

Faunal remains from recent excavations at Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1), a Late Lower Paleolithic open-air site in the Azraq Basin, Jordan

James T. Pokines^{a*}, Adrian M. Lister^b, Christopher J. H. Ames^{c,d}, April Nowell^d, Carlos E. Cordova^e

^aDepartment of Anatomy and Neurobiology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts 02118, USA; Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, 720 Albany Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02118, USA

^bVertebrates and Anthropology, Earth Sciences Department, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, United Kingdom

^cUniversity of Wollongong, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia 2522

^dDepartment of Anthropology, University of Victoria, Cornett Building B228, 3800 Finnerty Road, Victoria, British Columbia V8P 5C2, Canada

^eDepartment of Geography, Oklahoma State University, 337 Murray Hall, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078-4073, USA

(RECEIVED April 23, 2018; ACCEPTED September 4, 2018)

Abstract

Excavations from 2013 to 2015 at the site of Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1) in the Azraq Basin of eastern Jordan have yielded substantial late middle Pleistocene lithic assemblages in association with faunal remains. Faunal preservation is poor, but multiple taxa have been identified, including *cf. Panthera leo*, *Gazella* sp., *Bos* cf. *primigenius*, *Camelus* sp., *Equus* spp., *cf. Stephanorhinus hemitoechus*, *Palaeoloxodon* cf. *recki*, and *Elephas* cf. *hysudricus*. The overall Azraq habitat may have been most similar to a savanna ecosystem, with a mixture of open grassland/shrub habitats and more closed vegetation along the wetlands margins. These taxa were drawn to the relatively lush oasis environment, where they were a dietary resource of the hominin groups exploiting the wetlands resources.

Keywords: Middle Pleistocene; Paleolithic; Acheulean; Azraq; Jordan; Shishan Marsh 1; Fauna

INTRODUCTION AND SITE BACKGROUND

The goal of the Azraq Marshes Archaeological and Paleoecological Project (AMAPP) is to examine how the fluctuating Azraq wetlands and surrounding Azraq Basin environment have been exploited by hominins during the middle (781–126 ka) and late (126–12 ka) Pleistocene. One particular focus of the project is to examine the role of the wetlands as a desert refugium during times of increased regional aridity, as well as how changing climates affected local ecology, lithic technology, and hominin occupations around the wetlands (Cordova et al., 2009, 2013; Ames, 2014; Ames and Cordova, 2014, 2015; Ames et al., 2014a, 2014b; Bisson et al., 2014; Nowell, 2014; Pokines et al., 2014; Nowell et al., 2016; Walker, 2017). AMAPP also aims to situate these results within the larger patterns of Pleistocene biogeography in Jordan and southwest Asia in general (Pokines and Ames, 2015a). The present research examines the faunal remains derived from ongoing excavations at Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1), which is situated along the former wetlands margin and includes undisturbed Pleistocene deposits. These

archaeological deposits span a period when multiple hominin taxa may have occupied this region, which is an area that intermittently served as a part of a corridor for hominin and other taxa at a crossroads between Africa and Eurasia (Bisson et al., 2007a, 2007b; Bar-Yosef and Belmaker, 2011; Bar-Yosef and Belfer-Cohen, 2013; Stewart et al., 2017). The undisturbed Pleistocene deposits in the Azraq wetlands have yielded abundant lithic industries associated with faunal remains in generally poor condition because of postdepositional taphonomic processes.

Regional context

The greater Azraq Oasis area is located in the Azraq Basin in Jordan's Eastern Desert. This large inland basin is located almost completely within Jordan's borders, with small areas extending into southern Syria and northern Saudi Arabia. The total area approaches 13,000 km² (El-Naqa, 2010). The mean annual precipitation registered at Azraq in the center of the basin is 54 mm, with most precipitation concentrated in December through January (Shatnawi et al., 2014). Seasonal winter rainfall produces temporary but extensive pools inundating the low-lying salty mudflat ('*qa* in Arabic). The arid environment around the oasis at the center of the basin

*Corresponding author at: Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts 02118, USA. E-mail address: jamespokines@hotmail.com (J.T. Pokines).




Figure 1. (color online) Map of southwest Asia (A) and the Azraq region (B) showing the Near East sites named in the text: Qesem (1); Neve David, El Wad, Skhul, Tabun, Qafzeh, Meged, Ubeidiya, Ma'ayan Baruch (2–9); Tor Hamar (10); Wadi Hasa (11); Wadi Jilat 6 (12); Kharaneh IV (13); Uwaynid 14, 18 (14, 15); SM1, SM13, 'Ain Soda, 'Ayn Qasiyya, Azraq 18, C-Spring (16–21); Druze Marsh (22); Douara, El Kowm (23, 24); Sihi (25); Palegawra (26); Wezmeh (27); Baynunah (28); Al Sufouh 2 (29); and Shar-i-Sokhta (30). The black rectangle in panel A identifies the area shown in panel B.

sustains only Saharo-Arabian vegetation (Al-Eisawi, 1996; Woolfenden and Ababneh, 2011).

Until only a few decades ago, the wetlands were a thriving ecosystem supporting a diverse local fauna that included multiple mammalian species, abundant migratory avian species, and endemic and introduced fish (Nelson, 1973, 1985; Villwock et al., 1983; Andrews, 1995; Amr, 2000; Ramsey et al., 2015). The springs were fed by the uppermost aquifer that is recharged through the Jebel Druze basalt massif less than 100 km north in Syria (El-Naqa, 2010). The two major Azraq wetlands consisted of the Druze Marsh in the north and the Shishan Marsh in the south, with their former extents approximately 2 km² and 6 km², respectively (Ames and Cordova, 2015). Water pumping to feed the country's growing urban areas and agricultural needs far exceeded the natural ability of the aquifer to recharge, causing a large drop in the water table (Al-Kharabsheh, 2000). This drop led to the complete drying up of the spring-fed pools and a large loss of biodiversity (Al-Eisawi, 2005). In 1978, the Royal Society for the Conservation of Nature (RSCN) established the Azraq Wetland Reserve, and the implementation of artificial pumping allowed the restoration of a fragment of the former wetlands expanse as a nature preserve (France, 2010; RSCN, <http://www.rscn.org.jo/> [accessed 17 October 2017]). Open water today therefore is present only in the southern Shishan Marsh because of this pumping, and the northern Druze Marsh remains dry. The loss of permanent surface water has had the effect of exposing large areas of Paleolithic archaeological deposits, some of which have been previously surveyed and excavated. These include the Early Epipaleolithic site of 'Ayn Qasiyya (Richter et al., 2009; Jones and Richter, 2011; Ramsey et al., 2015), the Lower Paleolithic site of 'Ain

Soda (Rollefson et al., 1996, 1997a, 1997b; Dirks, 1998; Cordova et al., 2008), and the Druze Marsh Paleolithic site, also excavated under AMAPP (Ames et al., 2014a, 2014b; Ames and Cordova, 2015).

Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1)

The site of Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1) is located within the boundaries of the Azraq Wetland Reserve, at coordinates 31°49.93'N 36°49.13'E (Figs. 1 and 2). The northern edge of the site was exposed years earlier by mechanical earthmoving during attempts to create pooling spaces for water as the marshes began to dry up from the excessive groundwater pumping. Test excavations of SM1 began in 2013, with subsequent excavation in the 2014 and 2015 seasons. More than 20 m² of deposits have been excavated down to sterile sediment. Exposures SM2 through SM13 also have been examined in the immediate area to reconstruct the overall stratigraphy. The Lower Paleolithic site of 'Ain Soda is less than 100 m north of SM1, while the Early Epipaleolithic site of 'Ayn Qasiyya is less than 200 m northwest. Other sites in the area include a basalt wall adjacent to the extant marshes to the east of SM1, tentatively assigned to the Umayyad (early Islamic) period (Watson and Burnett, 2001), although additional research is underway to explore its origins.

Although the site's stratigraphy is currently undergoing analysis, some components of the depositional environment have been interpreted (Fig. 3). The middle Pleistocene occupation levels are located in a transitional environment between a receding lake margin and shallow ponds/marshy wetlands at the edge of a fan delta. The local bedrock is chert limestone of the Umm Rijam formation; level 10 on top of



Figure 2. Google Earth Pro (Google Earth, 2018) image of the Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1) site area (1), with nearby SM13 (2), 'Ain Soda (3), and 'Ayn Qasiyya (4). The satellite image date is March 3, 2016.

this consists of lacustrine clay-loam deposits, corresponding to lake recession. Level 9 is a high-energy facies of a fan delta that corresponds to alluvial influx. Level 8 is a low-energy fan delta facies optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dated to 266 ± 40 ka (ECU-0019; Nowell et al., 2016). Level 7b was formed through alluvial deposition, sheet erosion, and eolian silt accretion and was OSL dated to 125 ± 12 ka (ECU-0018). Level 7a was formed through eolian sandy silt accretion and has been OSL dated to 119 ± 40 ka (ECU-0017). The environments interpreted from these layers suggest that levels 8, 7c, and 7b correspond to marshes/pools receiving low-energy alluvial influx, and level 7a corresponds to subsequent desiccation of the wetlands margin. Levels 3 through 6 represent archaeologically sterile lacustrine and palustrine deposits. Level 2 is composed of heavily mixed Holocene deposits near the surface, with inclusions ranging from Acheulean artifacts to recent trash. This level has a high mollusc shell content from small marsh taxa, primarily gastropods (Kalbe et al., 2014).

The stone tool industries are undergoing analysis, with approximately 10,000 lithic artifacts excavated as of the 2015 field season. The sources of raw material are local flint nodules and small fluvial clasts from nearby wadi gravels. The lithic assemblage is characterized by small to moderately sized ovate and discoid bifaces and a predominance of small flake tools. Preliminary results of an ongoing site formation analysis, including the lack of postdepositional edge damage and the random distribution of object orientations, suggest that fluvial transport was not a significant site formation process—that is, the artifacts and faunal remains appear to be in their primary contexts (Ames et al., 2014b).




Figure 3. (color online) Stratigraphic profile of Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1) (Cordova et al., 2015).

Faunal history

Historically and prehistorically, the Azraq Basin supported multiple species of mammalian megafauna from the Palearctic (Europe, most of Asia, and North Africa) and Afrotropic (sub-Saharan Africa and the Arabian Peninsula) biogeographic ecological zones. Palearctic mammalian megafaunal species inhabiting the region included the steppe-dwelling *Equus caballus* (horse), *E. hemionus* (onager or Asiatic wild ass; locally extinct), and *E. hydruntinus* (European wild ass; extinct) (Ducos, 1975; Davis, 1980; Eisenmann, 1986; Eisenmann and Beckouche, 1986; Forsten, 1986; Groves, 1986; Uerpman, 1987; Bennett and Hoffman, 1999). Morphological analysis (Burke et al., 2003) and new genetic data (Orlando et al., 2006), however, indicate that *E. hydruntinus* may be a subspecies of *E. hemionus*.

The fauna also included *Elephas hysudricus*, the extinct ancestor of the modern Asian elephant (*E. maximus*), the core distribution of which is in the Indo-Malayan zone (Maglio, 1973; Shoshani and Eisenberg, 1982; Fleischer et al., 2001; Nanda, 2002, 2013; Vidya et al., 2009; Albayrak and Lister, 2012; Lister et al., 2013); *Stephanorhinus hemitoechus*, an extinct rhinoceros species associated with grassland/intermediate environments (Clutton-Brock, 1970, 1989; Fortelius et al., 1993; Pandolfi and Tagliacozzo, 2015; Rivals and Lister, 2016); and *Bos primigenius* (aurochs), the extinct ancestor of domesticated cattle (*B. taurus*) (van Vuure, 2003; Hall, 2008). Relatively little is known about the wild ancestor of domesticated dromedary camels (*Camelus dromedarius*), but their range likely included much of the southwest Asian region as indicated by their limited fossil history and adaptations to arid environments (Compagnoni and Tosi, 1978; Gauthier-Pilters and Dagg, 1981; Smuts and Bezuidenhout, 1987; Grigson et al., 1989; Köhler-Rollefson, 1991, 1993; Stanley et al., 1994; von den Driesch and Obermaier, 2007; von den Driesch et al., 2008; Beech et al., 2009). Other ungulate species formerly common in the region include three species of gazelle (*Gazella gazella*, *G. subgutturosa*, and *G. dorcas*) and the antelope species hartebeest (*Alcelaphus buselaphus*) (Osborn and Helmy, 1980; Harrison and Bates, 1991; Amr, 2000; Jones, 2012). *Gazella dorcas* and *A. buselaphus*, however, have today/historically a primarily Afrotropic range, as do the majority of antelope species.

Afrotropic carnivore species whose ranges also formerly extended into this region include lion (*Panthera leo*), spotted hyena (*Crocuta crocuta*), and cheetah (*Acinonyx jubatus*); the latter species was made locally extinct in Jordan and surrounding areas only in the past century (Harrison and Bates, 1991). Striped hyena (*Hyaena hyaena*) has a low population density in Jordan, and leopard (*Panthera pardus*) may be locally extinct; both carnivore species were formerly more abundant (Harrison and Bates, 1991; Amr, 2000). Multiple efforts also have been made to reintroduce Arabian oryx (*Oryx leucoryx*) into the region from captive stock (Jungius, 1985; Abu-Zinada et al., 1988; Gillet, 1989; Asmodé and Khoja, 1990). The Azraq environment that sustained the examined mammalian faunal community possibly had characteristics similar to modern East African environments, but with lower biodiversity. The local and migratory avian community was highly diverse, as is the modern one, with numerous species attracted to the wetlands (Andrews, 1995; Tyrberg, 1998) and with terrestrial species such as ostrich (*Struthio camelus*) (Garrard et al., 1988a, 1988b; Martin et al., 2013). Multiple mammalian microfaunal species are found in the Azraq Basin that are adapted to the desert environment (Harrison and Bates, 1991; Amr et al., 1997; Amr, 2000; Rifai et al., 2000; Abu Baker et al., 2005; Shehab and Ciach, 2008).

Excavation and analytical methods

Levels 7a–c and 8 were excavated in 1 m units divided into quadrants and following natural stratigraphic levels. For

thicker levels, quadrants were divided into vertical spits of 5 cm within the natural levels, meaning the maximum excavated context volume is a 50 × 50 cm area with a depth of 5 cm. The level 2 deposits were excavated in places by full 1 m unit to their full depth, because these deposits were mixed, and then screened. Site features and all artifacts, bone, and other objects at least 2.5 cm in maximum dimension were mapped using a Leica total station. Multiple points were taken to record the orientation on all mapped items where the length was at least 1.5 times greater than its width (i.e., where a true measurable orientation axis was present). All individual sediment lots were dry-screened through a 2 mm mesh. Where necessary, bone preservation in the field and during later analysis was aided by coating the surfaces with a dilute solution of Acryloid B-72 in acetone. Faunal identifications were facilitated by comparative collections at the Mammalogy Department, Peabody Museum, Harvard University (Cambridge, MA) and the Department of Mammalogy and the Division of Paleontology, American Museum of Natural History (New York). Where necessary, published sources also were consulted (e.g., Smuts and Bezuidenhout, 1987; Olsen, 1988; Steiger, 1990; Peters, 1998). Faunal size classes (I, II, etc.) follow those developed by Brain (1974) as based on adult live masses for southern African antelope fauna, and these are extended to all large vertebrates.

SM1 SITE CONTEXTS

Level 2

Level 2 was unique at the site of SM1 in that the remains of vertebrate microfauna, including fish, birds, herpetofauna, and Crustacea were also recovered. The bird and fish remains are undergoing analysis. The mammalian fauna also consisted largely of domesticated species or was consistent with these species. These deposits, however, are highly mixed, and their fauna can be used only as a general indicator of the presence of these taxa during the Holocene. Level 2b at exposure SM13, however, appears to be undisturbed deposits dating to ca. 20,000 yr BP and may correlate to Epipalaeolithic occupations at nearby 'Ayn Qasiyya (Richter et al., 2009).

Level 2 at SM1 also yielded a single piece of worked bone, a rounded portion of large mammal long bone shaft broken at the other end (Fig. 4). The maximum length is 5.5 cm. Its function and age are unknown, as it is consistent in form with multiple artifact types, including projectile tips or awls from the broader region (Edwards, 1991; Abuhelah et al., 2015).

Levels 7b, 7c, and 8

The level 7b deposits were contiguous across most of the excavated area, whereas the level 7c deposits excavated to date were not extensive and had only limited fauna recovered. The level 8 deposits were contiguous across all of the



Figure 4. (color online) Worked bone from level 2 Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1).

excavated area. Analysis of the lithic material from these levels at SM1 is Late Acheulean of the Azraq facies, which is characterized by small- to moderately sized ovate and discoid bifaces and a predominance of flake tools (Copeland, 1988). Level 7b and 7c deposits are dominated by both modified and unmodified Levallois flakes and noticeably fewer biface thinning flakes. By contrast, level 8 is characterized by more bifaces and biface reduction flakes.

The majority (73%) of complete tools and utilized flakes from SM1, except for bifaces and Levallois products, are smaller than 50 mm, with an average length of 42.02 mm and a median value of 39.85 mm. The majority of these small tools are made from fluvial clasts from local wadis. Included in this small-tool assemblage are a large number of tool types normally associated with the Upper Paleolithic, such as perçoirs (borers), burins, and endscrapers. Some of these tool types have been noted in other Lower Paleolithic small-tool assemblages as well (e.g., Brühl, 2003; Chazan et al., 2012; Zaidner, 2013), although they are normally quite rare.

Disturbed deposits

Although multiple faunal remains were noted among the disturbed deposits, only the fragments referred to *Elephas cf. hysudricus* are analyzed here, as their zoogeographic/temporal association with the levels 7b, 7c, and 8 deposits is likely, and their preservation state generally matches the faunal remains recovered from the intact deposits and in particular the dental remains of *Palaeoloxodon cf. recki* (see Proboscidea, below).

Other SM exposures

Other vertical exposures near SM1 yielded limited amounts of faunal remains that were collected for analysis. Their taphonomic condition was similar throughout to the SM1 fauna, and their stratigraphic levels could be linked into the sequence of SM1. One of these, SM13 yielded small amounts of identifiable faunal remains that are noted subsequently.

TAXONOMIC AND OSTEOLOGICAL REPRESENTATION

The preliminary data for faunal identifications from SM1 (and SM13) are presented in Table 1. Overall faunal preservation, with the exception of level 2 at SM1, was poor and likely created a significant bias favoring the representation of larger species over smaller ones. Subfossil bone integrity was typically poor and highly friable, making only limited identifications possible. Multiple instances were noted of bone degrading until only a “ghost” (Gordon and Buikstra, 1981) remained visible in the sediments. The poor preservation was likely attributable in large part to low pH in the deposits. Bone surfaces were usually degraded, so evidence of butchery practices in the form of cut marks if formerly present was not obtainable. The dentin portions of the recovered teeth were often in very poor condition or largely eroded away relative to the enamel portions, leading to high amounts of tooth fragmentation. Fragments of bones and teeth therefore formed a large proportion of the faunal sample, and the majority of these were tooth and long bone shaft fragments, as the postdepositional destructive processes selectively eliminated the more fragile cortical and cancellous structures of the epiphyses as well as bones with low structural densities (e.g., skulls, vertebrae, and ribs; Lyman, 1994). Some recovered elements also were relatively highly identifiable despite heavy fragmentation, especially in the case of tooth fragments of elephants. As noted, fragments from disturbed deposits adjacent to the site consistent with having derived from a single partial molar of *Elephas cf. hysudricus* were able to be refit. All results discussed subsequently pertain to SM1 unless otherwise noted.

Crustacea

A single element (a distal pincer) identified to Crustacea was identified from level 2. Only one species of decapod is reported as endemic to Azraq, *Potamon potamios* (Scates, 1968), and this element is consistent with this species. This freshwater crab species is reported as having been abundant in the Azraq pools in the past, and its present eastern Mediterranean range includes Sinai, Jordan, southern Anatolia, and Greece (Bilgin and Fidanbaş, 2011). *Potamon potamios* has been identified from Paleolithic and Neolithic sites in the

Table 1. Faunal representation from Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1) and SM13. MNI, minimum number of individuals; NISP, number of identified specimens.

Taxon	SM1								SM13					
	2 NISP	2 MNI	7b NISP	7b MNI	7c NISP	7c MNI	8 NISP	8 MNI	Disturbed deposits NISP	Disturbed deposits MNI	2b NISP	2b MNI	7b NISP	7b MNI
Crustacea	1	1												
Amphibia	1	1												
Osteichthyes	56 ^a	^b												
Aves	58 ^a	^b												
Bovid	14	N/A	61	N/A			39	N/A						
<i>Ovis/Capra</i>	24	2												
<i>Gazella</i> sp.							1	1						
<i>Bos primigenius</i>			2	1			1	1				1	1	
<i>Bos taurus</i>	8	1												
<i>Camelus dromedarius</i>	9	1												
<i>Camelus</i> sp.			10	1	1	1	21	1						
<i>Canis familiaris</i>	2	1												
Carnivore—large cf. <i>Panthera leo</i>			1	1			1	1						
<i>Elephas</i> cf. <i>hysudricus</i>									1	1				
Elephantid indet.									1 mult.	1 N/A				
<i>Palaeoloxodon</i> cf. <i>recki</i>			23	1			27	1						
<i>Equus</i>	1	N/A	4	N/A			8	N/A						
<i>Equus asinus</i>	5	2												
<i>Equus caballus</i>	1	1	1	1			3	1						
<i>Equus</i> cf. <i>hemionus</i> / <i>hydruntinus</i>			1	1	1	1	1	1			2	1		
cf. <i>Stephanorhinus</i> <i>hemitoechus</i>			11	1			7	1						
<i>Sus scrofa</i>	1	1												
Mammal	514	N/A	1030	N/A	177	N/A	1452	N/A						
Ungulate	29	N/A	394	N/A	13	N/A	265	N/A						

^aEstimated.^bMNI not estimated; skeletal remains under analysis.

region (Turnbull and Reed, 1974; Edwards, 1991; Simmons et al., 2001; Munro et al., 2016) and was a potential dietary resource for the Paleolithic inhabitants of Azraq.

Osteichthyes

All fish remains (n = 56) identified to date come from level 2, likely because of preferential postdepositional destruction of smaller or less dense bones in the Pleistocene levels. Only one fish species is endemic to Azraq, the Azraq toothcarp (*Aphanius sirhani*) (Nelson, 1973; Villwock et al., 1983), and the fish remains are generally too large to have come from this species. Multiple potentially commercial species have been deliberately introduced into the Azraq marshes or into privately excavated pools in the area over the years, including African sharptooth catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*), redbelly tilapia (*Tilapia zillii*), blue tilapia (*Oreochromis aureus*), common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), hound's barbel (*Barbus canis*), and Jordan bream (*Acanthobrama lissneri*) (Nelson, 1973; Khoury et al., 2012). Not

all of these species are still found in the area, as in the case of the African sharptooth catfish that was introduced into the Azraq marsh system, but this species has not been seen since the year 2000; Jordan bream, however, still breeds in the Shishan Marsh (Khoury et al., 2012). Multiple introduced fish species therefore may be represented in the Holocene deposits.

Amphibian

A single element from level 2 (a partial left humerus) was identified to Amphibia. The preservation of this element was poor, but it is most consistent in morphology with the widespread species green toad (*Bufo viridis*). This species and the Levantine frog (*Rana bedriagae*) are the only amphibians currently found in Azraq (Disi et al., 2001). Either of these species would have required the wetlands environment to reproduce, although the adults of the toad species can live away from water.



Figure 5. (color online) First phalanx of *Gazella* sp., level 8, Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1).

Aves

Multiple avian elements ($n = 58$) were recovered from level 2, and these are under analysis. The Azraq Oasis is a home to multiple species of breeding bird and is a stopping place for multiple migratory species (Nelson, 1973, 1985). Bird remains have been identified from multiple Paleolithic sites within the Azraq Basin (Garrard et al., 1988a, 1988b; Byrd and Garrard, 1990; Tyrberg, 1998; Martin et al., 2010, 2013) and the broader southwest Asian region (Tchernov, 1993), but the preservation conditions in levels 7b, 7c, and 8 at SM1 have not been conducive to the survival of these relatively fragile elements. Proteins from avian tissue, subfamily Anatinae (ducks, etc.), have been detected on three stone tool cutting edges from SM1 level 7b (Nowell et al., 2016), so this resource clearly was exploited during those earlier occupations.

Bovidae

Multiple bovid species have been identified from SM1. Level 2 has yielded multiple elements identified to domesticated sheep/goat (*Ovis/Capra*; $n = 24$; minimum number of individuals [MNI] = 2) and cattle (*Bos taurus*; $n = 8$; MNI = 1) that require no further elaboration here. Additional bovid remains ($n = 14$) from this level are consistent in size and morphology with these taxa.

Level 8 yielded a single element (nearly complete, fused, first row phalanx; Fig. 5) of gazelle (*Gazella* sp.) morphologically consistent with goitered gazelle (*G. subgutturosa*) or

mountain gazelle (*G. gazella*). The latter species, however, has a current distribution in the higher terrain and coastal plains of the Levant and the Arabian Peninsula (Harrison and Bates, 1991; Rabinovich and Hovers, 2004). Goitered gazelle was formerly common in many arid environments within Jordan, including sand deserts, but today is encountered only rarely (Amr 2000); its range includes the Levant, the Arabian Peninsula, and eastern Turkey, extending east through the Iranian region as far as western China (Harrison and Bates, 1991). Its diet consists largely of grasses and perennial dwarf shrubs, and large herds of 50–100 individuals are known (Harrison and Bates, 1991; Amr, 2000). This species was hunted in large numbers at the Azraq region Epipaleolithic sites of Kharaneh IV and Wadi Jilat 6 (Byrd and Garrard, 1990; Martin et al., 2010) and also has been identified from the Upper Paleolithic site 784X at Wadi Hasa (Clark et al., 1988) and the Epipaleolithic levels at Wadi Uwaynid 18 (Garrard et al., 1988a, 1988b; Byrd and Garrard, 1990) and Tor Hamar (Henry and Garrard, 1988). Goitered gazelle is known also from other sites in the broader region, as at the late Pleistocene site of Palegawra Cave in Iraq (Bakken, 2000) and among Pleistocene contexts at El Kowm in Syria (Jagher et al., 2015). Gazelle species can subsist without sources of water and obtain the moisture that they require from their diet alone, including metabolic water, although these species will exploit water sources when available (Harrison and Bates, 1991). The wetlands margins therefore may have attracted large herds of these animals at once. The fragility of their skeletal elements relative to the larger mammalian species, however, may have reduced their recovery from the SM1 deposits.

Remains identifiable to wild cattle or aurochs (*Bos primigenius*) were recovered in small amounts from SM1 levels 7b and 8. The osseous remains consist only of a fragmentary maxillary premolar and a partial mandibular second molar from level 7b and a fragmentary molar from level 8 of SM1; all teeth were adult. The preservation is such that no other firm conclusions can be drawn about wear stage or other parameters. In addition, a single partial molar (a fragment of an M_2 or M_3) was identified from level 7b of SM13.

Cattle diet consists primarily of grasses, and their range during the Pleistocene and early Holocene included open, mixed, and more closed environments throughout much of the subarctic Palearctic, from western Europe through East Asia and India, including the Levantine Near East and North Africa but not desert Arabia (van Vuure, 2003). *Bos primigenius* has been recovered from other nearby sites, including the Acheulean deposits at C-Spring (Clutton-Brock, 1970, 1989; Copeland, 1991) and 'Ain Soda (Lister et al., 2013), and the Epipaleolithic deposits at Kharaneh IV and Wadi Jilat 6 (Martin et al., 2010), 'Ayn Qasiyya (Jones and Richter, 2011), and Wadi Uwaynid 14 and 18 and Azraq 18 (Garrard et al., 1988a, 1988b; Byrd and Garrard, 1990; Garrard, 1991). Multiple sites in the coastal Levant also have yielded this species, including the Geometric Kebaran deposits at Neve David Cave (Bar-Oz et al., 1998); Kebaran deposits at Ein Gev I (Davis, 1974; Marom and Bar-Oz, 2008); Natufian,

Table 2. Remains identified to wild *Camelus* from Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1), Pleistocene levels 7b, 7c, and 8.

Level	n	Element/portion	Figure 6
7b	1	Partial left M ²	
7b	1	Partial right M ³	A
7b	1	Vertebra C5/C6 anterior articular process	H
7b	1	Vertebra C7 body	I
7b	5	Fragment/partial molar	
7b	1	Right fibula, ~complete	F
7c	1	Partial molar	
8	1	Vertebra C2 body, caudal portion	J
8	1	Left calcaneus, ~complete	D
8	1	Partial probable left M ³	
8	1	Right unciform, ~complete	E
8	1	First phalanx, distal portion	G
8	1	Right fibula, ~complete	
8	1	Partial mandibular incisor	
8	1	Metacarpal midshaft fragment	B
8	11	Fragment/partial molar	
8	1	Right external and middle cuneiform, ~complete	C
8	1	Sesamoid, ~complete	

Kebaran, Aurignacian, and Mousterian deposits at Hayonim and Upper Paleolithic deposits at Meged (Stiner, 2005); Mousterian deposits at Qafzeh (Bouchud, 1974) and Amud (Rabinovich and Hovers, 2004); and middle Pleistocene deposits at Qesem Cave (Stiner et al., 2009).

Unidentified bovid remains are also present from levels 7b and 8. The size range of these includes *Bos primigenius*, but some fragments may correspond to smaller bovid species in the size class II or III range. Some of these therefore could have come from *Gazella* (size class II). Other medium-sized (size class III) bovids that have been identified in the area include hartebeest (*Alcelaphus buselaphus*) and possible *Boselaphus* sp. from the Acheulean site of C-Spring (Clutton-Brock, 1970, 1989; Copeland, 1991). *Alcelaphus buselaphus* used to be present throughout the southwest Asian region, including the coastal Levant, as at the Geometric Kebaran deposits at Neve David Cave (Bar Oz et al., 1998), the Aurignacian at Hayonim D (Stiner, 2005), and the Upper Paleolithic and Mousterian deposits at Qafzeh (Bouchud, 1974).

Camelidae

Multiple elements (n=9) of the domesticated species dromedary camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) were identified from level 2 and require no further elaboration of this common desert pack animal. Other remains of the size and morphology closest to modern *C. dromedarius* were identified from levels 7b, 7c, and 8 (Table 2) and require further description, as the evolutionary history of the wild antecedent of domesticated dromedary camel is poorly known (Uerpmann, 1987; Martini et al., 2015). The wild antecedent of domesticated dromedary camel is presumed to have inhabited the Arabian Peninsula area, but fossil remains substantiating this

hypothesis have been limited, possibly because of the destructive taphonomic processes of remains deposited in desert environments (Pokines and Ames, 2015b; Stewart et al., 2017). The nomenclature *Camelus dromedarius* was originally applied (Linné) to the domesticated form of this species, with no other name assigned to its wild ancestral form, as none was known (Uerpmann, 1987). To differentiate the two, the modifier “wild” will be applied when discussing the nondomesticated form recovered from sites clearly pre-dating its domestication.

Two forms of camel exist today, one-humped domesticated dromedaries and two-humped domesticated bactrian camels (*Camelus bactrianus*). Multiple theories existed in the past regarding their relationship, but it is clearer now that they are the domesticated forms of two distinct species likely derived from separate wild forms (Peters, 1998; Uerpmann and Uerpmann, 2002). A remnant population of wild (potentially feral) *C. bactrianus* still exists in the Gobi Desert. These domesticated forms, however, are closely related (Steiger, 1990; Stanley et al., 1994) and can produce at least partially fertile offspring (Köhler-Rollefson, 1993; Çakırlar and Berthon, 2014). Domesticated dromedaries are remarkably adapted to warm, arid environments (Köhler-Rollefson, 1991, 1993), whereas bactrian camels are adapted for colder environments. Domestication of the dromedary may have taken place in Arabia at least by the second millennium BC (Köhler, 1984; Wapnish, 1984; Uerpmann and Uerpmann, 2002; Spassov and Stoytchev, 2004), and the bactrian camel was domesticated in the Iranian region, as at the site of Shari-Sokhta (Compagnoni and Tosi, 1978; Olsen, 1988; Köhler-Rollefson, 1993) or farther east (Peters and von den Driesch, 1997). Domesticated dromedaries spread rapidly to the surrounding semiarid and arid regions including coastal Levant and North Africa as their value for transportation and other uses was recognized (Köhler, 1984; Wapnish, 1984; Köhler-Rollefson, 1993; Grigson, 2002; Sapir-Hen and Ben-Yosef, 2013). Domesticated bactrian camels were spread west at least as far as the Mesopotamian civilizations (Heide, 2011). The two species are difficult to differentiate osteologically (Wapnish, 1984; Olsen, 1988; Steiger, 1990), further confusing their recent fossil history.

The fossil history of the Camelidae in the broader region also includes an extinct giant camel, *Camelus thomasi* (Gautier, 1966; Uerpmann, 1987; Peters, 1998), and other large, not fully described forms (Martini et al., 2015). *Camelus thomasi* was considerably larger than extant *C. dromedarius*, by 20% or more in body size (Peters, 1998). This species is known from North Africa, including Tighennif in Algeria (Martini and Geraads, 2018), and it or other large-bodied camelid is known from sites in the Negev Desert (Grigson, 1983) and Nadaouiyeh Ain Askar (El Kowm) in Syria (Reynaud Savioz and Morel, 2005). It has been suggested that this species is more closely related on morphological grounds to *C. bactrianus* (Gautier, 1966), but this has been rejected by other authors who have found that it is closer morphologically to *C. dromedarius* (Peters, 1988). Its evolutionary relationship to the two modern domesticated



Figure 6. (color online) Examples of wild *Camelus* remains from Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1): partial right M^3 (A), metacarpal midshaft fragment (B), right external and middle cuneiform (C), left calcaneus (D), right unciform (E), right fibula (F), distal first phalanx (G), C5/C6 vertebra anterior articular process (H), C7 vertebra body (I), and C2 vertebra body, caudal portion (J). (A three-dimensional image of the left calcaneus is available for free download; see the Supplementary Materials).

species is unknown (Martini and Geraads, 2018), and it became extinct by the end of the Pleistocene (Peters, 1998).

Other nearby sites that have remains identified to wild ancestral *Camelus dromedarius* include the Acheulean deposits at C-Spring (Clutton-Brock, 1970, 1989; Copeland,

1991), the Early Upper Paleolithic deposits at Wadi Uwaynid 18 (Garrard et al., 1998a, 1988b), and the Pre-Pottery Neolithic B (PPNB) deposits at Ain El-Assad (Turnbull, 1989). It may also have been present in the Mousterian deposits of Mugharet-el-Emireh (Hedges et al., 1987),



Figure 7. (color online) Partial lower right adult canine (C_1), cf. *Panthera leo*, level 8, Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1).

Qafzeh (Bouchud, 1974), and Tabun (Payne and Garrard, 1983) in the coastal Levant. Multiple sites in the El Kowm Basin in Syria have yielded relatively abundant Camelidae remains from the Pleistocene (Boëda et al., 2001; Reynaud Savioz and Morel, 2005; Jagher et al., 2015), and these remains are under study (Martini et al., 2015); Payne (1983) also reported *Camelus* from Douara Cave in Syria. Intrusion of more recent camel remains into deeper site deposits may have been a factor in site formation at multiple sites, because accelerator mass spectrometry dating on bone of the *Camelus* remains from Tabun, Mugharet-el-Emireh, and C-Spring indicated that some mixing from more recent deposits has occurred (Hedges et al., 1987). It is important to note that the Pleistocene deposits at SM1 were sealed by multiple undisturbed sterile levels, so the intrusion of recent domesticated dromedary camel remains into the lower deposits of this site effectively can be ruled out.

The remains of large numbers of wild dromedary camels that were hunted are known from some Arabian Peninsula sites, including Al Sufouh 2 in the United Arab Emirates, dated by artifact association to the second half of the third to second half of the second millennium AD (von den Driesch and Obermaier, 2007; von den Driesch et al., 2008). The site of Baynunah, also in the United Arab Emirates, has the remains of wild dromedaries directly ^{14}C dated to the second half of the fifth millennium BC (Beech et al., 2009). A dromedary mandible from the shell midden site of Sihi in Saudi Arabia yielded a direct ^{14}C date of ca. 7000 BC, which may come from a Neolithic level (Grigson et al., 1989), although the dating of this find may be flawed (Peters, 1998). Wild dromedaries may have died out in various areas during the Bronze Age, with their replacement among faunal assemblages by the domesticated form (Uerpmann and Uerpmann, 2002).

The wild *Camelus* remains from levels 7b, 7c, and 8 of SM1 include teeth and postcranial elements (complete inventory in Table 2). The taphonomic conditions at the site mostly favored the preservation of small, dense elements, although in contradiction to the overall pattern for these levels, some portions of vertebrae also were identifiable to this species. All elements where determinable were fully



Figure 8. (color online) Canine tooth (adult, partial, probable upper right) of unidentified large carnivore, level 7b, Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1).

fused and/or of adult size. The identified elements include a partial upper right third molar (M^3) (Fig. 6A) in poor condition from level 7b; a partial molar from level 7c; and a metacarpal midshaft fragment (Fig. 6B) and an approximately complete right external and middle cuneiform (Fig. 6C) from level 8.

The left calcaneus (Fig. 6D) from level 8 has a maximum length of 141 mm and maximum antero-posterior breadth of 47 mm, following the methods described by von den Driesch (1976). Gautier (1966) also measured the antero-posterior and transverse (medio-lateral) breadth inferior to the proximal tuberosity of the calcaneus. The maximum length and two additional calcaneus dimensions place it well below the size reported for *Camelus thomasi* by Gautier (1966) and closest to the measurements for *Camelus dromedarius* (Table 3). The distal articular facet measures 42 mm, following the method described by Steiger (1990, p. 88). This measurement places the SM1 calcaneus closer to the average for *Camelus bactrianus* (44.5 mm) than *C. dromedarius* (38.6 mm) (Steiger, 1990, p. 100). In addition, the comparative morphology of the calcaneus of *C. thomasi*, *C. dromedarius*, and *C. bactrianus* was detailed by Peters (1998) and Steiger (1990, p. 61). The SM1 calcaneus has some post-mortem eroded areas, including the sustentaculum tali, so not all points could be compared. Overall, the calcaneus is most consistent in morphology with *C. dromedarius*, including the relatively narrow shaft, lesser width of the proximal end, shape of the posterior margin, and pattern of the disto-medial articular surfaces.

The right unciform from level 8 identified to *Camelus* is largely intact but in poor condition, with some areas of erosion (Fig. 6E). The morphology of this element also is more consistent with *C. dromedarius* than *C. bactrianus* (Steiger, 1990, p. 41), including the more rectangular shape of the proximal articular facet/body. The other carpals identified to *Camelus* are too eroded to be diagnostic. The *Camelus* fibulae from levels 7b and 8 are partially eroded and lack their proximal processes, but their overall morphology also is more consistent with *C. dromedarius* (Steiger, 1990, p. 57). The right *Camelus* fibula from level 7b (Fig. 6F) was intact

Table 3. Comparison of measurements of *Camelus* left calcaneus from Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1), Pleistocene level 8. All comparative data follow Gautier (1966). All measurements are in millimeters and follow von den Driesch (1976) and Gautier (1966).

Calcaneus measurement	SM1 <i>Camelus</i> sp.	<i>C. thomasi</i>	<i>C. dromedarius</i>	<i>C. bactrianus</i>
Total length	141	198	140	147
Antero-posterior breadth inferior to proximal tuberosity	38	36	39	43
Transverse breadth inferior to proximal tuberosity	20	53	20	28

enough for measurement, and the maximum width of its proximal articular surface is 19 mm, following the method described by Steiger (1990, p. 88). The right fibula from level 8 was more eroded and yielded a maximum width of 22 mm. These dimensions place the SM1 right fibulae closer to the average for *C. dromedarius* (22.2 mm) than *C. bactrianus* (26.1 mm), and below the minimum value (23.0 mm; $n = 8$) for the latter species (Steiger, 1990, p. 98). The distal first row phalanx (Fig. 6G) was partially eroded and has a maximum surviving distal breadth of 37 mm. The *Camelus* tooth and vertebral fragments are too fragmentary to supply sufficient species information. The partial M^2 and M^3 (Fig. 6A) from level 7b are large and consistent in size with modern examples of adult male *C. dromedarius*; the maximum surviving mesiodistal length of the M^3 is 50 mm. These molars display minimal occlusal wear, as does the partial probable M^3 from level 8.

The overall recovery pattern for the identifiable wild *Camelus dromedarius* remains largely reflects density-mediated destruction, whereby most elements surviving consist of teeth and small, dense bones of the front and rear feet/ankles. The exception to this pattern is the recovery of three identifiable cervical vertebra portions (Fig. 6H, I, and J), which was atypical for the Pleistocene levels. The camel teeth were also substantially smaller than those of the elephant species and *Stephanorhinus hemitoechus* yet substantially larger than those of *Bos primigenius*, expediting their assignment to *Camelus*; this artifact of the species representing the faunal size classes present may have served to inflate the number of elements that could be assigned to this genus.

Carnivora

Remains ($n = 2$) from domesticated dog (*Canis familiaris*) have been identified only from level 2 and require no further description. This species is ubiquitous in the area, and golden jackal (*Canis aureus*) has been spotted near the site within the Azraq Wetland Reserve during excavations of SM1 (personal observation, JTP, 2015).

A single tooth, a partial lower right adult canine in highly fragmented condition (Fig. 7) from level 8, has been tentatively identified to lion (*Panthera leo*). In addition to its African range, this species was present through the Near East region and into southeastern Europe well into historical times, and a protected remnant population still exists in India (Haas et al., 2005). The site of Nadaouiyeh Ain Askar at El

Kowm in Syria has also yielded the remains of *P. leo* (Morel, 1997; Reynaud Savioz and Morel, 2005), and Payne (1983) reports *Panthera* cf. *leo* from Douara Cave in Syria. This large predator likely exploited some of the same mammalian faunal resources as the hominins utilizing the SM1 site, which was located near the wetlands margin and a therefore natural attractant for herds of herbivores. Felid protein also was tested for on the stone tool sample (Nowell et al., 2016), but no results were consistent with felid.

An additional canine tooth (adult, partial, probable upper right) from level 7b was identified to large carnivore (Fig. 8). The species represented is most consistent with spotted hyena (*Crocuta crocuta*), but not to the exclusion of other large carnivores likely present in the area, including leopard (*Panthera pardus*); other taxa including lion and cheetah (*Acinonyx jubatus*) can be excluded by their size (too large and too small, respectively). The range of spotted hyena and leopard formerly included this area (Harrison and Bates, 1991), and either would have been attracted to the abundant mammalian faunal species at the water margins. The poor condition of this tooth precludes definitive morphological assessment at the present time.

Proboscidea

Elephantid remains were identified from level 7b ($n = 23$) and 8 ($n = 27$). All elements except one consist of molar fragments, some of which could be reassembled into portions of individual teeth. Preservation conditions favored dissolution/degradation of the cementum, so molars tended to fragment into individual enamel lamellae with associated dentin. In addition to the dental remains, one fragment of vertebral body consistent with an elephant and inconsistent with any other species from the site was identified from level 8.

The fragments of molar from level 8 consist of five large portions and numerous smaller fragments. The large pieces could be partially reconstructed into two paired maxillary molars. The left one (Fig. 9) was reconstructed from four pieces (anterior to posterior: SM1-3398, SM1-3418, SM1-3419, and SM1-3420). These sections have six, four, two, and two lamellae, respectively, plus an anteriormost element that appears to be the talon, so the lamellar formula is $x14-$. Occlusal wear extends posteriorly to the 11th or 12th lamella. The matching right molar (SM1-2915; Fig. 10) consists of seven anterior lamellae, all with occlusal wear, and is interpreted as $x7-$. The detailed shape and wear stage of the left and right molars are closely similar. The identification of



Figure 9. (color online) Upper left partial (probable third) molar, *Palaeoloxodon* cf. *recki*, assembled from four pieces (left to right: SM1-3398, SM1-3418, SM1-3419, and SM1-3420), level 8, lateral (top) and occlusal (bottom) views. Anterior is to the left. SM1, Shishan Marsh 1. (Three-dimensional images of the molar fragments are available for free download; see the Supplementary Materials).

these teeth as the third (last) molars is supported by their large size (especially length of the left molar), number of lamellae (significantly > 14 when complete) on any plausible specific determination, and the visible narrowing of the crown toward the posterior: maximum width of the crown, on the 6th or 7th lamella, is 100 mm (Table 4), but on the 14th lamella, it is only 75 mm.

The shape of the enamel lamellae, visible both on the worn surface of the teeth (Figs. 9 and 10) and in the CT scans (Fig. 11), shows several features that together are strongly suggestive of the genus *Palaeoloxodon* (straight-tusked elephants). These are as follows: (a) Fine, tightly packed, low amplitude crenulations along the length of the lamellae (Figs. 9, 10, and 11); (b) Lamellae in early wear (lamellae 7–10 on the occlusal surface) show a dot-dash-dot pattern (i.e., short lateral and medial loops, and a long central loop). On the occlusal surface, lamella 11 shows a row of ~7 digits that fuses to form central “dash” lower in the crown, with separate “dots” visible laterally and medially. In the highest CT scan (Fig. 11), this pattern can be seen on lamellae 10–14; (c) Median anterior and posterior sinuses. On the occlusal surface, lamellae 3–4 show sharp points, strongest posteriorly; lamellae 5–6 have a broader median expansion including two main points posteriorly and one anteriorly; and lamella 7 shows median points anteriorly and posteriorly on the central loop. In the CT scans (Fig. 11), anterior and posterior sinuses are particularly



Figure 10. (color online) Upper right partial (probable third) molar (SM1-2915), *Palaeoloxodon* cf. *recki*, level 8, medial (top) and occlusal (bottom) views. Anterior is to the left. SM1, Shishan Marsh 1. (A three-dimensional image of the molar fragment is available for free download; see the Supplementary Materials).

clear in lamellae 10–14, with double sinuses visible on more anterior lamellae.

Metrical data (Table 4) also support the attribution of this specimen to *Palaeoloxodon*. In Figure 12a, M^3 hypsodonty index and lamellar frequency are plotted for the latest named African subspecies of *P. recki*, *P. recki recki* from East Africa (ca. 1.0–0.5 Ma), and European *P. antiquus* (ca. 0.4–0.12 Ma). In addition, samples of *Elephas hysudricus* from the Siwalik Hills of India/Pakistan (ca. 2.6–0.6 Ma; Nanda, 2002) and from the ‘Ain Soda site adjacent to SM1 (Lister et al., 2013), and modern *Elephas maximus*, are plotted. Lamellar frequency is measured as the number of lamella-plus-cement intervals in a 10 cm length of molar and is a valuable proxy for lamellar number when the tooth is incomplete. The hypsodonty index is calculated as the maximum height of the unworn molar crown divided by

maximum crown width (see Lister and Sher [2015, supplement] for detailed discussion and description of methodology).

The SM1 molar (Fig. 12a; black circle) plots at the lower end of the range of *P. antiquus* and *E. hysudricus* for lamellar frequency, and intermediate between them (and beyond the observed range of each) in hypsodonty index. It is, however, in the middle of the range of *P. recki recki* (gray ellipse) on both variables. In enamel pattern, the molar could pertain to




Figure 11. Three CT sections of upper left partial molar, *Palaeoloxodon cf. recki*, level 8, Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1) (molar shown in Fig. 9). Anterior is to the left. Sections progressively deeper in the crown, show lamella 4 (partial) to 14 (top image), lamella 3 (partial) to 14 (middle image), and talon (partial) plus lamellae 1–14 (bottom image).

either *P. antiquus* or *P. recki*, but metrically it conforms better to the latter species, so is referred to *Palaeoloxodon cf. recki*.

The genus *Palaeoloxodon* arose in Africa and has a long history (as successive nominal subspecies of *P. recki*) from ca. 4.0–0.4 Ma (Todd, 2005; Sanders et al., 2010). The latest of these subspecies, *P. r. recki*, in the time range ca. 1.5–0.4 Ma, was selected for plotting in Figure 12, but is still earlier than the age of SM1. However, remains from North Africa, named as *P. iolensis*, are regarded as the terminal occurrence of this lineage in Africa (Maglio, 1973) and are plausibly considered the last subspecies of *P. recki* (*P. recki iolensis*); the fossils are poorly dated but are believed to extend into the middle and late Pleistocene, possibly as recently as ca. 35 ka (Sanders et al., 2010). These remains are sparse, and none is complete enough to allow plotting in Figure 12. The genus entered Europe ca. 0.75 Ma (Lister, 2016), where it is recognized as *P. antiquus*. The specific identity of *Palaeoloxodon* remains from the Middle East, the geographically intermediate region, is therefore unclear and of interest. Remains from Holon, Israel, ca. 200 ka, were identified as *P. antiquus* (Davies and Lister, 2007), whereas recently discovered *Palaeoloxodon* from the Ti's al Ghadah, Saudi Arabia (ca. 500 ka; Stimpson et al., 2016), have been referred to *P. recki*; both of these species identifications are based more on location than morphology. Saegusa and Gilbert (2008) showed that the cranium from Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, Israel (ca. 0.8 Ma), originally described as *P. antiquus* (Goren-Inbar et al., 1994), was more likely, on the basis of cranial morphology, to represent *P. recki*. The data plotted in Figure 12 suggest that the two species can be separated on dental metrics; although they overlap on each variable, jointly their distributions are separate, at least in the samples available. This is a conservative estimate of their difference, because earlier subspecies of *P. recki* are less hypsodont still, and analysis of European *P. antiquus* reveals no significant metric change through its middle and late Pleistocene history (Davies and Lister, 2007). Consideration of *Loxodonta* (African elephant lineage) for the identity of the SM1 molar is excluded on the basis of its high lamellar count and lack of the diagnostic diamond-shaped “loxodont” lamellar wear figure. Of particular significance, both the

Table 4. Measurements of elephantid molars from Shishan Marsh. SM1 3398 and 2915 and associated fragments are identified as *Palaeoloxodon cf. recki*; SM1 Dist A + B, as *Elephas cf. hysudricus*; SM1 Dist C, as elephantid indet. (see text). Abbreviations: ET, median enamel thickness; H, crown height; HI, hypsodonty index; L, crown length; LF, lamellar frequency; LFB, basal lamellar frequency; LM³, left upper third molar; PF, plate (lamellar) formula; RM³, right upper third molar; RM_{2/3}, right lower second or third molar, RM_{1/2}, right lower first or second molar; W, crown width. For further details, see Lister and Sher (2015, supplement). Square brackets: incomplete measurements; round brackets: lamella number (l) on which the measurement was taken. All measurements are in millimeters.

Specimen number	Tooth	PF	L	W		LF	LFB	ET	H	HI
				excl. cement						
SM1 3398	LM ³	x14-	[270]	100 (16)		5.65	–	1.7-1.9-2.2	182 (111)	182
SM1 2915	RM ³	x7-	[151]	99 (17)		5.67	–	1.6-2.0-2.6	[140 (17)]	[141]
SM1 Dist A + B	RM _{2/3}	x9-	[211]	92 (12)		5.07	4.72	2.3-2.75-3.2	138 (12)	150
SM1 Dist C	RM _{1/2}	-2x	[40.2]	[62.1]		–	–	[2.1-2.3-2.4]	[81.9]	–




Figure 12. Hypsodonty index and lamellar frequency (LF) plots of upper (A) and lower (B) third molars. Key: black circle, Shishan Marsh; black triangle, Siwalik *Elephas hysudricus*; black diamond, 'Ain Soda *E. cf. hysudricus* left $M_{2/3}$; white circle, modern *E. maximus*; gray triangle and ellipse, *Palaeoloxodon recki recki*; gray circle, *P. antiquus*; white triangle, *Mammuthus. cf. trogontherii* (Marine Oxygen Isotope Stage 7).

lamellar figures and the metric attributes of the SM1 molar are clearly distinct from the two upper molars from the adjacent 'Ain Soda site (black diamonds in Fig. 12), referred to *Elephas hysudricus* (Lister et al., 2013).

The upper molar of *P. cf. recki* also provides some paleoecological information. A recent study showed that dental mesowear on the occlusal surface of proboscidean molars is a reliable indicator of diet (Saarinen et al., 2015). In individuals with a more grass-dominated diet, the dentin platform extends less far below the enamel surface of the lamella, whereas in browsing individuals the dentin is worn more deeply relative to the enamel. This metric is quantified as the angle between the two enamel ridges of the lamella and the dentin platform in between. The relatively well-preserved occlusal surfaces of the SM1 molars show mean mesowear angles (averaged between three central lamellae) of 100.3° (right) and 99.3° (left). These are very steep angles that, by comparison with baseline data in Saarinen et al. (2015), indicate a strongly browse-dominated diet and hence the availability of tree and/or shrub browse in the vicinity.

Most fragments of elephant molar from the disturbed deposits associated with SM1 could all be refitted or are consistent with having come from the same tooth (Fig. 13), and the majority of postmortem breakage was clearly recent in origin. All fragments were recovered in a small area less



Figure 13. (color online) Partial left lower (second or third) molar, *Elephas cf. hysudricus*, disturbed deposits associated with SM1, medial view (disturbed deposits A and B remains). Anterior is to the right. (Three-dimensional images of the molar fragments are available for free download; see the Supplementary Materials).

than 1 m in diameter. The overall taphonomic condition also was consistent with the *Palaeoloxodon* molar from undisturbed level 8. Some fragments could be refitted into two large sections of a left lower molar that had not been in occlusion. The anterior portion comprises the talonid, five complete lamellae, and part of the sixth; the posterior portion comprises the remainder of the sixth lamella and three more. The tooth is broken behind, so the total lamellar formula is $x9-$. Its size indicates that it is a second or third molar (M_2 or M_3), but it is not possible to determine which.

Because of its unworn state, the enamel loop pattern is not visible on the specimen itself. However, the CT scans (Fig. 14) clearly show the development of lamellar form down the crown, and the revealed pattern does not demonstrate any of the features of *Palaeoloxodon* as seen in the molar from the intact SM1 deposits. The lamellae are more irregular in form and show only sporadic, variable crenulations. There are no clear median sinuses. In early wear, the lamellae progress from a row of digitations to three roughly equal loops. Of possible candidate species, this pattern is consistent with *Elephas hysudricus* (compare illustrations in Osborn, 1942, fig. 1203), or conceivably *Mammuthus*. Metrically, identification as *E. hysudricus* is corroborated (Fig. 12b); the relatively low crown of the SM molar places its hypsodonty index below the range of available *P. recki*, *P. antiquus*, and *E. maximus*, but consistent with the two available M_3 of Siwalik *E. hysudricus*. The fragmentary M_2 or M_3 from 'Ain Soda, referred to *E. hysudricus* (Lister et al., 2013), is too incomplete to allow measurement of crown height, but its basal lamellar frequency (4.73) is effectively identical to that of the SM molar (4.72). Identity as *Mammuthus* is considered highly unlikely because a lamellar frequency as low as this is found at the latest in early middle Pleistocene *Mammuthus trogontherii* (ca. 700–500 ka; Lister and Stuart, 2010). A sample of European *Mammuthus* molars roughly contemporaneous with level 8 at SM1 (Marine Oxygen Isotope

Stage 7, ca. 200 ka) is plotted in Figure 12b and shows the markedly higher lamellar frequency by this date. The SM molar is therefore referred to *Elephas cf. hysudricus*.

An additional fragment found associated with this molar could not be refitted with the other sections and, unlike them, was in occlusion (Fig. 15). It is a lower molar fragment comprising one full enamel loop and parts of one anterior and one posterior to it. It is probably the posterior end of a molar with -2x preserved. Because the M₂ or M₃ described previously was unworn, this fragment cannot belong to the same molar and is unlikely to belong to the immediately preceding molar of the same individual, also because its degree of wear would predict that the anterior part of the M₂/M₃ would also be in wear. The identity of this fragment as *E. hysudricus* therefore cannot be assumed and is otherwise indeterminate.

The identification of both *Palaeoloxodon cf. recki* and *Elephas cf. hysudricus* in the Shishan Marsh deposits is of considerable interest. As discussed previously, African *P. recki* has been identified until 0.4 Ma, but North African “*P. iolensis*” extends this range into the late Pleistocene. It seems plausible that the Jordanian remains demonstrate an extended geographic range of this late population of the African *Palaeoloxodon* lineage.

The fossiliferous deposits of the Siwalik type area of *E. hysudricus* extend to ca. 600 ka at their younger end (Nanda, 2008), although there are debatable records from the late middle to late Pleistocene elsewhere in India (summarized in Lister et al., 2013), and remains attributed to this species have been identified from the Late Acheulian of both ‘Ain Soda, Jordan, and Ma’ayan Baruch, Israel (Lister et al., 2013). The remains from Shishan Marsh provide an important addition to these records, because although unstratified, they very likely derive from levels 7 or 8 and hence date to the interval ca. 300–100 ka, making them one of the latest records of the species. Because of the long time span of this species, however, and its uncertain terminal date (or date of transition to *E. maximus*), its presence at Shishan Marsh and ‘Ain Soda does not necessarily indicate a direct correlation between their respective fossiliferous levels. Because of stratigraphic uncertainty, nor can we be sure whether the SM *P. cf. recki* was contemporary with the *E. cf. hysudricus* there or at ‘Ain Soda. Their contemporaneity is not excluded; many fossil localities are known with two or more elephantid species apparently coexisting (e.g., Sanders et al., 2010).

Equidae

The horse family is represented by multiple species in these levels, and fragmentation made species assignment difficult in many cases. Level 2 includes multiple elements (n = 5; MNI = 2) of domesticated donkey/ass (*Equus asinus*). An additional element from this level has been identified to horse (*Equus caballus*) and therefore is likely the domesticated form of this species; another element from level 2 is of unknown *Equus* species and is consistent with both *E. asinus* and *E. caballus*.




Figure 14. Two CT sections of partial left lower (second or third) molar, *Elephas cf. hysudricus*, disturbed deposits A and B remains associated with SM1 (molar shown in Fig. 13). Anterior is to the right. Sections (top) and (bottom) are higher and lower in the crown, respectively, and each shows the anterior talonid plus lamellae 1–9.

Wild *Equus caballus* has also been identified in small amounts from levels 7b (partial maxillary molar/premolar) and 8 (partial probable maxillary left third molar, partial unknown maxillary molar, and partial unknown molar), with all teeth in fair to poor condition. *Equus caballus* is associated with open grasslands but also mixed habitats, including semidesert and steppe-tundra. In the late Pleistocene, the range of wild *E. caballus* extended throughout the Palearctic from as far west as the Iberian Peninsula into the coastal portions of the Near East and possibly well into North Africa. It also extended into the Nearctic prior to its extinction there at the beginning of the Holocene (and before its reintroduction there as domesticates or feral populations) (Bennett and Hoffmann, 1999). Multiple Epipaleolithic/Natufian sites in the Azraq region include remains attributable only to *Equus* sp. (Garrard et al., 1988a, 1988b; Byrd and Garrard, 1990; Richter et al., 2009; Martin et al., 2010, 2013), and Martin et al. (2016) identify *E. caballus* in the Azraq area. Sites in the surrounding region have yielded remains of *E. caballus*, including the Natufian and Aurignacian levels at Hayonim (Stiner, 2005), a Mousterian occupation at Wadi Hasa in Jordan (Clark et al., 1988), middle Pleistocene at Qesem (Stiner et al., 2009), multiple other sites (Davis, 1980) in the coastal Levant, and the Wezmeh Cave late Pleistocene carnivore den site in Iran (Mashkour et al., 2009). Though this taxon did not typically inhabit true deserts, the relative lushness of the Azraq Oasis would have provided a rich environment in the midst of otherwise more marginal territory.



Figure 15. (color online) Lower molar fragment, indeterminate elephantid, disturbed deposits associated with SM1, side (left image) and occlusal (right image) views (disturbed deposits C remains). Anterior is to the right. (A three-dimensional image of the molar fragment is available for free download; see the Supplementary Materials).



Figure 16. (color online) *Equus hemionus/hydruntinus* left metatarsal, anterior view, level 8, Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1).

Remains of a smaller equid consistent with either *Equus hemionus* or *E. hydruntinus* were recovered from SM1 levels 7b (a sesamoid in good condition), 7c (a proximal plus shaft portion of a fused left metatarsal, fragmentary and eroded; Fig. 16), and 8 (medial fragment of a fused distal right humerus in good condition). In addition, an M_2 and M_3 were found adjacent in the nearby exposure SM13 and are tentatively assigned to level 2b. The M_2 is most consistent in its enamel fold pattern (smooth and shallow linguaflexid) with the hemione dental pattern (Eisenmann, 1986).

Equus hemionus (Asiatic wild ass or onager) still exists in the wild, and its former range extended from the Near East to East Asia in desert and semidesert environments (Groves, 1986; Klingel, 1998). *Equus hydruntinus* (European ass) is now extinct, and its former range extended from Europe to western Asia, including the Near East (Burke et al., 2003).

Both taxa have been identified from the Azraq region. These finds include the Acheulean deposits at C-Spring in Azraq (Clutton-Brock, 1970, 1989; Copeland, 1991), and *E. hydruntinus* was identified from 'Ain Soda (Dirks, 1998), although as indicated previously some researchers argue that these taxa may differ only at the subspecies level (Burke et al., 2003; Orlando et al., 2006). Remains consistent with these two taxa also were recovered from the Late Epipaleolithic at Wadi Jilat 6 and Azraq 18 (Garrard et al., 1988a, 1988b; Byrd and Garrard, 1990). *Equus hemionus* also has been identified from the Kebaran at Hayonim and Meged in the coastal Levant (Stiner, 2005) and was highly abundant in the late Pleistocene deposits at Palegawra Cave in Iraq (Bakken, 2000). *Equus hydruntinus* has been identified from the middle Pleistocene at Qesem Cave (Stiner et al., 2009), from Lower Mousterian levels at Tabun and Qafzeh (Davis,

1980), and from the Kebaran at Ein Gev I (Davis, 1974; Marom and Bar-Oz, 2008) in the coastal Levant.

Metrical analysis of the partial left metatarsal from level 7c (Fig. 17 and Table 5) of the proximal end indicates that the size much smaller than *E. caballus* and consistent with specimens assigned to both *E. hemionus* (specifically *E. hemionus onager*) and *E. hydruntinus* (Eisenmann and Beckouche, 1986). Its poor preservation does not allow for fuller metrical or morphological comparison. The tooth fragments and other elements also were poorly preserved.

Stephanorhinus hemitoechus

Multiple tooth (molar or premolar) fragments (Fig. 18) have been identified to Rhinocerotidae, and these are all morphologically consistent with steppe rhinoceros (*Stephanorhinus hemitoechus*), the only rhinoceros species currently known from the Azraq region at this time. This species was two-horned, with hypsodont molars and relatively short limbs (Fortelius et al., 1993). There is much morphological overlap with other species, including *S. etruscus*, *S. hundsheimensis*, and *S. kirchbergensis* (Fortelius et al., 1993; Lacomat, 2006; Ballatore and Breda, 2013), but the limited, fragmentary materials available from SM1 do not allow a detailed analysis. The identified remains come from levels 7b (n = 11) and 8




Figure 17. Proximal metatarsal measurements diagram (redrawn after Eisenmann and Beckouche, 1986, p. 131) for *Equus*; the numbers correspond to the measurements in Table 5.

Table 5. Comparison of measurements of *Equus* left metatarsal from Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1), Pleistocene level 7c. All comparative data and measurement descriptions of the proximal end follow Eisenmann and Beckouche (1986). All measurements are in millimeters, and the SM1 measurements are approximate because of postmortem erosion.

Measurement number	Measurement	SM1 <i>Equus</i> sp.	<i>E. caballus</i>	<i>E. hemionus onager</i>	<i>E. hemionus hemippus</i>	<i>E. hydruntinus</i>
5	Breadth proximal articular surface	>45	65.7	40.5	34.5	40.1
6	Depth proximal articular surface	38	51.2	35	30.5	32.6
7	Diameter articular facet for magnum	40	57.2	36	32.8	36

(n = 6), and both levels have long bone fragments consistent with megafauna of this size or larger (bovid size class V, which also includes the remains of Elephantidae taxa identified). The largest and most intact fragment comes from level 7b and is the buccal enamel portion with some dentin intact of a probable left M² molar (Fig. 18); the distinctive paracone fold (Ballatore and Breda, 2013) is visible.

The fossil history of this Palearctic species in the Near East extends back to ca. 1.2 Ma (Bar-Yosef and Belmaker, 2011) and persists at least until the Kebaran at Hayonim (Stiner, 2005), with the species having gone extinct before the Holocene (Groves, 1983; Uerpmann, 1987; Cerdeño, 1998). Its range included Europe, Asia, and North Africa (Cerdeño, 1998; Pandolfi and Tagliacozzo, 2015). *Stephanorhinus hemitoechus* (*Dicerorhinus hemitoechus*) or remains consistent with this species also have been identified from the Acheulean deposits of the nearby sites of C-Spring (Clutton-Brock, 1970, 1989; Copeland, 1991) and 'Ain Soda (Dirks, 1998; Lister et al., 2013). Sites in the Levantine region that have yielded remains of this species also include the Mousterian deposits at Qafzeh (Bouchud, 1974) and the middle Pleistocene deposits of Qesem Cave (Stiner et al., 2009), with multiple rhinoceros taxa identified from Pleistocene deposits at Ubeidiya and other Levantine sites (Bar-Yosef and Tchernov, 1972). Sites yielding this species in the broader region include the late Pleistocene carnivore den Wezmeh Cave in Iran (Mashkour et al., 2009).

Stephanorhinus hemitoechus is associated with steppe habitats and likely fed on grasses and forbs (Rivals and Lister, 2016). Both types of forage would have been abundant in the broader Azraq Oasis environment (Woolfenden and Ababneh, 2011). Blood proteins matching Rhinocerotidae were found on three stone tools tested from the Pleistocene levels at SM1 (Nowell et al., 2016), supplying direct evidence that this species was exploited by the hominins living there, either as hunted or scavenged prey (see Paleocology of SM1, below).

Sus scrofa

A single element (partial pelvis) was identified to pig (*Sus scrofa*) in level 2, so the species represented likely is the domesticated form and not wild boar, based on



Figure 18. (color online) Molar fragment (probable left M²) of *Stephanorhinus hemitoechus*, level 7b, Shishan Marsh 1 (SM1).

biostratigraphy. Consumption of pig meat sometimes occurs among nonreligious members of the Druze in other areas (Grantham, 1995), and this group has a large presence in modern Azraq; foreign visitors to the region also may have deposited these remains. Wild boar formerly inhabited the Azraq region, as at the adjacent Azraq Epipaleolithic site of 'Ayn Qasiyya (Richter et al., 2009; Jones and Richter, 2011) and Wadi Jilat 6 (Garrard et al., 1988a, 1988b; Martin et al., 2010), and it is known from coastal Levant sites, as at the Mousterian of Amud (Rabinovich and Hovers, 2004) and other sites in the region (Edwards et al., 1988; Edwards, 1991; Bar Oz et al., 1998; Stiner, 2005; Stiner et al., 2009). This species can inhabit semiarid regions and was formerly widespread in nearby areas (Harrison and Bates, 1991), although it avoids true deserts (Uerpmann, 1987).

PALEOECOLOGY OF SM1

Because of the poor overall preservation of the faunal remains from the Pleistocene levels at SM1, only limited paleoecological and paleoenvironmental reconstruction can be inferred from these data, especially given the likely subtraction from the faunal record of the smaller vertebrate taxa. The Pleistocene environment indicated by these species is variable, which is not surprising given the unique ecological setting of a lush wetlands environment, expanded seasonally by rainfall into shallow pools ('qa), in the middle of an otherwise arid basin. Although *Bos primigenius* is associated with more closed habitats, it is primarily a grazer (van Vuure, 2003). The equid taxa are associated with grasslands and also semideserts. The diet of the probable *Gazella* species present (*G. subgutturosa*) consists largely of grasses and perennial dwarf shrubs, also indicating a mostly open environment. Analysis of *Stephanorhinus hemitoechus* dental wear patterns indicates that feeding may have been adapted toward graze-dominated mixed feeding (van Asperen and Kahlke,

2015; Rivals and Lister, 2016). Stable isotope and dental wear analysis generally indicate a graze-dominated diet for *P. recki* (Lister, 2013; Saarinen et al., 2015), although the morphology and occlusal wear of the Azraq *Palaeoloxodon recki* molars indicate a strongly browse-dominated diet from trees and/or shrubs at this locality. The overall Azraq habitat therefore may have been most similar to a savanna ecosystem, with a mixture of open grassland/shrub habitats and more closed vegetation along the wetlands margins.

The mammalian faunal remains from SM1 may have been hunted by the hominins exploiting the marsh environment at that time. The nearby site of 'Ain Soda has been interpreted as a butchery site (Rollefson et al., 1997a, 1997b; Lister et al., 2013). Direct evidence of butchery is lacking on the faunal remains from SM1, possibly attributable in part to their generally poor preservation and loss of original surfaces. Other evidence of butchery at SM1 has been recovered, however, in the form of preserved blood protein residues on multiple tested stone tools (Nowell et al., 2016; Walker, 2017). Using crossover immunoelectrophoresis, 20 tools from levels 7b, 7c, and 8 at SM1 tested positive for elephant (3), rhinoceros (3), duck (3), horse (5), camel (3), and bovine (3). The results were such that close relatives of the modern species could be represented; thus, modern rhinoceros was used to test for *Stephanorhinus hemitoechus*. The stone tool types tested were chosen because they displayed pronounced evidence of wear (microflaking, rounding, and polish as documented through low and high power microscopy) on their cutting edges. The tools were typical of the Levantine Lower Paleolithic (scrapers, utilized flakes, Levallois points, and bifaces) made from local flint. The site therefore has direct evidence of butchery and exploitation of these taxa, spanning size class V ungulates (*Stephanorhinus hemitoechus*, *Palaeoloxodon recki*, and *Elephas hysudricus*) to smaller taxa in size classes II–IV and waterfowl. As the water margin attracted these faunal species, the location would have been suitable for preying on them as they drank or exploited the lush vegetation or other resources. The wetlands margins also may have served to slow large ungulate prey and make them more easily ambushed, while the water body itself eliminated one direction of escape. The SM1 faunal assemblage therefore need not have undergone any transportation, selective or otherwise, by the hominins, as the animals may have been killed and processed in this location. The accumulation of some of the faunal remains in this location also may have been because of mortality from other sources, but the artifactual and blood protein evidence makes it clear that carcass processing in general occurred in this area.

Hunting along the wetlands margins may have brought the hominins into direct competition with the other large predators in the oasis area as the latter taxa also relied on the concentration of prey along the water margin to increase their chances of hunting success. Large carnivores known from the region include *Panthera leo* identified from SM1, as well as the additional unknown large carnivore that is consistent with *Crocota crocota*. *Panthera pardus* and *Acinonyx jubatus* also

may have inhabited the greater wetlands environment and surrounding territory, along with smaller carnivores including *Canis aureus* and *Hyaena hyaena*. Although the smaller carnivores likely were little direct threat to hominins (except for competition as scavengers for the same resources), the pack-hunting techniques of the lions (Schaller, 1972) and spotted hyenas (Kruuk, 1972) may have necessitated specialized predator-avoidance responses on the part of the hominins (Kruuk, 2002). This likely included group cooperation of hominins during hunts or carcass processing, which would have been necessary regardless to take the largest prey species. The dense vegetation surrounding the water margins also would have favored ambush predators like felids, so hominins may have been at an even greater disadvantage. Interaction with other predators also may have included competition for fresh carcasses killed by any species or any natural deaths in the environment. It is likely, however, that adult *Palaeofoxodon recki*, *Elephas hysudricus*, and *Stephanorhinus hemitoechus* were largely predation-proof from lions and other large carnivores, and hominins may have been the only predator capable of hunting species this large successfully. Their predation repertoire could have included ambush tactics of their own along the water margin, with predation, butchery, and some consumption occurring at the SM1 and 'Ain Soda sites. Habitation, especially during sleep hours, may have been less dangerous in locations more distant from the constant large mammal traffic of the wetlands margins. The wetlands margins would have been a focus of activity for many species, and additional research in this area is planned to examine more fully the interactions of hominin taxa with this environment.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Multiple three-dimensional images of the materials (all Proboidea molars described previously and the *Camelus* left calcaneus) are available for free download from the senior author: <https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2018.113>. Use of these files must follow the restrictions outlined in the accompanying document.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Judith Chupasko and Mark Omura of the Mammalogy Department, Peabody Museum, Harvard University (Cambridge, MA) for their access to collections and research help. The authors also thank Eileen Westwig at the Division of Vertebrate Zoology and Judy Galkin at the Division of Paleontology at the American Museum of Natural History (New York) for their kind access to and assistance with comparative collections. AML thanks Advait Jukar for the loan of *E. hysudricus* data, and Juha Saarinen for mesowear measurement. The CT scanning was performed by the Department of Radiology, Boston Medical Center, with special thanks to Stephan Anderson, Margaret Lavoye, Christine Seay, Mark Pomerleau, Bindu Setty, Mohamad Abdal Kader, Rutuparna Sarangi, and Nicholas Wilson. The authors also thank the Department of Antiquities of Jordan for permission to conduct our research, especially Aktham Oweidi, Ahmad Lash, and Wesam Esaid; Hazem

Hreisha and the staff of the Azraq Wetland Reserve; Dr. Barbara Porter and the staff at the American Center of Oriental Research, Amman; Amer Al-Souliman, Stuart Lipkin, Jade de la Paz, Alexandra Maller, Jeremy Beller, and John Murray for their participation in the excavations; and the two peer reviewers for their helpful comments. Dr. Victoria Reed provided translation assistance. The present research was fully funded by an Insight Grant from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada.

REFERENCES

- Abu Baker, M., Qarqaz, M., Amr, Z., 2005. Small mammal remains recovered from pellets of the Barn Owl (*Tyto alba*) at Shawmari Wildlife Reserve, eastern Jordan. *Casopis Národního Muzea, Rada prirodovedná* 174, 127–129.
- Abuhelaleh, B., Al Nahar, M., Hohenstein, U.T., Berruti, G.L.F., Cancellieri, E., 2015. An experimental study of bone artifacts from the Neolithic site of Tell Abu Suwwan (PPNB-PN), Jordan. *Adumatu* 32, 7–20.
- Abu-Zinada, A.H., Habibi, K., Seitre, R., 1988. The Arabian oryx programme in Saudi Arabia. In: Dixon, A., Jones, D. (Eds.), *Conservation and Biology of Desert Antelopes, Including the Proceedings of the 25th Anniversary Celebration of 'Operation Oryx'*. Christopher Helm, London, pp. 41–46.
- Albayrak, E., Lister, A.M., 2012. Dental remains of fossil elephants from Turkey. *Quaternary International* 276–277, 198–211.
- Al-Eisawi, D., 1996. *Vegetation of Jordan*. UNESCO, Cairo.
- Al-Eisawi, D., 2005. Water scarcity in relation to food security and sustainable use of biodiversity in Jordan. In: Hamdy, A., Monti, R. (Eds.), *Food Security under Water Scarcity in the Middle East: Problems and Solutions. Options Méditerranéennes: Série A. Séminaires Méditerranéennes no. 65*. CIHEAM, Bari, Italy, pp. 239–248.
- Al-Kharabsheh, A., 2000. Ground-water modelling and long-term management of the Azraq basin as an example of arid area conditions (Jordan). *Journal of Arid Environments* 44, 143–153.
- Ames, C.J.H., 2014. *Hominin Occupation and Landscape Evolution during the Middle and Late Pleistocene at the Druze Marsh Site in Northeast Jordan*. PhD dissertation, McGill University, Montreal.
- Ames, C.J.H., Cordova, C.E., 2014. Geoarchaeology and prehistoric transitions in the Azraq Druz Basin, Jordan: preliminary data and hypothesis for a 4-dimensional model of landscape change. In: Finlayson, W., Rollefson, G. (Eds.), *Prehistoric Jordan: Recent and Future Research*. Department of Antiquities, Amman, Jordan, pp. 69–80.
- Ames, C.J.H., Cordova, C.E., 2015. Middle and Late Pleistocene landscape evolution at the Druze Marsh Site in northeast Jordan: implications for population continuity and hominin dispersal. *Geoarchaeology* 30, 307–329.
- Ames, C.J.H., Nowell, A., Cordova, C.E., Pokines, J.T., Bisson, M. S., 2014a. Paleoenvironmental change and settlement dynamics in the Druze Marsh: results of recent excavation at an open-air Paleolithic site. *Quaternary International* 331, 60–73.
- Ames, C.J.H., Nowell, A., Pokines, J.T., Cordova, C.E., 2014b. New evidence of Paleolithic occupation in the Shishan Marsh, Jordan: report on the 2013 field season of the Azraq Marshes Archaeological and Paleoecological Project. Paper presented at the 79th Annual Meeting of the Society for American Archaeology, Austin, TX, April 26, 2014.
- Amr, Z.S., 2000. *Mammals of Jordan*. National Library for United Nations Environment Programme, Amman, Jordan.

- Amr, Z.S., Al-Melhim, W.N., Yousef, M.A., 1997. Mammal remains from pellets of the Eagle Owl, *Bubo bubo*, from Azraq Nature Reserve, Jordan. *Zoology in the Middle East* 14, 5–10.
- Andrews, I.J., 1995. *The Birds of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan*. Burns and Harris, Dundee, Scotland.
- Asmodé, J.F., Khoja, A.R., 1990. Arabian oryx captive breeding and reintroduction in Saudi Arabia. In: Seal, U., Sausman, K., Mikolai, J. (Eds.), *CBSG Aridland Antelope Workshop, San Antonio, TX, 13–15 September 1989*. IUCN, Captive Breeding Specialist Group, Apple Valley, MN, pp. 109–127.
- Bakken, D., 2000. Hunting strategies of Late Pleistocene Zarzian populations from Palegawra Cave, Iraq and Warwasi Rock Shelter, Iran. In: Mashkour, M., Choyke, A.M., Bittenhuis, H., Poplin, F. (Eds.), *Archaeology in the Near East IV A: Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on the Archaeology of Southwestern Asia and Adjacent Areas*. ARC Publicaties 32. Centre for Archaeological Research and Consultancy, Groningen, the Netherlands, pp. 11–17.
- Ballatore, M., Breda, M., 2013. *Stephanorhinus hundsheimensis* (Rhinocerotidae, Mammalia) teeth from the early Middle Pleistocene of Isernia La Pineta (Molise, Italy) and comparison with coeval British material. *Quaternary International* 302, 169–183.
- Bar-Oz, G., Dayan, T., Kaufman, D., 1998. Taphonomic analysis of the faunal remains from Neve David. In: Buitenhuis, H., Bartosiewicz, L., Choyke, A.M. (Eds.), *Archaeozoology of the Near East III*. ARC Publicaties 18. Centre for Archaeological Research and Consultancy, Groningen, the Netherlands, pp. 72–79.
- Bar-Yosef, O., Belfer-Cohen, A., 2013. Following Pleistocene road signs of human dispersals across Eurasia. *Quaternary International* 285, 30–43.
- Bar-Yosef, O., Belmaker, M., 2011. Early and Middle Pleistocene faunal and hominins dispersals through southwestern Asia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30, 1318–1337.
- Bar-Yosef, O., Tchernov, E., 1972. *On the Palaeo-Ecological History of the Site of Ubeidiya*. Israel Academy of Science and Humanities, Jerusalem.
- Beech, M., Mashkour, M., Huels, M., Zazzo, A., 2009. Prehistoric camels in south-eastern Arabia: the discovery of a new site in Abu Dhabi's Western Region, United Arab Emirates. *Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies* 39, 17–30.
- Bennett, D., Hoffman, R.S., 1999. *Equus caballus*. *Mammalian Species* 628, 1–14.
- Bilgin, S., Fidanbaş, Z.U.C., 2011. Nutritional properties of crab (*Potamon potamios* Olivier, 1804) in the Lake of Eğirdir (Turkey). *Pakistan Veterinary Journal* 31, 239–243.
- Bisson, M., Nowell, A., Cordova, C.E., Kalchgruber, R., 2007a. Human evolution at the crossroads: an archaeological survey in NW Jordan. *Near Eastern Archaeology* 69, 73–85.
- Bisson, M., Nowell, A., Cordova, C.E., Kalchgruber, R., 2007b. Neandertals at the crossroads. In: Levy, T.E., Daviau, P.M.M., Younker, R.W., Shaer, M. (Eds.), *Crossing Jordan: North American Contributions to the Archaeology of Jordan*. Equinox, London, pp. 179–186.
- Bisson, M., Nowell, A., Cordova, C.E., Poupard, M., Pokines, J.T., Ghaleb, B., 2014. WE-2: the Middle Paleolithic of the Wādī Al-'Unqiyya revisited. In: Finlayson, W., Rollefson, G. (Eds.), *Prehistoric Jordan: Recent and Future Research*. Department of Antiquities, Amman, Jordan, pp. 35–47.
- Boëda, E., Noël-Soriano, S., Griggo, C., 2001. Différents modes d'occupation du site d'Umm el Tlel au cours du Paléolithique moyen (El Kowm, Syrie centrale). *Paléorient* 27, 13–28.
- Bouchud, J., 1974. Étude préliminaire de la faune provenant de la grotte du Djebel Qafzeh pres de Nazareth (Israel). *Paléorient* 2, 87–102.
- Brain, C.K., 1974. Some suggested procedures in the analysis of bone accumulations from Southern African Quaternary sites. *Annals of the Transvaal Museum* 29, 1–8.
- Brühl, E., 2003. The small flint tool industry from Bilzingsleben-Steinrinne. In: Burdukiewicz, J.M., Ronen, A. (Eds.), *Lower Palaeolithic Small Tools in Europe and the Levant*. Archaeopress, Oxford, UK, pp. 49–64.
- Burke, A., Eisenmann, V., Ambler, G.K., 2003. The systematic position of *Equus hydruntinus*, an extinct species of Pleistocene equid. *Quaternary Research* 59, 459–469.
- Byrd, B.F., Garrard, A.N., 1990. The last glacial maximum in the Jordanian desert. In: Bar-Yosef, O., Gamble, C., (Eds.), *The World at 18,000 BP*. Vol. 2, Low Latitudes. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 78–96.
- Çakırlar, C., Berthon, R., 2014. Caravans, camel wrestling and cowrie shells: towards a social zooarchaeology of camel hybridization in Anatolia and adjacent regions. *Anthropozoologica* 49, 237–252.
- Cerdeño, E., 1998. Diversity and evolutionary trends of the Family Rhinocerotidae (Perissodactyla). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 141, 13–34.
- Chazan, M., Avery, D.M., Bamford, M.K., Berna, F., Brink, J., Fernandez-Jalvo, Y., Goldberg, P., et al., 2012. The Oldowan horizon in Wonderwerk Cave (South Africa): archaeological, geological, paleontological and paleoclimatic evidence. *Journal of Human Evolution* 63, 859–866.
- Clark, G., Lindly, J., Donaldson, M., Garrard, A., Coinman, N., Schuldenrein, J., Fish, S., Olszewski, D., 1988. Excavations at Middle, Upper and Epipaleolithic sites in the Wadi Hasa, west-central Jordan. In: Garrard, A.N., Gebel, H.G. (Eds.), *The Prehistory of Jordan: The State of Research in 1986*. *British Archaeological Reports (BAR) International Series* 396(1). BAR, Oxford, UK, pp. 209–285.
- Clutton-Brock, J., 1970. The fossil fauna from an Upper Pleistocene site in Jordan. *Journal of Zoology, London* 162, 19–29.
- Clutton-Brock, J., 1989. A re-consideration of the fossil fauna from C-spring, Azraq. In: Copeland, L., Hours, F. (Eds.), *The Hammer on the Rock: Studies in the Early Palaeolithic of Azraq, Jordan*. *British Archaeological Reports (BAR) International Series* 540 (ii). BAR, Oxford, UK, pp. 391–397.
- Compagnoni, B., Tosi, M., 1978. The camel: its distribution and state of domestication during the third millennium B.C. in light of finds from Sharh-i Sokhta. In: Meadow, R., Zeder, M.A., (Eds.), *Approaches to Faunal Analysis in the Middle East*. *Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology Bulletin No. 2*. Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, pp. 91–103.
- Copeland, L., 1988. Environment, chronology, and Lower-Middle Paleolithic occupations of the Azraq Basin, Jordan. *Paléorient* 14, 66–75.
- Copeland, L., 1991. The Late Acheulean knapping-floor at C-Spring, Azraq Oasis, Jordan. *Levant* 23, 1–6.
- Cordova, C.E., Nowell, A., Ames, C.J.H., Pokines, J.T., DeWitt, R., Al-Souliman, A., 2015. Geoarchaeology of complex stratigraphic contexts in the Paleolithic of the Azraq-Shishan, Jordan: a

- preliminary reconstruction of paleolandscapes. Poster presented at the XIX INQUA Congress, Nagoya, Japan, July 31, 2015.
- Cordova, C.E., Nowell, A., Bisson, M., Ames, C.J.H., Pokines, J., Chang, M., al-Nahar, M., 2013. Interglacial and glacial desert refugia and the Middle Paleolithic of the Azraq Oasis, Jordan. *Quaternary International* 300, 94–110.
- Cordova, C.E., Nowell, A., Bisson, M.S., Pokines, J., Ames, C.J.H., al-Nahar, M., 2009. The Druze Marsh Paleolithic Project, North Azraq, Jordan: stratigraphic sequences from the 2008 and 2009 seasons. *Annual of the Department of Antiquities of Jordan* 53, 311–320.
- Cordova, C.E., Rollefson, G.O., Kalchgruber, R., Wilke, P., Quintero, L.A., 2008. Natural and cultural stratigraphy of 'Ayn as-Sawda, Azraq Wetland Reserve: 2007 excavation report and discussion of finds. *Annual of the Department of Antiquities of Jordan* 52, 417–425.
- Davies, P., Lister, A.M., 2007. *Palaeoloxodon*. In: Chazan, M., Horwitz, L.K. (Eds.), *Holon: A Lower Paleolithic Site in Israel. American School of Prehistoric Research Bulletin No. 50. Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology*, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, pp. 123–131.
- Davis, S.J., 1974. Animal remains from the Kebaran site of Ein Gev I, Jordan Valley, Israel. *Paléorient* 2, 453–462.
- Davis, S.J., 1980. Late Pleistocene and Holocene equid remains from Israel. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 70, 289–312.
- Dirks, W., 1998. Preliminary account of Pleistocene mammals from 'Ain Soda, Azraq Basin, Jordan. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 18, Supplement 3, 38A–39A.
- Disi, A.M., Modry, D., Necas, P., Rifai, L., 2001. *Amphibians and Reptiles of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan: An Atlas and Field Guide*. Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt, Germany.
- Ducos, P., 1975. A new find of an equid metatarsal bone from Tell Mureibet in Syria and its relevance to the identification of equids from the Early Holocene of the Levant. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2, 71–73.
- Edwards, P.C., 1991. Wadi Hammeh 27: an early Natufian site at Pella, Jordan. In: Bar-Yosef, O., Valla, F.R. (Eds.), *The Natufian Culture in the Levant*. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, pp. 123–148.
- Edwards, P.C., Bourke, S.J., Colledge, S.M., Head, J., Macumber, P.G., 1988. Late Pleistocene prehistory in the Wadi al-Hammeh, Jordan Valley. In: Garrard, A.N., Gebel, H.G. (Eds.), *The Prehistory of Jordan: The State of Research in 1986. British Archaeological Reports (BAR) International Series 396(2)*. BAR, Oxford, UK, pp. 525–565.
- Eisenmann, V., 1986. Comparative osteology of modern and fossil horses, half-asses, and asses. In: Meadow, R.H., Uerpmann, H.-P. (Eds.), *Equids in the Ancient World*. Beihefte zum Tübinger Atlas des Vorderen Orients, Reihe A, Wiesbaden, Germany, pp. 67–116.
- Eisenmann, V., Beckouche, S., 1986. Identification and discrimination of metapodials of modern and Pleistocene *Equus*, wild and domestic. In: Meadow, R.H., Uerpmann, H.-P. (Eds.), *Equids in the Ancient World*. Beihefte zum Tübinger Atlas des Vorderen Orients, Reihe A, Wiesbaden, Germany, pp. 117–163.
- El-Naqa, A., 2010. *Study of Salt Water Intrusion in the Upper Aquifer in Azraq Basin, Jordan*. International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) and the University of Jordan, Amman.
- Fleischer, R.C., Perry, E.A., Muralidharan, K., Stevens, E.E., Wemmer, C.M., 2001. Phylogeography of the Asian elephant (*Elephas maximus*) based on mitochondrial DNA. *Evolution* 55, 1882–1892.
- Forsten, A., 1986. A review of the Süssenborn horses and the origin of *Equus hydruntinus* Regalia. *Quartärpalaeontologie* 6, 43–52.
- Fortelius, M., Mazza, P., Sala, B., 1993. *Stephanorhinus* (Mammalia: Rhinocerotidae) of the Western European Pleistocene, with a revision of *S. etruscus* (Falconer, 1868). *Palaeontographia Italica* 80, 63–155.
- France, R., 2010. Rehabilitation of a historic wetland: Jordan's Azraq oasis. In: France, R. (Ed.), *Restorative Redevelopment of Devastated Ecocultural Landscapes*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 85–115.
- Garrard, A.N., 1991. Natufian settlement in the Azraq Basin, eastern Jordan. In: Bar-Yosef, O., Valla, F.R. (Eds.), *The Natufian Culture in the Levant*. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, pp. 235–244.
- Garrard, A.N., Betts, A., Byrd, B., Hunt, C., Colledge, S., Copeland, L., Montague, R., West, B., 1988a. Summary of palaeoenvironmental and prehistoric investigations in the Azraq Basin. In: Garrard, A.N., Gebel, H.G. (Eds.), *The Prehistory of Jordan: The State of Research in 1986. British Archaeological Reports (BAR) International Series 396(1)*. BAR, Oxford, UK, pp. 311–337.
- Garrard, A., Colledge, S., Montague, R., Hunt, C., 1988b. Environment and subsistence during the Late Pleistocene and Early Holocene in the Azraq Basin. *Paléorient* 14, 40–49.
- Gautier, A., 1966. *Camelus thomasi* from the northern Sudan and its bearing on the relationship *C. thomasi*-*C. bactrianus*. *Journal of Paleontology* 40, 1368–1372.
- Gauthier-Pilters, H., Dagg, A.I., 1981. *The Camel: Its Evolution, Ecology, Behavior, and Relationship to Man*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Gillet, H., 1989. The diet of the Arabian oryx (*Oryx leucoryx*) at the National Wildlife Research Center, Taif. In: Abuzinada, A.H., Goriup, P.D., Nader, I.A. (Eds.), *Wildlife Conservation and Development in Saudi Arabia*. National Commission for Wildlife Conservation and Development (NCWCD) Publication No.3. NCWCD, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, pp. 327–334.
- Google Earth, 2018. Google Earth Pro, version 7.3.1.4507 (accessed March 24, 2018). <https://www.google.com/earth/desktop/>.
- Gordon, C.G., Buikstra, J.E., 1981. Soil pH, bone preservation, and sampling bias at mortuary sites. *American Antiquity* 46, 566–571.
- Goren-Inbar, N., Lister, A., Werker, E., Chech, M., 1994. A butchered elephant skull and associated artifacts from the Acheulian site of Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, Israel. *Paléorient* 20, 99–112.
- Grantham, B., 1995. Dinner in Buqata: the symbolic nature of food animals and meal sharing in a Druze village. In: Ryan, K., Crabtree, P.J. (Eds.), *The Symbolic Role of Animals in Archaeology*. MASCA Research Papers in Science and Archaeology 12. MASCA, University Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, pp. 73–88.
- Grigson, C., 1983. A very large camel from the Upper Pleistocene of the Negev Desert. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 10, 311–316.
- Grigson, C., 2002. Camels, copper and donkeys in the Early Iron Age of the southern Levant: Timna revisited. *Levant* 44, 82–100.
- Grigson, C., Gowlett, J.A.J., Zarins, J., 1989. The camel in Arabia—a direct radiocarbon date, calibrated to about 7000 BC. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 16, 355–362.

- Groves, C.P., 1983. Phylogeny of the living species of rhinoceros. *Zeitschrift für Zoologische Systematik und Evolutionsforschung* 21, 293–313.
- Groves, C.P., 1986. The taxonomy, distribution, and adaptations of recent equids. In: Meadow R.H., Uerpmann, H.-P. (Eds.), *Equids in the Ancient World*. Beihefte zum Tübinger Atlas des Vorderen Orients, Reihe A, Wiesbaden, Germany, pp. 11–65.
- Haas, S.K., Hayssen, V., Krausman, P.R., 2005. *Panthera leo*. *Mammalian Species* 762, 1–11.
- Hall, S.J.G., 2008. A comparative analysis of the habitat of the extinct aurochs and other prehistoric mammals in Britain. *Ecography* 31, 187–190.
- Harrison, D.L., Bates, P.J.J., 1991. *The Mammals of Arabia*. 2nd ed. Harrison Zoological Museum, Sevenoaks, UK.
- Hedges, R.E.M., Housley, R.A., Law, I.A., Perry, C., Gowlett, J.A. J., 1987. Radiocarbon dates from the Oxford AMS system: archaeometry datelist 6. *Archaeometry* 29, 289–306.
- Heide, M., 2011. The domestication of the camel: biological, archaeological and inscriptional evidence from Mesopotamia, Egypt, Israel and Arabia, and literary evidence from the Hebrew Bible. *Ugarit-Forschungen* 42, 331–382.
- Henry, D.O., Garrard, A.N., 1988. Tor Hamar: an Epipaleolithic rockshelter in southern Jordan. *Palestine Exploration Quarterly* 120, 1–25.
- Jagher, R., Elsuede, H., Le Tensorer, J.-M., 2015. El Kowm Oasis, human settlement in the Syrian Desert during the Pleistocene. *L'Anthropologie* 119, 542–580.
- Jones, J.R., 2012. Using gazelle dental cementum studies to explore seasonality and mobility patterns of the Early-Middle Epipaleolithic Azraq Basin, Jordan. *Quaternary International* 252, 195–201.
- Jones, M.D., Richter, T., 2011. Paleoclimatic and archeological implications of Pleistocene and Holocene environments in Azraq, Jordan. *Quaternary Research* 76, 363–372.
- Jungius, H., 1985. The Arabian oryx: its distribution and former habitat in Oman and its reintroduction. *Journal of Oman Studies* 8, 49–64, plates 1–8.
- Kalbe, J., Ames, C., Nowell, A., Cordova, C., Pokines, J.T., 2014. Pleistocene wetlands in the Jordan desert—environmental reconstructions by ostracod and gastropod analysis. Paper presented at the Middle Palaeolithic in the Desert II Conference in Bordeaux, France, December 11, 2014.
- Khoury, F., Amr, Z., Hamidan, N., Al Hassani, I., Mir, S., Eid, E., Bolad, N., 2012. Some introduced vertebrate species to the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan. *Vertebrate Zoology* 62, 435–451.
- Klingel, H., 1998. Observations on social organization and behaviour of African and Asiatic Wild Asses *Equus africanus* and *Equus hemionus*. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 60, 103–113.
- Köhler, I., 1984. The dromedary in modern pastoral societies and implications for its process of domestication. In: Clutton-Brock, J., Grigson, C. (Eds.), *Animals and Archaeology 3: Early Herders and Their Flocks*. *British Archaeological Reports (BAR) International Series* 202. BAR, Oxford, UK, pp. 201–206.
- Köhler-Rollefson, I., 1991. *Camelus dromedarius*. *Mammalian Species* 375, 1–8.
- Köhler-Rollefson, I., 1993. Camels and camel pastoralism in Arabia. *Biblical Archaeologist* 56, 180–188.
- Kruuk, H., 1972. *The Spotted Hyena*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Kruuk, H., 2002. *Hunter and Hunted: Relationships between Carnivores and People*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Lacombat, F., 2006. Morphological and biometrical differentiation of the teeth from Pleistocene species of *Stephanorhinus* (Mammalia, Perissodactyla, Rhinocerotidae) in Mediterranean Europe and the Massif Central, France. *Palaeontographica Abteilung A: Paläozoologie – Stratigraphie* 274, 71–111.
- Lister, A.M., 2013. The role of behaviour in adaptive morphological evolution of African proboscideans. *Nature* 500, 331–334.
- Lister, A.M., 2016. Dating the arrival of straight-tusked elephant (*Palaeoloxodon* spp.) in Eurasia. *Bulletin du Musée d'Anthropologie préhistorique de Monaco, année 2015, Supplément n° 6*, 123–128.
- Lister, A.M., Dirks, W., Assaf, A., Chazan, M., Goldberg, P., Applbaum, Y.H., Greenbaum, N., Horwitz, L.K., 2013. New fossil remains of *Elephas* from the southern Levant: implications for the evolutionary history of the Asian elephant. *Palaogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 386, 119–130.
- Lister, A.M., Sher, A.V., 2015. Evolution and dispersal of mammoths across the Northern Hemisphere. *Science* 350, 805–809.
- Lister, A.M., Stuart, A.J., 2010. The West Runton mammoth (*Mammuthus trogontherii*) and its evolutionary significance. *Quaternary International* 228, 180–209.
- Lyman, R.L., 1994. *Vertebrate Taphonomy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Maglio, V.J., 1973. Origin and evolution of the Elephantidae. *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society* 63, 1–149.
- Marom, N., Bar-Oz, G., 2008. “Measure for measure”: a taphonomic reconsideration of the Kebaran site of Ein Gev I, Israel. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 35, 214–227.
- Martin, L., Edwards, Y., Garrard, A., 2010. Hunting practices at an eastern Jordanian Epipaleolithic aggregation site: the case of Kharaneh IV. *Levant* 42, 107–135.
- Martin, L., Edwards, Y., Garrard, A., 2013. Broad spectrum or specialised activity? Birds and tortoises at the Epipaleolithic site of Wadi Jilat 22 in the eastern Jordan steppe. *Antiquity* 87, 649–665.
- Martin, L., Edwards, Y., Roe, J., Garrard, A., 2016. Faunal turnover in the Azraq Basin, eastern Jordan 28,000 to 9,000 cal BP, signalling climate change and human impact. *Quaternary Research* 86, 200–219.
- Martini, P., Costeur, L., Le Tensorer, J.-M., Schmid, P., 2015. Pleistocene camelids from the Syrian Desert: the diversity in El Kowm. *L'Anthropologie* 119, 687–693.
- Martini, P., Geraads, D., 2018. *Camelus thomasi* Pomel, 1893 from the Pleistocene type-locality Tighennif (Algeria). *Comparisons with modern Camelus*. *Geodiversitas* 40, 115–134.
- Mashkour, M., Monchot, H., Trinkaus, E., Reyss, J.-L., Biglari, F., Bailon, S., Heydari, S., Abdi, K., 2009. Carnivores and their prey in the Wezmeh Cave (Kermanshah, Iran): a Late Pleistocene refuge in the Zagros. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 19, 678–694.
- Morel, P., 1997. La faune de la chasse. In: Le Tensorer, J.-M., Muhesen, S. (Eds.), *Les Premiers Hommes du Désert Syrien—Fouilles Syro-Suisses à Nadaouiyeh Aïn Askar*. *Catalogue de l'Exposition, Musée de l'Homme*. Editions du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, pp. 45–51.
- Munro, N.D., Kennerty, M., Meier, J.S., Samei, S., al-Nahar, M., Olszewski, D.I., 2016. Human hunting and site occupation intensity in the Early Epipaleolithic of the Jordanian western highlands. *Quaternary International* 396, 31–39.
- Nanda, A.C., 2002. Upper Siwalik mammalian faunas of India and associated events. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* 21, 47–58.

- Nanda, A.C., 2008. Comments on the Pinjor mammalian fauna of the Siwalik Group in relation to the post-Siwalik faunas of peninsular India and Indo-Gangetic Plain. *Quaternary International* 192, 6–13.
- Nanda, A.C., 2013. Upper Siwalik mammalian faunas of the Himalayan foothills. *Journal of the Palaeontological Society of India* 58, 75–86.
- Nelson, J.B., 1973. *Azraq: Desert Oasis*. Ohio University Press, Columbus.
- Nelson, J.B., 1985. Azraq—a case study. In: Hadidi, A. (Ed.), *Studies in the History and Archaeology of Jordan, II*. Department of Antiquities, Amman, Jordan, pp. 39–44.
- Nowell, A., 2014. Reversals of fortune: Neandertals and modern humans in the Levantine Middle Paleolithic, a view from the Druze Marsh, North Azraq (Jordan). In: Finlayson, W., Rollefson, G. (Eds.), *Prehistoric Jordan: Recent and Future Research*. Department of Antiquities, Amman, Jordan, pp. 23–34.
- Nowell, A., Walker, C., Cordova, C.E., Ames, C.J.H., Pokines, J.T., Stueber, D., DeWitt, R., al-Souliman, A.S.A., 2016. Middle Pleistocene subsistence in the Azraq Oasis, Jordan: protein residue and other proxies. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 72, 36–44.
- Olsen, S.J., 1988. The camel in ancient China and an osteology of the camel. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 140, 18–58.
- Orlando, L., Mashkour, M., Burke, A., Douady, C.J., Eisenmann, V., Hänni, C., 2006. Geographic distribution of an extinct equid (*Equus hydruntinus*: Mammalia, Equidae) revealed by morphological and genetical analyses of fossils. *Molecular Ecology* 15, 2083–2093.
- Osborn, D.J., Helmy, I., 1980. *The Contemporary Land Mammals of Egypt (Including Sinai)*. *Fieldiana: Zoology, New Series, No. 5*. Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago.
- Osborn, H.F., 1942. *The Proboscidea: A Monograph of the Discovery, Evolution, Migration and Extinction of the Mastodons and Elephants of the World*. Vol. 2, *Stegodontoidea, Elephantoidea*. American Museum of Natural History, New York.
- Pandolfi, L., Tagliacozzo, A., 2015. *Stephanorhinus hemitoechus* (Mammalia, Rhinocerotidae) from the Late Pleistocene of Valle Radice (Sora, Central Italy) and re-evaluation of the morphometric variability of the species in Europe. *Geobios* 48, 169–191.
- Payne, S., 1983. The animal bones from the 1974 excavations at Douara Cave. *Bulletin of the University Museum, University of Tokyo* 21, 1–108.
- Payne, S., Garrard, D., 1983. *Camelus* from the Upper Pleistocene of Mount Carmel, Israel. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 10, 243–247.
- Peters, J., 1998. *Camelus thomasi* Pomel, 1893, a possible ancestor of the one-humped camel? *Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde* 63, 372–376.
- Peters, J., von den Driesch, A., 1997. The two-humped camel (*Camelus bactrianus*): new light on its distribution, management and medical treatment in the past. *Journal of Zoology, London* 242, 651–679.
- Pokines, J.T., Ames, C.J.H., 2015a. Test excavations at Wadi Zarqa Ma'in 1, a natural sinkhole faunal trap site, Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan. *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research* 373, 121–137.
- Pokines, J.T., Ames, C.J.H., 2015b. Weathering and dispersal of a cattle (*Bos taurus*) carcass in the desert of eastern Jordan over a six-year interval. *Journal of Taphonomy* 13, 17–31.
- Pokines, J.T., Hoskins, J., Ames, C.J.H., Kravitz, G.A., Beck, J., 2014. Taphonomic and paleoecological investigations in the Paleolithic of Northern Jordan. In: Finlayson, W., Rollefson, G. (Eds.), *Prehistoric Jordan: Recent and Future Research*. Department of Antiquities, Amman, Jordan, pp. 49–67.
- Rabinovich, R., Hovers, E., 2004. Faunal analysis from Amud Cave: preliminary results and interpretations. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 14, 287–306.
- Ramsey, M.N., Jones, M., Richter, T., Rosen, A., 2015. Modifying the marsh: evaluating Early Epipaleolithic hunter-gatherer impacts in the Azraq wetland, Jordan. *Holocene* 25, 1553–1564.
- Reynaud Savioz, N., Morel, P., 2005. La faune de Nadaouiye Aïn Askar (Syrie centrale, Pléistocène moyen): Aperçu et perspectives. *Revue de Paléobiologie, Genève* 10, 31–35.
- Richter, T., Maher, L., Stock, J., Allcock, S., Jones, M., Martin, L., Thorne, B., 2009. New light on final Pleistocene settlement diversity in the Azraq Basin (Jordan): recent excavations at 'Ayn Qasiyya. *Paléorient* 35, 49–68.
- Rifai, L.B., Al-Melhim, W.N., Gharaibeh, B.M., Amr, Z.S., 2000. The diet of the Desert Eagle Owl, *Bubo bubo ascalaphus*, in the Eastern Desert of Jordan. *Journal of Arid Environments* 44, 369–472.
- Rivals, F., Lister, A.M., 2016. Dietary flexibility and niche partitioning of large herbivores through the Pleistocene of Britain. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 146, 116–133.
- Rollefson, G.O., Quintero, L., Low, R., Schnurrenberger, D., Watson, R., 1996. Paleolithic hunters in the Azraq Oasis. *Biblical Archaeologist* 59, 239–240.
- Rollefson, G.O., Quintero, L., Wilke, P., Schnurrenberger, D., Low, R., Watson, R., 1997a. Excavations at 'Ain Soda in Azraq. *Occident and Orient* 2, 16–17.
- Rollefson, G.O., Schnurrenberger, D., Quintero, L.A., Watson, R. P., Low, R., 1997b. 'Ain Soda and 'Ain Qasiya: new Late Pleistocene and Early Holocene sites in the Azraq Shishan area, eastern Jordan. In: Gebel, H.G.K., Kafafi, Z., Rollefson, G.O. (Eds.), *The Prehistory of Jordan, II. Perspectives from 1997. Studies in Early Near Eastern Production, Subsistence, and Environment* 4. Ex Oriente, Berlin, pp. 45–58.
- Saarinen, J., Karne, A., Cerling, T., Uno, K., Säilä, L., Kasiki, S., Ngene, S., et al., 2015. A new tooth wear-based dietary analysis method for Proboscidea (Mammalia). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 35, e918546.
- Saegusa, H., Gilbert, W.H., 2008. Elephantidae. In: Gilbert, W.H., Asfaw, B. (Eds.), *Homo erectus: Pleistocene Evidence from the Middle Awash, Ethiopia*. The Middle Awash Series Vol. 1. University of California Press, Berkeley, pp. 193–226.
- Sanders, W.J., Gheerbrant, E., Harris, J.M., Saegusa, H., Delmer, C., 2010. Proboscidea. In: Werdelin, L., Sanders, W.J., (Eds.), *Cenozoic Mammals of Africa*. University of California Press, Berkeley, pp. 161–251.
- Sapir-Hen, L., Ben-Yosef, E., 2013. The introduction of domestic camels to the southern Levant: evidence from the Aravah Valley. *Tel Aviv* 40, 277–285.
- Scates, M.D., 1968. Notes on the hydrobiology of Azraq Oasis, Jordan. *Hydrobiologia* 31, 73–80.
- Schaller, G.B., 1972. *The Serengeti Lion: A Study of Predator-Prey Relations*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Shatnawi, R.S., AlAyyash, S.M., Abdellhadi, A., 2014. Rainy season assessment of Azraq Basin in eastern Jordan. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology* 8, 120–123.

- Shehab, A.H., Ciach, M., 2008. Diet composition of the Pharaoh Eagle Owl, *Bubo ascalaphus*, in Azraq Nature Reserve, Jordan. *Turkish Journal of Zoology* 32, 65–69.
- Shoshani, J., Eisenberg, J.F., 1982. *Elephas maximus*. *Mammalian Species* 182, 1–8.
- Simmons, A.H., Rollefson, G.O., Kafafi, Z., Mandel, R.D., al-Nahar, M., Cooper, J., Köhler-Rollefson, I., Durand, K.R., 2001. Wadi Shu'eib, a large Neolithic community in central Jordan: final report of test investigations. *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research* 321, 1–39.
- Smuts, M.M.S., Bezuidenhout, A.J., 1987. *Anatomy of the Dromedary*. Clarendon, Oxford, UK.
- Spassov, N., Stoytchev, T., 2004. The dromedary domestication problem: 3000 BC rock art evidence for the existence of wild one-humped camel in Central Arabia. *Historia Naturalis Bulgarica* 16, 151–158.
- Stanley, H.F., Kadwell, M., Wheeler, J.C., 1994. Molecular evolution of the family Camelidae: a mitochondrial DNA study. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 256, 1–6.
- Steiger, C., 1990. *Vergleichend Morphologische Untersuchungen an Einzelknochen des Postcranialen Skeletts der Atlweltkamele*. PhD dissertation, Universität München, Munich, Germany.
- Stewart, M., Louys, J., Price, G.J., Drake, N.A., Groucutt, H.S., Petraglia, M.D., 2017. Middle and Late Pleistocene mammal fossils of Arabia and surrounding regions: implications for biogeography and hominin dispersals. *Quaternary International* (in press). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2017.11.052>.
- Stimpson, C.M., Lister, A., Parton, A., Clark-Balzan, L., Breeze, P.S., Drake, N.A., Groucutt, H.S., et al., 2016. Middle Pleistocene vertebrate fossils from the Nefud Desert, Saudi Arabia: implications for biogeography and palaeoecology. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 143, 13–36.
- Stiner, M.C., 2005. *The Faunas of Hayonim Cave, Israel: A 200,000-Year Record of Paleolithic Diet, Demography, and Society*. *American School of Prehistoric Research Bulletin No. 48*. Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA.
- Stiner, M.C., Barkaib, R., Gopher, A., 2009. Cooperative hunting and meat sharing 400–200 kya at Qesem Cave, Israel. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106, 13207–13212.
- Tchernov, E., 1993. Exploitation of birds during the Natufian and Early Neolithic of the southern Levant. *Archaeofauna* 2, 121–143.
- Todd, N.E., 2005. Reanalysis of African *Elephas recki*: implications for time, space and taxonomy. *Quaternary International* 126–128, 65–72.
- Turnbull, P.F., Reed, C.A., 1974. The fauna from the Terminal Pleistocene of Palegawra Cave, a Zarzian occupation site in Northeastern Iraq. *Fieldiana Anthropology* 63, 81–146.
- Turnbull, P.M., 1989. Camel, canid, and other animal remains from Ain El-Assad (Lion's Spring), Jordan. In: Copeland, L., Hours, F. (Eds.), *The Hammer on the Rock: Studies in the Early Palaeolithic of Azraq, Jordan*. *British Archaeological Reports (BAR) International Series* 540(ii). BAR, Oxford, UK, pp. 277–299.
- Tyrberg, T., 1998. *Pleistocene Birds of the Palearctic: A Catalogue*. Nuttall Ornithological Club, Cambridge, MA.
- Uerpmann, H.-P., 1987. *The Ancient Distribution of Ungulate Mammals in the Near East: Fauna and Archaeological Sites in Southwest Asia and Northeast Africa*. Reichert, Wiesbaden, Germany.
- Uerpmann, H.-P., Uerpmann, M., 2002. The appearance of the domestic camel in south-east Arabia. *Journal of Oman Studies* 12, 235–260.
- van Asperen, E.N., Kahlke, R.-D., 2015. Dietary variation and overlap in central and northwest European *Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis* and *S. hemitoechus* (Rhinocerotidae, Mammalia) influenced by habitat diversity. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 107, 47–61.
- van Vuure, T., 2003. History, morphology and ecology of the aurochs (*Bos primigenius*). *Lutra* 45, 1–6.
- Vidya, T.N.C., Sukumar, R., Melnick, D.J., 2009. Range-wide mtDNA phylogeography yields insights into the origins of Asian elephants. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 276, 893–902.
- Villwock, W., Scholl, A., Krupp, F., 1983. Zur Taxonomie, Verbreitung und Speziation des Formenkreises *Aphanius dispar* (Rüppell, 1828) und Beschreibung von *Aphanius sirhani* n. sp. (Pisces: Cyprinodontidae). *Mitteilungen aus dem Hamburgischen Zoologischen Museum und Institut* 80, 251–277.
- von den Driesch, A., 1976. A Guide to the Measurement of Animal Bones from Archaeological Sites. *Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology Bulletin No. 1*. Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA.
- von den Driesch, A., Bruckner, H., Obermaier, H., Zander, A., 2008. The hunt for wild dromedaries during the 3rd and 2nd millennia BC on the United Emirates coast. Camel bone finds from the excavations at Al Sufouh 2, Dubai, UAE. In: Vila, E., Gourichon, L., Choyke, A.M., Buitenhuis, H. (Eds.), *Archaeozoology of the Near East VIII. Maison de l'Orient et de la Méditerranée, Lyon, France*, pp. 487–497.
- von den Driesch, A., Obermaier, H., 2007. The hunt for wild dromedaries during the 3rd and 2nd millennia BC on the United Emirates coast: camel bone finds from the excavations at al-Sufouh 2, Dubai, UAE. *Documenta Archaeobiologiae* 5, 133–167.
- Walker, C., 2017. Dining out in the desert: results from protein residue analysis at the Azraq Oasis, Jordan. Paper presented at the 82nd Annual Meeting of the Society for American Archaeology, Vancouver, BC, Canada, March 30, 2017.
- Wapnish, P., 1984. The dromedary and bactrian camel in Levantine historical settings: the evidence from Tell Jemmeh. In: Clutton-Brock, J., Grigson, C. (Eds.), *Animals and Archaeology 3: Early Herders and Their Flocks*. *British Archaeological Reports (BAR) International Series* 202. BAR, Oxford, UK, pp. 171–200.
- Watson, R.P., Burnett, G.W., 2001. On the origins of Azraq's "Roman Wall." *Near Eastern Archaeology* 64, 72–79.
- Woolfenden, W.B., Ababneh, L., 2011. Late Holocene vegetation in the Azraq Wetland Reserve, Jordan. *Quaternary Research* 76, 345–351.
- Zaidner, Y., 2013. Adaptive flexibility of Oldowan hominins: secondary use of flakes at Bizat Ruhama, Israel. *PLoS ONE* 8, e66851.