

Cellular immunity in the insect *Galleria mellonella* against insect non-parasitic nematodes

Research Article

Cite this article: Ono M, Yoshiga T (2019). Cellular immunity in the insect *Galleria mellonella* against insect non-parasitic nematodes. *Parasitology* **146**, 708–715. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118201800210X>

Received: 16 August 2018
Revised: 4 November 2018
Accepted: 18 November 2018
First published online: 20 December 2018

Key words:

Caenorhabditis elegans; cellular; encapsulation; *Galleria mellonella*; haemocyte; immune; insect; nematode; parasitism; pathogen

Author for correspondence: Toyoshi Yoshiga,
E-mail: tyoshiga@cc.saga-u.ac.jp

Masaya Ono^{1,2} and Toyoshi Yoshiga^{1,2}

¹Department of Applied Biological Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Saga University, Saga, Japan and ²The United Graduate School of Agricultural Sciences, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima, Japan

Abstract

Immunity to microbial infections is well understood; however, information regarding the immunity to parasitic multicellular organisms remains lacking. To understand innate host cellular immunity to nematodes, we compared the cellular response of the greater wax moth (*Galleria mellonella*) larvae against the non-parasitic, bacterial-feeding nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* and pathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*. When intact first-instar or dauer larvae of *C. elegans* were injected into a *G. mellonella* larva, most of the nematodes were alive and not confined by the surrounding reaction by insect haemocytes (encapsulation), similarly as the pathogenic nematode, whereas most of the heat-killed nematodes of both species were severely encapsulated by 24 h after inoculation. Other non-parasitic nematodes were also not encapsulated. Surprisingly, *C. elegans* injected into the insect haemocoel grew and propagated in the live insect, resulting in death of the host insect. Our results suggest that *C. elegans* has some basic mechanisms to evade immunity of *G. mellonella* and grow in the haemocoel.

Introduction

Among multicellular organisms, the phylum Nematoda is one of the most abundant and diverse animal groups. Most nematodes are free-living and non-parasitic, but some have acquired parasitism against a wide variety of organisms including animals and plants. According to molecular phylogenetic analyses, there are at least three origins of plant parasitism, 10 origins of parasitism of a wide range of non-vertebrates and five origins of parasitism of vertebrate hosts (Bird *et al.*, 2014; Blaxter and Koutsovoulos, 2014). It will be interesting to clarify the mechanisms by which nematodes in different groups can independently acquire parasitism to a wide variety of hosts. Insect parasitism arose from different groups of nematodes. While at least 10 origins of non-vertebrate parasitism indicated by molecular phylogenetic analyses, at least 20 events of acquisition of insect parasitism have been proposed in another study (Sudhaus, 2008). Despite not being well understood, the evolution of insect parasitism in nematodes may be related to the abundance of insects, which share habitats with nematodes, permitting numerous opportunities for encounters. In addition, insects with relatively simple immunity may be related. Unlike humans, insects do not have adaptive immunity, and they cope with invading pathogens mainly *via* innate immunity. There are two types of innate immunity in insects: cellular immunity mediated by haemocytes circulating in the haemocoel and humoral immunity mediated by antimicrobial peptides and phenoloxidase for melanization (Bulet and Stocklin, 2005; Eleftherianos and Revenis, 2011). For larger invading multicellular pathogens such as nematodes, cell-mediated immunity is generally effective, and the pathogens can be confined by the surrounding reaction (encapsulation) by haemocytes (Lavine and Strand, 2002). Although immunity to microbial infections caused by bacteria and fungi has been extensively studied and well understood (Dionne and Schneider, 2008; Bier and Guichard, 2012; Rämets, 2012), that to multicellular animals including nematodes is not (Castillo *et al.*, 2011; Cooper and Eleftherianos, 2016; Yi *et al.*, 2016; Wang *et al.*, 2017; Brivio *et al.*, 2018; Lalitha *et al.*, 2018).

Several nematodes have been reported to overcome insect immunity. Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) in the genera *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis* comprise a group of insect parasitic nematodes that form mutualistic associations with *Xenorhabdus* and *Photorhabdus* bacteria, respectively, in the family Enterobacteriaceae (Boemare, 2002). EPNs release symbiotic bacteria harboured in the intestine of infective juveniles (IJs) after entering the haemocoel, and the bacteria suppress insect immunity and kill the insects using metabolites and toxins (Dowds and Peters, 2002). Although the suppression of immunity is largely attributed to the symbiotic bacteria, EPNs lacking symbiotic bacteria are not encapsulated (Han and Ehlers, 2000; Hallem *et al.*, 2007; Walter *et al.*, 2008). Substances secreted from EPNs are reported to have various effects on insect immunity such as killing haemocytes, destroying proteins recognizing nematodes and disrupting prophenoloxidase activation (Balasubramanian *et al.*, 2009, 2010; Li *et al.*, 2009b; Toubarro *et al.*, 2013). It is also reported that the cuticle of EPNs lowers the encapsulation ability of haemocytes and phenoloxidase

activity (Brivio *et al.*, 2002; Yi *et al.*, 2016). This information suggests that EPNs have acquired mechanisms to suppress and/or avoid encapsulation. In addition, mermithid nematodes, a group of insect parasitic nematodes lacking symbiotic bacteria, parasitize a wide variety of insects. Mermithid nematodes are reported to lower encapsulation ability by suppressing haemocyte activity (Li *et al.*, 2009a). In addition, other mermithid nematodes avoid encapsulation by removing the outer skin to which haemocytes adhere (Shamseldean *et al.*, 2007). Some insect-parasitic nematodes also inhibit the encapsulation of the host, but the mechanisms by which nematodes suppress and avoid insect immunity are not well clarified.

Although *in vitro* and aposymbiotic rearing of some EPNs is possible, most of the parasitic nematodes are typically difficult to culture independently of their host, hindering research efforts. The bacterial-feeding, non-parasitic nematode *C. elegans* is a powerful model organism for research because of the ease of culturing and handling, the well-established molecular and genetic techniques, and the richness of cellular and genomic information. In the wild, *C. elegans* forms phoretic relationships, in which nematodes use another species to aid dispersal to new sites (Bovien, 1937), with several different invertebrates (Petersen *et al.*, 2015). Interestingly, the nematode belongs to a same clade (Clade V or Clade 9) (Bird *et al.*, 2014; Blaxter and Koutsovoulos, 2014) as entomopathogenic *Heterorhabditis* nematodes (Blaxter *et al.*, 1998) and a major group of gastrointestinal parasites of vertebrates such as *Haemonchus contortus*. The phylogenetically close relationships of *C. elegans* with both invertebrate and vertebrate parasites and its invertebrate-associated nature suggest that the nematode has some basic parasitic mechanisms, making it a potentially useful model for understanding the evolution of parasitism. Conversely, insects, which have relatively simple immune systems compared with vertebrates, may be useful for studying the host–nematode interaction. However, the immune response of insects to *C. elegans* has not yet been studied. The purpose of the present study is to clarify insect immune responses, especially cellular encapsulation, against *C. elegans* and other insect non-parasitic nematodes in the larvae of the greater wax moth *Galleria mellonella*. We focused on the interaction between *C. elegans* and *G. mellonella* larvae because *C. elegans* is a model nematode and phylogenetically close to parasitic nematodes, and *G. mellonella* is a popular insect host for studying both insect and mammalian pathogens including EPNs (Poinar, 1979; Tanada and Kaya, 1993; Ramarao *et al.*, 2012). In addition, we examined the effects of injected *C. elegans* on the insect host.

Materials and methods

Nematodes and insects

Caenorhabditis elegans N2 was obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA). Nematodes were maintained on nematode growth medium (NGM) plates (1.7% agar) seeded with *Escherichia coli* strain OP50 (Stiernagle, 1999) at 20 °C. *Caenorhabditis elegans* first-stage (L1) and dauer larvae were used in experiments. The dauer larval stage is equivalent to the infective stage of EPNs. L1 larvae were compared with dauer larvae. Nematodes that harboured eggs were collected from a Rich NGM plate (Stiernagle, 1999) and treated with sodium hypochlorite and NaOH (Stiernagle, 1999) to induce egg release, and the collected eggs were left overnight in an incubator at 20 °C. Hatched axenic L1 larvae were subjected to the modified Baermann method (Whitehead and Hemming, 1965) to obtain active L1 larvae. Dauer larvae of *C. elegans* were collected from NGM plates

approximately 20 days after starting nematode culture. Nematodes were treated with 1% sodium dodecyl sulphate for 15 min, washed with distilled water 5–6 times and subjected to the modified Baermann method to eliminate non-dauer nematodes and obtain active dauer larvae.

The EPN *H. bacteriophora* TT01 (kindly provided by Dr Ann Burnell, National University of Ireland-Maynooth) was maintained using *G. mellonella* larvae. Axenic IJs of *H. bacteriophora* were obtained as previously described (Han and Ehlers, 2000). Briefly, Axenic *H. bacteriophora* L1 larvae hatched from surface-sterilized eggs were monoxenically cultured on lipid agar plate seeded with *Photorhabdus temperata*, and IJs obtained by the culture were surface-sterilized to obtain axenic IJs.

The plant-parasitic nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* and the fungivorous nematode *Aphelenchus avenae* were used as a comparison. *Meloidogyne incognita* was maintained with *Solanum lycopersicum* (Pritz: Kaneko Seeds Co., Ltd., Gunma, Japan) grown in a growth chamber at 27 °C (a 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod) (Yoshiga and Umezaki, 2016). Freshly hatched second-stage juveniles were used for the experiments. *Aphelenchus avenae* was cultured on *Botrytis cinerea* growing on autoclaved barley grain medium at 20 °C. Mixed-stage nematodes after extraction using the modified Baermann method were used for experiments.

Galleria mellonella was cultured on an artificial diet [240 g of honey, 200 g of glycerol, 100 mL of water, 320 g of wheat bran, 100 g of dry yeast (Ebios, Asahi Food & Healthcare, Ltd) and 40 g of bee wax (White Pellet, Wako, Osaka, Japan)]. Bee wax and honey were mixed and heated in a microwave before being mixed with the other ingredients. Insects were maintained at 30 °C, and the last instar larvae were used for the experiments.

Encapsulation assay

An aliquot of 50 µL of nematode suspension containing approximately 100 L1 or dauer larvae of *C. elegans* in sterile M9 buffer (3 g of KH₂PO₄, 6 g of Na₂HPO₄, 5 g of NaCl, 1 mL of 1 M MgSO₄, H₂O to 1 L) was injected into a *G. mellonella* larva using a 1 mL tuberculin syringe with a 26 G × 1/2" needle (SS-01T2613S: Terumo Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Insects were dissected under a dissecting microscope 24 and 72 h after nematode injection, the insect carcass was carefully washed with M9 buffer to recover injected nematodes, and nematodes were counted under a stereoscopic microscope as unencapsulated, partially encapsulated or completely encapsulated. For comparison, nematodes treated *via* autoclaving (120 °C, 1.2 atm, 20 min) or exposure to chloroform (5 min soak following by extensive washing with distilled water) or 1 pp Abamectin (Abamectin standard, Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan), a nematicide that inhibits a glutamate-gated ion channel in the invertebrate neural system resulting in nematode inactivation, were also injected. Abamectin-treated *C. elegans* were prepared by soaking nematodes in a final concentration of 1 ppm abamectin followed by several washes with M9 buffer. As a comparison, approximately 100 individuals of axenic *H. bacteriophora* IJs, second-stage *M. incognita* and mixed-stage *A. avenae* were injected. Five insects were used for each treatment, and experiments were repeated three times. Three insects were used for each treatment, and experiments were repeated three times.

Changes in the number of nematodes in insects

An aliquot of 50 µL of a nematode suspension containing approximately 100 live L1 or dauer larvae of *C. elegans* was injected into a *G. mellonella* larva. Insects were dissected 1, 3 and 5 (dauer larvae) or 7 days (L1 larvae) after the injection of




Fig. 1. Haemocyte reaction to *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and *Caenorhabditis elegans* in the haemocoel of *Galleria mellonella* larvae. *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* recovered from a *G. mellonella* larva 24 h after the injection of intact (A) and autoclaved (B) infective juveniles were presented together with *C. elegans* recovered from a *G. mellonella* larva 24 h after the injection of intact first-stage larvae (C), dauer larvae (D), autoclaved L1 larvae (E) and autoclaved dauer larvae (F). Note that the size differences between the treatments after the injection of L1 (C and E) or dauer larvae (D and F) are caused by the heat denaturation and growth of nematodes.

nematodes. Nematodes were counted under a stereoscopic microscope. As a comparison, the number of nematodes on a 6 cm Rich NGM plate seeded with *E. coli* OP50 was counted after nematode inoculation. Fifteen insects and 15 Rich NGM plates were used at each time point, and the experiment was repeated three times.

Measurement of the body length and width of nematodes

After injecting 50 μ L of a nematode suspension containing approximately 100 surface-sterilized *C. elegans* L1 larvae into a *G. mellonella* larva, the insect was placed in a 9 cm Petri dish without food and dissected 3 days later. The body length and width of nematodes recovered from the insect were measured using an Olympus SZX16 microscope system with a DP21 digital camera (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). As a comparison, nematodes grown on a 9 cm Rich NGM plate seeded with *E. coli* OP50 were measured 3 days after the inoculation of 100 surface-sterilized L1 larvae. Fifteen nematodes were used for each measurement, and the experiment was repeated three times.

Effects of nematode injection on the insect

The survival of insects after the injection of 50 μ L of M9 buffer containing no nematodes, 100 live *C. elegans* L1 larvae, 100 live *C. elegans* dauer larvae, 100 heat-killed L1 larvae or 100 heat-killed dauer larvae was measured 1, 3, 7 and 10 days after injection. Nematodes were heat-killed by soaking the nematode suspension in a water bath at 60 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 min to minimize the structural damage. The internal (intestine, fat body and other organs and tissues) and external appearances of dead insects were observed under a stereoscopic microscope. Ten insects were used for each treatment, and experiment was repeated three times. Nematodes excrete ammonia, which is highly toxic to organisms, as nitrogen waste (Lee and Atkinson, 1977). We

assumed that increased ammonia levels in the insect haemocoel during nematode propagation may cause insect death. Ammonia concentrations in insects after no treatment and nematode injection were compared. The ammonia concentration in insects was measured using an ammonia assay kit [EnzyChrom™ Ammonia/Ammonium Assay Kit (ENH3-100), BioAssay Systems, California, USA].

Statistical analysis

For the encapsulation rate of nematode and survival rate of insects, the percentage data were transformed to arcsin and were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). For the propagation of nematode, mean values were compared using one-way ANOVA. The statistical analysis was performed by Tukey's test. Differences in the body sizes of nematodes were statistically analysed using Student's *t*-test. All tests were considered significant at $P < 0.05$.

Results

Neither *H. bacteriophora* nor *C. elegans* is encapsulated in insects

When injected into the *G. mellonella* haemocoel, intact axenic *H. bacteriophora* IJs were alive and unencapsulated, but most of the nematodes killed by autoclaving or chloroform treatment were severely encapsulated by the haemocytes after 24 h (Fig. 1A and B). No melanization was observed during the encapsulation. Similarly, when *C. elegans* L1 or dauer larvae were injected, most intact nematodes were alive and not attached to haemocytes or encapsulated, but autoclaved larvae were severely encapsulated without melanization (Fig. 1C–F).




Fig. 2. Percentages of nematodes encapsulated in the haemocoel of *Galleria mellonella* larvae. Percentages of encapsulated *Caenorhabditis elegans* first-stage (L1) (A) or dauer (DL) larvae (B) in a *G. mellonella* larva 24 and 72 h after the injection of intact, abamectin-treated or autoclaved nematodes. Percentages of encapsulated intact *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Aphelenchus avenae* in a *G. mellonella* larva 24 and 48 h after nematode injection (C).

The percentages of encapsulated *C. elegans* exposed to various treatments before injection were compared (Fig. 2A and B). All of the autoclaved L1 and dauer larvae were entirely encapsulated within 24 h after injection. Conversely, both intact L1 and dauer larvae of *C. elegans* were hardly encapsulated even at 72 h after injection. Most of the abamectin-treated nematodes were not encapsulated 72 h after inoculation in both nematode stages, and active undulatory movement of *C. elegans* did not contribute to the avoidance of encapsulation.

Similar to *C. elegans*, low percentages of other insect–non-parasitic nematodes such as the plant-parasitic *M. incognita* and fungal-feeding *A. avenae* were encapsulated (Fig. 2C).

Caenorhabditis elegans can propagate in the live insect haemocoel

After injecting *C. elegans* L1 or dauer larvae, nematodes grew in the insect haemocoel, and adults and hatched L1 nematodes were observed on day 3. The number of nematode increased by nearly 200-fold (2000 nematodes/insect after the inoculation of 100 nematodes) 5 and 7 days after the inoculation of dauer and L1 larvae, respectively (Fig. 3A, B and D). In comparison, when

nematodes were cultured on a plate seeded with *E. coli*, the number of nematodes had expanded by 1200-fold (12 000 nematodes/plate) 7 days after L1 inoculation (Fig. 3C). The nematodes that grew in the insect haemocoel were slightly smaller than those grown on *E. coli* plates (Fig. 3E and F), but they harbour eggs and appear to have sufficient size to produce offspring. Other insect–non-parasitic nematodes such as *M. incognita* and *A. avenae* did not grow in the insect (data not shown).

The insect injected with *C. elegans* dies

Insects started to die 7 and 3 days after the injection of live *C. elegans* L1 and dauer larvae, respectively, and insect survival gradually decreased thereafter (Fig. 4A). Black discoloured spots were often observed in the epidermis of the dead insects (Fig. 4Bb), but inner structures such as the fat body and intestine of the dead insects were not apparently damaged (Fig. 4Bc). No mortality was observed in insects injected with heat-killed nematodes, buffer without nematodes or the non-treated control at 10 days post-injection.

We measured ammonia concentrations in the insect haemolymph after nematode inoculation, but no differences were




Fig. 3. Propagation and body sizes of *Caenorhabditis elegans* after the injection of nematodes into *Galleria mellonella* larvae. Number of *C. elegans* nematodes in a *G. mellonella* larva 1, 3 and 7 days after the injection of first-stage larvae (L1) (A) and 1, 3 and 5 days after the injection of dauer larvae (DL) (B). Number of nematodes on a plate seeded with *E. coli* OP50 1, 3 and 7 days after the inoculation of *C. elegans* L1 larvae (C). The same letter indicates no statistical difference (Tukey's test, $P < 0.05$). Nematodes released from a ventrally dissected *G. mellonella* larva 10 days after the injection of *C. elegans* L1 larvae (D). Comparison of the body length (E) and width (F) of *C. elegans* adults cultured with *E. coli* OP50 and *G. mellonella* larvae. An asterisk indicates a significant difference (Student's *t*-test, $P < 0.05$).

observed (untreated insect, $475 \mu\text{M} \pm 322$; nematode-injected insects, $461 \mu\text{M} \pm 502$).

Discussion

Evasion of insect immunity

In the present study, we examined the cellular immune response of *G. mellonella* against the non-parasitic bacterial-feeding nematode *C. elegans* and the parasitic nematode *H. bacteriophora*. It was surprising that not only the EPN but also *C. elegans* were alive and rarely encapsulated by the insect haemocytes in the *G. mellonella* haemocoel when intact nematodes were injected. In addition, other insect non-parasitic nematodes such as the plant-parasitic nematode *M. incognita* and fungivorous nematode *A. avenae* were also not encapsulated in the insect haemocoel. The fact that heat-denatured nematodes were strongly encapsulated indicates that *G. mellonella* has sufficient ability to recognize and encapsulate foreign substances. These results suggest both parasitic and non-parasitic intact nematodes are not easily encapsulated and killed in *G. mellonella*.

Recognition of nematodes by the insect immune system is not well understood (Yu and Kanost, 2004; Sanda *et al.*, 2018), but parasitic nematodes reportedly induce lower immune gene transcription in insects, including axenic *Heterorhabditis* nematodes (worms lacking symbiotic *Photorhabdus* bacteria) in *Manduca sexta* (Eleftherianos *et al.*, 2010; Castillo *et al.*, 2011) and

Drosophila (Hallem *et al.*, 2007) and filarial parasites in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes (Erickson *et al.*, 2009). Contrarily, the immune genes of *Armigeres subalbatus* mosquitoes are upregulated upon infection by *Brugia filariae* (Aliota *et al.*, 2007, 2010; Mayhew *et al.*, 2007; Kariuki *et al.*, 2010). Further extensive transcriptomic analyses revealed that the genes induced by nematode infection are associated with stress responses, lipid homeostasis, metabolic processes, neuronal functions and possibly recognition proteins (Castillo *et al.*, 2015; Yadav *et al.*, 2017). Our present results suggest that even intact non-parasitic nematodes are not well recognized by the *G. mellonella* immune system, nor do they activate insect cellular immune responses. There is a possibility that some different responses such as stress responses in the presence of the nematodes may occur in the *G. mellonella* haemocoel, but these responses may not be directly related to immune responses because the intact nematodes were neither encapsulated nor killed. Factors that are important for recognition of intact nematodes to evoke encapsulation remain unclear, but mechanisms that recognize intact and autoclaved nematodes may be different.

The importance of the body surface of EPNs for evading insect immunity has been suggested (Mastore and Brivio, 2008). In our study, *G. mellonella* could not encapsulate intact and paralysed nematodes, but it did encapsulate autoclaved and chloroform-treated nematodes. Autoclaving and chloroform treatment appear to damage body surface of nematodes and thus the intact body surface appears to be important for recognition and encapsulation




Fig. 4. Effects of *Caenorhabditis elegans* propagation on *Galleria mellonella* larvae. (A) Survival rate of *G. mellonella* larvae 1, 3, 7 and 10 days after the injection of 100 live or heat-killed *C. elegans* first-stage (L1) or dauer (DL) larvae. The survival rate of insects was calculated based upon the numbers of insects. The capital and lowercase letters indicate the statistical differences of insects injected with live L1 and dauer larvae, respectively. The same letter indicates no statistical difference (Tukey's test, $P < 0.05$). (B) External and internal appearances of dead *G. mellonella* larvae 8 days after the injection of live *C. elegans* L1 larvae. *Galleria mellonella* larvae injected with nematodes (b, d) displayed black discoloured spots (arrowheads), but no apparent damage on the fat body and other tissues was observed in comparison to non-treated controls (a, c).

of nematodes. The fact that various lineages of nematodes have acquired parasitism to insects (Sudhaus, 2008, 2010; Bird *et al.*, 2014; Blaxter and Koutsovoulos, 2014) implies that some basic systems in nematodes contribute to the evasion of insect immunity. Conversely, some insects are reported to encapsulate parasitic nematodes (Aliota *et al.*, 2007, 2010; Li *et al.*, 2007; Mayhew *et al.*, 2007; Kariuki *et al.*, 2010), and the free-living nematode *Panagrolaimus rigidus* is encapsulated in *G. mellonella* (Brivio *et al.*, 2004). These reports together with our results suggest that the ability to recognize and encapsulate nematodes may differ among insect species/groups and between nematode–insect combinations. However, very limited information regarding interaction between non-insect-parasitic nematodes and insects is available. Further research on the immune responses against non-parasitic nematodes in different insects may help to elucidate the evolution of immunity and evasion of insect immunity.

Propagation in the insect haemocoel


We demonstrated that *C. elegans* can grow and propagate in the haemocoel of live *G. mellonella* larvae. Although the axenic EPN *S. carpocapsae* can grow in *G. mellonella* larvae (Han and Ehlers, 2000; Kikuta *et al.*, 2008; Fuchi *et al.*, 2016), this is the first report and demonstration, to the best of our knowledge, of the propagation of axenic and non-parasitic nematodes in insects. Because no bacterial infestation was observed in the insect haemolymph in which nematodes were propagating and insect tissues were not apparently damaged during the propagation, *C.*

elegans appears to obtain nutrients from the insect haemolymph. The insect haemolymph is rich in amino acids and sugars (mainly trehalose), and it contains proteins and lipids (Nation, 2008) and appears to have sufficient nutritional richness to support the growth of both parasitic and bacterial-feeding nematodes. The result that adults were observed 3 days after dauer larvae inoculation is indicative of the recovery from the dauer stage (to survive unfavourable condition) to propagative stages, further suggesting that the insect haemocoel is a favourable environment for *C. elegans*. Because *C. elegans* is phylogenetically similar to insect parasitic *Heterorhabditis* nematodes and *Caenorhabditis* nematodes are often associated with invertebrates (Kiontke *et al.*, 2011), *C. elegans* can be a good model for studying the evolution of insect parasitism.

Insect mortality

In this study, we found that insects died when *C. elegans* larvae were injected into the haemocoel of *G. mellonella* larvae. Autopsy revealed black discoloured spots in the epidermis of the dead insects, whereas the inner structure of dead insects after nematode inoculation was not damaged. The black discoloured spots may be caused by phenoloxidase activity, but the relationship between black discoloured spots and insect death is currently unclear. We suspected that increased ammonia content due to nematode propagation in the insect haemolymph was a cause of insect death. However, ammonia concentrations were not elevated even after nematode propagation. EPNs kill host

insects *via* symbiotic bacteria harboured in their intestines (Forst and Clarke, 2002), but axenic EPNs in the genus *Steinernema* can also kill insects even without their mutualistic bacteria (Burman, 1982; Ehlers *et al.*, 1997; Han and Ehlers, 2000; Fuchi *et al.*, 2016). The cause of pathogenicity remains unclear, but the secretion of venom proteins by *S. carpocapsae* was recently reported (Lu *et al.*, 2017). In our preliminary experiments, injection of the culture medium of axenic *C. elegans* did not kill *G. mellonella* (data not shown), suggesting that different mechanisms underlie the killing of *G. mellonella* by *C. elegans*.

Author ORCIDs.  Toyoshi Yoshiga, 0000-0002-7050-2618.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center (CGC) for providing a nematode strain and Enago (www.enago.jp) for the English language review of the manuscript.

Financial support. This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research (T.Y., grant number 23658051) and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (T.Y., grant number 18K05676).

Conflict of interest. None.

Ethical standard. Not applicable.

References

- Aliota MT, Fuchs JF, Mayhew GF, Chen CC and Christensen BM (2007) Mosquito transcriptome changes and filarial worm resistance in *Armigeres subalbatus*. *BMC Genomics* **8**, 463.
- Aliota MT, Fuchs JF, Rocheleau TA, Clark AK, Hillyer JF, Chen CC and Christensen BM (2010) Mosquito transcriptome profiles and filarial susceptibility in *Armigeres subalbatus*. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **4**, e666.
- Balasubramanian N, Hao YJ, Toubarro D, Nascimento G and Simões N (2009) Purification, biochemical and molecular analysis of a chymotrypsin protease with prophenoloxidase suppression activity from the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae*. *International Journal for Parasitology* **39**, 975–984.
- Balasubramanian N, Toubarro D and Simões N (2010) Biochemical study and *in vitro* insect immune suppression by a trypsin-like secreted protease from the nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae*. *Parasite Immunology* **32**, 165–175.
- Bier E and Guichard A (2012) Deconstructing host–pathogen interactions in *Drosophila*. *Disease Models & Mechanisms* **5**, 48–61.
- Bird DM, Jones JT, Opperman CH, Kikuchi T and Danchin EGJ (2014) Signatures of adaptation to plant parasitism in nematode genomes. *Parasitology* **142**(suppl), S71–S84.
- Blaxter M and Koutsovoulos G (2014) The evolution of parasitism in Nematoda. *Parasitology* **142**(suppl), S26–S39.
- Blaxter ML, De Ley P, Garey JR, Liu LX, Scheldeman P, Vierstraete A, Vanfleteren JR, Mackey LY, Dorris M, Frisse LM, Vida JT and Thomas WK (1998) A molecular evolutionary framework for the phylum Nematoda. *Nature* **392**, 71–75.
- Boemare N (2002) Biology, taxonomy and systematics of *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus*. In Gaugler R (ed.) *Entomopathogenic Nematology*. New York, USA: CABI, pp. 35–56.
- Bovien P (1937) Some types of association between nematodes and insects. *Videnskabelige Meddelelser fra Dansk Naturhistorisk Forening* **101**, 1–114.
- Brivio MF, Pagani M and Restelli S (2002) Immune suppression of *Galleria mellonella* (Insecta, Lepidoptera) humoral defenses induced by *Steinernema feltiae* (Nematoda, Rhabditida): involvement of the parasite cuticle. *Experimental Parasitology* **101**, 149–156.
- Brivio MF, Mastore M and Moro M (2004) Role of *Steinernema feltiae* body-surface lipids in host–parasite immunological interactions. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* **135**, 111–121.
- Brivio MF, Toscano A, De Pasquale SM, De Lerma Barbaro A, Giovannardi S, Finzi G and Mastore M (2018) Surface protein components from entomopathogenic nematodes and their symbiotic bacteria: effects on immune responses of the greater wax moth, *Galleria mellonella* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Pest Management Science* **74**, 2089–2099.
- Bulet P and Stocklin R (2005) Insect antimicrobial peptides: structures, properties and gene regulation. *Protein and Peptide Letters* **12**, 3–11.
- Burman M (1982) *Neoplectana carpocapsae*: toxin production by axenic insect parasitic nematodes. *Nematologica* **28**, 62–70.
- Castillo JC, Reynolds SE and Eleftherianos I (2011) Insect immune responses to nematode parasites. *Trends in Parasitology* **27**, 537–547.
- Castillo JC, Creasy T, Kumari P, Shetty A, Shokal U, Tallon LJ and Eleftherianos I (2015) *Drosophila* anti-nematode and antibacterial immune regulators revealed by RNA-Seq. *BMC Genomics* **16**, 519.
- Cooper D and Eleftherianos I (2016) Parasitic nematode immunomodulatory strategies: recent advances and perspectives. *Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland)* **5**, 58.
- Dionne M and Schneider D (2008) Models of infectious diseases in the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Disease Models & Mechanisms* **1**, 43–49.
- Dowds BCA and Peters A (2002) Virulence mechanisms. In Gaugler R (ed.) *Entomopathogenic Nematology*. New York, USA: CABI, pp. 79–98.
- Ehlers RU, Wulff A and Peters A (1997) Pathogenicity of axenic *Steinernema feltiae*, *Xenorhabdus bovienii*, and the bacto–helminthic complex to larvae of *Tipula oleracea* (Diptera) and *Galleria mellonella* (Lepidoptera). *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* **69**, 212–217.
- Eleftherianos I and Revenis C (2011) Role and importance of phenoloxidase in insect hemostasis. *Journal of Innate Immunity* **3**, 28–33.
- Eleftherianos I, Joyce S, French-Constant RH, Clarke DJ and Reynolds SE (2010) Probing the tri-trophic interaction between insects, nematodes and *Photorhabdus*. *Parasitology* **137**, 1695–1706.
- Erickson SM, Xi Z, Mayhew GF, Ramirez JL, Aliota MT, Christensen BM and Dimopoulos G (2009) Mosquito infection responses to developing filarial worms. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **3**, e529.
- Forst S and Clarke D (2002) Bacteria–nematode symbiosis. In Gaugler R (ed.) *Entomopathogenic Nematology*. New York, USA: CABI, pp. 57–78.
- Fuchi M, Ono M, Kondo E and Yoshiga T (2016) Pathogenicity of the axenic entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* against *Galleria mellonella* and *Spodoptera litura* larvae. *Nematological Research* **46**, 39–44.
- Halle M, Michelle R, Todd AR and Paul WS (2007) Nematodes, bacteria, and flies: a tripartite model for nematode parasitism. *Current Biology* **17**, 898–904.
- Han R and Ehlers RU (2000) Pathogenicity, development, and reproduction of *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and *Steinernema carpocapsae* under axenic *in vivo* conditions. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* **75**, 55–58.
- Kariuki MM, Hearne LB and Beerntsen BT (2010) Differential transcript expression between the microfilariae of the filarial nematodes, *Brugia malayi* and *B. pahangi*. *BMC Genomics* **11**, 225.
- Kikuta S, Kiuchi T, Aoki F and Nagata M (2008) Development of an entomopathogenic nematode, *Steinernema carpocapsae*, in cultured insect cells under axenic conditions. *Nematology* **10**, 845–851.
- Kiontke KC, Félix MA, Ailion M, Rockman MV, Braendle C, Pénigault JB and Fitch DH (2011) A phylogeny and molecular barcodes for *Caenorhabditis*, with numerous new species from rotting fruits. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **11**, 339.
- Lalitha K, Karthi S, Vengateswari G, Karthikraja R, Perumal P and Shivakumar MS (2018) Effect of entomopathogenic nematode of *Heterorhabditis indica* infection on immune and antioxidant system in lepidopteran pest *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Parasitic Diseases* **42**, 204–211.
- Lavine MD and Strand MR (2002) Insect haemocyte and their role in immunity. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **32**, 295–309.
- Lee DL and Atkinson HJ (1977) *Physiology of Nematodes*, 2nd Edn. New York, USA: Columbia University Press.
- Li XY, Cowles RS, Cowles EA, Gaugler R and Cox-Foster DL (2007) Relationship between the successful infection by entomopathogenic nematodes and the host immune response. *International Journal for Parasitology* **37**, 365–374.
- Li Q, Sun Y, Wang G and Liu X (2009a) Effects of the mermithid nematode *Ovomermis sinensis* on the hemocytes of its host *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **55**, 47–50.
- Li X, Cowles EA, Cowles RS, Gaugler R and Cox-Foster DL (2009b) Characterization of immunosuppressive surface coat proteins from *Steinernema glaseri* that selectively kill blood cells in susceptible hosts. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* **165**, 162–169.
- Lu D, Macchietto M, Chang D, Barros MM, Baldwin J, Mortazavi A and Dillman AR (2017) Activated entomopathogenic nematode infective juveniles release lethal venom proteins. *PLoS Pathogens* **13**, e1006302.

- Mastore M and Brivio MF** (2008) Cuticular surface lipids are responsible for disguise properties of an entomoparasite against host cellular responses. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **32**, 1050–1062.
- Mayhew GF, Bartholomay LC, Kou HY, Rocheleau TA, Fuchs JF, Aliota MT, Tsao IY, Huang CY, Liu TT, Hsiao KJ, Tsai SF, Yang UC, Perna NT, Cho WL, Christensen BM and Chen CC** (2007) Construction and characterization of an expressed sequenced tag library for the mosquito vector *Armigeres subalbatus*. *BMC Genomics* **8**, 462.
- Nation JL** (2008) *Insect Physiology and Biochemistry*, 2nd Edn. Florida, USA: CRC Press.
- Petersen C, Hermann RJ, Barg MC, Schalkowski R, Dirksen P, Barbosa C and Schulenburg H** (2015) Travelling at a slug's pace: possible invertebrate vectors *Caenorhabditis* nematodes. *BMC Ecology* **15**, 19.
- Poinar GO** (1979) *Nematodes for Biological Control of Insects*. Florida, USA: CRC Press.
- Ramarao N, Nielsen-Leroux C and Lereclus D** (2012) The insect *Galleria mellonella* as a powerful infection model to investigate bacterial pathogenesis. *Journal of Visualized Experiments* **11**, e4392.
- Rämet M** (2012) The fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* unfolds the secrets of innate immunity. *Acta Paediatrica* **101**, 900–905.
- Sanda NB, Muhammad A, Ali H and Hou Y** (2018) Entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* surpasses the cellular immune responses of the hispid beetle, *Octodonta nipae* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Microbial Pathogenesis* **124**, 337–345.
- Shamseldeen MM, Platzer EG and Gaugler R** (2007) Role of the surface coat of *Romanomermis culicivorax* in immune evasion. *Nematology* **9**, 17–24.
- Stiernagle T** (1999) Maintenance of *C. elegans*. In Hope I (ed.) *C. elegans: A Practical Approach*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, pp. 51–67.
- Sudhaus W** (2008) Evolution of insect parasitism in rhabditid and diplogastrid nematodes. In Makarov SE and Dimitrijevic RN (eds), *Advances in Arachnology and Developmental Biology*. Belgrade, Serbia: Institute of Zoology, pp. 143–161.
- Sudhaus W** (2010) Preadaptive plateau in Rhabditida (Nematoda) allowed the repeated evolution of zooparasites, with an outlook on evolution of life cycles within Spiroascarida. *Palaeodiversity* **3**(suppl), S117–S130.
- Tanada Y and Kaya HK** (1993) *Insect Pathology*. California, USA: Academic Press.
- Toubarro D, Avila MM, Montiel R and Simoes N** (2013) A pathogenic nematode targets recognition proteins to avoid insect defenses. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e75691.
- Walter TM, Dunphy GB and Mandato CA** (2008) *Steinernema carpocapsae* DD136: Metabolites limit the non-self adhesion responses of haemocytes of two lepidopteran larvae, *Galleria mellonella* (Pyralidae) and *Malacosoma disstria* (Lasiocampidae). *Experimental Parasitology* **120**, 161–174.
- Wang P, Zhuo XR, Tang L, Liu XS, Wang YF, Wang GX, Yu XQ and Wang JL** (2017) C-type lectin interacting with β -integrin enhances hemocytic encapsulation in the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **86**, 29–40.
- Whitehead AG and Hemming JR** (1965) A comparison of some quantitative methods of extracting small vermiform nematodes from soil. *Annals of Applied Biology* **55**, 25–38.
- Yadav S, Daugherty S, Shetty AC and Eleftherianos I** (2017) RNAseq analysis of the *Drosophila* response to the Entomopathogenic Nematode *Steinernema*. *G3* **7**, 1955–1967.
- Yi YH, Wu GQ, Lv JL and Li M** (2016) Eicosanoids mediate *Galleria mellonella* immune response to hemocoel injection of entomopathogenic nematode cuticles. *Parasitology Research* **111**, 597–608.
- Yoshiga T and Umezaki U** (2016) A simple and small-scale hydroponic culture system to prepare second-stage juveniles of the root-knot nematode. *Applied Entomology and Zoology* **51**, 151–154.
- Yu XQ and Kanost MR** (2004) Immulectin-2, a pattern recognition receptor that stimulates hemocyte encapsulation and melanization in the tobacco hornworm, *Manduca sexta*. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **28**, 891–900.