Degree of cognitive impairment and mortality: a 17-year follow-up in a community study

J. Santabárbara^{1,2}, R. Lopez-Anton^{2,3}, G. Marcos^{1,2,4,5}, C. De-la-Cámara^{2,6,7,8}, E. Lobo^{1,2}, P. Saz^{2,6}, P. Gracia-García^{2,7,8}, T. Ventura^{2,4,6,8}, A. Campayo^{2,6,7,8}, L. Rodríguez-Mañas⁹, B. Olaya^{2,10}, J. M. Haro^{2,10}, L. Salvador-Carulla¹¹, N. Sartorius¹² and A. Lobo^{2,4,6*}

¹ Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain

² Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Ministry of Science and Innovation, Madrid, Spain

³ Department of Psychology and Sociology, Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain

⁴ Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Aragón (IIS Aragón), Zaragoza, Spain

⁵ Medical Records Service, Hospital Clínico Universitario, Zaragoza, Spain

⁶ Department of Medicine and Psychiatry, Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain

⁷ Psychiatry Service, Hospital Clínico Universitario, Zaragoza, Spain

⁸ Psychiatry Service, Hospital Universitario Miguel Servet, Zaragoza, Spain

⁹ Hospital Universitario de Getafe and Red Tematica de Investigacion Cooperativa en Envejecimiento y Fragilidad (RETICEF), Madrid, Spain

¹⁰ Parc Sanitari Sant Joan de Déu, Fundació Sant Joan de Déu, Sant Boi de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain

¹¹ Centre for Disability Research and Policy, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

¹² Association for the Improvement of Mental Health Programmes (AMH), Geneva, Switzerland

Background. To test the hypothesis that cognitive impairment in older adults is associated with all-cause mortality risk and the risk increases when the degree of cognitive impairment augments; and then, if this association is confirmed, to report the population-attributable fraction (PAF) of mortality due to cognitive impairment.

Method. A representative random community sample of individuals aged over 55 was interviewed, and 4557 subjects remaining alive at the end of the first year of follow-up were included in the analysis. Instruments used in the assessment included the Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE), the History and Aetiology Schedule (HAS) and the Geriatric Mental State (GMS)-AGECAT. For the standardised degree of cognitive impairment Perneczky *et al*'s MMSE criteria were applied. Mortality information was obtained from the official population registry. Multivariate Cox proportional hazard models were used to test the association between MMSE degrees of cognitive impairment and mortality risk. We also estimated the PAF of mortality due to specific MMSE stages.

Results. Cognitive impairment was associated with mortality risk, the risk increasing in parallel with the degree of cognitive impairment (Hazard ratio, HR: 1.18 in the 'mild' degree of impairment; HR: 1.29 in the 'moderate' degree; and HR: 2.08 in the 'severe' degree). The PAF of mortality due to severe cognitive impairment was 3.49%.

Conclusions. A gradient of increased mortality-risk associated with severity of cognitive impairment was observed. The results support the claim that routine assessment of cognitive function in older adults should be considered in clinical practice.

Received 11 February 2014; Revised 8 May 2014; Accepted 8 May 2014; First published online 6 June 2014

Key words: Cognitive impairment, Mini Mental Status Examination, mortality, population-attributable fraction.

Introduction

Cognitive impairment usually indicates poor general health (Lobo *et al.* 1988; Kelman *et al.* 1994; Bassuk *et al.* 2000; Margiotta *et al.* 2006), is a major and may be a public health concern owing to its high prevalence in the elderly community, the estimates ranging from

(Email: alobo@unizar.es)

10.7 to 23.3% (Di Carlo *et al.* 2000; Rait *et al.* 2005; Zhang *et al.* 2014).

Most studies conducted in large community samples documenting a high mortality risk among individuals with cognitive impairment, particularly in the elderly, have controlled for potentially confounding factors (Kelman *et al.* 1994; Bruce *et al.* 1995; Bassuk *et al.* 2000; Nguyen *et al.* 2003; Schultz-Larsen *et al.* 2008; Park *et al.* 2013). However, while depression was included among the potentially confounding factors, the instruments used are appropriate for the recording of depressive symptoms, but not to detect

^{*}Address for correspondence: Dr A. Lobo, Departamento de Psiquiatría, Universidad de Zaragoza, Calle Domingo Miral, s/n, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain.

the clinical entities of depressive disorders (Bassuk *et al.* 2000; Schultz-Larsen *et al.* 2008). Moreover, previous studies did not control for anxiety disorders or most importantly dementia, despite the fact that both have been associated with an increased mortality risk (Saz *et al.* 1999; Dewey & Saz, 2001; Denollet *et al.* 2009; Carrière *et al.* 2013). Finally, previous reports which did show that cognitive impairment is associated with the increased mortality rates (Kelman *et al.* 1994; Bassuk *et al.* 2000; Nguyen *et al.* 2003; Schultz-Larsen *et al.* 2008) did not include standardised measures of degree of cognitive impairment.

In view of the high prevalence of cognitive impairment in the general population it would be useful to document the mortality risk associated with each degree of impairment. It would also be useful for researchers, public health workers and clinicians to have data on the risk of mortality attributable to cognitive impairment. Attributable risks may guide policymakers (Northridge, 1995), and this may be particularly relevant when the risks are potentially preventable. For example, interventions to control vascular risk factors have been suggested to decrease the risk of cognitive disturbance (Weinstein *et al.* in press).

In this context, the objectives of the present study are: to test the hypothesis that cognitive impairment, when potentially confounding factors are controlled, is associated with all-cause mortality risk and that the mortality risk increases with the degree of cognitive impairment; and then, as a secondary objective if this association is confirmed, to report the population-attributable fraction (PAF) of mortality due to cognitive impairment.

Method

Sample

The data presented here come from the Zaragoza Dementia and Depression (ZARADEMP) study, a longitudinal community study carried out in Zaragoza, Spain. The longitudinal design included a base-line, cross-sectional study (Wave I, starting in 1994) and four follow-up waves completed to date. This report presents data from the baseline study, and mortality data from the official population registry. Data collection and sample characteristics have been described elsewhere (Lobo et al. 2005). In short, a random sample of community dwelling persons aged 55 or more years, proportionally allocated by age and sex, was drawn from the census list of the city of Zaragoza (Spain) in 1991. Institutionalised individuals were also included. From a starting sample of 9739 patients, it was found that 3.6% had moved away, 18.9% had died and 7.6% were untraceable. In addition, 20.5% refused participation. As a result, 4803 subjects underwent the baseline interview (Wave I). Since the mortality rate might be overestimated by terminal illness, we included in the analysis only 4557 subjects remaining alive at the end of the first year of follow-up.

The principles of the Declaration of Helsinki were followed throughout. The Ethics Committee of the University of Zaragoza, and the Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria (FIS) approved the study protocol, according to Spanish Law. All individuals included in the study provided written informed consent.

Procedure

An epidemiological, longitudinal study was designed and A two-phase, diagnostic procedure was implemented. In the baseline interview, phase I, well-trained and regularly supervised lay interviewers conducted the 25- to 90-min ZARADEMP interview at the subjects' home or place of residence. Research psychiatrists supervised the lay-interviewers, and re-examined in the elderly's homes all doubtful cases. Periodic re-training of interviewers was implemented to avoid the reliability decay. The ZARADEMP interview incorporates standardised Spanish versions of several international instruments.

Examen Cognoscitivo Mini-Mental (Lobo *et al.* 1999), the Spanish version of the Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE) (Folstein *et al.* 1975).

Geriatric Mental State (GMS), a semistructured standardised clinical interview for assessing the mental state of elderly persons (Dewey *et al.* 1992; Lobo *et al.* 1995), accompanied by the AGECAT computer system, which uses an algorithm to analyse the GMS data and can have as outcome the psychiatric diagnosis.

The History and Aetiology Schedule (HAS), a standardised method of collecting history data from a caregiver or directly from the respondent when he or she is judged to be reliable (Dewey & Copeland, 2001).

Katz Index (Katz *et al.* 1963; Alvarez *et al.* 1992) and Lawton and Brody Scale (Lawton & Brody, 1969; Tárraga, 1995) to assess basic and instrumental activities of daily living, respectively; and a series of questions regarding medical and psychiatric history from the European Community Concerted Action on the Epidemiology and Prevention of Dementia (EURODEM) Study Risk Factors Questionnaire (Launer *et al.* 1992). Medical reports, which are frequently available at individuals' homes in Spain and usually include laboratory data, were used in the assessing process.

In phase II, the trained, supervising research psychiatrists also reassessed those individuals considered to be 'probable psychiatric cases', and/or the participants with information considered to be unreliable. These interviews were also conducted in the participants' place of residence, and the same instruments were used. Our previous studies support the validity of this procedure (Lobo *et al.* 1995).

Assessment of degrees of cognitive impairment

Information coming from the MMSE was used to classify subjects according to their scores into corresponding degrees of cognitive impairment. Standardised degrees of cognitive impairment in the MMSE validated by Perneczky *et al.* (2006) have been used in this study: 'normal' (scores 30), 'questionable' (scores 26–29), 'mild' (scores 21–25), 'moderate' (11–20) and 'severe' (scores 0–10).

Ascertainment of mortality

All-cause mortality of the ZARADEMP-Project respondents was ascertained through a reliable source, the official population registry in the city. Information in the registry was completed and verified via death certificate, which provide accurate information, including day, month and year of death. Days from birth to the date of death were calculated for each subject, and those individuals remaining alive in 1st January 2012 or missing (emigrated, not localisable) were included in the analysis as censured.

Covariates

Potentially confounding factors were assessed at baseline, and included socio-demographic characteristics (sex, educational level and marital status), behavioural risk factors (tobacco use, alcohol intake and obesity), health status, medical risk factors (vascular disease, hypertension and diabetes), functional status and psychiatric conditions (depression, anxiety and dementia).

Education was categorised into three levels: illiterate (unable to read and write, and/or <2 years of formal education), primary (complete or incomplete) and secondary school or higher.

Marital status was categorised as follows: 'single', 'married or living with couple', 'divorced or separated' and 'widowed'.

Information was obtained on alcohol daily consumption and tobacco use (both codified as past, present or never users) using the ZARADEMP Interview.

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in square metres. A BMI between 25 and 30 kg/m^2 was classified as overweight, and a BMI > 30 kg/m^2 as obese.

Blood pressure (BP) was measured during the interview by using a standard manual tensiometer, using the average of 2 BP readings; hypertension was considered when BP >140/99 mmHg or if the participant reported being treated for hypertension.

Health status was rated according to the HAS criteria and was dichotomised as 'good (physical illness absent)' or 'not good (physical illness present)'.

The presence of vascular risk factors and diabetes was based on the medical history obtained by using the EURODEM Risk Factors Questionnaire (Launer *et al.* 1992).

The presence of vascular diseases was dichotomised, distinguishing between vascular disease (angina and/or myocardial infarct and/or stroke) and no history of vascular disease.

Diabetes was dichotomised into persons with a previous medical diagnosis or receiving treatment for diabetes and the absence of diabetes.

Functional status was based on the Katz Index (Katz *et al.* 1963), and the Instrumental Activities of Daily Living Scale (Lawton & Brody, 1969). For this study, scores on each scale were dichotomised into disability and no disability.

The diagnosis of depression and anxiety were based on the AGECAT computer system. Dementia was diagnosed by a panel of research psychiatrists, following the information provided by the psychiatrists who examined the patient at the end of phase II, and using DSM-IV criteria.

Statistical analysis

The two-tailed Cochran–Armitage (Armitage, 1955) trend test was used to seek a linear trend in proportions across MMSE stages, and a two-tailed analysis of variance contrast analysis was used to seek linear trends of means of continuous measures.

We used a survival analysis with exact age as timescale (Thiébaut & Bénichou, 2004) to test the specific hypothesis that standardised degrees of cognitive impairment (Perneczky *et al.* 2006) are associated with increased risk of mortality.

In a first step, we built unadjusted survival curves for the MMSE degrees of cognitive impairment. The different degrees of cognitive impairment were compared with the normal performance group in the MMSE. In order to explore mechanisms explaining the association between MMSE stages and all-cause mortality, we constructed a univariate model. Then, a multivariate model additionally included sociodemographic characteristics (sex, education and marital status), behavioural risk factors (tobacco use, alcohol intake and obesity), health status, medical risk factors (vascular disease, hypertension and diabetes), functional status and psychiatric conditions (depression, dementia and anxiety) were carried out. Interactions between covariates and cognitive disturbance were assessed before calculating the multivariate regression model, and no statistical significance was observed in any.

In a second step of the survival analysis, we estimated the fraction of population mortality attributable to cognitive impairment. The PAF estimates the proportional amount that risk of death would be reduced if a specific MMSE stage were eliminated of population (Rockhill *et al.* 1998). To estimate the PAF of death due to specific MMSE stage, the following calculation was performed: $[px(HR - 1)/(1 + px(HR - 1))] \times 100$ ('p' represents the proportion of subjects who were exposed to the specific MMSE stage and 'HR' represents the hazard ratio of the specific MMSE stage in the multivariate model) (Rockhill *et al.* 1998; Daly, 1998).

All p values were two-tailed and we used bootstrap resampling to compute all CI at the 95% level (95% CI). To examine the assumption of proportional hazards, we visually inspected Schoenfeld-type residuals and then confirmed by Therneau & Grambsch's test. (Therneau & Grambsch, 2000).

Statistical analyses were conducted using R software (http://www.r-project.org) with its *Survival* package for survival analysis, and its *coin* package for Cochran-Armitage test for trend.

Results

Out of the 4557 participants included in the analysis, 595 (13%) were classified as 'normal' (no cognitive impairment), 2600 (57%) as 'questionable' cognitive impairment, 911 (20%) had 'mild' cognitive impairment, 335 (7%) had 'moderate' cognitive impairment and 116 (3%) had 'severe' impairment. Table 1 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of the participants by degree of cognitive impairment. The

participants with higher degree of cognitive impairment (MMSE) were significantly older. Furthermore, as the severity of the impairment increased, participants were more likely to be women, illiterate and widowed (Table 1).

The median follow-up time was 11.7 (interquartile range, IQR: 5.0–15.3) years. During the follow-up period, 2448 (53.7%) participants died. The distribution of MMSE degrees of impairment according to survival status is presented in Table 2. The proportion of deaths increases gradually as the MMSE scores decrease (i.e., the severity of the cognitive impairment increases) (p < 0.001).

The crude comparison of the survival curves according to MMSE degree of impairment (Fig. 1) shows a more favourable survival probability in the 'normal' MMSE degree, and this probability decreased as the severity of impairment increases. In fact, the median survival age for subjects in the 'normal' degree of impairment was 85.9 (95% CI: 84.6–87.3) years, significantly higher than 82.7 (95% CI: 81.5–84.0) years for subjects in the 'moderate' degree and 77.5 (95% CI: 76.2–79.8) years for subjects in the 'severe' degree. The median survival age for the 'questionable' and 'mild' degrees were 85.1 (95% CI: 84.4–85.7) years and 84.2 (95% CI: 83.3–85.1) years, respectively, but did not reach statistical significance when compared with the 'normal' degree of cognitive impairment.

Table 2 also shows the results of Cox regression analysis of the mortality risk associated with the different degrees of cognitive impairment. The association with mortality risk increases by degree of cognitive impairment.

In the multivariate model, with the inclusion of all potential confounding factors, the association between MMSE degrees of cognitive impairment and mortality was slightly attenuated (HR = 1.18 in the 'mild' degree

Table 1.	Socio-demographic	characteristic by	degree of	cognitive impairment
----------	-------------------	-------------------	-----------	----------------------

	Normal (<i>n</i> = 595)	Questionable $(n = 2600)$	Mild (<i>n</i> =911)	Moderate $(n = 335)$	Severe (<i>n</i> = 116)	<i>p</i> -value ^a
Age (year), mean ± s.d.	67.6 ± 6.9	71.1 ± 8.1	77.1 ± 9.5	81.9 ± 8.7	84.3 ± 7.7	< 0.001
Women, <i>n</i> (%)	299 (50.2)	1389 (53.4)	620 (68.0)	250 (74.6)	86 (74.1)	< 0.001
Educational level, n (%)						< 0.001
Illiterate	14 (2.4)	171 (6.6)	164 (18.1)	106 (32.6)	20 (18.8)	
Primary school	356 (60.1)	1985 (76.3)	714 (79.1)	208 (64.0)	82 (77.4)	
Secondary school or higher	222 (37.5)	445 (17.1)	25 (2.8)	11 (3.4)	4 (3.8)	
Marital status, <i>n</i> (%)						< 0.001
Single	57 (9.6)	246 (9.5)	74 (8.2)	30 (9.0)	12 (10.5)	
Married or living with couple	445 (74.8)	1685 (64.9)	421 (46.5)	111 (33.1)	32 (28.1)	
Divorced or separated	7 (1.2)	42 (1.6)	10 (1.1)	3 (0.9)	0 (0)	
Widowed	86 (14.4)	622 (24.0)	401 (44.3)	191 (57.0)	70 (61.4)	

^aCochran–Armitage trend test or analysis of variance linear trends.

		Univariate model		Multivariate model ^a	
Degrees of cognitive impairment	Deaths, <i>n</i> (%)	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Normal	216 (36.3)	1	_	1	_
Questionable	1261 (48.5)	1.09 (0.94-1.26)	0.247	1.05 (0.90-1.23)	0.520
Mild	602 (66.1)	1.22 (1.04-1.43)	0.016	1.18 (0.99-1.41)	0.059
Moderate	262 (78.2)	1.46 (1.21-1.76)	<0.001	1.29 (1.03-1.61)	0.025
Severe	107 (92.2)	2.53 (1.99–3.21)	< 0.001	2.08 (1.42-3.04)	< 0.001

Table 2. Hazard ratio and confidence intervals (95%) for Cox regression models

^aAdjusted for sex, educational level, marital status, obesity, alcohol intake, tobacco use, health status, vascular disease, diabetes, hypertension, functional status, depression, anxiety and dementia.

of cognitive impairment; HR = 1.29 in the 'moderate' degree; HR = 2.08 in the 'severe' degree). The association between 'questionable' degree of cognitive impairment and mortality did not reach statistical significance.

We estimated that the proportion of the population exposed to the 'severe' degree of cognitive impairment was 0.03. The estimate of 0.03 for the proportion exposed yields a PAF of 3.49% (95% CI: 1.38–6.40%). Similarly, with an estimated proportion of the population exposed to the 'moderate' and 'mild' degrees of impairment of 0.08 and 0.20, respectively; the corresponding PAF were 2.20% (95% CI: 0.23–4.52%) for 'moderate' degree and 3.50% (95% CI: 0.00–7.64%) for 'mild' degree of cognitive impairment.

Discussion

10 Normal Questional Mild 80 Moderat Severe Survival probability 9.0 40 0.2 0.0 60 70 80 90 100

The results of this study support the hypothesis that cognitive impairment, when socio-demographic

Fig. 1. Survival curves for degrees of cognitive impairment.

characteristics, behavioural risk factors, health status, medical risk factors, functional status and psychiatric conditions are controlled will be associated with long-term all-cause mortality risk; and that the risk will be higher when the degree of cognitive impairment is higher, the gradient of the increment being apparent. While the increased risk was particularly marked in cases of severe cognitive impairment (HR = 2.08, *p* < 0.001), the results were marginally significant in cases of mild cognitive impairment (HR = 1.18, *p* = 0.059), and did not reach statistical significance in cases of questionable impairment (HR = 1.05, *p* = 0.590).

The association between cognitive impairment and mortality has also been reported in previous community studies (Kelman *et al.* 1994; Bruce *et al.* 1995; Bassuk *et al.* 2000; Nguyen *et al.* 2003; Schultz-Larsen *et al.* 2008; Park *et al.* 2013) although the results have been inconsistent in relation to mild or non-severe impairment (Bassuk *et al.* 2000; Nguyen *et al.* 2003; Park *et al.* 2013); Our study does not resolve this controversy in relation to non-severe cognitive impairment, but it is the first one suggesting a clear gradient, a 'dose–response' relationship, the mortality risk increasing in parallel with the severity of impairment.

Furthermore, this is the first follow-up study of such characteristics conducted in Southern Europe, with its long follow-up period, and has several additional advantages in relation to previous studies. First, it documents for the first time the PAF of death due to cognitive impairment. The contribution to mortality was 3.49% in severe cases and is judged to be considerable, as suggested by the fact that the fraction is similar to the one found in this same study (not shown among the results in this paper) for a classical risk factor such as diabetes (3.58%, 95% CI: 1.88–5.45). However, the causality implications in the PAF should be taken with caution in this particular study, in view of controversies about the meaning of the construct cognitive impairment (Dartigues & Amieva, 2014).

Second, we used the multivariate Cox proportional hazard models using exact age as timescale, which consistently showed that cognitive impairment was independently associated with mortality risk. Previous studies (Kelman *et al.* 1994; Bruce *et al.* 1995; Bassuk *et al.* 2000; Nguyen *et al.* 2003; Park *et al.* 2013) with the exception of one (Schultz-Larsen *et al.* 2008) used time-on-study (i.e., time since inclusion date) in Cox regression models, taking age as a covariate. In samples of older adults, since age is strongly associated with some covariates (for example chronic diseases) it is preferred to use the exact age as timescale. The advantage of this method, as has been used here, is that bias on effect estimates can be avoided (Thiébaut & Bénichou, 2004).

Third, this study was more stringent than previous studies in controlling for potentially confounding factors. We controlled for factors previously associated with mortality, including socio-demographic characteristics such as gender (male) and limited education (Kunst & Mackenbach, 1994), health factors; (Kelman et al. 1994; Bassuk et al. 2000; Nguyen et al. 2003; Schultz-Larsen et al. 2008; Park et al. 2013), functional status (Scott et al. 1997) and relevant behavioural risk factors (tobacco use, alcohol intake and obesity) (Patel et al. 2013). Moreover, to minimise the possibility that mortality was due to an acute or terminal medical condition, we only included in the analysis subjects remaining alive at the end of the first follow-up year. In controlling for depression, which has previously been shown to be associated with an increased mortality risk (Saz & Dewey, 2001; Schoevers et al. 2009), and contrary to most previous investigations using instruments such as the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale (Kelman et al. 1994; Bassuk et al. 2000; Nguyen et al. 2003), Geriatric Depression scale (Schultz-Larsen et al. 2008) or Beck Depression Inventory (Park et al. 2013), we used a diagnostic instrument, the AGECAT system. 'Cases' detected in the community with this system have been shown to correspond to what clinical psychiatrists consider a 'treatable case' (Copeland et al. 2004). Furthermore, our study is the only one controlling for anxiety disorder, which has recently been shown to be associated with an increased mortality risk (Denollet et al. 2009; Carrière et al. 2013). Contrary to previous studies, we also controlled for dementia, shown to be one of the best-known mortality risks in the elderly in general (Dewey & Saz, 2001) and also in this same population in Zaragoza (Saz et al. 1999). It might be argued that new covariate alterations could occur in the long followup period in this particular study. However, in such case the group with cognitive disturbance would probably be more exposed to the covariate alterations and, consequently, the main results and conclusions of this study would be reinforced.

Finally, the MMSE severity degrees of cognitive impairment used in this study have been validated against well-known scales such as the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) (Hughes et al. 1982). It might also be argued that this validation against a dementia scale limits the utility of this MMSE categorisation of cognitive impairment. However, the CDR has also been used to assess cognitive impairment (Modrego & Ferrández, 2004), and the thresholds implemented here have been used previously by the authors to categorise cognitive impairment in general (Sartorius et al. 2013). In support of the generalisability of the 'standardised' cut-off to evaluate cognitive impairment in a general population the study was completed in a representative community sample, and the data showing that mortality risk increases in parallel with the severity of cognitive disturbance supports the predictive validity of this MMSE categorisation. The robustness of the results is also supported by the fact that the individuals with normal cognitive performance were used as the reference in the statistical, regression models.

Although There is no consensus about the construct cognitive impairment, It has been considered to be a frailty index (Kelaiditi et al. 2013), an index of ill health for specific illnesses (Wiesli et al. 2005) or for general ill health (Regal-Ramos et al. 2005). The results of this study demonstrate the significance of the construct. While cognitive impairment cannot be considered an illness by itself, the severity gradient we have shown makes it a candidate variable for future research of diseases staging models (Rikkert et al. 2011). Staging models in which medical diseases or disorders are assessed according to different severity degrees have been shown to be clinically useful (Edge & Compton, 2010) and have also been proposed for psychiatric disturbances (Vieta et al. 2011) and for dementia (Rikkert et al. 2011). Despite the fact that mortality risks for different severity degrees in the MMSE overlap, the severity gradient observed in this study points in the direction of staging degrees. It might be useful in future studies to consider implementing a staging-like approach to care for patients with cognitive impairment.

Several hypotheses may be put forward about the potential mechanisms involved in the intriguing, increased mortality risk associated with cognitive disturbance documented in this study. Among them, biological mechanisms, including frailty-related factors (Kelaiditi *et al.* 2013) or leucoaraiosis (Grueter & Schulz, 2012) should certainly be considered. However, psychosocial factors such as self-neglect and lack of compliance with medical care may also be at play. Mortality has been associated with self-neglect, such as observed in depressed patients (Campayo *et al.* 2009), and depression was common among individuals with cognitive disturbance in this same population (Gracia-Garcia *et al.* 2013).

In relation to the clinical implications in this study, the usefulness of this simple method to document degree of cognitive impairment and detect individuals with an associated mortality risk may also be underlined. The MMSE is a valid instrument that can be applied and interpreted by clinicians in 10 min However, they should be alert at the influence of both age and education in the MMSE scores (Lobo *et al.* 1999). Since age and educational level are strongly related to mortality (Moe *et al.* 2012), we have been particularly careful in controlling by both in the statistical, regression models used in this study.

Some other limitations in this research should also be considered, including the controversies about the meaning of the construct cognitive disturbance (Dartigues & Amieva, 2014). Epidemiological limitations, such as those related to response rate have been previously commented (Lobo et al. 2005). Putative misclassification of cognitive disturbance cannot be discarded, since the sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of the MMSE in the general population are not optimal (Lobo et al. 1999). A number of known mortality risk factors in old individuals have been controlled, but we cannot assure that factors uncontrolled in this study, such as the co-morbidity (Helvik et al. 2013) or the diet (Morris, 2012), might influence the reported results. Moreover, information about the specific cause of death was not available for this mortality study. These limitations notwithstanding, it is remarkable that, after controlling for a number of known mortality risk factors in old individuals, we show that, compared with an individual with good cognitive performance, an individual of the same age, sex, physical and mental conditions, has a survival expectation half if he/she has severe cognitive impairment. This is of major significance for public health work and may be important in selecting areas for future research.

Conclusion

The results of this study support the hypothesis that cognitive impairment is associated with all-cause mortality risk; and shows a clear gradient of risk, the mortality risk increasing with the degree of cognitive impairment. The PAF of death due to severe cognitive impairment was 3.49%. It may be remarked that the cognitive disturbance may be detected with a simple, bed-side or office cognitive test, and therefore clinicians in settings such as Primary Care or Geriatric facilities should be alert to a common disturbance with associated mortality risk, and should consider routine assessment of cognitive function in the elderly. Specifically, if severe cognitive disturbance could be prevented, the mortality rate shown in this study would decrease by 3.49%. Clinicians could also consider the possibility of informing the caregivers in cases of patients with cognitive disturbance.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the contribution of the lay interviewers, senior medical students and members of the ZARADEMP Workgroup who participated in the study.

Financial Support

This work was supported by Grants from the Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, Madrid, Spain (grant numbers 94/1562, 97/1321E, 98/ 0103, 01/0255, 03/0815, 06/0617 and G03/128).

Conflict of Interest

All authors declare that there are no potential financial, personal or organisational conflicts of interest for this particular study.

Ethical Standard

The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

References

- Alvarez Solar M, de Alaiz Rojo AT, Brun Gurpegui E, Cabañeros Vicente JJ, Calzón Frechoso M, Cosío
 Rodríguez I, García López P, García-Cañedo Fernández R, Pardo González I, Suárez-González A (1992). Functional capacity of patients over 65 according to the Katz index.
 Reliability of the method. Atencion Primaria/Sociedad Española de Medicina de Familia y Comunitaria 10, 812–816.
- Armitage P (1955). Tests for linear trends in proportions and frequencies. *Biometrics* 11, 375–386.
- **Bassuk SS, Wypij D, Berkman LF** (2000). Cognitive impairment and mortality in the community-dwelling elderly. *American Journal of Epidemiology* **151**, 676–688.
- Bruce ML, Hoff RA, Jacobs SC, Leaf PJ (1995). The effects of cognitive impairment on 9-year mortality in a community sample. *Journals of Gerontology. Series B, Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences* 50, 289–296.
- Campayo A, Alvarez-Silva I, Saz P, Barcones M, Gutiérrez-Galve L, Lobo A (2009). A new autopsy interview for the assessment of factors potentially related to

death in depressed medical patients. *Behavioral Medicine* **35**, 45–56.

Carrière I, Ryan J, Norton J, Scali J, Stewart R, Ritchie K, Ancelin ML (2013). Anxiety and mortality risk in community-dwelling elderly people. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 203, 303–309.

Copeland JR, Beekman AT, Braam AW, Dewey ME, Delespaul P, Fuhrer R, Hooijer C, Lawlor BA, Kivela SL, Lobo A, Magnusson H, Mann AH, Meller I, Prince MJ, Reischies F, Roelands M, Skoog I, Turrina C, deVries MW, Wilson KC (2004). Depression among older people in Europe: the EURODEP studies. *World Psychiatry: Official Journal of the World Psychiatric Association (WPA)* **3**, 45–49.

Daly LE (1998). Confidence limits made easy: Interval estimation using a substitution method. *American Journal of Epidemiology* **147**, 783–790.

Dartigues JF, Amieva H (2014). Letter to the editor: cognitive frailty: rational and definition from an (I.a.N.a./i.a.g.g.) international consensus group. *Journal of Nutrition, Health, and Aging* **18**, 95.

Denollet J, Maas K, Knottnerus A, Keyzer JJ, Pop VJ (2009). Anxiety predicted premature all-cause and cardiovascular death in a 10-year follow-up of middle-aged women. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 62, 452–456.

Dewey ME, Copeland JR (2001). Diagnosis of dementia from the History and Aetiology Schedule. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* **16**, 912–917.

Dewey ME, Saz P (2001). Dementia, cognitive impairment and mortality in persons aged 65 and over living in the community: a systematic review of the literature. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* **16**, 751–761.

Dewey ME, Copeland JRM, Lobo A, Saz P, Día JL (1992). Computerized diagnosis from a standardised history schedule: a preliminary communication about the organic section of the HAS-AGECAT system. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 7, 443–446.

Di Carlo A, Baldereschi M, Amaducci L, Maggi S, Grigoletto F, Scarlato G, Inzitari D (2000). Cognitive impairment without dementia in older people: prevalence, vascular risk factors, impact on disability. The Italian Longitudinal Study on Aging. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* **48**, 775–782.

Edge SB, Compton CC (2010). The American Joint Committee on Cancer: the 7th edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual and the future of TNM. *Annals of Surgical Oncology* **17**, 1471–1474.

Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR (1975). "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* **12**, 189–198.

Gracia-García P, de-la-Cámara C, Santabárbara J, Lopez-Anton R, Quintanilla MA, Ventura T, Marcos G, Campayo A, Saz P, Lyketsos C, Lobo A (2013). Depression and incident Alzheimer disease: the impact of disease severity. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* [Epub ahead of print]

Grueter BE, Schulz UG (2012). Age-related cerebral white matter disease (leukoaraiosis): a review. *Postgraduate Medical Journal* **88**, 79–87.

Helvik AS, Engedal K, Selbæk G (2013). Three-year mortality in previously hospitalized older patients from rural areas–the importance of co-morbidity and self-reported poor health. *BMC Geriatrics* **13**, 17.

Hughes CP, Berg L, Danziger WL, Coben LA, Martin RL (1982). A new clinical scale for the staging of dementia. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **140**, 566–572.

Katz S, Ford AB, Moskowitz RW, Jackson BA, Jaffe MW (1963). Studies of illness in the aged. The index of ADL: a standardized measure of biological and psychosocial function. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **185**, 914–919.

Kelaiditi E, Cesari M, Canevelli M, van Kan GA, Ousset PJ, Gillette-Guyonnet S, Ritz P, Duveau F, Soto ME, Provencher V, Nourhashemi F, Salvà A, Robert P, Andrieu S, Rolland Y, Touchon J, Fitten JL, Vellas B (2013). Cognitive frailty: rational and definition from an (I. A.N.A./I.A.G.G.) international consensus group. *Journal of Nutrition, Health, and Aging* 17, 726–734.

Kelman HR, Thomas C, Kennedy GJ, Cheng J (1994). Cognitive impairment and mortality in older community residents. *American Journal of Public Health* 84, 1255–1260.

Kunst AE, Mackenbach JP (1994). The size of mortality differences associated with educational level in nine industrialized countries. *American Journal of Public Health* 84, 932–937.

Launer LJ, Brayne C, Dartigues JF, Hofman A (1992). European studies on the incidence of dementing diseases: a report of the EURODEM Research Group. *Neuroepidemiology* **11**, 1–22.

Lawton MP, Brody EM (1969). Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist* 9, 179–186.

Lobo A, Folstein MF, Escolar V (1988). Higher mortality and diagnostic validity of "delirium" syndromes in oncological patients. In *Psicosomática y Cáncer* (ed. A Lobo and A Tres). Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo: Madrid.

Lobo A, Saz P, Marcos G, Día JL, De-la-Cámara C (1995). The prevalence of dementia and depression in the elderly community in a southern European population. The Zaragoza study. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **52**, 497–506.

Lobo A, Saz P, Marcos G, Día JL, de la Cámara C, Ventura T, Morales Asín F, Fernando Pascual L, Montañés JA, Aznar S (1999). [Revalidation and standardization of the cognition mini-exam (first Spanish version of the Mini-Mental Status Examination) in the general geriatric population]. *Medicina Clínica* 112, 767–774.

Lobo A, Saz P, Marcos G, Día JL, De la Cámara C, Ventura T, Montañés JA, Lobo-Escolar A, Aznar S, ZARADEMP Workgroup (2005). The ZARADEMP-Project on the incidence, prevalence and risk factors of dementia (and depression) in the elderly community: II. Methods and first results. *European Journal of Psychiatry* **19**, 40–54.

Margiotta A, Bianchetti A, Ranieri P, Trabucchi M (2006). Clinical characteristics and risk factors of delirium in demented and not demented elderly medical inpatients. *Journal of Nutrition, Health and Aging* **10**, 535–539.

Modrego PJ, Ferrández J (2004). Depression in patients with mild cognitive impairment increases the risk of developing

dementia of Alzheimer type: a prospective cohort study. Archives of Neurology **61**, 1290–1293.

Moe JO, Steingrímsdóttir ÓA, Strand BH, Grøholt EK, Næss Ø (2012). Trends in educational inequalities in old age mortality in Norway 1961–2009: a prospective register based population study. *BioMed Central Public Health* **12**, 911.

Morris MC (2012) Nutritional determinants of cognitive aging and dementia. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society* **71**, 1–13.

Nguyen HT, Black SA, Ray LA, Espino DV, Markides KS (2003). Cognitive impairment and mortality in older Mexican Americans. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* **51**, 178–183.

Northridge ME (1995). Annotation: public health methods-attributable risk as a link between causality and public health action. *American Journal of Public Health* **85**, 1202–1204.

Park MH, Kwon DY, Jung JM, Han C, Jo I, Jo SA (2013). Mini-Mental Status Examination as predictors of mortality in the elderly. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 127, 298–304.

Patel CJ, Rehkopf DH, Leppert JT, Bortz WM, Cullen MR, Chertow GM, Ioannidis JP (2013). Systematic evaluation of environmental and behavioral factors associated with all-cause mortality in the United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 42, 1795–1810.

Perneczky R, Wagenpfeil S, Komossa K, Grimmer T, Diehl J, Kurz A (2006). Mapping scores onto stages: mini-mental state examination and clinical dementia rating. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 14, 139–144.

Rait G, Fletcher A, Smeeth L, Brayne C, Stirling S, Nunes M, Breeze E, Ng ES, Bulpitt CJ, Jones D, Tulloch AJ (2005). Prevalence of cognitive impairment: results from the MRC trial of assessment and management of older people in the community. Age and Ageing 34, 242–248.

Regal-Ramos RJ, Salinero-Fort MA, Cruz-Jentoft AJ (2005). Mortality predictive factors of a clinical cohort of elderly patients. *Atencion Primaria/Sociedad Española de Medicina de Familia y Comunitaria* **36**, 480–486.

Rikkert MG, Tona KD, Janssen L, Burns A, Lobo A, Robert P, Sartorius N, Stoppe G, Waldemar G (2011). Validity, reliability, and feasibility of clinical staging scales in dementia: a systematic review. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias* **26**, 357–365.

Rockhill B, Newman B, Weinberg C (1998). Use and misuse of population attributable fractions. *American Journal of Public Health* **88**, 15–19.

Sartorius N, Semrau M, Burns A, Lobo A, Rikkert MO, Robert P, Stoppe G (2013). Symposium on the development of an instrument allowing the staging of care for patients with dementia. In World Psychiatric Association Congress.

Saz P, Dewey ME (2001). Depression, depressive symptoms and mortality in persons aged 65 and over living in the community: a systematic review of the literature. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* **16**, 622–630.

Saz P, Launer LJ, Día JL, De-La-Cámara C, Marcos G, Lobo A (1999). Mortality and Mental Disorders in a Spanish elderly population. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* **14**, 1031–1038.

Schoevers RA, Geerlings MI, Deeg DJ, Holwerda TJ, Jonker C, Beekman AT (2009). Depression and excess mortality: evidence for a dose response relation in community living elderly. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* **24**, 169– 176.

Schultz-Larsen K, Rahmanfard N, Kreiner S, Avlund K, Holst C (2008). Cognitive impairment as assessed by a short form of MMSE was predictive of mortality. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 61, 1227–1233.

Scott WK, Macera CA, Cornman CB, Sharpe PA (1997). Functional health status as a predictor of mortality in men and women over 65. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 50, 291–296.

Tárraga LL (1995). Evaluación del deterioro cognitivo y funcional de la demencia. Escalas de mayor interés en la Atención Primaria. In *El Médico Ante la Demencia y su Entorno, Módulo 1* (ed. M Boada and L Tárraga). Bayer SA: Barcelona.

Therneau TM, Grambsch PM (2000). *Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model* (ed. K Dietz, M Gail, K Krickeberg, J Samet and A Tsiatis). Springer-Verlag: New York.

Thiébaut AC, Bénichou J (2004). Choice of time-scale in Cox's model analysis of epidemiologic cohort data: a simulation study. *Statistics in Medicine* 23, 3803–3820.

Vieta E, Reinares M, Rosa AR (2011). Staging bipolar disorder. Neurotoxicity Research 19, 279–285.

Weinstein G, Goldbourt U, Tanne D (in press). Angina pectoris severity among coronary heart disease patients is associated with subsequent cognitive impairment. *Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders*.

Wiesli P, Schwegler B, Schmid B, Spinas GA, Schmid C (2005). Mini-Mental State Examination is superior to plasma glucose concentrations in monitoring patients with suspected hypoglycaemic disorders during the 72-hour fast. *European Journal of Endocrinology/European Federation of Endocrine Societies* **152**, 605–610.

Zhang Y, Shi Z, Liu M, Liu S, Yue W, Liu S, Xiang L, Lu H, Liu P, Wisniewski T, Wang J, Ji Y (2014). Prevalence of cognitive impairment no dementia in a rural area of Northern China. *Neuroepidemiology* 15, 197–203.