# Patterns of acoustic variation in *Cicada* barbara Stål (Hemiptera, Cicadoidea) from the Iberian Peninsula and Morocco

## G.A. Pinto-Juma<sup>1,2</sup>\*, S.G. Seabra<sup>1</sup> and J.A. Quartau<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centro de Biologia Ambiental/Departamento de Biologia Animal, Faculdade de Ciências de Lisboa, C2, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal: <sup>2</sup>School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3US, Wales, UK

## Abstract

Field recordings of the calling song and of an amplitude modulated signal produced by males of Cicada barbara from North Africa and the Iberian Peninsula were analysed in order to assess the geographical acoustic variation and the potential usefulness of acoustic data in the discrimination of subspecies and populations. Sound recordings were digitized and the frequency and temporal properties of the calls of each cicada were analysed. In all regions studied, peak frequency, quartiles 25, 50 and 75% and syllable rate showed low coefficients of variation suggesting inherent static properties. All frequency variables were correlated with the latitude, decreasing from south to north. In addition, most acoustic variables of the calling song showed significant differences between regions, and PCA and DFA analyses supported a partitioning within this species between Iberian Peninsula+Ceuta and Morocco, corroborating mtDNA data on the same species. Therefore, the subspecific division of C. barbara into C. barbara barbara from Morocco and C. barbara lusitanica from Portugal, Spain and Ceuta finds support from the present acoustic analyses, a result which is also reinforced by molecular markers.

**Keywords:** acoustic signals, *Cicada barbara*, subspecies, acoustic divergence, calling song

(Accepted 29 January 2007)

## Introduction

Cicadas are Hemipteran insects mainly characterized by the presence in males of cuticle membranes (tymbals) placed dorsolaterally in the first and second segments of the abdomen. Tymbals can be distorted by the action of powerful muscles producing loud airborne acoustic signals (e.g., Pringle, 1954; Popov, 1975; Young & Bennet-Clark,

\*Author for correspondence Fax: +44 (0)2920874305 E-mail: jumag@cf.ac.uk 1995; Bennet-Clark, 1997, 1998a, 1999). Males produce different kinds of acoustic signals, but the calling song involved in mate attraction is typically species-specific and females are only attracted to the calls of the conspecific males (e.g. *Magicicada* spp.: Cooley & Marshall, 2001).

Paterson (1985) pointed out that species are primarily characterized by unique specific mate recognition systems (SMRSs). These serve to ensure the mating of conspecifics and result secondarily in reproductive isolation (Claridge & de Vriijer, 1994). It is common to find that the most striking differences between closely related sympatric species concern characters used in mate recognition (*e.g.* Maynard-Smith, 1989); thus, SMRSs should allow the discrimination of species. As such, calling songs constitute distinct specificmate recognition systems (SMRSs) and have been commonly used to recognize cicada species in both natural and farmed ecosystems, especially when no other so distinctive characters are available, such as in the genera *Tosena*, *Pomponia* and *Lyristes* (e.g. Boulard, 1988, 2006), *Cicadetta* (e.g. Gogala & Trilar, 2004) or in *Tettigetta* (e.g. Boulard, 1982; Quartau & Boulard, 1995; Sueur *et al.*, 2004). Paterson's (1985) recognition concept of species emphasizes that SMRSs and, therefore, the calling song should be maintained relatively constant by stabilizing selection across the distribution range of the species.

Cicada barbara Stål is known to occur in the Iberian Peninsula, Italy and in North Africa (Nast, 1972; Boulard, 1982; Quartau, 1988) in scattered high temperature environments and is usually associated with Mediterranean trees and shrubs, and frequently in farmed environments as olive tree orchards. This cicada produces a typical sound composed of a continuous series of pulses without pauses (Boulard, 1982, 1995; Fonseca, 1991; Quartau & Rebelo, 1994). Boulard (1982), based on small differences in the male genitalia and the female ovipositor, created a new subspecific taxon for C. barbara from Portugal, i.e. C. barbara lusitanica Boulard, in comparison with the nominal subspecies from North Africa. An investigation of the genetic differentiation also supports the splitting of this species into C. barbara lusitanica and C. barbara barbara Stål (see Pinto-Juma et al., this journal); however, their geographical boundaries may be questionable since a population in Ceuta (North Africa) has been found to cluster with the Iberian Peninsula specimens.

It should be pointed out that the discrimination of subspecies has been a source of controversy (e.g. Phillimore & Owens, 2006); and, indeed, biological information from different sources should be used when defining species (Lee, 2004). At the subspecific level, the use of complementary data should also assist on classification.

Intraspecific variation in the calling song can occur at a variety of scales and geographical population divergence can lead to reproductive isolation and subsequent speciation (Zuk et al., 2001). Otte (1994, in Shaw & Herlihy, 2000) suggested that acoustic features of the calling song of swordtail crickets in Hawaii are among the earliest characters to change in the speciation process. The same was also referred to Drosophila willistoni complex (Gleason & Ritchie, 1998). Boulard (1995) also referred to the potential importance of comparing the calling songs of C. barbara barbara and C. barbara lusitanica, but no such comparison has been made yet. On the other hand, the courtship song of both subspecies has been compared by Boulard (1995), who stated that C. barbara lusitanica from Portugal has shorter phrases with higher periodicity in comparison with C. barbara barbara from North Africa. However, no statistical significance tests have ever been accomplished. The courtship song has been described as a continuous amplitude modulated sound, presenting also modulation in frequency and in syllable period (Fonseca, 1991; Boulard, 1995).

In this paper, the acoustic variation of the calling song, as well as of an amplitude modulated signal of *C. barbara* are assessed and compared in both the Iberian Peninsula and North Africa populations. Moreover, the usefulness of the present acoustic data in the splitting of *C. barbara* into subspecies, *C. barbara barbara* and *C. barbara lusitanica*, is evaluated.



Fig. 1. Recording sites in the Iberian Peninsula and North Africa of the *Cicada barbara* specimens studied.

### Material and methods

Specimens of *Cicada barbara* Stål were sampled in the summer (July–September) over several years (1996–2002) from different localities of the Iberian Peninsula (*C. barbara lusitanica*) and North Africa (*C. barbara barbara*) (fig. 1, table 1). Males were identified in the field by their calling songs, located and recorded on a digital Sony DAT recorder (TCD-D10 ProII and TCD-D8 at frequency ranges of 20–22,000 Hz and 20–20,000 Hz, respectively and at a sampling frequency of 44.1 kHz) connected to a dynamic Sony F-780 microphone with frequency responses of 50–18,000 Hz. All recordings were made approximately at the same distance from the cicada (within at least 30 cm to 1 m), to avoid distance interference on the frequency measurements. Air temperature was measured at most collecting sites at the place where cicadas were singing.

#### Acoustic analysis

The software Avisoft-SASLab Pro (Specht, 2002) was used to digitize sound recordings as in Pinto-Juma *et al.* (2005). A sound fragment of about 60 s was used to produce oscillograms, sonagrams (or spectrograms) and mean amplitude spectra (using Fast Fourier transformation with a resolution of 512 points and a Hamming Window and 50% overlap for temporal resolution), allowing temporal and spectral analyses. The frequency variables measured included: peak frequency; minimum and maximum frequencies; bandwidth; quartiles 25, 50, 75% and quartile 75%– quartile 25%. The number of syllables (a syllable being a unitarian group of pulses, e.g. Fonseca, 1991) was counted per unit of time – 30 fragments of about 0.1 s were analysed and the average number of syllables was calculated for each specimen.

	Table 1.	Sampled	populations	of	Cicada	barbara.
--	----------	---------	-------------	----	--------	----------

Localitites	Date	Habitat	Temperature (°C)	Ν
North Africa (C. barbara barbara)				
Ceuta (Spain)	21/7 and 22/7/1999	Eucalyptus	29–35	12
Fès South (Morocco)	3/8/2001	Olive tree orchard	34	6
Fès (Morocco)	2/8/2001	Olive tree orchard	31–35	10
Meknès (Morocco)	4/8/2001	Olive tree orchard	31–37	9
Iberian Peninsula (C. barbara lusitanica)				
Alcalar (Algarve, Portugal)	23/8/1995		_	10
Alvor (Algarve, Portugal)	28/8/1995		_	3
Arrábida (Estremadura, Portugal)	27/7/1995	Pine trees	_	5
Cordoba (Andalucía Spain)	6/9/2000	Olive tree orchard	34	5
Crato (Alto Alentejo, Portugal)	6-8/7/1999; 15/7/1999; 1-3/8/1999	Olive tree orchard	26–41	14
Monforte (Alto Alentejo, Portugal)	22-24/7/1995	Olive tree orchard	_	6
Moura (Baixo Alentejo, Portugal)	28/8/2001	Olive tree orchard	31–34	11
Portel (Alto Alentejo, Portugal)	24/7 and 10/8/2001	Olive tree orchard	31–35	10
Sevilla (Andalucía, Spain)	6/8/2001	Olive tree orchard	38-41	7
Sousel (Alto Alentejo, Portugal)	8/9/2001	Olive tree orchard	33–35	11
TOTAL				119

Temperature intervals refer to the range of temperature within each collecting site at the place and time where cicadas were singing; N, number of males acoustically recorded.

Statistical analyses were performed using MINITAB version 14 software (Minitab Inc., 2004). Anderson-Darling Normality tests were performed to verify the distribution for each variable; and, since the null hypothesis of a normal distribution was always rejected, all the subsequent analyses followed non-parametric statistics.

Following Boulard's (1982) subspecific classification, the new mtDNA data (see Pinto-Juma *et al.*, this journal) and the fact that no apparent barriers exist between the populations from Portugal and Spain the Iberian Peninsula specimens were considered as *C. barbara lusitanica*. Similarly, North African specimens were considered as *C. barbara barbara*. However, since the specimens from Ceuta in northern Africa revealed the same genetic pattern as the Iberian ones (see Pinto-Juma *et al.*, this journal), several tests were carried out grouping Ceuta with the remaining North African localities and, on the other hand, with the Iberian ones.

Spearman's rank correlations were analysed between time and frequency variables and the temperature in the field. Descriptive statistics of each variable for each region were also analysed, including the coefficient of variation. The coefficients of variation (CV) for each variable were calculated within populations (CVpop) and within regions (CVreg). Since these coefficients are the standard deviation expressed as a percentage of the mean of each variable, it was possible to compare the variation between different sets of data. Mann-Whitney U (MW) and Kruskal-Wallis (KW) non-parametric tests were used to compare regions and populations within each region, respectively. The significance of multiple tests was adjusted according to Dunn-Sidák method (Dytham, 2003), by reducing the critical P value from 0.05 to  $1-(0.95)^{1/k}$ , where k is the number of tests performed. The correlation between each variable and the latitude was also assessed in order to test any variation gradient within the geographical distribution of the specimens.

Frequency measurements and the syllable rate were used to assess differences between specimens and regions using multivariate methods. A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed in order to reduce the variables to a small number of components, as well as to assess the correlation between the variables and those components (component loadings). Kruskall-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests were then used to compare the component scores obtained for the individuals between regions. Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA), with two and three regions defined *a priori*, was also performed to determine statistical significant discriminant functions that might separate these groups.

Besides the more generally known calling song, amplitude modulated signals were analysed in five males of C. barbara lusitanica from Portugal (one from Moura, two from Portel and one from Sousel, all recorded in 2001; and one from Crato, recorded in 1997) and in eight males of C. barbara barbara from North Africa (three from Meknès, three from Fès and one from Fès South, all recorded in 2001; and one from Ceuta, recorded in 1999). Recordings and digitizing were performed as described above. Ten phrases per male, each composed of one high amplitude section (Section I) and one low amplitude section (Section II) were analysed whenever possible. The peak frequency and duration of each section in each phrase were obtained using Avisoft and the number of syllables in three fragments of about 0.1 s was counted for each section of each phrase and an average was obtained for each section per specimen.

Non-parametric tests were used to compare the two phrasal sections (Wilcoxon test for two related samples) and to compare African and Iberian samples (Mann-Whitney U test for two independent samples). Correlations between the acoustic variables and the temperature were calculated using the Spearman correlation coefficient.

#### Results

Acoustic analyses were based on the recordings of a total of 119 specimens from 14 localities, four from North Africa and ten from the Iberian Peninsula (fig. 1, table 1).



Fig. 2. Oscillograms (time vs. amplitude) and sonagrams (time vs. frequency) of the calling songs of (a) one male of *Cicada barbara lusitanica* from Crato, Portugal and (b) one male of *C. barbara barbara* from Fès, Morocco. A fragment of 0.2 seconds is shown, with an individual syllable pointed out, which is further magnified in (a) to show the pulses.

## Calling song

The calling song of *Cicada barbara* consists of a continuous emission of pulses produced by the tymbals (fig. 2). Each tymbal is responsible for the repetitive production of a group of pulses which we call syllable. The tymbals alternate their sound production, but slightly overlap (Fonseca, 1991). In the calling song, each syllable lasts about 5 ms (*ca.* 200 syllables  $s^{-1}$ ; table 2). In the males analysed (*N*=119), two were apparently either using only one tymbal or there was a synchronous action of both tymbals, as revealed by the oscillograms with half of the number of syllables produced

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the acoustic variables of chana barba	Tal	ble	2.	Descriptive	statistics	of	the	acoustic	variables	of	Cicada	barba
--	-----	-----	----	-------------	------------	----	-----	----------	-----------	----	--------	-------

	Ν	Mean $\pm$ SD	Min.	Max.	CV (%)
Interval of time analysed (s)	119	57.6±9	10.2	68.4	
Peak frequency (Hz)	119	$6330 \pm 437.3$	5160	7660	6.9
Minimum frequency (Hz)	119	$2845.2 \pm 346.3$	940	4730	12.5
Maximum frequency (Hz)	119	$11291 \pm 1791$	7920	17910	15.9
Bandwidth (Hz)	119	$8442 \pm 1800$	5160	15330	21.3
Quartile 25% (Hz)	119	$5924.2 \pm 363.2$	4990	6710	6.1
Quartile 50% (Hz)	119	$6740.5 \pm 384.3$	5770	7490	5.7
Quartile 75% (Hz)	119	$8708 \pm 701.2$	6460	10240	8.1
Quart75%-Quart25% (Hz)	119	$2783.9 \pm 453$	1300	4040	16.3
Syllables s <sup>-1</sup>	116	$200.9 \pm 18.7$	137.6	252.3	9.3

SD, standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation.



Fig. 3. Variation of the syllable rate with the temperature in specimens of *Cicada barbara*.  $\blacklozenge$ , Fès south;  $\blacksquare$ , Fès;  $\blacktriangle$ , Meknès;  $\blacklozenge$ , Ceuta;  $\bigstar$ , Sevilla;  $\bigcirc$ , Cordoba; +, Moura; -, Portel;  $\square$ , Sousel;  $\diamondsuit$ , Crato.

per unit of time. In this case, the frequency values were not significantly different from when both tymbals were functioning (MW test, P > 0.05), but the variable 'syllable rate' had to be discarded.

The peak frequency for all the specimens analysed varied from 5160 to 7660 Hz. The bandwidth ranged between 5160 and 15,330 Hz, and the difference between upper and lower quartiles ranged between 1300 and 4040 Hz (table 2).

No correlation between frequency variables and temperature was detected. However, the syllable rate was slightly correlated with temperature:  $r_s = 0.34$  (*P* < 0.001) when considering all specimens (see fig. 3).

The calling songs differed significantly between populations for all variables (KW tests, P < 0.001), except for the mean syllable rate (P = 0.06). For all population pairwise comparisons within the Iberian Peninsula, MW tests (P < 0.05) showed that Moura and Sousel had higher maximum frequencies (see appendix I). Within North African populations, including Ceuta samples, the variables peak frequency, minimum frequency, and quartiles 25, 50 and 75% differed significantly. Ceuta specimens seem responsible for most of these differences, since significantly lower values for peak frequency and quartiles 25% and 50%

were found in Ceuta when compared to the Morocco samples (MW tests in table 3, boxplots in fig. 4).

When temperature was controlled by performing ANCOVA (temperature as covariate), the differences between North African populations were still present for most variables, except for minimum frequency. This does not necessarily contradict the non-correlation between frequency variables and temperature. In fact, ANCOVA is the only test which allows controlling the temperature, but it is a parametric test, so the minimum frequency result might be a simple statistical artefact due, for instance, to a violation in the test criteria.

The influence of temperature could not be tested to explain the low syllable rate values found in Alvor, as this information was missing. However, when excluding specimens for which there was no temperature data, there was no significant differences between populations in syllable rate (KW test, P > 0.05).

A negative significant correlation between each frequency variable of all populations and their latitude was found (P < 0.05), indicating that there is a decreasing gradient on frequency parameters from south to north (table 4, appendix I). The syllable rate did not show a significant correlation with latitude when all populations were analysed.

## Calling song and the differentiation between regions

Corroborating molecular analyses, the Ceuta population revealed considerable acoustic differences in comparison with the remaining North African populations, so multiple MW tests (with the significance level corrected via Dunn-Sidák method) were performed in order to evaluate different subregions (table 3). The mean syllable rate and the minimum frequency were not significantly different for any of the subregions compared, but all other frequency variables showed differences depending on the regions.

Ceuta revealed more highly significant differences when compared to Morocco (peak frequency, quartile 25% and quartile 50%) than when compared to the Iberian Peninsula (only bandwidth). MW tests between Morocco vs. Iberian Peninsula + Ceuta also revealed more significant differences than North Africa (Morocco and Ceuta) vs. Iberian Peninsula (see table 3). All comparisons where Ceuta was included suggested less differentiation between this population and the Iberian Peninsula than with Moroccan populations.

Table 3. Mann-Whitney U tests comparing two regions for each acoustic variable in Cicada barbara.

Variables tested	Morocco+Ceuta vs.	Morocco vs.	Morocco vs.	Morocco vs.	Iberian Peninsula vs.
	Iberian Peninsula	Iberian Peninsula+Ceuta	Iberian Peninsula	Ceuta	Ceuta
	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
Peak frequency	0.264	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.016
Minimum frequency	0.513	0.022	0.052	0.004	0.089
Maximum frequency	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.620	0.003
Bandwidth	0.000	0.001	$0.000 \\ 0.001$	0.987	0.000
Quartile 25%	0.050	0.000		0.000	0.247
Quartile $50\%$	0.031	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.343
Quartile $75\%$	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.341
Quart $75\%$ -Quart $25\%$	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.962	0.003
Syllables s <sup>-1</sup>	0.938	0.379	0.497	0.177	0.385

Significant differences highlighted in grey are according to the method of Dunn-Sidák (Dytham, 2003)  $(P < 1-(0.95)^{1/k})$ , where k = number of tests performed, in this case k = 45, so P < 0.001).



Fig. 4. Boxplots of the acoustic variables analysed for *Cicada barbara* at two regions (Iberian Peninsula + Ceuta and Morocco) and three regions (Ceuta, Iberian Peninsula and Morocco).

Boxplots represent the sample distribution: the rectangular box corresponds to approximately the middle 50% of the data, the top of the box is the third quartile (75%), the bottom is the first quartile (25%) and the horizontal line is the median; vertical lines extending to either side indicate the general extent of the data; \*, outlier.

	Peak frequency (Hz)	Minimum frequency (Hz)	Maximum frequency (Hz)	Bandwidth (Hz)	Quartile 25% (Hz)	Quartile 50% (Hz)	Quartile 75% (Hz)	Quart75% – Quart25% (Hz)	Syllables s <sup>-1</sup>
r <sub>s</sub> P	-0.267 0.003	-0.219 0.017	-0.431 0.000	-0.407 0.000	$-0.351 \\ 0.000$	$-0.391 \\ 0.000$	$-0.385 \\ 0.000$	-0.317 0.000	0.102 0.276

Table 4. Spearman correlation  $(r_s)$  between latitude and acoustic variables analysed for Cicada barbara.

*P* is the level of significance; for *P* < 0.05, the correlation is significant. Significant differences are highlighted in grey.

Table 5. Mean, standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (CV) for each acoustic variable of Cicada barbara on each region.

		More	оссо			Iberian Penin	sula + Ceuta	
	N	Mean $\pm$ SD	CVpop (%)	CVreg (%)	N	Mean $\pm$ SD	CVpop (%)	CVreg (%)
Peak frequency (Hz)	25	$6586.7 \pm 241.6$	3.83	3.67	94	$6261.7 \pm 453$	4.94	7.23
Minimum frequency (Hz)	25	$2904.9 \pm 470.4$	15.81	16.19	94	$2829.3 \pm 320.6$	8.72	11.33
Maximum frequency (Hz)	25	$12371 \pm 1799$	14.65	14.54	94	$11004 \pm 1684$	12.73	15.3
Bandwidth (Hz)	25	$9461 \pm 1941$	21.53	20.51	94	$8171 \pm 1669$	17.10	20.42
Quartile 25% (Hz)	25	$6174.5 \pm 208.1$	3.40	3.37	94	$5857.6 \pm 367.3$	4.26	6.27
Quartile 50% (Hz)	25	$6985.2 \pm 232.4$	3.25	3.33	94	$6675.5 \pm 391.3$	3.77	5.86
Quartile 75% (Hz)	25	$9188 \pm 527$	5.75	5.74	94	$8580.5 \pm 688.5$	6.12	8.02
Quart75%–Quart25% (Hz)	25	$3013 \pm 451.8$	14.63	15	94	$2722.9 \pm 435.6$	13.52	16
Syllables s <sup>-1</sup>	24	$203.52 \pm 17.58$	8.94	8.64	92	$200.18 \pm 19.06$	8.13	9.52

CVpop (%), average of the CV between populations in each region; CVreg (%), CV between individuals within the region.



Similar levels of coefficients of variation (CV) were found within populations in the Iberian Peninsula+Ceuta and within populations in Morocco (table 5). However, within-region CV were generally higher in Iberian Peninsula+Ceuta than in Morocco; only the CV of the minimum frequency and of the bandwidth for Morocco was higher than the CV of Iberian Peninsula+Ceuta. The variable presenting the highest CV of all was bandwidth, while the lowest CV was found for quartile 50%, for both regions.

PCA did not reveal non-overlapping groups between regions, however Moroccan specimens predominantly aggregated in the upper and lower right quadrants of the diagram, while Iberian specimens were mostly spread along the upper and lower left quadrants (fig. 5). KW tests showed significant differences for the first two components between the scores of specimens of Morocco, Iberian Peninsula and Ceuta (P < 0.001). MW tests between Morocco and Iberian Peninsula+Ceuta also revealed highly significant differences for the first two components (same level of significance, P < 0.001). Comparing Morocco and the Iberian Peninsula alone, MW tests revealed even stronger significant differences for component 1 with P < 0.0001; Morocco compared to Ceuta also had significant differences (P < 0.005), while the Iberian Peninsula compared to Ceuta did not show significant differences (P = 0.650).

The proportion of the total variance (table 6a) accounted for by the first component was 52%, the first two combined

Fig. 5. Component scores obtained from the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on a correlation matrix between all nine acoustic variables analysed for *Cicada barbara*: (a)  $\blacklozenge$ , Alc;  $\square$ , Alv;  $\diamondsuit$ , Arr;  $\triangle$ , Ceu;  $\varkappa$ , Cor;  $\triangleleft$ , Cra;  $\blacktriangledown$ , Fès; +, FèsS; ×, Mek;  $\varkappa$ , Mon;  $\diamondsuit$ , Mou;  $\boxtimes$ , Por;  $\blacklozenge$ , Sev;  $\blacktriangle$ , Sou population level and (b)  $\varkappa$ , Iberian Peninsula + Ceuta;  $\square$ , Morocco region level.

а	) Princip	al Com	oonent A	Analysis	s (PCA)	based	on n	ine a	coustic	variables:	eigen	analys	sis and	comp	onent	loadin	gs.
	/				· · · ·												

	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6	PC7
Eigen analysis							
Eigen value	4.679	1.714	1.033	0.701	0.521	0.261	0.093
Proportion	52%	19%	11.5%	7.8%	5.8%	2.9%	1%
Cumulative	52%	71%	82.5%	90.3%	96.1%	<b>99</b> %	100%
Component loadings per variable							
Peak frequency	0.319	-0.309	0.116	-0.562	0.096	0.672	
Minimum frequency	0.196	-0.472	-0.23	0.489	0.641	0.064	
Maximum frequency	0.343	0.452	-0.046	-0.069	0.423	-0.065	
Bandwidth	0.302	0.544	0.001	-0.167	0.293	-0.077	
Quartile 25%	0.395	-0.298	-0.011	-0.139	-0.096	-0.516	
Quartile 50%	0.411	-0.206	0.103	-0.19	-0.191	-0.311	
Quartile 75%	0.439	-0.012	-0.028	0.248	-0.302	0	
Quart75%–Quart25%	0.364	0.219	-0.035	0.494	-0.39	0.413	
Syllables s <sup>-1</sup>	-0.011	0.028	-0.959	-0.226	-0.159	0.026	

PC, principal component.

b) Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA) results based on seven acoustic variables.

		Two Regions		Three regions				
	Morocco	Iberian Peninsula+Ceuta	Morocco	Iberian Peninsula	Ceuta			
Total N	24	95	24	83	12			
Correct classification	17	61	16	43	9			
Misplaced	7	34	8	40	3			
Proportion of correct classification Overall correct classification	70.8% 64.7% (w	63.0% ith cross validation 60.3%)	66.7 <i>%</i> 54.3 <i>%</i> (w	49.4% ith cross validation 45.	63.6% 7%)			

The frequency variables Q75%–Q25% and bandwidth were highly correlated with other predictors and had to be discarded from this analysis.

components accounted for 71%, and 82.5% for the first three components. The cumulative variance accounted by consecutive components decreased rapidly, which is indicative of significant inter-correlation between the variables. Most variables (excluding the syllable rate which had the lowest loading attributed, 0.011) were almost equivalently responsible for the first component, the loadings varying from 0.196 (minimum frequency) to 0.439 (quartile 75%). Even though most frequency variables were cross-correlated, the score plot of the PCA showed a better separation of the regions than other plots using only non-correlated variables (data not shown).

For DFA, two different groupings were tested: one separating Morocco from the Iberian Peninsula + Ceuta and the other separating three regions, Morocco, Iberian Peninsula and Ceuta (table 6b). The frequency variables Q75–Q25 % and bandwidth had to be discarded from this analysis, as they were highly correlated with other variables (predictors).

DFA separating Morocco from the Iberian Peninsula+Ceuta had a global proportion of correct classification of 64.7%; after cross validation, the proportion was 60.3%. Morocco specimens were less misclassified than the ones from Iberian Peninsula + Ceuta since Morocco alone had 70.8 % of correct classification, while the Iberian Peninsula + Ceuta had 63%. The overall correct categorization of DFA for the three regions, considering Ceuta as a separate region, was 54.3% and 45.7% after cross validation. For each region separately, Morocco had 66.7% of correct categorization, the Iberian Peninsula had the lowest proportion of correct categorization (49.4%) and Ceuta had 63.6%. The lower overall proportion of correct classification observed suggests that the two regions defined in the first test, Morocco and the Iberian Peninsula together with Ceuta, are more adequate to explain the differentiation between the specimens.

#### Amplitude modulated signal

The amplitude modulated signal analysed here is similar in pattern to the one referred by Fonseca (1991) and Boulard (1995) as a courtship song. However, from our field observations, we cannot corroborate that it is truly a courtship song, being instead generally associated with the disturbance caused by the approaching of the operator during the field recordings. However, this is an ethological issue that requires further clarification.

In the amplitude modulated signal, Section I of the phrase had higher amplitude (fig. 6) and also significantly lower duration and higher syllable rate than Section II (Wilcoxon test, P = 0.001 for both) (table 7). On the other hand, peak frequency was not significantly different between Sections I and II (Wilcoxon test, P = 0.701). Temperature had no significant correlation with any of the acoustic variables measured in this modulated signal (Spearman correlation, P > 0.1). There was no significant difference between African and Iberian samples for each variable or between Morocco samples and Iberian and Ceuta samples taken together (MW



Fig. 6. Oscillogram and sonagram of the amplitude modulated signal of (a) one male of *Cicada barbara lusitanica* from Moura and (b) one male of *C. barbara barbara from* Fès. Sections I and II of the signal are signalled; and a fragment of 0.3 seconds of the transition between sections is shown enlarged on top, depicting the clear difference in syllable rates between Section I and II.

tests, P > 0.1). The acoustic variable with the highest coefficients of variation was the temporal variable duration (CV from 21.3% to 32.7%). On the other hand, the peak frequency and the syllable rate were more constant (CV from 5.2% to 10.8%) (table 7). Also, the intra-individual coefficients of variation of duration were, on average, 14.5% for Section I and 29.4% for Section II and were lower for peak

frequencies and syllable rate (2% and 3.7% for the first; 4.4% and 8% for the latter).

### Discussion

### Acoustic variation

The values found for the acoustic variables of the calling song in the present study are within the range found by other authors. Fonseca (1991) reported syllable rates from  $162 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$  to  $238 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$  on specimens of C. barbara lusitanica (recorded in the field with free tethered animals, but unfortunately without reference to the locality) and a peak frequency of 5.5–6.5 kHz, a minimum frequency of 2–3 kHz and a maximum of 9.5-11 kHz. Boulard (1995) described the calling song of a male of C. barbara barbara from North Africa with a peak frequency at 6.2 kHz. If we consider that the two regions analysed in this study, Iberian Peninsula + Ceuta and Morocco, correspond to the subspecies C. barbara lusitanica and C. barbara barbara, respectively, the two subspecies showed different means but with overlapping values for these acoustic variables: C. barbara lusitanica had a mean  $(\pm$ SD) peak frequency of  $6.3\pm0.4$  kHz, a minimum frequency of  $2.8 \pm 0.3$  kHz, a maximum frequency of  $11 \pm$ 1.7 kHz and a syllable rate of  $200 \pm 19.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ; while *C. barbara* barbara had a mean peak frequency of  $6.6 \pm 0.2$  kHz, a minimum frequency of  $2.9 \pm 0.5$  kHz, a maximum frequency of  $12.4 \pm 1.8$  kHz and a syllable rate of  $203 \pm 17.6$  s<sup>-1</sup>.

Temperature had a significant effect on the syllable rate, which increased with air temperature, as observed for this species previously (Fonseca, 1991) and for other cicadas (e.g. *Tettigeta argentata*, *T. josei* and *Tympanistalna gastrica*, in Fonseca & Revez (2002a)).

The syllable rate did not show any significant differences between populations and regions in our study, although most other acoustic characters revealed significant differences. However, variability between individuals (within populations) was relatively high (see appendix II), increasing the complexity of the results. According to Gerhardt (1991), the properties of the calling songs of frogs and insects can be categorized as static, responsible for the quality of calls, mainly concerning species recognition; or dynamic, determining the quantity of signalling, and more related with mating success. Static characters should be highly stereotyped within and between males, whereas dynamic characters can vary considerably (Gerhardt, 1991). We did not assess within-male variability as we would need several calling songs from the same male in the field, but variability between males can also show this pattern. In fact, for C. barbara in both regions studied, the peak frequency, quartiles 25, 50 and 75% seem to be static characters, according to Gerhardt (1991) classification, usually with less than 5% variability. The minimum and maximum frequency, bandwidth and quartiles 75-25% varied in most cases more than 12%, corresponding, therefore, to the Gerhardt dynamic characters. However, these particular characters depend considerably on recording settings, like distance, and the variability found might also reflect some recording heterogeneity. The syllable rate showed coefficients of variation between 5-8%, slightly higher than static properties but lower than most dynamic characters. Nonetheless, Fonseca & Revez (2002b) proved that the temporal pattern in *C. barbara lusitanica* can influence long-range communication; so, this character might be constrained by specific selective

		C	C. barbara bai	bara		С.	barbara lusi	tanica		Average
		Average ± SD	Minimum	Maximum	CV (%)	Average ± SD	Minimum	Maximum	CV (%)	intra- individual CV (%)
on I	Peak frequency (Hz) Duration (s)	$6334.1 \pm 322.6$ 09+03	5758 0 7	6854 1.6	5.2 29.9	$5971.2 \pm 627.9$ 09+02	5137.1	6771.7 1 1	10.8	2 14 5
Secti	Syllable rate (s <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	$217.8 \pm 17.8$	175.1	229.1	8.4	$225.1 \pm 14.3$	209.9	242.6	6.5	4.4
section II	Peak frequency (Hz) Duration (s) Syllable rate (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\begin{array}{c} 6415.6 \pm 343.8 \\ 2.8 \pm 0.9 \\ 123.1 \pm 9.9 \end{array}$	5791 1.9 101.4	6860 4.4 133.6	5.5 32.7 8.2	$\begin{array}{c} 6137.1 \pm 506.6 \\ 2.7 \pm 0.9 \\ 132.4 \pm 7.3 \end{array}$	5287.1 2.1 120.5	6534 4.1 140.6	8.5 32.3 5.7	3.7 29.4 8
01	Phrase Duration (Section I+Section II)	$3.8 \pm 1.1$	2.8	5.6	30.4	$3.6 \pm 1$	2.9	5.3	29.2	22.3
	Duration Section II/ Duration Section I	$3.1\pm0.6$	1.9	4.1	20.3	$3.1\pm0.5$	2.6	3.7	15.3	35

Table 7. Descriptive statistics for the acoustic variables measured in the phrases of the amplitude modulated signal of *Cicada barbara barbara and C. barbara lusitanica*.

SD, standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation.

pressures and perform as static properties. Cicadas are difficult to keep in a laboratory, and females are particularly difficult to observe in the field. Therefore, female preference' studies are hard to develop and, for C. barbara, there is no literature available. Fonseca & Revez (2002b) studied the males' response to natural and modified songs and managed to successfully determine the relative importance of song parameters in song discrimination. Besides the importance of the temporal pattern, they also recognized that the most attractive peak frequency was 6kHz and that C. barbara males can discriminate peak frequencies differing by 1-2 kHz. The amplitude modulated signal analysed in our study had similar low coefficients of variation for the peak frequency and the syllable rate of the calling song, corroborating the significance of these parameters on within species communication. However, future female choice experiments are needed to elucidate this significance.

The generally higher acoustic variability among populations in the Iberian Peninsula compared to Morocco might have been due to sampling effort, since more populations were analysed in Iberia. Habitat heterogeneity might also have had some influence, since topographic and latitudinal differences between sampling sites in the Iberian Peninsula were higher than in Morocco. Furthermore, all populations studied from Morocco occur in olive tree orchards, while in the Iberian Peninsula (and Ceuta) some of the populations analysed were also found in pine and even in eucalyptus trees (table 1).

All populations analysed here revealed significant differences for most acoustic variables. This differentiation might be partially due to a 'chorusing' effect; cicadas tend to sing in groups apparently to increase the chance of attracting conspecific females and to avoid predators (Villet, 1992; Cooley & Marshall, 2001; Fonseca & Revez, 2002b) or as a result of inter-male competition (Greenfield *et al.*, 1997; Sueur & Aubin, 2002), which might have supported a scattered distribution favouring divergence between different populations, as also hypothesised for *C. orni* populations in Europe (Pinto-Juma *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, sound propagation depends not only on the insects' anatomy and physiology but also on the physical environmental conditions (Bennet-Clark, 1998b), so cicadas may need to adjust some qualities of the calling songs to local environmental conditions in order to maximise sound communication.

## Regional variation and subspecies

Although most acoustic characters revealed significant differences between populations and regions, the statistical analyses performed did not completely separate any region or subspecies and an overlap of specimens from different regions was often observed. In addition, the amplitude modulated signal on which Boulard (1995) found support for the splitting of the species into *C. barbara barbara* and *C. barbara lusitanica* did not show any significant differences between these subspecies in our study.

The low but significant correlation between most acoustic variables and latitude showed that there is a slightly gradient, with all frequency variables decreasing from south to north. This gradient and some high coefficients of variation for some variables probably complicated the effective separation of regions. Nonetheless, the significant differences of most acoustic variables between regions do suggest a partitioning within this species between Iberian Peninsula + Ceuta and Morocco. The higher level of variation in the Iberian Peninsula compared to Morocco certainly influenced the results obtained for the DFA, as shown by the high proportion of misclassified samples in the Iberian Peninsula. The Ceuta population tended to separate from the other regions, but the split of this population from the Iberian Peninsula did not have statistical support. On the other hand, the separation between Morocco and the remaining populations was supported by all analyses performed. Because these calling songs are likely to be constrained by species-specific morphological/physiological characteristics, significant differences at higher levels, such as at the regional level, might indicate partitioning within species; and, as such, the present data support the subspecific division of C. barbara of Boulard (1982) with C. barbara lusitanica present in the Iberian Peninsula and

Ceuta and *C. barbara barbara* present in Morocco. The fact that all static properties of the calling song, excluding the syllable rate, had significant differences between these two regions reinforces this supposition since these variables are probably more associated to species recognition (Gerhardt, 1991).

The Ceuta population, in spite of being located in North Africa, seems to be more similar to the Iberian Peninsula populations than to those located in the Moroccan mainland south of the Rif Mountains. This might be expected since the Rif Mountains might have caused the segregation of Ceuta and the Moroccan populations analysed and, thus, increased their divergence. On the other hand, the strait of Gibraltar, separating the Iberian Peninsula from Ceuta, is relatively short and does not appear to have acted as an effective barrier. These results corroborate and complement the analyses of the populations at the molecular level (Pinto-Juma *et al.*, this journal) since the same separation between regions was achieved.

Even though the acoustic signals analysed are variable at the inter-individual and population levels, the acoustic divergence observed at the regional level seems more consistent, as observed also in *Cicada orni* (Pinto-Juma *et al.*, 2005), and may be useful to distinguish between closely related taxa. However, determining the level of differences between two groups of populations of a given species in order to split it into independent subspecies is highly complex.

In the present study, *C. barbara* presented a highly stereotyped calling song, which, notwithstanding this, allowed some discrimination between regions and, therefore, supported its splitting into two subspecies. But it should be noted that had this marker been applied alone it would not be sufficient to sustain such subspecific differentiation. However, the combination of the acoustic data with the molecular analyses for the same populations have reinforced and strengthened the delimitation of the two different subspecies within *C. barbara*.

## Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Genage André, Paula Simões, Teresa Rebelo, Mónica Ribeiro and Teresa Fernandes (Faculty of Science, University of Lisbon) for their help with field work. Moreover, we thank Paula Simões, Octávio Paulo and Michael Bruford for having discussed some topics analysed here with us. This research was supported by two PhD grants (PRAXIS BD/18229/98 and SFRH/BD/1027/ 2000) from the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (Lisbon, Portugal).

## References

- Bennet-Clark, H.C. (1997) Tymbal mechanics and the control of song frequency in the cicada Cyclochila australasiae. Journal of Experimental Biology 200, 1681–1694.
- Bennet-Clark, H.C. (1998a) How cicadas make their noise. Scientific American 5, 36–39.
- Bennet-Clark, H.C. (1998b) Size and scale effects as constraints in insect sound communication. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences* **353**, 407–419.

- Bennet-Clark, H.C. (1999) Resonators in insect sound production: How insects produce loud pure-tone songs. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 202, 3347–3357.
- Boulard, M. (1982) Les cigales du Portugal, contribution à leur étude (Homoptera, Cicadidae). Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 18, 181–198.
- Boulard, M. (1988) Les Lyristes d'Asie Mineure (Homoptera, Cicadidae). I. Sur deux formes éthospécifiques syntopiques et description de deux espèces nouvelles. L'Entomologiste 44, 153–167.
- Boulard, M. (1995) Postures de cymbalisation, cymbalisations et cartes d'identité acoustique de cigales. 1. Généralités et espéces méditerranéennes (Homoptera, Cicadoidea). EPHE, Biologie et Insectes 7, 1–72.
- Boulard, M. (2006) Acoustic signals, diversity and behaviour of cicadas (Cicadidae, Hemiptera). pp. 331–349 in Drosopoulos, S. & Claridge, M.F. (Eds) Insect Sounds and Communication. New York, NY, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis.
- Claridge, M.F. & de Vrijer, P. (1994) Reproductive behaviour: the role of acoustic signals in species recognition and speciation. pp. 216–233 in Denno, R.F. & Perfect, T.J. (Eds) Planthoppers their Ecology and Management. New York, NY, Chapman & Hall.
- Cooley, J.R. & Marshall, D.C. (2001) Sexual signalling in periodical cicadas, *Magicicada* spp. (Hemiptera: Cicadidae). *Behaviour* 138, 827–855.
- Dytham, C. (2003) Choosing and Using Statistics, a Biologist's Guide. 2nd edn. 248 pp. Oxford, UK, Blackwell, Science.
- Fonseca, P.J. (1991) Characteristics of the acoustic signals in nine species of cicadas (Homoptera, Cicadidae). *Bioacoustics* 3, 173–192.
- Fonseca, P.J. & Revez, M.A. (2002a) Temperature dependence of cicada songs (Homoptera, Cicadoidea). *Journal of Comparative Physiology A* 187, 971–976.
- Fonseca, P.J. & Revez, M.A. (2002b) Song discrimination by male cicadas *Cicada barbara lusitanica* (Homoptera, Cicadidae). *Journal of Experimental Biology* 205, 1285–1292.
- Gerhardt, H.C. (1991) Female choice in treefrogs: static and dynamic acoustic criteria. *Animal Behaviour* 42, 615–635.
- Gleason, J.M. & Ritchie, M.G. (1998) Evolution of courtship song and reproductive isolation in the *Drosophila willistoni* complex: do sexual signals diverge the most quickly? *Evolution* 52, 1493–1500.
- Gogala, M. & Trilar, T. (2004) Bioacoustic investigations and taxonomic considerations on the *Cicadetta montana* species complex (Homoptera: Cicadoidea: Tibicinidae). Annals of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences 76, 316–324.
- Greenfield, M.D., Tourtellot, M.K. & Snedden, W.A. (1997) Precedence effects and the evolution of chorusing. *Proceed*ings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 264, 1355–1361.
- Lee, M.S.Y. (2004) The molecularisation of taxonomy. *Inverte-brate Systematics* 18, 1–6.
- Maynard-Smith, M.J. (1989) Evolutionary Genetics. Oxford, UK, Oxford University Press.
- Minitab, Inc. (2004) MINITAB Release 14, Statistical Software.
- Nast, J. (1972) Palaearctic Auchenorrhyncha (Homoptera): an annotated check list. 550 pp. Warsaw, Poland, Polish Academy of Sciences, Institute of Zoology.
- Paterson, H.E.H. (1985) The recognition concept of species. pp. 21–29 in Vrba, E.S. (Ed.) Species and Speciation. Pretoria, South Africa, Transvaal Museum Monograph no. 4.
- Phillimore, A.B. & Owens, I.P.F. (2006) Are subspecies useful in evolutionary and conservation biology? *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 273, 1049–1053.

- Pinto-Juma, G., Simões, P.C., Seabra, S.G. & Quartau, J.A. (2005) Calling song structure and geographical variation in *Cicada orni* Linnaeus (Hemiptera: Cicadidae). Zoological Studies 44, 81–94.
- Popov, A.V. (1975) The structure of the tymbals and the characteristics of the sound signals in singing cicadas (Homoptera, Cicadidae) in the Southern regions of the USSR. *Entomological Review* 54, 7–35.
- Pringle, J.W.S. (1954) A physiological analysis of cicada song. Journal of Experimental Biology 31, 525–556.
- Quartau, J.A. (1988) A numerical analysis of interspecific morphological differences in two closely related species of *Cicada* (Homoptera, Cicadoidea) in Portugal. *Great Basin Naturalist Memoirs* **12**, 171–181.
- Quartau, J.A. & Boulard, M. (1995) Tettigetta mariae n. sp., nouvelle Cigale lusitanienne (Homoptera, Cicadoidea, Tibicinidae). EPHE, Biologie et Évolution des Insectes 7, 105– 110.
- Quartau, J.A. & Rebelo, M.T. (1994) Sinais acústicos em Cicadidae e Cicadellidae (Homoptera, Auchenorryncha) que ocorrem em Portugal. pp. 137–142 *in* Almada, V. & Correia, R. (*Eds*) *Biologia e comportamento. Actas do I Congresso Nacional de Etologia*, Publicado por Instituto Superior de Psicologia Aplicada, 28–30 Novembro 1991, Lisboa.

- Shaw, K.L. & Herlihy, D.P. (2000) Acoustic preference functions and song variability in the Hawaiian cricket *Laupala cerasina*. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 267, 577–584.
- Specht, R. (2002) Avisoft-SASLab Pro-sound analysis and synthesis laboratory. Version 4.1d, Berlin, Germany.
- Sueur, J. & Aubin, T. (2002) Acoustic communication in the Palaearctic red cicada *Tibicina haematodes*: chorus organization, calling song structure, and signal recognition. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 80, 126–136.
- Sueur, J., Puissant, S., Simões, P.C., Seabra, S.G., Boulard, M. & Quartau, J.A. (2004) Cicadas from Portugal: revised list of species with eco-ethological data (Hemiptera: Cicadidae). Insect Systematics & Evolution 35, 177–187.
- Villet, M. (1992) Responses of free-living cicadas (Homoptera: Cicadidae) to broadcasts of cicada songs. *Journal of the Entomological Society of Southern Africa* 55, 93–97.
- Young, D. & Bennet-Clark, H.C. (1995) The role of the tymbal in cicada sound production. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 198, 1001–1019.
- Zuk, M., Rotenberry, J.T. & Simmons, L.W. (2001) Geographical variation in calling song of the field cricket *Teleogryllus* oceanicus: the importance of spatial scale. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 14, 731–741.



Appendix I. Boxplots of the acoustic variables analysed for Cicada barbara at the sampled sites.

Boxplots represent the sample distribution: the rectangular box corresponds to approximately the middle 50% of the data, the top of the box is the third quartile (75%), the bottom is the first quartile (25%) and the horizontal line is the median; vertical lines extending to either side indicate the general extent of the data; \*, outlier; FèS, Fès south; Mek, Meknès; Ceu, Ceuta; Sev, Sevilla; Cor, Cordoba; Alc, Alcalar; Alv, Alvor; Mou, Moura; Por, Portel; Sou, Sousel; Mon, Monforte; Cra, Crato; Arr, Arrábida.

Acoustic variation in Cicada barbara

Appendix II.	Values of	f average±standa	rd dev	riation and	l coefficient	of varia	tion fo	r eacl	n acoustic	variable	per	populatior	۱.
--------------	-----------	------------------	--------	-------------	---------------	----------	---------	--------	------------	----------	-----	------------	----

		Peak frequency (Hz)	Minimum frequency (Hz)	Maximum frequency (Hz)	Bandwidth (Hz)	Quartile 25% (Hz)	Quartile 50% (Hz)	Quartile 75% (Hz)	Quart75%– Quart25% (Hz)	Syllables s <sup>-1</sup>
Iberian Península + Ceuta Morocco	FesS	$6515 \pm 343 \\ 5.3$	$2558 \pm 830$ 32.4	$\frac{11894 \pm 1553}{13.1}$	$9334 \pm 2072$ 22.2	$6127 \pm 207$ 3.4	$6878 \pm 210$ 3.0	$9022 \pm 482$ 5.3	$2896 \pm 381$ 13.2	$199 \pm 26$ 12.8
	Fes	$6612 \pm 249$	$3062 \pm 231$	$12688 \pm 1757$	$9619 \pm 1880$	$6142 \pm 217$	$7023 \pm 168$	$9247 \pm 429$	$3105 \pm 296$	$201 \pm 17$
	Mek	$5.8 \\ 6606 \pm 163 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.5 \\ $	7.5 $2962 \pm 221$ 7.5	13.8 $12339 \pm 2105$ 17.1	$9371 \pm 2142 \\ 22.9$	$5.5 \\ 6243 \pm 205 \\ 3.3 \\ 3.3 \\ $	2.4 7014 $\pm$ 303 4.3	$4.69232 \pm 674$ 7.3	9.5 2990 ± 634 21.2	$   \begin{array}{r}     8.6 \\     209 \pm 11 \\     5.4   \end{array} $
	Ceu	$5991 \pm 272$ 4.5	$2700 \pm 240$ 8.9	$11914 \pm 1084$ 9.1	$9211 \pm 1047$ 11.4	$5765 \pm 237$ 4.1	$6607 \pm 340$ 5.1	$8787 \pm 406$ 4.6	$3022 \pm 262$ 8.7	$193 \pm 21$ 10.6
	Sev	$6590 \pm 593$ 9.0	$3194 \pm 705$ 22.1	$10710 \pm 443$ 4.1	$7513 \pm 984$ 13.1	$6061 \pm 359$ 5.9	$6997 \pm 262$ 3.7	$8817 \pm 534$ 6.1	$2756 \pm 305$ 11.1	$210 \pm 17$ 8.0
	Cord	$6816 \pm 187$ 2.7	$2924 \pm 203$	$11556 \pm 1266$ 11.0	$8626 \pm 1188$ 13.8	$6196 \pm 171$ 2.8	$7076 \pm 153$	$9108 \pm 442$ 4.9	$2912 \pm 281$ 9.6	$188 \pm 22$ 11.8
	Alc	$6051 \pm 319$ 5.3	$2788 \pm 108$ 3.9	$9838 \pm 689$ 7.0	$7047 \pm 593$ 8.4	$5645 \pm 195$ 3.5	$6438 \pm 201$ 3.1	$7970 \pm 399$ 5.0	$2325 \pm 274$ 11.8	$198 \pm 14$ 7.3
	Alv	$5740 \pm 52$	$2753 \pm 85$	$9813 \pm 524$	$7060 \pm 536$	$5593 \pm 175$	$6400 \pm 104$	$8263 \pm 175$	$2670 \pm 170$	$172 \pm 13$
	Mou	$6676 \pm 342$	$2981 \pm 236$	$12266 \pm 1580$	$9282 \pm 1637$	$6237 \pm 123$	$7090 \pm 223$	$9186 \pm 404$	$2949 \pm 387$	$195\pm9$
	Port	$6146 \pm 398$	$2855 \pm 357$	$10469 \pm 517$	$7610 \pm 275$	$5946 \pm 447$	$6671 \pm 380$	$8703 \pm 610$	$2757 \pm 274$	$203 \pm 22$
	Sou	$6518 \pm 283$	$2886 \pm 304$ 10 5	$11639 \pm 1477$ 12 7	$8747 \pm 1374$ 15 7	$6079 \pm 267$	$6909 \pm 308$	7.0 8851 ± 694 7 8	$2772 \pm 462$	$206 \pm 22$ 10 4
	Mon	$6238 \pm 349$ 5.6	$2695 \pm 104$ 3.9	$11333 \pm 3248$ 28.7	$8638 \pm 3303$ 38.2	$5610 \pm 150$ 2.7	$6527 \pm 168$ 2.6	$8438 \pm 308$ 3.6	$2828 \pm 338$ 12.0	$207 \pm 7$ 3.3
	Cra	$6081 \pm 461$ 7.6	$2666 \pm 255$ 9.5	$10067 \pm 994$ 9.9	$7397 \pm 854$ 11.6	$5636 \pm 390$ 6.9	$6364 \pm 365$ 5.7	$8023 \pm 753$ 9.4	$2386 \pm 404$ 16.9	$204 \pm 22$ 10.7
	Arr	$5922 \pm 167$ 2.8	$2772 \pm 187 \\ 6.7$	$\frac{10846 \pm 3737}{34.5}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8072 \pm 3801 \\ 47.1 \end{array}$	$5456 \pm 219 \\ 4.0$	$6264 \pm 255 \\ 4.1$	$8196 \pm 1014 \\ 12.4$	$2740 \pm 893$ 32.6	$210 \pm 10 \\ 4.6$

FèS, Fès south; Mek, Meknès; Ceu, Ceuta; Sev, Sevilla; Cord, Cordoba; Alc, Alcalar; Alv, Alvor; Mou, Moura; Port, Portel; Sou, Sousel; Mon, Monforte; Cra, Crato; Arr, Arrábida.