AN EXAMPLE REGARDING KALTON'S PAPER 'ISOMORPHISMS BETWEEN SPACES OF VECTOR-VALUED CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS'

FÉLIX CABELLO SÁNCHEZ

Departamento de Matemáticas and IMUEx, Universidad de Extremadura, Avenida de Elvas, 06071 Badajoz, Spain (fcabello@unex.es)

(first published online 2 August 2021)

Abstract The paper alluded to in the title contains the following striking result: Let I be the unit interval and Δ the Cantor set. If X is a quasi Banach space containing no copy of c_0 which is isomorphic to a closed subspace of a space with a basis and C(I, X) is linearly homeomorphic to $C(\Delta, X)$, then X is locally convex, i.e., a Banach space. We will show that Kalton result is sharp by exhibiting non-locally convex quasi Banach spaces X with a basis for which C(I, X) and $C(\Delta, X)$ are isomorphic. Our examples are rather specific and actually, in all cases, X is isomorphic to C(K, X) if K is a metric compactum of finite covering dimension.

Keywords: spaces of vector-valued continuous functions; quasi Banach spaces

2020 Mathematics subject classification Primary 46A16; 46E10

The paper alluded to in the title contains the following striking result [8, Theorem 6.4]: Let I be the unit interval and Δ the Cantor set. If X is a quasi Banach space containing no copy of c_0 which is isomorphic to a closed subspace of a space with a basis and C(I, X) is linearly homeomorphic to $C(\Delta, X)$, then X is locally convex, i.e., a Banach space.

Here C(K, X) denotes the space of continuous functions $F: K \longrightarrow X$. When K is a compact space and X a quasi Banach space C(K, X) is also a quasi Banach space under the quasinorm $||F|| = \sup\{||F(t)|| : t \in K\}$.

When X is a Banach space, the isomorphic theory of the spaces C(K, X) is somehow oversimplified by Miljutin theorem (the spaces $C(K) = C(K, \mathbb{R})$ for K uncountable and metrizable are all mutually isomorphic) and, above all, by Grothendieck's identity $C(K, X) = C(K) \check{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} X$ which implies that the isomorphic type of the Banach space C(K, X) depends only on those of C(K) and X. The situation for quasi Banach spaces is more thrilling and actually some seemingly innocent questions remain open: Is $C(I, \ell_p)$ isomorphic to $C(I^2, \ell_p)$? Is $C(I, \ell_p)$ isomorphic to $C(\Delta, \ell_p)$? These appear as Problems 7.2 and 7.3 at the end of [8]. Problem 7.1, namely if $C(K) \otimes X$ (the subspace of functions whose range is contained in some finite-dimensional subspace of X) is always dense in C(K, X), was posed by Klee and is connected with quite serious mathematics. While it seems to be widely open for quasi Banach spaces X, the answer is negative for F-spaces

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on Behalf of The Edinburgh Mathematical Society



(complete linear metric spaces) as shown by Cauty's celebrated example [4] (see also [9]) and affirmative for locally convex spaces. See Waelbroeck [13, Section 8] for a discussion on Klee's *density* problem.

The aim of this short note is much more modest: we will show that Kalton's result is sharp by exhibiting non-locally convex quasi Banach spaces X with a basis for which C(I, X) and $C(\Delta, X)$ are isomorphic. Our examples are rather specific and actually, in all cases, X is isomorphic to C(K, X) if K is a metric compactum of finite covering dimension.

Recall that the (Lebesgue) covering dimension of a (not necessarily compact) topological space K is the smallest number $n \geq 0$ such that every open cover admits a refinement in which every point of K lies in the intersection of no more than n+1 sets of the refinement.

A quasi Banach space X has the λ -approximation property $(\lambda$ -AP) if for every $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ (or in some dense subset) there is a finite-rank operator T on X such that $||T|| \le \lambda$ and $||x_i - Tx_i|| < \varepsilon$. We say that X has the bounded approximation property (BAP) if it has the λ -AP for some $\lambda > 1$.

We end these preliminaries by recalling that a p-norm, where $0 , is a quasinorm satisfying the inequality <math>||x + y||^p \le ||x||^p + ||y||^p$ and that every quasinormed space has an equivalent p-norm for some 0 , so says the Aoki–Rolewicz theorem.

Lemma. If K has finite covering dimension and X has the BAP, then C(K, X) has the BAP.

Proof. We first observe that if K has finite covering dimension or X has the BAP, then $C(K) \otimes X$ is dense in C(K, X). The part concerning the BAP is obvious; the other part is a result by Shuchat [12, Theorem 1].

Given $g \in C(K)$ and $x \in X$, we denote by $g \otimes x$ the function $t \longmapsto g(t)x$. Since every function in $C(K) \otimes X$ can be written as a finite sum $\sum_i g_i \otimes x_i$ with $g_i \in C(K)$, $x_i \in X$ (which justifies our notation, see [12, Proposition 1]), it suffices to see that there is a constant Λ such that, given $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in C(K), y_1, \ldots, y_m \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite-rank operator T on C(K, X) such that $||T|| \leq \Lambda$ and $||f_i \otimes y_i - T(f_i \otimes y_i)|| < \varepsilon$. As ε is arbitrary, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $||f_i|| = ||y_i|| = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Take an open cover U_1, \ldots, U_r of K such that for every i, j, one has $|f_i(s) - f_i(t)| < \varepsilon$ for all $s, t \in U_j$. Put $n = \dim(K)$ and take a refinement V_1, \ldots, V_k so that each point of K lies in no more than n+1 of those sets. Finally, let ϕ_1, \ldots, ϕ_k be a partition of unity of K subordinate to V_1, \ldots, V_k .

For each j, pick $t_j \in V_j$ and define an operator L on C(K, X) by letting $L(F) = \sum_{j \leq k} \phi_j \otimes F(t_j)$, that is, $(LF)(t) = \sum_{j \leq k} \phi_j(t)F(t_j)$. Let us estimate ||L|| assuming X is p-normed: one has

$$||L(F)|| = \sup_{t \in K} \left\| \sum_{j \le k} \phi_j(t) F(t_j) \right\|,$$

but for each $t \in K$ the sum has no more than n+1 nonzero summands, so

$$\left\| \sum_{j \le k} \phi_j(t) F(t_j) \right\| \le \left(\sum_{j \le k} \phi_j(t)^p \| F(t_j) \|^p \right)^{1/p} \le \| F \| \left(\sum_{j \le k} \phi_j(t)^p \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\le \| F \| \| \mathbf{I} : \ell_1^{n+1} \longrightarrow \ell_p^{n+1} \| = (n+1)^{1/p-1} \| F \|$$

We claim that $||f_i \otimes y_i - L(f_i \otimes y_i)|| \le \varepsilon$ for all i. We have $L(f_i \otimes y_i)(t) = \sum_{j \le k} f_i(t_j)\phi_j(t)y_i$, hence

$$||f_i \otimes y_i - L(f_i \otimes y_i)|| = \left\| \sum_{j \le k} f_i \phi_j - \sum_{j \le k} f_i(t_j) \phi_j \right\| ||y_i|| = \left\| \sum_{j \le k} f_i \phi_j - \sum_{j \le k} f_i(t_j) \phi_j \right\|.$$

For each j and each $t \in K$ one has $|f_i(t)\phi_j(t) - f_i(t_j)\phi_j(t)| \le \varepsilon \phi_j(t)$: this is obvious if $t \notin V_j$ since in this case $\phi_j(t) = 0$, while for $t \in V_j$ we have $|f_i(t) - f_i(t_j)| \le \varepsilon$ by our choice of V_1, \ldots, V_k and thus

$$\left| \sum_{j \le k} f_i(t) \phi_j(t) - \sum_{j \le k} f_i(t_j) \phi_j(t) \right| \le \varepsilon \sum_{j \le k} \phi_j(t) = \varepsilon$$

holds for all $t \in K$; consequently, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j \le k} f_i \phi_j - \sum_{j \le k} f_i(t_j) \phi_j \right\| \le \varepsilon.$$

Let R be a finite-rank operator on X such that $||y_i - R(y_i)|| < \varepsilon$, with $||R|| \le \lambda$, where λ is the 'approximation constant' of X, and define T on C(K, X) by (TF)(t) = R((LF)(t)). Clearly, T has finite-rank since for an elementary tensor $f \otimes x$ one has

$$T(f \otimes x) = \sum_{j \le k} f(t_j) \phi_j \otimes R(x).$$

Finally, let us estimate $||f_i \otimes y_i - T(f_i \otimes y_i)||$. Write

$$f_i \otimes y_i - T(f_i \otimes y_i) = f_i \otimes y_i - f_i \otimes R(y_i) + f_i \otimes R(y_i) - \sum_{j \leq k} f_i(t_j) \phi_j \otimes R(y_i)$$

and then

$$||f_i \otimes y_i - T(f_i \otimes y_i)||^p \le ||f_i \otimes y_i - f_i \otimes R(y_i)||^p + \left||f_i \otimes R(y_i) - \sum_{j \le k} f_i(t_j)\phi_j \otimes R(y_i)\right||^p$$

$$= ||f_i||^p ||y_i - R(y_i)||^p + \left||f_i - \sum_{j \le k} f_i(t_j)\right||^p ||R(y_i)||^p \le \varepsilon^p + \varepsilon^p \lambda^p,$$

so that C(K, X) has the BAP with constant at most $\lambda(n+1)^{1/p-1}$.

The proof raises the question of whether the lemma is true for, say, the Hilbert cube I^{ω} .

The other ingredient we need is a complementably universal space for the BAP. A separable p-Banach space is complementably universal for the BAP if it has the BAP and contains a complemented copy of each separable p-Banach space with the BAP. The existence of such spaces (one for each 0) was first mentioned by Kalton himself in [6, Theorem 4.1(b)]. A complete proof appears in the related issues of [1]. In any case, it easily follows from the Pelczyński decomposition method that any two separable <math>p-Banach spaces complementably universal for the BAP are isomorphic, so let us denote by \mathcal{K}_p the isomorphic type of such specimens and observe that since each separable p-Banach space with the BAP is complemented in one with a basis, it follows that \mathcal{K}_p does have a basis. Needless to say, \mathcal{K}_p is not locally convex since it contains a complemented copy of ℓ_p .

Corollary. If K is a (non-empty) metrizable compactum of finite covering dimension, then $C(K, \mathcal{K}_p)$ is linearly homeomorphic to \mathcal{K}_p . In particular, $C(I, \mathcal{K}_p)$ and $C(\Delta, \mathcal{K}_p)$ are linearly homeomorphic although \mathcal{K}_p is not locally convex.

Proof. This clearly follows from the lemma since $C(K, \mathcal{K}_p)$ is separable, has the BAP and contains \mathcal{K}_p complemented as the subspace of constant functions.

We do not know of any other non-locally convex quasi Banach space X for which C(I, X) and $C(\Delta, X)$ are isomorphic, apart from the obvious ones arising as direct sums of \mathcal{K}_p and Banach spaces lacking the BAP. An obvious candidate is the p-Gurariy space, introduced by Kalton in [7, Theorem 4.3] and further studied in [2]. Note that if X is a quasi Banach space isomorphic to $X \oplus F$, with F finite dimensional and C(I, X) and $C(\Delta, X)$ are not isomorphic then neither are $C(I, X \oplus c_0)$ and $C(\Delta, X \oplus c_0)$.

It's time to leave. Perhaps the most important question regarding the general topological properties of quasi Banach spaces is to know whether every quotient operator $Q: Z \longrightarrow X$ (acting between quasi Banach spaces) admits a continuous section, namely a continuous $\sigma: X \longrightarrow Z$ such that $Q \circ \sigma = \mathbf{I}_X$. More generally, let us say that $f \in C(K, X)$ lifts through Q if there is $F \in C(K, Z)$ such that $f = F \circ Q$. Now, given 0 , a quotient operator between <math>p-Banach spaces $Q: Z \longrightarrow X$ and a compactum K, consider the following statements:

- (1) Q admits a continuous section.
- (2) Every continuous $f: K \longrightarrow X$ has a lifting to Z.
- (3) $C(K) \otimes X$ is dense in C(K, X).

Clearly, (1) \Longrightarrow (2): set $F = \sigma \circ f$, where σ is the hypothesized section of Q. Besides, if (1) is true for some quotient map $\ell_p(J) \longrightarrow X$ then so it is for every Q. Similarly, if (2) is true for a given K for some quotient map $\ell_p(J) \longrightarrow X$, then it is true for any quotient map onto X and (3) holds.

Following (badly) Klee [10, Section 2], let us say that the pair (K, X) is admissible if (3) holds, that K is admissible if (3) holds for every quasi Banach space X and that X

is admissible if (3) holds for every compact K. We do not know whether the p-Gurariy spaces are admissible or not.

We have mentioned Shuchat's result that every compactum of finite covering dimension is admissible. Actually one can prove that (2) holds for any Q if $\dim(K) < \infty$. This indeed follows from Michael's [11, Theorem 1.2] but a simpler proof can be given using Shuchat's result, the argument of the proof of the lemma, and the open mapping theorem. Since every metrizable compactum is the continuous image of Δ , this implies that for every compact subset $S \subset X$, there is a compact subset $T \subset Z$ such that Q[T] = S.

Long time ago, Riedrich proved that the spaces L_p are admissible for $0 \le p < 1$; see [3, 5] for more general results that cover all modular function spaces. We do not know if the quotient map $\ell_p \longrightarrow L_p$ has a continuous section or satisfies (2) for arbitrary compact K and 0 .

Acknowledgements. Research supported in part by MICIN Project PID2019-103961GB-C21 and Junta de Extremadura Project IB-20038.

References

- 1. F. CABELLO SÁNCHEZ, J. M. F. CASTILLO AND Y. MORENO, On the bounded approximation property on subspaces of ℓ_p when 0 and related issues, Forum Math. 31 (2019), 1–24.
- F. CABELLO SÁNCHEZ, J. GARBULIŃSKA-WĘGRZYN AND W. KUBIŚ, Quasi-Banach spaces of almost universal disposition, J. Funct. Anal. 267 (2014), 744–771.
- 3. D. CAPONETTI AND G. LEWICKI, A note on the admissibility of modular function spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 448 (2017), 1331–1342.
- R. CAUTY, Un espace métrique linéaire qui n'est pas un rétracte absolu, Fund. Math. 146 (1994), 85–99.
- J. Ishii, On the admissibility of function spaces, J. Fac. Sci., Hokkaido Univ., Ser. 1 19 (1965), 49–55.
- N. J. KALTON, Universal spaces and universal bases in metric linear spaces, Studia Math. 61 (1977), 161–191.
- N. J. KALTON, Transitivity and quotients of Orlicz spaces, Comment. Math. (1978), 159–172. (Special issue in honor of the 75th birthday of W. Orlicz)
- 8. N. J. Kalton, Isomorphisms between spaces of vector-valued continuous functions, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **26** (1983), 29–48.
- 9. N. J. Kalton and T. Dobrowolski, Cauty's space enhanced, *Topology Appl.* **159** (2012), 28–33
- 10. V. Klee, Leray-Schauder theory without local convexity, Math. Ann. 141 (1960), 286–296.
- 11. E. MICHAEL, Continuous selections II, Ann. Math. (2) 64 (1956), 562–580.
- A. H. SHUCHAT, Approximation of vector-valued continuous functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1972), 97–103.
- L. WAELBROECK, Topological vector spaces, Summer school on topological vector spaces, Bruxelles 1972, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Volume 331, pp. 1–40 (Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973).