

BRIEF CLINICAL REPORT

Does perfectionism impact adherence to homework assignment? A preliminary pilot study of perfectionism and procrastination of CBT homework

Osamu Kobori^{1*}, Glen Dighton² and Rachael Hunter²

¹Department of Psychology, International University of Health and Welfare, 4-1-26, Akasaka, Minato-ku, Tokyo 1078402, Japan and ²Department of Psychology, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK

*Corresponding author. Email: O.Kobori@iuhw.ac.jp

(Received 25 March 2019; revised 22 July 2019; accepted 09 August 2019; first published online 02 October 2019)

Abstract

Background: Homework assignments are generally viewed as an important factor of cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT).

Aim: This study examined whether perfectionists procrastinate homework assignments.

Method: Thirty-eight university students attended two sessions, 7 days apart from each other. After completing perfectionism scales at the first session, they were asked to complete homework tasks from a self-help wellbeing booklet and return the booklet at session 2.

Results: Only maladaptive facets of perfectionism correlated with most of the behavioural measures of procrastination. Moreover, those high in maladaptive perfectionism set and completed fewer planned activities to improve their mood.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that perfectionism may affect how clients set their homework, and perfectionism may interfere with the homework assignments of CBT.

Keywords: clinical perfectionism; depression; homework; procrastination; self-help

Introduction

Homework assignments have long been considered a central feature of cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT) (e.g. Beck, 2011), and homework compliance has been directly associated with treatment outcomes (see meta-analysis by Kazantzis *et al.*, 2010; Kazantzis *et al.*, 2016). However, one in-depth interview identified that clients could struggle in, and between, CBT sessions (Barnes *et al.*, 2013). This was true both for those who did and did not complete therapy. CBT homework can be the biggest challenge to clients (Barnes *et al.*, 2013), and therapists also describe that problems during the assignment as well as during completion of a homework task regularly occur (Helbig and Fehm, 2004).

The aim of this study was to examine if perfectionism was associated with homework adherence in CBT, especially the procrastination of homework assignments. Over the past 20 years, research has produced converging evidence that perfectionism has different aspects. In particular, two main dimensions have been differentiated: perfectionistic strivings, i.e. setting high standards and a self-oriented striving for perfection, and perfectionistic concerns, i.e. concerns over mistakes, feelings of discrepancy between one's standards and performance, and fears of negative evaluation and rejection by others if one fails to be perfect. A meta-analysis revealed that perfectionistic strivings show negative relationships with procrastination, while perfectionistic concerns show positive relationships with procrastination (Sirois *et al.*, 2017).

Clinical perfectionism (Shafran *et al.*, 2002) suggests that perfectionists' pursuit of their standards and their fear of failure in meeting them becomes so aversive that they delay beginning tasks (procrastination), abandon them midway, or avoid them entirely. An alternate explanation for the associations of perfectionism to procrastination is that individuals with higher levels of perfectionistic concerns may set goals that are more unrealistic and less attainable than those high in perfectionistic strivings, and that the larger discrepancy created by these differences in goals is what contributes to unfavourable expectancy assessments, and subsequent goal withdrawal or abandonment. As homework compliance may reliably reflect client engagement (Holdsworth *et al.*, 2014), the analysis of the effect of perfectionism on homework will increase the understanding of how perfectionism, as a client belief system, can impact engagement.

In order to examine the relationship between perfectionism and procrastination, the current study employed a brief CBT self-help intervention, given as homework, as the behavioural task. It was expected that perfectionism would be associated with a higher standard of homework setting, but only perfectionistic concern, not perfectionistic strivings, would be associated with procrastination of homework in CBT self-help intervention. This study also examined if homework adherence was associated with improvement in depression.

Method

Participants

The participants for this study were 38 university students (27 females) with a mean age of 21.58 years ($SD = 3.73$). Participants were recruited through a mixture of opportunity sampling and the university's research subject pool. Completing the study rewarded participants with participation credits. The inclusion criteria were (1) participant age between 18 and 65 years, and (2) participants were not receiving psychological therapies. Participants were naïve to the experimental objectives and all participants completed the full experimental procedure.

Measures

Clinical Perfectionism Questionnaire

The Clinical Perfectionism Questionnaire (CPQ; Fairburn *et al.*, 2003) is a 12-item inventory developed to assess pathological perfectionism at a clinical level. Respondents are asked to select how best a statement describes them, over the course of the past month using a 4-point Likert scale.

Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale

The Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (MPS; Hewitt *et al.*, 1991) is a 45-item inventory designed to measure three dimensions of perfectionism. Only items relating to self-oriented perfectionism (SOP) and socially prescribed perfectionism (SPP) were selected for use in the current study. Respondents are asked to select how much they agree with a statement about their personal characteristics using a 7-point Likert scale.

Patient Health Questionnaire-9

The Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9; Spitzer *et al.*, 1999) was selected for use in this study for assessing depression. Respondents are asked to complete the inventories by considering 'how bothered' they had been by symptomatic traits of anxiety disorders over the previous 2 weeks using a 4-point Likert scale.

Material

This study adapted ‘*Depression and Low Mood: Your Self Help Guide*’, which is a 47-page booklet designed as a self-help pamphlet (retrieved 11 August 2016 from: <https://icope.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/depression-and-low-mood-your-self-help-guide.pdf>). Three short extracts from the booklet were used in the current study: (a) psychoeducation about low mood, (b) exercise of developing your own vicious circle, and (c) a few exercises for behavioural activation (see the online Supplementary Material).

Procedure

Participants were invited to a quiet testing suite and were informed that the study would consist of two sessions, 7 days apart from each other. Once informed consent had been recorded, participants were asked to complete the series of measures. The mean (*SD*) for PHQ-9 at this stage was 7.82 (5.34). Following this, participants were asked to read the extract from the material to understand how thought, mood and behaviour are linked to each other. After helping participants to identify routine, necessary and pleasurable daily activities, the experimenter assigned ‘homework’ exercises from the material:

Exercise 1: Participants identified a ‘stressful life event’ and completed a ‘vicious cycle’ of their own. They were asked to fill in the date when they started this exercise.

Exercise 2: Participants set activities they wished to complete in the 7 days on a weekly planner.

Exercise 3: Participants crossed off any activity they managed to achieve on the weekly planner.

Exercise 4: Participants were asked to write down their comments, reflections, and insights into the homework exercises. They were asked to fill in the date when they started this exercise.

Participants were asked to do all the exercises and were given the opportunity to ask clarifying questions. A follow-up session, as close to 7 days as possible, was agreed with each participant; this acted as a ‘deadline,’ for the homework to be completed by. They were asked to hand it in to the experimenter at the follow-up session. In the second session, after handing in the homework, participants were asked to complete the second PHQ-9. The mean (*SD*) for PHQ-9 at this stage was 7.29 (5.40).

Statistical analysis

In order to analyse behavioural procrastination of respondents, the following were calculated: how long it took (in days) to start Exercise 1 (Vicious Circle), how many activities they set (Number of Activities), how long it took (in days) to complete the first activity (First Activity) in Exercise 3, the number of completed activities (Completed Activities), the proportion of completed activities (Proportion), and how long it took (in days) to start Exercise 4 (Comments).

The correlations between perfectionism (i.e. CPQ, SOP and SPP) and those behavioural measures of procrastination were measured. Further analysis was conducted to investigate the relationship between perfectionism and the *reductions* in depression scores.

Results

Table 1 presents means, standard deviations, and correlations of perfectionism, reductions in depression, and behavioural measures of procrastination. A series of Shapiro-Wilk tests revealed

Table 1. Means, standard deviations, and Kendall's Tau-B correlations of perfectionism, reductions in depression, and behavioural measures of procrastination

		Vicious Circle	Number of Activities	First Activity	Completed Activities	Proportion	Comments
	Mean	2.71	24.42	2.55	68.45	68.45	3.47
	(SD)	(1.47)	(5.59)	(1.80)	(15.98)	(15.98)	(1.70)
CPQ	25.68 (3.73)	.382**	-.274*	.427**	-.325**	-.222	.396**
SOP	65.24 (5.78)	-.138	.202	-.101	.137	.150	-.119
SPP	63.63 (9.74)	.395**	-.416**	.500**	-.442**	-.318**	.489**
PHQ-9 change	-.53 (1.39)	.097	-.010	.113	-.136	-.244*	.145

CPQ, Clinical Perfectionism Questionnaire; SOP, Self-Oriented Perfectionism; SPP, Socially Prescribed Perfectionism; PHQ change, reduction in the score of Patient Health Questionnaire-9; Vicious Circle, how long it took (in days), for participants to start the 'Vicious Cycle' homework exercise; Number of Activities, the amount of activities the participant set for themselves; First Activity, how long it took (in days) for participants to complete the first planned activity; Completed Activities, number of activities that participants completed; Proportion, the total amount of completed activities as a percentage; Comments, how long it took (in days) for participants to start the Comments homework exercise. * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$.

the violation of normality by data collected from behavioural measures of procrastination, therefore Kendall's Tau-B correlation was employed to test the relationships between variables.

Only clinical perfectionism and socially prescribed perfectionism, but not self-oriented perfectionism, were correlated with most of the behavioural measures of procrastination. Moreover, those perfectionism scales were negatively correlated with the number of planned activities. Reductions in depression were negatively correlated with the proportion of completed activities; the more activities participants completed, the greater the reduction in depression was.

Discussion

Correlations suggest that participants scoring highly on either the CPQ or the SPP subscales, started tasks later and, completed fewer of their planned activities. Scoring highly on the CPQ or the SPP correlated with setting fewer activities in the interim week between sessions. Scoring highly on the SOP subscale had different directions. The current study generally corroborates the claim that negative facets of perfectionism interferes with the participant's engagement in homework assignments. The association between the reduction in depression and the proportion of the completed activities suggests that it is important for the therapist to help the client to set appropriate homework tasks that are not too demanding to achieve. This is consistent with the importance of constant attention to the client's belief system in both the selection of homework tasks, and the manner by which they are tailored for the individual (e.g. Beck, 2011). For example, a client with extremely high standards as a schema is likely to find it anxiety provoking to be asked to engage in a task.

One of the more pertinent findings from the study is that the greater the SPP and CPQ were, the fewer tasks participants set on the activity planner. Instead of setting quantitatively demanding tasks, individuals who scored highly on SPP and/or CPQ may set qualitatively demanding tasks (e.g. running 10 km rather than walking 3 km). This warrants further research; a replication of the current study using a qualitative analysis of the tasks participants set on the planner, and a qualitative investigation of the adherence.

The limitation of the current study is the relatively low participant number ($N = 38$). Future research may need to expand the number of participants, the format of the intervention (other than a self-help) and the diversity of the participants (i.e. clinical populations). Moreover, future

research should pay more attention to the quality of the completed homework, because that would reflect a client's engagement more than its quantity. Consideration of this may more closely reveal perfectionists' unrealistic treatment expectations, and a tendency to misinterpret the therapist's praise and encouragement. Notwithstanding these limitations, this study was the first attempt to investigate the relationship between perfectionism and procrastination of homework in psychotherapy. Moreover, the use of behavioural measures of procrastination may be considered one of the strengths of this study, as there is a paucity of studies including a behavioural task to measure procrastination. Although there is a good correlation with self-report measures of procrastination, there is a relative lacuna in the use of a behavioural task.

Supplementary Material. To view Supplementary Material for this article, please visit: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1352465819000547>

Acknowledgements. None.

Financial support. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of interest. Osamu Kobori, Glen Dighton and Rachael Hunter have no conflicts of interest with respect to this publication.

Ethical statements. This study was reviewed and approved by the Departmental Ethics Committee, Department of Psychology, Swansea University.

References

- Barnes, M., Sherlock, S., Thomas, L., Kessler, D., Kuyken, W., Owen-Smith, A., Lewis, G., Wiles, N., & Turner, K. (2013). No pain, no gain: depressed clients' experiences of cognitive behavioural therapy. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 52, 347. doi: [10.1111/bjc.12021](https://doi.org/10.1111/bjc.12021)
- Beck, J. S. (2011). *Cognitive Behavior Therapy: Basics and Beyond*. Guilford Press.
- Fairburn, C. G., Cooper, Z., & Shafran, R. (2003). *The Clinical Perfectionism Questionnaire*. Unpublished manuscript, Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford, UK.
- Helbig, S., & Fehm, L. (2004). Problems with homework in CBT: rare exception or rather frequent? *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 32, 291–301. doi: [10.1017/S1352465804001365](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1352465804001365)
- Hewitt, P. L., Flett, G. L., Turnbull-Donovan, W., & Mikail, S. F. (1991). The Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale: reliability, validity, and psychometric properties in psychiatric samples. *Psychological Assessment: A Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 3, 464. doi: [10.1037/1040-3590.3.3.464](https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.3.3.464)
- Holdsworth, E., Bowen, E., Brown, S., & Howat, D. (2014). Client engagement in psychotherapeutic treatment and associations with client characteristics, therapist characteristics, and treatment factors. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 34, 428–450. doi: [10.1016/j.cpr.2014.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2014.06.004)
- Kazantzis, N., Whittington, C., & Dattilio, F. (2010). Metaanalysis of homework effects in cognitive and behavioral therapy: a replication and extension. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 17, 144–156. doi: [10.1111/j.1468-2850.2010.01204.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2850.2010.01204.x)
- Kazantzis, N., Whittington, C., Zelencich, L., Kyrios, M., Norton, P. J., & Hofmann, S. G. (2016). Quantity and quality of homework compliance: a meta-analysis of relations with outcome in cognitive behavior therapy. *Behavior Therapy*, 47, 755–772. doi: [10.1016/j.beth.2016.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2016.05.002)
- Shafran, R., Cooper, Z., & Fairburn, C. G. (2002). Clinical perfectionism: a cognitive-behavioural analysis. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 40, 773–791. doi: [10.1016/S0005-7967\(01\)00059-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967(01)00059-6)
- Sirois, F. M., Molnar, D. S., & Hirsch, J. K. (2017). A meta-analytic and conceptual update on the associations between procrastination and multidimensional perfectionism. *European Journal of Personality*, 31, 137–159. doi: [10.1002/per.2098](https://doi.org/10.1002/per.2098)
- Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J. B., & Patient Health Questionnaire Primary Care Study Group (1999). Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MD: the PHQ primary care study. *JAMA*, 282, 1737–1744. doi: [10.1001/jama.282.18.1737](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.282.18.1737)

Cite this article: Kobori O, Dighton G, and Hunter R (2020). Does perfectionism impact adherence to homework assignment? A preliminary pilot study of perfectionism and procrastination of CBT homework. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy* 48, 243–247. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1352465819000547>