Trans-Zab Jewish Neo-Aramaic¹

Hezy Mutzafi

Tel Aviv University yehezmu@post.tau.ac.il

Abstract

The present article seeks to describe a major group of Jewish North-Eastern Neo-Aramaic (NENA) dialects located across the Great Zab river in the eastern and south-eastern parts of the dialectological map of NENA, hence the term "Trans-Zab Jewish Neo-Aramaic" ("Trans-Zab", for short) chosen for this dialect group. A large set of phonological, morphophonological, morphological and lexical innovations, shared by all members of this group, is presented. Each of the Trans-Zab features is compared with contrastive parallel features in other, selected NENA varieties. Finally, an internal classification of Trans-Zab into three subdivisions is proposed, based on a comparison of three respective paradigms of the positive present copula.

I. Introduction

North-Eastern Neo-Aramaic (NENA) is an extremely diverse group of Christian and Jewish Aramaic varieties exhibiting an intricate maze of crisscrossing isoglosses that render its subgrouping a very difficult task indeed. One major difficulty in this regard is distinguishing between shared innovations, which are the result of genetic inheritance from a common ancestor, and other common innovations which are irrelevant to genetic classification, being the result of parallel independent developments or areal diffusion across various dialect clusters.

An attempt at a comprehensive subgrouping of NENA would be, to my mind, premature at the present stage of imperfect knowledge of this group's

- 1 Note the following abbreviations: Ar. = Arabic; C. = Christian dialect of... (e.g. C. Urmi); intr. = intransitive; J. = Jewish dialect of... (e.g. J.Urmi); JBA = Jewish Babylonian Aramaic; JKS = J. Koy Sanjaq; K = Kurdish; tr. = transitive. For abbreviations related to Neo-Aramaic dialects see note 2.
- 2 The comparative data offered in this paper are only a part of the data taken into consideration, and are mostly gleaned from my informants. The following Neo-Aramaic dialects are referred to in the present contribution:
 - Christian NENA dialects in Turkey: Baz, B-Ţyare = Belatha-Ţyare, Beşpən, Bohtan, Dez, Hertevin, Jilu, K-Ṭyare = Ko-Ṭyare, Lgippa-Ṭyare, Lizən-Ṭyare, Marbishu, Marga, M-Tkhuma = Mazra-Tkhuma, Qurich (district of Bohtan), Rumta-Ṭyare, Sat, S-Ṭyare = Sarspidho-Ṭyare, Ṭal, Ṭyare dialect cluster (as a whole), Walto-Ṭyare, in Iraq: Alqosh, Ankawa, Aradhin, Barəṭle, Isnakh (district of Zakho), Koy Sanjaq, Nerwa, Qaraqosh, Shaqlawa, Telkepe; in Iran: Sanandaj = Senaya, Sardarid, Urmi.
 - Jewish NENA dialects In Iraq: Aradhin, Arbel, Atrush, Barzan and the Barzani dialect cluster as a whole, Betanure, Bəjil-Barzani, Challa Dobe,

dialectological map. Nonetheless, the comparative data at my disposal² indicate that one major dialect cluster which will almost certainly have to be considered in any classificatory model of the NENA spectrum of dialects is the group of Jewish Neo-Aramaic dialects located to the east and southeast of the Great Zab river, a tributary of the Tigris. I have described elsewhere some of the characteristics of this dialectal group, which I call "Trans-Zab Jewish Neo-Aramaic", or "Trans-Zab".³

The aim of this article is to elaborate on the hallmarks of the Trans-Zab group and to expand the knowledge of the bundle of innovations shared by its members vis-à-vis the limited number of features discussed in previous works. Of these innovations, the most pertinent for the establishment of Trans-Zab as a distinct group within NENA are related to morphology, which is commonly regarded as the most significant linguistic area for genetic classification.

The proposed Trans-Zab group comprises the Jewish Neo-Aramaic dialects that were, until the exodus of their speakers to Israel (mainly in the 1950s), spoken in the eastern and south-eastern peripheries of the NENA-speaking area, to be precise in the Iranian province of Western Azerbaijan and adjacent areas across the Turkish border, in Iranian Kurdistan and down to Kerend in the province of Kermanshah, in the Iraqi Kurdish provinces of Sulemaniyya and Arbel (Irbil) and, further south, in the area of Khanaqin, a town with both Arabic- and Aramaic-speaking Jews. With just one exception these dialects were located across the Great Zab – the exception is the dialect of Başkale in Turkey, situated just ten kilometres west of the river. In addition, the dialect of Dobe and nearby villages in the province of Arbel, around twenty kilometres south-east of 'Aqra straddles both banks of the Great Zab.⁴

The Trans-Zab group can be clearly distinguished in many respects from all other NENA dialects, both Christian and Jewish. The non-Trans-Zab Jewish dialects were mostly spoken west of the Great Zab and include Lishana Deni dialects,⁵ the Barzani dialect-cluster⁶ and the dialect of Sandu, c. 30 km north-west of Barzan in Iraq.⁷

Halabja, Koy Sanjaq, Nerwa, Rustaqa, Rwanduz, Sandu, Shahe-Barzani, Shaqlawa, Sulemaniyya, Zakho; in Iran: Bijar, J.Az. = Jewish Azerbaijan dialect cluster, Kerend, Naghada-J.Az., Ṣablagh-J.Az., Sanandaj, Saqəz, Shəno-J.Az., Urmi-J.Az.

³ See Mutzafi (2000: 6–11; 2004a: 9–10).

⁴ Additionally, the map in Garbell (1965a: 12) includes within the boundaries of J.Az. a subgroup of Trans-Zab, the Turkish city of Van some 80 km west of the Great Zab. This city is not, however, included elsewhere in Garbell's accounts of J.Az. speaking communities, viz. in Garbell (1964: 86; 1965a: 13; 1965b: 159), nor is Van mentioned in Ben-Yaacob (1981). According to my informants there was no indigenous Neo-Aramaic-speaking Jewish community in Van, only a few Aramaic-speaking Jewish merchants who hailed from Başkale.

⁵ See Muztafi (2002a: 480–81).

⁶ See Mutzafi (2002b; 2004c).

⁷ I intend to dedicate a separate article to a grammatical sketch of this dialect. For some features of Sandu see Mutzafi (2004b: 260–62).

It appears that the Jewish dialects of NENA evince a major split between Trans-Zab and Lishana Deni; the latter was spoken almost exclusively to the west of the Great Zab and can therefore be called Cis-Zab, whereas Barzani and Sandu, which might be classified together as a loose Central-Zab group, are transitional dialects sandwiched between the two major groups. Trans-Zab is the most innovative of these groups, Central-Zab is less progressive and Cis-Zab is the most conservative.

The distinctive bundle of innovations shared by the regional idioms included in the Trans-Zab group will be presented in section II below. It should be noted that a few of these innovations also occur in neighbouring and other dialects, but it is their unique co-occurrence which justifies the label "Trans-Zab". In other words, not every innovation is unique, but the whole set of innovations is. Furthermore, the rather small number of Trans-Zab innovations found in other NENA varieties can be readily explained as parallel developments or cases of areal diffusion through dialect contact, as will be shown below. As for areal features, only those that are likely to have emerged in Trans-Zab and then spread to contiguous zones, as well as distinctive Trans-Zab innovations that have diffused exclusively within Trans-Zab boundaries, are included in the following outline. Innovations that spread from an unknown source across large NENA territories, including Trans-Zab, such as the III-y plural imperative suffix -mun⁸ (and its dialectal variants -mən, -mu, -mux) and the loss of the verbal stem derived from pa"el,9 have been excluded from the following inventory of Trans-Zab features.

II. Trans-Zab innovations

1. *d, *t > l

Trans-Zab Jewish Neo-Aramaic exhibits a shift of the Proto-NENA interdentals *d and *t to the lateral consonant l, as in the case of *'idātā > *'ilāla > 'ilāle ''hands'' in Arbel, Rustqa, Kerend and some other dialects of this group. There are, however, quite a few exceptions to this rule, as specified below. The fact that in J.Az., and to a lesser extent in Rustaqa and Rwanduz, there are instances of *d, *t > d with no apparent phonetic motivation, e.g. in '-w-d ''to do'' (in J.Az. only), '-d-y ''to come'', in addition to conditioned cases (see §2 below), can be explained by postulating a Proto- or early Trans-Zab sound shift *t > *d, *lo viz. a merger of the two interdentals into a single phoneme l*dl, followed by a shift of the latter to l

⁸ Notes on transcription: the vowels i, e, e, o and \ddot{u} are as a rule half long to long in open syllables and short in closed syllables. Vowel length is marked only for long \bar{a} versus short a and long \bar{u} versus short u and for cases of long e and o in closed syllables. Stress is penultimate unless otherwise indicated. Superscript $^+$ preceding a word indicates word-emphasis. The transcription of words cited from bibliographical sources is adapted to the method used here.

⁹ For these two areal features see Mutzafi (2004a: 12; 2004b: 259–60).

¹⁰ This shift has occurred independently in C.Nerwa – see \$1.2 below.

alongside a rather marginal shift of the merged phoneme /*d/ to d. The shift to *l* seems to have occurred after the dialectal fragmentation of Trans-Zab, and to have spread as an areal feature across these dialects. It may have first evolved as an internal independent development in one of the areas where its effect is the most extensive, possibly in areas south of Rwanduz within the province of Arbel, whence it gradually spread all over Jewish Trans-Zab territory, yet petered out to some extent on its course northward, especially in Iranian Azerbaijan where *d has shifted to d in more cases than anywhere else in Trans-Zab.11

1.1. *d > l

The interdental d has generally shifted to l, e.g. in *'ed \bar{a} > 'elá "festival, holiday" and *r-q-d > r-q-l "to dance". Consider the following comparison of Trans-Zab to a number of selected other NENA varieties:

Alqosh	Sat	J.Zakho	Trans-Zab	Gloss
'eda	'eda	'eza	'elá	festival
r-q-d	r- q - d	r-q-z	r- q - l	to dance

1.2 *t > l

The interdental t has generally shifted to l, quite possibly through an intermediate *d as suggested above. Compare the words for "village" $(*m\bar{a}t\bar{a})$ and "house" $(*bayt\bar{a})$ in the following selected NENA varieties versus Trans-Zab:

Halmun	B-Ţyare	Sat	C.Nerwa ¹²	J.Nerwa	Shahe	Trans-Zab	Gloss
māta	māta	māta	māda	māsa	mā(ha)	mālá	village
bayta	bayša	bayta	bedā	besa	$beya^{13}$	belá	house

- 11 For a different explanation for the origin of the Trans-Zab shift of interdentals to l, namely the possibility that it occurred by the influence of the Kurdish dialect of Mukri in the southern parts of Iranian Azerbaijan, see Kapeliuk (1997: 541–2; 2004: 179–80). It is, rather, at least equally possible, if not more plausible, that the shift in question emerged somewhere outside Iranian Azerbaijan, a region which might have been the latest to be affected by this shift and where its diffusion was checked by the largest number of conditioned and unconditioned cases of *d > d in Trans-Zab. Conversely, it could be that Mukri Kurdish was influenced by Trans-Zab (see Khan 1999: 32). Note, moreover, that a change of *d to l has occurred independently, albeit as a singular, word-specific development, also in certain NENA dialects west of the Great Zab: in Baz, +'úġdāle "one another" and similar forms in closely related dialects such as Jilu + ('3) ġdāla compared with Tyare 'àxdāde, Barətle ġdāde, and in Telkepe məjlāfa "oar" < Ar. mijdāf. Additional parallel cases in other languages include, e.g., the Pashto regular shifts *d > *d > l and initial and intervocalic *t > l (see Skjærvø 1989: 385–6, 403), as well as sporadic or singular cases in other languages, e.g. Jewish Baghdadi Ar. hākada > hekəd ~ hekəl (Blanc 1964: 140), Gk. 'adamas > Ar. 'almās "diamond".
- 12 See Talay (2002: 6).
- 13 The direct forerunner of the form beya is assumed to be *beha.

2. *d, *t > d

There are a few cases in Trans-Zab where $*\underline{d}$ and $*\underline{t}$ shifted to d rather than to l, chiefly in the vicinity of an alveolar sonorant l, r or n. This irregularity may have occurred either by partial assimilation of an interdental $*\underline{d}$ or $*\underline{t}$ to an alveolar l, r or n, yielding an alveolar d, or due to the dissimilatory impact of the sonorant consonant l, r or n, viz. avoidance of two adjacent alveolar sonorants -l as a reflex of $*\underline{d}$ or $*\underline{t}$ following or preceding another alveolar sonorant.

2.1. *d > d

The following are selected instances of the conditioned shift *d > d near l, r or n in Trans-Zab along with the precursors of the Trans-Zab forms represented by the Ko dialect of Tyare (K-Tyare):

K-Ţyare	Trans-Zab14	Gloss
g-d-l	g-d-l	to intertwine, braid
g-r-d	g-r-d	to scrape, grate
p - r - \bar{d}	p-r-d	to elude (sleep) ¹⁵
kodənta	kodəntá	mule ¹⁶

In two other cases the reason for the aberrant shift *d > d is possibly assimilation of d to an adjacent d: the genitive particle did- "of" in Trans-Zab (and in many other NENA dialects) compared with did- in some Tyare dialects and in Turoyo; and didwa "fly" in Arbel, JKS, Rustaqa and Rwanduz, as well as J.Az. dodwa "flies" (sg. dodwa), compared with Tyare didwa, Algosh dodwa.

In addition, there are several cases where the shift $*\underline{d} > d$ appears to be unconditioned: $*\underline{h}\underline{a}\underline{d}y\bar{a} > x\partial dy\hat{a}$ "breast" in J.Az., Rustaqa and Rwanduz, $*\underline{g}\underline{a}\underline{d}y\bar{a} > g\partial dy\hat{a}$ "kid" in literary JKS and literary J.Az., ¹⁷ and five more cases in J.Az. that are specified below with comparisons to other dialects:

- 14 Some Trans-Zab dialects have lost one or two of these lexemes; and in some of these dialects, mainly in Iranian Kurdistan, the consonant (*d>)d in the verbs g-r-d and p-r-d and in kod-nth has been further retracted and became z, viz. g-r-z, p-r-z, koz-nth (cf. $*gudd\bar{a} > *g\bar{u}d\acute{a} > g\bar{u}z\acute{a}$ "wall" in these dialects, among other cases of *d>z).
- 15 As in JKS *šəndi pridla*, J.Sulemaniyya *šəndi prida* "sleep eluded me", and similarly in other Trans-Zab dialects.
- 16 In Tyare *kodənta* "she-mule", *kawədna* [sic] "he-mule". Likewise as regards *kodəntá*, *kodnā* in the Trans-Zab dialect of Rustaqa.
- 17 The irregular d in $x ext{-} y ext{-} a$ and $g ext{-} y ext{-} d$ might be related to contact with y (partial assimilation), but compare the parallels $x ext{-} y ext{-} d$, a euphemism for $mamon\acute{a}$ "breast", in JKS and $g ext{-} y ext{-} d$ "kid" in Bijar Trans-Zab, as well as * $\dot{s} ext{-} d ext{-} y ext{-} Trans-Zab \, \dot{s} ext{-} l ext{-} y$ "to throw at; sow; card (depending on dialect)" and * $\dot{h} ext{-} d ext{-} y ext{-} Y$ "to rejoice" (literary J.Az. and literary Rwanduz; JKS in hendiadys with $\dot{p} ext{-} \dot{y} ext{-} x ext{-} u ext{-} u ext{-} v ext{-} v$ "id."), JKS $x ext{-} y ext{-} u ext{-} u ext{-} v ext{-} u ext{-} v ext{-}$

Betanure	J.Az.	Arbel	Gloss
`-w-d	`-w-d	`-w-l	to do
`ida ¯	'idá	'ilá	hand
hūdāya	+hudāá	hulāá	Jew
d -' $\partial x de^{18}$	⁺dəġde	dəxle	one another
$^{\circ}$ ə $dmar{a}^{19}$	'ədmá	'ilmá	husband's
-			brother

2.2. *t > d

This sound change, or rather $\underline{t} > \underline{t} > d$, has regularly occurred after l, r or n. In the following examples of this change the precursor forms of Trans-Zab words are represented by the dialect-cluster of Tyare:

Ţyare	Trans-Zab	Gloss
`arməlta	'arməldá	widow
<u>r-t-x</u>	r- d - x , $+$ r - d - x	to boil (intr.)
arta	'ardá	co-wife
šən <u>t</u> a	šəndá ²⁰	sleep (noun)

3. *t > h and related cases

In a small number of lexical items t shifted to h in Trans-Zab, primarily preceding r or r. At a later stage \bar{h} was elided in most cases, as in the following examples:

Ţyare	Trans-Zab	Gloss
l-batṛa	bahra, bāra	back, backwards ²¹
kamətra	kamerá	pear (Tyare: wild pear)
kawətra ²²	korá	lunch (Tyare), noon (Trans-Zab)

In a few cases h resulting from \underline{t} has become in at least some of the Trans-Zab dialects a pharyngeal \underline{h} by partial assimilation to a following pharyngealized l or r:

- 18 < *d-' $\acute{o}xd\bar{a}de$, as in Tyare.
- 19 $< *yabm\bar{a}$, as in Syriac.
- 20 In some Trans-Zab dialects of Iranian Kurdistan * \check{s} ənd $\acute{a} > \check{s}$ ən \acute{a} or \check{s} na "sleep, dream", with elision of d.
- 21 In some Trans-Zab dialects also "afterwards, behind, the following (day, night, week, etc.)" or some of these meanings. The form *bahra* is found in literary Saqəz (see Brukhim 1985: 58/10), and in eighteenth- and late nineteenth-century J.Az. (see Sabar 2004: 106, no. 32; Duval 1883: 142/21). Additional Trans-Zab words related to the same etymon are *bāṭar > bār "after" (in literary Rwanduz still bahrew ~ bārew "after him", see Rivlin 1958: part III: 5) and *baṭru (cf. 'Ankawa baṭru) > Trans-Zab dialects bahru, bahro, bāro "the day after tomorrow".
- 22 J.Az. and most Iraqi Trans-Zab dialects have lost the reflex of *kawətra. For the etymology of this word cf. late Syriac kuttārā "midday meal" (Margoliouth 1927: 174b), in earlier Syriac denoting "awaiting, duration".

Ţyare	Trans-Zab ²³	Gloss
ţļāta	tlāhá, †tāhá, tlaḥá, təlḥá ²⁴	three
'a <u>t</u> ṛa	+`ahrá, `aḥrá ²⁵	country (Ţyare), town (Trans-Zab)
n- <u>t</u> -ṛ	* $n-h-r>^+n-x-r$, $n-x-r$	to fall off (leaves, fruit, hair)

Another such case, restricted to the Trans-Zab dialects of Iranian Kurdistan and bordering Iraqi towns, is *latmal > *lahmal > *lahmal > lahmal in other Trans-Zab dialects.²⁶

4. Penultimate > ultimate stress

Unlike all other known NENA dialects, including the most archaizing among them, e.g. Qaraqosh, Alqosh and Tyare, where word stress is generally penultimate in nominal forms, Trans-Zab exhibits a shift of word stress from the penultimate to the ultimate syllable in the nominal system (with some exceptions, chiefly adverbs), most probably due to the influence of Kurdish and (in J.Az.) also of Azerbaijani.²⁷ It is plausible that this feature developed independently in various Trans-Zab dialects under adstratal influence, but a Proto-Trans-Zab legacy is equally possible.

That the stress in the nominal system was formerly penultimate is evident from the fact that in the Trans-Zab group pretonic vowels are as a rule long in nominal forms, retaining the length inherited from the period of penultimate stress, e.g. in the word $n\bar{a}s\dot{a}$ "man, person", where the length of the first vowel bears witness to its being formerly stressed. The historical changes of stress and vowel length in this word can be reconstructed as * $n\bar{a}s\dot{a}$ > retraction of stress and subsequent shortening of the final vowel: $n\dot{a}sa$ reconstructible for Proto-NENA and occurring throughout NENA except Trans-Zab > shift of stress to the ultima: $n\bar{a}s\dot{a}$ in Trans-Zab.

5. $w > f I_{-ta}$

In Trans-Zab the consonant w has shifted to f by partial assimilation to a following t of the feminine suffix -ta. This feature is manifested mostly in feminine nouns and adjectives synchronically derived from masculine forms, as in the following cases:

- 24 It should be noted that the change *tlata > tlata is found in various NENA dialects and dialect-clusters, as far from Trans-Zab as J.Zakho, and has apparently spread as an areal feature, possibly from several foci.
- 25 Most Iraqi Trans-Zab dialects have lost the reflex of *'atra.
- 26 Cf. also Trans-Zab * $nh\bar{a}t\bar{a} > nh\bar{a}la$ "ear" according to the process specified below (feature no. 19); and see features no. 7 and 8 below for further cases of *t > h.
- 27 Cf. Garbell (1965b: 170).

Masculine form	Derived feminine form	Gloss
ganāwá	ganaftá	'thief'
šwāwá	šwaftá	'neighbour'
qliwá	qliftá, J.Az. qləftá	'clean'
xriwá	xriftá, J.Az. xrəftá	'bad, ruined'

Thus w and f reflect a morphophonemic alternation between masculine and derived feminine forms. Related cases are Trans-Zab * $k\underline{t}$ iwta > kliftá, J.Az. klftá "amulet" (see the comparative table below), *quwat (< K < Ar.) > *qytable "strength" in most Trans-Zab dialects and *nawta > J.Sulemaniyya, J.Az. naftá "nit" (pl. nawé).

Note that the change w > f is manifested only before the feminine ending -ta. Furthermore, in Koy Sanjaq, Rustaqa and Dobe we find $nawt\acute{a}$ "nit" rather than $naft\acute{a}$, apparently since this word is synchronically not derived from * $n\bar{a}w\acute{a}$. It follows that the change of w to f is not entirely predictable on the phonological level, for morphophonemic considerations are required for the formulation of the rule governing this change.²⁸

No such trait occurs in other Neo-Aramaic dialects, e.g. in the NENA dialects compared with Trans-Zab below:

B-Ṭyare	M-Tkhuma	J.Aradhin	J.Zakho	Trans-Zab	Gloss
genawta	genota	ganawta	ganota	ganaftá	thief (f.)
ktiwta	k <u>t</u> iwta	ktūta	ksūta	kliftá, kləftá	amulet

6. III-y feminine participle pattern * $CCit\bar{a} > CCit\acute{a}$

In Trans-Zab * \underline{t} that is part of a feminine suffix in the III-y participle form * $CCi\underline{t}\bar{a}$ does not shift to l but to t, e.g. in $qrit\acute{a}$ "having read", $ksit\acute{a}$ "covered" (versus the regular sound shift in the noun * $kussit\bar{a} > ksil\acute{a}$ "hat; skullcap"). The reason for this aberrancy is, in all likelihood, analogy with t in *III-' feminine participle forms of the pattern *CCi' $t\bar{a} > CCita$, e.g. * $smit\acute{a}$ "having heard", $zrit\acute{a}$ "sown", $grit\acute{a}$ "shaven". Compare the following feminine participle forms of historical III-y verbs as against original III-' ones in Sarspidho Tyare (S-Tyare), where the distinction between \underline{t} and t is retained:

²⁸ It is, therefore, doubtful whether f in this position could be treated as a mere allophone of /w/ and be ignored even in a broad phonemic transcription as, e.g., in Garbell (1965a: 306, 326) ganawa, ganawta "thief", qliwa, f. -wta "clean". I would opt for a narrower transcription and represent /f/ wherever it occurs, be it "original" or stemming from /w/. Note, moreover, that in Trans-Zab liturgical and other native texts f stemming from w is usually rendered with the Hebrew letter \mathfrak{D} , e.g. Şablagh קלפְּהָה $/ \sqrt{gliphia}$ "clean" (Idelsohn 1913: 324–5), Rwanduz הַּחָּשׁׁרָנְּבָּׁהְ $/ \sqrt{gliphia}$ "bad" (Rivlin 1958: part B, Jonas 3: 10), Saqəz שְּׁשִׁרְנָּבְּׁהָ "neighbour" (Brukhim 2002: 260) and J.Az. $/ \sqrt{gliphia}$ "amulet" (Ben-Rahamim 2006: 130–5 et passim).

S-Tyare f. sg. participle forms:

III-y	:	*111-`	Gloss	
blita	:	blita	worn (out):	swallowed
șw <u>it</u> a	:	<i>șwita</i>	stiff:	dyed
7.		1 . 20	1	1 1

xdita: $xdita^{29}$ happy: wrapped, bound

 $x\overline{lita}$: $x\overline{lita}^{30}$ sweet: dislocated (bone), sprained

Thus the distinction between original III-y and III-' feminine participles, still retained *inter alia* in Tyare, is no longer in existence in Trans-Zab, where both historical categories uniformly end in *-ita*.

The same analogy has occurred in the Jewish Neo-Aramaic varieties of Barzani and Sandu, quite possibly due to the influence of neighbouring Trans-Zab. Thus, for instance, we find in these dialects the form *xlita* "sweet" instead of expected (**xliha* >) *xliya* in Barzani and *xlisa* in Sandu.

7. Plural ending -awāé and related forms

The plural ending $-aw\bar{a}\underline{t}a$, attested in conservative NENA dialects such as Tyare, Alqosh and Betanure, has changed to *- $aw\bar{a}ha$ (cf. Baz -awaha) > *- $aw\bar{a}he$ by analogy with the pl. ending -e > Iranian Kurdistan $-aw\bar{a}e$ > J.Sulemaniyya and Ḥalabja $-aw\bar{a}ye^{31}$ > -awe, $-\bar{a}we$ in other Trans-Zab dialects (in J.Urmi $-\bar{a}ve$). The Proto-Trans-Zab form can be reconstructed as *- $aw\bar{a}he$ or *- $aw\bar{a}e$. Compare the pl. forms of lele "night" in the following dialects:

	Trans-Zab re	presentati	ve dialects			
Betanure	Shahe	Bəjil	J.Sanandaj	Ḥalabja	Dobe	Gloss
lelawāta	lelawā(h)e	lelawāe	lelawāé	lelawāyé	lelawé	nights

The occurrence of the forms $-aw\bar{a}(h)e$ and $-aw\bar{a}e$ in Shahe and Bəjil, respectively, may well be a result of an areal diffusion from neighbouring Trans-Zab.

8. Plural ending -ve

Trans-Zab exhibits a unique plural ending -ye, corresponding to nouns with the sg.f. ending -ta. This plural ending is the result of the following process: $*-y\bar{a}\underline{t}a > *-y\bar{a}ha > *-y\bar{a}he$ by analogy with the pl. ending -e > $*-y\bar{a}e > -ye$. Compare the plural forms of xmarta "she-ass" in the following dialects:

Betanure	Sat	Shahe	Bəjil	Trans-Zab	Gloss
xmaryāta	xmarvāte	$xmary\bar{a}(h)e$	xmarvāe	xmarvé	she-asses

9. 'o "he, she"

Contemporary Trans-Zab dialects have all lost gender distinction between the 3sg.m. and 3sg.f. independent pronouns, manifested in archaizing

- 29 < Proto-NENA *x-d-', reconstructed on the basis of cognates such as x-d-', x-d-' and x-z-' in various descendent dialects. The etymology of *x-d-' is unknown, yet the nature of the last two radicals points to a Semitic background, and the verbal root in question, not known to exist in any language but NENA, is most probably inherited from the lexis of earlier Aramaic layers.
- 30 < Arabic x-l- $^{\circ}$.
- 31 See Khan (2004: 187).

NENA varieties (e.g. Qaraqosh, B-Ţyare) by the forms 'āhu and 'āhi, respectively.³² Unlike all other NENA dialects, in Trans-Zab the 3sg.m. independent pronoun (*'āhu >) 'o has been generalized as a 3sg.c. pronoun, ousting the 3sg.f. form.³³ The latter survives as 'āhi, 'āhin or 'ay in early and contemporary religious literature in some of the Trans-Zab dialects.³⁴ The following is a comparison of the 3sg. independent pronouns in contemporary Trans-Zab dialects and representative dialects of the rest of NENA:

Hertevin	Alqosh	J.Zakho	Challa	C.Nerwa ³⁵	Trans-Zab	Gloss
'āhи	`āw	'āwa	`āya	$\bar{a}w(u)$, 'o	'o	he
'āhi	`āy	`āya	'āya	$\bar{a}y(i)$	°o	she

It may well be that the collapse of gender distinction in the 3sg. independent pronoun was brought about by the influence of the parallel common gender in the neighbouring languages, in particular by the impact of the similar Kurdish 3sg.c. independent pronoun aw (written ew), ³⁶ and in the case of J.Az. mainly by the impact of the strikingly identical Azerbaijani parallel o.

The vestiges of the 3sg.f. independent pronoun $\dot{a}hi$ etc. in literary Trans-Zab indicate that the contemporary 3sg.c. pronoun \dot{o} might not be a shared innovation inherited from Proto-Trans-Zab but a result of an internal Trans-Zab areal diffusion of this feature that has spread to all dialects of the group.

10. 'oni "they"

In Trans-Zab the 3pl. independent pronoun ' $\bar{a}ni$, found in numerous NENA dialects, ³⁷ has been modified by analogy with its 3sg. (erstwhile 3sg. m.) counterpart 'o, thus: *' $\bar{a}ni$ > Arbel, Rustaqa, JKS, J.Sulemaniyya and other kindred dialects 'oni "they". ³⁸ The latter form itself underwent further changes in some Trans-Zab dialects, especially in Iran, e.g. in Naghada *'oni > ' $oyn\acute{e}$ "they, those" by analogy with ' $ayn\acute{e}$ "these", ³⁹ and in J.Urmi *' $oyn\acute{e}$ > ' $\bar{u}n\acute{e}$ "they, those" with a shift oy > \bar{u} typical of this dialect (cf. Naghada $h\acute{o}$ -yle "there he is" – J.Urmi ' \acute{u} -le "id.", Naghada * $\check{s}atoyle$ "he is drinking" – J.Urmi * $\check{s}at\bar{u}le$ "id."). ⁴⁰

The form 'oni occurs also in Bohtan (alongside 'anhan),⁴¹ but this is an independent development related to the vowel shift $*\bar{a} > o$ in this dialect.

- 32 For these forms see Hoberman (1988: 569; 1990: 84-5).
- 33 As already pointed out in Khan (1999: 8, d., 81) concerning Arbel and J.Az.
- 34 Thus one finds 'āhi and 'āhin in literary J.Az. (see, *inter alia*, Garbell (1965a: 296a), Sabar (2004: 101, no. 17), 'āhi in literary Saqəz (Brukhim 1985: 42/5, 47–8) and 'ay in literary JKS (Mutzafi 2004: 213a).
- 35 See Talay (2001: 10).
- 36 See Garbell (1965b: 175a), Hopkins (1991: 790).
- 37 This form may well be related to Ṭuroyo hāni "these", JBA הני, האני "these, those" and Syriac hānen "these ones.".
- 38 Cf. Hoberman (1990: 85), Khan (1999: 8, e).
- 39 Cf. J.Zakho 'anya "these" <? *'āni hā.
- 40 The phonetic mechanism of this shift is yet to be accounted for.
- 41 See Fox (2002: 168).

11. *nsiqale > ngsqale

In triradical strong verbs the erstwhile NENA pattern of the past inflectional base, *CCiC*, has the allomorph *C2CC*- in Trans-Zab when followed by an overt intra-conjugational object marker, viz. an object marker other than the 3sg.m. -\(\rho\)-, e.g. in the Jewish dialect of Koy Sanjaq (synchronic analysis of inflectional bases and affixes is given in parentheses):

Preterite	Preterite with object infix	
<i>nšiqle</i> (nšiq-le) "he kissed"	<i>nšiqle</i> (nšiq-ø-le)	"he kissed him"
	nəšqāle (nəšq-ā-le)	"he kissed her"
	nəšqile (nəšq-i-le)	"he kissed them"

The allomorph *CoCC*- has developed by analogy with the III-y preterite inflection with a feminine object infix. Compare the following forms in the Trans-Zab dialect of Koy Sanjaq with their parallel forms in Tyare as a representative of the NENA dialects which do not evince the "nəšqāle syndrome":

	Ţyare	JKS	
Triradical, strong	nšiqāle	nəšqāle	"he kissed her"
Triradical, III-y	xəzyāle	xəzyāle	"he saw her"

Thus in JKS and Trans-Zab as a whole the restructuring of the form $n\check{s}iq\bar{a}le$ "he kissed her" as $n\check{s}\check{q}\bar{a}le$ is by analogy with III-y forms such as $x\check{s}zy\bar{a}le$. The restructuring of the form taking a 3pl. object infix $-n\check{s}iqile > n\check{s}\check{q}ile$ "he kissed them" subsequently arose by analogy with $n\check{s}\check{q}\bar{a}le$.

In NENA dialects other than Trans-Zab the "nəšqāle syndrome" is known to occur in Barzani,⁴² Trans-Zab's closest Jewish Neo-Aramaic neighbour, apparently as a contact-induced innovation, and in the far-off dialect of Bespən as an independent development.⁴³

12. II-w and II-y 3sg.m. present patterns $C\bar{o}C$ and $C\bar{e}C$

In triradical II-w and II-y verbs the 3sg.m. forms of the present base $q\bar{a}t$ -all have the patterns $C\bar{o}C$ and $C\bar{e}C$, respectively, e.g. $l\bar{o}s$ "he wears", $x\bar{e}p$ "he bathes, washes (himself or someone else)". These monosyllabic patterns have arisen from the bisyllabic patterns $C\bar{a}w$ - ∂C and $C\bar{a}y$ - ∂C , e.g. $l\bar{a}w$ - ∂s , $x\bar{a}y$ - ∂s , preserved in the vast majority of NENA dialects, by analogy with the monosyllabic base in all the other forms of the paradigm, i.e. $l\bar{o}s$ by analogy with los- $\dot{e}t$ (< *law- $\dot{s}et$) "you*law-la

⁴² See Mutzafi (2002b: 65).

⁴³ See Sinha (2000: 142, 8.5.3), where the change *nšiqāle* > *nošqāle* is explained as a result of metathesis. I would rather ascribe this change in Beşpən to the same analogy that has occurred in Trans-Zab.

Atrush	Baz	Dez	C.Nerwa44	Sardarid ⁴⁵	Trans-Zab
lāwəš	lāwəš	lāwəš	loše	lāvəš ∼ lošə	$l\bar{o}\check{s}$
хāуәр	хāр	хēр	хере	$x\bar{a}y$ ə $p\sim x\bar{e}p$ ə	$x\bar{e}p^{46}$
				$\sim x\bar{a}p$	

It should be stressed that the forms *lāwəš* and *xāyəp* are overwhelmingly retained in non-Trans-Zab NENA varieties, even though only one representative dialect (Atrush) evinces these forms in the foregoing table.

13. hol "give!"

Whereas in all other known NENA dialects the sg. imperative form of the verb "to give" is hal (<*habl-), in Trans-Zab this form has changed to hol by analogy with the vowel o in the sg. imperative form of strong verbs and verbs with a strong final radical such as $gro\check{s}$ "pull!", xol "eat!" and $ko\check{s}$ "go down!".

In a considerable number of Trans-Zab dialects the vowel o of the imperative underwent a rising to u at some point after the innovation hal > hol had taken place, e.g. J.Az. $gru\breve{s}$, xul, $ku\breve{s}$, yet the form hol remains with the vowel o unaltered. A sole exception is the dialect of Rustaqa, where the form hol changed to wul by analogy with u in imperative forms such as xul "eat!" and with w as in the preterite wolle "he gave".

14. Restricted indicative prefix k^{-47}

In Trans-Zab the indicative prefix k- (and its allomorph g-, in some dialects also \check{c} -), which is attached to verbal forms that are derived from the Present base has been elided in all but a restricted class of triradical verbs with a weak first radical. Thus most inflections of the Present base have lost the distinction between jussive-subjunctive and indicative moods. Compare, for instance, J.Zakho \check{saqal} "that he take, he may take", \check{ksaqal} "he takes", \check{saq} "that he take, he may have taken", $\check{ksaqalwa}$ "he used to take" with JKS $\check{saqalwa}$ "that he take, he may take, he takes, he will take", $\check{saqalwa}$ "he may have taken; he used to take".

All Trans-Zab dialects share a small set of nine *k*-prefix verbs: '-*b*-*y* "to want", '-*l*-*y* (or a related form) "to come", '-*m*-*r* "to say", '-*x*-*l* "to eat", '-*w*-*l* or '-*w*-*d* "to do", '-*z*-*l* "to go", *h*-*w*-*l* "to give", *h*-*w*-*y* "to be" and *y*-'-*l* (or a related form) "to know". Several Trans-Zab dialects also retain the prefix *k*-with the verbs '-*m*-*y* "to bring" and '-*w*-*r* "to enter", or in one of these verbs.

The class of k-prefix verbs is demonstrated below by 3sg.m. jussivesubjunctive and indicative forms in two Trans-Zab dialects, JKS with a full range of eleven k-prefix verbs and J.Urmi with ten k-prefix verbs, its verb corresponding to JKS '-m-y "to bring" being the non-k-prefix verb m-d-y:

⁴⁴ See Talay (2001: 22). The final e may occasionally be elided, as in $p\bar{e}s$ (< pese) "he remains", $\bar{o}d$ (< ode) "he might do"; see Talay (2002: 131, no. 14; 137, no. 15).

⁴⁵ See Younansardaroud (2001: 104–08). Some Sardarid II-y verbs have only one or two of the three alternating patterns (ibid.).

⁴⁶ The verbal root *x-y-p* is absent from a few Trans-Zab dialects, but the pattern is unexceptionally $C\bar{e}C$ throughout Trans-Zab.

⁴⁷ For a detailed discussion of this phenomenon see Heinrichs (2002: 243 ff.).

JKS J.Urmi	"to want" ' <i>abé</i> , <i>gbe</i> ' <i>ābé</i> , <i>gbe</i>	"to come" 'alé ~ 'e, ke 'ādé, gde	"to say" 'amér, kmər 'āmér, kmər	"to bring" 'ame, kme (mādé, mādé)
JKS J.Urmi	"to enter" '\bar{o}r, g\bar{o}r \text{"\bar{o}}r, \text{"\bar{g}\bar{o}}r	"to eat" 'axə́l, kxəl 'āxə́l, kxəl	"to do" 'ōl, gōl 'ōd, gōd	"to go" 'ezél, gezél 'ezél, gezél
JKS J.Urmi	"to give" hawəl, kav hāvəl, kvə	w <mark>ól hawé, ka</mark>		!

No other verb with an initial ', h or y preserves the prefix k- (or its allomorph) in Trans-Zab. Thus, for instance, the verb '-s-r, in J.Az. 'y-s-r (< אסר) "to tie, bind" behaves like a strong verb and does not take a k-prefix.

The restriction of k- to certain weak first radical verbs occurs also in C. Sanandaj (Senaya),⁴⁸ a dialect so profoundly different from Trans-Zab in numerous respects that a common Trans-Zab-Senaya genetic background must be ruled out. The occurrence of restricted k- in both Trans-Zab and Senaya, a tiny Christian enclave in the midst of Jewish Trans-Zab territory is, rather, an areal feature probably radiating from a co-territorial Jewish dialect(s) to the Christian one. As is typical of diffusional features, Trans-Zab and Senaya share the general rule of k- restriction, but are set apart by many idiosyncratic details concerning this rule.⁴⁹

A more radical type of *k*-restriction, clearly unrelated to Trans-Zab, is attested in the north-western fringes of NENA. In the dialect of Hertevin and the nearby dialect of Bohtan the present-tense marker *ke*- is restricted to the verb "to want", e.g. *kep'e* "he wants" in Hertevin⁵⁰ and *kebe* (alongside '*ibe*') "id." in Bohtan.⁵¹

15. 1pl. "enclitic" verbal ending *-ax > -ex

The 1pl. verbal inflectional ending -ax, which is in origin an enclitic derivative of 'axnan "we" and is preserved as such in many NENA dialects, has changed to -ex in Trans-Zab, as in *našqax > našqéx "we kiss". 52 This

- 48 See ibid.
- 49 Compare, for instance, the common Trans-Zab forms of the verb "to want" 'abé (in some dialects habé), gbe with their Senaya parallels bāye, kebe, or compare Trans-Zab 'ezél (in some dialects hezél), gezél with Senaya 'āzel, kāzel. (See the list of Senaya k-prefix verbs in Heinrichs 2002: 243.) There are some dissimilarities concerning k-prefix verbs within Trans-Zab itself, which may allude to an early diffusional spread of k-restriction within this group, but the disparities between Trans-Zab and Senaya are much more pronounced.
- 50 See Jastrow (1988: 39, 54, 206).
- 51 I am grateful to S. E. Fox for these data.
- 52 In certain Trans-Zab dialects the form -ex has a longer free allomorph: -exən in JKS (našqéx ~ našqexən), -exin in Iranian Kurdistan (našqéx ~ našqexin) and -exa in J. Az. (našqéx ~ našqexa). The augmentative elements -ən, -in are of obscure origin, whereas the a in the longer form -exa has arisen by analogy with other forms in the paradigm with a longer allomorph ending in a, such as the 1sg.m. present suffix -ena; see the paradigm in Garbell (1965a: 59).

change has in all likelihood arisen by analogy with the vowel e of the 1sg. m., 2sg.m. and 2pl. "enclitic" endings -en(a), -et and -etun, which originally belonged to III-y verbs, as in xazén(a) "Im see", xazét "yousem see", xazetun "you^{pl} see", and later spread to all other verbs (in some Trans-Zab dialects still našogna "I^{m.} kiss" vs. xazena "I^{m.} see").

The same ending -ex is characteristic also of the dialect-cluster of Tvare (at least as regards the dialects of Walto, Rumta, Belatha, Ko, Lgappa and Lizən), no doubt as an independent innovation. This Tyare ending was probably spawned by analogy with the 1sg.m. "enclitic" ending -ena. 53

16. 3pl. "enclitic" ending -eni in III-y verbs

Contrary to all other known NENA dialects, the Trans-Zab group preserves the consonant n of the "enclitic" 3pl inflectional ending of III-y verbs. The most primitive form of this ending in Trans-Zab is still preserved as -en in certain dialects, e.g. J. Sanandaj matén "they arrive", mten "they arrived", and reflects the form *-ayn as is evident from classical Aramaic 3pl.m. participial forms such as Biblical Aramaic שרִין "(they) see" and שרֵין "loose".

The oldest Trans-Zab reflex of *-avn, the form -en, has expanded to -eni by analogy with the parallel ending -i of strong and other verbs such as palxi "they open", ganwi "they steal". In some Trans-Zab dialects, mostly in Iranian Kurdistan, the innovation -eni is an allomorph of the erstwhile form -en, for instance J.Sanandaj exhibits -eni preceding an l-suffix, e.g. in šténile "he drank them" and -en elsewhere, e.g. in šatén "they drink", šténwale "he had drunk them". In addition, J.Urmi exhibits the freely interchangeable allomorphs -eni \sim -e, and an allomorph -i- as an object infix in past base inflections (see the table below). The distribution of -eni and the nature of its allomorphs are, therefore, dialectally-conditioned, as is illustrated by inflections of q-l-y "to fry; roast seeds (tr., intr.)" in four selected Trans-Zab varieties preceded by reconstructed pre-Trans-Zab forms:

	Sananda	ıj Ḥalabja	JKS	Urmi	Gloss
*qālayn	qalén	qalén(i)	qaleni	qālé(ni)	they fried
*qlayn	qlen	qlén(i)	qə́leni	qlé(ni)	they became fried ⁵⁴
*qlayn-leh	qlénile	qlénile	qlénile	qəlyile ⁵⁵	he fried them

The variegated distribution of the innovation -eni across Trans-Zab dialects points to its being an areal feature rather than a shared inheritance from a common ancestor. The form -en was possibly augmented with i first in the Inter-Zab region, viz. between the Great

⁵³ The common 1sg.m. "enclitic" ending in Tyare is -in, yet in at least one of these dialects, S-Tyare, it has an alternant -ena, which is the earliest reconstructible Tyare form of the 1sg.m. "enclitic" ending (originally an III-y form as in xāzena "I see"), and is attested in Maclean (1895: 81). Similar to the ending -ex is the parallel form -ax in some NENA dialects, e.g. Bohtan (Fox (2002: 173) and Algosh, yet this change of *-ax to -ax is probably phonetic and has nothing to do with the analogy that occurred in Trans-Zab.

⁵⁴ In JKS and J.Urmi: "they have become fried".

⁵⁵ By analogy with *qəlyāle* "he fried it^f." and strong verbs such as *nəšqile* "he kissed them".

and Little Zab, where -en has been completely replaced by -eni in all dialects (Arbel, Koy Sanjaq, Rwanduz, etc.). Thence -eni spread to the rest of Trans-Zab, but did not supplant -en in a considerable number of dialects, primarily in Iran, where -en, or its reduced J.Urmi form -e, is preserved alongside the allomorph -eni.

Contrary to the above Trans-Zab forms, in all other NENA varieties the parallel ending has either undergone an elision of n, mostly coupled with monophthongization, or – in many dialects – has been replaced by the ending -i or -iy of strong verbs. Compare the 3pl. present-base inflection of the verb \dot{s} -t-y "to drink" between Trans-Zab and a few other selected NENA varieties:

ṬalAlqoshAtrushC.AradhinSatC.UrmiTrans-Zab $\check{s}\bar{a}tay$ $\check{s}\bar{a}t\epsilon$ $\check{s}\bar{a}t\epsilon$ $\check{s}\bar{a}t\epsilon$ $\check{s}\bar{a}ti$ $\check{s}\bar{a}tiy$ $\check{s}ateni$, $\check{s}ateni$, $\check{s}ate(ni)$

17. Infix -mn- (and variants) in numerals

In Trans-Zab cardinal numerals with pronominal suffixes meaning "the x number of us, you, them" or "all of us, you, them" take an infix originating from the preposition mən "from, of": -mn- in J.Az., -nn- in Iraqi Trans-Zab dialects and -n- in Iranian Kurdistan. The numeral tre "two" has the allomorph tur-, tər- or tun- according to dialect and the infix in question is reduced to -n-. In addition, in Trans-Zab dialects of Iranian Kurdistan the forms tərnan "both of us", tərnaxun "both of you" and tərnu "both of them" occasionally take a prefix har, of Kurdish origin, and the base changes to tn-, e.g. J.Sanandaj tərnu ~ hartnu.

These constructions are unknown in other NENA dialects except for forms such as *turnu* and *hatru* "both of them", which are areally shared with a few Christian enclaves located in Trans-Zab territory, e.g. in C.Shaqlawa and C.Sanandaj. Consider the following forms of the cardinals *tre* "two" and *xamša* "five" with pronominal suffixes in selected NENA dialects:

Trans-Zab:

J.ShaqlawaJ.SanandajJ.Urmiturnu $tərnu \sim hartnu$ $tunnú^{57}$ xamšənnu $xamšannu^{58}$

Other, selected, dialects:

C.Shaqlawa	C.Sanandaj ⁵⁹	C.Urmi	M-Tkhuma	Barzani
tuṛnu	hatru	tərve ~ tərvənte	tərwe	kutru
xámšəntu	hárxamšāyu	xámšənte	xámšətnε	xamšəntu

- 56 See Krotkoff (1982: 26–7).
- 57 The forerunner of this form, *turnu*, occurs in an eighteenth-century J.Az. text; see Sabar (2004: 103, no. 23).
- 58 Also xamšá mənnú.
- 59 Professor Estipan Panoussi, personal communication.

18. xesár "eleven"

In Trans-Zab the consonant d is elided in the word for "eleven": *xadesar (with e by analogy with tresar "twelve") $^{60} > *xdesar$ (with further analogy to tresar) > Trans-Zab xesár. The loss of d in this numeral has occurred also in Jilu and Sat $^+x\bar{a}s \Rightarrow r$, undoubtedly as a parallel development unrelated to Trans-Zab. Compare:

Betanure	Telkepe	Beşpən ⁶¹	Shahe	Jilu, Sat	Trans-Zab
xadé'əssar	xadesar	xdessar	xdesar	⁺xāsər	xesár

19. *nhālá* "ear"

The Trans-Zab word for "ear" has the unique form *nḥālá*, e.g. in JKS, and dialectal offshoots of this form. The etymology of this word and its NENA dialectal cognates are discussed *in extenso* in Mutzafi (2005). Suffice it here to summarize the major historical processes that spawned the Trans-Zab form under consideration:

```
Pre-modern Aramaic 'ednāhātā (attested in Syriac as a pl. of 'ednā) > *'ənnahāta > Proto-NĒNA *nhāta (attested in seventeenth-century NENA texts) > Trans-Zab: *nhāla > pharyngealization: *nhāla > assimilation: *nhālā > dissimilation: nhālā.<sup>62</sup>
```

Thus the Trans-Zab form has drifted considerably from its Proto-NENA forebear * $nh\bar{a}ta$ through a series of far-reaching phonological changes. The form $nh\bar{a}l\dot{a}$ is the prototype of dialectal variants such as Shəno $^{+}nahalta$, which exhibits a phonemicized epenthetic a, a feminine suffix, word-emphasis and a change of h to h.

The Trans-Zab reflex of *nhāṭa is markedly different from cognate forms in other NENA dialects, as is demonstrated below by a number of selected NENA varieties:

Betanure	Sandu	Barzani	Dez	Qaraqosh	Trans-Zab
nāta	nasisa	naniya	nawiya	natyatta	<i>nḥālá,</i> etc.

20. 'alhá "God"

In Trans-Zab the vowel \bar{a} in the form 'ilāha "God", attested in most other Jewish NENA dialects, was elided, and the initial vowel i was subsequently shortened and centralized with the closure of the syllable: *'ilāha > *'ilhá > 'əlhá.

21. bqatta "morning"

The Trans-Zab group of dialects evinces a unique word for "morning", namely *bqatta*, historically derived from *bqadamta < *b-qaddamtā⁶³

- 60 Cf. JBA הדיסר (Sokoloff 2002: 431b), Mandaic האדיסאר (Drower and Macuch 1963: 116b).
- 61 See Sinha (2000: 166).
- 62 Cf. *latmal > *ļahmal > *ļahmal > laḥmal "the day before yesterday" (feature no. 3 above).
- 63 Gemination is proven by the occurrence of a plosive *bgdkpt* consonant *d* in NENA rather than *d* or a reflex thereof.

(compare JBA בקדמתא "at dawn", Mandaic קאדאמתא "early morning" and Telkepe qadamta "id."). The form bqatta denoting "morning" is retained as such in most Iraqi Trans-Zab dialects, while in other dialects the word changed in form or content, e.g. J.Urmi $baqatt\acute{a}$, with a phonemicization of epenthetic a and a change in meaning to "tomorrow". 64

Compare the word *bqatta* with the words for "morning" in several other NENA dialects:

Marbishu C.Nerwa Sandu Atrush Marga C.Zakho Bohtan Trans-Zab qedamta gunnita⁶⁵ bənhe⁶⁶ mxəška məllayle bəṣpāre háyūna⁶⁷ bqatta, etc.

22. magón "like, similar to"

The Trans-Zab word for "like, similar to" is a compound of *ma* "what" and obsolete *gon* "colour", yielding *magón*. This form is still preserved in several dialects, e.g. in Naghada and Shəno; in Arbel and JKS alongside various by-forms;⁶⁸ and in J.Urmi alongside the reduced by-form *mon*. In some other Trans-Zab dialects the form *magón* underwent phonetic changes, e.g. *mangól* in J.Sanandaj and *məngán* in Rustaqa. Compare the Trans-Zab word in question with some parallels in other NENA dialects:

Qaraqosh	Marga	Atrush	Sandu	J.Nerwa	Qurich	Trans-Zab
`ax	max	dax	kudax ⁶⁹	xur^{70}	xən	magón, etc.

23. barūxá "friend"

The Trans-Zab dialects have the unique word $bar\bar{u}x\acute{a}$, in J.Az $^+bar\bar{u}x\acute{a}$, for "friend". This lexical innovation has superseded the reflex of the inherited Aramaic word מַּבְּרָאָ "id.", which is still very common in NENA dialects as xawra, xora, $x\bar{u}ra$ or $x\bar{u}ra$. The etymology of $bar\bar{u}xa$ is likely to be the NENA root brx (< ברך "to bless, be blessed" combined with the NENA adjectival pattern $CaC\bar{u}Ca$ which is quite common in Trans-Zab (as in $qal\bar{u}l\acute{a}$ "light, easy", $paṣ\bar{u}x\acute{a}$ "happy" and $gax\bar{u}k\acute{a}$ "cheerful" in various dialects). Hence the original denotation of $bar\bar{u}x\acute{a}$ appears to have been "blessed", although the semantic connection between "blessed" and "friend" is not transparent.

24. x-d-r "to become, turn into; to happen"

In Trans-Zab the verbal root x-d-r and dialectal offshoots such as \dot{g} -d-r have the unique denotations "to become, turn into; to happen". Additionally, the Trans-Zab dialects exhibit a unique passive construction

⁶⁴ The J.Urmi word for "morning" is baqatyó(m), a blend of baqatta and yoma "day".

⁶⁵ $< *gu n'ita < *gu n'iht\bar{a} < *gu ngiht\bar{a}$ "at dawn"; see Talay (2002: 126, n. 7).

^{66 &}lt; *b-nə'he < *b-niġhe "at daybreak", cf. JBA נגהי "daybreak", cat daybreak" (Sokoloff 2002: 728–9), Mandaic בניגהא "id." (Drower and Macuch 1963: 297a).

⁶⁷ Probably $< *h\bar{a}ya\ yoma$ "early (in the) day".

⁶⁸ See Khan (1999: 574a), Mutzafi (2004a: 177, no. 4, 178, no. 4).

^{69 &}lt; *kul d-'ax.

⁷⁰ A neo-construct (innovative construct) form of the word *xūra* "friend".

based on a passive participle followed by the auxiliary x-d-r, e.g. qtilá xdire "he was killed" in JKS.

The Trans-Zab verbal root x-d-r is a reflex of h-d-r, attested in Syriac in the meanings "to go around, about; to surround; to beg" (and, similarly as regards JBA h-d- $r \sim h$ -d-r, Mandaic h-d-r). Non-Trans-Zab NENA dialects preserve denotations closely related to the classical ones, e.g. Telkepe x-d-r"to turn around", Barzani x-d-r "to go about, roam" and J.Zakho $x-z-r \sim$ \dot{g} -z-r "to peddle" (among other meanings).⁷¹

III. Internal classification of Trans-Zab

Further research on the complex network of isoglosses within Trans-Zab is required in order to establish an internal classification of this diverse group, yet one major dialectal difference, the forms of the positive present copula, suggests a division of Trans-Zab into three principal subgroups:

- 1) Western (W) Trans-Zab or the Inter-Zab Jewish Neo-Aramaic dialectcluster of the Iraqi province of Arbel (Irbil), chiefly in the area between the Great Zab and the Little Zab rivers.
- 2) North-Eastern (NE) Trans-Zab or the Iranian Azerbaijan Neo-Aramaic dialect cluster (J.Az.), in Urmi and adjacent areas in Iran and Turkey.
- 3) South-Eastern (SE) Trans-Zab in Iranian Kurdistan (Sanandaj, Saqəz and other places) and areas to the south and in the bordering Iraqi towns (Sulemaniyya, Halabja, Penjwin and Khanagin).

Paradigms of the positive present copula in these three postulated subgroups are presented below by forms pertaining to the dialects of Arbel, Urmi and Sanandaj.

Present copula in Trans-Zab dialects:

3	sg ^{m.}	Proto-Trans-Zab *-ile	Arbel (W) -ile	Urmi (NE) -ile	Sanandaj (SE) ⁷² - <i>ye</i> , - <i>y</i>
	$sg^{f.}$	*-ila	-ila	-ila	-ya
	pl.	*-ilu	-ilu	-ilu	-yen
2	$sg^{m.}$	*-iwet	-wet	-ilet	-yet
	$sg^{f.}$	*-iwat	-wat	-ilat	-yat
	pl.	*-iwetun	-wetun	-iletun	-yetun
1	sg^{m}	*-iwen(a)	-wen	-ilen	-yen(a)
	$sg^{f.}$	*-iwan(a)	-wan	-ilan	-yan(a)
	pl.	*-iwex	-wex	-ilex	-yex(in)

- 71 See Sabar (2002: 192b) s.v. *x-d-r*, *ġ-d-r*.
- 72 The 3sg.m. short allomorph y follows the vowel a, as in kpiná-y "he is hungry", whilst the allomorph ye occurs elsewhere, e.g. šét-ye "he is insane", gorí-ye "he is my husband". All non-feminine present copular forms are reduced following the vowel a, which changes to e, as in kpina + yena > kpiné-na I^{m.} am hungry"; kpiné-t"you's a, which changes to e, as in kpina + yena > kpine-na I ain itungry', kpiné-r "you's are hungry', kpiné-x "we are hungry', kpiné-tun "you's are hungry', kpiné-n "they are hungry'. The feminine copular forms remain intact, and the preceding vowel a changes to e, as in kpinta + -yana > kpinté-yana "I^{f.} am hungry"; kpiné-yat "you^{sg.f.} are hungry", kpiné-ya "she is hungry".

There can hardly be any doubt that the heterogeneous paradigm with an element l in the 3rd person forms and an element w elsewhere, found in subgroup W, is the most conservative one, whereas the uniform paradigms in NE and SE are more progressive. The paradigm of subgroup W and similar forms in other, more conservative, NENA dialects (e.g. Betanure), the dialects (e.g. Betanure), are the basis for the reconstructed paradigm in Proto-Trans-Zab. Subgroups NE (J.Az.) and SE exhibit two different types of levelling: in NE the element l has been generalized throughout the paradigm, whilst as regards subgroup SE I postulate a process where the element w shifted to y by partial assimilation to the preceding vowel i before its elision, e.g. in $*-iwex > *-iyex^{76} > -yex$ we are, and in the final phase the element y of the 1st and 2nd person forms was generalized throughout the paradigm. In addition, in the SE subgroup the 3pl. form *-ilu was replaced by the form -yen (in some dialects $\sim -yeni$) by analogy with the 3pl. "enclitic" ending of III-y verbs -en (or -eni – see feature no. 16 above).

The change *w > y in SE Trans-Zab (J.Sanandaj and closely related dialects) has likewise occurred in C.Sanandaj and a few other Christian dialects in Trans-Zab territory (C.Koy Sanjaq, 'Ankawa) and beyond (Qaraqosh, Barətle). This isogloss can best be explained as an areal feature, although the source of diffusion is unknown. At any rate, while in the aforementioned Christian dialects the heterogeneous type of paradigm with l in the 3rd person forms and y (<*w) elsewhere is retained, SE Trans-Zab is more innovative in this respect, exhibiting a levelling of the element y, as illustrated by the following comparison between the two NENA dialects of Sanandaj:

		C. Sanandaj ⁷⁷	J.Sanandaj
3	sg^{m}	-ile	-ye, -y
	$sg^{f.}$	-ila	<i>-ya</i>
	pl.	-ilu	-yen
2	sg_{\perp}^{m}	-yet	-yet
	$sg^{f.}$	-yat	-yat
	pl.	-iton	-yetun
1	sg_{\perp}^{m}	-yen	-yena
	$\mathbf{sg}^{\mathrm{f.}}$	-yan	-yana
	pl.	-yox	-yex(in)

- 73 In accordance with Hetzron's principle of archaic heterogeneity: "When cognate systems (i.e. paradigms) in related languages are compared, the system that exhibits the most inner heterogeneity is likely to be the closest to the ancestor-system" (Hetzron 1976: 89, and see in detail 92–5). The initial vowel *i* in the reconstructed 1st and 2nd persons is based on negated copular forms such as *-iwen > *laywen > lewen "I am not" and on a broader scope of dialectal comparison, where we find copular forms such as Isnax -iwən "I am", negated form: laywən. For a different view concerning the earliest type of the positive present copula in NENA see Khan (2002: 13–5; 2006: 158–62).
- 74 See Hoberman (1989: 198), Mutzafi (2008: 50).
- 75 The opposite direction of levelling occurred in NE Trans-Zab (J.Az.) in the negated present copula, where the element *w* of the 1st and 2nd persons has been generalized throughout the paradigm, e.g. *lewen* "I am not", *léwetun* "you^{pl.} are not", *lewe* "he is not".
- 76 Compare, for instance, colloquial J.Nerwa *biwāda > *biyāda > byāda "doing".
- 77 According to Panoussi (1990: 112) and Panoussi, personal communication.

IV. Conclusion

The twenty-four Trans-Zab features discussed above can be readily regarded as shared innovations that date back to an early stage in the history of this dialect group. Some of these innovations are quite possibly inherited from Proto-Trans-Zab, while others appear to be old internal areal features that have spread throughout all dialects of this group.

Features inherited from a common Proto-Trans-Zab Jewish Neo-Aramaic ancestor may well be the postulated merger of the interdentals to *d, the conditioned shift of *t to $h \ (> \emptyset, h)$, the process $w > f/_-ta$, the feminine participle * $CCit\bar{a} > CCit\acute{a}$, the plural endings - $aw\bar{a}\acute{e}$ and -ye, the " $no\check{s}q\bar{a}le$ syndrome", the verbal patterns $C\bar{o}C$ and $C\bar{e}C$, the form hol "give!", the restricted k- prefix, the verbal "enclitic" ending -ex and the numeral infix -mn- (> -nn-, -n-). Several innovations related to words that were shaped by specific phonological or morphophonological processes may also have been inherited from Proto-Trans-Zab. These include $xes\acute{a}r$ "eleven", $nh\bar{a}l\acute{a}$ "ear" and ' $alh\acute{a}$ "God". Other shared innovations that may hark back to Proto-Trans-Zab are the lexical innovations bqatta "morning", $mag\acute{o}n$ "as, like" and $bar\bar{u}x\acute{a}$ "friend", and the semantic shift "to go around" > "to become, turn into; to happen" related to the verb x-d-r.

Internal areal features that spread throughout Trans-Zab early in its history appear to be the shift of the interdentals *d and *t to l, the 3sg.c. independent pronoun 'o "he, she" (occurring side-by-side with a vestigial retention of the 3sg.f. form in Trans-Zab religious texts), $*'\bar{a}ni > 'oni$ "they" by analogy with the pronoun 'o, the 3pl. "enclitic" ending -eni in III-y verbal inflections, and the shift of word stress from the penultima to the ultima. Furthermore, some of the features ascribed to Proto-Trans-Zab above may have, rather, emerged early in a specific Trans-Zab dialect and diffused throughout the group.

The very diverse Trans-Zab family of dialects can provisionally be divided into a western (W) or Inter-Zab dialect cluster, retaining an archaic type of the paradigm of the positive present copula, a north-eastern (NE) subgroup of Jewish Azerbaijan, with a generalization of l throughout the same paradigm, and a south-eastern (SE) subgroup, mainly in Iranian Kurdistan, with a generalization of $y \ (< *w)$ throughout the paradigm of the present copula. This proposed trifurcate division awaits enhancement by further distinguishing features.

References

Ben-Rahamim, Y. 2006. One Language and One Speech: Texts in the Jewish Neo-Aramaic Dialect of Azerbaijan [in Hebrew]. Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute.

Ben-Yaacob, A. 1981². *Q°hillot Y°hude Kurdistan* [Kurdistan Jewish Communities]. Jerusalem: Qiryat Sefer.

Blanc, H. 1964. Communal Dialects in Baghdad. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University.

Brukhim, Sh. 1985. *Tafsir Shir ha-Shirim* [Translation of Targumic Song of Songs into Saqəz Jewish Neo-Aramaic]. Jerusalem.

- Brukhim, Sh. 2002. *Qobets Ktabim ve Shirim* [A Collection of Writings and Poems]. Jerusalem.
- Drower, E. and R. Macuch. 1963. A Mandaic Dictionary. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Duval, R. 1883. Les dialectes néo-araméens de Salamas. Textes sur l'état actuel de la Perse et contes populaires, publiés avec une traduction française. Paris: F. Vieweg.
- Fox, S.E. 2002. "The neo-Aramaic dialect of Bohtan", in W. Arnold and H. Bobzin (eds), "Sprich doch mit deinen Knechten aramäisch, wir verstehen es!" 60 Beiträge zur Semitistik, Festschrift für Otto Jastrow zum 60. Geburtstag. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 165–80.
- Garbell, I. 1964. "Flat' words and syllables in Jewish East New Aramaic of Persian Azerbaijan and contiguous districts (a problem of multilingualism)", in H.B. Rosén (ed.), *Studies in Egyptology and Linguistics in Honour of H. J. Polotsky*. Jerusalem: The Israel Exploration Society, 86–103.
- Garbell, I. 1965a. *The Jewish Neo-Aramaic Dialect of Persian Azerbaijan*. (Janua Linguarum. Series Practica 3.) The Hague: Mouton, 1965.
- Garbell, I. 1965b. "The impact of Kurdish and Turkish on the Jewish neo-Aramaic dialect of Persian Azerbaijan and the adjoining regions", *JAOS* 85, 159–77.
- Heinrichs, W. 2002. "Peculiarities of the verbal system of Senāya within the framework of North Eastern Neo-Aramaic (NENA)", in W. Arnold and H. Bobzin (eds), "Sprich doch mit deinen Knechten aramäisch, wir verstehen es!" 60 Beiträge zur Semitistik, Festschrift für Otto Jastrow zum 60. Geburtstag. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 237–68.
- Hetzron, R. 1976. "Two principles of genetic reconstruction", *Lingua* 38, 89–108.
- Hoberman, R.D. 1988. "The history of the modern Aramaic pronouns and pronominal suffixes", *JAOS* 108, 557–75.
- Hoberman, R.D. 1989. The Syntax and Semantics of Verb Morphology in Modern Aramaic: A Jewish Dialect of Iraqi Kurdistan. New Haven: American Oriental Society.
- Hoberman, R.D. 1990. "Reconstructing pre-modern Aramaic morphology: the independent pronouns", in W. Heinrichs, *Studies in Neo-Aramaic*. (Harvard Semitic Studies 36.) Atlanta: Scholars Press, 79–88.
- Hopkins, S. 1991. "Review of Heinrichs, W., Studies in Neo-Aramaic", JAOS 111/4, 789–90.
- Idelsohn, A.Ts. 1913. "Sippurim ba-Lashon ha-Aramit ha-Ḥadasha" [Stories in the Neo-Aramaic Language], *Hashiloah* 29, pp. 121–30, 240–50, 319–27, 466–76, 522–61.
- Jastrow, O. 1988. Der neuaramäische Dialekt von Hertevin (Provinz Siirt). (Semitica Viva 3.) Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Kapeliuk, O. 1997. "Spirantization of *t* and *d* in Neo-Aramaic" [in Hebrew], in M. Bar-Asher (ed.), *Massorot* 9–10–11. *Gideon Goldenberg Festschrift*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 527–44.
- Kapeliuk, O. 2004. "Iranian and Turkic structural interference in Arabic and Aramaic dialects", *Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam* 29, 176–94.
- Khan, G. 1999. A Grammar of Neo-Aramaic: The Dialect of the Jews of Arbel. Leiden: E.J. Brill.
- Khan, G. 2002. The Neo-Aramaic Dialect of Qaragosh. Leiden: E.J. Brill.
- Khan, G. 2004. The Neo-Aramaic Dialect of Sulemaniyya and Ḥalabja. Leiden: E.J. Brill.

- Khan, G. 2006. "Some aspects of the copula in North West Semitic", in S.E. Fassberg and A. Hurvitz (eds), Biblical Hebrew in Its Northwest Semitic Setting. Jerusalem: Magnes Press; Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 155-76.
- Krotkoff, G. 1982. A Neo-Aramaic Dialect of Kurdistan Texts, Grammar and Vocabulary. New Haven: American Oriental Society.
- Maclean, A.J. 1895. Grammar of the Dialects of Vernacular Syriac. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (Reprint Amsterdam: Philo Press, 1971).
- Margoliouth, J. 1927. Supplement to the Thesaurus Syriacus of R. Payne Smith. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Mutzafi, H. 2000. The Jewish Neo-Aramaic Dialect of Koy Sanjag (Iragi Kurdistan): Phonology, Morphology, Texts and Glossary. Unpublished doctoral dissertation [in Hebrew]. Tel Aviv: Tel Aviv University.
- Mutzafi, H. 2002a. "On the Jewish Neo-Aramaic dialect of Aradhin and its dialectal affinities", in W. Arnold and H. Bobzin (eds), "Sprich doch mit deinen Knechten aramäisch, wir verstehen es!" 60 Beiträge zur Semitistik, Festschrift für Otto Jastrow zum 60. Geburtstag. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 479-88.
- Mutzafi, H. 2002b. "Barzani Jewish Neo-Aramaic and its dialects", Mediterranean Language Review 14, 41–70.
- Mutzafi, H. 2004a. The Jewish Neo-Aramaic Dialect of Koy Sanjag (Iragi Kurdistan). (Semitica Viva 32.) Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Mutzafi, H. 2004b. "Features of the verbal system in the Christian Neo-Aramaic dialect of Koy Sanjaq and their areal parallels", JAOS 121/1, 249-64.
- Mutzafi, H. 2004c. "Two texts in Barzani Jewish Neo-Aramaic", BSOAS 67/1, 1–13.
- Mutzafi, H. 2005. "The reflexes of the word אדנא ("ear") in Eastern Neo-Aramaic: etymology, diversification and innovation" [in Hebrew], in M. Bar-Asher and M. Florentin (eds), Samaritan, Hebrew and Aramaic Studies Presented to Professor Abraham Tal. Jerusalem: Bialik Institute, 229–42.
- Mutzafi, H. 2008. The Jewish Neo-Aramaic Dialect of Betanure (Province of Dihok). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Panoussi, E. 1990. "On the Senaya dialect", in W. Heinrichs, Studies in Neo-Aramaic. Atlanta: Scholars Press, 107-30.
- Rivlin, Y.Y. 1958. Targume Yehude Kurdistan [Targum Texts of Kurdistani Jews]. (Semitic Texts Series, 1.) Chicago: The College of Jewish Studies.
- Sabar, Y. 2002. A Jewish Neo-Aramaic Dictionary. Dialects of Amidya, Dihok, Nerwa and Zakho, Northwestern Iraq. (Semitica Viva 28.) Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Sabar, Y. 2004. "Mi Kamokha in Jewish Neo-Aramaic (Birdug, Persia)" [in Hebrew], in Y. Tobi and Y. Avishur, Ben'Ever La-'Arav: Contacts between Arabic Literature and Jewish Folklore in the Middle Ages and Modern Times, vol. 3. Tel Aviv: Afikim, 95–120.
- Sinha, J. 2000. Der neuostaramäische Dialekt von Bespon (Provinz Mardin, Südosttürkei): Eine Grammatische Darstellung. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Skjærvø, P.O. 1989. "Pashto", in R. Schmitt (ed.), Compendium Linguarum Iranicarum. Wiesbaden: Ludwig Reichert, 384-416.
- Sokoloff, M. 2002. A Dictionary of Jewish Babylonian Aramaic of the Talmudic and Geonic Periods. Ramat-Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press.
- Talay, Sh. 2001. "Grammatikalische Anmerkungen und Texte zum neuaramäischen Dialekt von Nerwa (Nordiraq)", Mediterranean Language Review 13, 1–37.

Talay, Sh. 2002. "Ein Teufel im Kloster: Texte im christlisch-neuaramäischen Dialekt von Nerwa (Nordirak)", Mediterranean Language Review 14, 125–69.

Younansardaroud, H. 2001. Der neuostaramäische Dialekt von Särdä:rïd. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.