Interspecific jatropha hybrid as a new promising source of woody biomass

Narathid Muakrong¹, Khin Thida One², Patcharin Tanya³ and Peerasak Srinives^{3*}

¹*Plant Breeding Program, Faculty of Agriculture at Kamphaeng Saen, Kasetsart University, Kamphaeng Saen, Nakhon Pathom 73140, Thailand,* ²*Tropical Agriculture Program, Faculty of Agriculture at Kamphaeng Saen, Kasetsart University, Kamphaeng Saen, Nakhon Pathom 73140, Thailand and* ³*Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture at Kamphaeng Saen, Kasetsart University, Kamphaeng Saen, Nakhon Pathom 73140, Thailand and* ³*Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture at Kamphaeng Saen, Kasetsart University, Kamphaeng Saen, Nakhon Pathom 73140, Thailand and* ³*Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture at Kamphaeng Saen, Kasetsart University, Kamphaeng Saen, Nakhon Pathom 73140, Thailand*

Abstract

An interspecific hybrid *Jatropha curcas* (Jc) × *Jatropha integerrima* (Ji) was developed between jatropha (Jc) from Mexico and Ji from Thailand. Jc is a large canopy plant with soft wood, while Ji is a tall plant with semi-hard wood. The F₁ hybrid and their parents were grown under field conditions at a spacing of $1 \text{ m} \times 1.5 \text{ m}$. One-year-old plants were harvested and determined for biomass yield, calorific value and chemical composition of wood, as well as for heterosis (hybrid vigour) of these characters. The F₁ plants gave an average fresh wood weight of 18.07 kg/plant, a moisture content of 46.56%, a dry wood weight of 9.56 kg/plant and a wood density of 0.62 g/cm^3 . The F₁ hybrid had less ash (2.60%) than Jc (6.93%), but a higher heat value of wood (18.73 MJ/kg) than Jc (17.77 MJ/kg). Heterosis over mid-parent was very high and positive in dry wood weight, fresh wood weight and number of secondary branches at 542, 310 and 450%, respectively, while negative heterosis was found in moisture content (-24.86%). The desirable traits found in the F₁ hybrid can be fixed by cutting propagation of the selected plants.

Keywords: coppice; heterosis; interspecific hybrid; jatropha; woody biomass

Introduction

Jatropha (*Jatropha curcas* L., Jc) is an oil-bearing tree for biodiesel production. The most important obstacle for jatropha to be commercialized is that the plant is still wild (Tar *et al.*, 2011), giving low seed yield of less than 2000 kg/ha in 4- to 5-year-old plants with uneven fruit maturity (Everson *et al.*, 2012). However, wood from jatropha coppice is a potential source of energy containing about 15.5 MJ/kg at 15% moisture (Sotolongo *et al.*, 2009). However, jatropha wood is very light with a density of about 0.35 g/cm^3 , high in moisture content and burnt too rapidly; thus, it is rarely used as a fuel-wood source.

Interspecific crosses have been widely used in plant improvement to combine desirable characters that are available in wide relatives, as in biomass breeding of willow (Johansson and Alström, 2000), poplar (Vries and Turok, 2001), eucalyptus (Christine *et al.*, 2009) and *Leucaena* (Brewbaker and Sorensson, 1990). Improvement of jatropha for wood biomass is also possible through interspecific cross with a wide relative, *Jatropha integerrima* (Ji), an erect ornamental shrub with hard wood (Lakshminarayana and Sujatha, 2001). In this study, we produced interspecific hybrid plants from a cross of Jc × Ji and determined their potential as a biomass source. Heterosis of biomass yield and yield components were also examined.

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: agrpss@yahoo.com

Materials and methods

Interspecific cross and cultivation

Interspecific hybridization was made between a large canopy type of Jc from Mexico and an erect and tall canopy type of Ji from Thailand. The parents and F1 hybrid were planted in a randomized complete block design with three replications, having five plants per plot. The experiment was conducted during March 2011 to March 2012 in a field of the Kasetsart University-Kamphaeng Saen campus, Thailand. The spacing used was 1m between plants and 1.5m between rows. A commercial compost was applied at 80 g per hill prior to transplanting. A compound fertilizer of 15:15:15 (N-P₂O₅-K₂O) was applied at 20 g/plant, 4 and 8 months after transplanting. The field was furrow-irrigated twice a month. At 1 year old, the plants were harvested at 40 cm above ground to estimate for biomass yield and its components.

Measurement of biomass characters and heterosis

Upon harvesting, data were individually recorded for biomass yield and quality. Calorific value (MJ/kg) was determined using an oxygen bomb calorimeter (Calorimeter Model 6200; Parr Instrument Company). The composition of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin was assessed following Van Soest *et al.* (1991), while ash content was determined using AOAC standard method 942.05 (AOAC, 1990). Heterosis of biomass yield and its components were determined by comparing the F_1 hybrid with the mid-parent value and using a *t*-test to declare the significance of heterosis (Soehendi and Srinives, 2005).

Statistical analysis

All traits measured were subjected to analysis of variance. Once an *F*-test for difference between generations was declared significant, the treatment means were compared by Duncan's multiple range tests and the significant traits were calculated for correlation coefficient (r). All statistical analyses were performed using the R freeware program (R Development Core Team, 2010).

Results and discussion

Interspecific hybridization

Seed set was obtained only when Jc was used as the female parent. When Ji was used as the female parent

in the reciprocal cross, all young fruits dropped within 7 d after pollination. Out of 60 pollinations in the direct cross, 22 seeds were obtained, of which 17 germinated to vigorous seedlings.

Biomass yield and its components

At 1 year after transplanting, the F_1 plants were superior than their parents in the number of primary branches, the number of secondary branches, stem base diameter, canopy height and resprouting ability. The canopy width of the hybrid was not different from Jc, but was larger than Ji (Table 1). The F₁ hybrid was superior to both parents in fresh wood weight per plant, dry wood weight per plant and bark thickness, with a higher wood density but a lower moisture content and a smaller pith diameter than Jc. The F₁ hybrid had a larger pith diameter but thinner bark than Ji, and significantly superior to Jc in both traits. Dry wood and wood chip of the F1 hybrid and Ji appear heavy and firm, while those in Jc appear light and wrinkled. The F1 hybrid set only a few seeds similar to Ji. The vigorous growth of the hybrid plants probably came from the accumulation of photosynthate (Ps) to promote only vegetative growth. Silip et al. (2010) postulated that the indeterminate growth habit of Jc is probably a result of continuous translocation of Ps to both the vegetative and reproductive parts at the same time. The F_1 plants showed positive correlations of dry biomass yield with fresh biomass (r = 0.977) and stem base diameter (r = 0.974), but negative between moisture content and wood density (r = -0.955) (data not shown). Most leaves of the hybrid plants dropped in the dry season such as Jc, and this trait is desirable to facilitate harvesting for wood. The F₁ hybrid showed exceptional ability to resprout. Its plant type was erect V-shaped and thus can be planted at a spacing of as low as $1 \text{ m} \times 1.5 \text{ m}$ (~6667 plants/ha). A large-scale test for biomass production of the hybrid will be undertaken before commercializing it in a coppice plantation.

Chemical composition and calorific values

Components of lignin and lignocelluloses of the F_1 hybrid were similar to those of the parents, while the cellulose content of the F_1 hybrid fell between the two parents. The contents of hemicelluloses, lignocelluloses and ash, and the heat value of the F_1 hybrid were not different from those of Ji, but significantly superior to those of Jc. Percentages of cellulose and lignin in the F_1 hybrid were similar to the first-year copping of *Leucaena leucocephala* (41.2 and 19.4%, respectively;

Entry	Fresh wood weight (kg/plant/year)	Dry wood weight (kg/plant/year)	Moisture content (%)	Wood density (g/cm ³)	Bark thickness (cm)	Pith diameter (cm)
Wood	vield and wood chara	cters				
Jc Ji F ₁	$\begin{array}{c} 4.90^{b*} \pm 0.98 \\ 3.91^{b} \pm 1.04 \\ 18.07^{a} \pm 2.69 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1.23^{\rm b} \pm 0.36 \\ 1.75^{\rm b} \pm 0.60 \\ 9.56^{\rm a} \pm 1.76 \end{array}$	$74.57^{a} \pm 5.15 \\ 51.40^{b} \pm 5.71 \\ 47.33^{b} \pm 4.70$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.39^{\rm b}\pm 0.06\\ 0.71^{\rm a}\pm 0.05\\ 0.62^{\rm a}\pm 0.04 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.082^{a}\pm 0.005\\ 0.053^{b}\pm 0.003\\ 0.036^{c}\pm 0.002 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.683^{a} \pm 0.06 \\ 0.046^{c} \pm 0.04 \\ 0.143^{b} \pm 0.02 \end{array}$
	No. of primary branches	No. of secondary branches	Stem base diameter (cm)	Canopy height (cm)	Canopy width (cm)	Resprouting ability
Bioma	ss vield components					
Jc Ji F ₁	$\begin{array}{c} 11.33^{\rm b} \pm 2.38 \\ 6.72^{\rm b} \pm 1.16 \\ 29.70^{\rm a} \pm 5.09 \end{array}$	$9.27^{b} \pm 2.34$ $29.47^{b} \pm 4.55$ $106.47^{a} \pm 31.14$	$7.92^{b} \pm 0.69$ $5.85^{c} \pm 0.61$ $9.72^{a} \pm 1.42$	$219.33^{b} \pm 13.3580.67^{c} \pm 9.72267.67^{a} \pm 18.70$	$\begin{array}{c} 144.33^{a}\pm 4.58\\ 83.83^{b}\pm 8.49\\ 146.17^{a}\pm 3.11 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18.67^{\rm b} \pm 2.32 \\ 6.20^{\rm c} \pm 1.01 \\ 40.73^{\rm a} \pm 7.59 \end{array}$
	Cellulose (%)	Hemicellulose (%)	Lignin (%)	Lignocelluloses (%)	Ash (%)	Heat value (MJ/kg)
Chemi	cal compositions and	calorific value				
Jc Ji F ₁	$\begin{array}{c} 36.33^{\rm c} \pm 1.41 \\ 41.72^{\rm a} \pm 0.52 \\ 38.92^{\rm b} \pm 2.80 \end{array}$	$07.27^{b} \pm 0.7$ 11.43 ^a ± 1.66 12.58 ^a ± 1.96	$\begin{array}{l} 12.94^{\rm b}\pm 0.6\\ 26.82^{\rm a}\pm 1.01\\ 15.07^{\rm b}\pm 1.90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 56.54^{\rm b}\pm1.53\\ 77.16^{\rm a}\pm0.74\\ 67.58^{\rm ab}\pm5.43\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 6.93^{a}\pm 0.42\\ 2.04^{b}\pm 0.15\\ 2.60^{b}\pm 0.12\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 17.77^{\rm b} \pm 0.16 \\ 19.29^{\rm a} \pm 0.12 \\ 18.73^{\rm a} \pm 0.17 \end{array}$

Table 1. Mean \pm SD of biomass characters (per plant basis) at the harvesting of Jc, Ji and their interspecific F₁ hybrid

* Mean values with unlike superscript letters were significantly different at $P \le 0.01$.

López *et al.*, 2008). The gross heat values of wood in Ji and the F_1 hybrid were not different but higher than that of Jc. The F_1 plants showed a similar gross heating value to 1-year copping willow (18.70 MJ/kg; Peter, 2002), and 3-year copping *L. leucocephala* (18.94 MJ/kg; Feria *et al.*, 2011). The solid biofuels from 1-year-old plants of poplar and eucalyptus also gave similar heating values (Klasnja *et al.*, 2002; Telmo *et al.*, 2010).

Hybrid vigour of the F₁ plants

The F_1 hybrid exhibited significant positive heterosis in all traits, except negative for moisture content, which revealed that the F_1 hybrid has less wood moisture than the average value of Jc and Ji (Table 2). The weight of dry and fresh wood per plant showed exceptionally high heterosis over the mid-parent (541.61 and 310.22%, respectively). For biomass components, high heterosis was observed for number of primary and secondary branches at 229.09 and 449.66%, respectively. While canopy height, canopy width and wood density gave a heterosis of 78.45, 28.13 and 12.73%, respectively. The negative heterosis of moisture content (-24.86%) is an advantage as the F₁ wood would need less time and/or energy for drying to a required moisture content. These desirable traits can be fixed through cutting propagation of the F₁ plants.

Conclusion

This study is the first to report on developing a new woody hybrid jatropha for short rotation coppice. Although the hybrid plants set only a few seeds, they gave a high wood yield and density, fibre content and

Table 2. Heterosis for biomass yield and yield components in the F₁ hybrid between Jc and Ji

Jc	Ji	Mid-parent	F ₁	Heterosis (%)
4.90	3.91	4.41	18.07	310.22**
1.23	1.75	1.49	9.56	541.61**
219.33	80.67	149.98	267.67	78.45^{**}
144.33	83.83	114.08	146.17	28.13**
74.57	51.40	62.99	47.33	-24.86^{**}
0.39	0.71	0.55	0.62	12.73**
11.33	6.72	9.03	29.70	229.09^{**}
9.27	29.47	19.37	106.47	449.66^{**}
	Jc 4.90 1.23 219.33 144.33 74.57 0.39 11.33 9.27	JcJi4.903.911.231.75219.3380.67144.3383.8374.5751.400.390.7111.336.729.2729.47	JcJiMid-parent4.903.914.411.231.751.49219.3380.67149.98144.3383.83114.0874.5751.4062.990.390.710.5511.336.729.039.2729.4719.37	JcJiMid-parentF14.903.914.4118.071.231.751.499.56219.3380.67149.98267.67144.3383.83114.08146.1774.5751.4062.9947.330.390.710.550.6211.336.729.0329.709.2729.4719.37106.47

** Indicate significant differences between the mid-parent and F_1 hybrid at $P \leq 0.01$.

heat value, but a low moisture content, ash percentage, bark thickness and pith diameter.

Acknowledgements

N. M. was supported by the Office of the Higher Education Commission for the Strategic Scholarships Fellowships Frontier Research Networks (specific for the southern region of Thailand). This research was funded by the Chair Professor Project of Thailand's National Science and Technology Development Agency.

References

- AOAC (1990) AOAC method 942.05 ash in animal feeds. In: Helrick K (ed.) Official Method of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. vol. 70. Gaithersburg, MD: AOAC.
- Brewbaker JL and Sorensson CT (1990) New tree crops from interspecific *Leucaena* hybrids. In: Janick J and Simon JE (eds) *Advances in New Crops*. Portland: Timber Press, pp. 283–289.
- Christine LR, Tentchev D, Prin Y, Goh D, Japarudin Y and Perrineau MM (2009) Bradyrhizobia nodulating the *Acacia mangium* × *A. auriculiformis* interspecific hybrid are specific and differ from those associated with both parental species. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 75: 752–759.
- Everson CS, Mengistu MG and Gush MB (2012) A field assessment of the agronomic performance and water use of *Jatropha curcas* in South Africa. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. Available at (http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/S0961953412001432).
- Feria MJ, López F, García JC, Pérez A, Zamudio MAM and Alfaro A (2011) Valorization of *Leucaena leucocephala* for energy and chemicals from autohydrolysis. *Biomass Bioenergy* 3: 2224–2233.
- Johansson LKH and Alström S (2000) Field resistance to willow leaf rust *Melampsora epitea* in inter- and intraspecific

hybrids of Salix viminalis and S. dasyclados. European Journal of Plant Pathology 106: 763–769.

- Klasnja B, Kopitovic S and Orlovic S (2002) Wood and bark of some poplar and willow clones as fuelwood. *Biomass Bioenergy* 23: 427–432.
- Lakshminarayana M and Sujatha M (2001) Screening of Jatropha species against the major defoliators of castor (*Ricinus* communis L.). Journal of Oilseeds Research 18: 228–230.
- López F, García MM, Yánez R, Tapias R, Fernández M and Díaz MJ (2008) *Leucaena* species valoration for biomass and paper production in 1 and 2 year harvest. *Bioresource Technology* 99: 4846–4853.
- Peter M (2002) Energy production from biomass (part 1): overview of biomass. *Bioresource Technology* 83: 37–46.
- R Development Core Team (2010) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. ISBN: 3-900051-07-0; URL: http:// www.R-project.org.
- Silip JJ, Armansyah HT, Hambali H, Surahman M and Sutrisno (2010) Lifecycle duration and maturity heterogeneity of *Jatropha curcas* Linn. *Journal of Sustainable Development* 3: 291–295.
- Soehendi R and Srinives P (2005) Significance of heterosis and heterobeltiosis in F₁ hybrid of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) for hybrid seed production. SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics 37: 97–105.
- Sotolongo JA, Beatón P, Diaz A, de Oca SM, del Valle Y, Pavón SG and Zanzi R (2009) *Jatropha curcas* L. as a source for the production of biodiesel: a Cuban Experience. 15th European Biomass Conference and Exhibition. Berlin, Germany, pp. 2631–2633.
- Tar MM, Tanya P and Srinives P (2011) Heterosis of agronomic characters in jatropha (*Jatropha curcas* L.). Kasetsart Journal 45: 583–593.
- Telmo C, Lousada J and Moreira N (2010) Proximate analysis, backwards stepwise regression between gross calorific value, ultimate and chemical analysis of wood. *Bioresource Technology* 101: 3808–3815.
- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB and Lewis BA (1991) Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and non starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science* 74: 3583–3597.
- Vries SMG and Turok J (2001) Technical Bulletin: In situ Conservation of Populus nigra. Rome: International Plant Genetic Resource Institute (IPGRI).