Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom

cambridge.org/mbi

Original Article

Cite this article: Andrade-Tubino MF, Salgado FLK, Uehara W, Utsunomia R, Araújo FG (2021). *Opsanus beta* (Goode & Bean, 1880) (Acanthopterygii: Batrachoididae), a non-indigenous toadfish in Sepetiba Bay, south-eastern Brazil. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **101**, 179–187. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0025315421000011

Received: 17 June 2020 Revised: 21 December 2020 Accepted: 31 December 2020 First published online: 26 February 2021

Key words:

Ballast water; Brazilian coast; coastal fish; fish translocations; non-native species; toadfish

Author for correspondence: Francisco Gerson Araújo, E-mail: gersonufrrj@gmail.com

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom



Opsanus beta (Goode & Bean, 1880) (Acanthopterygii: Batrachoididae), a non-indigenous toadfish in Sepetiba Bay, south-eastern Brazil

Magda F. Andrade-Tubino¹, Fernando Luiz K. Salgado¹, Wagner Uehara¹, Ricardo Utsunomia² and Francisco Gerson Araújo¹

¹Laboratório de Ecologia de Peixes, Departamento de Biologia Animal, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Rodovia BR 465, km 7, 23897-030 Seropédica, RJ, Brazil and ²Departamento de Genética, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, BR 465, km 7, 23897-900 Seropédica, RJ, Brazil

Abstract

The introduction of non-native predator fish is thought to have important negative effects on native prey populations. *Opsanus beta* is a non-native toadfish that was originally described in the Gulf of Mexico, between the west coast of Florida and Belize. In the present study, we describe, for the first time, the occurrence of *O. beta* in Sepetiba Bay (22°55′S), south-eastern Brazil, probably brought into the bay through ships' ballast water. Thirteen specimens were recorded in this area near to Sepetiba Port. Similarly, three other records of this species in the Brazilian coast were also reported near to port areas at Rio de Janeiro (22°49′S), Santos (23°59′S) and Paranaguá (25°33′S) ports. To confirm the species identity, we employed DNA barcoding and compared our samples with sequences deposited on public databases, which indicated that our samples are highly similar (>99.9% of genetic similarity) to *O. beta* samples collected near its type locality. Several individuals were found in the capable spawning phase, according to histological analysis of the reproductive cell stages. The environmental plasticity of this species and the favourable local environmental conditions probably enabled the establishment of *O. beta* in this region. This raises concerns of potential high invasion impact due to this species' diet and reproductive capacity.

Introduction

The introduction of non-indigenous species (NIS) has been identified as a major threat to aquatic ecosystems, which may lead to loss of biodiversity with environmental, economic and social effects (Carlton, 1996). The 'science of invasion' supports regulatory structures that protect human health and local and/or global economies (Bax et al., 2001; Simberloff et al., 2013). The introduction of harmful aquatic organisms and pathogens to new environments via ballast water and other vectors, such as hull fouling and imports for aquaculture and aquariums, has been identified as one of the four greatest threats to the world's oceans (Darrigan & Damborenea, 2005; Davidson & Simkanin, 2012). Recently, there is a growing increase in opportunities to translocate fish species among different areas by different means, such as in ballast water that is discharged from ships that cross oceans and transport species as eggs and larvae a long way from their natural range of distribution area (Hewitt et al., 2009). The increase of invasive fish species occurrences in estuarine areas is a major threat to the local fish communities with potential to cause considerable losses in biodiversity (Lopes & Villac, 2009; Britton et al., 2010). In this context, knowledge of biodiversity and identifying species are essential to protect against global threats, among them, invasive species (Luypaert et al., 2020).

The toadfish *Opsanus beta* (Goode & Bean, 1880) has its natural distribution in the Western Central Atlantic, from the Gulf of Mexico to Palm Beach, Florida, including the Little Bahamas (Collette, 2002; Froese & Pauly, 2020) and it is one of the most abundant fish in South Florida estuaries (Serafy *et al.*, 1997). Recent records of *O. beta* species on the south-eastern and southern Brazilian coast near to port areas suggest this species was able to reach these regions via ships' ballast waters (Caires *et al.*, 2007; Ribeiro *et al.*, 2012; Tomás *et al.*, 2012; Carvalho *et al.*, 2020; Cordeiro *et al.*, 2020).

Opsanus beta belongs to the family Batrachoididae, which is represented by 23 genera and 83 species, widely distributed throughout the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific Oceans (Greenfield *et al.*, 2008). The great majority of species of *Opsanus* are marine, while some are found in brackish and freshwater environments (Nelson *et al.*, 2016). It reaches a maximum size of 300–324 mm standard length (SL) (Serafy *et al.*, 1997) and is a polyphagic lurking predator, feeding compulsively, mainly on fish, crustaceans and molluscs, with nocturnal feeding behaviour (Yáñez-Arancibia *et al.*, 1993). Potential predators of this species in their original habitat are marine mammals (e.g. *Sotalia guianensis* and *Tursiops truncatus*), some grouper species, such as *Alphestes afer* (Bloch, 1793), *Dermatolepis inermis* (Valenciennes, 1833) and

Epinephelus striatus (Bloch, 1792), the great barracuda *Sphyraena barracuda* (Edwards, 1771) and the lemon shark *Negaprion brevirostris* (Poey, 1868) (Robins & Ray, 1986; Barros & Wells, 1998).

In the present study, we report the occurrence of *Opsanus beta* at the Ilha da Madeira, in the Sepetiba Bay harbour area. This is the second record of this species on the coast of Rio de Janeiro State, with the first occurrence recorded in Guanabara Bay (Cordeiro *et al.*, 2020). In addition to the morphological identification, we applied a barcoding approach to confirm the identity of the species. Lastly, we investigated whether the species may be using Sepetiba Bay as a breeding area by describing some stages of its developmental germinative cells.

Materials and methods

Study area

Sepetiba Bay (22°54′-23°40′S 43°34′-44°10′W) is located in Rio de Janeiro State, south-eastern Brazil, and has an area of 450 km², which encompasses a wide range of habitats, including mangroves, sandbanks and small estuarine areas. The bay supports a rich and diversified fish fauna and is used as rearing grounds by several coastal fish species (Araújo et al., 2018). The Port of Sepetiba (named Port of Itaguai since 2006) was constructed in the Ilha da Madeira, which was formerly separated from the mainland by narrow deltaic estuarine channels and mangrove areas (Leal Neto et al., 2006). This area is located in the north-east part of Sepetiba Bay (22°55'S 43°50'W), and is ~80 km west of Rio de Janeiro City. The port, active since 1982, was initially built with a single pier to provide a bulk import terminal for coal and alumina. Since 1998, the port has a new wharf used for the import and export of various cargos, including rolled steel, vehicles, containers and sulphur products (Clarke et al., 2004).

Specimens

Thirteen individuals of *Opsanus beta* were collected in the Sepetiba Bay harbour area. The two smallest (73.2-100.4 mm SL) were collected by beach seine and the 11 largest (120.3-265.0 mm SL) by recreational anglers fishing with hook-and-line gear in July and November 2018 and July 2019. Morphometric, meristic and staining data were obtained from the left side of each specimen (N = 13). Observations were performed under stereomicroscope, and the morphometric measurements were taken with a digital calliper following Collette (1974). Vouchers were deposited in the Fish Ecology Laboratory (LEP-UFRRJ) of the Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro. The captured individuals in this study were registered on a digital platform (https://www.bioinvasaobrasil.org.br, 25 November 2019), which is a digital platform developed with the objective of making available records of invasive alien species in Brazil.

In addition to the 13 individuals sampled in the present study (size range = 73.2-265.0 mm standard length – SL), information obtained from the literature of another 48 specimens (size range = 30.3-250.0 mm SL) were included (Table 1). The latter individuals are deposited in the ichthyological collection of the Museum of Zoology of the University of São Paulo (MZUSP) and were used for comparisons and confirmation of the species (Table 1). These specimens were recorded from the Cedar Keys (Florida, USA), Western Caribbean Sea, southern Mexico to the Brazilian coast (states of São Paulo and Paraná).

DNA extraction and genetic analyses

We extracted the genomic DNA from fin clips using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega) following the

manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, the 650-bp barcode region of COI was amplified under standard conditions using the primers FishF1 5'-TCAACCAACCACAAAGACATTGGCAC-3' and FishR1 5'-TAGACTTCTGGGTGGCCAAAGAATCA-3' (Ward *et al.*, 2005). PCR products were visualized on a 1% agarose gel and sequenced in both directions using the BigDye Terminator v. 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Applied Biosystems). Sequences were deposited on GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/, 20 November 2019) under the accession numbers MT348381–MT348384.

Consensus sequences were generated using the Geneious v9.05 software and then queried (blastn) against two public databases: (i) the NCBI-Nucleotide collection, and (ii) the Barcode of Life Datasystems in April 2020. Considering the sequences that produced significant alignments in the NCBI collection, we retrieved all the *Opsanus* sequences (N = 17) and aligned with our data using the MUSCLE algorithm (Edgar, 2004). Sequence divergence values in the within and between species levels were calculated using the Kimura-2 Parameter (K2P) distance model of nucleotide substitution and a Neighbour-joining (NJ) tree of K2P distances was created to provide a graphic representation of divergence, with 1000 bootstrap replications using the MEGA X software (Stecher *et al.*, 2020).

Reproduction

Some fish specimens (N = 9) were stored in ice and transported to the laboratory for histological analyses, where they were measured (standard length, SL, nearest 1 mm) and weighed (total weight, TW nearest 0.01 g). A ventral incision was made to expose gonads for determination of the sex and the gonadal development phases. Gonads were removed and a portion (<0.05 g) of each ovary and testis were taken from the middle part of the gonad, weighed to the nearest 0.01 g and fixed in formalin 10% solution for histological analyses. Gonads samples were then transferred to 70% ethanol for preservation. Afterwards, the gonads were dehydrated and embedded in paraffin wax. Cross-sections, 4-6 µm thick, were cut in a rotary microtome (Leica RM 2135, Wetzlar, Germany), stained with hematoxylin-eosin (HE) and mounted on glass slides for light microscopy scrutiny (Spector & Goldman, 2006). Microphotographs were taken with a MOTICAM 2300 3.0 megapixels camera coupled to an Olympus BX41 microscope. Identification of the gonadal maturation phases were made following the criteria in Brown-Peterson et al. (2011).

Results

We report the first occurrence of *Opsanus beta* in Sepetiba Bay, near the area of Sepetiba Port (22°55′S 43°50′W) (Figure 1). Examination of the *O. beta* specimens collected from the Brazilian coast demonstrated that morphometric, meristic and colour characteristics overlapped (Tables 2 and 3) with other individuals recorded elsewhere, especially in areas of its natural distribution as reported by Collette (2001, 2002).

The main diagnostic features of this group are the presence of several dark brown bars on the caudal and pectoral fins; bars on the pectoral fins irregularly joined, and small white spots of various sizes in the shape of a rosette along the body (Figure 1). First dorsal with three thorns. Second dorsal with 25–27 rays, usually 26, and pectoral fin with usually 20–21, occasionally 19 rays (Table 3). Dorsal and opercular spines solid and not connected to venom glands. Presence of small barbels wrapped around the mouth and eyes. Canine teeth and missing photophores.

COI gene-based identification revealed that specimens studied here exhibited >99.9% similarity to other *O. beta* specimens deposited in public databases (Table 4), showing low mean K2P

Voucher number	Туре	Number of specimens	Size range (SL, mm)	Local	Georeference	Identifier	Collection date
Specimens without voucher	O. beta	9	128.0-265.0	Ilha da Madeira, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil	22°55′01.6″S 043°50′56.8″W	M. Andrade-Tubino <i>et al</i> .	13-VII-2019
LEP-UFRRJ 2466	0. beta	1	100.4	Ilha da Madeira, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil	22°55′01.6″S 043°50′56.8″W	M. Andrade-Tubino <i>et al</i> .	28-XI-2018
LEP-UFRRJ 2471	0. beta	1	120.3	Ilha da Madeira, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil	22°55′01.6″S 043°50′56.8″W	M. Andrade-Tubino <i>et al</i> .	12-VII-2018
LEP-UFRRJ 2473	0. beta	1	73.2	Ilha da Madeira, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil	22°55′01.6″S 043°50′56.8″W	M. Andrade-Tubino <i>et al</i> .	12-VII-2018
LEP-UFRRJ 2485	0. beta	1	217.0	Ilha da Madeira, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil	22°55′01.6″S 043°50′56.8″W	M. Andrade-Tubino <i>et al</i> .	13-VII-2019
MZUSP 88072	paratypes of O. brasiliensis	10	113.4-205.9	Santos, São Paulo, Brazil	23°59'06"S 046°18'42"W	N. Camargo	07-VII-2004
MZUSP 88073	paratypes of O. brasiliensis	4	109.2-223.0	Santos, São Paulo, Brazil	23°59′06″S 046°18′42″W	M. Croce	10-XI-2004
MZUSP 88074	paratypes of O. brasiliensis	5	173.9-247.0	Santos, São Paulo, Brazil	23°59'06"S 046°18'42"W	M. Croce	14-XI-2004
MZUSP 88075	paratypes of O. brasiliensis	3	189.7-213.0	Santos, São Paulo, Brazil	23°58'12"S 046°20'54"W	M. Croce	07-1-2005
MZUSP 88076	paratypes of O. brasiliensis	4	118.7-193.1	Santos, São Paulo, Brazil	23°59′06″S 046°18′42″W	M. Croce	03-II-2005
MZUSP 88077	paratypes of O. brasiliensis	4	81.5-145.6	Santos, São Paulo, Brazil	23°59'06"S 046°18'42"W	M. Croce	15-III-2005
MZUSP 88078	holotype of O. brasiliensis	1	242.0	Santos, São Paulo, Brazil	23°59'06"S 046°18'42"W	M. Croce	15-III-2005
MZUSP 89580	paratypes of O. brasiliensis	2	172.7-200.0	Santos Port, São Paulo, Brazil	-	M. Itagaki	25-IV-2005
MZUSP 92420	0. beta	1	107.3	Antonina Bay, Paraná, Brazil	25°26′43″S 048°39′58″W	H.A. Pichler	08-III-2006
MZUSP 93288	0. beta	1	250	Pontal do Paraná, Paraná, Brazil	25°33′14″S 048°24′06″W	J.M. Ignácio	05-VII-2006
MZUSP 47294	O. beta	4	30.3-126.6	Cedar Keys, Florida, USA	-	G.K. Reid	12-IX-1950



Fig. 1. Front, back and side views of the copy of *Opsanus beta* LEP-UFRRJ 2466 (100.4 mm SL) and LEP-UFRRJ 2473 (67.9 mm SL) captured from the Sepetiba Bay (Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil) in 2018.

divergence values of 0.032%, 0.032% and 0.02% between our samples and those collected in Ubatuba, Brazil, Alabama, USA and Gulf of Mexico, USA, respectively. Congeneric mean K2P comparisons evidenced 7.0% (O. tau) and 7.6% (O. pardus) divergence values, establishing a barcode gap of ~2.3 times between intra- and interspecific variation, providing a starting point for future studies of O. beta species/populations differentiation. Interestingly, considering only O. beta species, we observed a split of three subclusters (sc1: Brazil/Alabama/Gulf of Mexico; sc2: Mexico; sc3: Florida) (Figure 2) with 3% of within-species mean K2P genetic divergence; however, it must be noted that a single specimen of O. beta collected in Florida, USA (accession number FJ583769.1) showed a considerable K2P divergence value from our O. beta samples, with a remarkable maximum divergence value of 6.5%, while K2P divergence of this same sample from the congeneric O. tau is 4.26% (Table 5).

In the present study, only one male individual had immature gonads whereas all the other eight individuals were in maturation process (Figure 3). According to the histological analyses, most individuals were in the spawning capable phase, indicated by the late stages of vitellogenesis, with large amounts of lipid accumulation, yolk coalescence and the presence of the zona pellucida in females (Figure 3A, B). In addition, the males had the presence of spermatozoa in the lumen of the lobules and in the sperm ducts (Figure 3C, D).

Discussion

This study reports the first occurrence of *Opsanus beta* in Sepetiba Bay, south-eastern Brazil. A comprehensive description of the

morphological characteristics and molecular diversity has been provided, together with comparisons with other specimens from elsewhere, as well as from their area of natural distribution in the Central-Western Atlantic. In addition, histological analyses were conducted to determine the reproductive status of this species. These different approaches are crucial to make sure that the target studied species met with the original characteristics of the species in its original distribution area, and to indicate the potential risk of introductions of NIS.

It is not uncommon to have misleading descriptions of 'new species' for NIS, thus adding confusion, uncertainties and risks in programmes aiming at environmental management. Incomplete knowledge of species diversity has serious implications for marine conservation. Therefore, a careful study of NIS is very important for managing species introductions and for the assessment of invasion impacts, since this information is evaluated by international conservation boards, such as the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN, 2018; Luypaert *et al.*, 2020). In the present study, we identified *Opsanus beta* using multiple methods (i.e. morphology, genetic structure) and to detect the establishment of a population in the area, since most of the examined individuals were in the spawning capable gonadal phase.

Examination of the *O. beta* specimens collected from the Brazilian coast demonstrated overlapping morphometric, meristic and colour characteristics with other individuals recorded elsewhere, especially in areas of its natural distribution as reported by Collette (2001, 2002). Although Rotundo *et al.* (2005) described *Opsanus brasiliensis*, the supposed differences in the number of pre-caudal vertebrae and colour pattern between the

Table 2. Morphometrics data of Opsanus beta (LEP-UFRRJ and MZUSP)

Character	Opsanus beta (Florida) (N = 4)	Opsanus brasiliensis = O. beta (Holotype and paratypes) (Santos, SP) (N = 32)	Opsanus brasiliensis = O. beta (Holotype) (Santos, SP) (N = 1)	<i>Opsanus beta</i> (Paranaguá, PR) (N = 2)	Opsanus beta (Western Caribbean Sea and Southern Gulf of Mexico) ^a (N = 9)	<i>Opsanus beta</i> (Sepetiba Bay, RJ, Present study) (N = 13)	
Standard length (mm)	30.3-126.6	81.5-247.0	242.0	107.3-200.5	77.5–200.0	73.2–265.0	
Percentages relative to standard length							
Head length	297.8-387.4	357.3-412.4	358.5	360.0-390.9	367.0-412.4	337.4-456.0	
Head width	229.2-297.4	273.3-346.0	326.0	268–294.4	246.0-324.0	250.2-255.2	
Head height	83.6-228.6	197.2–271.5	250.9	191.6-221.3	-	148.9-222.7	
Orbital diameter	49.5-104.5	41.7-68.4	42.1	49.8-64.0	63.0–92.0	62.8-64.0	
Interorbital width	37.6-52.3	41.3- 69.4	62.1	54.5-57.1	54.0-86.0	50.5–69.0	
Pre-dorsal distance	437.0-584.8	447.2-568.4	512.1	351.3-478.1	471.0-486.0	400.0-418.9	
Pre-anal distance	533.1-585.5	560.6-635.5	625.7	576.6-612.7	551.0-600.0	557.3-626.7	
Pectoral fin length	203.1-253.2	173.3-253.7	194.1	222.9-224.4	196.0-223.0	214.4-234.4	
Pelvic fin length	149.0-213.3	124.4–185.2	147.3	157.4–173.3	160.0-182.0	163.7-185.2	

N, number of individuals.

^aAdditional information from Collette (2001).

Table 3. Meristic data of Opsanus beta (LEP-UFRRJ and MZUSP).

Character	<i>Opsanus beta</i> (Florida) (N = 4)	<i>Opsanus brasiliensis</i> = <i>O.</i> <i>beta</i> (Holotype and paratypes) (Santos, SP) (<i>N</i> = 32)	<i>Opsanus beta</i> (Paranaguá, PR) (N = 2)	Opsanus beta (Western Caribbean Sea and Southern Gulf of Mexico) ^a (N = 9)	Opsanus beta (Sepetiba Bay, RJ Present study) (N = 13)
Dorsal lateral line papillae	25–28	23–30	23-25	25–29	22–26
Papillae of the ventral lateral line	23-26	18-28	21–22	22–25	21–24
Pectoral armpit glands	8–12	4–11	10-11	8–12	8-11
Dorsal fin rays	25–26	24–26	25	24–25	25–26
Anal fin rays	22	21–22	21	20–22	19–20
Pectoral fin rays	18–19	17–20	19	18–19	18–19

N, number of individuals.

^aAdditional information from Collette (2001).

Morphological identification	GenBank and BOLD identification	%	Voucher
Opsanus beta	Opsanus beta	100	LEP/ UFRRJ#2471
Opsanus beta	Opsanus beta	100	LEP/ UFRRJ#2473
Opsanus beta	Opsanus beta	100	LEP/ UFRRJ#2485
Opsanus beta	Opsanus beta	99.81	LEP/ UFRRJ#2466

 Table 4. Identification of sampled Opsanus beta using GenBank and BOLD databases

specimens of the Brazilian coast and other *Opsanus* species, including *O. beta*, were misleading. They did not consider the first pre-caudal vertebra that is under the developed supraoccipital crest present in *Opsanus* spp. (Collette, 2001). For this reason, *Opsanus brasiliensis* is a junior synonym of *O. beta* (Caires *et al.*, 2007) with 10–11 pre-caudal vertebrae and, therefore,

within the expected range for *O. beta* (Collette, 2001). Likewise, the colour patterns of Brazilian specimens (including the *O. brasiliensis* holotype) are similar to those individuals recorded between Belize and Florida by Collette (2002), especially the presence of several dark brown bars on the caudal and pectoral fins, the bars on the pectoral fins irregularly joined, and the small white spots of various sizes in the shape of a rosette along the body.

The use of DNA barcode-based delimitation of species has become an important alternative as a quick start for the taxonomic process (Ribeiro *et al.*, 2012). Here, our molecular analyses showed that specimens collected in Sepetiba Bay are genetically similar (>99.9%) to *O. beta* samples from Alabama/USA and Gulf of Mexico/USA, confirming their identity. However, the intraspecific split of three subclusters, with a maximum K2P divergence of 6.5%, might indicate taxonomic problems in this group, such as cryptic species or even misidentification of species (Ribeiro *et al.*, 2012; Gangan *et al.*, 2019). In this context, a deeper taxonomic and population knowledge about this group is necessary, since multiple records of *O. beta* in the Brazilian coast were reported in the last few years (Ribeiro *et al.*, 2012; Tomás *et al.*, 2012; Carvalho *et al.*, 2020; Cordeiro *et al.*, 2020) and



Table 5. Means and ranges of K2P distance values considering Opsanus beta species

	No. of specimens	Mean divergence (%)	Minimum (%)	Maximum (%)
Within species	15	3.0	0.0	6.5%
Between species	6	6.9	4.26	8.47

Note that a minimum K2P divergence between species is lower than the maximum intraspecies divergence, depicting a taxonomic issue.

whether these samples represent unique taxonomic units remains to be answered.

Our observations indicate that this species is using Sepetiba Bay as a breeding area. In general, males are in charge of protecting the spawning eggs that are more vulnerable to capture (Gray & Winn, 1961). When reproductively active, males settle in a nest (Gray & Winn, 1961) and create a tonal boat whistle sound to attract females (Møhl et al., 2000). Notably, it is known that vessel noises and dolphin sounds are detected by male toadfish that depressed calling rate during sound exposure (Krahforst et al., 2016). Although the repetitive boat noise might reduce mating success in busy shipping channels, the warm waters around mangrove areas in Sepetiba Bay, together with the lack of possible predators such as marine mammals (e.g. Sotalia guianensis and Tursiops truncatus) and some species of groupers - Alphestes afer, Dermatolepis inermis, Epinephelus striatus (Robins & Ray, 1986), are indicators of adequate conditions for the establishment and increase of new populations of O. beta. Opsanus beta is an

important component of trophic food webs in Florida's and Veracruz's subtropical estuarine communities, with a diet based mainly on fish (*Gobionellus oceanicus* (Pallas, 1770) and *Citharichthys spilopterus* Günther, 1862) (Serafy *et al.*, 1997) and crustaceans such as *Callinectes* spp. (López *et al.*, 2017). These prey species are also present in Sepetiba Bay (Araújo *et al.*, 2018). Because these consumed species are common in estuarine areas, this suggests that *O. beta* has a generalist feeding habit with a broad impact on the food webs.

Opsanus beta has territorial and aggressive behaviour, high predatory potential and is considered to be resistant to environmental changes, thus its potential impact where it has been introduced is a concern (Gray & Winn, 1961; Ferreira *et al.*, 2009). Barimo *et al.* (2007) show that *O. beta* was locally most abundant adjacent to the mangrove fringe of Florida Bay (USA) where the highest ammonia fraction was found, with numerous possible nitrogen sources including guano from avifauna and an accumulation of seagrass detritus and drift algae. These habitats offer the



Fig. 3. Histology of *Opsanus beta* reproductive organs. (A and B) Females. The spawning capable stage is characterized by the appearance of vitellogenic oocytes, the amount of lipid accumulation, follicular cells and zona pellucida (or zona radiata). (C and D) Males. The spawning capable stage is identified by the presence of spermatozoa (Sz) in the lumen of the lobules and in the sperm ducts and the presence of seminiferous tubules. N, nucleus; yellow arrow, follicular cells; black arrow, zona radiata; L, lipids; P, proteins; TA, tunic albuginea; d, seminiferous ducts.

toadfish refugia and are suitable spawning substrates. It may use anthropogenic litter and man-made structures as shelter and for a nest, so they are prone to inhabit artificial hard substrates like ship hulls, pilings in ports, etc. (Barimo *et al.*, 2007).

Occurrences of O. beta in the south-eastern Brazilian coast have been recorded in close proximity to port areas, such as Santos Port (23°59'S) (Rotundo et al., 2005; Ribeiro et al., 2012; Tomás et al., 2012), Paranaguá Bay Port (25°33'S) (Caires et al., 2007), Guanabara Bay Port (22°49'S) (Cordeiro et al., 2020) and Sepetiba Bay Port (22°55'S, present study). In addition to ports providing appropriate spawning habitats, it is likely that the presence of O. beta near port areas is associated with the discharges of ballast water from ships. Several studies have postulated that the introduction of this species was from ships' ballast water (e.g. Caires et al., 2007; Tomás et al., 2012), but we have yet to find concrete evidence to support this hypothesis (e.g. yet to be confirmed from ballast water specimens or eDNA). In addition, the construction of static maritime structures (SMS, e.g. oil and gas platforms, offshore wind farms, navigational buoys, non-cargo barges and dry docks) can be used as 'stepping stones' by marine non-indigenous species (Hewitt et al., 2009). Most SMS are characterized by their large and complex wetted surface area, providing space for fouling organisms, which may attract predators (Hewitt et al., 2009; Lacarella et al., 2019). Habitat provided by reefs placed in areas devoid of natural hard bottom or structure may be colonized by NIS propagules dispersed from natural or anthropogenic sources (Glasby et al., 2007). In the following text we review the linkages between NIS and constructed reefs, and recommend approaches for anticipating, assessing and controlling introductions of toadfishes.

An essential first step is that countries should have and keep up-to-date lists of non-indigenous species (NIS) recorded for their region. The digital platform https://www.bioinvasaobrasil. org.br was developed with the objective of making available records of invasive alien species in Brazil. We registered in this platform the individuals of O. beta captured in Sepetiba Bay. The Brazilian Federal Government adopted scientific criteria validated by experts to classify exotic species into three categories (detected, established and invasive) according to their population status after initial introduction, and their ecological, economic or health impact (Lopes & Villac, 2009). In this context, a recent report indicates that there are 76 non-indigenous species established that threaten native species, habitats or ecosystems in Brazil to date (Teixeira & Creed, 2020). Opsanus beta in Brazil can be considered established, because it is an 'introduced species detected on a recurring basis, with a complete life cycle in the wild and evidence of population increase over time in a restricted or wide region, but without apparent ecological or socioeconomic impacts' (Lopes & Villac, 2009). Although it is possible that O. beta will never become an invasive species ('invasive' category) in Brazil, its observation in the 'established' modality as a precursor to biological invasion must be considered with due attention when implementing programmes for prevention and control, according to the precautionary principle (Lopes et al., 2009).

The records of *O. beta* in Brazil demonstrate the necessity of conducting studies that allow understanding of the use of new environments by this non-indigenous species. Thus, it is important to generate biological and ecological information for this species, which will allow a more detailed knowledge about how individuals interact and participate in the different processes that take place in estuarine environments. For instance, efforts to detect areas where invasive fish species have been introduced might help to elaborate mitigation measures and such information would also be valuable to fine-tune the proposals for sustainable management and use of the coastal ecosystems. The management problems include predatory, competitive or displacement effects on indigenous fishes (Levin *et al.*, 2002); general homogenization of fish assemblages across areas that were

previously distinct (Rahel, 2000); the erosion of genetic biodiversity in otherwise isolated populations (Douglas & Brunner, 2002); and substantial structural and functional alterations to aquatic food webs (Townsend, 1996). In this context, we suggest that further studies should be directed to the search of effective alternatives for the management of fish introduction. Recently, Species Distribution Models (SDMs) have demonstrated their potential to become powerful and valuable tools in identifying high-risk areas and species and developing mitigation strategies (Seebens et al., 2016). However, it is critical to characterize and quantify the invasion vectors, and gather non-indigenous species records that are made publicly available. In this sense, it is very important to include information in digital platforms on the records of invasive and non-indigenous species, and verify morphological identification with genetic analysis and reproductive activity with histology of gonads or other means, as we have provided herein for O. beta in Sepetiba Bay. Moreover, it is important to keep monitoring programmes for biological communities in regions considered critical for the introduction of marine and estuarine species, such as port areas and localities close to mariculture farms of exotic species. Prevention is the most effective risk reduction approach because controlling marine NIS after introduction is expensive and offers limited probability for success (Carlton, 1996; Sheehy & Vik, 2010). Information from these programmes can help a precise diagnosis and early warning of the introduction of marine NIS and is crucial to develop monitoring and mitigating initiatives to deal with these concerns.

Acknowledgements. We thank Sergio Hiroshi fishermen from Ilha da Madeira for providing some of the individuals analysed here. We thank Denis Carvalho Leite da Silva for helping in fieldwork. We also thank Iracema David Gomes and Aparecida Alves do Nascimento for the histological analyses. Grants for M.F. de Andrade-Tubino from the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES). This research was conducted under SISBIO Collection of Species Permit number 10707 issued by ICMBio, Brazilian Environmental Agency.

Financial support. This study was partially supported by the Project Pesquisa Marinha e Pesqueira, a compensatory measure established by Conduct Adjustment Term responsibility of the Chevron Company, conducted by the Federal Public Ministry – MPF/RJ, with the implementation of the Fundo Brasileiro para a Biodiversidade – Funbio, Proc.16/2017.

References

- Araújo FG, Teixeira TP, Guedes APP, Azevedo MCC and Pessanha ALM (2018) Shifts in the abundance and distribution of shallow water fish fauna on the southeastern Brazilian coast: a response to climate change. *Hydrobiologia* **814**, 205–218.
- Barimo JF, Serafy JE, Frezza PE and Walsh P (2007) Habitat use, urea production and spawning in the gulf toadfish Opsanus beta. Marine Biology 150, 497–508.
- Barros NB and Wells RS (1998) Prey and feeding patterns of resident bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in Sarasota Bay, Florida. *Journal of Mammalogy* 79, 1045–1059.
- Bax N, Carlton JT, Mathews-Amos A, Haedrich RL, Howarth FG, Purcell JE, Rieser A and Gray A (2001) The control of biological invasions in the world's oceans. *Conservation Biology* 15, 1234–1246.
- Britton JR, Gozlan RE and Copp GH (2010) Managing non-native fish in the environment. *Fish and Fisheries* **12**, 256–274.
- Brown-Peterson NJ, Wyanski DM, Saborido-Rey F, Macewicz BJ and Lowerre-Barbieri SK (2011) A standardized terminology for describing reproductive development in fishes. *Marine and Coastal Fisheries* **3**, 52–70.
- Caires RA, Pichler HA, Spach HL and Ignácio JM (2007) Opsanus brasiliensis Rotundo, Spinelli & Zavalla-Camin, 2005 (Teleostei: Batrachoidiformes: Batrachoididae), junior synonym of Opsanus beta (Goode & Bean, 1880), with notes on the occurrence of the species off the Brazilian coast. Biota Neotropica 7, 135–139. (In Portuguese.)

- Carlton JT (1996) Pattern, process, and prediction in marine invasion ecology. Biological Conservation 78, 97–106.
- Carvalho BM, Júnior ALF, Fávaro LF, Artoni RF and Vitule J (2020) Human-facilitated dispersal of the Gulf toadfish *Opsanus beta* (Goode & Bean, 1880) in the Guaratuba Bay, south-eastern Brazil. *Journal of Fish Biology* **97**, 686–690.
- Clarke C, Hilliard R, Junqueira AOR, Leal Neto AC, Polglaze J and Raaymakers S (2004) Ballast Water Risk Assessment, Port of Sepetiba, Federal Republic of Brazil. Final Report. Globallast Monograph Series No. 14. London: International Maritime Organization.
- **Collette BB** (1974) A review of the coral toadfishes of the genus *Sanopus* with descriptions of two new species from Cozumel Island, Mexico. *Proceedings of Biological Society of Washington* **87**, 185–204.
- Collette BB (2001) Opsanus dichrostomus, a new toadfish (Teleostei: Batrachoididae) from the Western Caribbean Sea and southern Gulf of Mexico. Occasional Papers of the Museum of Zoology of the University of Michigan 731, 1–16.
- **Collette BB** (2002) Batrachoididae. In Carpenter KE (ed.), The Living Marine Resources of the Western Central Atlantic. Vol 2. Bony Fishes Part 1 (Acipenseridae to Grammatidae). FAO Species Identification Guide for Fishery Purposes and American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists Special Publication No. 5. Kent E. Carpenter. Rome: FAO, pp. 1026–1042.
- Cordeiro BD, Bertoncini AA, Abrunhosa FE, Corona LS, Araújo FG and dos Santos LN (2020) First report of the nonnative gulf toadfish *Opsanus beta* (Goode & Bean, 1880) on the coast of Rio de Janeiro – Brazil. *BioInvasions Records* 9, 279–286.
- Darrigan G and Damborenea C (2005) A South American bioinvasion case history: Limnoperma fortune (Dunker, 1857), the golden mussel. American Malacological Bulletin 20, 105–112.
- Davidson I and Simkanin C (2012) The biology of ballast water 25 years later. Biological Invasions 14, 9–13.
- **Douglas MR and Brunner PC** (2002). Biodiversity of central alpine *Coregonus* (Salmoniformes): impact of one-hundred years of management. *Ecological Applications* **12**, 154–172.
- Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32**, 1792–1797.
- Ferreira CEL, Junqueira AOR, Villac MC and Lopes RM (2009) Marine bioinvasions in the Brazilian coast: brief report on history of events, vectors, ecology, impacts and management of nonindigenous species. In Rilov G and Crooks JA (eds), *Biological Invasions in Marine Ecosystems: Ecological, Management, and Geographic Perspectives.* Berlin: Springer, pp. 459–477.
- Froese R and Pauly D (2020) *FishBase*. World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org, version.
- Gangan SS, Pavan-Kumar A and Jaiswar AK (2019) Multigene barcoding and phylogeny of selected Engraulidae species. *Mitochondrial DNA Part* A 30, 548–555.
- Glasby TM, Connell SD, Holloway MG and Hewitt CL (2007) Nonindigenous biota on artificial structures: could habitat creation facilitate biological invasions? *Marine Biology* **151**, 887–895.
- **Goode GB and Bean TH** (1880) Catalogue of a collection of fishes obtained in the Gulf of Mexico. *Proceedings of US National Museum* **2**, 333–345.
- Gray GA and Winn HE (1961) Reproductive ecology and sound production of scarecrow toadfish, *Opsanus tau. Ecology* **42**, 274–282.
- Greenfield DW, Winterbottom R and Collette BB (2008) Review of the toadfish genera (Teleostei: Batrachoididae). Proceedings of the California Academy of Science 4, 665–710.
- Hewitt CL, Gollasch S and Minchin D (2009) The vessel as a vector biofouling, ballast water and sediments. In Rilov G and Crooks JA (eds), *Biological Invasions in Marine Ecosystems. Ecological Studies (Analysis and Synthesis).* Berlin: Springer, **204**, pp. 117–131.
- **IUCN** (2018) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2018-1. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org.
- Krahforst CS, Sprague MW and Luczkovich JJ (2016) The impact of vessel noise on oyster toadfish (*Opsanus tau*) communication. *Proceedings of Meetings on Acoustics 4ENAL*. Acoustical Society of America, 27, 010031.
- Lacarella JC, Davidson IC and Dunham A (2019) Biotic exchange from movement of 'static' maritime structures. *Biological Invasions* 21, 1131– 1141.
- Leal Neto AC, Legey LFL, Gonzalez-Araya MC and Jablonski S (2006) A system dynamics model for the environmental management of the Sepetiba Bay watershed, Brazil. *Environmental Management* **38**, 879–888.

- Levin PS, Achord S, Feist BE and Zabel RW (2002) Non-indigenous brook trout and the demise of Pacific salmon: a forgotten threat? Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B – Biological Sciences 269, 1663–1670.
- Lopes RM and Villac MC (2009) Métodos. In Lopes RM, Coradin L, Pombo VB and Cunha DR (eds), *Informe sobre as espécies exóticas invasoras marinhas no Brasil.* Brasília: Ministério do Meio Ambiente – Secretaria de Biodiversidade e Florestas, pp. 19–28. (In Portuguese.)
- Lopes RM, Coradin L, Pombo VB and Cunha DR (2009) Informe sobre as espécies exóticas invasoras marinhas no Brasil. Brasília: Ministério do Meio Ambiente – Secretaria de Biodiversidade e Florestas. (In Portuguese.)
- López JF, González AGS, Arenas LGA, Sánchez CB, Escorcia HB, Pérez JAM, Rodríguez EP and Legorreta JLV (2017) Ecología y reproducción de Opsanus beta (Actinopterygii: Batrachoididae) en la Laguna de Alvarado, Veracruz, México. Revista de Biología Tropical 65, 1381–1396.
- Luypaert T, Hagan JG, McCarthy ML and Poti M (2020) Status of marine biodiversity in the Anthropocene. In Jungblut S, Wegener A, Liebich V and Bode-Dalby M (eds), YOUMARES 9 – The Oceans: our research, our future. Proceedings of the 2018 Conference for young marine researcher in Oldenburg, Germany. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-20389-4_4.
- Møhl B, Wahlberg M, Madsen PT, Miller LA and Surlykke A (2000) Sperm whale clicks: directionality and source level revisited. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **107**, 638–648.
- Nelson JS, Grande TC and Wilson MVH (2016) Fishes of the World, 5th edn. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Rahel FJ (2000) Homogenization of fish faunas across the United States. Science (New York, N.Y.) 288, 854–856.
- Ribeiro AO, Caires RA, Mariguela TC, Pereira LHG, Hanner R and Oliveira C (2012) DNA barcodes identify marine fishes of São Paulo State, Brazil. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 12, 1012–1020.
- Robins CR and Ray GC (1986) A Field Guide to Atlantic Coast Fishes of North America. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.

- Rotundo MM, Spinelli M and Zavala-Camin LA (2005) Description of a new species of *Opsanus* (Teleostei Batrachoididae) in the coast of São Paulo State, Brazil. *Revista Ceciliana* 16, 93–99. (In Portuguese.)
- Seebens H, Schwartz N, Schupp PJ and Blasius B (2016) Predicting the spread of marine species introduced by global shipping. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 113, 5646–5651.
- Serafy JE, Hopkins TE and Walsh PJ (1997) Field studies on the ureogenic gulf toadfish in a subtropical bay. I. Patterns of abundance, size composition and growth. *Journal of Fish Biology* **50**, 1258–1270.
- Sheehy DJ and Vik SF (2010) The role of constructed reefs in non-indigenous species introductions and range expansions. *Ecological Engineering* 36, 1–11.
- Simberloff D, Martin JL, Genovesi P, Maris V, Wardle DA, Aronson J, Courchamp F, Galil B, García-Berthou E, Pascal M, Pyšek P, Sousa R, Tabacchi E and Vilà V (2013) Impacts of biological invasions: what's what and the way forward. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 28, 58–66.
- Spector DL and Goldman RD (2006) Basic Methods in Microscopy. Chicago, IL: University Medical School.
- Stecher G, Tamura K and Kumar S (2020) Molecular Evolution Genetic Analysis (MEGA) for macOS. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 37, 1237–1239.
- Teixeira LMP and Creed JC (2020) A decade on: an updated assessment of the status of marine non-indigenous species in Brazil. Aquatic Invasions 15, 30–43.
- Tomás ARG, Tutui SLS, Fagundes L and Souza MR (2012) Opsanus beta: an invasive fish species in the Santos Estuary, Brazil. Boletim do Instituto de Pesca 38, 349–355.
- Townsend CR (1996). Invasion biology and ecological impacts of brown trout *Salmo trutta* in New Zealand. *Biological Conservation* **78**, 13–22.
- Ward RD, Zemlak TS, Innes BW, Last PR and Hebert PDN (2005) DNA barcoding Australia's fish species. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 360, 1847–1857.
- Yáñez-Arancibia A, Lara-Domínguez AL and Day Jr. JW (1993) Interactions between mangrove and seagrass habitats mediated by estuarine nekton assemblages: coupling of primary and secondary production. *Hydrobiologia* 264, 1–12.