# Detection of human taeniases in Tibetan endemic areas, China

TIAOYING LI<sup>1</sup>\*, XINGWANG CHEN<sup>1</sup>, TETSUYA YANAGIDA<sup>2</sup>, HAO WANG<sup>1</sup>, CHANGPING LONG<sup>3</sup>, YASUHITO SAKO<sup>2</sup>, MUNEHIR OKAMOTO<sup>4</sup>, YUNFEI WU<sup>5</sup>, PATRICK GIRAUDOUX<sup>6,7</sup>, FRANCIS RAOUL<sup>6</sup>, AGATHE NKOUAWA<sup>2</sup>, MINORU NAKAO<sup>2</sup>, PHILIP S. CRAIG<sup>8</sup> and AKIRA ITO<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Parasitic Diseases, Sichuan Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Parasitology, Asahikawa Medical University, Asahikawa, Hokkaido 078-8510, Japan

<sup>3</sup> Yajiang County Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Yajiang 627450, Sichuan, China

<sup>4</sup> Primate Research Institute, Kyoto University, Inuyama, Aichi 484-8506, Japan

<sup>5</sup>College of Veterinary Medicine, Sichuan Agricultural University, Yaan 625014, Sichuan, China

<sup>6</sup>Chrono-environment lab, UMR 6249 University of Franche-Comté and CNRS, Besançon, France

<sup>7</sup>Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France

<sup>8</sup> School of Environment and Life Sciences, University of Salford, Greater Manchester, M5 4WT, UK

(Received 8 April 2013; revised 26 May 2013; accepted 2 June 2013; first published online 18 July 2013)

#### SUMMARY

Detection of taeniasis carriers of *Taenia solium* is essential for control of cysticercosis in humans and pigs. In the current study, we assessed the positive detection rate of a self-detection tool, stool microscopy with direct smear and coproPCR for taeniasis carriers in endemic Tibetan areas of northwest Sichuan. The self-detection tool through questioning about a history of proglottid expulsion within the previous one year showed an overall positive detection rate of more than 80% for *Taenia saginata*, *T. solium* and *T. asiatica*. The positive detection rate was similar for *T. saginata* and *T. solium*. In 132 taeniid tapeworm carriers, 68 (51.5%) were detected by microscopy and 92 (69.7%) were diagnosed by coproPCR. A combination of microscopy and coproPCR increased the positive detection rate to 77.3%. There remained 10 cases (7.6%) coproPCR negative but microscopy positive. Due to the high cost and complicated process, coproPCR is required for the identification of *Taenia* species only when necessary, though it had a significant higher positive detection rate than microscopy. Combined use of self-detection and stool microscopy are recommended in community-based mass screening for taeniases in this Tibetan area or in other situation-similar endemic regions.

Key words: Taeniasis, self-detection, microscopy, coproPCR, detection rate, human carriers.

#### INTRODUCTION

Human taeniases are caused by consumption of raw or under-cooked beef, pork meat and pork viscera, mainly liver, contaminated with cysticerci of Taenia saginata, T. solium and T. asiatica, respectively (Fan, 1995; Ito et al. 2003; Craig and Ito, 2007; Flisser et al. 2011). Taenia tapeworm carriers usually present mild abdominal symptoms or may even be asymptomatic. However, neurocysticercosis (NCC), a life-threatening cysticercosis caused by the larval stage (metacestode) of the pork tapeworm, T. solium, in the central nervous system, has been increasingly recognized as a serious public health problem in both developing (Cruz et al. 1989; Allan et al. 1996; Li et al. 2006) and developed countries (Schantz et al. 1992; Esquivel et al. 2005; Sorvillo et al. 2011; Yanagida et al. 2012). Animal cysticercoses, due

*Parasitology* (2013), **140**, 1602–1607. © Cambridge University Press 2013 doi:10.1017/S003118201300111X

to ingestion of eggs of these three species, have led to a significant economic loss of meat production in endemic regions (Murrell, 1991; Fan, 1997). As humans are the sole definitive host of these three *Taenia* species, detection of taeniasis carriers in endemic areas is important for control and prevention of cysticercosis in humans as well as in animals.

Voiding of segments is often a specific symptom for taeniasis (Craig and Ito, 2007). Thus, a self-detection tool through questioning the history of proglottid elimination as an auxiliary method can be valuable for the diagnosis of taeniasis (Flisser et al. 2005; Li et al. 2012). Conventional techniques for detection of taeniasis carriers include both macroscopic and microscopic stool examinations for detection of proglottids and eggs, respectively. Though microscopy lacks sensitivity, it has very high genus specificity (Pawlowski and Schulz, 1972) and thus remains one of the most commonly applied tools for the diagnosis of human taeniases in endemic countries. Copro-ELISA tests for human taeniases (Allan et al. 1990) were reported to increase the detection of Taenia spp. carriers at least two-fold in endemic areas compared

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Institute of Parasitic Diseases, Sichuan Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 6 Zhong Xue Road, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan Province, China. Tel: + 86 28 85589532. Fax: +86 28 85589563. E-mail: litiaoying@sina.com

to traditional stool concentration with microscopy for taeniid egg detection (Allan and Craig, 2006). A putative *T. solium*-specific copro-ELISA was recently reported (Guezala *et al.* 2009) but has not been widely assessed at community level. No *Taenia* copro-ELISA tests are currently available commercially. The advent of coproPCR for detection of DNA in extracts of human stool samples has also contributed to patient diagnosis and greatly improved species identification prior to treatment (Yamasaki *et al.* 2004).

Human taeniases are known to be highly endemic in Tibetan farming areas of northwest Sichuan (Li *et al.* 2006). However, information about the reliability of self-detection tool, coproPCR and stool microscopy for detection of taeniasis in any endemic region of China is lacking. The current study aimed to test and compare the positive detection rate of selfdetection, stool microscopic examination with direct smear and a species-specific coproPCR for detection of taeniases in endemic areas of Sichuan Province.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study sites

This study was conducted in Tibetan farming communities of Yajiang County, Ganzi Prefecture, Sichuan Province from 2009 to 2012.

#### Sample collection and diagnostic criteria

Each self-selected participant was asked to provide faecal samples ( $\sim 20$  g) for microscopy for the presence of *Taenia* eggs in Sichuan CDC (China) and/or coproPCR for detection of *Taenia*-specific DNA at Asahikawa Medical University, Japan.

Volunteer villagers were also provided freely with a traditional Chinese medicine for elimination of tapeworms or proglottids, as reported previously (Li et al. 2012). In brief, three different compounds including 120 g of peeled raw pumpkin seeds, 200 mL areca nut extract prepared from 80 g dry areca nut slices for an adult person, and magnesium sulfate solution as a purgative at a dose of 0.5 g/kg body weight were taken in order at 40 min to 1 h intervals in the morning on an empty stomach. Labelled plastic bags were then provided to treated persons to collect faeces during their stay at the clinic for 5 h post-treatment. Subsequent examination of faeces and purge materials was conducted to confirm the presence of tapeworms or proglottids. For those persons who did not expel tapeworms or segments during the period of observation, subsequent self-check was requested for a period of 3 days to determine if tapeworms/ segments were later expelled. The expelled proglottids or tapeworms following treatment were stored in ethanol and were later checked by multiplex PCR for Taenia species identification (Yamasaki et al. 2004).

Persons who were *Taenia* egg-positive by stool microscopy and/or expelled tapeworms or proglottids post-treatment were diagnosed as taeniasis cases.

#### Parasite species identification

Parasite isolates were analysed by multiplex PCR for differentiation of three human Taenia species as described previously (Yamasaki et al. 2004). Briefly, the cytochrome C oxidase subunit 1 gene (cox1) was used as a target gene. Three forward primers were used to amplify different sizes of products, specific for T. saginata, T. solium Asian genotype and T. asiatica, respectively. A PCR cocktail contained mixed primers and  $0.125 \,\mu L$  of the ExTaqDNA polymerase Hot Start (TakaRa, Tokyo, Japan) in  $25 \,\mu\text{L}$  of a reaction mixture. Multiplex PCR protocols were composed of 1 cycle of initial denaturation (30 sec at 98 °C), 35 cycles of denaturation (30 sec at 94 °C), annealing (30 sec at 58 °C), and extension (90 sec at 72 °C), plus 1 cycle of final extension (5 min at 72 °C).

#### Self-detection by questionnaire

For each participant, a questionnaire was completed to provide information on the history of expulsion of 'noodle-like worms' (tapeworms) within the previous one year, if any, information about the duration of worm expulsion and the frequency of expulsion (frequently or occasionally).

### Stool microscopy

Faecal samples were examined under the microscope with direct smear for the presence of *Taenia* eggs. Three slides were requested to check for each *Taenia* egg-negative stool sample.

#### CoproPCR

Faecal samples were also examined by coproPCR for detection of *Taenia*-specific DNA, as described previously (Yamasaki *et al.* 2004), with a minor revision. That is, before using a QIAamp DNA stool mini kit to extract DNA, faecal samples were treated with glass beads for egg disruption (Nunes *et al.* 2006). The same protocols as described above (for multiplex PCR) were used in coproPCR, except that  $50 \,\mu$ L of a reaction mixture was used with coproDNA samples, and annealing was performed at 55 °C.

#### Statistical analyses

A Chi-square test was used to compare the occurrence rate of segment expulsion between T. saginataand T. solium-infected persons and the positive detection rate of microscopy and coproPCR

Table 1. Results of stool microscopy with direct smear in 185 taeniasis cases

Species	No. examined	No. positive	%
T. saginata	117	65	55.6
T. solium	13	12	92.3
T. asiatica	2	1	1/2
Dual infection	2	1	1/2
Unconfirmed	51	21	41.2
Total	185	100	54.1

Dual infection: with both T. saginata and T. solium.

Unconfirmed: the species confirmation was not performed, since we failed to recover parasite specimens.

for taeniasis, and also applied to compare the positive detection rate of microscopy and coprPCR between the cases infected with a single tapeworm and with multiple tapeworms. P values equal to or less than 0.05 were considered indicative of statistical significance.

#### RESULTS

A total of 220 persons were confirmed to be *Taenia* tapeworm carriers in this study (2009–2012), due to expulsion of tapeworms or proglottids following treatment in 204 and the presence of *Taenia* eggs in 16 untreated cases. Of these 220 cases, 140 were male and 80 were female. Subject ages ranged from 8 years to 68 years (mean age 38.2 years).

Tapeworms or proglottids were collected in 164 of 220 taeniasis cases, and the species were identified by multiplex PCR as follows: 144 T. saginata, 15 T. solium, 2 T. asiatica and 3 dual infections of T. saginata and T. solium. The remaining 56 cases released tapeworms or segments following treatment, but parasite materials were not obtained. Whole tapeworms were collected in 95 of 164 taeniasis cases, of which 68 were confirmed to be infected with a single tapeworm, whereas the remaining 27 were found to harbour multiple worms, ranging in number from 2 to 20. All the 20 tapeworms expelled by one case were confirmed as T. solium (Ito *et al.* 2013).

#### Microscopy with direct smear

Stool microscopic examinations were conducted in 185 of 220 taeniasis cases including *T. saginata* (117), *T. solium* (13), *T. asiatica* (2), dual infection (2) and unconfirmed (51). As a result,  $54\cdot1\%$  (100) were *Taenia* egg-positive. These *Taenia* egg-positive cases were composed of *T. saginata* (65), *T. solium* (12), *T. asiatica* (1), dual infection (1) and unconfirmed (21) (Table 1). Thus,  $55\cdot6\%$  (65/117) of *T. saginata*-and  $92\cdot3\%$  (12/13) of *T. solium*-infected persons were detected by microscopy with direct smear. No additional taeniasis cases were detected by repeated stool examinations.

Fifty-six of 68 cases infected with a single tapeworm were examined, and 53.6% (30) were *Taenia* egg-positive. In 27 cases infected with multiple tapeworms, 21 were checked and 52.4% (11) showed positive microscopy. The positive detection rate of microscopy between the cases with a single tapeworm and with multiple tapeworms was not significantly differerent (P = 0.8704).

#### **CoproPCR**

CoproPCR was performed in 132 of 220 taeniasis cases, consisting of *T. saginata* (84), *T. solium* (9), *T. asiatica* (1), dual infection (2) and unconfirmed (36). Of the 132 cases, *Taenia*-specific DNA was amplified in 92 (69.7%), including *T. saginata* (61), *T. solium* (9), *T. asiatica* (0), dual infection (1) and unconfirmed (21). Of the 21 unconfirmed cases, 20 were therefore identified as *T. saginata* and 1 as *T. solium*.

Forty-five of 68 cases infected with a single tapeworm were tested, and  $64 \cdot 4\%$  (29) showed positive results with coproPCR. In 27 cases infected with multiple tapeworms, 15 were analysed, and *Taenia*-specific DNA was amplified in 10 (66·7%). Statistical analysis indicated that the positive detection rate of coproPCR was not different between the cases infected with a single tapeworm and with multiple tapeworms (P = 0.88).

#### Stool examinations by both microscopy and coproPCR

Both microscopy with direct smear and coproPCR were performed concurrently in 132 of 220 taeniasis patients. Of these, 51.5% (68) were Taenia eggpositive under microscope and 69.7% (92) with positive coproPCR. Statistical analysis indicated that the positive detection rate of coproPCR for taeniasis was significantly greater than microscopy with direct smear (P = 0.0038). Among the 132 cases, 58 (43.9%) were detected by both microscopy and coproPCR, whereas 30 (22.7%) showed negative results with both methods. In addition, ten (7.6%) cases showed positive with microscopy but negative with coproPCR, while 34 (25.8%) showed positive with coproPCR but negative with microscopy (Table 2). In other words, combination of microscopy and coproPCR detected 77.3% (102/132) of taeniasis infected persons.

## Self-detection by questioning the history of proglottid expulsion

A total of 224 persons who reported a history of segment expulsion within the previous year were treated, and 195 (87.1%) eliminated proglottids or tapeworms following treatment. Of the 195 cases, 142 were confirmed as T. saginata, 14 as T. solium,

Table 2. Results of stool examinations by both microscopy with direct smear and coproPCR in 132 taeniasis cases

Results	No. cases	%	
M(+)/C(+)	58	43.9	
M(-)/C(-)	30	22.7	
M(+)/C(-)	10	7.6	
M(-)/C(+)	34	25.8	
Total	132	100.0	

M: microscopy with direct smear.

C: coproPCR.

(+): positive.

(-): negative.

2 as $T$ . asiatica,	3 .	with	dual	infection	of both
T. saginata and T	. soli	um, a	ind 34	with unc	onfirmed
species.					

According to the results of multiplex and coproPCR, in 220 taeniasis cases, 164 were confirmed with T. saginata, 16 T. solium, 2 T. asiatica, 3 with dual infections and 35 remained unconfirmed. Of these 220 cases, 203 (92.3%) reported a history of proglottid expulsion within the previous one year. The 203 cases included T. saginata (150), T. solium (14), T. asiatica (2), dual infection (3) and unconfirmed (34) (Table 3). In other words, 91.5%(150/164) of T. saginata-infected persons reported a history of proglottid expulsion within the previous year, and 87.5% (14/16) for T. solium cases. There was no significant difference in expulsion between T. saginata- and T. solium-infected persons (P = 0.428). The remaining 17 cases without a history of segment expulsion comprised 14 T. saginata, 2 T. solium and 1 with unconfirmed species.

Information about the duration of proglottid expulsion and expulsion intervals was obtained from 104 patients (93 T. saginata and 11 T. solium). In 93 T. saginata-infected persons the duration varied from 5 months to 30 years (mean 7.7 years), and 53.8% (50) of persons had experienced voiding of tapeworm proglottids for over 5 years. Among the 93 persons, 90 reported that tapeworm proglottids were expelled at an interval of days, and the remaining 3 people described that proglottid expulsion occurred daily. By contrast, the time period for worm expulsion in 11 persons with T. solium infection ranged from one month to 3 years (mean 23 months), and proglottid expulsion was reported to occur occasionally by all patients at an interval of months. In eleven T. solium-infected persons, the longest interval for segment expulsion was 10 months.

#### DISCUSSION

Results from the current study on human taeniases in western Sichuan Province (China) indicated that self-detection through questioning about history

Table 3.	Rate o	f proglottid	expulsion	in	220
taeniasis	cases				

Species	No. cases	No. positive with a history of segment expulsion	%
T. saginata	164	150	91·5
T. solium	16	14	87.5
T. asiatica	2	2	2/2
Dual infection	3	3	3/3
Unconfirmed	35	34	9 <sup>′</sup> 7·1
Total	220	203	92.3

of segment expulsion was highly reliable for detection of tapeworm carriers including all three human species, T. saginata, T. solium and T. asiatica. In these Tibetan farming communities of Sichuan Province, over 80% of history-positive individuals were confirmed to have current taeniasis following treatment with a traditional Chinese areca-based anthelmintic (Li et al. 2012), and more than 90% of confirmed taeniasis cases reported a history of proglottid expulsion within the previous one year. At stool examinations, 69.7% of confirmed taeniasis cases were detected by coproPCR, which was considerably greater than that by direct-smear microscopy alone (51.5%). The positive detection rate of either microscopy or coproPCR was not different between carriers infected with a single tapeworm or those with multiple tapeworms. Moreover, identification of Taenia species was achieved by coproPCR in 58.3% of taeniasis cases for whom parasite material failed to be obtained after treatment.

Tapeworms are well known by villagers as `noodlelike` worms in the study area, and the vast majority of local people could distinguish tapeworms from other human intestinal parasites, for instance Ascaris. Our present study revealed that the positive detection rate of the self-detection method, through questioning the history of segment voiding, was over 80%, indicating its usefulness in population screening for taeniases in this region. This result also confirms previous data from Mexico regarding the feasibility of self-detection of tapeworm carriers (Sarti et al. 1992; Schantz et al. 1993; Flisser et al. 2005). However, the clinical history of proglottid expulsion was reported previously to have a reliability of less than 50% in Honduras (De Kaminsky, 1991). This difference might be due to the fact that persons with T. solium infection comprised 71.4% (15/21) of taeniasis cases in the Honduras study, whereas in the current study 74.5% (164/220) were infected with T. saginata. It is usually reported that in T. soliuminfected persons, voiding of proglottids normally occurs occasionally at an interval of months, and also the expulsion is passive (together with faeces), while with T. saginata spontaneous expulsion of segments (independent of defaecation) happens frequently

at an interval of days, even daily (Craig and Ito, 2007). Therefore, T. saginata carriers might have more opportunities to recognize the presence of a tapeworm compared to T. solium carriers. However, in the current study the reported rate of proglottid expulsion was not significantly different between T. saginata- and T. solium-infected persons. It is likely that the vast majority of self-reporting and the voluntarily treated villagers had a history of proglottid expulsion within the previous one year, accounting for 97.4% (224/230). Furthermore, mass treatment of the population was not carried out in the present study which, in other studies, has detected many more tapeworm carriers than either microscopy or self-detection (Hall et al. 1981; De Kaminsky, 1991; Allan et al. 1996). Another possibility is that in the current study area, local residents usually defaecated in the open and habitually checked the faeces afterwards, therefore tapeworm proglottids are more likely to be found once they are released including those of T. solium. However this may not apply for all endemic areas in the region, such as in ethnic Yi communities in Liangshan Prefecture (Sichuan Province), where T. solium was known to be actively transmitted between humans and pigs (Chen et al. 2010); nevertheless few T. solium taeniasis carriers were detected through questioning about their history of proglottid expulsion. In Liangshan it was observed that local people were not in the habit of checking their stools after defaecation in the open air, or they felt too embarrassed to report it even if tapeworm segments were noticed. Thus, self-detection, when used as a mass-screening tool for tapeworm carriers, may not be feasible in every area endemic for taeniasis.

The conventional technique for detection of human taeniasis is stool examination by microscopy. Various methods of microscopy were previously employed for diagnosis of tapeworm infection, such as the Kato cellophane thick smear, direct smear and concentration techniques (ether sedimentation and formalin-ether concentration). The Kato cellophane thick smear showed 80% reliability for taeniasis infection (De Kaminsky, 1991), about 62-68% of T. saginata- and 38% of T. solium-infected persons were detected by microscopy with concentration techniques (Hall et al. 1981; Deplazes et al. 1991; Allan et al. 1996). In the current study, the positive detection rate of microscopy with direct smear for T. saginata was 55.6%, which was lower than that recorded in the previous studies, within which 62–68% of T. saginata were diagnosed by microscopy with concentration techniques (Hall et al. 1981; Deplazes et al. 1991). By contrast, a greater proportion of T. solium cases (12 out of 13) were detected by microscopy in the present study, compared to a previous report in which only 38% of T. soliuminfected persons were diagnosed by stool concentration with microscopy (Allan et al. 1996).

More additional taeniasis cases were detected by repeated stool examinations (Hall *et al.* 1981), but it was not the case in the current study. In general, the positive detection rate of microscopy with direct smear was low, detecting about half of tapeworm carriers in this study.

Several coproPCR technique-based detection methods for Taenia species, such as the multiplex PCR method with mitochondrial DNA (Yamasaki et al. 2004), PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism method with mitochondrial DNA (Nunes et al. 2005), and nested-PCR method with the Tso31 gene encoding the T. solium oncosphere-specific protein (Mayta et al. 2008), were reported to contribute to patient diagnosis and to improve Taenia species identification. In our current study, about 30% of taeniasis cases could not be detected by coproPCR, probably caused by inactivation of Taq DNA polymerase used in PCR by inhibitors present in these faecal samples (Monteiro et al. 1997). Even so, the coproPCR method was indicated to have significant advantages in the current study: (1) the positive detection rate of coproPCR was much higher than microscopy (69.7 vs 51.5%); (2) coproPCR improved the species identification in patients (21 out of 34) from whom parasite materials were not obtained. However, the coproPCR technique is time-consuming and costly, and it is therefore difficult to employ coproPCR as a mass-screening tool for taeniases in endemic areas.

In the current study, about 85.5% (224/262) of persons with a history of proglottid expulsion were voluntarily treated for elimination of tapeworms, whereas only 1.0% (6/585) of history-negative individuals received treatment. If all the registered participants were treated, more additional taeniasis patients would have been diagnosed, which might allow a more accurate positive detection rate of the self-detection tool for taeniasis in this endemic area. This was a limitation of the current study.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Combined use of self-detection and stool microscopy were found useful in a community-based mass screening for tapeworm carriers in this Tibetan area and the application of coproPCR was important for identification of *Taenia* species. For future control of taeniasis/cysticercosis, development of a commercially available easily-operated, low-cost sensitive and specific detection tests, such as *Taenia* coproELISA and/or coproPCR, is needed in endemic countries, including China.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the former director Adouta of Yajiang County CDC for his contribution to organization and Tibetan-Chinese translation work in the field.

#### FINANCIAL SUPPORT

This study was supported by Financial Department of Sichuan Province, China to taeniasis/cysticercosis control program (PI, T.L), and by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS)(21256003, 24256002 to AI; 21406009, 24406011 to MO), by JSPS Asia-Africa Scientific Platform Funds to AI (2006–2011), by JSPS-Japan/ China Bilateral Medical Joint Project to MN (2009–2010), by the Japan-China Medical Association Fund to YS, and the Special Coordination Fund for Promoting Science and Technology (2010–2012) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science & Technology in Japan (MEXT) to AI.

#### REFERENCES

Allan, J. C., Avila, G., Garcia Noval, J., Flisser, A. and Craig, P. S. (1990). Immunodiagnosis of taeniasis by coproantigen detection. *Parasitology* **101**, 473–477.

Allan, J.C. and Craig, P.S. (2006). Coproantigens in taeniasis and echinococcosis. *Parasitology International* 55(Suppl.), S75–S80.

Allan, J. C., Velasquez-Tohom, M., Torres-Alvarez, R., Yurrita, P. and Garcia-Noval, J. (1996). Field trial of the coproantigen-based diagnosis of *Taenia solium* taeniasis by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 54, 352-356.

Chen, X., Li, T., Ito, A., Sako, A., Qiu, D., Xiao, N. and Craig, P.S. (2010). Seroprevalence of cysticercosis in ethnic Yi community of Sichuan Province, China. *Ji Sheng Chong Bing Yu Gan Ran Xing Ji Bing* 8, 57–61. Craig, P.S. and Ito, A. (2007). Intestinal cestodes. *Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases* 20, 524–532.

Cruz, M., Davis, A., Dixon, H., Pawlowski, Z. S. and Proano, J. (1989). Operational studies on the control of *Taenia solium* taeniasis/cysticercosis in Ecuador. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* **67**, 401–407.

De Kaminsky, R. G. (1991). Albendazole treatment in human taeniasis. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 85, 648-650.

Deplazes, P., Eckert, J., Pawlowski, Z.S., Machowska, L. and Gottstein, B. (1991). An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for diagnostic detection of *Taenia saginata* copro-antigens in humans. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **85**, 391–396.

Esquivel, A., Diaz-Otero, F. and Gimenez-Roldan, S. (2005). Growing frequency of neurocysticercosis in Madrid (Spain). *Neurologia* 20, 116–120. Fan, P.C. (1995). Review of taeniasis in Asia. *Chinese Journal of Microbiology and Immunology* 28, 79–94.

Fan, P. C. (1997). Annual economic loss caused by *Taenia saginata asiatica* taeniasis in three endemic areas of East Asia. *Parasitology Today* 13, 194–196.

Flisser, A., Craig, P. S. and Ito, A. (2011). Cysticercosis and taeniasis: *Taenia solium, Taenia saginata* and *Taenia asiatica*. In *Oxford Textbook of Zoonoses: Biology, Clinical Practice, and Public Health Control* (ed. Palmer, S. R., Soulsby, L., Torgerson, P. R. and Brown, D. W. G.), pp. 625–642. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.

Flisser, A., Vazquez-Mendoza, A., Martinez-Ocana, J., Gomez-Colin, E., Leyva, R.S. and Medina-Santillan, R. (2005). Short report: evaluation of a self-detection tool for tapeworm carriers for use in public health. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 72, 510–512.

Guezala, M.C., Rodriquez, S., Zamora, H., Garcia, H.H., Gonzalez, A.E., Tembo, A., Allan, J.C. and Craig, P.S. (2009). Development of a species-specific coproantigen ELISA for human *Taenia*  solium taeniasis. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene **81**, 433–437.

Hall, A., Latham, M. C., Crompton, D. W. and Stephenson, L. S. (1981). *Taenia saginata* (cestoda) in western Kenya: the reliability of faecal examinations in diagnosis. *Parasitology* **83**, 91–101.

Ito, A., Li, T., Chen, X., Long, C., Yanagida, T., Nakao, M., Sako, Y., Okamoto, M., Wu, Y., Raoul, F., Giraudoux, P. and Craig, P. S. (2013). Mini review on chemotherapy of taeniasis and cysticercosis due to *Taenia solium* in Asia, and a case report with 20 tapeworms in China. *Tropical Biomedicine* **30**, 164–173.

Ito, A., Nakao, M. and Wandra, T. (2003). Human taeniasis and cysticercosis in Asia. *Lancet* **362**, 1918–1920.

Li, T., Craig, P.S., Ito, A., Chen, X., Qiu, D., Qiu, J., Sato, M.O., Wandra, T., Bradshaw, H., Li, L., Yang, Y. and Wang, Q. (2006). Taeniasis/cysticercosis in a Tibetan population in Sichuan Province, China. *Acta Tropica* **100**, 223–231.

Li, T., Ito, A., Chen, X., Long, C., Okamoto, M., Raoul, F., Giraudoux, P., Yanagida, T., Nakao, M., Sako, Y., Xiao, N. and Craig, P.S. (2012). Usefulness of pumpkin seeds combined with areca nut extract in community-based treatment of human taeniasis in northwest Sichuan Province, China. *Acta Tropica* 124, 152–157.

Mayta, H., Gilman, R. H., Prendergast, E., Castillo, J. P., Tinoco, Y. O., Garcia, H. H., Gonzalez, A. E. and Sterling, C. R. (2008). Nested PCR for specific diagnosis of *Taenia solium* taeniasis. *Journal* of *Clinical Microbiology* **46**, 286–289.

Monteiro, L., Bonnemaison, D., Vekris, A., Petry, K. G., Bonnet, J., Vidal, R., Cabrita, J. and Megraud, F. (1997). Complex polysaccharides as PCR inhibitors in feces: *Helicobacter pylori* model. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 35, 995–998.

Murrell, K. D. (1991). Economic losses resulting from food-borne parasitic zoonoses. *Southest Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health* 22 (Suppl.), S377–S381.

Nunes, C. M., Dias, A. K., Dias, F. E., Aoki, S. M., de Paula, H. B., Lima, L. G. and Garcia, J. F. (2005). *Taenia saginata*: differential diagnosis of human taeniasis by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism assay. *Experimental Parasitology* **110**, 412–415.

Nunes, C. M., Lima, L. G. F., Manoel, C. S., Pereira, R. N., Nakano, M. M. and Garcia, J. F. (2006). Technical report: fecal specimens preparation methods for PCR diagnosis of human taeniosis. *Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo* **48**, 45–47.

Pawlowski, Z. and Schulz, M. G. (1972). Taeniasis and cysticercosis (*Taenia saginata*). Advances in Parasitology **10**, 269–343.

Sarti, E., Schantz, P. M., Plancarte, A., Wilson, M., Gutierrez, I. O., Lopez, A. S., Robert, A. and Flisser, A. (1992). Prevalence and risk factors for *Taenia solium* taeniasis and cysticercosis in humans and pigs in a village in Morelos, Mexico. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 46, 677–685.

Schantz, P. M., Cruz, M., Sarti, E. and Pawlowski, Z. (1993). Potential eradicability of taeniasis and cysticercosis. *Bulletin of the Pan American Health Organization* 27, 397–403.

Schantz, P. M., Moore, A. C., Munoz, J. L., Hartman, B. J., Schaefer, J. A., Perasaud, D., Sarti, E., Wilson, M. and Flisser, A. (1992). Neurocysticercosis in an Orthodox Jewish community in New York City. New England Journal of Medicine **327**, 692–695.

Sorvillo, F., Wilkins, P., Shafir, S. and Eberhard, M. (2011). Public health implications of cysticercosis acquired in the United States. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **17**, 1–6.

Yamasaki, H., Allan, J.C., Sato, M.O., Nakao, M., Sako, Y., Nakaya, Y., Qiu, D., Mamuti, W., Craig, P.S. and Ito, A. (2004). DNA differential diagnosis of taeniasis and cysticercosis by multiplex PCR. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **42**, 548–553.

Yanagida, T., Sako, Y., Nakao, M., Nakaya, K. and Ito, A. (2012). Taeniasis and cysticercosis due to *Taenia solium* in Japan. *Parasites* and Vectors 5, 18.