stage when foreign powers, principally Britain, France, and Germany, appropriated, physically and intellectually, the Iraqi past, as now attested by the museum collections of those nations; a national phase when Britain and Iraq struggled over the control of Iraq's heritage; and a third phase, from 1941 (and therefore not included within the book's remit), when Iraq assumed more or less complete control over its own archaeology. Chapter 1 provides a very readable account of nineteenth-century excavations in Iraq by British, French, and German expeditions, preceded by a broadranging survey of Mesopotamia in European art and thought. Chapter 2 considers the First World War and the years up to 1921, with the intimate engagement of Britain at a formative time in Iraq's complex history. Not surprisingly, Gertrude Bell features prominently here and is subtly and thoughtfully portrayed in the author's words and in quotes from her own writings. The following three chapters bring the story up to 1941, dealing at length with the political scene of the 1920s and the role of archaeology and Iraq's rich heritage within that scene, while the conclusions include brief consideration of the years from 1941 up to 2003.

The volume forms a first-rate case study of how archaeology and politics intersect in a host of complex and historically contingent ways over decades of interaction. Anyone interested in the political situation of archaeology will read the book with great benefit and interest. To bring the story right up to date, there is a host of more recent publications concerning the events of 2003 and surrounding issues, but any understanding of what happened in 2003 will be greatly augmented by reading this rich account. Finally, this book is full of statements that continue to echo down the decades with a portentous resonance. To quote only one such, from a letter to Gertrude Bell from John Van Ess in 1919: 'You are flying in the face of four millenniums of history if you try to draw a line around Iraq and call it a political entity!' (p. 93). All who have been involved, and continue to be involved, in any regard, with the Iraq of today will read this volume with profit as well as great sadness.

> Roger Matthews Institute of Archaeology University College 31–34 Gordon Square London WC1H 0PY

Email: roger.matthews@ucl.ac.uk

*Britannia: the Creation of a Roman Province,* by John Creighton, 2006. London: Routledge; ISBN 0-415-33313-X hardback £55 & US\$97; xii+180 pp., 29 figs.

## Andrew Gardner

The narrative of the Roman conquest of Britain is, in the popular consciousness, relatively unproblematic. Caesar came and saw, while Claudius conquered. In the volume which was the standard work on Roman Britain for a generation, Sheppard Frere's Britannia (1987), the gaps in the story of this period were merely matters of detail. John Creighton's new book, named in explicit homage to Frere's, challenges this established story in a number of fundamental ways. It succeeds quite brilliantly in providing a thoughtprovoking and refreshing picture of social continuity and change across the late Iron Age and early Roman periods, elegantly combining detailed case studies with theoretical discussion. To be sure, recent debates over the landing-site of the Claudian invasion have begun to unpick the traditional account. Nonetheless, Creighton's book goes well beyond these to question our whole framework for understanding the relationships between the societies of Britain — especially their rulers — and Rome, and the impact these had on urban development in the province. It succeeds in its central aim of putting the major military landings of AD 43 into a much broader context of imperialism involving both Roman and indigenous actors.

The book comprises seven chapters, framed by an Introduction and Conclusion. In charting the shifting power relations either side of the Claudian invasion date of AD 43, two major themes are pursued. These are the role of 'friendly kings' in the transition to Roman rule, and the diverse origins of the early Roman towns in Britain. The Introduction primarily focuses on previous scholarship concerning this period, and succinctly deals with a number of quite long-term trends, extending back into the Middle Ages. Chapters One to Three then address different aspects of client kingship, using comparative material from other parts of the Roman world to illuminate evidence from Britain, where this phenomenon seems to have been established between the times of Caesar and Claudius, particularly in the south and east. The ways in which client dynasties interacted with Rome, and the manifestations of this interaction in material symbols and military support are discussed, and some exciting interpretations put forward. The idea that kings who were supported or even implanted by

 $\label{eq:caj_triangle} CAJ\,17:1,116-17 \qquad \textcircled{o}\,2007\,\,\text{McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research} \\ \text{doi:}10.1017/S095977430700011X} \quad \text{Printed in the United Kingdom}.$ 

Rome were beginning to look like governors in their personal appearance is notable, as is the suggestion that Roman — or Roman-style — troops were present in Britain before AD 43. The latter notion in particular will doubtless prove controversial, but is undeniably worth consideration.

The second half of the book begins with almost a second introduction (Ch. 4), discussing a range of ways of thinking about Roman towns. This encompasses trends in Romano-British scholarship and a more diverse variety of ideas from the wider Roman world and from social theory. It sets the scene for an alternative typology of the towns of Roman Britain to that traditionally deployed, based firmly in the situated experience of living in them rather than what we know (or assume) about their legal status. Thus London (Ch. 5), towns built on former fortress-sites (Ch. 6) and towns based on the extensive late Iron Age settlements known as oppida (Ch. 7) are considered in terms of the social influences governing the dispositions of structures, and the extent to which these could be transformed by the inhabitants. London's cosmopolitan population was unusual in Britain, and can be compared more directly than any other Romano-British town with places like Pompeii, in which a competitive elite resided and participated in quite classical rituals of display. The military colonies, and other towns which developed from fortresses, are interpreted as embodying the rather more regulated hierarchies prevalent within the military community. By contrast, the townscapes of a number of the urban centres which developed from oppida seem to have been constructed around monuments preserving the memory of the indigenous kings who had been allied to Rome. Again, there are specific suggestions here concerning early town phases which are likely to provoke debate, but the arguments are well presented and introduce some much needed dynamism and diversity to the interpretation of Roman urbanism in Britain. Both in Chapter Seven and the Conclusion, this strand is folded back into the earlier discussion of client kingship.

Overall, the book is a vibrant synthesis of theory and data, linked at numerous points to previous trends in the discipline of Romano-British studies. Detailed analyses of specific structural sequences are effectively integrated with approaches derived primarily from Giddens (and to a lesser extent Bourdieu), and the limitations of some of the arguments put forward are openly acknowledged. Points of criticism are few but some topics do seem to fall between the cracks defining the identities which are the author's primary focus. Thus Roman, tribal, elite, urban, military and

civilian categories all receive thorough treatment, while rural and gender identities do not. There is also the inevitable problem that, in trying to correct one set of imbalances in earlier accounts, others are introduced. Thus cultural resistance is rather lacking from the picture of early Roman Britain at which we arrive by the end of the volume. The conflicted decisions of powerful individuals are emphasized, but Roman-sponsored regime change generally comes across as a positive force, which it may not have been perceived as by all members of society. Nonetheless, this is a book to be much admired for presenting a wide range of fresh and ambitious interpretations and developing a sophisticated structurationist account of early Roman Britain in a clear and fluent fashion. Many of its suggestions, both in detail and in general, are likely to provoke controversy within Romano-British archaeology but, at the fundamental level that this book addresses, that can only be a good thing.

> Andrew Gardner Institute of Archaeology University College 31–34 Gordon Square London WC1H 0PY UK

Email: andrew.gardner@ucl.ac.uk

## Reference

Frere, S., 1987. Britannia: a History of Roman Britain. 3rd edition. London: Pimlico.

The Emergence of Culture: the Evolution of a Uniquely Human Way of Life, by Philip G. Chase, 2006. New York (NY): Springer; ISBN13 978-0387-30512-7 hardback £36.72 & US\$55.96; x+217 pp., 10 figs., 2 tables

## Lynne A. Schepartz

This is a small provocative volume about a very big topic — how humans are fundamentally unique in the way culture is generated, and how the evolution of this adaptation occurred. Rather than presenting the usual run-down of the controversial and tantalizing evidence for human-like behaviours in the past, Chase provides readers with a thorough exposition of a view of culture that then serves as the basis for an evalua-

CAJ 17:1, 117–19 © 2007 McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research doi:10.1017/S0959774307000121 Printed in the United Kingdom.