Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom

cambridge.org/mbi

Original Article

Cite this article: Menon M, Maheswarudu G, Sree Ramulu K, Kizhakudan SJ (2020). Reproductive biology and diet of the longtail butterfly ray *Gymnura poecilura* (Shaw, 1804) along western Bay of Bengal. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **100**, 461–470. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S0025315420000259

Received: 28 December 2018 Revised: 3 March 2020 Accepted: 3 March 2020 First published online: 4 May 2020

Key words:

Bay of Bengal; butterfly ray; India; IRI; maturity

Author for correspondence:

Muktha Menon, E-mail: muktha.menon@icar. gov.in

© Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 2020



Reproductive biology and diet of the longtail butterfly ray *Gymnura poecilura* (Shaw, 1804) along western Bay of Bengal

Muktha Menon¹ , G. Maheswarudu², K. Sree Ramulu³ and

Shoba Joe Kizhakudan⁴

¹Visakhapatnam Regional Centre of ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India; ²ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Kochi, Kerala, India; ³Department of Zoology, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India and ⁴Madras Research Centre of ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

The reproductive biology and diet of the longtail butterfly ray *Gymnura poecilura* is described based on 630 specimens (N = 377 females and 253 males). The mean disc width (W_D) of females and males was 576.7 mm (range = 190–920 mm) and 416.3 mm (230–550 mm), respectively. Sexual dimorphism in size was seen, with the maximum size of males being only 60% of the maximum female size. The disc widths at 50% maturity (W_{D50}) were estimated to be 506.5 mm (females) and 435.9 mm (males). The mean uterine fecundity was 3.3 (range = 1–8). The size at birth was between 190 and 220 mm W_D . Year-round reproduction was indicated, due to the presence of mature males and gravid females throughout the year, neonates in multiple months and large variations in embryo size within months. Feeding intensity increased with body size. Fish accounted for 80.9% of the diet (Index of Relative Importance, IRI), followed by crustaceans (18.9%) and molluscs. The species was found to be a specialized feeder.

Introduction

The longtail butterfly ray, *Gymnura poecilura* (Shaw, 1804) is distributed widely in the Indo-West Pacific with confirmed reports extending from the south-west and east coast of India, Sri Lanka to Indonesia and China (Muktha *et al.*, 2016). Reports of *G. poecilura* from the northern Arabian Sea and regions further west need confirmation as evidence points to the presence of a different species of *Gymnura* from that area (Muktha *et al.*, 2016). Given the vulnerability of the species to fisheries, in particular to the trawl fleet, and the general lack of knowledge on its biology, it has been classified as Near Threatened by the IUCN (Bizzarro & White, 2006).

Elasmobranchs are a vulnerable marine group due to their slow growth rates, long life, low fecundity and late age of maturity (Stevens *et al.*, 2000). Hence information on their life history is critical for assessing their vulnerabilities. The reproductive biology of *G. poecilura* has been studied to varying degrees in India and the Bay of Bengal. The first published work on the reproductive biology of this species is possibly that of Woodmason & Alcock (1891) in which they described the embryos of *G. micrura*. However, *G. micrura* as used in the Indian sub-continent has been a misapplication as a synonym of *G. poecilura* with no connection to the original *G. micrura* (Bloch & Schneider, 1801) from the Atlantic Ocean (James, 1966; Muktha *et al.*, 2016). Since then there have been reports by Alcock (1892); Setna & Sarangdhar (1950) from Bombay waters; James (1966) from the Gulf of Mannar and Palk Bay; Devadoss (1998) from Chennai; and Raje (2003) and Raje & Zacharia (2009) from Mumbai waters. However, recent biological information is lacking for the species. None of these reports have dealt with diet of *G. poecilura* in detail.

Given the IUCN classification of the species, lack of recent information on reproduction and lack of detailed information on diet, this study was undertaken to provide up to date information on these aspects of *Gymnura poecilura* from the western Bay of Bengal which could be helpful for re-assessing its status.

Materials and methods

The study area covers the western Bay of Bengal along the east coast of India, which is fished extensively by trawlers operating from Visakhapatnam Fishing Harbour (17.6962°N 83.3009°E) (Figure 1). Nearly 579 trawlers operate from this fishing harbour, fishing to depths of 100 m (Muktha *et al.*, 2018).

Gymnura poecilura is landed regularly as a by-catch in trawl fisheries from Visakhapatnam Fishing Harbour. Specimens were collected weekly from December 2012 to December 2015. The collected specimens were brought to the laboratory where their disc widths (W_D , mm), total weight (W, g) and sex were recorded. The animals were then dissected to check for



Fig. 1. Map showing study location (Visakhapatnam) along east coast of India bordering western Bay of Bengal, with bold arrows indicating the north and south limits of the regular trawling areas along the study area; map of India in inset.

reproductive status. For females the number, approximate diameter and colour of ovarian follicles were noted. The uterus was also examined for the presence of pups. If pups were present, their W_D , W and sex were recorded. In males, since it was difficult to distinguish between a partially calcified clasper and a fully calcified clasper, rigidity of claspers was not used as a criterion for maturity; instead the condition of the testes was used (e.g. Conrath, 2004; Yokota *et al.*, 2012).

The sex ratio of females to males was tested using the Pearson's χ^2 goodness-of-fit test at 5% level of significance (Zar, 1999). Mean size of males and females were tested for significant difference using the Student's *t*-test at 5% level of significance (Zar, 1999). The size-weight relationship was assessed using the standard equation given by Le Cren (1951):

$$W = a \times (W_{\rm D})^b$$

where *a* is the intercept (weight at zero width), *b* is the slope (change in weight per unit change in disc width). Size-weight relationships were fit separately for males and females and compared using Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) (Snedecor & Cochran, 1967) at 5% level of significance.

For males, three maturity stages (Figure 2) were assigned: (1) Immature - testis was small, without any lobed structure; (2) Maturing - testis was larger forming 15-20% of body cavity and with small lobes; and (3) Mature - testis was large, occupying >30% of body cavity, with fully formed lobes throughout. The spent stage (based on flaccid testis and the size of the specimen) was also included within the 'Mature' category. For females, five maturity stages (Figure 3) were assigned: (1) Immature - ovary was small, with a creamy appearance and no visible ovarian follicles; (2) Maturing - small, yolk-filled ovarian follicles of 1-2 mm diameter in the ovary and with a narrow uterus; (3) Mature large yolk-filled ovarian follicles of 3-15 mm diameter in the ovary, uterus small, without pups; (4) Gravid - ovary with or without yolk-filled ovarian follicles, embryo(s) in the uterus; and (5) Spent recovering - with yolk-filled ovarian follicles in ovary, uterus large and flaccid but without embryos, indicating a recent release of embryos. The presence of small ovarian follicles in the 'Spent' category indicated a recovering stage after an earlier release of pups.

Size (W_D) at 50% maturity (W_{D50}) was estimated using the procedure outlined by King (1995). The proportion of mature fish in each 100 mm size class was fit to the following logistic equation:

$$P = \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-r(W_{\rm D} - W_{\rm D50})})}$$

where, *P* is the proportion of mature fish in each length class, *r* is the slope of the logistic curve, W_D is the disc width of specimen (mm) and W_{D50} is the disc width which corresponds to a proportion of 0.5 in reproductive condition. For females, mature specimens were those in stages 'Mature', 'Gravid' and 'Spent'.

Size at birth was estimated based on the size of the largest pup seen within a uterus and the smallest neonatal (free swimming) individual. The parturition season was estimated by studying the monthly size-frequency of neonates and near-term embryos.

The cardiac stomach of each specimen was dissected out and the fullness of stomach was checked to assess the feeding intensity. The degree of fullness of stomach was classified as empty, trace, quarter, half, three-quarters, full and gorged (Pillay, 1952). The stomach was then cut open and contents were weighed (total weight) and the weights and numbers of identified prey taxa recorded. The Index of Relative Importance (IRI) (Pinkas et al., 1971) was calculated from the data as: $IRI = (\%N + \%W) \times \%F$ where, %N is the percentage of the particular prey item in total number of prey items, %W is the percentage of the particular prey item in total weight of prey items and %F is the percentage frequency of occurrence of each prey item among total prey items. The IRI values were then converted to percentage IRI values for enabling easier comparisons across prey items (Cortés, 1997). IRI was estimated for male and female fish separately and tested for significant difference using a χ^2 test at a 5% level of significance.



Fig. 2. Left functional testis of *Gymnura poecilura* (A) Immature – testis immature, without lobes, male of W_D 320 mm (B) Maturing – testis maturing with small lobes visible, male of W_D 411 mm and (C) Mature – testis with clear lobes, male of W_D was 470 mm. All specimens were collected at Visakhapatnam, along east coast of India bordering western Bay of Bengal. Rudimentary non-functional right testis is also visible.



Fig. 3. Reproductive system of female *Gymnura poecilura*. (A) Immature – ovary is immature, without any visible ovarian follicles, female of W_D 328 mm. (B) Maturing – ovary maturing, with small ovarian follicles visible, female of W_D 478 mm. (C) Mature – large ovarian follicles visible, female of W_D 554 mm. (D) Gravid – embryos found within uterus, large ovarian follicles seen in ovary, female of W_D 874 mm; (E) Gravid – Same female with all six embryos displayed, three embryos from each uterus; (F) Spent recovering – Large number of ovarian follicles visible, no embryo in uterus, female of W_D 699 mm. All specimens were collected at Visakhapatnam, along east coast of India bordering western Bay of Bengal. Only the left ovary is functional, however a rudimentary right ovary is also present. Both uteri are functional.

The feeding strategy was estimated using the method outlined by Amundsen *et al.* (1996). In this method a prey-specific abundance (P_i) is estimated which is defined as the percentage of a prey taxon in relation to all prey items in only those predators in which the actual prey occurs. The prey-specific abundance is estimated as:

$$P_i = \frac{\sum S_i}{\sum S_t} \times 100$$

from upper left to lower right indicates the niche width contributions (Amundsen *et al.*, 1996). The dietary niche breadth of *G. poecilura* was estimated using the Levins Standardized Niche Breadth index (Levins, 1968; Hurlbert, 1978) which is estimated from the Levins Niche Breadth Index as follows:

$$B = \frac{1}{\sum p_j^2}; \ B_{\rm A} = \frac{B-1}{n-1};$$

where, S_i is the stomach content (weight) comprised of prey *i* and S_t is the total stomach content in only those predators with prey *i* in their stomach. The prey-specific abundance was plotted against frequency of occurrence and based on the distribution of prey points; the feeding strategy of the predator was obtained (Amundsen *et al.*, 1996). The vertical axis of the plot indicates feeding strategy with prey points falling in the upper part of the plot indicating specialized feeders and those in the bottom part indicating generalized feeders. The diagonal from lower left to upper right indicates importance of prey items. The diagonal

where B = Levins Niche Breadth, $P_j =$ proportion of food item *j*, $B_A =$ Levins Standardized Niche Breadth and n = number of food types. B_A ranges from 0 to 1, with values close to 0 indicating a specialist feeder and values close to 1 indicating a generalist feeder.

Results

The study covered 630 specimens (377 females and 253 males) of *G. poecilura*. The overall sex ratio (males:females) was skewed towards females at 1:1.49 ($\chi^2 = 861.5$, df = 1, *P* < 0.05). The sex

 Table 1. Size-based sex ratio of Gymnura poecilura collected at Visakhapatnam during December 2012–December 2015

Size class (W _D in mm)	Number of females (F)	Number of males (M)	Sex ratio (F:M)	<i>P</i> value (of χ^2 test)
<300	17	9	1.89	0.117
300-400	37	95	0.39	<0.001
400-500	55	134	0.41	<0.001
500-600	83	15	5.53	<0.001
600–700	118	0	-	-
>700	67	0	-	-
Total	377	253	1.49	<0.001

ratio for the smallest size class was 1:1 (<300 mm; P > 0.05). Males outnumbered females both in the 300–400 mm and 400–500 mm size classes (sex ratio <1; P < 0.05) (Table 1). It was only in the 500–600 mm size class that females outnumbered males (sex ratio >1, P < 0.05). Males were absent in the \geq 600 mm W_D size class.

The average W_D of females was 576.7 mm (range = 190–920 mm) and for males it was 416.3 mm (range = 230–550 mm). A Student's *t*-test indicated significant differences between mean W_D of males and females (P < 0.05), indicating sexual dimorphism in the size. The maximum W_D of males was only 60% of that of the females. The average weight of females was 1721.8 g (SE 73.4) and that of males was only 544 g (SE 22.8).

The $W_{\rm D}$ – weight relationship for males was estimated as:

$$W = 0.0055 \times (W_{\rm D})^{3.12}$$

and that for females was estimated as:

$$W = 0.0063 \times (W_{\rm D})^{3.03}$$

The slope values of 3.12 (males) and 3.08 (females) showed a significant difference from the hypothesized value of 3 in a Student's *t*-test. The ANCOVA of the two W_D – weight relationships indicated a significant difference between the two relationships, indicating marked differences (P < 0.05) in the growth of males and females.

Reproductive biology

The biological studies were conducted on 626 specimens of *G. poecilura* (373 females and 253 males). *Gymnura poecilura* has only a single functional testis on the left side of the animal and a rudimentary testis on the right side (Figure 2), with females having a single functional ovary on the left side of the animal, rudimentary ovary on the right side and two functional uteri (Figure 3). The ovary had yolk-filled follicles ranging in diameter from 0.5–20 mm; ovarian follicles were not visible in immature females. Embryos were found either singly in a uterus or more than one in a uterus or in both uteri. Embryos were placed parallel to the length of the mother's body with the pectoral fins folded under the body. The uterine walls had a dense layer of trophonemata for providing nutrition to the embryos. The colour of the uterine wall and trophonemata changed from light pink (without uterine embryos) to dark red (post-partum).

Of the 373 females with information on maturity stages, 78.8% were mature. Of the 253 males with information on maturity stages, 54.9% were mature. The size at 50% maturity for females

was estimated to be 506.5 mm $W_{\rm D}$ and that for males was estimated to be 435.9 mm $W_{\rm D}$ (Figure 4). The smallest embryo measured 30 mm $W_{\rm D}$ and 1 g in weight, while the largest measured 220 mm $W_{\rm D}$ and 115 g in weight. The latter was seen in a female of size 655 mm $W_{\rm D}$ and weight 2782 g in February. The smallest free-swimming individual observed was 190 mm $W_{\rm D}$ with a weight of 170 g. Thus the size at birth was estimated to be between 190–220 mm $W_{\rm D}$.

Ovarian fecundity was not estimated; however it was observed that the number of eggs decreased with increasing size within the ovary. The number of embryos within a single female ranged from one to eight (for both uteri combined). Only 14.58% of the gravid females had a single embryo, all the others had more than one embryo in the uterus. The mean uterine fecundity was 3.3 (SD 1.8). The number of embryos showed a positive correlation with W_D of females (r = 0.67, P < 0.05). The maximum number of eight embryos was observed in a female measuring 920 mm disc width weighing 7.3 kg. In the smallest gravid female (610 mm W_D) only a single embryo was observed. Of the 170 embryos seen in the study, 71 were sexed (females = 45 and males = 26) and the sex ratio of the pups was 1:1.73 (M:F) which was significantly different from the 1:1 ratio ($\chi^2 = 5.08$, df = 1, P < 0.05).

Embryo size was examined by month (Figure 5) and the highest mean size occurred in January (187.5 mm). However, there were large ranges in embryo size in most months (Figure 5). The largest intra-month variation was seen in April. In April 2015, two gravid females were obtained of 758 and 728 mm W_D respectively, with the mean size of their embryos being 204.5 and 70 mm, respectively. Intra-uterine embryos of sizes 190 mm and more were seen in January, February, April, September, November and December. Neonates were occasionally observed at the sampling location during January, March, June, July and August (Table 2), but some small specimens may have been discarded by fishermen. Gravid females and mature males were obtained in samples throughout the year (Figure 6).

Whenever a female was gravid, invariably the ovary had yolkfilled follicles in it. Even when embryos within the uterus were as small as 30 mm W_D (~1 g body weight), yolk-filled follicles of 0.5 mm diameter were visible in the ovary. In individuals with larger embryos (150 mm W_D , ~40 g body weight), the largest ovarian follicles were ~20 mm. By the time embryos reached 220 mm W_D (~120 g body weight), ovarian follicles reached the largest size, ready to be ovulated. The majority of the spent recovering females had well-developed and/or developing ovarian follicles.

Diet

Of the 673 stomachs examined, 53.05% were empty. Teleosts, crustaceans and molluscs were observed in the diet of *G. poecilura* (Table 3). Teleosts were mainly represented by the families Engraulidae, Clupeidae, Sciaenidae, Leiognathidae and Mullidae. Crustaceans were mainly represented by the family Sergestidae. Molluscs were only represented by cephalopods.

Stolephorus spp. had the highest IRI value, followed by Acetes spp. and croakers (Table 3). These three groups combined formed 83%IRI. Fish accounted for 80.9%IRI, followed by crustaceans (18.9%IRI) and the rest by molluscs. A χ^2 test for difference between sexes regarding diet (IRI) indicated no significant difference at the 5% level. Only semi-digested fish showed significant differences between the two sexes (P = 0.023).

The size-based analysis of the diet showed increasing feeding intensity with increase in body size. Empty stomachs dominated in the lower size groups, but the prevalence of non-empty and empty stomachs was equal in the higher size groups (Table 4).

A change in feeding habit was observed in *G. poecilura* with increasing body size (Figure 7). *Gymnura poecilura* up to 400 mm



 Table 2. Occurrence of neonates of Gymnura poecilura at Visakhapatnam during December 2012-December 2015

Month	Year	Disc width (mm)	Sex
March	2013	210	Male
August	2013	230	Male
July	2014	190	Female
August	2014	220	Male
January	2015	230	Male
July	2015	236	Female

 $W_{\rm D}$ were exclusively piscivorous feeding mainly on croakers, *Pomadasys, Stolephorus,* soles and *Opishopterus tardoore.* Beyond 400 mm $W_{\rm D}$ rays fed on a variety of food items including fish, crab, prawns, cuttlefish and squid. Despite the different feeding habits, IRI values indicated that fish was the most preferred prey taxon, followed by *Acetes* (Table 3). *Stolephorus* spp. and *Acetes* spp. were the two diet items that were observed in large numbers in a single stomach with their incidence in a single stomach also increasing with size of *G. poecilura.* The average number of *Stolephorus* spp. in each stomach ranged from 3 in the smaller (300–400 mm $W_{\rm D}$) specimens to 6.5 in the largest (>700 mm $W_{\rm D}$) specimens. The average number of *Acetes* spp. ranged from

Fig. 4. Relationship between disc width and maturity of female and male *Gymnura poecilura*. The size at 50% maturity (W_D 50) of female and male *Gymnura poecilura* was estimated by fitting a logistic curve. Males mature at smaller sizes than females.

Fig. 5. Embryo disc width by month of *Gymnura poecilura*; the solid black point is the average embryo disc width of the particular month. Highest average value was seen in January and intramonth variations of embryo disc width were high.

24.4 in medium-sized (400–500 mm W_D) individuals to 80.2 in the largest (>700 mm W_D) specimens.

The feeding strategy of G. poecilura is indicated in Figure 8. All the prey points fell below the diagonal from upper left to lower right corner. Furthermore, all the prey points fell on the left hand side of the horizontal axis indicating low frequencies of occurrence. A few prey points, namely Leiognathus bindus, Lactarius lactarius, Alepes spp., Plotosus spp. and Pinjalo spp., fell in the bottom left half of the plot area indicating low preyspecific abundance as well and were classified as occasional prey for G. poecilura. The rest of the prey points fell along the left panel of the plot area ranging from moderate to high prey specific abundance indicating a specialized feeding strategy. The cluster of prey points in the upper left corner of the plot (Carangids, Clupeids, croakers, flathead, gapers, gobies, pufferfish, grunters, soles, stomatopods and Acetes spp.) indicated that there was high between-phenotype contribution to niche width (Amundsen et al., 1996) i.e. different individuals had specialized to feed on different prey items, however each prey item was consumed only by a few individuals. The Levins Standardized Niche Breadth was estimated to be 0.038.

Discussion

This study provided updated information on the reproductive biology of *G. poecilura* and detailed information on diet of the species from the western Bay of Bengal. The largest specimen



Fig. 6. Monthly occurrence of mature males and mature/gravid females of *Gymnura poecilura*. Mature specimens were found in all months of the study period.

 Table 3. Major prey items and Index of Relative Importance of major prey items of Gymnura poecilura

Major prey items		Index of relative importance (as percentage)			
Chordata		Prey item	Value		
Family	Genus	Fishes			
Engraulidae	Stolephorus	Stolephorus spp.	51.09		
	Thryssa	Sciaenids	12.99		
Clupeidae	Sardinella	Silverbellies	5.47		
	Opisthopterus	Thryssa spp.	1.21		
Sciaenidae	Pennahia	Pomadasys spp.	1.06		
	Nibea	Crustaceans			
Leiognathidae	Leiognathus	Acetes spp.	18.8		
	Gazza	Other prawns	0.07		
	Secutor	Stomatopods	0.004		
Mullidae	Upeneus	Crabs	0.002		
Haemulidae	Pomadasys	Molluscs			
Nemipteridae	Nemipterus	Cuttlefish	0.118		
Lutjanidae	Pinjalo	Squid	0.075		
Apogonidae	Apogon				
Carangidae	Alepes				
Trichiuridae	Trichiurus				
Polynemidae	Polynemus				
Terapontidae	Terapon				
Cynoglossidae	Cynoglossus				
Tetraodontidae	Lagocephalus				
Crustacea					
Sergestidae	Acetes				
Penaeidae	Metapenaeus				
Portunidae	Portunus				
Mollusca					
Sepiidae	Sepia				
Loliginidae	Loligo				

sampled (920 mm) is possibly the largest reported size for *G. poecilura*, with James (1966) reporting a maximum W_D of 915 mm. Reports of larger sizes (>1000 mm W_D) for the species from the Arabian Sea (Sommer *et al.*, 1996; Raje & Zacharia,

2009) must be re-confirmed due to the presence of another closely related species, *G. cf. poecilura* (Muktha *et al.*, 2016) from the same region. Other congeners of the species in the Indo-Pacific, namely *Gymnura australis* 860 mm (Jacobsen & Bennett, 2009) and *Gymnura zonura* 1060 mm W_D (White *et al.*, 2006) have similar maximum reported sizes.

The $W_{\rm D}$ – weight relationship indicated allometric growth similar to that reported from other batoid species (Basusta *et al.*, 2012; Naderi *et al.*, 2013). The only report on $W_{\rm D}$ – weight relationship of *G. poecilura* (*G. cf. poecilura*) was by Naderi *et al.* (2013) from Iran. They too reported allometric growth in the species. Values of *b* greater than 3 for both male and female *G. poecilura*, indicated that body width increased more than weight as the ray grew (Froese, 2006).

The overall sex ratio reported in this study was 1.49 with females outnumbering males, although the sex ratio varied across size classes. Skewed sex ratios favouring female *Gymnura* have been reported from India (James, 1966; Raje & Zacharia, 2009) and Indonesia (White & Dharmadi, 2007). Variation in sex ratios across size classes has been reported in *G. micrura* (Yokota *et al.*, 2012) while Capapé *et al.* (1992) reported a male-dominated sex ratio for *G. altavela.* The sex ratio could be influenced by the fishery (location and gear) or species aggregation (sex-based and spatial) if any, which would need further detailed studies for confirmation. The sex ratio favouring females in our study was primarily due to the absence of male fish at sizes over 550 mm $W_{\rm D}$.

Gymnura poecilura females grew larger than their male counterparts and matured at sizes larger than males. This pattern of sex-based size difference has been reported from a number of other Gymnurid species (and other elasmobranchs) indicating that this is a common feature in butterfly rays (Capapé *et al.*, 1992; Raje, 2003; Sulikowski *et al.*, 2007; White & Dharmadi, 2007; Jacobsen *et al.*, 2009; Smith *et al.*, 2009; Yokota *et al.*, 2012). Sex-based differences in body size are an evolutionary adaptation of live bearers to increase their reproductive potential (Cortés, 2000). Females grow to larger sizes to support larger number of embryos. The positive correlation of female body size with the number of embryos in the uterus provides further proof of this theory. This trend has also been reported in *G. australis* (Jacobsen *et al.*, 2009) and *G. micrura* (Yokota *et al.*, 2012).

The size at maturity for female and male *G. poecilura* in the western Bay of Bengal was estimated as 506.5 mm W_D and 435.9 mm W_D , respectively. James (1966) reported that female *G. poecilura* in the Gulf of Mannar and Palk Bay produced young from sizes of 410 mm W_D . The reported size at maturity of *G. poecilura* (720 mm males; 780 mm females) and for *G. japonica* (440 mm males; 520 mm females) by Raje & Zacharia (2009) could possibly relate to *G. cf. poecilura* and hence are not directly comparable. All other studies on gymnurids have indicated that females mature at larger sizes than males

Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom

Table 4. Feeding intensity of *Gymnura poecilura* (all values in percentage) across size groups (W_D in mm)

State of fullness of stomach	Up to 300 mm (N = 22)	300–400 mm (N = 126)	400–500 mm (N = 185)	500–600 mm (N = 108)	600–700 mm (N = 127)	More than 700 mm (N = 105)
Empty	28.57	70.63	59.46	51.85	43.31	28.57
Trace	2.86	5.56	7.03	7.41	9.45	2.86
Quarter	11.43	14.29	12.43	12.04	10.24	11.43
Half	9.52	3.17	3.24	7.41	13.39	9.52
Three-quarters	9.52	0.00	3.78	0.00	3.15	9.52
Full	21.90	6.35	14.05	21.30	18.90	21.90
Gorged	16.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.57	16.19



Fig. 7. Size-based changes in prey items of *Gymnura poecilura*; the animals moved from an exclusive piscivorous diet to a broader diet including shellfish as they grew in size.



Fig. 8. The feeding strategy plot of Gymnura poecilura; the plot indicated a specialized feeding strategy for the species.

(Capapé et al., 1992; Jacobsen et al., 2009; Yokota et al., 2012; Salazar et al., 2014; Burgos-Vázquez et al., 2019).

Gymnura poecilura females matured at 55.06% of maximum size (and males 79.25%). Estimating this percentage from published literature on other gymnurids indicates that females mature from 47.5% in *G. australis* (Jacobsen *et al.*, 2009) to 71.6% in *G. altavela* (Alkusairy *et al.*, 2014), whilst in males this ranged from 65.6% in *G. australis* (Jacobsen *et al.*, 2009) to 86.3% in *G. altavela* (Alkusairy *et al.*, 2014). This is unlike the general trend seen in sharks as indicated by Cortés (2000) who found that ratio of length at maturity to maximum length was similar for males and females. Thus, at least in gymnurids, it appears that gonadal maturation in males sets in only after a major portion of somatic growth is attained.

The uterine fecundity of *G. poecilura* ranged from one to eight (subject to the possibility of some embryos or pups being lost on capture) which is similar to that reported elsewhere for this species, and for other gymnurids. James (1966) reported that *G. poecilura* in Gulf of Mannar had up to seven pups. Raje & Zacharia (2009) reported a lower number of pups (three per female) for *G. japonica* (possibly *G. cf. poecilura*) and *G. poecilura* (*G. cf. poecilura*). The maximum number of embryos in other gymnurids is three in *G. japonica*, four in *G. zonura*, six in *G australis*, 11 in *G. micrura*, nine in *G. natalensis* and seven in *G. altavela* (Bigelow & Schroeder, 1953; Daiber & Booth, 1960; Wallace, 1967; White & Dharmadi, 2007; Jacobsen *et al.*, 2009; Salazar *et al.*, 2014).

Mature males and females (including gravid females) of *G. poecilura* were seen throughout the year. The presence of nearterm embryos (190–220 mm W_D) and neonates in multiple months indicated the lack of a specific pupping season for *G. poecilura* in the study area. The large intra-monthly variation in embryo size seen in the study indicated that mating was not restricted to a specific time during the year. The continuous development of follicles in the ovary and embryos in the uteri indicated that *G. poecilura* likely has an almost continuous reproductive cycle throughout the year. This is similar to the spawning season reported by James (1966), and for *G. cf. micrura* (possibly misidentified *G. cf. poecilura*) by Raje (2003). Prolonged breeding seasons are thought to be quite common in rays in tropical oceans in contrast to rays in temperate and subtropical waters (Yokota *et al.*, 2012). The presence of uteri without pups and with developing follicles in the ovary indicated a resting period in between spawning events for a single individual. This has also been indicated in *G. micrura* by Yokota *et al.* (2012).

The size at birth for *G. poecilura* was estimated to be between 190 and 220 mm W_D along western Bay of Bengal. James (1966) suggested a similar size at birth (237–256 mm W_D) for *G. poecilura* from the Gulf of Mannar and Palk Bay. The size at birth for *G. australis* was estimated to be 220–260 mm W_D (Jacobsen *et al.*, 2009). Similar sizes have been reported for *G. zonura* (140–280 mm W_D) and *G. japonica* (200–210 mm W_D) (White & Dharmadi, 2007). Size at birth for *G. micrura* was estimated to be 135–175 mm from Brazil (Yokota *et al.*, 2012). Offspring size at birth is positively correlated with maternal body size (Cortés, 2000). *Gymnura poecilura* too pointed to this trend with similar size at birth for its offspring from other parts of the east coast of India as well as its similar sized congeners in other parts of the world, namely *G. australis* and *G. zonura*.

The IRI indicated that the dominant diet content of *G. poecilura* were *Stolephorus* and *Acetes*. Overall, fish accounted for 80.9%IRI, confirming the piscivorous feeding habits. Other than *Stolephorus*,

the majority of the fish in its diet were meso-pelagic and benthic species. The piscivorous feeding nature is similar to that reported for congeners, including *G. cf. micrura* (*G. cf. poecilura*) (Raje, 2003), *G. micrura* (Yokota *et al.*, 2013) and *G. australis* (Jacobsen *et al.*, 2009). The %Alimentary Index of fish in *G. micrura* was 99.5% (Yokota *et al.*, 2013) and teleosts (99.8%IRI) dominated the diet of *G. australis* (Jacobsen *et al.*, 2009). Similarly, fish formed 90% of the diet of *G. marmorata* (Bizzarro, 2005) and was the dominant prey taxa for *G. altavela* (Yemişken *et al.*, 2018).

The feeding strategy analysis indicated that individuals in the population were specialized to feed on specific prey items; however some prey items were taken infrequently also. The individuals within the population were specialized in feeding on fish (Carangids, Clupeids, croakers, flathead, gapers, gobies, pufferfish, grunters, soles), stomatopods and Acetes spp. These diet items scored high on prey-specific abundance but the frequency of occurrence was low, indicating that there was high variation between individuals in the studied population (Amundsen et al., 1996). The Levins niche breadth analysis also indicated a highly specialized feeding behaviour. A specialized feeding behaviour for G. micrura has been reported by Yokota et al. (2013). In their study from Brazil, they found that G. micrura specialized on feeding on Pomadasys corvinaeformis with some individuals apparently specialized for feeding on a few other items as well. Another congener, G. australis, was described as a fish-feeding specialist by Jacobsen et al. (2009).

The prevalence of empty stomachs (53.05%) in G. poecilura is similar to that seen in congeners, namely, G. altavela (88%, Daiber & Booth, 1960), G. marmorata (67%, Daiber & Booth, 1960), G. cf. micrura (G. cf. poecilura) (55%, Raje, 2003), G. australis (66.8%, Jacobsen et al., 2009) and G. micrura (38.5%, Yokota et al., 2013). The high proportion of empty stomachs indicates an intermittent feeding pattern for the family as a whole (Jacobsen et al., 2009). Gymnura poecilura seems to be no different from its congeners in having an intermittent feeding behaviour. However, the prevalence of empty stomachs reduced as the size of the animal grew, indicating that intermittent feeding behaviour changed as the animal grew. In smaller sizes, the animal tended to eat as opportunity prevailed, becoming more active predators when larger. This is quite logical since it is expected that as the rays grow, their swimming ability and physical capacity to hunt for more types of prey items would also increase. Several reports indicate that Gymnurids actively prey on animals, stunning the prey with their pectoral fins (Schreiber, 1997; Smale et al., 2001). However, the gorged condition of stomachs containing Acetes spp. indicated a strategy of feeding on aggregating prey, possibly swimming through the shrimp shoal with open mouths to feed on as many numbers as possible or even trapping the prey between their bodies and the seafloor for easy feeding.

Thus, from the diet analysis, it appears that *G. poecilura* has different feeding behaviours. Smaller individuals (\leq 400 mm W_D) feed mainly on fish, ingesting medium-sized prey in fewer numbers. These individuals feed intermittently and probably opportunistically; it is also possible that these individuals have a smaller capacity to hunt for diverse prey items. Larger individuals on the other hand either feed on large-sized fish in few numbers or feed on small-sized fish/shrimp in large numbers. The latter behaviour, when predating on *Stolephorus* spp. and *Acetes* spp., presumably involves the individuals feeding on high densities of prey. However, this needs further confirmation since it could also have fed on these prey items within the trawl net.

This study provided updated information on the reproductive biology and diet of *G. poecilura* which will be helpful in a more accurate assessment of its status over its distribution range.

Acknowledgements. This study was part of the first author's PhD dissertation. The authors are grateful to Dr A Gopalakrishnan, Director, ICAR-CMFRI, Dr PU Zacharia, Head, Demersal Fisheries Division, ICAR-CMFRI and Dr Shubhadeep Ghosh, Scientist-in-Charge, Visakhapatnam RC of ICAR-CMFRI for encouragement and support during the study. MM acknowledges the help provided by Dr Akhilesh KV, Dr Eldho Varghese, Dr Manas HM, Dr Jasmin F, Dr Madhumita Das, Dr Pralaya Ranjan Behera, Dr Indira Divipala, Mr O Ch Venkateswarulu, Mr MA Jishnudev, Mr P Bhaskar Rao, Mr V Venkateswarulu, Mr P Venkatesh and Mr G Hari during the study. The authors are extremely grateful to two anonymous reviewers and the editor for critically reviewing the manuscript and improving it significantly.

Financial support. This study was fully funded by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research through Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute Project DEM/ELAS/5.

References

- Alcock A (1892) Natural history notes from H.M. Indian Marine Survey Steamer "Investigator" Ser. II, No.4. Some observations on the embryonic history of *Pteroplataea micrura*. Annals and Magazine of Natural History 6, 1–8.
- Alkusairy H, Ali M, Saad A, Reynaud C and Capape C (2014) Maturity, reproductive cycle, and fecundity of spiny butterfly ray, *Gymnura altavela* (Elasmobranchii: Rajiformes: Gymnuridae), from the coast of Syria (Eastern Mediterranean). *Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria* 44, 229–240.
- Amundsen PA, Gabler HM and Staldvik FJ (1996) A new approach to graphical analysis of feeding strategy from stomach contents data – modification of the Costello (1990) method. *Journal of Fish Biology* 48, 607–614.
- Basusta A, Basusta N, Sulikowski JA, Driggers WB III, Demirhan SA and Cicek E (2012) Length-weight relationships for nine species of batoids from the Iskenderun Bay, Turkey. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 28, 850–851.
- Bigelow HB and Schroeder WC (1953) Sawfishes, guitarfishes, skates and rays. In Tee-Van J, Breder CM, Hildebrand SF, Parr AE and Schroeder WE (eds), *Fishes of the Western North Atlantic* Part 2. New Haven, CT: Yale University, pp. 395–416.
- Bizzarro JJ (2005) Fishery Biology and Feeding Ecology of Rays in Bahia Almejes, Mexico (MS thesis). California State University, San Francisco, CA, USA.
- Bizzarro JJ and White WT (2006) Gymnura poecilura. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2006: e.T60117A12305771. (Accessed 10 July 2018).
- Bloch ME and Schneider JG (1801) Systema Ichthyologiae Iconibus cx Ilustratum. Post obitum auctoris opus inchoatum absolvit, correxit, interpolavit Jo. Gottlob Schneider, Saxo. Berolini. Sumtibus Auctoris Impressum et Bibliopolio Sanderiano Commissum, 360 pp.
- Burgos-Vázquez MI, Galván-Magaña F, Carrera-Fernández M, Ochoa-Báez RI and Oddone MC (2019) Reproductive characteristics and reproductive tract anatomy of the California butterfly ray *Gymnura marmorata* (Myliobatiformes: Gymnuridae) 95, 495–501.
- Capapé C, Zaouali J, Tomasini JA and Bouchereau JL (1992) Reproductive biology of the spiny butterfly ray, *Gymnura altavela* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Pisces: Gymnuridae) from off the Tunisian coasts. *Scientia Marina* 56, 347–355.
- Conrath CL (2004) Reproductive biology. In Musick JA and Ramon B (eds), Management Techniques for Elasmobranch Fisheries, FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 474. Rome: FAO, pp. 103–126.
- **Cortés E** (1997) A critical review of methods of studying fish feeding based on analysis of stomach contents: application to elasmobranch fishes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* **54**, 726–738.
- Cortés E (2000) Life history patterns and correlations in sharks. *Reviews in Fisheries Science* 8, 299–344.
- Daiber FC and Booth RA (1960) Notes on the biology of the butterfly rays *Gymnura altavela* and *Gymnura micrura*. *Copeia* **1960**, 137–139.
- Devadoss P (1998) Observations on the breeding and development in some batoid fishes. *Indian Journal of Fisheries* 45, 271–283.
- Froese R (2006) Cube law, condition factor and weight-length relationships: history, meta-analysis and recommendations. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 22, 241–253.
- Hurlbert SH (1978) The measurement of niche overlap and some relatives. *Ecology* 59, 67–77.
- Jacobsen IP and Bennett MB (2009) A taxonomic review of the Australian butterfly ray *Gymnura australis* (Ramsay & Ogilby, 1886) and other

members of the family Gymnuridae (Order Rajiformes) from the Indo-West Pacific. *Zootaxa* 2228, 1–28.

- Jacobsen IP, Johnson JW and Bennett MB (2009) Diet and reproduction in the Australian butterfly ray *Gymnura australis* from northern and northeastern Australia. *Journal of Fish Biology* **75**, 2475–2489.
- James PSBR (1966) Notes on the biology and fishery of the butterfly ray, *Gymnura poecilura* (Shaw) from the Palk Bay and Gulf of Mannar. *Indian Journal of Fisheries* 13, 150–157.
- King M (1995) Fisheries Biology: Assessment and Management. Oxford: Fishing News Books.
- Le Cren ED (1951) The length-weight relationship and seasonal cycle in gonad weight and condition in the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). Journal of Animal Ecology 20, 201–219.
- Levins R (1968) Evolution in Changing Environments: Some Theoretical Explorations. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Muktha M, Akhilesh KV, Sandhya S, Jasmin F, Jishnudev MA and Kizhakudan SJ (2016) Re-description of the longtail butterfly ray, *Gymnura poecilura* (Shaw, 1804) (Gymnuridae: Myliobatiformes) from Bay of Bengal with a neotype designation. *Marine Biodiversity* 48, 1085–1096.
- Muktha M, Ghosh S, Mini KG, Divipala I, Behera PR, Loveson E, Felix J and Raju SS (2018) Policy Guidance on Sustaining the Marine Fisheries of Andhra Pradesh. ICAR-CMFRI Marine Fisheries Policy Series No. 9. Kochi, Kerala: ICAR-CMFRI.
- Naderi M, Zare P and Azvar E (2013) Length-weight relationships for five stingray species from the Persian Gulf. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 29, 1177–1178.
- Pillay TVR (1952) A critique of the methods of study on food of fishes. Journal of the Zoological Society of India 4, 185–200.
- Pinkas L, Oliphant MS and Iverson ILK (1971) Food habits of albacore, bluefin tuna, and bonito in California waters. *California Department of Fish and Game's Fish Bulletin* 152, 105.
- Raje SG (2003) Some aspects of biology of four species of rays off Mumbai water. *Indian Journal of Fisheries* 50, 89–96.
- Raje SG and Zacharia PU (2009) Investigations on fishery and biology of nine species of rays in Mumbai waters. *Indian Journal of Fisheries* 56, 95–101.
- Salazar N-H, Perez-Jimenez JC, Mendez-Loeza I and Mendoza-Carranza M (2014) Reproductive parameters of females of butterfly ray *Gymnura micrura* (Elasmobranchii) in the southern Gulf of Mexico. *Hidrobiológica* 24, 109–117.
- Schreiber CM (1997) Captive husbandry of smooth butterfly rays (Gymnura micrura). American Zoo and Aquarium Association Regional Conference Proceedings 1997, 122–126.
- Setna SB and Sarangdhar PN (1950) Breeding habits of Bombay Elasmobranchs. Records of the Indian Museum 47, 107–133.
- Shaw G (1804) Pisces in General Zoology or Systematic Natural History, vol. 5, Part 1. London: G. Kearsley, p. 291.

- Smale MJ, Sauer WHH and Roberts MJ (2001) Behavioural interactions of predators and spawning chokka squid off South Africa: towards quantification. *Marine Biology* 139, 1095–1105.
- Smith WD, Bizzarro JJ, Richards VP, Nielsen J, Márquez- Flarías F and Shivji MS (2009) Morphometric convergence and molecular divergence: the taxonomic status and evolutionary history of *Gymnura crebripunctata* and *Gymnura marmorata* in the eastern Pacific Ocean. Journal of Fish Biology 75, 761–783.
- **Snedecor GW and Cochran WG** (1967) *Statistical Methods.* Calcutta: Oxford and IBH Publishing Co.
- Sommer C, Schneider W and Poutiers J-M (1996) FAO Species Identification Field Guide for Fishery Purposes. The Living Marine Resources of Somalia. Rome: FAO.
- Stevens JD, Bonfil R, Dulvy NK and Walker PA (2000) The effects of fishing on sharks, rays, and chimaeras (chondrichthyans), and the implications for marine ecosystems. *ICES Journal of Marine Science Science* 57, 476–494.
- Sulikowski JA, Elzey S, Kneebone J, Jurek J, Huntting Howell W and Tsang PCW (2007) The reproductive cycle of the smooth skate, *Malacoraja senta*, in the Gulf of Maine. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 58, 98–103.
- Wallace JH (1967) The batoid fishes of the east coast of Southern Africa. Investigational Report of the Oceanographic Research Institute (Durban) 16, 1–56.
- White WT and Dharmadi (2007) Species and size compositions and reproductive biology of rays (Chondrichthyes, Batoidea) caught in target and nontarget fisheries in eastern Indonesia. *Journal of Fish Biology* 70, 1809–1837.
- White WT, Last PR, Stevens JD, Yearsley GK, Fahmi and Dharmadi (2006) Economically Important Sharks and Rays of Indonesia. [Hiu dan pari yang bernilai ekonomis penting di Indonesia]. Canberra: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research.
- Woodmason J and Alcock A (1891) On the uterine villiform papillae of *Pteroplatea micrura* and their relation to the embryo, being natural history notes from H.M. Indian Marine Survey Steamer "Investigator". *Proceedings of Royal Society of London* **49**, 339–367.
- Yemişken E, Forero MG, Megalofonou P, Eryilmaz L and Navarro J (2018) Feeding habits of three Batoids in the Levantine Sea (north-eastern Mediterranean Sea) based on stomach content and isotopic data. *Journal* of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom **98**, 89–96.
- Yokota L, Goitein R, Gianeti MD and Lessa RTP (2012) Reproductive biology of the smooth butterfly ray *Gymnura micrura*. Journal of Fish Biology 81, 1315–1326.
- Yokota L, Goitein R, Gianeti MD and Lessa RTP (2013) Diet and feeding strategy of smooth butterfly ray *Gymnura micrura* in northeastern Brazil. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **29**, 1325–1329.
- Zar JH (1999) *Biostatistical Analysis*, 4th edn. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.