Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 103 (2021), 244–247 doi:10.1017/S0004972720000738

DISTRIBUTION OF THE DIVISOR FUNCTION AT CONSECUTIVE INTEGERS

ELCHIN HASANALIZADE

(Received 21 May 2020; accepted 4 June 2020; first published online 9 September 2020)

Abstract

In this paper we sharpen Hildebrand's earlier result on a conjecture of Erdős on limit points of the sequence $\{d(n)/d(n + 1)\}$.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 11N36; secondary 11N37. *Keywords and phrases*: divisor function, almost primes, Erdős–Mirsky conjecture.

1. Introduction

The famous Erdős–Mirsky conjecture [1] asserts that d(n) = d(n + 1) infinitely often, where d(n) is the divisor function. In 1983, Spiro [9] showed that d(n) = d(n + 5040)for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In 1984, Heath-Brown [5], using Spiro's argument, proved the conjecture of Erdős and Mirsky. Moreover, he showed that for large *x*,

$$D(x) = \#\{n \le x : d(n) = d(n+1)\} \ge \frac{x}{(\log x)^7}.$$

Hildebrand [6] improved the lower bound to $x/(\log \log x)^3$. Using a heuristic argument, Bateman and Spiro claimed that $D(x) \sim cx(\log \log x)^{-1/2}$ for some constant c > 0. As an application of their work in [3], Goldston *et al.* [4] have shown, among several other interesting results, that d(n) = d(n + 1) = 24 for infinitely many integers *n*.

The Erdős–Mirsky conjecture is equivalent to the statement that d(n)/d(n + 1) = 1for infinitely many *n*. More generally, one can ask which numbers occur as limit points of the sequence $\{d(n)/d(n + 1)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Let **E** denote the set of limit points of the sequence $\{d(n)/d(n + 1)\}$, and let **L** denote the set of limit points of $\{\log(d(n)/d(n + 1))\}$. The Erdős–Mirsky conjecture implies that $1 \in \mathbf{E}$. Erdős conjectured that $\mathbf{E} = [0, \infty]$, or equivalently, $\mathbf{L} = [-\infty, \infty]$. Erdős *et al.* [2] proved that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, at least one of the seven numbers $2^i \alpha$, $i \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3\}$, belongs to **E**. This result was improved by Kan and Shan [7, 8] who showed that for any real $\alpha > 0$, either α or 2α belongs to **E**. On the other hand, it can be shown under the assumption of the



^{© 2020} Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

prime *k*-tuple conjecture that for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ there exist infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that d(n)/d(n+1) = r.

Hildebrand proved that for x > 0

$$|\mathbf{L} \cap [0, x]| \ge \frac{x}{36}, \quad |\mathbf{L} \cap [-x, 0]| \ge \frac{x}{36},$$
 (1.1)

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure. The main result of this paper is the following improvement.

THEOREM 1.1. For any number $x \ge 0$,

$$|\mathbf{L} \cap [0, x]| \ge \frac{x}{3} \quad and \quad |\mathbf{L} \cap [-x, 0]| \ge \frac{x}{3}.$$
 (1.2)

Moreover, there exists a number $A \ge 0$ *such that, for any* $x \ge A$ *,*

$$|\mathbf{L} \cap [0, x]| \ge \frac{x - A}{2} \quad and \quad |\mathbf{L} \cap [-x, 0]| \ge \frac{x - A}{2}. \tag{1.3}$$

2. Preliminary lemmas

A triple of linear forms is called admissible if for every prime p, there is at least one $m \mod p$ such that $L_1(m)L_2(m)L_3(m) \neq 0 \mod p$. Numbers that are products of exactly two distinct primes are called E_2 numbers. Unconditionally, the following result holds.

LEMMA 2.1 [4]. Let $L_i(x) := a_i x + b_i$, i = 1, 2, 3, be an admissible triple of linear forms, and let r_1, r_2, r_3 be coprime integers with $(r_i, a_i) = 1$ for each i and such that $(r_i, a_i b_j - a_j b_i) = 1$ for $i \neq j$. Then there exist i, j with $1 \le i < j \le 3$ such that there are infinitely many integers n for which $L_k(n)$ equals r_k times an E_2 number that is coprime to all primes less than or equal to C, for k = i, j.

In the lemma, *C* can be any constant. Hildebrand deduced (1.1) from the fact that among any seven integers a_1, \ldots, a_7 , there exists i < j such that $d(n)/d(n + 1) = a_i/a_j$ for infinitely many *n*. We can replace x/36 by x/3, in view of the following result.

LEMMA 2.2. Let a_1, a_2, a_3 be positive integers. For some i < j, there are infinitely many integers n such that $d(n)/d(n + 1) = a_i/a_j$.

PROOF. Define a triple of linear forms

$$L_1(x) = 9x + 1$$
, $L_2(x) = 8x + 1$, $L_3(x) = 6x + 1$,

and note the relations

$$8L_1(x) + 1 = 9L_2(x), \quad 2L_1(x) + 1 = 3L_3(x), \quad 3L_2(x) + 1 = 4L_3(x).$$

For given a_1, a_2, a_3 let

$$r_1 \coloneqq 5^{a_1-1}, \quad r_2 \coloneqq 3 \cdot 7^{a_2-1}, \quad r_3 \coloneqq 11^{a_3-1}$$

We check that the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. First of all, the triple is admissible because we may take $m \equiv 0 \mod p$ for all primes p. We have $(r_i, r_j) = 1$ for

E. Hasanalizade

 $i \neq j$, and $(r_1, 9) = (r_1, 9 \cdot 1 - 8 \cdot 1) = (r_1, 9 \cdot 1 - 6 \cdot 1) = 1$, $(r_2, 8) = (r_2, 8 \cdot 1 - 9 \cdot 1) = (r_1, 8 \cdot 1 - 6 \cdot 1) = 1$ and $(r_3, 6) = (r_3, 6 \cdot 1 - 9 \cdot 1) = (r_3, 6 \cdot 1 - 8 \cdot 1) = 1$.

We put C = 11 in the lemma. Then for some i < j, there exist infinitely many integers *m* for which $L_k(m)$ equals r_k times an E_2 number, all of whose prime factors are greater than 11, for k = i, j. If the forms are $L_1(x)$ and $L_2(x)$, then for infinitely many *m*, we have E_2 numbers A_1 and A_2 , such that $(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11, A_1A_2) = 1$ and

$$\frac{d(8L_1(m))}{d(8L_1(m)+1)} = \frac{d(8L_1(m))}{d(9L_2(m))} = \frac{d(2^3r_1A_1)}{d(3^2r_2A_2)} = \frac{d(2^3)d(5^{a_1-1})d(A_1)}{d(3^3)d(7^{a_2-1})d(A_2)} = \frac{a_1}{a_2}$$

Similarly, if $L_1(x)$ and $L_3(x)$ are the relevant forms, then we have E_2 numbers A_1, A_3 such that

$$\frac{d(2L_1(m))}{d(2L_1(m)+1)} = \frac{d(2L_1(m))}{d(3L_3(m))} = \frac{d(2r_1A_1)}{d(3r_3A_3)} = \frac{d(2)d(5^{a_1-1})d(A_1)}{d(3)d(11^{a_3-1})d(A_3)} = \frac{a_1}{a_3}$$

Finally, if the forms are $L_2(x)$ and $L_3(x)$, then

$$\frac{d(3L_2(m))}{d(3L_2(m)+1)} = \frac{d(3L_2(m))}{d(4L_3(m))} = \frac{d(3r_2A_2)}{d(2^2r_3A_3)} = \frac{d(3^2)d(7^{a_2-1})d(A_2)}{d(2^2)d(11^{a_3-1})d(A_3)} = \frac{a_2}{a_3}.$$

Let $q_1 = b_1/b_2$, $q_2 = b_3/b_4$ be positive rational numbers. If we take $a_1 = b_1b_3$, $a_2 = b_2b_3$, $a_3 = b_2b_4$, then from Lemma 2.2, $d(n)/d(n + 1) \in \{q_1, q_2, q_1q_2\}$ for every $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^+$. Since rational numbers are dense in \mathbb{R} and every irrational number can be approximated by rationals, for every $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, either $r_1 \in \mathbf{E}$ or $r_2 \in \mathbf{E}$ or $r_1r_2 \in \mathbf{E}$.

3. Proof of the main theorem

We are now ready to improve Hildebrand's result. In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Let

$$\mathbf{L}' = \left\{ \log \frac{r}{s} : r, s \in \mathbb{N}; \frac{d(n+1)}{d(n)} = \frac{r}{s} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$
(3.1)

It is obvious that $\overline{\mathbf{L}}' \subset \mathbf{L}$. Lemma 2.2 shows that for any positive integers a_1, a_2, a_3 , there exist indices i < j such that $\log a_j/a_i \in \mathbf{L}$. From this it follows that, given any positive real numbers u_1, u_2, u_3 ,

 $u_j - u_i \in \overline{\mathbf{L}}' \subset \mathbf{L} \quad \text{for some } i < j.$ (3.2)

Applying (3.2) with $u_i = iu$ for i = 1, 2, 3, for u > 0,

$$u \in \mathbf{L} \cup \frac{\mathbf{L}}{2}.\tag{3.3}$$

Now, using subadditivity and positive homogeneity properties of Lebesgue measure, for x > 0,

$$= \left| [0,x] \cap \left\{ \mathbf{L} \cup \frac{\mathbf{L}}{2} \right\} \right| \le |\mathbf{L} \cap [0,x]| + \left| \frac{\mathbf{L}}{2} \cap [0,x] \right| \le \frac{3}{2} |\mathbf{L} \cap [0,2x]|$$

and therefore

x

$$|\mathbf{L} \cap [0, x]| \ge \frac{x}{3} \quad (x > 0).$$

A similar argument with $u_i = (4 - i)u$ yields

$$|\mathbf{L} \cap [-x, 0]| \ge \frac{x}{3}$$
 (x > 0).

Hence (1.2) holds.

If $\mathbf{L} = \mathbb{R}$ we are done. Otherwise, there exists A > 0 such that $A \notin \mathbf{L}$. By Lemma 2.2, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, either A or x or $A + x \in \mathbf{L}$. Then

$$x = |\mathbf{L} \cap \{[0,A] \cup [A,A+x]\}| \le |\mathbf{L} \cap [0,A]| + |\mathbf{L} \cap [A,A+x]| \le 2|\mathbf{L} \cap [0,A+x]|$$

and therefore $|\mathbf{L} \cap [0,x]| \ge (x-A)/2$ for $x \ge A$.

Acknowledgements

The research for this article was based on the author's M.Sc. thesis at KTH (Royal Institute of Technology). The author would like to thank his supervisors Pär Kurlberg and Tristan Freiberg. He is also grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments.

References

- [1] P. Erdős, 'Some problems on number theory', *Analytic and Elementary Number Theory (Marseille, 1983)*, Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay, 86 (Univ. Paris XI, Orsay, 1986), 53–67.
- P. Erdős, C. Pomerance and A. Sarközy, 'On locally repeated values of certain arithmetic functions, II', *Acta Math. Hungar.* 49 (1987), 251–259.
- [3] D. A. Goldston, S. Graham, J. Pintz and C. Y. Yıldırım, 'Small gaps between products of two primes', *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 98(3) (2009), 741–774.
- [4] D. A. Goldston, S. Graham, J. Pintz and C. Y. Yıldırım, 'Small gaps between almost primes, the parity problem and some conjectures of Erdös on consecutive integers', *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2011(7) (2011), 1439–1450.
- [5] D. R. Heath-Brown, 'The divisor function at consecutive integers', Mathematika 31 (1984), 141–149.
- [6] A. J. Hildebrand, 'The divisor function at consecutive integers', Pacific J. Math. 129 (1987), 307–319.
- [7] J. Kan and Z. Shan, 'On the divisor function d(n)', Mathematika 43 (1996), 320–322.
- [8] J. Kan and Z. Shan, 'On the divisor function d(n), II', Mathematika 46 (1999), 419–423.
- [9] C. A. Spiro, For the Local Distribution of the Group-Counting Function, Orders Divisible by Fifth Powers Can Be Neglected, PhD Thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1981.

ELCHIN HASANALIZADE, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Lethbridge, 4401 University Drive, Lethbridge, Alberta, T1K 3M4, Canada e-mail: e.hasanalizade@uleth.ca

[4]