

## LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

### ON A SIMPLE PROOF OF UNIFORMIZATION FOR CONTINUOUS AND DISCRETE-STATE CONTINUOUS-TIME MARKOV CHAINS

NICO M. VAN DIJK\*, *Free University, Amsterdam*

Uniformization has proven to be a convenient tool for modeling and computational purposes to analyze continuous-time Markov chain applications (e.g. [4], [5], [7], [8]). This method was introduced by Jensen [6] and further exploited such as in the above references in the case of discrete state space. For the case of continuous-state space, uniformization is also intuitively obvious and most likely employed in practice. A formal justification does not, however, seem to be available.

This note merely aims to show that uniformization for both the discrete- and continuous state cases is directly formalized by a simple uniqueness result from the literature.

*Model.* Consider the 3-tuple  $(S, q, H)$  where  $S$ : is a separable and complete metric space with Borel field  $\beta$   
 $q: S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a measurable function representing jump rate  $q(x)$  in state  $x \in S$   
 $H: S \times \beta \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a transition probability measure representing the conditional transition probability  $H(x; B)$  for a set  $B \in \beta$  upon a transition out of state  $x \in S$ , where  $H(x; S) = 1$  and  $H(x; \{x\}) = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ .

Now assume that for some constant  $Q$ :

$$(1) \quad q(x) \leq Q < \infty \quad (x \in S).$$

The following lemma, adopted from Gihman and Skorohod [3], p. 25, proves that there exists a unique family of transition probabilities  $\{P_t | t \geq 0\}$  with  $P_t: S \times \beta \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , which satisfies the Markov property:

$$(2) \quad P_{t+s}(x; B) = \int P_s(y; B) P_t(x; dy)$$

for all  $t, s, x$  and  $B \in \beta$ , hereafter called a Markov semigroup, with infinitesimal jump characteristics  $q(\cdot)$  and  $H(\cdot; \cdot)$ .

*Lemma 1.* There exists a unique Markov semigroup  $\{P_t | t \geq 0\}$  such that

$$(3) \quad [P_h(x; B) - 1_{(B)}(x)]h^{-1} \rightarrow q(x)[H(x; B) - 1_{(B)}(x)]$$

as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in all  $x \in S$  and  $B \in \beta$ , where  $1_{(B)}(x) = 1$  if  $x \in B$  and  $1_{(B)}(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin B$ .

*Proof.* Write  $a(x; B) = q(x)H(x; B)$  and  $a(x) = q(x)$  for all  $x \in S$  and  $B \in \beta$ . The conditions (a) and (b) on p. 25 of Gihman and Skorohod [3] are then satisfied. By Theorem 5 on p. 27 of this reference the proof is now concluded.

*Remark.* By Theorem 4 on p. 364 of Gihman and Skorohod [2], one can also construct a corresponding Markov jump process  $\{Z_t | t \geq 0\}$  with transition probabilities  $\{P_t | t \geq 0\}$ . (The

Received 30 January 1990.

\* Postal address: Department of Econometrics, Free University, De Boelelaán 1105, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

so-called minimal construction.) By Theorem 14.5 of Billingsley [1] its corresponding probability measure at  $D[0, 1]$  is unique.

*Uniformization.* Define the transition matrices  $\bar{H}^n : S \times \beta \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{H}^0(x; B) = 1_{(B)}(x), \text{ and for } n \geq 0: \\ \bar{H}^{n+1}(x; B) = \int \bar{H}^n(y; B) \bar{H}(x; dy), \text{ where} \\ \bar{H}(x; B) = [1 - q(x)Q^{-1}]1_{(B)}(x) + q(x)Q^{-1}H(x; B) \end{cases}$$

for all  $x \in S$  and  $B \in \beta$ , where  $1_{(B)}$  is as in Lemma 1. The following result now formalizes the well-known uniformization technique for arbitrary  $S$  and  $\beta$  as defined and thus for both the discrete- and the continuous-state case.

*Result 1.* For all  $x \in S$ ,  $B \in \beta$  and  $t \geq 0$ :

$$(5) \quad P_t(x; B) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tQ)^n}{n!} e^{-tQ} \bar{H}^n(x; B).$$

*Proof.* One can directly verify the convergence relation (3) as  $h$  tends to 0, uniformly in  $x \in S$  and  $B \in \beta$ . Lemma 1 thus completes the proof.

### Acknowledgement

This note has been written during a short visit to Bellcore, Morristown.

### References

- [1] BILLINGSLEY, P. (1968) *Convergence of Probability Measures*. Wiley, New York.
- [2] GIHMAN, I. I. and SKOROHOD, A. V. (1969) *Introduction to the Theory of Random Processes*. W. B. Saunders, Philadelphia.
- [3] GIHMAN, I. I. and SKOROHOD, A. V. (1975) *The Theory of Stochastic Processes II*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [4] GRASSMAN, W. (1990) Finding transient solutions in Markovian event systems through randomization. *Proc. First Internat. Conf. on the Numerical Solution of Markov Chains*, January 8–10, Raleigh, North Carolina, 375–385.
- [5] GROSS, D. and MILLER, D. R. (1984) The randomization technique as a modeling tool and solution procedure for transient Markov processes. *Operat. Res.* **32**, 343–361
- [6] JENSEN, A. (1953) Markoff chains as an aid in the study of Markoff processes. *Skand. Aktuarietidskr.* **36**, 87–91.
- [7] HEIDELBERGER, P. and GOYAL, A. (1988) Sensitivity analysis of continuous time Markov chains using uniformization. In *Computer Performance and Reliability*, ed. G. Iazeolla, P. J. Courtois, and O. J. Boxma, Elsevier North-Holland, Amsterdam, 93–104.
- [8] MELAMED, B. and YADIN, M. (1984) Randomization procedures in the computation of cumulative-time distributions over discrete state Markov processes. *Operat. Res.* **32**, 926–943.