Risk factors related to the admission of people with dementia into a long-term care institution in Spain: an explorative study

ESTER RISCO*, ESTHER CABRERA†, M. CARME ALVIRA‡, MARTA FARRÉ*, SUSANA MIGUEL§ and ADELAIDA ZABALEGUI||

ABSTRACT

Risk factors associated with admission of people with dementia to long-term care institutions need to be identified to support health-care professionals in dementia care at home. An explorative study, combining quantitative and qualitative data collection methods, was performed in people with dementia in Spain. The sample, consisting of people with dementia receiving formal care from health-care professionals but at risk of institutionalisation, and their informal care-givers; and people with dementia recently admitted to a long-term care institution, and their informal care-givers, was interviewed between November 2010 and April 2012. Perceived reasons for admission were determined through an open-ended question put to both groups. Presumed risk factors were collected with validated questionnaires and analysed using bivariate analysis. A total of 287 people with dementia and informal care-givers were studied. Reasons given by the institutionalised group were mostly related to the level of dependency of the person with dementia. People recently admitted to a long-term care institution had more cognitive impairment, a greater degree of dependency and poorer quality of life than those still living at home. Home-care services in Spain need to develop or improve interventions based on the risk factors identified in this study: informal care-giver profile, high cognitive impairment, high level of dependency and the poor quality of life of the person with dementia.

KEY WORDS – dementia, home care, long-term care institutionalisation, informal care-giver.

- * Department of Nursing, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.
- † School of Health Sciences TecnoCampus, Pompeu Fabra University, Mataró, Spain.
- ‡ Department of Nursing, CAP Comte Borrell, Barcelona, Spain.
- § School of Health Sciences Gimbernat i Tomàs Cerdà, Barcelona, Spain.
- || Department of Nursing Management, Barcelona, Spain.

Introduction

Evidence shows that dementia is one of the most common and complex diseases in older people (Mielke et al. 2014; Misiak et al. 2013; Prince et al. 2013) and one of the most challenging health problems for health-care systems and policy makers (Geldmacher and Kerwin 2014; Odenheimer et al. 2014; Wimo et al. 2013). Specific country differences can affect health-care decisions about relatives; for instance, when planning the admission of an older family member to a long-term care institution (Afram et al. 2014a; Beerens et al. 2014). Willingness to care for people with dementia at home is present in Spanish culture although, from a European perspective, Spanish informal care-givers of people with dementia suffer the highest levels of burden, depression and stress (Afram et al. 2014a). Furthermore, compared with care-givers from other countries, burden among caregivers in Spain remains high following admission of the person with dementia to a long-term care institution (Afram et al. 2014b). However, previous studies have suggested that this could be related to the informal caregivers' perception of the quality of care received by the patients at the long-term institution; perception of a high quality of care leads to better outcomes, for instance, in care-giver burden (Castro-Monteiro et al. 2014). Mediterranean cultures tend to place similar emphasis on the importance of the family and older people are still helped and cared for by the youngest offspring at home. Consequently, admission of a family member to a longterm care institution can even cause feelings of failure and disappointment within the family (Risco et al. 2015). Many international studies have been conducted on the risk factors associated with admission to a long-term care institution (Rongve et al. 2014; Tam-Tham et al. 2013). It has been shown that these factors are related to the people with dementia or to their informal care-givers (Afram et al. 2014b), although more data on specific country-related characteristics are needed.

Literature review

In our study, the term professional care transition is used to refer to people being cared for at home by the home-care provision team but perceived to be at risk of institutionalisation due to their vulnerable situation or those recently admitted to a long-term care institution (Verbeek et al. 2012). This is considered to be a vulnerable situation since transition is always a difficult process that can provoke negative reactions in people with dementia (Meleis et al. 2000) and also their informal care-giver (Arber and Ginn 1990). Thus, specific risk factors related to the final decision to admit a person to a long-term care institution need to be studied further to form the basis of better care plans from health-care professionals. Current healthcare policies, from a medical and societal point of view, focus on enhancing resource use in the community to avoid medically unnecessary institutionalisation. This unnecessary institutionalisation follows recommendations in the literature which states that it is far preferable for older people with physical and psychological dependency to live in their own homes or in the homes of family members in their own communities rather than in more restrictive institutionalised settings removed from their friends and loved ones (Garcés et al. 2010). Although difficult to measure, rates of long-term care institutionalisation in this population continue to rise, so significant challenges exist to the provision of long-term care services (Karlsson et al. 2015). There are great variations between health systems and the availability of resources and their use in Europe. This variation has to do, above all, with the methods of financing the health-care system and the participation of members of the family in care provision, as well as access to services and reasonable costs for each individual (Hallberg et al. 2014; Knapp, Iemmi and Romeo 2013). Although dementia is one of the emerging priorities of health programmes in the European Union, not many member states have adopted or are in process of adopting national plans. Furthermore, these policies may vary between countries. Belgium, Denmark, France, Norway, Sweden and the United Kingdom have specific plans for dementia patients focused on early diagnosis, treatment and specific dementia care. Others, like Estonia and Spain, only have policies focused on chronic conditions or the elderly, so dementia is included within this population group.

In Spain, there are also cultural differences regarding the degree of family participation in the system, even in the sense of duty to perform the activity of caring or the decision to take a family member into one's home (Rodriguez-Martin et al. 2014). The Spanish health system is composed of a set of health services under the administration of the State and health services of the Autonomous Communities, according to the provisions of the General Health Law, 1986. It was consolidated in 2002 and the system of quality and cohesion was implemented in 2003. Even so, the health system faces considerable challenges, common in most developed countries, such as co-ordination between different levels of care (Rogero-García and Rosenberg 2011). We also need to understand how best to manage the organisation of the distinct care modalities to ensure optimal care in each specific situation and to work towards the goal of providing comprehensive, multidisciplinary care. Co-ordination and consensus among the various levels of care allow provision of better quality care and help to avoid problems of competence, such as admission to a long-stay institution when home care would be more appropriate (Brandt, Haberkern

and Szydlik 2009). In addition, there are other factors that can affect the model used to predict avoidable institutionalisation; lack of integration between networks (health and social) within the region and the meagre health-care support provided to residential centres which hinders adaptation to the requirements of current social services (Daatland and Herlofson 2003).

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to explore the possible risk factors associated with admission to a long-term care institution of people with dementia in Spain. Up-to-date evidence could help policy makers and care-givers to improve home-care services and avoid unnecessary institutionalisation.

Methods

Design

An exploratory study, using quantitative and qualitative data collection methods, was performed as part of the RightTimePlaceCare project (grant agreement 242153), a study generating primary data for best practice development in the transition from home to long-term institutional care for people with dementia and their informal care-givers in Europe (Verbeek *et al.* **2012**).

Sample size, eligibility and recruitment

The study was carried out in a metropolitan area of Barcelona, Spain. Our populations of interest were people with dementia during professional care transition. Inclusion criteria were related to the people with dementia. Participants were 65 years or older, with a diagnosis of dementia according to DSM-IV criteria and a Mini-Mental State Examination (Molloy and Clarnette 1999) score lower than 24, indicating dementia (Folstein, Folstein and McHug 2001), having an informal care-giver living with the person with dementia or visiting him or her at least twice a month, and receiving formal home care from primary care services (physician, registered nurse or social worker). A health-care professional should consider that admission of the individual to a long-term care institution is probable within three to six months. For the institutionalised group, they had to have been recently institutionalised (less than three months). People suffering from psychiatric illness were excluded, as were those diagnosed with Korsakov's syndrome since this occurs mostly after alcohol abuse which causes an alteration in the status of the memory due to lack of thiamine and can be mistaken for dementia. In both cases, participants were directly selected from public and private institutions in Barcelona. People with dementia and informal care-givers were included through convenience sampling. Calculated sample size consisted of 175 home-care patient–care-giver dyads and 115 long-term care institution dyads, allowing for an expected dropout rate of 15 per cent in each group (Verbeek *et al.* 2012).

Patients were recruited based on previous assessments made by various health-care professionals, registered nurses, physicians or social workers involved in the daily health and social care of this population. Data were collected during face-to-face interviews with patients and informal care-givers between November 2010 and April 2012. Interviewers were professionals in health or social care, or medical/nursing/social worker students (Bachelor's degree) with clinical experience. They received additional training on all project procedures, including questionnaire completion and assessment of contents. Quantitative and qualitative data collection was chosen to understand better this specific care-transition process. The underlying logic of mixing methods is to test the efficiency of the actual measurements of potential risk factors associated with institutionalisation with the real actors' perceptions (Creswell and Miller, 2000). People-withdementia and informal care-giver variables were selected based on previous studies predicting institutionalisation for people with dementia (Gaugler 2009; Luppa et al. 2008).

People-with-dementia variables were: gender; age; people with dementiainformal care-giver relationship; income; living alone; cognitive status assessed with the Standardized Mini-Mental State Examination (Molloy and Clarnette 1999); dependency in activities of daily living assessed through the Katz Index of Independence (Katz et al. 1963); behavioural and neuropsychiatric symptoms measured using The Neuropsychiatric Inventory (Kaufer et al. 2000); depressive symptoms evaluated through the Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia (Alexopoulos et al. 1988); and quality of life assessed through the Quality of Life in Alzheimer's Disease scale (Logsdon et al. 2002). Informal care-giver variables were: age; gender; marital status; care-giver burden measured through the Zarit Burden Interview (Zarit, Reever and Bach-Peterson 1980); psychological wellbeing evaluated through the General Health Questionnaire-12 (Goldberg and Hillier 1979); and health-related quality of life measured with the EuroQol-5 Dimension (Brazier, Jones and Kind 1993). Measurement instruments were selected according to their psychometric properties (validity and reliability), clinical utility, and suitability for the target settings and population (Table 1).

Reasons for institutionalisation were inventoried by means of an openended question. Informal care-givers from the home-care group were asked about possible transition to a long-term care institution: 'Why do you think it might be necessary for people with dementia to move to a

Table 1. Scales utilised to measure the potential risk factors of institutionalisation following literature suggestions

Scale	Methods	Score		
1. Standardized Mini-Mental State Examination (S-MMSE) (Molloy and Clarnette 1999)	Outcome. Cognitive status. Items: The S-MMSE consists of 20 items, covering orientation in time and space, short-term memory and some	Range of total score. The total score ranges from o to 30. Meaning of range. Lower score representing		
2. Katz Index of Independence in Activities of Daily Living (Katz <i>et al.</i>	short tasks on language, calculation and co-ordination. <i>Outcome.</i> The Katz assesses functional status as a measurement of the person with dementia's ability to perform	more cognitive impairment. Range of total score. The total score ranges from o to 6.		
1963)	activities of daily living independently. <i>Items</i> : The index ranks adequacy of performance in the six functions of bathing, dressing, toileting, transferring, continence and feeding.	Meaning of range. A higher score indicates more independency in performing activities of daily living.		
3. Neuropsychiatric Inventory– Questionnaire (NPI-Q) (Kaufer <i>et al.</i> 2000)	Outcome. Behavioural and neuropsychiatric symptoms. Items: The NPI-Q consists of 12 items in ten behavioural (delusions, hallucinations, agitation/aggression, depression/dysphoria, anxiety, elation/euphoria, apathy/indifference, disinhibition, irritability/lability, aberrant motor behaviour) and two neurovegetative (sleep and nighttime behaviour disorders and appetite and eating disorders) areas. Each item has three levels: (1) screening to determine the presence of the domain in the past four weeks, (2) severity and (3) care-giver distress (level 3 is only asked in the home-care setting).	Range of total scores: Severity score: ranges from o to 36. Distress score: ranges from o to 6o. Meaning of ranges: Severity score: a high score indicates presence of more (severe) neuropsychiatric symptoms. Distress score: a higher score indicates more distress of informal care-giver.		
4. Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia (CSDD) (Alexopoulos <i>et al.</i> 1988)	Outcome. Depressive symptoms in persons with dementia. Items: The CSDD contains 19 items covering five dimensions: mood-related signs (four items), behavioural disturbance (four items), physical signs (three items), cyclic functions (four items) and ideational disturbance (four items).	Range of total score. The total score ranges from o to 38. Meaning of range. Higher scores on the CSDD indicate more depressive symptoms.		

TABLE 1. (Cont.)

Scale	Methods	Score		
5. Quality of Life in Alzheimer's Disease	Outcome. Quality of life of the person with dementia.	Range of total score. Total scores range from 13 t		
(QoL-AD) (Logsdon et al. 2002)	Items: The QoL-AD is a brief, 13-item measure designed to obtain a rating of the quality of life of the person with dementia from both the patient and the care-giver perspective. It includes assessments of the individual relationships with friends and family, concerns about finances, physical condition, mood and overall assessment of quality of life.	Meaning of range. A higher score indicates a higher quality of life.		
6. General Health Questionnaire-12 (GHQ-12) (Goldberg and Hillier 1979)	Outcome. Psychological wellbeing. Items: The GHQ-12 consists of 12 items.	Range of total score. The total score ranges from 6 to 36. Meaning of range. A higher score indicates less		
7. Euro Qol-5 Dimension – 3 Level (EQ-5D-3L) (Brazier, Jones and Kind 1993)	Outcome. Health-related quality of life of informal care-giver. Items: The EQ-5D is a standardised health-related quality-of-life questionnaire. The EQ-5D consists of two parts: five descriptive questions about five health dimensions: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression. A Visual Analogue Scale (EQ-VAS) records the care-givers' self-rated health on a 20 centimetre vertical scale.	psychological wellbeing. Range of total score. The total score ranges from -0.594 to 1. The EQ-VAS ranges from 0 to 100. Meaning of range. A higher score indicates a better health-related quality of life. The higher the score on the EQ-VAS, the higher the self-rated health state of the informal care giver.		

long-term care institution?'; and the long-term care institution group was asked about the reason given: 'What was the reason for the admission of the person with dementia to a long-term care institution?'

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were described using frequencies and percentages. Continuous variables are reported as the mean and standard deviation (SD), median, and 25th and 75th percentiles. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05 with 95 per cent confidence intervals. Analyses were performed with the SPSS 18.0.

Each particular reason given was examined by two researchers using conventional content analysis (Hsieh and Shannon 2005) of text data to derive coding categories. Subsequently, a codebook with an overview of all categories was created: Overall deterioration, Neuropsychiatric symptoms, Caregiver burden, Inability to care by informal care-giver and Recommended by health-care professionals.

Subsequently, the percentage of informal care-givers stating a particular reason was calculated. This was done by summing the frequency of informal care-givers stating a particular reason and dividing it by the total number of informal care-givers in the sample. For the analysis, percentages were calculated of the proportion of informal care-givers stating a certain reason.

The analysis was performed by theme and category. This was done for the overall sample. Before coding the data-set, a data validation and inter-rater reliability assessment was carried out. Inter-rater reliability was evaluated by means of the Jaccard similarity coefficient (Popping 1984), which ranged from 0.86 to 0.89, indicating high concordance between raters.

Methodological triangulation was performed through bivariate analysis to explore the relationship between scores on corresponding measurements and the most frequently stated reasons for admission to a long-term care institution.

Ethical issues and approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the Hospital Clínic Ethics Committee (2010/6031) for research on human beings to conduct the study in accordance with national standards and regulations. All participants, people with dementia and informal care-givers, were asked to provide informed consent prior to participating in the study, and in cases of severe cognitive impairment of the person with dementia, which was the case for most of these participants, consent was only requested from the informal caregivers.

Table 2. Informal care-giver sample characteristics

	All	HC	LTCI	p
N		174	113	
		Frequencies (%)	
Informal care-giver gender:		1	,	
Male	81 (28.2)	30 (26.5)	51 (29.3)	0.6876
Female	206 (71.8)	83 (73.5)	123 (70.7)	
Informal care-giver relation to	person with d	ementia:		
Husband	47 (16.4)		37 (21.3)	3.306
Wife		16 (14.2)	48 (27.6)	0 0
Child	135 (47.0)	72 (63.7)	63 (36.2)	
Other	41 (14.3)	15 (13.3)	26 (14.9)	
Age:				
Mean (SD)		62.5 (10.8)	66.6 (13.5)	
Median (25th and 75th percentiles)		61.5 (55.6, 69.3)	68.5 (56.8, 77.1)	0.0070*
Care-giver burden (Zarit Burd	len Interview):			
Mean (SD)		34.2 (16.5)	35.1 (15.3)	
Median (25th and 75th percentiles)		32 (22, 45)	33.5 (24, 46)	0.5404
Psychological distress (GHQ-1	2):			
Mean (SD)		15 (5.6)	14.8 (5.7)	0.6339
Median (25th and 75th percentiles)		14 (11, 19)	14 (11, 19)	000
Health perception (EQ-5D):				0.8530
Mean (SD)		0.7 (0.3)	0.7 (0.3)	33
Median (25th and 75th percentiles)		0.8 (0.7, 0.9)		

Notes: HC: home care. LTCI: long-term care institution. SD: standard deviation. GHQ-12: General Health Questionnaire-12. EQ-5D: EuroQol-5 Dimension.

Significance level: * p < 0.05.

Results

Sample description

A total of 287 people with dementia and their informal care-givers were studied; 174 were included from the home-care group and 113 from the long-term care institution group; three dyads were lost due to incorrect information collection.

The socio-demographic characteristics of informal care-givers in both home care and long-term care institutions are shown in Table 2. No significant differences were seen between categorical variables such as gender or people with dementia—informal care-giver relationship. Informal care-givers were predominantly female in both groups (home-care group: 73.5 per cent; long-term care institution group: 70.7 per cent). Significant differences were seen in informal care-giver ages, with older informal

care-givers in the home-care group compared with those in long-term care institutions (mean = 66.6, SD = 13.5; mean = 62.5, SD = 10.8; p < 0.05). Regarding instrument scores for informal care-givers, no significant differences were seen between the home-care group and long-term care institution group, with similar levels of burden, psychological wellbeing and health-related quality of life.

The socio-demographic characteristics of people with dementia in both settings are shown in Table 3. Although all participants had to have a Mini-Mental State Examination score lower than 24 to be included in this study, people with dementia at risk of institutionalisation showed less cognitive impairment than those in the long-term care institution group (homecare group: mean = 412.6, SD = 5.4; long-term care institution group: mean = 16.1, SD = 5.4; p < 0.05). Significant differences were seen in dependency in activities of daily living, with a greater degree of dependency (long-term care institution group: mean = 3.1, SD = 1.4; home-care group: mean = 1.1, SD = 1.4, p<0.05) and lower people-with-dementia quality of life observed in the long-term care institution group (home-care group: mean = 24.9, SD = 4.4; long-term care institution group: mean = 27.6, SD = 5.1; p < 0.05).

Reasons for institutionalisation

Many reasons associated with admission of people with dementia to a longterm care institution were identified but, following completion of the coding procedures, six general categories emerged:

- 1. Care dependency which included all reasons related to activities of daily living, instrumental activities or other aspects related to the incapacity of the people with dementia to perform some activities independently such as toileting, bathing and eating.
- 2. People-with-dementia overall health status deterioration, people-withdementia health-related problems such as frequent visits to the hospital, greater demands on the health-care professional and worsening of other associated diseases.
- 3. Neuropsychiatric symptoms, behavioural disturbances.
- 4. Care-giver burden, all the reasons given by the informal care-giver related to tiredness or overload derived from caring.
- 5. Inability to care by informal care-giver, informal care-giver healthrelated problems that hamper informal care-givers or prevent them from continuing to provide care.
- 6. Professional recommendation, advice about the admission of people with dementia to a long-term care institution given by a health-care professional to improve quality of care.

TABLE 3. Person with dementia sample characteristics

	All	HC	LTCI	p
N	287	174	113	
		Frequencies (%)	
Gender:				
Male	86 (30.0)	27 (23.9)	59 (33.9)	0.0863
Female	201 (70.0)	86 (76.1)	115 (66.1)	
Marital status:	(0.0)	()	0 (6)	Cat
Married Widowed	140 (48.8)	42 (37.2)	98 (56.3)	0.0056*
Divorced	128 (44.6) 4 (1.4)	62 (54.9) 3 (2.7)	66 (37.9) 1 (0.6)	
Single	$\frac{4}{15} (5.2)$	6 (5.3)	9 (5.2)	
Age:	0.0	.0 0	3 .0 /	
Mean (SD)		84.1 (6.9)	82.5 (7.3)	0.0668
Median (25th and 75th percentiles)		83.4 (79.9, 89.5)		
Education years:				
Mean (SD)		6.1 (5.1)	7.1 (5.1)	0.0375*
Median (25th and 75th percentiles)		6 (3, 8)	7 (5, 10)	
Comorbidity (Charlson):				
Mean (SD)		2 (1.4)	1.9 (1.3)	0.5670
Median (25th and 75th percentiles)		2(1, 2)	1 (1, 2)	
Cognitive Status (MMSE):				
Mean (SD)		12.6 (5.4)	16.1 (5.4)	<0.0001*
Median (25th and 75th percentiles)		13 (10, 17)	17 (13, 20)	
Degree of dependency (Katz l	Index of Inde	ependence):		
Mean (SD)		1.1 (1.4)	3.1 (2)	
Median (25th and 75th percentiles)		1.2 (0, 2)	3 (1, 5)	<0.0001*
Depressive symptoms (CSDD)	:			
Mean (SD)		6.8 (5.5)	8 (6.4)	0.1734
Median (25th and 75th percentiles)		5 (3, 10)	6 (4, 11)	
Quality of life (Qol-AD by pro	xy):			
Mean (SD)		24.6 (4.4)	27.7 (5.1)	
Median (25th and 75th percentiles)		24.9 (21.3, 28)	28 (24, 32)	<0.0001*

Notes: HC: home care. LTCI: long-term care institution. SD: standard deviation. MMSE: Mini-Mental State Examination. CSDD: Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia. QoL-AD: Quality of Life in Alzheimer's Disease.

Significance levels: *p < 0.05.

The overview of how these reasons were distributed across settings is provided in Table 4. Overall, home care care-givers' reasons had a slightly stronger association with people-with-dementia status than those gathered from long-term care institution care-givers. Significant statistical differences were

Reasons given	HC	LTCI	p	
N	174	113		
	Frequencies (%)			
			0.0096*	
Care dependency	29 (16.67)	32 (28.32)		
Overall deterioration	61 (35.06)	19 (16.81)		
Neuropsychiatric symptoms	25 (14.37)	16 (14.16)		
Care-giver burden	12 (6.90)	14 (12.39)		
Inability to care by informal care-giver	39 (22.41)	25 (22.12)		
Recommended	8 (4.60)	7 (6.19)		

Table 4. Reasons given by informal care-giver in both settings: home care (HC) and long-term care institution (LTCI)

Significance level: * p < 0.05.

seen globally (p<0.05) but also in specific reasons given. For instance, 28.32 per cent of informal care-givers in long-term care institutions cited people-with-dementia care dependency while this figure was 16.67 per cent in home care. In contrast, in home care, more than 35 per cent gave a reason related to overall people-with-dementia deterioration, compared with only 16.81 per cent in long-term care institution.

Reasons given by the informal care-giver most strongly associated with factors related to institutionalisation

Six coded reasons associated with people-with-dementia institutionalisation were crossed with distinct scale measurements. The distribution of reasons given by informal care-givers in the home-care group (Table 5) differed from those in the long-term care institution group (Table 6).

The reason care 'dependency' was not clearly associated with institutionalisation in either home care or long-term care institution. Only Katz scores in home care indicated a considerable degree of dependency within this group, supporting the opinion expressed by the informal care-givers. Slight associations between overall health status deterioration and the measurement scale scores were found with poor informal care-giver health perception in home care (mean = 0.21, SD = 0.37) and long-term care institutions (mean = 0.21, SD = 0.35). The reason 'neuropsychiatric symptoms' was clearly associated with The Neuropsychiatric Inventory score in both settings, indicating that there was a clear correlation with neuropsychiatric symptom scores in home care (mean = 11.75, SD = 6.23) and long-term care institutions (mean = 11.75, SD = 5.76). In addition, 'neuropsychiatric symptoms', cited as a reason by informal care-givers, was associated with poor informal care-giver health perception in long-term care institutions (mean = 0.25, SD = 0.32)

Table 5. Reasons given by informal care-giver in home care: factors associated with the institutionalisation of a person with dementia (PwD)

Associated factors		Care dependency	Overall PwD deterioration	Neuropsychiatric symptoms	Care-giver burden	Inability to care	Recommended
N		29	12	39	25	61	8
%		16.67	35.04	14.37	6.90	22.41	4.60
Person with dementia:							
Dependency ADL (Katz Index of	Mean	2.21	2.97	3.40	3.25	3.51	3.75
Independence)	SD	1.99	2.09	2.15	1.68	2.01	2.25
Neuropsychiatric symptoms	Mean	6.63	5.37	11.75	5.36	10.36	7.86
(Neuropsychiatric Inventory)	SD	4.42	8.04	6.23	4.72	$5.\overline{6}8$	6.69
Depressive symptoms (CSDD)	Mean	$\hat{5}.\hat{6}_{1}$	4.93	$8.7\tilde{6}$	$\hat{6}.o_{4}$	8.35	$7.8\tilde{6}$
	SD	6.32	6.86	6.96	4.79	6.50	5.93
Quality of life (QoL-AD)	Mean	24.45	24.73	25.37	26.83	22.75	25.71
	SD	6.39	4.25	5.14	4.15	4.44	4.39
Informal care-giver:							
Care-giver burden (Zarit	Mean	30.49	29.79	34.96	43.11	37.88	31.52
Burden Interview)	SD	14.69	16.04	16.38	15.95	13.72	19.67
Psychological distress (GHQ-12)	Mean	13.87	$^{1}4.37$	14.44	18.64	16.56	10.86
,	SD	5.91	5.65	5.20	5.39	5.91	3.50
Health perception (EQ-5D)	Mean	0.73	0.21	0.25	0.64	0.65	0.85
	SD	0.26	0.37	0.36	0.20	0.28	0.36

Notes: N = 174. SD: standard deviation. ADL: activities of daily living. CSDD: Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia. QoL-AD: Quality of Life in Alzheimer's Disease. GHQ-12: General Health Questionnaire-12. EQ-5D: EuroQol-5 Dimension.

Table 6. Reasons given by informal care-giver in a long-term care institution: factors associated with the institutionalisation of a person with dementia (PwD)

Associated factors		Care dependency	Overall PwD deterioration	Neuropsychiatric symptoms	Care-giver burden	Inability to care	Recommended
N ~		32	14	25	16	19	7
%		28.32	16.81	14.16	12.39	22.12	6.19
Person with dementia:							
Dependency ADL (Katz Index of	Mean	0.75	1.69	1.63	1.21	1.00	1.57
Independence)	SD	1.05	1.12	1.58	1.20	1.69	2.37
Neuropsychiatric symptoms	Mean	6.63	5.37	11.75	5.36	10.36	7.86
(Neuropsychiatric Inventory)	SD	5.36	5.14	5.76	7.51	4.65	4.88
Depressive symptoms (CSDD)	Mean	5.61	4.93	8.76	6.04	8.35	7.86
	SD	3.99	8.62	4.91	5.02	5.32	5.43
Quality of life (QoL-AD)	Mean	$^{2}4.45$	24.73	25.37	26.83	22.75	25.71
	SD	4.13	5.89	3.76	3.43	4.32	5.12
Informal care-giver:							
Care-giver burden (Zarit Burden	Mean	30.49	29.79	34.96	43.11	37.88	31.52
Interview)	SD	14.80	20.79	15.93	18.66	12.47	17.35
, 8 . ~ ,	Mean	$1\hat{3}.87$	14.37	14.44	18.64	$16.\overline{56}$	10.86
	SD	4.04	7.44	$\hat{6}.\hat{07}$	$5.2\hat{8}$	4.41	4.88
Health perception (EQ-5D)	Mean	0.73	0.21	0.25	0.64	$\hat{0.65}$	0.85
1 1 20	SD	0.20	0.35	0.32	0.25	0.21	0.15

Notes: N = 114. SD: standard deviation. ADL: activities of daily living. CSDD: Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia. QoL-AD: Quality of Life in Alzheimer's Disease. GHQ-12: General Health Questionnaire-12. EQ-5D: EuroQol-5 Dimension.

and in home care (mean = 43.11, SD = 0.36). Some association was also found with people-with-dementia depressive symptoms in the home-care group setting (mean = 8.76, SD = 6.96). 'Care-giver burden' given as a reason was related to high levels of care-giver burden assessed through the Zarit Burden Interview in both settings: home care (mean = 43.11, SD = 15.95) and long-term care institution (mean = 43.11, SD = 18.66); and also to greater informal care-giver psychological distress in both settings: home care (mean = 18.64, SD = 5.39) and long-term care institution (mean = 18.64, SD = 5.28). No extreme scores were seen related to the reason 'inability to care' by the informal care-giver. All measurements were low. When the reason given was 'professional recommendation', it was associated with the highest levels of quality of life for the informal care-giver in both settings: home care (mean = 0.85, SD = 0.36) and long-term care institution (mean = 0.85, SD = 0.15).

Discussion

Risk factors related to the admission of a person with dementia to a long-term care institution can also be related to the informal care-giver, so it is important to consider the profile of the informal care-giver taking care of the person with dementia when evaluating any possible immediate care transition. Young care-givers were more likely to place people with dementia in a long-term care institution sooner as they are probably working, have dependent children or more financial responsibilities (Brodaty and Donkin 2009). Furthermore, greater cognitive impairment, degree of dependency and poorer quality of life were closely associated with early admission of people with dementia to a long-term care institution. This has also been established from a European perspective (Afram et al. 2014a). As such, these outcomes need to be monitored by health-care professionals to identify early, unnecessary admission. It is possible to talk about inappropriate admission to a long-term care institution as the European study shows that when Spanish informal care-givers' quality of life was assessed following admission of people with dementia, the informal care-givers continued to have one of the highest levels of burden in Europe (Afram et al. 2014a). Furthermore, transition to a longterm care institution increases the total costs of dementia care from a societal perspective if the person with dementia does not suffer from very severe limitations (e.g. very severe ADL dependency). Public health efforts to prevent this unnecessary long-term care admission have the potential to be cost-effective for European health systems (Wübker et al. 2014). Thus, increased coverage of informal care-givers' needs is essential, particularly psychological care needs, by including psycho-educational programmes to relieve informal caregivers' emotional distress and provide them with skills and coping strategies.

Significant differences between groups (home care and long-term care institution) regarding reasons expressed were noted although both were related to the need for health-care support in dependency care and incapacity to care by the informal care-giver, usually due to a lack of knowledge (Crellin et al. 2013; Zabalegui et al. 2008). Since Spain follows a family-care model, in common with other Southern European countries, there is limited provision of services by the state. Greater responsibilities are placed on the family as dementia health-care demands can increase, causing frequent, unnecessary interaction with emergency services, hospitals and other health-care providers (Mazzei, Gillan and Cloutier 2013). Some studies supporting these findings reveal that unnecessary resource utilisation could place indirect demands on the health-care system when few social services are available (Robinson, Buckwalter and Reed 2013) and this can lead to an increase in current health-care costs (Wimo et al. 2014). Thus, interventions to improve or manage the degree of dependency in people with dementia and enhance their quality of life need to be implemented as these are the main difficulties our study population has to face. This may be a reflection of the scant involvement of other health-care professionals or the absence of other professional roles such as specialised liaison nurses, occupational therapists or physiotherapists, who have been shown to be valuable in other countries (Law et al. 2014). Liaison nurses, as defined in another health-care context (Gerdner, Buckwalter and Reed 2002), with specific training and specific occupational status, provide benefits in terms of a multidisciplinary approach but with discipline-specific training to support patients with dementia. They also offer education and support in self-management and the development of coping strategies for patients to aid in improving long-term outcomes. Occupational therapists can be effective in improving care-giver wellbeing through specific environmental skill-building programmes (Gitlin et al. 2010). Other effective multi-disciplinary, non-pharmacological interventions analysed in recent research (Zabalegui et al. 2014) could be adapted to the characteristics of our study population.

The results of our research can help in the design of interventions aiming to avoid unnecessary long-term care admissions and potential family disappointments. Policy makers could find the results of studies such as ours useful when developing new health-care strategies.

Limitations

This study has some limitations. Our sample population is not representative of the overall Spanish population as only Barcelona citizens were selected, and some variables not considered, such as income or educational level, which can influence access to home-care services and long-term care institutions.

Second, this study is part of a larger study and some methodological elements, such as a randomised sample, could not be adapted to the characteristics of our study population. Finally, it is possible that selection bias could represent a further study limitation since health-care professionals from distinct disciplines evaluated the probability of admission of people with dementia to a long-term care institution without using a standardised, valid instrument. As these professionals made the assessment using their own professional judgement, the criteria employed and evaluation of patients could differ among professionals.

Conclusions

The Spanish health-care system needs to provide more cost-effective resources and services to people with dementia and informal care-givers during the course of dementia to avoid unnecessary professional care transition. Special attention has to be paid to informal care-giver characteristics and to specific people-with-dementia risk factors such as degree of dependency, quality of life and neuropsychiatric symptoms, as these can be early predictors of long-term care institution admission. Reducing care-giver burden resulting from the overall deterioration of people with dementia could help to relieve the pressure on resources used in treating chronic and acute patients. Informal care-givers' perceptions are clearly related to measurable variables obtained with valid questionnaires, so special attention needs to be paid to informal care-giver demands. Listening and provision of emotional support are as important as psycho-educational interventions. It is also recommended that other health-care professionals, such as liaison nurses, occupational therapists or physiotherapists, should be involved in home care within the Spanish multi-disciplinary team.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by a grant from the European Commission within the 7th Framework Programme (Project 242153). There are no conflicts of interest.

References

Afram, B., Stephan, A., Verbeek, H., Bleijlevens, M. H. C., Suhonen, R., Sutcliffe, C., Raamat, K., Cabrera, E., Soto, M. E., Hallberg, I. R., Meyer, G., Hamers, J. P. H., on behalf of the RightTimePlaceCare Consortium 2014. Reasons for the institutionalisation of people with dementia: informal caregiver reports from eight European countries. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 15, 2, 108–11.

Afram, B., Verbeek, H., Bleijlevens, M. H. C., Challis, D., Leino-Kilpi, H., Karlsson, S., Soto, M. E., Renom-Guiteras, A., Saks, K., Zabalegui, A., Hamers, J. P. H. and the

- RightTimePlaceCare Consortium. 2014. Predicting institutional long-term care admission in dementia: a mixed-methods study of informal caregivers' reports. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 71, 6, 1351–62.
- Alexopoulos, G. S., Abrams, R. C., Young, R. C. and Shamoian, C. A. 1988. Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia. *Biological Psychiatry*, **23**, 3, 271–84.
- Arber, S. and Ginn, J. 1990. The meaning of informal care: gender and the contribution of elderly people. Ageing & Society, 10, 4, 429–54.
- Beerens, H.C., Sutcliffe, C., Renom-Guiteras, A., Soto, M.E., Suhonen, R., Zabalegui, A., Bökberg, C., Saks, K., Hamers, J.P and on behalf of the RightTimePlaceCare Consortium 2014. Quality of life and quality of care for people with dementia receiving long term institutional care or professional home care: the European RightTimePlaceCare study. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association, 15, 1, 54-61.
- Brandt, M., Haberkern, K. and Szydlik, M. 2009. Intergenerational help and care in Europe. European Sociological Review, 25, 5, 585–601.
- Brazier, J., Jones, N. and Kind, P. 1993. Testing the validity of the Euroqol and comparing it with the SF-36 health survey questionnaire. Quality of Life Research, 2, 3, 169–80.
- Brodaty, H. and Donkin, M. 2009. Family caregivers of people with dementia. Clinical Neuroscience, 11, 2, 217–28.
- Castro-Monteiro, E., Forjaz, M. J., Ayala, A., Rodriguez-Blazquez, C., Fernandez-Mayoralas, G., Diaz-Redondo, A. and Martinez-Martin, P. 2014. Change and predictors of quality of life in institutionalized older adults with dementia. Quality of Life Research, 23, 9, 2595–601.
- Crellin, N. E., Orrell, M., McDermott, O. and Charlesworth, G. 2014. Self-efficacy and health-related quality of life in family carers of people with dementia: a systematic review. Aging Mental Health, 18, 8, 954-69.
- Creswell, J. W. and Miller, D. L. 2000. Determining validity in qualitative inquiry. Theory into Practice, 39, 3, 124-31.
- Daatland, S. O. and Herlofson, K. 2003. 'Lost solidarity' or 'changed solidarity': a comparative European view of normative family solidarity. Ageing & Society, 23, 5, 174–82.
- Folstein, M. F., Folstein, S. E. and McHug, P. R. 2001. Mini-Mental State Examination: Clinical Guide. Psychological Assessment Resources, Odessa, Florida.
- Garcés, J., Carretero, S., Ródenas, F. and Vivancos, M. 2010. The care of the informal caregiver burden by the Spanish public system of social welfare: a review. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics, 50, 3, 250-3.
- Gaugler, J. E. 2009. Caregiving contexts: cultural, familial, and societal implications - edited by Maximiliane E. Szinovacz and Adam Davey. Journal of Marriage and Family, 71, 1, 205-7.
- Geldmacher, D. S. and Kerwin, D. R. 2013. Practical diagnosis and management of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease in the primary care setting: an evidencebased approach. The Primary Care Companion for CNS Disorders, 15, 4.
- Gerdner, L. A., Buckwalter, K. C. and Reed, D. 2002. Impact of a psychoeducational intervention on caregiver response to behavioural problems. Nursing Research, 51, 6, 363–74.
- Gitlin, L. N., Winter, L., Dennis, M. P., Hodgson, N. and Hauck, W. W. 2010. Targeting and managing behavioral symptoms in individuals with dementia: a randomized trial of a nonpharmacological intervention. Journal of American Geriatric Society, 58, 8, 1465–74.
- Goldberg, D. P. and Hillier, V. F. 1979. A scaled version of the General Health Questionnaire. Psychological Medicine, 9, 1, 139-45.
- Hallberg, I. R., Cabrera, E., Jolley, D., Raamat, K., Renom-Guiteras, A., Verbeek, H., Soto, M., Stolt, M. and Karlsson, S. 2014. Professional care providers in dementia

- care in eight European countries; their training and involvement in early dementia stage and in home care. *Dementia (London)* [Epub ahead of print]
- Hsieh, H. F. and Shannon, S. E. 2005. Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative Health Research*, **15**, 9, 1277–88.
- Karlsson, S., Bleijlevens, M., Roe, B., Saks, K., Martin, M. S., Stephan, A., Suhonen, R.,
 Zabalegui, A., Hallberg, I. R. and RightTimeCarePlace Consortium 2015.
 Dementia care in European countries, from the perspective of people with dementia and their caregivers. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 71, 6, 1405–16.
- Katz, S., Ford, A. B., Jackson, B. A. and Jaffe, M. W. 1963. Studies of illness in the aged. The index of ADL: a standardized measure of biological and psychosocial function. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, **21**, 185, 914–9.
- Kaufer, M. D., Cummings, M. D., Ketche, M. E., Smith, V., MacMillan, A., Shelley, T., Lopez, O. and DeKosky, M. D. 2000. Validation of the NPI-Q, a brief clinical form of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory. *Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*, 12, 2, 233–9.
- Knapp, M., Iemmi, V. and Romeo, R. 2013. Dementia care costs and outcomes: a systematic review. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, **28**, 6, 551–61.
- Law, L. L., Barnett, F., Yau, M. K. and Gray, M. A. 2014. Effects of combined cognitive and exercise interventions on cognition in older adults with and without cognitive impairment: a systematic review. *Ageing Research Reviews*, 15, 61–75.
- Logsdon, R. G., Gibbons, L. E., McCurry, S. M. and Teri, L. 2002. Assessing quality of life in older adults with cognitive impairment. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, **64**, 3, 510–9.
- Luppa, M., Luck, T., Brähler, E., König, H. H. and Riedel-Heller, S. G. 2008. Prediction of institutionalisation in dementia. A systematic review. *Dementia Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, **26**, 1, 65–78.
- Mazzei, F., Gillan, R. and Cloutier, D. 2013. Exploring the influence of environment on the spatial behavior of older adults in a purpose-built acute care dementia unit. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias*, **29**, 4, 311–9.
- Meleis, A. I., Sawyer, L. M., Im, E. O., Hilfinger Messias, D. K. and Schumacher, K. 2000. Experiencing transitions: an emerging middle-range theory. *Advanced Nursing Science*, 23, 1, 12–28.
- Mielke, M. M., Vemuri, P. and Rocca, W. A. 2014. Clinical epidemiology of Alzheimer's disease: assessing sex and gender differences. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 8, 6, 37–48.
- Misiak, B., Cialkowska-Kuzminska, M., Frydecka, D., Chladzinska-Kiejna, S. and Kiejna, A. 2013. European studies on the prevalence of dementia in the older people: time for a step towards a methodological consensus. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, **28**, 12, 1211–21.
- Molloy, D. W. and Clarnette, R. 1999. Standardized Mini-Mental State Examination: A User's Guide. New Grange Press, Troy, New York.
- Odenheimer, G., Borson, S., Sanders, A. E., Swain-Eng, R. J., Kyomen, H. H., Tierney, S., Gitlin, L., Forciea, M. A., Absher, J., Shega, J. and Johnson, J. 2014. Quality improvement in neurology: dementia management quality measures. *Journal of American Geriatric Society*, **62**, 3, 558–61.
- Popping, R. 1984. Traces of agreement. On some agreement indices for open-ended questions. *Quality and Quantity*, **18**, 2, 147–58.
- Prince, M., Bryce, R., Albanese, E., Wimo, A., Ribeiro, W. and Ferri, C. P. 2013. The global prevalence of dementia: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Alzheimers & Dementia*, **9**, 1, 63–75.
- Risco, E., Cabrera, E., Farré, M., Alvira, C., Miguel, S. and Zabalegui, A. 2015. Perspectives about health care provision in dementia care in Spain: a qualitative study using focus-group methodology. *American Journal of Alzheimers Diseases and Other Dementias*, 31, 3, 223–30.

- Robinson, K. M., Buckwalter, K. and Reed, D. 2013. Differences between dementia caregivers who are users and nonusers of community services. Public Health Nursing, **30**, 6, 501–10.
- Rodriguez-Martin, B., Martinez-Andres, M., Cervera-Monteagudo, B., Notario-Pacheco, B. and Martínez Vizcaino, V. 2014. Preconception about institutionalization at public nursing homes in Spain: views of residents and family members. Ageing & Society, 34, 4, 547–68.
- Rogero-García, J. and Rosenberg, M. W. 2011. Paid and unpaid support received by coresident informal caregivers attending to community-dwelling older adults in Spain. European Journal of Ageing, 8, 2, 95–107.
- Rongve, A., Vossius, C., Nore, S., Testad, I. and Aarsland, D. 2014. Time until nursing home admission in people with mild dementia: comparison of dementia with Lewy bodies and Alzheimer's dementia. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 29, 4, 392-8.
- Tam-Tham, H., Cepoiu-Martin, M., Ronksley, P. E., Maxwell, C. J. and Hemmelgarn, B. R. 2013. Dementia case management and risk of long-term care placement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, **28**, 9, 889–902.
- Verbeek, H., Meyer, G., Leino-Kilpi, H., Zabalegui, A., Hallberg, I. R., Saks, K., Soto, M. E., Challis, D., Sauerland, D., Hamers, J. P. and RightTimePlaceCare Consortium 2012. A European study investigating patterns of transition from home care towards institutional dementia care: the protocol of a RightTimePlaceCare study. BMC Public Health, 23, 12, 68.
- Wimo, A., Ballard, C., Brayne, C., Gauthier, S., Handels, R. and Jones, R. W. 2014. Health economic evaluation of treatments for Alzheimer's disease: impact of new diagnostic criteria. Public Health, 30, 6, 501–10.
- Wübker, A., Zwakhalen, S. M. G., Challis, D., Karlsson, S., Zabalegui, A., Soto, M., Saks, K. and Sauerland, D. 2014. Costs of care for people with dementia just before and after nursing home placement: primary data from 8 European countries. European Journal of Health Economics, 16, 7, 689–707.
- Zabalegui, A., Bover, A., Rodriquez, E., Cabrera, E., Diaz, M., Gallart, A., González, A., Gual, P., Izquierdo, M. D., López, L., Pulpón, A. M. and Ramírez, A. 2008. Informal caregiving: perceived needs. Nursing Science Quarterly, 21, 2, 166–72.
- Zabalegui, A., Hamers, J. P. H., Karlsson, S., Leino-Kilpi, H., Renom-Guiteras, A., Saks, K., Soto, M., Sutcliffe, C. and Cabrera, E. 2014. Best practices interventions to improve quality of care of people with dementia living at home. Patient Education and Counselling, 95, 2, 175–84.
- Zarit, S. H., Reever, K. E. and Bach-Peterson, J. 1980. Relatives of the impaired elderly: correlates of feelings and burden. *Gerontologist*, **20**, 6, 649–55.

Accepted 3 August 2016; first published online 28 September 2016

Address for correspondence: Ester Risco. Department of Nursing, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Villarroel 170, o8o36 Barcelona, Spain

E-mail: erisco@clinic.ub.es