

The Spanish-Version of the Subjective Vitality Scale: Psychometric Properties and Evidence of Validity

Isabel Castillo, Inés Tomás and Isabel Balaguer

Universitat de Valencia (Spain)

Abstract. The Subjective Vitality Scale (SVS) assess the subjective experience of being full of energy and alive, a clinically relevant outcome measure of positive psychological well-being. The purpose of this paper was to translate the 7-item SVS into Spanish and examine its psychometric properties. In Study 1 ($n = 790$ adolescents) and Study 2 ($n = 130$ athletes) reliability and exploratory factor analysis (EFA) were carried out. In Study 1 and Study 3 ($n = 197$ dancers) evidence of validity of inferences based on SVS scores estimating relationships with other variables (life satisfaction, global self-esteem and emotional and physical exhaustion) was obtained. In Study 2 invariance across time was tested. Finally in Study 3, the factorial structure was cross-validated using confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). Results of EFA showed a one-factor solution. CFA also supported a unidimensional factor structure for the Spanish 6-item SVS (RMSEA = .050 (90% CI = .00, .080); NNFI = .993; CFI = .996). Reliability analysis indicated a strong internal consistency in all study samples (α ranged from .82 to .89). Further, results from multi-sample analysis supported the replicability of SVS factor structure across time. Finally, the SVS scores showed the expected correlations patterns (all them significant, $p < .01$) with the measured outcomes. In conclusion, the Spanish version of the SVS demonstrated adequate psychometric properties, indicating that the scale can be confidently used to measure the experience of possessing energy and aliveness; furthermore, differences across time can be meaningfully carried out.

Received 26 May 2016; Revised 7 April 2017; Accepted 24 April 2017

Keywords: burnout, life satisfaction, self-esteem, test adaptation, vitality.

Subjective vitality was defined by Ryan and Frederick (1997) as “one’s conscious experience of possessing energy and aliveness” (p. 530), and has been considered as an indicator of eudaimonic well-being (Ryan & Deci, 2001) and a clinically relevant outcome measure of positive psychological well-being (Rouse et al., 2015). Subjective Vitality Scale (SVS), composed by seven-item, was designed to measure this construct, what from a phenomenological point of view reflects the degree to which a person is fully functioning and psychological well.

In previous research, psychometric tests on the scores obtained from the SVS have provided substantial evidence of a unidimensional factor structure, internal reliability and validity for the English version of the scale (e.g., Bostic, Rubio, & Hood, 2000; Ryan & Frederick, 1997). Bostic et al. (2000) indicated that eliminating item 2 (“I don’t feel very energetic”), negatively worded, improved the scale’s effectiveness. So they removed this item from the scale retaining only the six positive worded items.

The SVS measuring perception of vitality has been widely used with different populations including

university students, adult smokers and rheumatoid arthritis patients, and across different cultural context as United States, France, Britain, Portugal, Arabic, among others (e.g., Bostic et al., 2000; Fayad & Kazarian, 2013; Moutão, Alves, & Cid, 2013; Rouse et al., 2015; Ryan & Frederick, 1997; Salama-Younes, 2011; Taylor & Lonsdale, 2010), demonstrating good internal reliability and validity.

Given that subjective vitality reflects personal feelings of possessing available energy by the self (Ryan & Frederick, 1997), individuals that exercise are expected (and desirable) to perceive fully functioning and psychological well. Subjective vitality has been considered particularly salient in the sport domain to assess eudaimonic wellbeing and therefore during the last decades the SVS has been used extensively in the field of sport, tapping the degree to which athletes feel physically and mentally vigorous and alert. In the sport domain, the SVS has also demonstrated good internal reliability and validity (e.g., Adie, Duda, & Ntoumanis, 2012; Álvarez, Balaguer, Castillo, & Duda, 2012; Balaguer et al., 2012; Gagné, 2003).

Research has also demonstrated that level of vitality is impacted by the sport experience, and that fluctuates during practice (Gagné, 2003). Given that comparisons among times are meaningful only when different levels of invariance across time have been empirically shown, multi-group confirmatory factor analyses will

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Inés Tomás. Universitat de Valencia - Behavioral Sciences Methodology Department. Av. Blasco Ibañez, 21. 46010. Valencia (Spain).
E-mail: ines.tomas@uv.es

be performed with athletes to test invariance across time. Factorial invariance analyses would provide an indication of whether or not statistically significant differences based on scale scores would reflect real differences across time groups in the underlying latent variable measured by the SVS.

Early research within the general population demonstrated that subjective vitality is positively related to other indicators of well-being such as global self-esteem and satisfaction with life (e.g., Papaioannou et al., 2013; Ryan & Frederick, 1997; Uysal, Satici, Satici, & Akin, 2014). Life satisfaction (global judgments of one's life) is a construct of subjective well-being (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985) and is considered as an indicator of hedonic well-being. Additionally, global self-esteem, an overall feeling of self-worth as a person (Harter, 2012), is also considered an indicator of psychological well-being. Overall, studies in the sport and physical activity context indicated that there is a high correlation between life satisfaction and subjective vitality, suggesting that those who experience a high level of life satisfaction possess energy, enthusiasm and aliveness (López-Walle, Balaguer, Castillo, & Tristán, 2012; Moutão et al., 2013; Salama-Younes, 2011; Uysal et al., 2014). Recent finding in youth sport indicated that subjective vitality and global self-esteem are strongly and positively correlated (Papaioannou et al., 2013). On the contrary, studies in general population including the sport domain have indicated that the subjective feeling of vitality is negative related with indicators of ill-being, such as depression, negative affect and the emotional and physical exhaustion component of athlete burnout (Adie et al., 2012; Balaguer et al., 2012; Mack et al., 2011). According to that, we would expect that subjective vitality will show significant and positive relations with life satisfaction and negative relationship with perception of loss of emotional and physical energy (emotional and physical exhaustion). A high correlation between subjective vitality and these two indicators of well – ill being would provide evidence of validity based on relationships with other variables.

As far as the authors are aware, the translation and psychometric properties of a Spanish version of the scale are yet to be established (preliminary analyses were presented by the authors in the 13th FEPSAC European Congress, 2011). Therefore the aim of the present study was threefold: (1) to translate the SVS 7-items into Spanish to examine the factor structure and reliability of SVS scores, (2) to test its invariance across time, and (3) to provide evidence of validity based on relationships with other variables.

From a practical perspective, the development of a Spanish version of the SVS will provide the Spanish-speaking research community with a strong instrument for measuring the degree to which people feel physically

and mentally vigorous and alert. From a theoretical perspective, it will contribute to the construct validation and cross-national generalizability of the instrument. Moreover, testing measurement invariance of the SVS across time will provide further support for the use of the scale in testing changes in subjective vitality across time, and the meaningful comparison of the observed scores. Indeed, test translation and adaptation is a major concern in psychometric research (Muñiz, Elosua, & Hambleton, 2013) that will facilitate cross-cultural comparative research and should help in understanding diverse cultural variations.

Method

Participants and procedure

Study 1. Responses to the SVS were obtained from 790 adolescent students (392 male and 398 female) ranging in age between 11 and 18 years old ($M = 14.8$; $SD = 1.75$).

Study 2. 130 adolescents engaged in an individual or team sport (65 boys and 65 girls) aged 11 to 15 at baseline ($M = 13.1$; $SD = 1.51$) completed the questionnaire on two occasions over the course of one season (at the beginning and in the middle of the season; time 1 and time 2 were separated by 5 months). We obtained a response rate of 60.5%, and the dropout rate was 14.6%.

Study 3. 197 vocational dancers (33 male, 164 female) aged between 12 and 33 ($M = 18.65$, $SD = 3.73$) enrolled in full-time training in dance conservatories volunteered for the study.

Ethical approval for the study was provided by the university ethics review committee. The present research was conducted in accordance with international ethical guidelines that are consistent with American Psychological Association. Convenience sampling was used in the selection of the participants, so data from different projects were included in this study. All participants and their parents provided informed consent before data collection and confidentiality was ensured. The questionnaires were responded anonymously and voluntarily, and were completed by the players during a 10-minute interval, either before or after practice, in a place made available for this purpose. Neither the coach nor the sports director of the club were present at any time during questionnaire administration. Players were encouraged to answer honestly and ask the investigator present if they had any questions. In Study 2, the Time 1 questionnaire was administered approximately two months into the season and Time 2 was completed five months into the season.

The source English version of the SVS was translated to Spanish following the back-translation procedure

widely described in the literature (e.g., Hambleton & Kanjee, 1995).

Instruments

Spanish translation of the Subjective Vitality Scale (the Individual Difference Level Version) a 7-item questionnaire designed by Ryan and Frederick (1997) was used to measure the subjective experience of being full of energy and alive. The instruction in the questionnaire was "Please respond to each of the following statements by indicating the degree to which in general the statement is true for you". Responses are endorsed using a 7-point Likert scale (1 = *not at all true*; 7 = *very true*).

Three additional variables were also assessed to provide evidence of the validity of the inferences based on SVS scores estimating its relationship with external criteria: Life satisfaction and global self-esteem in Study 1, and life satisfaction and emotional and physical exhaustion in Study 3.

The Spanish version (Atienza, Pons, Balaguer, & García-Merita, 2000) of the Satisfaction with Life Scale (Diener et al., 1985) was used to assess the respondents' degree of satisfaction with their life. Participants were asked to indicate the extent to which each of the five items corresponds to what they experience with their life. Sample items include: "The conditions of my life are excellent" and "If I could live my life over, I would change almost nothing". Athletes were asked to respond on a 7-point Likert scale (1 = *totally disagree*; 7 = *totally agree*). The reliability of this scale scores has been supported in several studies (e.g., Atienza et al., 2000; Diener et al., 1985; Diener, Inglehart, & Tay, 2013). The results of the one-factor CFA indicated that factorial structure of the scale was replicated in Study 1 sample (RMSEA = .05, SRMR = .03, CFI = .99, NNFI = .99) and Study 3 sample (RMSEA = .05, SRMR = .02, CFI = .99, NNFI = .99). The Cronbach alpha coefficient of the scale was .84 in Study 1 and .86 in Study 3.

A five-item global Self-esteem measure was obtained from the Spanish version (Pastor, Balaguer, Atienza, & García-Merita, 2001) of the Harter's Self-Perception Profile for Adolescents (Harter, 1988). The adolescent is first asked to decide which kind of teenagers he or she is most like, those described on the left or those described on the right, in each statement. Having made this decision, the adolescent next decides whether the description on the side he/she chose is "*Really True for Me*" or "*Sort of True for Me*". Each item was scored on a 4-point scale from 1 to 4, where 1 indicated lowest level of self-esteem, and 4 reflected the highest level of self-esteem. Sample items include: "some teenagers are often disappointed with themselves but other teenagers are pretty pleased with themselves" and "Some teenagers are very happy being the way they are but

other teenagers often wish they were different". The reliability of this scale scores has been supported in several studies (e.g., Harter, 1988; McDavid, McDonough, & Smith, 2015; Pastor et al., 2001). The results of the one-factor CFA indicated that the factorial structures of the scale was replicated in Study 1 sample (RMSEA = .06, SRMR = .03, CFI = .98, NNFI = .95). The Cronbach alpha coefficient of the scale was .78.

Players' perception of energy loss was assessed using the 5-item emotional and physical exhaustion subscale from the Athlete Burnout Questionnaire (Raedeke & Smith, 2001). Players responded to items including "I feel physically worn out from sport" and "I am exhausted by the mental and physical demands of sport" on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = *almost never*; 5 = *almost always*). The reliability of this scale scores has been supported in a number of studies involving athletes (Balaguer et al., 2012; Castillo, González, Fabra, Mercé, & Balaguer, 2012; Lemyre, Treasure, & Roberts, 2006) and dancers (Balaguer, Castillo, Duda, Quested, & Morales, 2011; Quested & Duda, 2009). The results of the one-factor CFA indicated that the factorial structures of the scale was replicated in Study 3 sample (RMSEA = .06, SRMR = .05, CFI = .98, NNFI = .97). The Cronbach alpha coefficient of the scale was .84.

Data analysis

To calculate the descriptive statistics and the homogeneity indexes (corrected item-total correlations) obtained by the items the IBM SPSS Statistics [v. 20] software was used.

Reliability and evidences of validity based on internal structure and based on relationships with other variables

The examination of the scale's psychometric properties included reliability (α in all samples, and test-retest in Study 2 sample) and validity evidence based on the internal structure (factorial analysis). SVS items were subjected to EFA and CFA using SPSS 20 and LISREL 8.8 respectively, to test whether the unidimensional structure proposed by Ryan and Frederick (1997) adequately fit data collected from Spanish athletes.

In Studies 1 and 2, factorial structure was tested through EFA using unweighted least squares as the extraction method and applying an oblique rotation criterion (Sass & Schmitt, 2010). Moreover, in Study 1, evidence of validity based on relationship with other variables was investigated through inspection of the correlations between subjective vitality and two indicators of well-being (satisfaction with life and global self-esteem).

In Study 3, CFA were carried out to cross-validate the factorial structure tested in studies 1 and 2. Considering the ordinal nature of the items, weighted

least squares was used to estimate model parameters, and the polychoric correlation matrix and the asymptotic covariance matrix were used as input for the analyses. We considered the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), the non-normed fit index (NNFI), and the comparative fit index (CFI) to evaluate goodness of fit as well as an evaluation of parameter estimates. For the NNFI and CFI values above .90 and RMSEA values below .08 are considered reasonable model fit, although stringent recommendations suggest values close to .95 and .05 respectively. Nevertheless, RMSEA tend to overreject true-population model at small sample size (Hu & Bentler, 1999). Moreover, in Study 3, evidence of validity based on relationship with other variables was investigated through inspection of the correlations between subjective vitality and an indicator of well-being (satisfaction with life) and ill-being (emotional and physical exhaustion).

Measurement invariance

In Study 2, a sequential model testing approach was employed via multi-sample CFA to examine whether the SVS displayed invariance across time (repeated measures). Prior to any invariance analysis, CFAs were applied to each group-time separately (models M0a and M0b; see Table 3). Then, a baseline model testing the structural invariance was established. Afterwards, increasingly constrained models were specified to examine the equality of measurement (i.e., invariance of factor loadings and intercepts across time (Time 1 and Time 2) groups. The invariance of uniquenesses was not considered as error invariance is not expected in longitudinal measurement invariance testing (see Coertjens, Donche, De Maeyer, Vanthournout, & Van Petegem, 2012). In all the models, for identification purposes and to establish the scale of measurement, one measured variable for the SVS dimension was selected to be a reference indicator, and its factor loading was fixed to be 1. In order to test invariance hypotheses using CFA, the covariance and the asymptotic covariance matrices, and the vector of means were used as input for the analysis. With the aim of assessing the fit for the models, differences not larger than .01 between NNFI and CFI values are considered as indication of negligible practical differences (Cheung & Rensvold, 2002). Chen (2007) suggests that when the RMSEA increases by less than .015, one can also claim support for the more constrained (parsimonious) model.

Results

Preliminary reliability and exploratory factor analysis (Study 1 and Study 2)

Preliminary items homogeneity (corrected item-total correlations) and scale scores reliability analyses were carried out (see Table 1) using the seven items proposed

by Ryan and Frederick (1997). Item 2 (“I don’t feel very energetic”) showed poor values for both study 1 and study 2 (time 1) samples. Furthermore, the deletion of item-2 resulted in an increment of the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient for both samples (study 1 from .79 to .87 and study 2 from .76 to .82). Interestingly, this was the one negatively worded item. When running preliminary exploratory factor analyses, all the items had high factor loadings (i.e., loadings > .61) except item 2 that showed a very poor factor loading value (i.e., loading < .20). Taking into account these results, item 2 was deleted and further analyses were run with a reduced version of the scale (six items). The results obtained in Study 1 and Study 2 showed a one-factor solution that accounted for 55.7% and 56% of common variance respectively.

Descriptive statistics and reliability (all the studies)

The descriptive statistics and Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of the six-item version SVS for all the groups are presented in Table 2. Participants exhibited high mean scores for subjective vitality (i.e., above the midpoint). The estimates of internal consistency SVS scale were adequate in all the samples of the study ranging between .82 and .89. The test-retest reliability (time 1 and time 2) in Study 2 sample was .44 ($p < .01$).

Measurement invariance (Study 2)

Different nested models were tested to analyze the factorial invariance across time. The CFA results revealed that the proposed factorial structure was acceptable for each group (time 1 and time 2). As can be seen in Table 3, the results provided a good fit to the data in the two time-groups considered separately (Models M0a and M0b), except for the RMSEA value in M0b that was over the cut-off criteria. Nevertheless, we should consider that in our study sample size was small ($n = 130$). For these models, all parameter estimates were statistically significant ($p < .01$).

With regard to the multi-sample baseline model (Model 1), in which no equality constraints were imposed, results showed that the fit was acceptable for the time invariance (see Table 3) model. Thus, it could be concluded that the same unidimensional factor model was able to fit the data from each group. Consequently, Model 1 was used as the baseline against which all remaining models were compared in the process of determining evidence of measurement invariance.

Model 2 (M2) tested the hypothesis that all factor loadings are invariant across time. The practical fit indices showed that this model has a reasonable fit (see Table 3), except for RMSEA value (also small sample size must be considered). However, the incremental fit

Table 1. Items homogeneity and factor loadings from exploratory factor analysis for Subjective Vitality Scale

Items	Corrected Homogeneity Index		Factor Loadings	
	Study 1	Study 2	Study 1	Study 2
1. I feel alive and vital [Me siento vivo y vital]	.58	.52	.66	.70
2. I don't feel very energetic [No me siento muy energético]	-.01	.16	-.01	.19
3. Sometimes I feel so alive I just want to burst [A veces me siento tan vivo que solo quiero saltar]	.53	.50	.59	.62
4. I have energy and spirit [Tengo energía y ánimo]	.72	.62	.87	.81
5. I look forward to each new day [Me ilusiono con cada nuevo día]	.70	.64	.78	.77
6. I nearly always feel alert and awake [Casi siempre me siento alerta y despierto]	.64	.54	.74	.70
7. I feel energized [Me siento activado (siento que tengo mucha energía)]	.72	.63	.84	.82

Note: For Study 1, $n = 790$. For Study 2 (time 1), $n = 130$. The deletion of item 2 resulted in an increment of the Cronbach's alpha coefficient for both samples.

Table 2. Descriptive and reliability analysis of the Subjective Vitality Scale (SVS) in all samples

Sample	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	α
Study 1: Adolescent students ($n = 790$)	4.99	1.20	.87
Study 2: Time 1 ($n = 130$)	5.14	1.09	.83
Study 2: Time 2 ($n = 130$)	5.06	1.02	.82
Study 3: Vocational dancers ($n = 197$)	5.08	1.17	.89

Note: In all samples, SVS is composed by six items. Range = 1–7.

indexes indicated that the total invariance factor loading hypothesis might not be tenable. Items with higher modification indexes for factor loading parameter were set free in a sequence of nested models. Factor loadings of item 4 (“I have energy and spirit”) and item 7 (“I feel energized”) were detected as non-invariant across time (see Model M2a and M2b in Table 3).

Model 3 (M3) tested partial factor loadings invariance and total intercept invariance hypothesis. That is, that

all item intercept were invariant across time, and that all factor loading, except for the FL of items 4 and 7, were invariant across time (see Table 3). The practical fit indices showed that this model has a reasonable fit (see Table 3). However, the incremental fit indexes indicated that this hypothesis might not be tenable. We looked for the item with the highest modification index for the intercept parameter. Intercept of item 3 (“Sometimes I feel so alive I just want to burst”) was detected as non-invariant across time (see Model M3a in Table 3).

Measurement invariance analysis indicated that not all the item parameters were invariant across time; concretely items 3, 4 and 7 were detected to show differential item functioning (DIF) across time. The practical significance of the DIF detected across time was tested. The mean score on the SVS, with and without removing items 3, 4 and 7 was computed for each time group and compared across groups using the standardized mean difference. The difference on d provides an index of the practical significance of the DIF detected.

Table 3. Goodness of Fit Indices for Tested Invariance Models over Time ($n = 130$)

Model	Model description	χ^2	<i>df</i>	RMSEA	NNFI	CFI	Δ RMSEA	Δ NNFI	Δ CFI
M0a	Baseline Model Time 1	14.89	9	0.071	0.980	0.988			
M0b	Baseline Model Time 2	20.99*	9	0.102	0.959	0.976			
M1	Baseline Model Structural Invariance	71.45*	47	0.063	0.967	0.976			
M2	Factor Loading (FL) Invariance	127.89*	52	0.106	0.907	0.926	0.043	0.060	0.050
M2a	Partial FL Invariance (FL item 4 set free)	95.91*	51	0.083	0.944	0.956	0.020	0.023	0.020
M2b	Partial FL Invariance (FL item 4 & 7 set free)	78.87*	50	0.067	0.963	0.972	0.004	0.004	0.004
M3	M2b + Intercept (IN) Invariance	122.79*	55	0.098	0.921	0.934	0.035	0.046	0.042
M3a	M2b + Partial IN Invariance (IN item 3 set free)	89.09*	54	0.071	0.958	0.966	0.008	0.009	0.010

Note: *df* = degrees of freedom; RMSEA = root mean square error of approximation; NNFI = non-normed fit index; CFI = comparative fit index. All the Δ index comparisons are made with respect to the baseline model (M1). * = $p < .01$.

The DIF is considered trivial when the d value is lower than .20 (Chan, 2000). In the present study, the d difference was .021.

Evidences of validity (Study 1 and Study 3)

In Study 1, Subjective Vitality was positively correlated with life satisfaction ($r_{xy} = .43, p < .01$) and with global self-esteem ($r_{xy} = .36, p < .01$). Additionally, in an independent sample (Study 3), Subjective Vitality was positively correlated with life satisfaction ($r_{xy} = .51, p < .01$) and negatively correlated with physical and emotional exhaustion ($r_{xy} = -.40, p < .01$). Furthermore, in Study 3, the proposed unifactorial structure adequately fitted the data for the 6-item SVS version ($\chi^2(9) = 25.18, p < .01$; RMSEA = .050 (90% CI = .00, .080); NNFI = .993; CFI = .996). Factor loadings ranged from .62 to .92 (all were statistically significant, $p < .01$). Measurement errors ranged from .16 to .61 (all were statistically significant, $p < .01$).

Discussion

The aim of the present work was to translate into Spanish and examine the psychometric properties of a positive indicator of mental health and well-being, the Spanish version of the Subjective Vitality Scale (SVS) in three studies with samples of adolescent students, adolescent athletes and dancers. Overall, and in accordance with Bostic et al. (2000) the SVS composed by six items instead of seven (item 2 that was negatively worded was deleted), exhibited good psychometric properties in all the Spanish samples.

In line with previous research in different cultural contexts as Britain, United States, France, Portugal, and Arabic among others (e.g., Bostic et al., 2000; Fayad & Kazarian, 2013; Moutão et al., 2013; Ryan & Frederick, 1997; Salama-Younes, 2011; Taylor & Lonsdale, 2010), results of the CFA provided support for the hypothesized unidimensional structure.

The multisample CFA supported the configural invariance of the scale, providing evidence of the replicability of the SVS factor structure across time. Total factor loadings and total intercept invariance were not supported. Three items, item 4 ("I have energy and spirit"), item 7 ("I feel energized"), and item 3 ("Sometimes I feel so alive I just want to burst") were detected as showing Differential Item Functioning (DIF). Nonetheless, the practical significance of the DIF detected was trivial (d value lower than .20). Thus, it was concluded that no important differences were found on the item parameters across time, suggesting that youth players responded in a similar fashion independent on the data collection time. These findings indicated that the SVS is a valid scale to assess subjective vitality and allows for unbiased comparison of average scores across time.

Consistent with previous research in the context of sport (e.g., Adie et al., 2012; Mack et al., 2011) and in other contexts (e.g., Ryan & Frederick, 1997; Uysal et al., 2014), this study also provides validity evidence of the inferences based on SVS scores as it confirms a high and positive relationship between subjective vitality and life satisfaction, as well as between subjective vitality and global self-esteem, and a high and negative relationship with emotional and physical exhaustion. Therefore, if participants feel full of energy and alive, they are also more likely to perceive that they are satisfied with their life, perceive higher self-esteem and are less likely to perceive loss of emotional and physical energy.

These findings provide evidence of validity of the inferences based on SVS scores estimating relationships with other variables (well and ill-being indicators) in the different contexts such as academic, sport and dance. In these contexts, this instrument can be used as a positive well-being outcome with the confidence that assess whether students, athletes and dancers are fully functioning and psychological well.

Our findings have a number of theoretical and practical implications. First, results have substantive importance for well-being studies, as they provide evidence for the cross-cultural validation of the SVS, a questionnaire designed to assess the subjective experience of being full of energy and alive (Ryan & Frederick, 1997). Second, this study strengthens the utility of the SVS in academic, sport and dancer research and applied settings in Spanish-speaking countries. An additional advantage is that the SVS is freely available to use without payment and no need to request permission.

Despite strengths in the present research in the construct validation approach, some shortcomings should be noted. First, sample size in study 2 was not large, but we should notice that it is difficult to follow up a sample in different time points. Second, our results support the appropriateness of the SVS for Spanish adolescents, athletes and dancers. Further research is needed in different Spanish settings and groups (such as other population groups) in order to contribute to the growing body of knowledge about SVS validation.

In summary, results from multi-sample analyses supported the invariance of SVS factor structure across time, indicating that the scale allows for unbiased comparison of average scores across time groups. The present study supported the reliability of the Spanish SVS scores and the validity of inferences made on the basis of the Spanish SVS scores with adolescents, athletes and dancers as well as over time. The results of the study provide further evidence that the Subjective Vitality Scale is a unidimensional construct. Researchers in the academic, sport and dancer domains may employ this

freely available and brief scale with the confidence that it is a valid and reliable measure of positive health and psychological well-being. Thus, this study provides with an instrument that can contribute to the advancement of research on Health Psychology in Spanish speaking contexts.

References

- Adie J. W., Duda J. L., & Ntoumanis N. (2012). Perceived coach-autonomy support, basic need satisfaction and the well- and ill-being of elite youth soccer players: A longitudinal investigation. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 13*(1), 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2011.07.008>
- Álvarez M. S., Balaguer I., Castillo I., & Duda J. L. (2012). The coach-created motivational climate, young athletes' well-being and intentions to continue participation. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology, 6*, 166–179.
- Atienza F. L., Pons D., Balaguer I., & García-Merita M. L. (2000). Propiedades psicométricas de la Escala de Satisfacción con la Vida en adolescentes [Psychometric properties of Satisfactions with Life Scale in adolescents]. *Psicothema, 12*, 331–336.
- Balaguer I., Castillo I., Duda J. L., Queded E., & Morales V. (2011). Predictores socio-contextuales y motivacionales de la intención de continuar participando: Un análisis desde la SDT en danza [Social-contextual and motivational predictors of intentions to continue participation: A test of SDT in dance]. *Revista Internacional de Ciencias del Deporte, 25*, 305–319. <https://doi.org/10.5232/ricyde2011.02505>
- Balaguer I., González L., Fabra P., Castillo I., Mercé J., & Duda J. L. (2012). Coaches' interpersonal style, basic psychological needs, and the well- and ill-being of young soccer players: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 30*, 1619–1629. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2012.731517>
- Bostic T. J., Rubio D. M., & Hood M. (2000). A validation of the subjective vitality scale using structural equation modeling. *Social Indicators Research, 52*, 313–324. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007136110218>
- Castillo I., Balaguer I., Tomás I., García-Merita M. (2011, July). Unidimensional measure of vitality in sport, the Subjective Vitality Scale: Invariance over gender and time. Paper presented at the 13th FEPSAC Congress. *Sport and Exercise Psychology: Human performance, well-being and health*. Madeira, Portugal.
- Castillo I., González L., Fabra P., Mercé J., & Balaguer I. (2012). Estilo interpersonal controlador del entrenador, frustración de las necesidades psicológicas básicas, y burnout en futbolistas infantiles [Controlling coach interpersonal style, basic psychological need thwarting, and burnout in young soccer players]. *Cuadernos de Psicología del Deporte, 12*(1), 143–146.
- Chan D. (2000). Detection of differential item functioning on the Kirton Adaption-Innovation Inventory using multiple-group mean and covariance structure analyses. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 35*, 169–199. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327906MBR3502_2
- Chen F. F. (2007). Sensitivity of goodness of fit indexes to lack of measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 14*, 464–504. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510701301834>
- Cheung G. W., & Rensvold R. B. (2002). Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 9*, 233–255. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0902_5
- Coertjens L., Donche V., De Maeyer S., Vanthournout G., & Van Petegem P. (2012). Longitudinal measurement invariance of Likert-type Learning Strategy Scales: Are we using the same ruler at each wave? *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 30*, 577–587. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734282912438844>
- Diener E., Emmons R. A., Larsen R. J., & Griffin S. (1985). The satisfaction with life scale. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 49*, 71–75. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa4901_13
- Diener E., Inglehart R., & Tay L. (2013). Theory and validity of Life Satisfaction Scale. *Social Indicator Research, 112*, 497–527. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-012-0076-y>
- Fayad Y. I., & Kazarian S. S. (2013). Subjective vitality of Lebanese adults in Lebanon: Validation of the Arabic version of the Subjective Vitality Scale. *Social Indicators Research, 114*, 465–478. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-012-0156-z>
- Gagné M. (2003). Autonomy support and need satisfaction in the motivation and well-being of gymnasts. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 15*, 372–390. <https://doi.org/10.1080/714044203>
- Hambleton R. K., & Kanjee A. (1995). Increasing the validity of cross-cultural assessments: Use of improved methods for test adaptations. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 11*, 147–157.
- Harter S. (1988). *Manual for the self-perception profile for adolescents*. Denver, CO: University of Denver.
- Harter S. (2012). *The construction of the self. Developmental and socio-cultural foundations*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Hu L. T., & Bentler P. M. (1999). Cut off criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A multidisciplinary journal, 6*(1), 1–55.
- Lemyre P. N., Treasure D. C., & Roberts G. C. (2006). Influence of variability in motivation and affect on elite athlete burnout susceptibility. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 28*, 32–48. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.28.1.32>
- López-Walle J., Balaguer I., Castillo I., & Tristán J. (2012). Autonomy support, basic psychological needs and well-being in Mexican athletes. *The Spanish Journal of Psychology, 15*, 1283–1292. https://doi.org/10.5209/rev_sjop.2012.v15.n3.39414
- Mack D. E., Wilson P. M., Oster K. G., Kowalski K. C., Crocker P. R. E., & Sylvester B. D. (2011). Wellbeing in volleyball players: Examining the contributions of independent and balanced psychological need satisfaction. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 12*, 533–539. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2011.05.006>
- McDavid L., McDonough M. H., & Smith A. L. (2015). An empirical evaluation of two theoretically-based hypotheses of the directional association between self-worth

- and hope. *Journal of Adolescence*, 41, 25–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2015.02.007>
- Moutão J., Alves S., & Cid L.** (2013). Translation and validation of the Subjective Vitality Scale in a Portuguese sample of exercise participants. *Revista Latinoamericana de Psicología*, 45, 223–230.
- Muñiz J., Elosua P., & Hambleton R. K.** (2013). Directrices para la traducción y adaptación de los tests: Segunda edición [International Test Commission guidelines for test translation and adaptation: Second edition]. *Psicothema*, 25, 151–157. <https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2013.24>
- Papaioannou A. G., Appleton P. R., Torregrosa M., Jowett G. E., Bosselut G., González L., ... Zourbanos N.** (2013). Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and personal well-being in European youth soccer players: Invariance of physical activity, global self-esteem and vitality across five countries. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 11, 351–364. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1612197X.2013.830429>
- Pastor Y., Balaguer I., Atienza F. L., & García-Merita M. L.** (2001). Análisis de las propiedades psicométricas del perfil de autopercepciones para adolescentes (Harter, 1988) en adolescentes valencianos [Psychometric properties of the self-perception profile for adolescents (Harter, 1988) in valencian adolescents]. *Iberpsicología*, 6, 1.1.
- Quested E., & Duda J. L.** (2009). Perceptions of the motivational climate, need satisfaction, and indices of well- and ill-being among hip hop dancers. *Journal of Dance Medicine and Science*, 13, 10–19.
- Raedeke T. D., & Smith A. L.** (2001). Development and preliminary validation of an athlete burnout measure. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 23, 281–306.
- Rouse P. C., Veldhuijzen Van Zanten J. J. C. S., Ntoumanis N., Metsios G. S., Yu C., Kitas G. D., & Duda J. L.** (2015). Measuring the positive psychological well-being of people with rheumatoid arthritis: A cross-sectional validation of the subjective vitality scale. *Arthritis Research & Therapy*, 17, 312–318. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-015-0827-7>
- Ryan R. M., & Deci E. L.** (2001). On happiness and human potentials: A review of research on hedonic and eudaimonic well-being. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 141–166. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.141>
- Ryan R. M., & Frederick C.** (1997). On energy, personality and health: Subjective vitality as a dynamic reflection of well-being. *Journal of Personality*, 65, 529–565. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1997.tb00326.x>
- Salama-Younes M.** (2011). Positive mental health, subjective vitality, and satisfaction with life for French physical education students. *World Journal of Sport Sciences*, 4, 90–97.
- Sass D. A., & Schmitt T. A.** (2010). A comparative investigation of rotation criteria within exploratory factor analysis. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 45, 73–103. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00273170903504810>
- Taylor I. M., & Lonsdale C.** (2010). Cultural differences in the relationships among autonomy support, psychological need satisfaction, subjective vitality, and effort in British and Chinese physical education. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 32, 655–673. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.32.5.655>
- Uysal R., Satici S. A., Satici B., & Akin A.** (2014). Subjective vitality as mediator and moderator of the relationship between life satisfaction and subjective happiness. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 14, 489–497.