cambridge.org/cty

Original Article

Cite this article: Boris JR, Bernadzikowski T. (2018) Utilisation of medications to reduce symptoms in children with postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome. *Cardiology in the Young* **28**: 1386–1392. doi: 10.1017/ S1047951118001373

Received: 15 May 2018 Accepted: 10 July 2018 First published online: 06 August 2018

Key words:

Dysautonomia; light-headedness; headache; dysmotility; insomnia

Author for correspondence:

J. R. Boris, MD, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 3401 Civic Center Blvd., Division of Cardiology, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA. Tel: 215 590 3180; Fax: 267 426 5324; E-mail: borisj@email.chop.edu

© Cambridge University Press 2018.



Utilisation of medications to reduce symptoms in children with postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome

Jeffrey R. Boris^{1,2} and Thomas Bernadzikowski¹

¹Division of Cardiology, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA and ²The Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Abstract

Background: Postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome encompasses multiple disabling symptoms that interfere with daily activities. Non-pharmacologic approaches can be insufficient and can require adjunctive medications to manage symptoms. Minimal data exist in the literature on medication outcomes in these patients. We reviewed our database for medication management outcomes. Materials and Methods: Patients aged 18 years and younger at initial diagnosis met the inclusion criteria. All prescribed patient medications were extracted from the electronic health record, excluding medications for unrelated symptoms or comorbid diseases. Medications were grouped by symptom class consistent with our programme utilisation protocol. Within symptom classification, therapy was deemed successful when a specific dose was prescribed at least five consecutive times without changes; this was confirmed by chart review. Individual medications and overall percentage of successful therapies within symptom classifications were assessed, with further analysis by gender. t-Test, χ^2 , and Mann–Whitney U-test were used to assess for differences in specific variables, as appropriate. Results: A total of 708 patients met the study criteria. The percentage of patients with effective therapy by symptom includes light-headedness (52.2%), headache (48.2%), nausea (39.1%), dysmotility (43.4%), pain (53.4%), and insomnia (42.8%). Insomnia therapy was better for females; all other therapies showed no gender difference. The median number of therapies prescribed per patient per symptom was 2 for light-headedness, headache, and insomnia, and 1 for nausea, dysmotility, and pain. Discussion: Symptoms associated with this disorder can be effectively managed with various medications. Further randomised studies are needed to better ascertain true efficacy compared with placebo.

Postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome, a dysautonomia, is continuing to become a more commonly recognised disorder.¹ Nevertheless, despite the relative lack of severity of the symptoms, as compared with other disorders of the autonomic nervous system such as multiple system atrophy or pure autonomic failure, postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome can still be highly debilitating and disruptive to patients, such that they are unable to perform routine activities of daily living.² Patients may be bedridden with light-headedness, nausea, fatigue, or headaches and may not be able to function appropriately in routine activities, including school, sports, work, or normal daily social interactions owing to cognitive dysfunction or gastrointestinal symptoms. In order to help these patients achieve clinical and symptomatic improvement to be able to perform normal daily activities, various treatment modalities are required. These modalities can include non-pharmacologic interventions, such as high-volume fluid and sodium loading, elevation of the head of the bed, good sleep hygiene, and exercise.³ However, these approaches, although fundamental and necessary, are not always sufficient to effectively improve or control these symptoms. The initial goals in the care of these patients are to be able to perform activities of daily living, such as bathing, dressing, and toileting, with subsequent progression to higher level goals, such as school attendance, participation in recreational or competitive athletics, college attendance, or functional independence to successfully maintain an occupation. There is a general agreement that exercise, consisting of aerobic activity combined with leg and core strengthening, may be the most efficacious therapy in being able to control, or even eliminate, postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome.⁴ Yet, for some patients, the act of performing their activities of daily living can be completely overwhelming and exhausting. Thus, the adjunctive use of medical therapy to further suppress and control disabling symptoms may be necessary to support patient functionality.

Several articles describe the use of medications and their outcomes in the management of postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome. Some are retrospective reviews of the utilisation of specific pharmaceuticals in the treatment of specific associated symptoms⁵ or are single-dose

trials of medications.⁶ Some are overall review articles of the diagnosis and management of this disorder that also identify the medical therapies used.^{7,8} Despite these previous publications, there are relatively few articles describing specific approaches to medication management of symptoms or symptom complexes seen in these patients.

The Postural Orthostatic Tachycardia Syndrome Program at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia was officially established in January 2014, although patients have been evaluated and cared for since 2007 with increasing frequency and volume. We endeavoured to use our patient database to provide an evaluation of medications used in our programme, including therapies for specific symptoms, the diversity of medications used for these symptoms, and their clinical outcomes and efficacy.

Materials and methods

The Postural Orthostatic Tachycardia Syndrome Program database consists of a REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) database hosted at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia with demographic and clinical features of patients seen in both initial and subsequent clinic visits.⁹ The diagnosis was made on the basis of a combination of historical symptoms indicating chronic orthostatic intolerance for at least three months along with other typical symptoms, in addition to a heart rate increase of 30 or more beats per minute during a 10-minute standing test after supine position. Patients aged 18 years and younger at the time of initial evaluation and who were subsequently treated with medications for the symptoms of postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome were included in the study. All patients received initial treatment with increased oral fluid and sodium loading without improvement of symptoms. We used the names, dates of birth, and medical record numbers from the database to then identify patients in our clinical data warehouse populated by our electronic health record, Epic (Epic Systems, Verona, Wisconsin, United States of America). Data were extracted for all medications ordered for these patients from their initial visit between November 2007 and June 2016, including all product formulations, doses, and refills. One of the authors (J.R.B.) filtered out medications that were not used as primary therapies for these patients, such as therapies for asthma, allergies, infections, and other disease processes not directly related to this disorder. Data were further classified by specific symptom or symptom complex, based on specific utilisation in our programme. Some symptoms were treated simultaneously, with a graded approach to therapy. That is, patients were treated with the lowest dose of medication necessary to control symptoms, as reported by the patient. Medications would be increased to maximally effective dosing, as tolerated, or until side effects either required discontinuation or lowering of the dose. If clinically indicated, such as with incomplete or ineffective control of symptoms, more than one medication would be used to try to incrementally achieve effective symptomatic improvement. Certain combinations of medications were not used: for example, verapamil, a calcium channel blocker, would not be used concomitant with beta blockade (metoprolol, atenolol, nebivolol), as co-administration of these could cause lifethreatening atrioventricular block.

Utilisation of a specific medication for an individual patient was considered successful if the medication at a consistent dose was ordered at least five times in chronological sequence. This was subsequently confirmed by manual review of the patient's chart to ensure documentation of successful therapy. Because no validated method of quantification exists for postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome (POTS)-related symptoms, we assessed symptomatic improvement by qualitative review of patient notes, based on patient report of improvement in symptoms after both initiation of therapy and with maintenance of the same dose at subsequent visits. Patients were evaluated at clinic visits with a standard symptom questionnaire used at all subsequent follow-up evaluations that queried the presence or absence of specific symptoms and recorded qualitative data as appropriate (e.g. partial improvement, specific side effects, and so on). Patients were excluded if they had a sole single medication event with no further refills or medications utilised, despite still being subsequently seen in our programme. If a medication did not produce effective clinical improvement of symptoms or caused side effects that the patient could not tolerate, the medication was discontinued.

Table 1. Patient cohort characteristics, n (%).

Total patients	708
Female	549 (77.5)
Male	159 (22.5)
Age at presentation (years)	
6	2 (0.3)
7	3 (0.4)
8	3 (0.4)
9	8 (1.1)
10	12 (1.7)
11	20 (2.8)
12	31 (4.4)
13	56 (7.9)
14	94 (13.3)
15	114 (16.1)
16	139 (19.6)
17	130 (18.4)
18	96 (13.6)
Joint hypermobility	405 (57.2)
No joint hypermobility	303 (42.8)
Joint hypermobility and female	326 (80.5)
Joint hypermobility and male	79 (19.5)
Top 6 presenting symptoms	
Light-headedness	217 (30.6)
Headaches	140 (19.8)
Fatigue	87 (12.3)
Abdominal pain	49 (6.9)
Syncope	39 (5.5)
Nausea	33 (4.7)

Joint hypermobility and gender data are against all joint hypermobility

The percentage of successful therapies by specific medication was calculated by dividing the number of patients with successful therapy with a specific medication by the total number of patients for whom that medication was ordered. The percentage of successful therapy for a specific symptom was calculated by dividing the sum of the number of patients with any successful therapy for a symptom by the total number of patients treated for that symptom. Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel plus the website, Social Science Statistics (http://www. socscistatistics.com/Default.aspx). t-Test analysis was used to assess for differences between means in normally distributed continuous variables, and χ^2 was used to assess for differences between specific categorical variables. Mann-Whitney U-test was used for differences between non-normally distributed variables. The level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

A waiver of consent was granted by the Institutional Review Board of the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, as this was a review of data collected through the electronic health record for routine clinical management with intent to treat, and it was a retrospective assessment of data for which it would have been impractical to obtain consent.

symptoms were clinically improved. The median number of medications prescribed per symptom was

often greater than 1 for each symptom reviewed, with light-headedness, headache, and insomnia requiring a median of two medications in both genders before achieving therapeutic success (Table 5).

met entry criteria for 18 years of age or younger at the time of

initial evaluation to be included in the study. Demographic infor-

mation for the study patients is summarised in Table 1. The

symptoms treated and the medications used to treat those symp-

Table 3. The rates of efficacy, by medication and by symptom, are

displayed in Table 4. Overall, all medications used demonstrated

some efficacy in the management and control of symptoms. Some

therapies, or combinations of therapies used for specific symptoms,

demonstrated greater overall efficacy, such as for light-headedness

(52.2%). Others, including therapies for GI dysmotility, demon-

strated efficacy for patients who were able to tolerate the medica-

tions, but often caused intolerable side effects that led to

discontinuation of therapy. Other therapies showed minimal effi-

cacy, although with a small cohort of patients reporting that their

Utilisation of medications grouped by symptom is shown in

toms, dosing ranges, and side effects are shown in Table 2.

Results

A total of 722 patients with postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome were treated between November 2007 and June 2016 and were entered in the database for study. In all, 98% (708) of patients

Discussion

A wide variety of medications has been used in therapeutic approaches to control and manage symptoms of postural ortho-

Table 2. Medications used for management of POTS symptoms: dosing and side effects.

Symptoms	Medication	Dosing range	Observed side effects
Light-headedness	Fludrocortisone	0.1–0.2 mg qAM	Rash, ankle swelling, headache, mood changes
	Midodrine	5-20 mg TID	Scalp tingling, goosebumps, headache
	Desmopressin	0.1-0.4 mg BID	Headache, hyponatremia
Headache	Cyproheptadine	4–8 mg qHS to TID	Increased appetite/weight gain, fatigue
	Verapamil	120–240 mg qHS (continuous release)	Light-headedness
	Metoprolol	25–100 mg qHS (extended release)	Light-headedness, exercise intolerance, depression
	Atenolol	12.5–50 mg BID	Same as metoprolol
	Nebivolol	5–20 mg qHS	Light-headedness, exercise intolerance
Nausea	Ondansetron	4–8 mg TID	Fatigue, constipation
	Scopolamine	1.5 mg patch applied every 3 days	Blurry vision, contact dermatitis
	Meclizine	25–50 mg every 8 hours	Fatigue
Gastrointestinal dysmotility (early satiety, constipation)	Pyridostigmine	30 mg BID to 120 mg TID	Abdominal pain, diarrhoea, muscle twitching
	Erythromycin	125 mg TID	Abdominal pain
Pain	Pregabalin	75–150 mg BID	Fatigue, mood changes
	Duloxetine	20–60 mg daily	Mood changes
Insomnia	Clonidine	0.1–0.3 mg qHS	Persistent fatigue
	Trazodone	50–200 mg qHS	Mood changes, sleep paralysis
	Zolpidem	2.5–10 mg qHS	Parasomnias
	Eszopiclone	1–3 mg qHS	Parasomnias

BID=twice daily; qAM=every morning; qHS=at bedtime; TID=three times daily Mood changes include irritability, anxiety, or depression

Table 3. Utilisation of medications, by symptom, n (%)	%)).
--	----	----

Symptoms	Medication	Total patients	Female	Male
Light-headedness		621 (87.7)	490 (78.9)	131 (21.1)
	Fludrocortisone			
	Midodrine	289 (46.5)		
	Desmopressin	280 (45.1)		
Headache			336 (79.1)	
	Cyproheptadine			
	Verapamil	161 (37.9)		
	Metoprolol	252 (59.3)		
	Atenolol	20 (4.7)		
	Nebivolol	21 (4.9)		
Nausea		302 (42.7)	246 (81.5)	56 (18.5)
	Ondansetron	290 (96.0)		
	Scopolamine	100 (33.1)		
	Meclizine	46 (15.2)		
Gastrointestinal dysmotility (early satiety, constipation)		226 (31.9)	181 (80.1)	45 (19.9)
	Pyridostigmine	207 (91.6)		
	Erythromycin	98 (43.3)		
Pain			88 (77.9)	
	Pregabalin	67 (59.3)		
	Duloxetine	97 (85.8)		
Insomnia			107 (77.5)	
	Clonidine	88 (63.8)		
	Trazodone	71 (51.4)		
	Zolpidem	69 (50.0)		
	Eszopiclone	28 (20.3)		

Percentages of total patients for a specific symptom are against the overall total of 708 patients. Percentages of total patients for a specific medication are against the total patients within the specific symptom. Percentages within gender are against total patients receiving treatment

static tachycardia syndrome.^{10–23} Most of these studies are retrospective in nature, with patient volumes that are relatively small or that include adults and children in their assessment. This study entails a comprehensive assessment of the use and efficacy of medications in a large paediatric population of patients with postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome. Therapeutic efficacy defined by five or more refills of a medication at a consistent dose coupled with documentation of symptom improvement was modestly varied by medication and by symptom. Therapy for pain appeared to be the most effective, with the next most successful therapy being for light-headedness. Therapy for nausea was least successful overall. It is interesting to note that the therapeutic efficacy of medications used for the management of headaches is approximately 48%, which is similar to a recent study of the use of amitriptyline (52%) and topiramate (55%) for headache prophylaxis²⁴, as well as to the incidence of placebo effect in a general treatment study (40%).²⁵ This suggests that the treatment and management of these symptoms can be difficult, and choosing the most effective medication is not empirically straightforward, leading to a trial-and-error approach. Therapeutic success did not exceed much more than 50% for any medication, confirming a high degree of patient variability in therapeutic response and tolerability. Placebo effect may be an important factor in the success of therapy for these symptoms. That said, considering that many patients required trials of more than one medication for a specific symptom, this could be considered similar to a crossover trial, except that the trial would stop when successful therapy was achieved. It is important to note that this study evaluated medications prescribed by providers in our specific programme at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. The treatment paradigm within the programme, though, was that if the patient did not tolerate therapies for certain symptoms, such as headache or gastrointestinal symptoms, they were referred for further evaluation and management to providers in the divisions of Neurology/Headache Clinic and Gastroenterology/Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Motility Centre, respectively. Other medications and treatments were then used, such as amitriptyline, topiramate, gabapentin, lidocaine nerve blocks, or botulinum toxin injections for headaches, and amitriptyline, hyoscyamine, polyethylene glycol, H-2 blockers and/or proton pump inhibitors, intrapyloric injection of botulinum toxin, or nasogastric, nasoduodenal, gastrostomy, or jejunal tube feeds for GI symptoms. Cognitive behavioural therapy was used in both of these centres to try to alleviate symptoms. These lists of subsequent, specialitybased therapies are not exhaustive. The effects of these additional therapies and interventions were not assessed, and some of these demonstrated varying degrees of success that further alleviated symptoms and allowed the patients to be more functional with regard to the activities of daily living.

The choice of medications for these patients was based on the known primary therapeutic use of the medication or a rational use of the secondary effects of these medications. For example, while fludrocortisone was used to increase intravascular volume and midodrine was used as a peripheral vasoconstrictor (primary uses), pyridostigmine was used to increase gut acetylcholine levels, using its procholinergic properties to enhance intestinal motility (secondary effect). Otherwise, pyridostigmine is not known to be a good promotility agent, at least in comparison with metoclopramide.²⁶ Only recently has this feature of pyridostigmine been discussed in the literature.²⁷ While stimulants such as methylphenidate or mixed amphetamine salts are used for patients with attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder in order to reduce their rapid changes in attention, they are used for these patients as central nervous system stimulants to increase activity and concentration. Desmopressin is not thought of as a volume expanding therapy, although for patients with postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome it is used specifically with that objective to reduce light-headedness.²⁸

It is evident that multiple medication trials may be needed to suppress or control the symptoms of postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome. It can be difficult to predict which medications will be successful for specific patients, and thus iterative attempts with various therapies are required to achieve therapeutic goals. This can take time and can be discouraging to the patients, families, and providers. Persistence and patience are necessary.

Table 4. Efficacy rates of medical therapy, by symptom.

Symptoms	Medication	Total patients showing effective therapy, n (%)	Female patients showing effective therapy, n (%)	Male patients showing effective therapy, n (%)	p Value, female versus male
Light-headedness	Fludrocortisone	249 (42.8)			
	Midodrine	98 (33.9)			
	Desmopressin	109 (38.9)			
	All therapies	324 (52.2)	256 (52.2)	65 (49.6)	0.60
Headache	Cyproheptadine	71 (23.7)			
	Verapamil	23 (14.2)			
	Metoprolol	113 (44.8)			
	Atenolol	5 (25)			
	Nebivolol	9 (42.9)			
	All therapies	205 (48.2)	165 (49.1)	40 (44.9)	0.48
Nausea	Ondansetron	110 (37.9)			
	Scopolamine	17 (17)			
	Meclizine	4 (8.7)			
	All therapies	118 (39.1)	97 (39.4)	21 (37.5)	0.78
Dysmotility	Pyridostigmine	76 (36.7)			
	Erythromycin	32 (32.7)			
	All therapies	98 (43.4)	77 (42.5)	21 (46.7)	0.62
Pain	Duloxetine	40 (41.2)			
	Pregabalin	23 (34.3)			
	All therapies	63 (53.4)	45 (51.1)	12 (48)	0.78
Insomnia	Clonidine	27 (30.7)			
	Trazodone	15 (21.1)			
	Zolpidem	20 (29)			
	Eszopiclone	5 (17.9)			
	All therapies	59 (42.8)	52 (49.1)	7 (22.6)	0.009

Totals for successful use of All Therapies may not equal the totals of successful use of the individual therapies, as therapies may have been used in tandem

Therapies are not always successful. It is interesting to note that female patients appear to need a greater level of therapeutic interventions for nausea, although the cause of this is unclear. For all other symptoms overall, there was no difference noted between the numbers of therapies needed for female or for male patients.

There are limitations to this study. The use of a definition of therapeutic success as five or more refills of a medication as a threshold level has not been demonstrated to be clinically valid, although we would suggest it has merit from a rational and intent-to-treat approach. One would not continue to refill a medication that either caused intolerable side effects or demonstrated no salutary effects. That said, the success of these therapies was then confirmed by chart review, in which it was documented that the patients reported clinical improvement with these medications. Another limitation of our study is inherent in its retrospective nature, in that it is post hoc reporting of efficacy. A stronger study would evaluate these therapies in a double-blind, placebo-controlled, or crossover manner. We were not able to use

 Table 5. Median number of therapies used per patient per symptom, n (range).

Symptoms	Total Meds	Total Meds, female	Total Meds, male	p Value, female versus male
Light-headedness		2 (1–3)	2 (1–3)	0.56
Headache		2 (1–5)	2 (1-4)	0.035
Nausea	(- /	2 (1–3)	1 (1–3)	0.032
Dysmotility	1 (1-2)	1 (1-2)	1 (1-2)	0.11
Pain	1 (1-2)	1 (1-2)	2 (1–2)	0.14
Insomnia	2 (1-4)	2 (1-4)	2 (1-4)	0.88

a scale to numerically quantify the degree of improvement in symptoms with therapy, so these improvements are qualitatively assessed only. A symptom severity scale specifically for these patients, whether adults or children, does not exist at present. It is hoped that in the future, if such a scale is devised and validated, it can be used to better assess the efficacy of treatments, both medication and non-medication based.

Our retrospective, large-scale study of children demonstrates that a number of diverse therapies are effective to varying degrees in helping to adequately reduce or control the multiple symptoms of postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome. In the absence of being able to predict which medications will work for specific patients for their specific symptoms, patients are often prescribed more than one medication for any given symptom before achieving a measure of significant clinical improvement. It is also important to note that, even for medications that had a modest success rate, they were still successful for those specific patients. Thus, if the goal is to allow patients to be able to perform activities of daily living, to return to school, and to exercise routinely, then even low-yield therapies still have a place in the therapeutic armamentarium.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Andrew Glatz, MD, MSCE, for his continued support in the editing of this manuscript. The authors also acknowledge Andrea Kennedy, who created our database and was able to help us to link it to the electronic health record.

Financial Support. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency or from commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of Interest. None.

Ethical Standards. The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national guidelines on human experimentation, per the United States Department of Health and Human Services, as well as the Food and Drug Administration, and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008, and has been approved by the institutional committee of the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia.

References

- Arnold AC, Ng J, Raj SR. Postural tachycardia syndrome diagnosis, physiology, and prognosis. Auton Neurosci 2018; https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.autneu.2018.02.005.
- Sandroni P, Opfer-Gehrking TL, McPhee BR, Low PA. Postural tachycardia syndrome: clinical features and follow-up study. Mayo Clin Proc 1999; 74: 1106–1110.
- Stewart JM, Boris JR, Chelimsky G, et al. Pediatric disorders of orthostatic intolerance. Paediatrics 2018; 141 https://doi.org/10.1542/peds. 2017-1673.

- Kanjwal K, Saeed B, Karabin B, Kanjwal Y, Grubb BP. Use of methylphenidate in the treatment of patients suffering from refractory postural tachycardia syndrome. Am J Ther 2012; 19: 2–6.
- Raj SR, Black BK, Biaggioni I, Paranjape SY, Ramirez M, Dupont WD, Robertson D. Propranolol decreases tachycardia and improves symptoms in the postural tachycardia syndrome: less is more. Circulation 2009; 120: 725–734.
- 7. Grubb BP. Postural tachycardia syndrome. Circulation 2008; 117: 2814–2817.
- Boris JR. The role of the cardiologist in the evaluation of dysautonomia. Cardiol Young 2010; 20 (Suppl 3): 135–139.
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap) – a metadata-drive methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009; 42: 377–381.
- Freitas J, Santos R, Azevedo E, Costa O, Carvalho M, de Freitas AF. Clinical improvement in patients with orthostatic intolerance after treatment with bisoprolol and fludrocortisone. Clin Auton Res 2000; 10: 293–299.
- Hoeldtke RD, Horvath GG, Bryner KD, Hobbs GR. Treatment of orthostatic hypotension with midodrine and octreotide. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1998; 83: 339–343.
- Lai CC, Fischer PR, Brands CK, Fisher JL, Porter CB, Driscoll SW, Graner KK. Outcomes in adolescents with postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome treated with midodrine and beta-blockers. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2009; 32: 234–238.
- Chen L, Wang L, Sun J, Qin J, Tang C, Jin H, Du J. Midodrine hydrochloride is effective in the treatment of children with postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome. Circ J 2011; 75: 927–931.
- Coffin ST, Black BK, Biaggioni I, Paranjape SY, Orozco C, Black PW, Dupont WD, Roberson D, Raj SR. Desmopressin acutely decreases tachycardia and improves symptoms in the postural tachycardia syndrome. Heart Rhythm 2012; 9: 1484–1490.
- Gachoka D, Kanjwal K, Karabin B, Grubb BP. Desmopressin in the treatment of postural orthostatic tachycardia. J Innov Card Rhythm Management 2015; 6: 2222–2226.
- Hoeldtke RD, Davis KM, Joseph J, Gonzales R, Panidis IP, Friedman AC. Hemodynamic effects of octreotide in patients with autonomic neuropathy. Circulation 1991; 84: 168–176.
- Kanjwal K, Saeed B, Karabin B, Kanjwal Y, Grubb BP. Use of octreotide in the treatment of refractory orthostatic intolerance. Am J Ther 2012; 19: 7–10.
- Kanjwal K, Saeed B, Karabin B, Kanjwal Y, Sheikh M, Grubb BP. Erythropoietin in the treatment of postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome. Am J Ther 2012; 19: 92–95.
- Mar PL, Raj V, Black BK, Biaggioni I, Shibao CA, Paranjape SY, Dupont WD, Robertson D, Raj SR. Acute hemodynamic effects of a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor in postural tachycardia syndrome: a randomized, crossover trial. J Psychopharmacol 2014; 28: 155–161.
- Gaffney FA, Lane LB, Pettinger W, Blomqvist CG. Effects of long-term clonidine administration on the hemodynamic and neuroendocrine postural responses of patients with dysautonomia. Chest 1983; 83 (2 Suppl): 436–438.
- Raj SR, Black BK, Biaggioni I, Harris PA, Robertson D. Acetylcholinesterase inhibition improves tachycardia in postural tachycardia syndrome. Circulation 2005; 111: 2734–2740.
- 22. Gales BJ, Gales MA. Pyridostigmine in the treatment of orthostatic intolerance. Am Pharmacother 2007; 41: 314–318.
- Kanjwal K, Karabain B, Sheikh M, Elmer L, Kanjwal Y, Saeed B, Grubb BP. Pyridostigmine in the treatment of postural orthostatic tachycardia: a single-center experience. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2011; 34: 750–755.
- 24. Powers SW, Coffey CS, Chamberlin LA, Ecklund DJ, Klingner EA, Yankey JW, Korbee LL, Porter LL, Hershey AD, CHAMP Investigators. Trial of

amitriptyline, topiramate, and placebo for pediatric migraine. New Engl J Med 2017; 376: 115–124.

- 25. Finniss DG, Kaptchuk TJ, Miller F, Benedetti F. Biological, clinical, and ethical advances of placebo effects. Lancet 2010; 375: 686–695.
- Oigaard A. The motor-stimulating effect of metoclopramide and pyridostigmine bromide in normal man and laparotomized patients. A combined study of duodenal Electric and motor activity. Scand J Gastroenterol 1975; 10: 65–71.
- Manini ML, Camilleri M, Grothe R, Di Lorenzo C. Application of pyridostigmine in pediatric gastrointestinal motility disorders: a case series. Paediatr Drugs 2017; 20: 173–180.
- Coffin ST, Black BK, Biaggioni I, Paranjape SY, Orozco C, Black PW, Dupont WD, Robertson D, Raj SR. Desmopressin acutely decreases tachycardia and improves symptoms in the postural tachycardia syndrome. Heart Rhythm 2012; 9: 1484–1490.