted to  
 ascertainment of, 247  
 ILC on, 240–41, 276  
 international law, originating in, 245,  
 246–47  
 interpretation generally, 240, 263–64  
 overview, 239–40, 261–62  
 PCIJ and, 276  
 principles *stricto sensu* distinguished,  
 242–44, 251, 260  
 as ‘relevant rules of international  
 law’, 259–61  
 as secondary rules, 240  
 as source of rules of interpretation,  
 252–55  
 systemic interpretation and, 240,  
 258–59, 260, 275–78, 280  
 ‘systemic officials’, role of, 241,  
 244–47  
 transposition of, 245–46  
 Geneva Conventions (1949)  
 Additional Protocols, 271, 273–74  
 Common Article 3, 95  
 ‘effective control’ test and, 274  
*erga omnes* obligations and, 95  
 torture prohibition as *jus cogens*  
 and, 223  
 genocide  
 CIL and, 25  
*jus cogens*, prohibition as, 219–20  
 Genocide Convention (1948), 58, 289  
 Géný, François, 30, 41  
 Germany, practical concordance in,  
 283, 291, 292–93  
 gold-digging metaphor, 5  
 good faith principle  
 chronological paradox and, 26–27,  
 49–50  
 CIL, metarule and, 44–50  
 ICJ Statute and, 44, 45  
 legitimate expectations and, 45–46  
*opinio juris*, as basis of, 26–27  
 in PCIJ, 45  
 Gorobets, Kostiantyn, 313  
 grammatical interpretation, 164–65  
 Grotian moment, 79  
 Grotius, Hugo., 44  
 Guillaume, Gilbert, 234–35, 271  
 Gulati, Mitu, 17–18, 268–69  
 Hague Convention (1907), 116  
 Hakimi, Monica, 12, 56, 129, 318  
 Hanson, Norwood Russell, 7  
 Hart, HLA, 8, 32, 78  
 head-of-state immunity  
 contextualisation and, 321–22  
 in domestic courts, 115  
 foreign ministers and, 118–19  
 ICC and, 94, 285, 286, 291, 321–22  
 ICJ and, 98–100, 284–85, 286  
 ICTR and, 286  
 ICTY and, 286  
 ILC on, 284  
 impunity and, 282–83, 284  
 individual criminal responsibility  
 versus, 282–83, 284, 286–87,  
 288–89, 290–91, 296–98  
 interpretation of, 98–100  
 practical concordance and, 296–98  
 rationale for, 284  
 shared understanding of CIL  
 and, 94  
 shift from absolute to qualified  
 immunity, 287–88  
 unease regarding, 288  
 VCLT and, 285  
 Heidegger, Martin, 8, 12, 20  
 Helsinki Final Act, 174  
 Hesse, Konrad, 292  
 Higgins, Rosalyn, 69, 185  
 Hofmanski, Piotr, 94  
 Hollis, Duncan, 248  
 humanitarian intervention, 86–88  
 human rights law  
 domestic courts, role of, 232  
*erga omnes* obligations in, 234  
 identification of, 215, 216  
 judicial dialogue, role of in  
 identification and interpretation,  
 214, 219–24  
*jus cogens* and, 216–17  
 provisional measures, 225–28  
 public international order and,  
 230–31  
 hypothetical inference, 128

- ICC. *See* International Criminal Court (ICC)
- ICJ. *See* International Court of Justice (ICJ)
- ICJ Statute  
CIL under, 27  
general principles of law under, 241–44, 251, 260  
good faith principle and, 44, 45  
indispensable parties principle as ‘embodied’ in, 198–99, 210  
on interpretation, 34–35  
on legitimate expectations, 45–46  
two-element test for CIL, 52
- ICSID Convention (1965), 145–46, 148, 152, 314
- ICTR. *See* International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR)
- ICTY. *See* International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY)
- identification of CIL  
circularity of, 18  
in CJEU, 176  
deduction in, 105, 108–10, 118–19, 124–26  
determination distinguished, 106  
Draft Conclusions on Identification of Customary International Law (ILC), 52, 157–58, 177, 264, 268, 272  
general principles of law, contrasted to ascertainment of, 247  
human rights law, 215, 216  
in ICJ, 106, 109–10  
ILC on, 106, 111, 254–55  
indispensable parties principle, 191  
induction in, 105, 108–10, 126–27, 165  
as ‘interconnected judicial operation’, 217–18  
interpretation and, 32, 217–18  
investigation of state practice and, 5  
lack of precision in, 187  
non-textual evidence, 34  
*opinio juris* and, 215–16  
in PCIJ, 111  
state practice and, 215–16  
textual evidence, 31–32  
uncertainty regarding, 77
- immunities *rationae personae*. *See* head-of-state immunity
- immunity, 63
- impunity, head-of-state immunity and, 282–83, 284
- indigenous peoples, 86
- indispensable parties principle  
ambiguity of, 199  
application of, 191  
application versus recognition, 208  
in arbitration, 204–5  
in CJEU, 205–6  
consolidation of, 207–8  
development of, 190–91  
in ECtHR, 206–7  
effect on absent state and, 201–2  
as ‘embodied’ in ICJ Statute, 198–99, 210  
expansion of, 201–2  
in ICC, 206  
in ICJ, 198–203  
identification of, 191  
judicial activism and, 188, 200, 202, 203, 209–10  
judicial effectiveness and, 209  
judicial restraint and, 200, 205–6, 208  
lack of relevance, 205–7  
in other international tribunals, 204–5  
overview, 188, 210  
public function, 208–9  
temporal preconditions, 202–3  
uncertainty in, 210  
in WTO, 206
- individual criminal responsibility  
for crimes against humanity, 289  
head-of-state immunity versus, 282–83, 284, 286–87, 288–89, 290–91, 296–98  
ICTY and, 290  
ILC on, 289–90  
Nuremberg Principles, 289–90  
for piracy, 289  
practical concordance and, 296–98
- induction  
within application of state practice and *opinio juris* elements, 121–28

- induction (cont.)
  - criticism of, 5–6
  - defined, 107–8
  - in identification of CIL, 105, 108–10, 126–27, 165
  - problems with, 6–8
  - in Special Tribunal for Lebanon, 126
  - state practice and, 5
- inference
  - determination of CIL by, 127–28
  - hypothetical inference, 128
  - predictive inference, 127–28
  - universal inference, 128
- information entropy, 90, 91
- Institut de Droit International, 266
- Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR), 60–61, 226
- Inter-American Treaty of Reciprocal Assistance (Rio Treaty) (1947), 270–71
- international armed conflict, ‘effective control’ test and, 274
- International Court of Justice (ICJ)
  - Adjudicated Law model of CIL and, 69
  - analogy in, 115–16
  - attribution and, 136, 141
  - CJEU reliance on rulings of, 158–59
  - control and, 136, 141
  - deduction in, 105, 112–21, 128–29
  - on determination of CIL, 122
  - ‘effective control’ test, 132–33, 137–38, 141, 149–50
  - ‘elementary considerations of humanity’ and, 113
  - entropic approach to interpretation in, 98–100
  - erga omnes* obligations and, 234
  - general principles of law and, 276, 277
  - head-of-state immunity and, 98–100, 284–85, 286
  - identification of CIL in, 106, 109–10
  - indispensable parties principle in, 198–203
  - induction in, 125–26, 128–29
  - inference in, 128
  - interpretation in, 98–100
  - judicial dialogue and, 221–22, 223, 225
  - jurisdiction, 211
  - non-compliance with obligations and, 98–100
  - opinio juris* in, 111–12
  - practical concordance in, 294, 295–97
  - provisional measures and, 225
  - state practice in, 111–12
  - Statute. *See* ICJ Statute
  - systemic interpretation and, 266
  - on torture prohibition as *jus cogens*, 223
  - on treaties, 270–72, 273
  - uti possidetis* and, 115, 319
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 174, 223
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 174
- International Criminal Court (ICC)
  - conflicting norms in, 282
  - contextualisation and, 321–22
  - entropic approach to interpretation in, 94, 95–97
  - gap-filling and, 82–83
  - head-of-state immunity and, 94, 285, 286, 291, 321–22
  - indispensable parties principle in, 206
  - interpretation in, 94, 95–97
  - judicial discretion and, 88
  - Rome Statute. *See* Rome Statute
  - war crimes and, 95–97
- international criminal courts
  - entropic approach to interpretation in, 93–100, 101
  - interpretation in, 80, 93–100
- International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR)
  - crimes against humanity and, 95
  - entropic approach to interpretation in, 95
  - head-of-state immunity and, 286
  - interpretation in, 95
- International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY)
  - attribution and, 133–34, 136, 141

- control and, 133–34, 136, 141
- crimes against humanity and, 95
- ‘effective control’ test and, 134
- entropic approach to interpretation in, 95
- gap-filling and, 82–83
- general principles of law and, 260–61, 277–78
- head-of-state immunity and, 286
- individual criminal responsibility and, 290
- interpretation in, 95
- judicial dialogue and, 222, 224
- state responsibility and, 278–79
- systemic interpretation in, 272
- on torture prohibition as *jus cogens*, 222, 224
- on treaties, 273–74
- international humanitarian law, nuclear weapons and, 271
- international investment law
  - case-by-case analysis, 154
  - control tests in, 137–42
  - corporate plus effective control in, 141, 150
  - ‘effective control’ test, flexibility of, 141, 143, 148–50, 154
  - fair-and-equitable-treatment clauses, 74, 143–44
  - investment neutrality, 154
  - judicial reasoning regarding threshold of control, 143, 150–53
  - legal reasoning in, 313
  - most-favoured-nation clauses, 143–44
  - state-led investment activities, caution regarding, 143–47
- international law
  - general principles of law originating in, 245, 246–47
  - harmonisation, role of judicial dialogue in, 231–32
  - judicial activism in context of, 196–98
  - judicial restraint in context of, 196–98
  - modern perception of, 188
  - ‘relevant rules of international law’, 259–61
- International Law Association (ILA), 111, 122–23, 163–64
- International Law Commission (ILC)
  - on ascertainment of general principles of law, 244–47
  - chronological paradox and, 26
  - on coherence, 301
  - Draft Articles on State Responsibility, 57–58, 124–25
  - Draft Conclusions on Identification of Customary International Law, 52, 157–58, 177, 264, 268, 272
  - on fragmentation, 301
  - on general principles of law, 240–41, 276
  - on head-of-state immunity, 284
  - on identification of CIL, 106, 111, 254–55
  - on individual criminal responsibility, 289–90
  - on interpretation, 35
  - legal reasoning and, 313
  - on non-state actors, 27
  - on *opinio juris*, 215–16, 254–55
  - on state practice, 215–16, 254–55
  - on state responsibility, 37
- international organisations, role in interpretation, 157
- International Tin Council, 110
- International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (ITLOS), 205
- interpretation
  - in Adjudicated Law model of CIL, 70
  - of agreements, 68
  - application, prior to, 20
  - of arguments, 64–65
  - in CJEU. *See* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
  - constructive interpretation, 32–34, 97
  - contextualisation and, 320–21, 322
  - of control, 132
  - of customary rules, role of general principles of law, 249, 252
  - of decisions, 70

- interpretation (cont.)  
 defined, 264  
 descriptive interpretation, 32–33  
 determination, prior to, 20  
 dynamic interpretation, 159  
 entropic approach to. *See* entropic approach to interpretation  
 evolutive function of, 94  
 of exceptions, 165–66  
 general principles of law generally, 240, 263–64  
 grammatical interpretation, 164–65  
 harmonisation through, 283  
 of head-of-state immunity, 98–100  
 in ICC, 94, 95–97  
 in ICJ, 98–100  
 ICJ Statute on, 34–35  
 in ICTR, 95  
 in ICTY, 95  
 identification of CIL and, 32, 217–18  
 ILC on, 35  
 as ‘interconnected judicial operation’, 217–18  
 in international criminal courts, 80, 93–100  
 international organisations, role of, 157  
 judicial activism and, 195  
 legal reasoning and, 313–14  
 in Legislated Law model of CIL, 68  
 legitimacy of, 52–53, 64  
 maxims of, 249–51  
 in Negotiated Law model of CIL, 64–65  
 norms of, 249–51  
 reflexivity and, 324–25  
 rules of. *See* rules of interpretation  
 rule-to-case interpretation, 34, 36, 37  
 source interpretation, 34, 36, 37  
 source of rules of, general principles of law as, 252–55  
 in Special Tribunal for Lebanon, 97–98  
 of state immunity from jurisdiction, 182–83  
 systemic interpretation. *See* systemic interpretation  
 teleological interpretation, 97, 159, 164–65, 218  
 of text, 18–19, 21  
 treaties generally, 263–64  
 ‘treaty focus’ of, 248  
 VCLT and, 67–68, 97, 248, 252–53  
 written versus unwritten rules, 248–49  
 investigation of state practice  
 identification of CIL and, 5  
*opinio juris* as starting point for, 6  
 investor–state arbitration. *See* international investment law  
 Iran–US Claims Tribunal (IUSCT), 135–36, 137, 142  
 is/ought gap, 43–44, 49  
 Israel, individual criminal responsibility in, 290  
 iteration. *See* reflexivity  
 Jennings, Robert, 253–54  
 judicial activism  
 abstract principles, deriving legal reasoning from, 197–98  
 in context of international law, 196–98  
 defined, 194–95  
 formal activism, 194–95  
 indispensable parties principle and, 188, 200, 202, 203, 209–10  
 interpretation and, 195  
 judicial effectiveness, relation to, 191  
 judicial restraint compared, 194, 195–96  
 legal reasoning and, 197–98  
 modification of law, 197  
 overview, 187–88  
 process or procedure, changing, 197  
 substantive activism, 194–95  
 will of parties and state consent, ruling against, 197  
 judicial dialogue  
 ‘communities of practice’ and, 233–34  
 defined, 212  
 ECtHR and, 220–22, 224, 225, 226–28

- fragmentation, as remedy for, 233–35
- harmonisation of international law, role in, 231–32
- ICJ and, 221–22, 223, 225
- ICTY and, 222, 224
- identification of human rights law, role in, 214, 219–24
- as improving legal decisions, 230
- interpretation of human rights law, role in, 214, 219–24
- jurisprudential objectivism, impact on, 214, 228–32
- normative contextualisation and, 212–14
- overview, 211–12, 233–35
- provisional measures and, 225–28
- systemic contextualisation and, 212–14
- judicial discretion, 88
- judicial effectiveness
  - ambiguity contrasted, 192, 194
  - elements of, 192–93
  - harmonisation and, 192–93
  - indispensable parties principle and, 209
  - judicial activism, relation to, 191
  - of judicial function, 191–92
  - overview, 186–87, 188
  - public versus private function, 192
  - rapprochement and, 192–93
  - role of judge in, 193
- judicial function
  - determination of CIL and, 189
  - judicial effectiveness of, 191–92. *See also* judicial effectiveness
- judicial process, determination of CIL and, 189
- judicial restraint
  - abstract principles, deriving legal reasoning from, 197–98
  - in context of international law, 196–98
  - defined, 195
  - indispensable parties principle and, 200, 205–6, 208
  - judicial activism compared, 194, 195–96
  - legal reasoning and, 197–98
  - jure gestionis*, 167, 168–69
  - jure imperii*, 167, 168–69
  - jurisprudential objectivism, 214, 228–32
  - jus cogens*
    - aggression and, 219–20
    - CIL as basis for, 219–20
    - CIL metarule and, 48, 50
    - crimes against humanity and, 219–20
    - Geneva Conventions and, 223
    - genocide prohibition as, 219–20
    - human rights law and, 216–17
    - ICJ and, 223
    - ICTY and, 222, 224
    - as overriding conflicting non-peremptory norms, 220–21
    - piracy and, 219–20
    - racial discrimination and, 219–20
    - slavery and, 219–20
    - torture and, 214, 219–20, 222–24
    - VCLT and, 216
- Kassoti, Eva, 181
- Khalilian, Tags Seyed Khalil, 135–36
- Kolver, Anatoly, 227
- Kooijmans, Pieter, 195, 198, 208
- Koskenniemi, Martti, 18
- Kylián, Jiří, 77, 101
- Lachs, Manfred, 120
- Lauterpacht, Hersch, 195, 198, 200
- Law of the Sea Convention, 25
- legal reasoning
  - action intended by, 312–13
  - coherence and, 300, 325
  - defeasible nature of, 314
  - ILC and, 313
  - in international investment law, 313
  - interpretation and, 313–14
  - judicial activism and, 197–98
  - judicial restraint and, 197–98
  - as practical reasoning, 312–14
  - as purposive activity, 313
  - theoretical versus practical reasoning, 309–11

- Legislated Law model of CIL, 57  
 Adjudicated Law model of CIL  
   compared, 72  
   coherence and, 72  
   fragmentation and, 72  
   interpretive path, 68  
   as justification-based source, 61, 62  
   modern custom and, 59  
 Negotiated Law model of CIL  
   compared, 59–60, 67, 68, 72  
   overview, 53  
   treaties and, 58  
   VCLT and, 57–58, 68  
 legitimate expectations, 45–46  
 Lerche, Peter, 293  
 Llewellyn, Karl, 7, 13  
 Luhmann, Niklas, 83
- MacCormick, Neil, 303  
 Mahoney, Paul, 195–96  
 Mallarmé, Stéphane, 77  
 maritime boundaries, 115, 319  
 marriage, 11  
 McDougal, Myres, 55  
 McLachlan, Campbell, 259  
 Mendelson, Maurice, 18  
 Mengozzi, Paolo, 168  
 Merkouris, Panos, 5, 67–68, 165  
 Morrison, Howard, 94  
 most-favored nation clauses, 143–44
- natural resources, state sovereignty  
   over, 170–78, 183  
 Negotiated Law model of CIL, 57  
   Adjudicated Law model of CIL  
     compared, 61, 69  
     coherence and, 72–73  
     forward-looking nature of, 66  
     fragmentation and, 56  
     interpretive path, 64–65  
     as justification-based source, 61, 62  
   Legislated Law model of CIL  
     compared, 59–60, 67, 68, 72  
     overview, 53  
     textual interpretation and, 66  
     traditional custom and, 56  
     traditional model, 59  
 Nicaragua, *Contras* in, 132–33
- non-state actors  
   CIL and, 27  
   ILC on, 27  
   self-defence and, 62  
 normative contextualisation, 212–14  
 normative deduction, 113–14  
 nuclear weapons, international  
   humanitarian law and, 271  
*nullum crimen sine lege*, 69–70  
 Nuremberg Principles, 289–90
- obligation element  
   in customary rules, 24, 27  
   in *opinio juris*, 27–28  
 Odermatt, Jed, 181  
*opinio juris*  
   belief in obligation, 28  
   chronological paradox and, 28–29  
   circularity of, 12–13, 16–17  
   as claims, 17–18  
   collective acceptance of, 30–31  
   conditions of, 15–16  
   as element of CIL, 111–12  
   evidence gathering, 31–32, 35  
   good faith principle as basis  
     of, 26–27  
   habitual practice distinguished,  
     28–29  
   in ICJ, 111–12  
   identification of CIL and, 215–16  
   ILC on, 215–16, 254–55  
   investigation of state practice, as  
     starting point for, 6  
   as normative element of CIL, 4  
   obligation element, 27–28  
   in PCIJ, 111–12  
   plural form of, 22  
   prescriptive role of, 30–31  
   presumption of, 121  
   rejection of obligation element,  
     30, 41  
   state practice as based in, 3–4, 16  
   as subjective element of CIL,  
     refutation of, 3, 4  
   usage distinguished, 14–16  
 Organization of American States  
   (OAS), 270–71, 272  
 ‘outer rules’, 47

- Pair, Lara, 195  
 Parsons, Talcott, 83  
 Pellet, Alain, 122  
 Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA), 204  
 Permanent Court of International Justice (PCIJ)  
   CJEU reliance on rulings of, 158–59  
   deduction in, 105  
   general principles of law and, 276  
   good faith principle in, 45  
   identification of CIL in, 111  
   *opinio juris* in, 111–12  
   state practice in, 111–12  
 Pescatore, Pierre, 194  
 piracy  
   CIL and, 25  
   individual criminal responsibility for, 289  
   *jus cogens*, prohibition as, 219–20  
 Postema, Gerald, 20, 56, 65, 66  
 practical concordance  
   as balancing of interests, 291, 292–93  
   broader picture, consideration of, 295–96  
   defined, 291  
   fundamental rights and, 292–93  
   head-of-state immunity and, 296–98  
   in ICJ, 294, 295–97  
   individual criminal responsibility and, 296–98  
   in international courts, 294–95  
   as methodological lens, 295, 296  
   overview, 283–84, 298  
   proportionality and, 292  
   ‘thinking outside the box’, 296  
   unequal legal norms, inapplicable to, 291  
 practical reasoning  
   challenging, 311  
   legal reasoning as, 312–14  
   plausibility and, 311  
   reconciling and prioritising in, 310–11  
   theoretical reasoning versus, 309–11  
 precedents, 13–14  
 predictive inference, 127–28  
   principles *stricto sensu*, general  
   principles of law distinguished, 242–44, 251, 260  
   provisional measures, 225–28  
   questions of fact, 106–7, 129  
   questions of law, 106–7, 129  
   racial discrimination, prohibition as *jus cogens*, 219–20  
   rape, 277–78  
   Rawls, John, 322–23  
   reflective equilibrium, 323  
   reflexivity, 322–25  
     interpretation and, 324–25  
     order and priority, imposing, 325  
     overview, 300, 316  
     reflective equilibrium, 323  
 Ricoeur, Paul, 21  
 Roberts, Anthea Elizabeth, 5  
 Robinson, Patrick Lipton, 114  
 Rome Statute. *See also* International Criminal Court (ICC)  
   gap-filling and, 82–83  
   Legislated Law model of CIL and, 58  
   non-compliance with obligations, 98–100  
   war crimes and, 95–97  
 Rozakis, Christos, 221  
 rule-based social entities, 39, 40  
 rules of interpretation  
   candidates for, 255–58  
   general principles of law as source of, 252–55  
   overview, 249–51  
   VCLT and, 252, 255–56  
 rule-to-case interpretation, 34, 36, 37  
 Russell, Bertrand, 42  
 Scelle, Georges, 81, 96  
 Schauer, Frederick, 13  
 secondary rules, 129, 240  
 self-defence  
   anticipatory self-defence, 63  
   coherence and, 305  
   non-state actors and, 62  
   treaties and, 270–71

- self-determination
  - CJEU and, 170–78, 183
  - as *erga omnes* obligation, 118, 174
  - state sovereignty over natural resources, relation to, 170–78, 183
- sexual slavery, 11
- Shahabuddeen, Mohamed, 201, 273–74
- Shannon, Claude E, 92–93
- shared understanding of CIL
  - complexity theory and, 86
  - entropic approach to interpretation and, 94
  - head-of-state immunity and, 94
  - humanitarian intervention and, 88
- slavery
  - CIL and, 25
  - jus cogens*, prohibition as, 219–20
- social entities
  - basic social entities, 38–39
  - defined, 38
  - rule-based social entities, 39, 40
- social ontology, 30–31, 38
- social system, CIL as, 79–80, 83–88
- Société Nationale des Autoroutes du Maroc (Moroccan state-owned enterprise), 140
- SODIGA (Spanish state-owned enterprise), 139–40
- Sørensen, Max, 273
- source interpretation, 34, 36, 37
- sources of CIL
  - Adjudicated Law model, 60. *See also* Adjudicated Law model of CIL
  - doctrine of sources, 52
  - justification-based sources, 61, 62
  - Legislated Law model, 57. *See also* Legislated Law model of CIL
  - multiplicity of, 76
  - Negotiated Law model, 57. *See also* Negotiated Law model of CIL
  - overview, 54
- Special Court for Sierra Leone, 290
- Special Tribunal for Lebanon
  - entropic approach to interpretation in, 97–98
  - induction in, 126
  - interpretation in, 97–98
- state immunity from jurisdiction
  - in CJEU, 166–70, 182–83
  - interpretation of, 182–83
  - jure gestionis* and, 167, 168–69
  - jure imperii* and, 167, 168–69
  - torture and, 221–22
- state-owned enterprises
  - ARSIWA and, 138–41
  - attribution of conduct to state, 132, 134–35, 150–51
  - control tests, 132, 134–35, 138–41, 151–52
  - corporate plus effective control, 141
  - defined, 130
  - ‘effective control’ test, 138
  - hybrid control tests, 141
  - international investment law, caution regarding in, 143–47
  - lower thresholds for control, 138–41
  - political risk and, 143
- state practice
  - common law compared, 13
  - definitional concepts, 12
  - diuturnitas* as, 27
  - as element of CIL, 111–12
  - evidence gathering, 31–32, 35
  - as fact element of CIL, 4
  - in ICJ, 111–12
  - identification of CIL and, 215–16
  - ILC on, 215–16, 254–55
  - induction and, 5
  - investigation of, 5, 6
  - as objective element of CIL, refutation of, 3, 4, 22
  - opinio juris*, as based in, 3–4, 16
  - in PCIJ, 111–12
  - presumption of, 121
  - treaties and, 270
- state responsibility
  - applicability versus application of rule, 37
  - ARSIWA and. *See* Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ARSIWA))
  - Draft Articles on State Responsibility (ILC), 57–58, 124–25
  - ICTY and, 278–79

- ILC on, 37
- systemic interpretation and, 278–79, 280
- statistical mechanics, entropy in, 92–93
- Stockholm Declaration, 58
- substantive activism, 194–95
- Sudan, head-of-state immunity and, 94
- Switzerland, practical concordance in, 293
- Syria, air strikes in, 86–88
- system dynamics, 83
- systemic contextualisation, 212–14
- systemic interpretation
  - in CJEU, 159, 164–65
  - crimes against humanity and, 272–73
  - ECtHR and, 267–68
  - gap-filling and, 266–67, 268, 280
  - general principles of law and, 240, 258–59, 260, 275–78, 280
  - ICJ and, 266
  - ICTY and, 272
  - normative conflict, resolving, 267–68, 280
  - ordinary meaning of words and, 265–66, 268, 280
  - state responsibility and, 278–79, 280
  - systemic argument and, 266, 268, 280
  - torture and, 272
  - treaties and, 268–74, 280
  - VCLT and, 265–68, 280
- systems theory, 83
- Szpunar, Maciej, 157
- Talmon, Stefan, 5, 69, 108, 113, 116, 127, 129
- Tanaka, Kotaro, 34–35, 218
- Tarski, Alfred, 47
- Tassinis, Orfeas Chasapis., 65, 177–78
- teleological interpretation, 97, 159, 164–65, 218
- telos*, 20–21
- Templeman, Lord Sydney, 110
- text
  - identification of CIL, textual evidence, 31–32
  - interpretation of, 18–19, 21
  - Negotiated Law model of CIL, textual interpretation and, 66
  - theoretical framework, law as, 9
  - theoretical reasoning
    - challenging, 310
    - practical reasoning versus, 309–11
  - thermodynamics, entropy in, 90–91
  - Thirlway, Hugh, 194–95
  - Tladi, Dire, 219–20
  - Tokyo Charter, 289–90
  - torture
    - CIL and, 25
    - Geneva Conventions and, 223
    - ICJ on, 223
    - ICTY on, 222, 224
    - jus cogens*, prohibition as, 214, 219–20, 222–24
    - state immunity from jurisdiction and, 221–22
    - systemic interpretation and, 272
  - treaties
    - customary rules, interpretation by reference to treaties, 268–74, 280
    - declaratory treaties, 269
    - elements of treaty interpretation, interpretation of CIL and, 270
    - ICJ on, 270–72, 273
    - ICTY on, 273–74
    - interpretation generally, 263–64
    - Legislated Law model of CIL and, 58
    - obligation not to defeat object and purpose of, 178–80, 182
    - provisions, interpretation of CIL and, 270
    - as running parallel with custom, 269–71
    - self-defence and, 270–71
    - state practice and, 270
    - systemic interpretation and, 268–74, 280
    - territorial scope of, 180–81, 182
    - travaux préparatoires*, 269
    - ‘treaty focus’ of interpretation, 248
    - VCLT. *See* Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT)
  - Treaty on European Union (TEU), 156
  - ‘triangular reasoning’, 116–17
  - Trindade, Antônio Augusto Cançado, 277
  - Truman, Harry, 313–14

- Türmen, Riza, 227  
 two-level complexity, 88  
 Tzekvelos, Vassilis, 265
- uncertainty  
   content of rules, regarding, 77  
   identification of CIL, regarding, 77  
   in indispensable parties  
     principle, 210  
   unpredictability and, 78
- United Kingdom, humanitarian  
   intervention and, 86–88
- United Nations  
   ARSIWA. *See* Articles on  
     Responsibility of States for  
     Internationally Wrongful Acts  
     (ARSIWA))  
   Charter, 58, 244, 271  
   Commission on International Trade  
     Law (UNCITRAL), 152, 318–19  
   Conference on Trade and  
     Development (UNCTAD),  
     318–19  
   Convention on the Law of the Sea  
     (UNCLOS), 114  
   Declaration on Friendly  
     Relations, 58  
   General Assembly resolutions, 123–24  
   Human Rights Committee, 60–61,  
     216–17, 226  
   Resolution on Principles of  
     International Law Concerning  
     Friendly Relations and Co-  
     operation among States, 173  
   Security Council, 282  
   Universal Declaration of Human  
     Rights, 223, 229  
   universal inference, 128  
   usage, *opinio juris* distinguished, 14–16  
   *uti possidetis*, 115, 319
- vaccine donation, 29, 48–49
- Van den Wyngaert, Christine, 116,  
 123
- Verdross, Alfred, 266
- Vienna Convention on the Law of  
 Treaties (VCLT)  
   ‘any relevant rules of international  
   law’, 263, 265  
   capacity to bind states under, 124–25  
   CJEU and, 161, 182  
   coherence and, 301  
   head-of-state immunity and, 285  
   interpretation and, 67–68, 97, 248,  
     252–53  
   *jus cogens* and, 216  
   Legislated Law model of CIL and,  
     57–58, 68  
   obligation not to defeat object and  
     purpose of treaties and,  
     178–79, 182  
   provisional measures and, 225  
   rules of interpretation and, 252,  
     255–56  
   systemic interpretation and,  
     265–68, 280  
   territorial scope of treaties and, 180,  
     181, 182
- war crimes, 95–97
- Washington Convention, 140–41
- Wathelet, Melchior, 209
- Westerman, Pauline, 30
- Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 40, 41–42
- World Bank, 144
- World Trade Organization (WTO)  
   Appellate Body, 60–61, 73–74  
   Dispute Settlement Understanding  
     (DSU), 73  
   indispensable parties principle  
     in, 206