

NOTES

BUBBLE-WALL SHARDS ALTERED TO MONTMORILLONITE

Key Words—Montmorillonite, SEM, Shards, Texture, Volcanic Ash.

Scanning electron micrographs of bentonite from the Amargosa Desert, southern Nevada, show relict bubble-wall shards that have been completely altered to smectite. The micrographs, taken with a JSM U3 scanning electron microscope, are of undisturbed samples from the Ewing and Kinney bentonite deposits, about 13 km and 23 km, respectively, south of Lathrop Wells, Nye County, Nevada. Detailed studies by Khoury (1978) showed the bentonites to consist of 100% expandable montmorillonite, plus minor amounts of accessory minerals, the most abundant of which is calcite. Transmission electron micrographs of disaggregated samples have been published by Grim and Güven (1978).

The textures shown in Figure 1 are common in both bentonites. The general texture is that of the rounded bubbles shown in Figure 1a, but fish-shaped (Figure 1b) and cigar-shaped (Figure 1c) shards are also abundant. Cracks (desiccation features?) which cut through the bubbles are filled with secondary smectite (Figure 1d). Figure 1e shows that the bubbles are hollow. Photomicrographs of hollow bubble-wall shards have been published by Sheppard and Gude (1968, 1969) for California tuffs. In those tuffs, montmorillonitic pseudomorphs of bubbles are commonly partly or completely filled with authigenic zeolites. Figure 1f is an enlargement of the honeycomb texture also seen in Figure 1e. Honeycombs are commonly found in scanning electron micrographs of montmorillonite (Bohor and Hughes, 1971; Borst and Keller, 1969; Wilson and Pittman, 1977). It is unclear, however, whether or not this delicate texture is an artifact.

Based on these textures, it is obvious that the bentonite deposits formed from volcanic ash, and that they remained undisturbed after the glass bubbles were altered to clay.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Industrial Mineral Ventures for its generous help in the field, and N. Güven, B. F. Jones, F. A. Mumpton, and R. A. Sheppard for their discussions with the authors.

Department of Geology and Mineralogy HANI N. KHOURY
Faculty of Science
University of Jordan
Amman, Jordan

Department of Geology DENNIS D. EBERL
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
254 Natural History Building
Urbana, Illinois 61801

REFERENCES

- Bohor, B. F. and Hughes, R. E. (1971) Scanning electron microscopy of clays and clay minerals: *Clays & Clay Minerals* **19**, 49–54.
- Borst, R. L. and Keller, W. D. (1969) Scanning electron micrographs of API reference clay minerals and other selected samples: *Int. Clay Conf. Proc.* **1**, Israel, 871–901.
- Grim, R. E. and Güven, N. (1978) *Bentonites*: Elsevier, Amsterdam, 256 pp.
- Khoury, H. N. (1978) The mineralogy and chemistry of some unusual clay deposits from the Amargosa Desert, southern Nevada: Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana, 171 pp.
- Sheppard, R. A. and Gude, A. J., 3d (1968) Distribution and genesis of authigenic silicate minerals in tuffs of Pleistocene Lake Tecopa, Inyo County California: *U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap.* **597**, 38 pp.
- Sheppard, R. A. and Gude, A. J., 3d (1969) Diagenesis of tuffs in the Barstow Formation, Mud Hills, San Bernardino County, California: *U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap.* **634**, 35 pp.
- Wilson, M. D. and Pittman, E. D. (1977) Authigenic clays in sandstones: recognition and influence on reservoir properties and paleoenvironmental analysis: *J. Sediment. Petrol.* **47**, 3–31.

(Received 28 November 1978; accepted 20 February 1979)

Figure 1. Scanning electron micrographs of undisturbed samples from the Kinney and Ewing bentonites, Nye County, Nevada. The bar is 2 μ m. See text for explanation of individual micrographs.

