Measurement of stimulated Brillouin scattering threshold by the optical limiting of pump output energy

W. GAO,^{1,2} Z.W. LU,¹ S.Y. WANG,¹ W.M. HE,¹ and W.L.J. HASI¹

¹Institute of Opto-Electronics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China

²Department of Optics information Science and Technology, Harbin University of Science and Technology, Harbin 150001, China

(RECEIVED 2 November 2009; ACCEPTED 15 December 2009)

Abstract

A new approach to measure stimulated Brillouin scattering threshold based on the output energy characteristic of stimulated Brillouin scattering optical limiting is proposed. The stimulated Brillouin scattering threshold or its exponential gain, G_{th} , can be accurately and conveniently determined by the intersection point of linear-fitting lines of the output energy below and above the threshold. The values of G_{th} in CS₂ and FC-72 for different wavelengths and interaction lengths are measured in Continuum's Nd:YAG Q-switched laser and its frequency-doubled system. We show that G_{th} for transient regime is larger than that for steady state, and increases with the pump wavelength and the interaction length.

Keywords: Stimulated Brillouin scattering; SBS optical limiting; SBS threshold; Threshold exponential gain

INTRODUCTION

Stimulated Brillouin scattering (SBS) has received general attention owing to its broad applications such as beam combination (Kong et al., 2008, 2009; Wang et al., 2009a, 2009b; Ostermeyer et al., 2008), Brillouin amplification of weak signals (Gao et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2009), optical limiting for laser protection in high-power laser (Hasi et al., 2009a, 2009b), and slow light in optical fiber (Kovalev et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2007). Among the parameters that characterize SBS, the threshold value is of great importance. The definition of this parameter was first suggested to be pump intensity when the SBS amplification of the Stokes radiation overcomes its losses in a medium (Chiao et al., 1964). However, the amplification of the Stokes signal corresponding to the point of transition is too weak to detect for most SBS media because of the lower losses. Thus, the threshold is usually considered as the pump intensity when the SBS reflectivity reaches 1%, 2%, or 5% (Boyd et al., 1990; Eichler et al., 1995). As we know, this is a rather confusing experimental practice. Recently, Bai et al. (2008) presented a method to determine the threshold value of SBS by the point of the deviation of the value of the attenuation coefficients of wide- and narrow-line width lasers. We find it is feasible for some media (e.g., CS_2 , water, etc.), but it is not for other media (e.g., FC-75, FC-72, etc.). Because the SBS still occurs in these media such as FC-75 and FC-72 when the wide-line width laser is used (Lee *et al.*, 2005), the attenuation coefficients of the media will not be constants. Thus, the point of the deviation can not be determined.

Usually, it is more appropriate and convenient to characterize the steady-state or transient SBS by its threshold exponential gain, $G_{\text{th}} = gI_{\text{th}}L_{\text{eff}}$, where g is the SBS gain coefficient of the medium, $I_{\rm th}$ is the SBS threshold pump intensity, $L_{\rm eff}$ is the effective interaction length (Kovalev & Harrison, 2007; Bel'dyugin et al., 2005). Moreover, the value of $G_{\rm th}$ can provide a practically important reference for the design of a Brillouin amplifier, since the optimum working point of the amplifier is usually near to G_{th} (Sternklar et al., 1992). When the steady-state approximation is considered and 1% (SBS reflectivity) criterion for threshold is used, Boyd et al. (1990) predicted that the value of $G_{\rm th}$ in organic liquids is in the range 20-25, and Kovalev and Harrison (2007) found that the value of $G_{\rm th}$ decreases with increasing the interaction length in optical fiber. When a laser system with the nanosecond-order pulse width is used, for the most media (the phonon lifetime is usually between 0.1 ns and 10 ns (Erokhin et al., 1986; Yoshida et al., 1997)), the transient theory should be use to analyze the SBS process (Bel'dyugin et al., 2005). Nevertheless, to our knowledge, the dependence of $G_{\rm th}$ on the pump wavelength and the

Address correspondence and reprint requests to: Zhiwei Lu, Institute of Opto-Electronics, Harbin Institute of Technology, P. O. Box 3031. Harbin 150080, China. E-mail: zw_lu@sohu.com

interaction length in the transient regime has not been observed experimentally or treated theoretically.

In this paper, we present a new method to measure the SBS threshold, which is simple, accurate, and is not confined by the medium characteristics. By this method, we theoretically and experimentally investigate the dependences of the values of $G_{\rm th}$ on the pump wavelength and the interaction length.

MEASUREMENT PRINCIPLE AND THRESHOLD CHARACTERISTICS FOR SBS

We assume a pump wave, $E_P(z, \underline{t})$, propagating in the +z direction, a backward Stokes wave, $E_S(z, t)$, and a forward acoustic wave, $\overrightarrow{\rho}(z, t)$, within the SBS medium. The three-wave coupled wave equations to describe transient SBS including its spontaneous initiation from noise are written as (Gaeta & Boyd, 1991)

$$\frac{\partial E_{\rm P}}{\partial z} + \frac{n}{c} \frac{\partial E_{\rm P}}{\partial t} = ig_1 \rho E_{\rm S} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha E_{\rm P}, \qquad (1a)$$

$$\frac{\partial E_{\rm S}}{\partial z} - \frac{n}{c} \frac{\partial E_{\rm S}}{\partial t} = -ig_1 \rho^* E_{\rm P} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha E_{\rm S}, \tag{1b}$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \rho = i g_2 E_P E_S^* + f, \qquad (1c)$$

where $E_{\rm P}$, $E_{\rm S}$, and ρ are the amplitudes of the pump, the Stokes, and the acoustic waves, respectively; *n* is the refractive index of the medium; g_1 and g_2 are the coupling coefficients; α is the absorption coefficient; $\Gamma = 1/\tau_0$ denotes the phonon decay rate, where τ_0 is the phonon lifetime. Also, *f* represents the Langevin noise source that describes the thermal excitation of acoustic waves and leads to the initiation of the SBS process. It is spatially and temporally δ -correlated Gaussian random process with zero mean:

$$\langle f(z,t)f^*(z',t')\rangle = Q\delta(z-z')\delta(t-t'), \qquad (2)$$

where

$$Q = \frac{2kT\rho_0\Gamma}{V^2A},\tag{3}$$

characterizes the noise intensity. ρ and V are the density and sound speed of the medium, respectively; A is the crosssectional area of the interaction region. Directly, integrating the ρ phonon from Eq. (1c), substituting it into Eqs. (1a) and (1b), and using the transforms $g = 4g_1 g_2/\Gamma \cdot 8\pi/nc$, and $A_{\rm P,S} = \sqrt{nc/8\pi}E_{\rm P,S}$, we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{n}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)A_{\rm P} = -\frac{g\Gamma}{4}A_{\rm S}\int_{0}^{t}A_{\rm P}A_{\rm S}^{*}\exp\left[-\frac{\Gamma}{2}(t-\tau)\right]d\tau + ig_{1}A_{\rm S}\int_{0}^{t}f\exp\left[-\frac{\Gamma}{2}(t-\tau)\right]d\tau - \frac{1}{2}\alpha A_{\rm P}, \quad (4a)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{n}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) A_{\rm S} = -\frac{g\Gamma}{4}A_{\rm P} \int_{0}^{t} A_{\rm P}^* A_{\rm S} \exp\left[-\frac{\Gamma}{2}(t-\tau)\right] d\tau - \mathrm{i}g_1 A_{\rm P} \int_{0}^{t} f^* \exp\left[-\frac{\Gamma}{2}(t-\tau)\right] d\tau + \frac{1}{2}\alpha A_{\rm S}, \quad (4b)$$

where *g* is the SBS gain coefficient. The beam intensity is defined as $I_{P,S} = |A_{P,S}|^2$. By implicit finite difference in time and backward difference in space, Eq. (4) can be numerically solved.

We consider an incident pump pulse of Gaussian temporal shape, which is input at z = 0, and output at z = L (L is the cell length). The boundary conditions are given as: $A_{\rm P}(0, t) = A_{\rm P0} \exp\{-2\ln 2[(t-t_0)/t_{\rm P}]^2\}, A_{\rm S}(L, t) = 0.$ The pump output energy can be expressed as $\int_0^\infty |A_P(L, t)|^2 dt$. The system's exponential gain is defined as $G = gI_{P0}L_{eff}$, where $I_{P0} = |A_{P0}|^2$ is the peak intensity of the incident pump pulse. Obviously, for given g and L_{eff} , the value of G is changed with I_{P0} . Figure 1 shows that the dependence of the output energy of the pump on the exponential gain G. In the calculation, the following parameters are used: the wavelength of the incident pump pulse is 532 nm with an 8 ns full width at half maximum, the interaction length is 60 cm. FC-72 is chosen as the SBS medium, its parameters are shown in Table 1. The simulation parameters are closely matched to those that are used for experiments.

We see that when the value of G is low, the SBS does not take place, and hence the line AB in Figure 1 shows a linear increase of output energy with G. After the pump intensity exceeds the SBS threshold, the energy quickly transfers from the pump to the Stokes. Consequently, the increase rate of output energy slows down (i.e., line CD), leading to an optical limiting effect. Line CD represents a nonlinear increase process. However, according to Figure 1, it can be



Fig. 1. Theoretical simulation of the dependence of the output energy on *G*. Line AB represents a linear increase of output energy below the SBS threshold. Line CD shows the optical limiting above the SBS threshold. Dashed lines, linear fit of lines AB and CD. The vertical dashed-dotted lines represent intersection points that correspond to the SBS threshold.

1.68

Medium	n	$\rho(g/cm^3)$	g (cm/GW)	$\tau_0(\mathrm{ns}) \ \lambda = 532 \ \mathrm{nm}$	$\tau_0(\mathrm{ns}) \ \lambda = 1064 \ \mathrm{nm}$	$\tau_0(\mathrm{ns}) \ \lambda = 1064 \ \mathrm{nm}$	
CS ₂	1.63	1.26	68	1.59 ^a	6.4		

6

 Table 1. The parameters of some SBS media

1.25

FC-72

^aThe parameters are calculated according to the equation given by Erokhin *et al.* (1986) and the results at 1064 nm (Erokhin *et al.*, 1986; Yoshida *et al.*, 1997); Others are quoted from Erokhin *et al.* (1986) and Yoshida *et al.* (1997).

 0.29^{a}

considered as an approximately linear change before the gain saturation occurs. Thus, we perform linear fits for lines AB and CD. The value of *G* corresponding to the intersection point of two straight lines is defined as G_{th} . At this time, the SBS reflectivity is about 2.5%, which agrees with the criterion for threshold generally accepted.

By using the model and method mentioned above, we obtain the values of $G_{\rm th}$ for different wavelengths, λ , and interaction lengths, L_{eff} , using CS₂ and FC-72 as the SBS media. Their main medium parameters are listed in Table 1. Figure 2a shows the dependence of G_{th} on λ . According to the literature (Damzen, et al., 1987), the phonon lifetime of the medium, τ_0 , is proportional to λ^2 . Therefore, with the increase of λ , the ratio of the pump pulse width to the phonon lifetime, $t_{\rm P}/\tau_0$, decreases, leading to the stronger transient behavior (Bel'dyugin et al., 2005; Maier & Renner, 1971). This causes the increase of the values of $I_{\rm th}$ and $G_{\rm th}$. On the other hand, we can see from Eq. (3) that the noise intensity, Q, is proportional to Γ , i.e., $Q \propto 1/\tau_0 \cdot G_{\text{th}}$ will be raised because of the reduced Q with increasing λ . Then, we choose λ as 532 nm and study the dependence of $G_{\rm th}$ on $L_{\rm eff}$, as shown is in Figure 2b. At this time, t_P/τ_0 is 5 and 27 for CS₂ and FC-72, respectively. Strictly speaking, the transient SBS process will occur in these two media (Bel'dyugin et al., 2005). In the transient regime, the longer $L_{\rm eff}$ for the same G means the smaller pump intensity, which results in a slower build up of the sound wave and hence a smaller Stokes signal intensity. Therefore, the larger $G_{\rm th}$ is needed to attain the SBS threshold. It can also be seen from Figure 2 that the values of $G_{\rm th}$ are very different for various media, and are larger than that of steady state $(\sim 20-25$ (Boyd et al., 1990)). This also indicates that $G_{\rm th}$ increases as $t_{\rm P}/\tau_0$ decreases due to the transient effect.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Experimental setup is shown in Figure 3. The laser used is an injection-seeded, Q-switched, pulsed Nd:YAG laser (Continuum Powerlite Precision II 9010) with a line width of 90 MHz and repetition rate of 10 Hz. The output wavelength of the laser is 1064 nm and 532 nm (when the double frequency crystal is inserted), the pulse width is 7-8 ns, and the beam diameter is 8 mm. The pump energy is continuously varied by means of an attenuator, which

consisted of a rotatable half-wave plate $(\lambda/2)$ and a polarizer (P). A quarter-wave plate $(\lambda/4)$, together with the polarizer P, forms a light isolator, preventing backward SBS light from entering YAG oscillator. A small fraction of the laser energy separated by the beam splitter (BS) and the output energy of the pump is measured by the PE50BB energy detectors (Ophir Optics), ED₁ and ED₂, respectively.

1.2



Fig. 2. Dependences of $G_{\rm th}$ in CS₂ and FC-72 on (a) λ for $L_{\rm eff} = 60$ cm, (b) $L_{\rm eff}$ for $\lambda = 532$ nm.

V(m/s)

1250

512

 $\alpha(\text{cm}^{-1})$

0.0038

 10^{-1}



Fig. 3. Experimental setup for measuring SBS threshold.

 CS_2 and FC-72 are chosen as SBS media. It has been theoretically and experimentally validated that the scattering occurred in these media could only be SBS if the pulse energy of the laser is controlled in certain range. Other stimulated scatterings can be excluded (Bai *et al.*, 2008; Gao *et al.*, 2008; Daree & Kaiser, 1971; Sen & Sen, 1986). SBS generally takes place in the free gain length (FGL) determined by the pulse width of the pump beam (Bai *et al.*, 2008). In our experiments, the FGLs in CS₂ and FC-72 are about 75 cm and 95 cm, respectively. If the cell length, $L \ge$ FGL, $L_{eff} =$ FGL, or else, $L_{eff} = L$. Figure 4 shows that the output energy *versus* G at 532 nm and 1064 nm. The cell lengths are all 60 cm, which are all less than the FGLs. Therefore, $L_{eff} = 60$ cm. To determine G_{th} , the measured results below and above the SBS threshold are fitted into two straight dash lines. The vertical dash-dot lines denote intersection points that correspond to G_{th} . The measured and theoretical G_{th} and I_{th} are summarized in Table 2. It can be seen that the values of G_{th} and I_{th} increase as λ . The reasons for this trend are discussed in the theoretical analysis.

Figure 5 shows the dependence of G_{th} in CS₂ and FC-72 on the cell length, *L*. In the experiment, *L* are all less than FGLs, so $L_{\text{eff}} = L$. The pump wavelength is 532 nm. It can be seen that G_{th} increases as *L*. This result is different from that for steady-state SBS (Kovalev Harrison, 2007). The change tendencies of the experimental results



Fig. 4. Output energy versus G in (a) CS₂ at $\lambda = 532$ nm, (b) FC-72 at $\lambda = 532$ nm, (c) CS₂ at $\lambda = 1064$ nm, and (d) FC-72 at $\lambda = 1064$ nm.

Table 2. Comparison of measured and theoretical threshold exponential gain G_{th} and the threshold value I_{th} for SBS

Medium	$\Lambda = 532 \text{ nm}$				$\Lambda = 1064 \text{ nm}$			
	$G_{\rm th}^{\rm exp}$	$G_{\rm th}^{\rm theor}$	$I_{\rm th}^{\rm exp}({\rm MW/cm}^2)$	$I_{\rm th}^{\rm theor}({\rm MW/cm}^2)$	$G_{\rm th}^{\ \ \rm exp}$	$G_{\rm th}^{\rm theor}$	$I_{\rm th}^{\rm exp}({\rm MW/cm}^2)$	$I_{\rm th}^{\rm theor}({\rm MW/cm}^2)$
CS ₂	64.9	70.8	15.9	17.3	236.4	248.2	57.9	60.8
FC-72	29.6	32.3	82.2	89.7	62.9	65.8	174.7	182.8



Fig. 5. G_{th} versus L.

are in agreement with those of the theoretical predictions in Figure 2b.

However, as seen from Table 2, there are the discrepancies between the experimental and theoretical values. The reasons for the errors may be summarized as follows. First, some main medium parameters such as g and τ_0 in the calculation are not completely consistent with those in the experiment, especially for the case of $\lambda = 532$ nm. Most of data in Table 1 quoted from the literatures are experimentally obtained at 1064 nm; whereas the parameters at 532 nm are calculated according to the equation given by Erokhin et al. (1986) and the results at 1064 nm. Therefore, the relative measurement errors at 532 nm (\sim 8%) are larger than those at 1064 nm (<5%). Second, we find that the absorption coefficient has greater influence on the calculated results. In the theoretical calculation, we introduce the linear absorption coefficients without considering the nonlinear absorption that may occur in the media. Finally, one-dimensional coupled wave equations as shown in Eq. (1) approximately describe the SBS characteristics. If more accurate, Gaussian spatial and spectral distribution of the pump beam should be taken into account.

CONCLUSION

Based on the output energy characteristic of SBS optical limiting, the SBS threshold or its exponential gain can be determined by the intersection point of linear-fitting lines of the output energy below and above the threshold. This method is suitable for various SBS media with the advantages of simple operation and high accuracy. We experimentally and theoretically investigate the dependences of the threshold value of exponential gain, G_{th} , on the pump wavelength and the interaction length. The results indicate that G_{th} increases with the pump wavelength and the interaction length. For Nd:YAG laser commonly used with a nanosecond-order pulse width, the values of G_{th} for various media are very different. Unlike the case of steady-state SBS, transient SBS has no similar criterion for estimating SBS threshold. Therefore, in some applications such as Brillouin amplification, SBS threshold should be estimated according to the medium properties, length, and the wavelength in order to determine the optimum working point of the amplifier.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 60878005, 60778019) and the Program of Excellent Team in Harbin Institute of Technology.

REFERENCES

- BAI, J.H., SHI, J.W., OUYANG, M. CHEN, X.D. GONG, W.P., JING, H.M., LIU, J. & LIU, D.H. (2008). Method for measuring the threshold value of stimulated Brillouin scattering in water. *Opt. Lett.* 33, 1539–1541.
- BEL'DYUGIN, I.M., EFIMKOV, V.F., MIKHAILOV, S.I. & ZUBAREV, I.G. (2005). Amplification of weak stokes signals in the transient regime of stimulated Brillouin scattering. *J. Russian Laser Res.* **26**, 1–12.
- BOYD, R.W., RZAZEWSKI, K. & NARUM, P. (1990). Noise initiation of Stimulated brillouin scattering. *Phys. Rev. A.* 42, 5514–5521.
- CHIAO, R.Y., TOWNES, C.H. & STOICHEFF, B.P. (1964). Stimulated brillouin scattering and coherent generation of intense hypersonic waves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **12**, 592–595.
- DAMZEN, M., HUTCHINSON, M. & SCHROEDER, W. (1987). Direct measurement of the acoustic decay times of hypersonic waves generated by SBS. *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* 23, 328–334.
- DAREE, K. & KAISER, W. (1971). Competition between stimulated Brillouin and Rayleigh scattering in absorbing media. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 26, 816–819.
- EICHLER, H.J., KONIG, I.R., PIITZOLD, H.J. & SCHWARTZ, J. (1995). SBS mirrors for XeCl lasers with a broad spectrum. *Appl. Phys. B* **61**, 73–80.
- EROKHIN, A.I., KOVALEV, V.I. & FAIZULLOV, F.S. (1986). Determination of the parameters of a nonlinear of liquids in an acoustic resonance region by the method of nondegenerate fourwave interaction. *Sov. J. Quan. Electron.* **16**, 872–877.
- GAETA, A.L. & BOYD, R.W. (1991). Stochastic dynamics of stimulated Brillouin scattering in an optical fiber. *Phys. Rev. A.* 44, 3205–3209.
- GAO, W., LU, Z.W., HE, W. M., HASI, W.L.J. & ZHANG, Z. (2008). Spectrum evolution of spontaneous and pump-depleted stimulated Brillouin scattering in liquid media. *Chin. Phys.* 17, 3765–3770.
- GAO, W., LU, Z.W., HE, W. M., DONG, Y.K. & HASI, W.L.J. (2009). Characteristics of amplified spectrum of a weak frequencydetuned signal in a Brillouin amplifier. *Laser Part. Beams* 27, 465–470.
- HASI, W.L.J., LU, Z.W., FU, M.L., LU, H.H., GONG, S., LIN, D.Y. & HE, W.M. (2009*a*). Investigation of optical limiting based on the combination of stimulated Brillouin scattering and carbon nanotube/HT-270 suspension. *Laser Part. Beams* 27, 533–536.
- HASI, W.L.J., GUO, X.Y., LU, H.H., FU, M.L., GONG, S., GENG, X.Z., LU, Z.W., LIN, D.Y. & HE, W.M. (2009b). Investigation on effect of medium temperature upon SBS and SBS optical limiting. *Laser Part. Beams* 27, 733–737.

- KONG, H.J., YOON, J.W., SHIN, J.S. & BEAK, D.H. (2008). Long-term stabilized two-beam combination laser amplifier with stimulated Brillouin scattering mirrors. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **92**, 021102.
- KONG, H.J., SHIN, J.S., YOON, J.W. & BEAK, D.H. (2009). Phase stabilization of the amplitude dividing four-beam combined laser system using stimulated Brillouin scattering phase conjugate mirrors. *Laser Part. Beams* 27, 179–184.
- KOVALEV, V.I. & HARRISON, R.G. (2007). Threshold for stimulated Brillouin scattering in optical fiber. *Opt. Express* 15, 17625–17630.
- KOVALEV, V.I., KOTOVA, N.E. & HARRISON, R.G. (2009). "Slow Light" in stimulated Brillouin scattering: on the influence of the spectral width of pump radiation on the group index. *Opt. Exp.* **17**, 17317–17323.
- LEE, S.K., LEE, D.W. & KONG, H.J. (2005). Stimulated Brillouin scattering by a multimode pump with alarge number of longitudinal modes. J. Korean Phys. Soc. 46, 443–447.
- Lu, Z.W., Dong, Y.K. & Li, Q. (2007). Slow light in multi-line brillouin gain spectrum. Opt. Exp. 15, 1871–1877.
- Lu, Z.W., GAO, W., HE, W.M., ZHANG, Z. & HASI, W.L.J. (2009). High amplification and low noise achieved by a double-stage non-collinear Brillouin amplifier. *Opt. Exp.* 17, 10675–10680.
- MAIER, M. & RENNER, G. (1971). Transient and quasistationary stimulated scattering of light. *Opt. Commun.* 3, 301–304.
- Ostermeyer, M., Kong, H.J., Kovalev, V.I., Harrison, R.G., Fotiadi, A.A., Megret, P., Kalal, M., Slezak, O., Yoon,

- J.W., SHIN, J.S., BEAK, D.H., LEE, S.K., LU, Z., WANG, S., LIN, D., KNIGHT, J.C., KOTOVA, N.E., STRABER, A., SCHEIKHOBEID, A., RIESBECK, T., MEISTER, S., EICHLER, H.J., WANG, Y., HE, W., YOSHIDA, H., FUJITA, H., NAKATSUKA, M., HATAE, T., PARK, H., LIM, C., OMATSU, T., NAWATA, K., SHIBA, N., ANTIPOV, O.L., KUZNETSOV, M.S. & ZAKHAROV, N.G. (2008). Trends in stimulated Brillouin scattering and optical phase conjugation. *Laser Part. Beams* **26**, 297–362.
- SEN, P. & SEN, K. (1986). Correlation and competition between stimulated Raman and Brillouin scattering processes. *Phys. Rev. B.* 33, 1427–1429.
- STERNKLAR, S., GLICK, Y. & JACKEL, S. (1992). Noise limitations of Brillouin two-beam coupling: theory and experiment. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B. 9, 391–397.
- WANG, Y.L., LU, Z.W., HE, W.M., ZHENG, Z.X. & ZHAO, Y.H. (2009*a*). A new measurement of stimulated Brillouin scattering phase conjugation fidelity for high pump energies. *Laser Part. Beams* 27, 297–302.
- WANG, Y.L., LU, Z.W., WANG, S.Y., ZHENG, Z.X., HE, W.M. & LIN, D.Y. (2009b). Investigation on efficiency of non-collinear serial laser beam combination based on Brillouin amplification. *Laser Part. Beams* 27, 651–655.
- YOSHIDA, H., KMETIK, V., FUJITA, H., NAKATSUKA, M., YAMANAKA, T. & YOSHIDA, K. (1997). Heavy fluorocarbon liquids for a phaseconjugated stimulated Brillouin scattering mirror. *Appl. Opt.* 36, 3739–3744.