ORIGINAL RESEARCH An Analysis of Mass Casualty Incidents in the

Setting of Mass Gatherings and Special Events

Sheila A. Turris, RN, PhD; Adam Lund, MD, MED, FRCPC; and Ronald R. Bowles, PhD

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Mass gatherings (MGs) and special events typically involve large numbers of people in unfamiliar settings, potentially creating unpredictable situations. To assess the information available to guide emergency services and onsite medical teams in planning and preparing for potential mass casualty incidents (MCIs), we analyzed the literature for the past 30 years.

Methods: A search of the literature for MCIs at MGs from 1982 to 2012 was conducted and analyzed. **Results:** Of the 290 MCIs included in this study, the most frequently reported mechanism of injury involved the movement of people under crowded conditions (162; 55.9%), followed by special hazards (eg, airplane crashes, pyrotechnic displays, car crashes, boat collisions: 57; 19.6%), structural failures (eg, building code violations, balcony collapses: 38; 13.1%), deliberate events (26; 9%), and toxic exposures (7; 2.4%). Incidents occurred in Asia (71; 24%), Europe (69; 24%), Africa (48; 17%), North America (48; 27%), South America (27; 9%), the Middle East (25; 9%), and Australasia (2; 1%). A minimum of 12877 deaths and 27 184 injuries resulted.

Conclusions: Based on our findings, we recommend that a centralized database be created. With this database, researchers can further develop evidence to guide prevention efforts and mitigate the effects of MCIs during MGs. (*Disaster Med Public Health Preparedness*. 2014;8:143-149) **Keywords:** mass gatherings, mass casualty incidents, disaster planning

Ass gatherings (MGs) and special events typically involve large numbers of people in unfamiliar settings, and are commonly related to sports, arts, political, religious, or other community events. Special events can create situations that are highly unpredictable and volatile, as the infrastructure for large crowds is often temporary, attendees are unfamiliar with their environment, and the location or venue may not be designed for the numbers of people attracted to the event.¹ Accordingly, such events require special planning to address the increased incidence of illness and injury among those attending and mitigate the impact on local health service levels in the host community.

Mass casualty incidents (MCIs) occur in the context of special events and present unique challenges. Lund and colleagues have suggested that many aspects of providing medical support for MGs overlap with the skill set and expertise required to plan and implement a successful medical response to a natural disaster, terrorist incident, or other form of disaster.¹ World news sources have reported examples of MCIs in the context of MGs such as fires during music concerts, stage collapses during music festivals, and deaths due to human stampedes. The recent bombing at the finish line of the 2013 Boston, Massachusetts, marathon² underlines the importance of advancing our knowledge regarding MGs and MCIs.

Although the literature related to MCIs is substantial and exploring MG medicine is growing, little information is available to guide emergency services and onsite medical teams in planning and preparing for potential MCIs at MGs. We believe that this study represents a first step in addressing this gap in the literature. The purposes of this study are to document and analyze the types of MCIs that have occurred in the context of MGs in the past 30 years.

Literature Review

A review of the literature found 1 systematic review of MCIs in the setting of MGs. Soomaroo and Murray reviewed 21 published cases regarding crowd-related disasters³ and developed 4 categories related to event success: crowd control, fire safety, emergency preparedness, and emergency response. The authors acknowledged the difficulty of completing a systematic review with so few published reports in the peer-reviewed literature.

Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness

Mass Casualty Incidents at Mass Gatherings and Events

METHODS

In the context of special events, this study presents an analysis of worldwide MCIs reported in the English-language academic and gray literature. The primary research question for this review was concerned with what is currently known about MCIs in the setting of MGs. Specifically, we focused on understanding the numbers and types of MCIs having occurred in MGs or special events: (1) sources, quality, and limitations of the evidence available for analysis; ways to classify and categorize MCIs inductively for use by researchers and event planners; and (3) common themes regarding MCIs at MGs that might guide prevention and mitigation efforts.

We conducted a search of English language articles published in academic and mainstream media between 1982 and 2012. An iterative search strategy began with the review of selected online databases, including OVID, PubMed, EBSCO, MED-LINE, CINAHL, Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery Reference Center, and Google Scholar (Table 1).

As noted by Soomaroo and Murray,³ the academic literature on MCIs in MGs is limited. Hsieh and coworkers have indicated that nontraditional sources must be used to develop an understanding of the epidemiology of MCIs.⁴ Thus, the search was expanded to include selected Internet-based gray literature.

Evidence about the burden of disease (ie, measurement used to assess and compare the relative impact of different diseases and injuries on populations) would allow quantification of the injury problem and the exploration of causative factors. In addition, such data would support the development of preventive measures.⁵ The current project analyzed the best evidence available at the time.

The initial search terms focused on types of MGs. However, as cases were identified and examined, the search terms were expanded to include types of incidents that were causing injuries during the MGs (Table 2).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Cases were selected for review if they (1) described an MCI in the setting of a special event (eg, sporting events, festivals,

TABLE

Health Care Databases and Other Sources Searched

Health Care Databases

OVID MEDLINE

PubMed and CINAHL EBSCO Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery Reference Center and Other Sources BBC World/CNN *New York Times/Herald Tribune/ The Telegraph* Wikipedia/Google/Google Scholar Academic search complete Disaster medicine textbooks

English Language News Services

parades, fireworks displays, nightclub special performances, political rallies, and religious gatherings; (2) resulted in injury or death of 10 or more people (a threshold based on the assumption that most urban emergency departments could manage fewer than 10 injured people at any given time, depending on the severity of the injuries); (3) occurred between 1982 and 2012; and (4) were published in English.

Reports were excluded if participation in the special event itself was the cause of the injuries or illnesses (eg, individuals who sought care while running a marathon, no matter how high the presenting numbers, did not constitute an MCI for the purposes of this study). A secondary incident had to have taken place in the context of an MG (eg, individuals who sought care for running related injuries while participating in a marathon, no matter how high the presenting numbers, did not constitute a MCI for the purposes of this study). Also, reports were excluded if the MCI was not associated with a special event but took place in a location or setting where large numbers of people routinely are together (eg, airports, schools, factories, prisons).

Data Extraction

Each case was entered into a computer spreadsheet (Excel) with a specific focus on the variables of interest (Table 3). Classification and categories for MCIs were identified inductively, as the data were collected and analyzed. MCIs were then summarized using descriptive statistics.

Research Rigor

Data extraction criteria were generated by 2 of the authors (S.T. and A.L.) based on (1) research questions, (2) expert opinion as presented in MCI reports, and (3) decades of experience in the field of MG health and special events. To prevent data collection "drift" and reduce internal bias,⁶ the authors submitted the study plan (ie, literature search

TABLE 2

Search Terms Used				
Types of Mass Gatherings	Types of Mass Casualty Incidents			
Mass gatherings Special events	Accidents Deaths/fatalities			
Mass casualty incidents	Injuries/casualties			
Sporting events	Human stampedes/riots			
Fireworks	Explosions/bombings/suicide bombings			
Parades	Gunman/shootings			
Concerts	Crush injuries			
Musical events	Fires/smoke inhalation			
Festivals	Burns/electrocution			
Religious festivals/events/ pilgrimages	Trampling/suffocation/traumatic asphyxia			
State funerals/weddings				
Air shows/car races	Stage/barrier/tent collapses			
Charity events/trade shows Animal shows	Crashes (airplane, car, bus, boat, train) Bleacher/bridge collapses			
Political events/protests/rallies				

TABLE 3

Data Extracted for Manuscript and Mainstream Media Review

Data Noted	Specific Features
Demographic	
	What – name of the event When – date of the mass casualty incident (MCI) Where – location of MCI (continent/country/state/ province/district/city)
MCI	
	Setting of MCI (eg, primarily bounded or unbounded)
	Type of mass gathering at which the MCI occurred Triggering event and/or contributing factors (if available)
Outcomes	
	No. of casualties reported No. of fatalities reported
Other	
	Source of citation: type of report (mainstream media vs academic) Link to source (if available) Alternate source

parameters, variables of interest, data extraction spreadsheet) for internal expert review by an MG medicine research team (R.B., and others) at the Justice Institute of British Columbia before starting the study. When questions arose regarding inclusion or exclusion of data, issues were taken to this group for clarification and consensus.

Ethics approval was not required, as data were collected from publicly available reports and publications.

RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics

A total of 290 cases met the study criteria. Full details are available on request (*http://www.ubcmgm.ca*). The data available in the current literature on these cases have been included here. Of 290 cases, 63 (22%) were from academic literature; the remaining 257 cases (78%) were drawn from other sources.

Demographic Data

Incidents were distributed across 7 geographic areas: Asia (71; 24%), Europe (69; 24%), Africa (48; 17%), North America (48; 27%), South America (27; 9%), the Middle East (25; 9%), and Australasia (2; 1%) (Table 4).

Event/Incident Data

According to the setting, MGs were classified into 1 of 4 categories based on a taxonomy developed by the authors. The majority of cases were related to sports (100; 35%), followed by arts (87; 30%), and religious or political (72; 25%) events. The remaining cases (31; 10%) were categorized as miscellaneous. The majority of events had no fixed physical boundaries (unbounded: 153; 53% vs bounded: 136; 47%).

T.	Δ	R	L.	F.	Δ
		•	l.	Let	

Locations and Characteristics of Mass Gathering Events						
Region	Incidents	Bounded	Unbounded	Dead	Injured	
Africa	48	27	21	728	4246	
Asia	71	20	51	4785	5432	
Australasia	2	1	1	85	90	
Europe	69	33	36	1519	8454	
Middle East	25	9	16	4688	3597	
North America	48	24	24	313	2550	
South America	27	23	4	759	2815	
Totals	290	136	153	12877	27 184	

The initial categorization of the MCIs occurring at special events was based on the primary reason or cause for the majority of casualties or deaths. The most frequently reported mechanism of injury involved the movement of people under crowded conditions (162; 55.9%) followed by special hazards (eg, airplane crashes, pyrotechnic displays, car crashes, boat collisions; 57; 19.6%), structural failures (eg, building code violations, balcony collapses; 38; 13.1%), deliberate events (26; 9%), and toxic exposures (7; 2.4%). Table 5 shows the conceptual classification and associated categories of MCIs in this study.

Outcomes Data

Outcomes data were difficult to determine. A report published on the day of an MCI would provide a fatality count; however, different figures were sometimes published in the weeks and months thereafter. Accordingly, the most conservative figures were inserted for the spreadsheet (eg, several injured was interpreted as 3, dozens of injured as 24, scores of injured as 40, and hundreds as 200). This approach was similar to that used by Hsieh and colleagues in their review of human stampedes.⁴ Given these factors, the figures reported herein should be interpreted as estimates. Based on our findings, in the 290 cases reviewed 12 877 people died and 27 184 were injured.

DISCUSSION

Underdeveloped Knowledge Base

Several themes emerged in the process of completing this review. One of these concerns was the paucity of information. Because MCIs do not occur every time large numbers of people gather, we intended to evaluate the differences between MGs with and without MCIs. Unfortunately, the overall lack of detail in the majority of available reports provided little knowledge about the root causes of MCIs. Similarly, injury patterns that might be expected during a human stampede, fire, or a stage collapse were not always well described. In contrast, injuries patterns were well described within the deliberate events literature (ie, blast injuries in open and closed spaces).⁷

 TABLE 5

Categories and Classifications of Mass Casualty Incidents (MCIs)				
Categories of MCIs	Frequency	Specific Type of MCI	Most Common Settings	Primary Mechanism of Injury
Thermal, mechanical, electrical Mechanical/electrical	(n = 43) (n = 14)	Fires, electrical injuries Motorized vehicle crashes	Nightclubs, fireworks shows Airshows, transportation hubs	Burns, electrocution, smoke inhalation Trauma including burns, crush injuries, and others
Projectile/explosives Toxic exposure	(n = 26) (n = 7)	Deliberate events Concerts	Religious and political events Respiratory depression, cardiac arrest, temperature dysregulation	Gun-related or bomb-related injuries
Crowd management/control	(n = 162)	Human stampedes/ riots	Religious or sporting events	Traumatic asphyxiation, crush, and trampling injuries
Structural issues	(n = 38)	Structural collapse	Religious or sporting events	Falls, crush injuries, drowning

MCIs occur in MGs, and many may be preventable; however, the science behind such prevention efforts is not well developed. In addition, few descriptions detail onsite medical teams and/or operational responses, which make it impossible to determine the impact of such teams on patient outcomes. Further growth of knowledge will depend on the rigorous collection of data.

Assuming that good data have been collected about a specific incident, opportunities exist to develop further the knowledge base underlying MCIs. Table 5 uses a rudimentary framework to categorize and describe MCIs. In terms of the published literature, this framework contributes to the incorporation of broad categories regarding the mechanism of injury underpinning MCIs at MGs. For clinicians and medical directors, these categories may provide a way of thinking about, and anticipating, the types of MCIs that may occur in the context of a specific event. An analysis of this type could, at minimum, shape the event's communication plan and staff orientation, as well as inform equipment and supply requests. For researchers, a robust framework for categorization will potentially support the comparison of incidents according to their root causes.

Failures of Crowd Control and Crowd Management

Crowd-related MCIs such as human stampedes were the most commonly reported type of MCI. Failures of crowd management (eg, a proactive approach of planning for safe events by addressing access and egress, number of attendees admitted) and crowd control (eg, a reactive approach of addressing an unplanned incident) contributed to crowd-related injuries and fatalities. In the current study, the majority of MCIs were caused by crowd movement, which resulted in injuries and deaths due to trampling and/or traumatic asphyxiation.

As Ngai et al reported, little academic attention has been directed toward understanding human stampedes; what is known comes primarily from anecdotal reports.⁸ The authors identified 2 main crowd motivations: craze (ie, people rush toward something perceived to be gratifying such as free merchandise at a store opening) or fear (ie, people rush away

from something perceived to be threatening such as the sound of gunfire). In addition to crowd motivation, crowd dynamics play a role. For example, human stampedes sometimes occur in response to flow disruptions caused by people falling at the front of a crowd or in a high traffic area of an event, creating a disruption of crowd movement. The disruption, adding to crowding, can lead to panic, which worsens the situation and leads to deaths from traumatic asphyxiation.⁹

Due to the incidence of human stampedes, the most dangerous events to attend were observed to be sporting events and religious celebrations. Multiple contributing factors were found at sporting events. Team rivalry was identified as a contributing feature in the majority of reports describing MCIs at sporting events; altercations between fans of rival teams were regularly reported in the popular media. Football hooliganism has been a well-recognized phenomenon, and much work being done in this area has focused on understanding the social context of sport-related riots.¹⁰⁻²⁰

Creative efforts to reduce the incidence of these events were documented. For example, in Turkey, on September 21, 2011, an estimated 41 000 women and children attended a football (soccer) match. The tickets for this event were free and no men were allowed to attend the match owing to unruly behavior by male fans during previous matches. No violent incidents were reported.²¹ The Danish also have developed a countercultural approach to hooliganism, which they term roliganism. The movement promotes good humor and positive social behavior at football matches, positing that alcohol intoxication does not have to lead to violence and riots.¹⁶ Exploration of the phenomenon of roliganism in Denmark has led to much international research into crowd behavior during football matches.¹⁴⁻²⁰

In addition to social issues, systemic issues have been identified as root causes of sports-related riots and stampedes. For example, ticket sales in Africa are frequently not controlled, so event planners do not know how many people are in the stadium or if seating capacity has been exceeded. Moreover, tickets are not sold in advance of the event,

as theft is a high risk; rather, they are sold on the morning of the event, which leads to long waiting lines before a scheduled event.²² In addition, seats in African football (soccer) stadiums often have no numbers. If someone leaves a seat, that place is not saved. Consequently, using a bathroom and obtaining food or beverages are difficult, which possibly contributes to a crowd, which has waited for hours in the sun for tickets, to become restless and hungry. Accordingly, a focus on planning access, geography, and architecture of an event (eg, selling tickets in advance to numbered seats; removing ticket booths from the sightline of those lining up for tickets; and controlling the amount of alcohol consumed on site) may reduce the occurrence of crowd-related MCIs.

Findings in the current study also found that religious and political events were a common setting for MCIs related to crowd dynamics. Stampedes that occurred during charity events such as Zakat distributions characterized a subset within these data. Zakat is a religious directive within Islamic religions that requires wealthy Muslims to tithe 2.5% of their annual savings to the poor, either as goods or cash.²³ During Zakat distributions, several stampedes were reported of crowds of people striving to obtain these limited resources.

In religious and political special events, the trigger for a human stampede was typically an individual falling at the front of the crowd, restricting the movement of people in a given direction. Because the religious events were well attended, overcrowding was a contributing factor. The second most commonly associated trigger in these settings was rumor. In the close quarters of a crowd, a rumor of suicide bombers created panic that altered the crowd dynamic and caused people to move quickly and in a disorderly fashion.

Failure of Structures and Architectural Features

In this study, a number of structural failures caused MCIs and were broadly conceptualized as permanent or temporary failures. Permanent failures were the result of engineering issues, and typical triggers included weather conditions (eg, stage collapse due to high winds) or overcapacity (eg, bridge collapse due to exceeding weight allowance) and were therefore to some extent predictable and preventable (eg, through engineering solutions and/or crowd management strategies). Temporary failures were the result of crowd behavior (eg, pushing against barricades) and were less preventable.

Extreme Exposure to Thermal Forces

Fires were a common cause of MCIs during MGs. Mahoney et al noted that outdoor fire disasters tend to have low onscene mortality and that victims presented with significant burns on body surface areas. In contrast, indoor fires tended toward high on-scene mortality rates due to smoke inhalation.²⁴ In this study, we found that nonlicensed venues, overcrowding, and hazardous pyrotechnic displays were contributing factors in the majority of cases. Fires were also triggers for human stampedes that took lives.

A contributing factor for the substantial morbidity and mortality rates were actions taken by event planners such as blocking fire exits (ie, to prevent nonticketed patrons from entering), overselling tickets, and using nonlicensed venues. These and similar actions have contributed to thousands of injuries and fatalities in nightclubs during the past 3 decades.³ In the current study, an added dimension emerged-the not infrequent incidence of fireworks-related mishaps in indoor and in large outdoor events. Outdoors, the unintentional ignition of all fireworks simultaneously produced by wayward rockets^{25,26} occurred most recently in Madrid, Spain, when fireworks accidentally entered a church bell tower and ignited all of the fireworks stored inside the church, injuring 28 people.²⁵ Also, low-flying wayward rockets and other fireworks explosions have caused large-scale injuries to crowds.²⁶⁻²⁹ Indoors, fires causes by wayward rockets or indoor pyrotechnic displays have led to fatalities due to smoke inhalation and stampede-related injuries.³⁰⁻³²

Extreme Weather Conditions

Climate instability served as a trigger for several MCIs including a hailstorm in a Nepal sports stadium that created the ideal conditions for a human stampede, yachts capsizing during a sailing event in Australia, numerous outdoor stage collapses, and lightning strikes causing electrical fires. Many additional examples of weather-related incidents did not meet our inclusion criteria (eg, several stage collapses that occurred in the 2012 event season were excluded for insufficient numbers of casualties and/or fatalities).

Recommendations

Based on the findings and analysis of this study, the following recommendations are included to increase the evidence base and support safer mass gatherings.

- Along with other members of the MG research community, we support the development and maintenance of a central database for MCI data.³³⁻³⁵ A standardized reporting format, accomplished via a minimum dataset detailing variables of interest will be essential moving forward.
- Because understanding crowd dynamics is essential to prevention and mitigation efforts in the field of MG health, MG researchers should follow and make contributions to the growing body of literature regarding crowd dynamics.
- In addition to the collection of rigorous data on the epidemiology of MCIs, the development of a robust classification system for causes of MCIs would make the data useful to policy makers and others responsible for public safety and building standards.
- Given the pronounced scarcity of academic reports of MCIs, MG researchers should undertake, whenever

possible, to publish their findings in the field, thereby strengthening partnerships with disaster response teams, local emergency departments, and prehospital care providers to facilitate this work. In this way, MG researchers will be well positioned to contribute to specific situations requiring a disaster response.

Limitations

The overall accuracy of data in reports in the popular media was suspect as numbers of deaths and injuries may have been rounded to the nearest 10 (ie, 20, 30, 40, \geq 100 injuries). In reports that included some level of detail, estimates regarding the number of injured were from sources among first responders at the scene. This lack of precision was also identified by Ngai and colleagues⁸ in their review of human stampedes and by numerous other researchers in the field. The quality of the reports did not always permit a determination of the severity of the injuries (eg, hospital treatment and/or admission was required or the injured were treated at the scene and able to return home or to work).

These numbers were also affected by the "second wave" phenomenon described in the disaster literature, which represents those who present for treatment or who die days or weeks later as a result of injuries sustained.³⁶ In multiple cases (n = 27), the number of fatalities was discussed without corresponding reports of injured, in spite of a mechanism of injury severe enough to cause fatalities. In addition, the number of MCIs in the setting of MGs was likely grossly underestimated because only reports written in English were reviewed.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study, we believe, contribute to the understanding of the effects of MGs on local communities. MCIs occur at MGs and should not be considered rare or unpredictable events. Based on a review of the published and gray literature from 1982 to 2012, MCIs at MGs were classified and categorized. Using this approach triggers and contributing factors to these events could be identified. Reviewing previous incidents at similar types of events can inform event planners about the potential risks of an MCI most likely to occur in the future and allow effective emergency

Our review of the literature emphasized how limited and lacking in detail is the existing academic literature on MCIs at MGs. This lack of evidence was similarly noted by Barillo and Wolf in their literature review of major burn disasters,³⁷ by Hsieh and colleagues in their review of human stampedes,⁴ and by Soomaroo and Murray in their review of MCIs at MGs.³ This current state may be due to the unexpected nature of MCIs, for which the focus is less on prospective data collection and more on life-saving measures and damage containment during and after the event. Perhaps a researcher

should be part of every disaster team to promote the recording and publication of data. In this way, what is learned from each event reaches beyond our individual professions to greater rescue and health care communities. Welling and colleagues have noted that templates for disaster reporting exist but are underutilized.^{34,35,38} A focus on rigorous reporting in the health care literature is urgently required for the advancement of knowledge and to support policy changes aimed at prevention of MCIs at MGs.

About the Authors

From the School of Nursing (Dr Turris) and Department of Emergency Medicine (Dr Lund), University of British Columbia, Vancouver; School of Nursing (Dr Turris), University of Victoria, Victoria; and Centre for Applied Research, Office of Applied Research and Graduate Studies, Justice Institute of British Columbia (Dr Bowles), New Westminster, British Columbia, Canada.

Correspondence and reprint requests to Sheila A. Turris, PhD, Central Health Center, Floor 6, 132 W Esplanade, North Vancouver, British Columbia V7M 1A2, Canada (e-mail: sheila@ubcmgm.ca)

Acknowledgment

Kerrie Lewis and Dr. Greg Anderson, PhD, served as members of the expert panel for this study; Chris Dearing, Melissa Erasmus, Kate Maki, and Alana Miles contributed to an early version of this project, doing an initial search on the web to locate incidents and categories of incidents involving mass casualties and Pat Boileau and Marjorie Jardine were the reference librarians for this project.

REFERENCES

- Lund A, Gutman SJ, Turris SA. Mass gathering medicine: a practical means of enhancing disaster preparedness in Canada. CJEM. 2011;13(4):231-236.
- 2. Jangi S. Under the medical tent at the Boston Marathon. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(21):1953-1955.
- 3. Soomaroo L, Murray V. Disasters at mass gatherings: lessons from history. PLOS Curr. 2012;4:1-12.
- Hsieh YH, Ngai KM, Burkle Jr FM, Hsu EB. Epidemiological characteristics of human stampedes. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* 2009;3(4):217-223.
- 5. Polinder S, Haagsma JA, Lyons RA, et al. Measuring the population burden of fatal and nonfatal injury. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2012;34:17-31.
- Stratton SJ. Use of structured observational methods in disaster research: "recurrent medical response problems in five recent disasters in the Netherlands". *Prehosp Dis Med.* 2010;25(2):137-138.
- Koshashvili Y, Loebenberg MI, Lin G, et al. Medical consequences of suicide bombing mass casualty incidents: the impact of explosion setting on injury patterns. *Injury*. 2009;40:698-702.
- Ngai KM, Burkle FM, Hsu A, Hsu EB. Human stampedes: a systematic review of historical and peer-reviewed sources. *Disaster Med Pub Health Prep.* 2009;3(4):191-195.
- Ripley A. The Unthinkable: Who Survives When Disaster Strikes—and Why. New York, New York: Crown Publishers; 2008, chap 6, pp 141-162.
- Armstrong G. Hooligans: Know the Score. Oxford, England: Berg Publishers; 2003.
- 11. Dunning E. Toward a sociological understanding of football hooliganism as a world phenomenon. *Eur J Criminal Policy Res.* 2000;8:141-162.
- 12. Frosdick S, March P. Football Hooliganism. Gloucester, United Kingdom: Willan Publishing; 2005.
- Giulianotti R. Participant observation and research into football hooliganism: reflections on the problems of entrée and everyday risks. *Sociol Sport J.* 1995;12(11):1-20.

Mass Casualty Incidents at Mass Gatherings and Events

- Giulianotti R. Football and the politics of carnival: an ethnographic study of Scottish fans in Sweden. Int Rev Sociol Sport. 2013;48(5):191-220.
- Marsh P, Fox K, Carnibella G, McCann J, Marsh J. Football Violence and Hooliganism in Europe. Oxford, England: Social Issues Research Center, The Amsterdam Group; 1996. http://www.sirc.org/publik/football_violence. html. Accessed May 14, 2013.
- Peiterson B. Supporter culture in Denmark: the legacy of the 'world's best supporters'. Soccer Soc. 2009;10(3-4):374-385.
- 17. Stott C, Adang O. Understanding and Managing Risk: Policing Football Matches. Slagelse, Denmark: Bavnekbanke Press; 1995, pp 7-14.
- Stott C, Livingstone A, Hoggit J. Policing football crowds in England and Wales: a model of 'good practice'. *Policing Soc.* 2008;18(3):258-281.
- Stott C, Adang O, Livingstone A, Schreiber M. Tackling football hooliganism: a quantitative study of public order, policing and crowd psychology. *Psychol Public Pol Law.* 2008;14(2):115-141.
- Stott C, Reicher S. How conflict escalates: the inter-group dynamics of collective football crowd 'violence'. Sociology. 2013;47(5):875-886.
- Head J. Turkey bans men from football match. BBC News. September 23, 2011:1-3. http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/learningenglish/language/ wordsinthenews/2011/09/110923_witn_football.shtml. Accessed May 14, 2013.
- Lee D. Are African football stadiums too dangerous? BBC News. November 4, 2010:1-3. http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-africa-11665801. Accessed May 14, 2013.
- Begum AA. Unnatural deaths during Zakat distribution. Bangladesh Med Res Conc Bull. 1993;19(3):99-102.
- Mahoney EJ, Harrington DT, Biffl WL, Metzger J, Oka T, Cioffi WG. Lessons learned from a nightclub fire: institutional disaster preparedness. *J Trauma*. 2005;58(3):487-491.
- Spanish church fireworks display explodes. CBCNews. August 14, 2012. http://www.cbc.ca/news/world/story/2012/08/14/accidental-fireworks.html. Accessed May 14, 2013.
- Fireworks explode in crowd in China. Telegraph. October 14, 2012. http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/asia/china/9607552/Fireworksexplode-in-crowd-in-China.html. Accessed February 27, 2013.

- Several injured during Liberty Park fireworks show. abc4 Utah; July 25, 2010. http://www.abc4.com/news/local/story/Several-injured-during-Liberty-Park-fireworks-show/mxIoGVnciUew-hxWMBLG8g.cspx. Accessed on: Feb 27, 2013.
- Fireworks explosion kills 21. Available at: http://ntdtv.org/en/news/china/ 2010-03-01/040965832541.html. Accessed February 27, 2013.
- Suphan Buri fireworks display affects 500. The Nation; January 26, 2012. http://www.nationmultimedia.com/national/Suphan-buri-fireworks-disaster-affects-500-30174526.html. Accessed February 27, 2013.
- Court to pronounce verdict on Lamehorse Club fire case. ITAR-Tass; March 10, 2008. http://www.itar-tass.com/en/c32/649636.html. Accessed February 17, 2013.
- 13 Held for Shenshen club fire that killed 43. China Daily; September 9, 2008. http://english.sina.com/china/2008/0921/187595.html. Accessed February 17, 2013.
- Nightclub fire kills 16 in Equador. CNN.com; April 10, 2008. http:// articles.cnn.com/2008-04-19/world/ecuador.fire_1_nightclub-blaze-nightclubfire-rock-band?_s=PM:WORLD. Accessed February 18, 2013.
- Carley S, Mackway-Jones K, Donnan S. Major incidents in Britain over the past 28 years: the case for the centralised reporting of major incidents. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1998;52:392-398.
- Lennquist S. Protocol for reports from major accidents and disasters in the International Journal of Disaster Medicine. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2008;5:486-492.
- Marres GMH, Eijk J, Bemelman M, Leenen LPH. Evaluation of admissions to the major incident hospital based on a standardized protocol. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2011;37:19-29.
- Coppola DP. (ed.) International Disaster Medicine. Oxford, England: Butterworth-Heinemann Limited; 2004.
- Barillo DJ, Wolf S. Planning for burn disasters: lessons learned from one hundred years of history. J Burn Care Res. 2006;27(8): 622-634.
- Welling L, Van Harten SM, Patka P, et al. Medical management after indoor fires: a review. Burns. 2005;31:673-678.