Do Neoproterozoic (Moine) calc-silicate rocks represent metamorphosed tuffs? A geochemical re-appraisal

Richard A. Batchelor

School of Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews KY16 9AL, Scotland, UK

ABSTRACT: Following the identification of grey quartz–albite–chlorite–calcite–muscovite rocks in Meso- to Neo-proterozoic sequences in Scotland as metamorphosed tuffs of intermediate composition, it has been shown that this lithology will generate calc-silicate rocks at higher metamorphic grades. Both rock types occur as thin beds with sharp contacts with their host, occur as multiple beds in isolated suites, and share chemical compositions suggestive of volcanic sources with tholeiitic andesite affinities. The failure to recognise calc-silicate rocks as tuffs might explain the apparent scarcity of volcanogenic material through *c*.220 million years of early Earth history in Scotland.

KEY WORDS: Proterozoic volcanic ashes

Calc-silicate rocks, which are metamorphic rocks composed mostly of plagioclase feldspar, amphibole, garnet, quartz and occasionally epidote, are sporadically distributed throughout the Neoproterozoic Moine Supergroup of Scotland. The Moine Supergroup comprises a thick sequence of sedimentary rocks, deposited in fluviatile (Bonsor & Prave 2008) and shallow marine environments between c.950 Ma and 870 Ma (Mendum et al. 2009), intruded by some minor igneous intrusions, all of which were subjected to regional metamorphism and deformation events (Strachan et al. 2010). The sediments were derived from the denudation of the c.1000 Ma Grenville Orogen and deposited off the eastern margin of Laurentia into a foreland basin. The value of calc-silicate rocks as indicators of metamorphic grades was first established in Scotland by Kennedy (1949). Generally, they occur as thin bands or lenses, commonly less than 15 cm thick, and have sharp upper and lower contacts with their host metasediment. They are defined as containing less than 5 % free carbonate minerals (Rosen et al. 2004). Calc-silicates from the Moine rocks of NW Scotland occur within psammites and semi-pelites, and contain combinations of plagioclase feldspar, hornblende \pm biotite, garnet, quartz, zoisite, and minor calcite (Winchester 1972). The amount of zoisite is inversely proportional to the amount of calcite, suggesting that the latter is consumed to create zoisite. Calcite is often preserved as inclusions in garnet, implying it was present in the rock before garnet formed. Grain sizes generally vary from 0.1 mm to 1 mm.

A study of Moine calc-silicate rocks from the Morar area charted the mineralogical changes which took place with increasing metamorphic grade (Tanner 1976). The lower grades are typified by quartz, albitic plagioclase feldspar, garnet, biotite \pm hornblende and zoisite; whereas at higher grades, zoisite disappears and the plagioclase composition reaches bytownite and hornblende replaces biotite. The garnet Alm70-60–Gro30-40 is ubiquitous in the sequence. Further work on these rocks showed that Na and K are lost from the calc-silicate during prograde metamorphism (Tanner & Miller 1980).

Epidote-bearing calc-silicates within the Moine Supergroup of Scotland were described by Winchester (1975). These occurrences form bands and lenticles less than 10 cm thick and occur within the Morar and Loch Eil groups. While the bulk chemical composition is similar to that of the common zoisite-garnet type, it differs in that garnet is absent and epidote takes the place of zoisite. Concentrations of zirconium up to 766 ppm are reported, a value which is high for clastic sediments but normal for igneous rocks with alkaline/intermediate compositions. Winchester's (1975) conclusion was that epidote was a detrital mineral and the rock may have been related to an epidotic grit lithology, similar to that in the Sleat Group (Torridonian) described by Stewart (2002). Some epidotic calc-silicates were considered to have possibly originated from volcanic ash deposits (J. A. Winchester, pers. comm. 2009).

Historically, references to putative tuffs in the Proterozoic of Scotland are scarce, but four examples (one of Lewisian age and three of Moine age) are quoted here and are presented to show that volcanogenic rocks can masquerade as something different. One calc-silicate rock from the Scourian complex of NW Scotland has been described as a plagioclase-clinopyroxenescapolite rock. However, when the chemical composition was re-calculated on a volatile-free basis, the rock became essentially a clinopyroxene-plagioclase rock (i.e., a gabbro) (Rollinson 1980). A study of hornblendic rocks from the Moine successions west of the Great Glen (Rock 1984) identified two samples whose chemical composition suggested they were formed from contemporary volcaniclastic deposits. Two epidote hornblende schists from the Moine Supergroup of Sutherland were considered to represent penecontemporaneous volcanic ash deposits (Moorhouse & Moorhouse 1979). Garnetiferous hornblende schists near to the contact of the Glenfinnan and Loch Eil groups. Moine Supergroup, were thought to represent volcanic horizons (Peacock 1977).

This work was triggered by the identification of grey quartz– albite–chlorite–muscovite–calcite rocks (cognate to altered variants named 'brown beds') in prehnite–pumpellyite to low greenschist facies environments in the Dalradian Supergroup (Batchelor 2004a, b) and in the Torridonian Supergroup (Batchelor *et al.* 2008; Batchelor 2011) which were all interpreted as tuffs. Circumstantial evidence from geochemical, mineralogical and field relationships led to the hypothesis that these grey tuffs could convert to calc-silicate rocks under amphibolite facies metamorphism. Three grey tuffs have CaO values of 9.8 %, 10.5 % and 16.1 %. Values of CaO in 75 published analyses of calc-silicates yielded a range of 3.0–21.6 % CaO (Winchester 1972, 1975; Tanner 1976) (Table 1).

This study is based on experimental petrology and geochemical analysis of suites of Moine calc-silicate rocks collected from

Table 1	Average value	s of major	element	oxide	ratios	for ca	alc-silicate	rocks,	tuffs and	metasediments.
---------	---------------	------------	---------	-------	--------	--------	--------------	--------	-----------	----------------

	Average 1	Average 2	Average 3	Average 4	Average 5	Average 6
CaO/Al ₂ O ₃	0.65	0.71	0.60	0.55	0.40	0.85
CaO/MgO	8.62	10.46	5.06	8.51	5.50	13.40
CaO/TiO ₂	13.86	18.47	12.05	12.96	8.66	20.42
CaO/Fe ₂ O ₃	2.21	2.87	1.70	2.25	1.35	3.11
Fe ₂ O ₃ /MgO	3.90	3.64	2.98	3.79	4.06	4.31
TiO ₂ /MgO	0.62	0.57	0.42	0.66	0.64	0.66
	Average 7	Average 8	Average 9	Average 10	Average 11	
CaO/Al ₂ O ₃	0.65	0.37	0.56	0.51	0.61	
CaO/MgO	14.84	5.37	8.40	9.30	25.30	
CaO/TiO ₂	18.78	17.06	14.50	12.40	24.70	
CaO/Fe ₂ O ₃	3.80	3.35	2.20	2.10	4.40	
Fe ₂ O ₃ /MgO	3.90	1.60	3.70	4.60	4.50	
TiO ₂ /MgO	0.79	0.31	0.60	0.75	0.75	
	GH/RB/112GY	SK/RB/05GY	TN/RB/026GY	Moine metasediments (3)		
CaO/Al ₂ O ₃	0.89	0.97	1.92	0.23		
CaO/MgO	12.20	10.17	24.75	1.72		
CaO/TiO ₂	14.75	20.94	22.35	4.14		
CaO/Fe ₂ O ₃	3.27	4.09	6.70	0.61		
Fe ₂ O ₃ /MgO	3.73	2.49	3.69	2.81		
TiO ₂ /MgO	0.83	0.49	1.11	0.42		

Average 1: Epidotic calc-silicates (Winchester 1975)

Average 2: Garnet-zoisite calc-silicates (Winchester 1972)

Average 3: Calc-silicates from Fannich (Winchester 1972)

Average 4: Calc-silicates (Tanner 1976)

Average 5: Calc-silicates (this work), Mallaig (6)

Average 6: Calc-silicates (this work), Morar (10)

Average 7: Calc-silicates (this work), Arisaig (11)

Average 8: Calc-silicates (this work), Lochailort (12)

Average 9: Calc-silicates (this work), Ardalanish Bay, Mull (7)

Average 10: Calc-silicates (this work), Uisken Bay, Mull (5)

Average 11: Calc-silicates (this work), Cannich (6)

the Northern Highlands of Scotland. Their geographical distribution is shown in Figure 1 and their stratigraphical affiliations are shown below. The terminology for the Moine Supergroup stratigraphy is based on Mendum *et al.* (2009).

GROUP	FORMATION	LOCALITY (Sample Numbers)
Loch Eil (c.860 Ma)		Cannich (CS/RB/050-056)
Glenfinnan	Glenfinnan Schists	Ardalanish, Mull (CS/RB/34–40)
	Lochailort Pelite	Uisken, Mull (CS/RB/44–49)
Morar (c.950 Ma)	Upper Morar Psammite	Lochailort (CS/RB/18–24, TN/RB/69–73)
	Morar Pelite	Mallaig (CS/RB/1-6)
	Lower Morar Psammite	Morar (TN/RB/77–86), Arisaig (CS/RB/7–17)

1. Sample descriptions

1.1. Mallaig

A suite of six calc-silicate beds, not all contiguous in exposure, were sampled from roadside exposures at Mallaigmhor [NM 680 974]. Samples CS/RB/001-004 are situated on the west side of the road from Mallaig. CS/RB/005, 006 were collected *c*.60 m downhill from the former samples, on the east side of

the road [NM 680 973]. Sample thicknesses vary from 10 mm to 30 mm. The host rocks are near-vertical semi-pelites of the Morar Pelite Formation, Morar Group. The general mineral assemblage is lamellar-twinned plagioclase feldspar, biotite (up to 2 mm long) with abundant pleochroic haloes (indicative of zircon), quartz (interlocking grains), poikilitic garnet, actinolite and rare zoisite, epidote and titanite. Only one sample (CS/RB/006) contains free calcite.

1.2. Morar

A stratigraphically-coherent suite of calc-silicate beds (TN/ RB/077-TN/RB/086) occurs at a roadside cutting over a length of 53 m on the east side of the A830 at [NM 674 914], 2 km SSW of Morar village. Samples vary in thickness from 20 mm to 140 mm. The host rocks belong to the Morar Pelite Formation, Morar Group. One typical sample (TN/RB/083) displayed the following mineral assemblage: lamellar-twinned plagioclase feldspar; biotite (up to 2mm long) containing pleochroic haloes; quartz; zoisite; poikilitic garnet; calcite; and hornblende. Grain size varies from 0.1 mm to 0.2 mm. This suite belongs to the Zone 1-Zone 2 transition of Kennedy (1949). Four samples (TN/RB/078, 080, 081, 082) contain a narrow zone, varying from 5 mm to 50 mm, of a brown crumbly material within the boundaries of the calc-silicate rock, reminiscent of the Dalradian and Torridonian "brown beds" (Batchelor et al. 2008) (Fig. 2). It would be consistent with the effect of sub-aerial weathering of a residual calcite matrix, implying that all calcite was not consumed during the metamorphic transformation of the original lithology.



Figure 1 Map of calc-silicate rock suites, with localities marked *. Moine groups adopted from Strachan *et al.* (2002). Abbreviations: GG = Grampian Group (with stippled ornament); HBF (inset) = Highland Boundary Fault; LEG = Loch Eil Group; MG = Morar Group.



Figure 2 Calc-silicate bed with crumbly brown interior band, Morar Group, Morar. Hammer head scale = 15 cm. (b) "Brown Bed" alteration product at the periphery of the central grey bed (tuff), Sleat Group, Torridonian Supergroup, Isle of Skye.

1.3. Arisaig

A relatively recent road exposure on the A830, 1.5 km E of Arisaig [NM 674 860], provided the opportunity to sample a continuous sequence of calc-silicate rocks CS/RB/007–017. Samples vary in thickness from 20 mm to 90 mm. The mineral assemblages comprise lamellar-twinned plagioclase feldspar,

actinolite, biotite, quartz, calcite, poikilitic garnet, titanite and zoisite. Garnet often encloses calcite.

1.4. Lochailort

A near-vertical suite of psammites and semi-pelites are wellexposed in a roadside cutting on the north side of the A830, opposite its junction with the A861 [NM 767 823]. These rocks form part of the Upper Morar Psammite Formation, Morar Group (Johnstone & Mykura 1989; Mendum *et al.* 2009). Sample thicknesses vary from 12 mm to 50 mm. The mineralogy of this suite comprises lamellar-twinned plagioclase feldspar showing variable degrees of sericitisation, biotite which has partly altered to chlorite, quartz and garnet associated with calcite. These rocks differ from the previous three suites in lacking amphibole, zoisite and epidote.

1.5. Mull – Ardalanish Bay

Calc-silicate rocks occur within semi-pelites of the Assapol Group, tentatively assigned to the Glenfinnan Group (mid-Moine Supergroup) by Holdsworth *et al.* (1987), at Ardalanish Bay, 3.5 km south of Bunessan, Isle of Mull [NM 381 186]. Samples vary in thickness from 15 mm to 70 mm.

Samples were collected from west to east in the order CS/ RB/034–040, and the succession here dips 50° NW. Sample CS/RB/040 from the east end of Ardalanish Bay yielded the following mineral assemblage: plagioclase feldspar (An40–80); poikilitic garnet; quartz; interstitial zoisite; actinolite, titanite; calcite (residual inside garnet); and subhedral zircon.

1.6. Mull – Uisken Bay

A sequence of psammites, which belong to the Assapol Group, tentatively assigned to the Glenfinnan Group by Holdsworth *et al.* (1987), is exposed around HWM [NM 392 188] in the middle of Uisken Bay. The general dip direction is 25° SE. Six calc-silicate rocks (CS/RB/044 to CS/RB/049) were collected; thicknesses varied from 20 mm to 70 mm over a horizontal distance of 13 m. The general mineral assemblage comprises clinozoisite, poikilitic garnet (enclosing calcite), zoisite, chlorite, quartz, titanite and calcite. One sample (045) contains no feld-spar, while another (048) contains plagioclase feldspar and biotite, but no amphibole.

1.7. Cannich

A suite of seven calc-silicate rocks (CS/RB/050–056) were collected from a road cutting on the east side of the A831, 1 km east of Cannich [NH 349 315]. These beds occur in psammites and semi-pelites, assigned to the Upper Glenfinnan Group– Lower Loch Eil Group by Mendum *et al.* (2009), and dip 45° SE. Thicknesses vary from 40 mm to 150 mm. Sample 053 contains abundant zoisite, quartz, biotite (with pleochroic haloes after zircon), garnet and titanite. No feldspar was identified, implying it has been consumed in the formation of zoisite.

2. Experimental work

Two grey tuffs which occur in the Torridonian Supergroup (Batchelor 2005, 2011) comprise albite, chlorite, quartz, calcite and muscovite in prehnite-pumpellyite facies to low greenschist facies metamorphic environments. In order to test whether or not the grey tuffs could generate mineral assemblages similar to calc-silicates under high P/T conditions, their bulk chemical compositions were plotted on Eskola's ACF diagram, following Miyashiro (1994). The three variables were calculated on an atom basis thus: $A = Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O_3 - Na_2O - K_2O$; C = $CaO - (3.3xP_2O_5)$; F = FeO + MgO + MnO. The grey tuffs generated a mineral composition centred around vesuvianite (idocrase), with sample TN/RB/026GY trending towards the wollastonite pole. On this basis, experimental runs were carried out at the Grant Institute, University of Edinburgh. The two tuff samples (TN/RB/026GY from the Diabaig Formation and SK/RB/05GY from the Sleat Group) were run at 6kB/ 600°C (amphibolite facies) for 400 hours. Approximately 20 mg

%	TN/RB/026GY Grey Tuff	TN/RB/026GY Amphibolite facies
Quartz	32.6	28.7
Muscovite	_	0.7
Calcite	27.2	28.6
Albite	24.7	26.0
Anorthite	5.1	8.3
K-feldspars	2.5	4.0
Chlorite	5.3	1.0
Zoisite	0.8	0.4
Epidote	1.5	1.6
Almandine	0.4	0.3
Grossular	_	0.8
TOTAL	100.1	100.4
	SK/RB/05GY	SK/RB/05GY
	1 1 1	511/12/0001
%	Grey Tuff	Amphibolite facies
% Quartz	Grey Tuff 41.9	Amphibolite facies
% Quartz Muscovite	Grey Tuff 41.9	Amphibolite facies 41.9 8.5
% Quartz Muscovite Calcite	Grey Tuff 41.9 - 18.9	41.9 8.5 16.4
% Quartz Muscovite Calcite Albite	Grey Tuff 41.9 - 18.9 15.5	Amphibolite facies 41.9 8.5 16.4 13.5
% Quartz Muscovite Calcite Albite Anorthite	Grey Tuff 41.9 - 18.9 15.5 4.8	Amphibolite facies 41.9 8.5 16.4 13.5 5.2
% Quartz Muscovite Calcite Albite Anorthite K-feldspars	Grey Tuff 41.9 - 18.9 15.5 4.8 6.2	Amphibolite facies 41.9 8.5 16.4 13.5 5.2 9.0
% Quartz Muscovite Calcite Albite Anorthite K-feldspars Chlorite	Grey Tuff 41.9 - 18.9 15.5 4.8 6.2 9.4	Amphibolite facies 41.9 8.5 16.4 13.5 5.2 9.0 1.3
% Quartz Muscovite Calcite Albite Anorthite K-feldspars Chlorite Zoisite	Grey Tuff 41.9 - 18.9 15.5 4.8 6.2 9.4 0.7	Amphibolite facies 41.9 8.5 16.4 13.5 5.2 9.0 1.3 -
% Quartz Muscovite Calcite Albite Anorthite K-feldspars Chlorite Zoisite Epidote	Grey Tuff 41.9 - 18.9 15.5 4.8 6.2 9.4 0.7 1.6	Amphibolite facies 41.9 8.5 16.4 13.5 5.2 9.0 1.3 - 0.8
% Quartz Muscovite Calcite Albite Anorthite K-feldspars Chlorite Zoisite Epidote Almandine	Grey Tuff 41.9 - 18.9 15.5 4.8 6.2 9.4 0.7 1.6 1.0	Amphibolite facies 41.9 8.5 16.4 13.5 5.2 9.0 1.3 - 0.8 -
% Quartz Muscovite Calcite Albite Anorthite K-feldspars Chlorite Zoisite Epidote Almandine Grossular	Grey Tuff 41.9 - 18.9 15.5 4.8 6.2 9.4 0.7 1.6 1.0	Amphibolite facies 41.9 8.5 16.4 13.5 5.2 9.0 1.3 - 0.8 - 1.8

of powder from each sample were sealed dry into welded Pt capsules (length 1 cm, diameter 3 mm, wall thickness 0.1 mm). Samples were placed in an internally-heated gas vessel, brought to run pressure, and then heated to final run temperature over 15 minutes. Argon was used as the pressure medium, and pO_2 was close to the Ni–NiO buffer at the relevant temperatures. Samples were fast-quenched by cutting power to the vessels and subsequent cooling was exponential, with an 80 % temperature drop in five minutes.

The products were examined by X-ray Diffraction (XRD) to ascertain their mineralogical composition and the data were compared with the composition of the original material. The data are presented in Table 2. The output from XRD was re-calculated using Rietveld software. This software does not select minerals arbitrarily, so an estimate of likely phases has to be input manually. The main points to note are that Ca-plagioclase increases, chlorite decreases, zoisite falls and grossular garnet appears, the latter mineral being a key component of calc-silicate rocks. While not shown, amphibole grows at the expense of chlorite.

3. Geochemistry

Geochemical data for calc-silicates are presented in Table 3. The range for SiO₂ is 47.4–76.8 %, with an average value of 63.9 % (mean of 58). The first point to note is these new data (Averages 5–11) compare favourably with published data (Averages 1–4) and the grey tuffs, as displayed in Figure 3. Also worthy of note is how the data for the host metasediments differ from the calc-silicate chemistry, with the exception of Fe₂O₃/MgO. Values for Zr in the dataset reach 800 ppm (Table 3). Some epidotic calc-silicates from the Moine

Tabl	le 3a	Geochemical	data for	a suite of	calc-silicate	rocks f	from N	lorar.
------	-------	-------------	----------	------------	---------------	---------	--------	--------

%	TNRB077	TNRB078	TNRB079	TNRB080	TNRB081	TNRB082
SiO ₂	56.78	47.42	61.38	48.51	51.93	53.66
TiO ₂	0.62	0.72	0.65	0.63	0.68	0.63
Al ₂ O ₃	18.88	13.54	18.67	13.03	13.30	15.57
Fe ₂ O ₃	5.16	4.21	4.69	4.37	4.23	4.31
MnO	0.34	0.36	0.40	0.40	0.45	0.40
MgO	1.17	1.09	0.92	1.15	0.87	0.98
CaO	9.24	20.24	6.45	20.37	17.33	14.26
Na ₂ O	3.56	1.25	4.37	1.05	1.49	2.42
K ₂ O	1.05	0.15	0.85	0.17	0.41	0.65
P_2O_5	0.45	0.35	0.58	0.24	0.45	0.46
LOI	1.8	10.3	0.4	10.6	8.5	5.9
TOTAL	99.05	99.59	99.36	100.56	99.61	99.21
ppm						
V	64	66	69	55	63	62
Cr	49	53	42	49	44	43
Ni	15	19	16	22	16	16
Rb	39	2	34	3	12	19
Sr	356	450	334	438	432	380
Y	35	35	42	31	49	36
Zr	133	504	162	238	448	203
Nb	14	18	21	12	16	18
Ba	182	43	158	47	124	102
La	42	71	38	49	70	44
Ce	90	148	78	105	143	92
Nd	40	71	38	48	66	40
Th	5	10	6	7	11	6
			TNDD095		TNRB083A	TNRB086A
%	TNRB083	TNRB084	INKBU85	INKDUOU	Metasediment	Metasediment
% SiO	TNRB083	58 50	53.66	61.96	61 30	59.76
% SiO ₂	60.99	58.50 0.76	53.66 0.63	61.96 0.57	61.30	59.76
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33	58.50 0.76	53.66 0.63	61.96 0.57	61.30 0.87	59.76 1.14
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fa O	60.99 0.66 15.33 2.58	58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68	53.66 0.63 16.91	61.96 0.57 14.60 2.50	61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12	59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MaO	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30	58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36	61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07	59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98	58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.12	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46	58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37	61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO CaO Na O	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20	58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48	61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K O	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58	58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O P ₂ O	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 114	1NRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O P ₂ O ₅ LOI	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0	INRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O P ₂ O ₅ LOI TOTAL	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22	58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O P ₂ O ₅ LOI TOTAL ppm	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22	58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O P ₂ O ₅ LOI TOTAL ppm	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22 79	1NRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53 81	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O P ₂ O ₅ LOI TOTAL ppm V Cr	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22 79 40	TNRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62 66 43	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53 81 41	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40 121 74	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O P ₂ O ₅ LOI TOTAL ppm V Cr Ni	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22 79 40 17	TNRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37 74 51 18	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62 66 43 17	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53 81 41 16	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40 121 74 30	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O P ₂ O ₅ LOI TOTAL ppm V Cr Ni Rb	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22 79 40 17 20	TNRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37 74 51 18 28	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40 121 74 30 117	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73
% SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Fe2O3 MnO MgO CaO Na2O K2O P2O5 LOI TOTAL ppm V Cr Ni Rb Sr	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22 79 40 17 20 341	TNRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37 74 51 18 28 387	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40 121 74 30 117 291	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O P ₂ O ₅ LOI TOTAL ppm V Cr Ni Rb Sr Y	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22 79 40 17 20 341 52	TNRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40 121 74 30 117 291 50	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73
% SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Fe2O3 MnO MgO CaO Na2O K2O P2O5 LOI TOTAL ppm V Cr Ni Rb Sr Y Zr	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22 79 40 17 20 341 52 144	TNRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37 74 51 18 28 387 46 233	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40 121 74 30 117 291 50 206	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73
% SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Fe2O3 MnO MgO CaO Na2O K2O P2O5 LOI TOTAL ppm V Cr Ni Rb Sr Y Zr Nb	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22 79 40 17 20 341 52 144 21	TNRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37 74 51 18 28 387 46 233 32	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40 121 74 30 117 291 50 206 16	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73
% SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Fe2O3 MnO MgO CaO Na2O K2O P2O5 LOI TOTAL ppm V Cr Ni Rb Sr Y Zr Nb Ba	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22 79 40 17 20 341 52 144 21 87	TNRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40 121 74 30 117 291 50 206 16 935	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73
% SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 Fe2O3 MnO MgO CaO Na2O K2O P2O5 LOI TOTAL ppm V Cr Ni Rb Sr Y Zr Nb Ba La	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22 79 40 17 20 341 52 144 21 87 49	TNRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37 74 51 18 28 387 46 233 32 121 50	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40 121 74 30 117 291 50 206 16 935 66	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O P ₂ O ₅ LOI TOTAL ppm V Cr Ni Rb Sr Y Zr Nb Ba La Ce	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22 79 40 17 20 341 52 144 21 87 49 96	TNRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37 74 51 18 28 387 46 233 32 121 50 98	Since 53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62 66 43 17 32 366 41 153 22 146 34 70	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40 121 74 30 117 291 50 206 16 935 66 126	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73
% SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Fe ₂ O ₃ MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O P ₂ O ₅ LOI TOTAL ppm V Cr Ni Rb Sr Y Zr Nb Ba La Ce Nd	TNRB083 60.99 0.66 15.33 3.58 0.30 0.98 10.46 2.20 0.58 1.14 2.0 98.22 79 40 17 20 341 52 144 21 87 49 96 46	TNRB084 58.50 0.76 17.03 4.68 0.35 0.93 10.69 2.42 0.71 0.57 2.7 99.37	53.66 0.63 16.91 3.88 0.43 0.82 13.32 2.87 0.78 0.51 5.8 99.62	61.96 0.57 14.60 3.59 0.36 0.96 10.37 2.48 0.71 1.80 2.1 99.53	Metasediment 61.30 0.87 16.11 6.12 0.07 2.13 2.97 2.54 3.37 1.27 1.7 98.40 121 74 30 117 291 50 206 16 935 66 126 61	Metasediment 59.76 1.14 16.93 7.38 0.04 2.68 4.62 3.56 2.26 0.16 1.2 99.73

Supergroup (Winchester 1975) also have values of Zr considered high for sedimentary rocks (400–700 ppm). These high levels of Zr were attributed by Winchester (1975) to the presence of heavy mineral bands. Moine metasediment (TN/RB/083A from Morar) (Fig. 4). The diagram suggests the calc-silicate rock suites differ sufficiently from the metasediment to justify further investigation into their origin.

Trace element data for each sampled area were averaged to generate a mean value for each group, in order to simplify the diagram (the number of samples are shown in parentheses). These mean data, together with three Proterozoic tuffs (Batchelor 2005, 2011; Batchelor *et al.* 2008), were normalised to a host

In order to discriminate between an igneous or a clastic origin, recourse was made to the use of geochemical discrimination diagrams for amphibolites. Winchester (1984) was able to distinguish between orthoamphibolites (igneous) and paraamphibolites (sedimentary) using $TiO_2 vs$ Ni (Fig. 5a) and Ni

Table 3b Geochemical data for a suite of calc-silicate rocks from Malla	ig.
---	-----

%	CSRB006	CSRB005	CSRB001	CSRB002	CSRB003	CSRB004
SiO ₂	65.00	60.33	60.16	63.98	58.14	62.03
TiO ₂	0.63	0.81	0.85	0.70	0.82	1.12
Al_2O_3	15.00	18.53	18.59	17.61	18.80	16.83
Fe ₂ O ₃	2.80	5.51	5.69	5.39	6.36	5.71
MnO	0.24	0.34	0.33	0.32	0.34	0.31
MgO	0.80	1.40	1.32	1.24	1.58	1.38
CaO	8.73	7.13	6.91	4.50	8.38	7.11
Na ₂ O	2.39	3.45	3.60	4.48	2.94	2.66
K ₂ O	0.90	1.07	1.08	0.99	0.90	1.05
P_2O_5	0.18	0.65	0.60	0.26	0.59	0.57
LOI	3.2	0.7	0.7	0.4	1.0	1.1
TOTAL	99.87	99.92	99.83	99.87	99.85	99.87
ppm						
v	51	70	68	56	95	77
Cr	34	47	47	40	54	54
Ni	10	19	21	19	24	19
Rb	39	47	47	46	33	54
Sr	379	425	407	440	389	372
Y	35	52	57	47	49	64
Zr	288	266	287	229	289	613
Nb	12	12	12	6	10	17
Ba	175	205	282	222	101	237
La	46	58	59	47	70	91
Ce	84	102	109	93	121	160
Nd	35	58	54	46	63	85
Th	9	9	11	10	10	20

 Table 3c
 Geochemical data for a suite of calc-silicate rocks from Arisaig.

%	CSRB007	CSRB008	CSRB009	CSRB010	CSRB011	CSRB012
SiO ₂	70.99	66.30	73.81	68.27	58.41	67.67
TiO ₂	0.33	0.46	0.24	0.19	0.86	0.26
Al_2O_3	13.47	14.23	9.62	8.66	18.93	7.89
Fe ₂ O ₃	1.80	1.99	1.28	1.29	3.50	1.49
MnO	0.27	0.28	0.18	0.24	0.44	0.28
MgO	0.36	0.41	0.41	0.37	0.76	0.39
CaO	5.45	7.51	7.22	11.09	8.58	12.04
Na ₂ O	3.87	4.18	2.50	1.89	4.65	1.67
K ₂ O	0.79	0.64	0.43	0.34	0.89	0.31
P_2O_5	0.27	0.54	0.15	0.14	0.75	0.18
LOI	2.3	3.3	4.0	7.4	2.1	7.7
TOTAL	99.90	99.84	99.84	99.88	99.87	99.88
ppm						
V	9	21	27	17	45	23
Cr	9	15	15	10	34	16
Ni	2	4	3	2	9	2
Rb	34	20	17	13	35	11
Sr	362	353	228	264	384	270
Y	25	20	22	15	40	22
Zr	156	221	114	104	306	154
Nb	8	14	6	5	23	5
Ba	262	158	138	71	231	71
La	15	22	18	18	35	32
Ce	36	47	36	38	70	60
Nd	5	14	17	11	30	28
Th	3	6	5	4	7	7
%	CSRB013	CSRB014	CSRB015	CSRB016	CSRB017	
SiO ₂	67.70	59.91	60.53	58.60	62.56	
TiO ₂	0.25	0.44	0.45	1.39	0.50	
Al_2O_3	15.25	12.66	18.01	17.74	18.07	
Fe ₂ O ₃	2.08	3.27	2.48	4.28	3.20	
MnO	0.31	0.38	0.30	0.35	0.30	
MgO	0.63	1.05	0.59	1.02	0.81	
CaO	7.29	12.39	11.39	10.17	7.97	

Table 3c Continued

%	CSRB013	CSRB014	CSRB015	CSRB016	CSRB017	
Na ₂ O	3.60	1.57	2.51	2.87	3.77	
K ₂ O	0.56	0.97	0.54	0.96	0.85	
P_2O_5	0.24	0.18	0.39	0.59	0.43	
LOI	1.9	7.0	2.7	1.8	1.4	
TOTAL	99.81	99.82	99.89	99.77	99.86	
ppm						
v	26	46	44	78	42	
Cr	13	24	27	48	28	
Ni	3	11	5	13	10	
Rb	23	36	16	36	31	
Sr	235	249	367	398	383	
Y	22	28	22	42	30	
Zr	52	181	157	800	174	
Nb	7	11	10	24	11	
Ba	126	188	82	200	208	
La	12	28	29	56	24	
Ce	28	59	55	105	54	
Nd	14	20	13	41	31	
Th	3	7	5	15	6	

 $\label{eq:table 3d} {\ \ } Geochemical \ data \ for \ a \ suite \ of \ calc-silicate \ rocks \ from \ Lochailort.$

%	CSRB019	CSRB020	TNRB073	TNRB072	CSRB018	CSRB023
SiO ₂	70.85	73.15	61.33	67.94	59.08	75.24
TiO ₂	0.15	0.20	0.74	0.24	0.46	0.15
Al_2O_3	15.89	14.70	18.93	16.68	21.36	13.70
Fe ₂ O ₃	1.32	1.22	3.03	1.72	2.66	0.99
MnO	0.03	0.03	0.24	0.17	0.30	0.16
MgO	0.50	0.38	0.93	0.46	0.68	0.21
CaO	3.18	3.78	8.80	7.03	7.48	4.50
Na ₂ O	4.16	4.41	3.05	3.28	4.79	3.35
K ₂ O	2.63	0.74	0.85	0.84	1.23	0.49
P_2O_5	0.15	0.21	0.25	0.31	0.77	0.38
LOI	0.9	1.0	1.7	1.2	1.0	0.6
TOTAL	99.76	99.82	99.85	99.87	99.81	99.77
ppm						
v	17	18	47	21	42	8
Cr	18	11	32	8	23	5
Ni	<1	<1	8	<1	4	<1
Rb	38	20	25	26	42	18
Sr	466	511	551	494	544	268
Y	9	8	16	9	24	15
Zr	53	80	271	59	134	50
Nb	2	3	11	3	13	7
Ba	1021	203	121	146	187	116
La	12	20	26	21	20	16
Ce	32	46	47	44	38	32
Nd	24	20	23	4	18	4
Th	1	2	5	2	3	2
%	TNRB071	TNRB070	TNRB069	CSRB021	CSRB022	CSRB024
SiO ₂	69.41	71.36	71.41	73.52	68.62	75.20
TiO ₂	0.38	0.24	0.57	0.14	0.40	0.40
Al_2O_3	15.80	14.71	14.48	13.00	16.05	12.36
Fe ₂ O ₃	1.79	1.57	1.85	1.21	1.63	1.71
MnO	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14
MgO	0.47	0.38	0.49	0.25	0.42	0.42
CaO	5.69	5.82	5.94	5.53	6.07	6.06
Na ₂ O	3.34	2.46	2.43	2.41	3.07	1.73
K_2O	0.81	1.14	0.90	1.54	1.74	0.65
P_2O_5	0.40	0.46	0.25	0.44	0.26	0.17
LOI	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.6	1.4	1.0
TOTAL	99.83	99.9	99.87	99.79	99.8	99.84

ppm						
V	24	19	34	9	31	26
Cr	23	9	28	6	21	20
Ni	2	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Rb	33	63	45	75	73	27
Sr	361	318	371	321	386	265
Y	20	17	20	14	20	16
Zr	147	71	308	53	161	176
Nb	9	10	14	5	15	11
Ba	119	124	286	168	278	122
La	31	21	45	16	31	46
Ce	57	44	85	33	61	84
Nd	23	20	31	4	23	28
Th	6	3	10	2	6	8

 Table 3e
 Geochemical data for a suite of calc-silicate rocks from Ardalanish Bay, Mull.

%	CS/RB/034	CS/RB/035	CS/RB/036	CS/RB/037	CS/RB/037A	CS/RB/038 Metasediment
SiO ₂	63.51	64.99	64.73	62.93	65.12	65.44
TiO ₂	0.61	0.64	0.58	0.65	0.74	0.64
Al_2O_3	16.89	15.91	16.11	17.12	16.34	15.64
Fe ₂ O ₃	3.77	4.17	2.69	4.28	5.12	4.53
MnO	0.12	0.08	0.09	0.14	0.04	0.11
MgO	0.80	1.14	1.03	1.13	1.85	1.36
CaO	9.07	6.85	9.74	8.33	3.79	6.10
Na ₂ O	1.02	1.69	0.80	0.92	3.74	1.74
K ₂ O	1.51	1.97	0.94	1.68	1.81	1.52
P_2O_5	0.47	0.31	0.31	0.33	0.19	0.29
LOI	1.51	1.68	1.43	1.29	0.83	1.41
TOTAL	99.28	99.43	98.45	98.80	99.57	98.78
ppm						
V	90	91	102	88	80	86
Cr	30	34	30	34	44	37
Ni	8	16	11	13	21	14
Rb	74	90	45	86	90	73
Sr	344	336	358	335	372	275
Y	34	35	32	40	28	36
Zr	182	193	166	198	189	183
Nb	16	16	13	16	14	14
Ba	151	239	102	179	307	127
La	35	37	35	37	44	40
Ce	80	84	76	83	95	84
Nd	25	30	29	36	37	28
Th	8	9	7	8	8	10
%	CS/RB/039	CS/RB/040				
SiO_2	63.45	61.22				
TiO ₂	0.64	0.58				
Al_2O_3	16.36	14.63				
Fe ₂ O ₃	3.89	3.95				
MnO	0.13	0.12				
MgO	1.13	1.09				
CaO	10.96	11.65				
Na ₂ O	0.43	0.82				
K ₂ O	0.77	0.98				
P_2O_5	0.27	1.59				
LOI	1.35	2.05				
TOTAL	99.38	98.68				

Table 3e Continued

ppm		
V	84	85
Cr	37	28
Ni	12	10
Rb	42	51
Sr	383	383
Y	34	38
Zr	244	236
Nb	15	16
Ba	67	108
La	41	40
Ce	88	86
Nd	34	27
Th	9	9

 Table 3f
 Geochemical data for a suite of calc-silicate rocks from Uisken Bay, Mull.

%	CS/RB/044	CS/RB/046	CS/RB/047	CS/RB/048	CS/RB/049	
SiO ₂	67.23	76.67	63.11	70.49	76.80	
TiO ₂	0.64	0.50	0.68	0.46	0.39	
Al_2O_3	13.39	10.73	16.52	13.68	10.57	
Fe ₂ O ₃	3.26	2.93	4.85	3.24	2.40	
MnO	0.13	0.05	0.15	0.08	0.06	
MgO	0.69	0.55	0.98	0.79	0.63	
CaO	10.66	4.27	7.94	5.44	5.22	
Na ₂ O	0.20	1.64	1.25	1.49	0.36	
K ₂ O	0.31	0.34	1.07	1.05	0.67	
P_2O_5	0.27	0.12	0.24	0.18	0.16	
LOI	2.55	1.15	2.17	2.08	1.98	
TOTAL	99.33	98.95	98.96	98.98	99.24	
ppm						
v	70	54	87	67	50	
Cr	30	24	38	22	23	
Ni	7	6	20	7	3	
Rb	16	18	55	45	28	
Sr	306	285	313	230	159	
Y	44	20	42	32	23	
Zr	330	247	181	162	149	
Nb	12	11	13	11	9	
Ba	45	148	117	136	74	
La	51	34	38	30	25	
Ce	104	75	87	68	55	
Nd	35	25	32	23	19	
Th	10	8	10	7	6	

Table 3g	Geochemical	data for	a suite o	f calc-silicate	rocks from	Cannich.
----------	-------------	----------	-----------	-----------------	------------	----------

%	CS/RB/050	CS/RB/051	CS/RB/052	CS/RB/053	CS/RB/054	CS/RB/055
SiO ₂	60.82	71.89	70.31	73.73	74.96	70.65
TiO ₂	0.14	0.32	0.42	0.27	0.27	0.60
Al_2O_3	9.28	11.54	12.05	12.86	12.23	13.23
Fe ₂ O ₃	1.35	2.30	1.87	2.37	2.27	1.98
MnO	0.14	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.10
MgO	0.19	0.17	0.47	0.66	0.56	0.55
CaO	18.13	10.38	8.48	4.99	4.15	8.33
Na ₂ O	< 0.05	0.14	1.38	2.16	2.77	1.30
K ₂ O	0.06	0.17	0.69	0.97	0.97	0.25
P_2O_5	0.04	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.13
LOI	9.49	2.07	3.12	0.96	0.90	1.68
TOTAL	99.69	99.19	99.00	99.15	99.29	98.80

ppm						
V	16	34	29	17	29	42
Cr	8	13	16	12	12	20
Ni	2	2	2	3	3	3
Rb	9	14	62	59	57	15
Sr	223	193	195	124	164	147
Y	25	40	40	33	43	56
Zr	69	172	248	135	122	426
Nb	5	8	11	10	10	19
Ba	9	25	94	172	154	52
La	21	36	29	18	29	44
Ce	55	88	78	46	62	107
Nd	12	21	28	15	25	36
Th	3	6	7	3	4	9
%	CS/RB/056					
SiO_2	70.97					
TiO ₂	0.18					
Al_2O_3	12.04					
Fe ₂ O ₃	0.94					
MnO	0.08					
MgO	0.20					
CaO	8.58					
Na ₂ O	2.11					
K ₂ O	0.59					
P_2O_5	0.07					
LOI	3.54					
TOTAL	99.30					
ppm						
V	13					
Cr	10					
Ni	3					
Rb	38					
Sr	206					
Y	28					
Zr	63					
Nb	7					
Ba	96					
La	21					
Ce	62					
Nd	12					
Th	3					

vs Zr/TiO_2 (Fig. 5b). The three grey tuffs and most of the Moine calc-silicates plot in the igneous field.

In order to assess how coherent or otherwise are the compositions of the separate calc-silicate rock assemblages, a Zr/Nb-Ti/Th plot was used (Fig. 6), where Zr/Nb reflects alkalinity and Ti/Th reflects magmatic fractionation. These elements were selected by the author as an alternative to the Zr/TiO₂-Nb/Y discrimination diagram of Winchester & Floyd (1977), when it was reported that Y can become mobile in altered rocks (Hill et al. 2000). Calc-silicate rocks compositions stretch across the dacite-andesite-alklaine potassic fields. This is in keeping with the major element compositions which range from 47 % SiO₂ to 77 % SiO₂. This diagram helps to discriminate between the Mallaig, Morar and Ardalanish (Mull) groups which form distinct domains. The Lochailort suite shows a bimodal distribution, with the five samples with higher Ti/Th (less evolved) representing the youngest five samples in the sequence. Two of the five Uisken (Mull) samples (047, 048) overlap with Ardalanish (Mull) sample 040, the oldest of that group, whilst Uisken 044 has an affinity with the Mallaig/

Morar samples. The Arisaig group shows a wide scatter. The Morar set have a distinct alkaline affinity, with three exceptions (078, 081, 080), which plot with the Mallaig set, a group which has a more calc-alkaline nature. The Ardalanish samples display a more transitional character. The dotted line, which defines the oval ring in this diagram, represents the compositional field of Dalradian tuffs (Batchelor 2004a, b) and Torridonian tuffs (Batchelor 2005, 2011).

A subset of samples from each group was analysed by ICP– MS for the rare earth element (REE) content and these data are presented in Table 4. A chondrite-normalised REE spider diagram (Fig. 7) for all samples shows light REE (LREE) enrichment for most samples and modest negative Eu anomalies. The exceptions are the two Lochailort samples (CS/RB/019, 020) – the two lowermost dotted lines – which uniquely display a positive Eu anomaly and a strong heavy REE (HREE) depletion relative to the rest of the samples. This latter feature could reflect an augmented concentration of plagioclase, perhaps from a feldsparphyric source.



Figure 3 Oxide ratios of data from published calc-silicate rocks and data from this work, Proterozoic tuffs and Moine metasediments.



Figure 4 Calc-silicate rock groups (mean of n samples) and Proterozoic tuffs, normalised to a host Moine metasediment (semi-pelite).



Figure 5 (a) Bivariate plot of TiO_2 vs. Ni for all calc-silicate rocks. Open triangles represent grey tuffs. Sedimentary-igneous boundary after Winchester (1984). (b) Bivariate plot of Ni vs. Zr/TiO_2 for all calc-silicate rocks. Open triangles represent grey tuffs. Sedimentary-igneous boundary after Winchester (1984).



Figure 6 Bivariate plot of Zr/Nb *vs.* Ti/Th. Volcanic rock fields data from Wilson (1989). Superimposed (dotted oval) represents the field of Dalradian tuffs (Batchelor 2004a, b) and Torridonian tuffs (Batchelor 2005, 2011).

4. Discussion

The recent identification in Scottish Meso- to Neo-proterozoic rocks of a grey lithology comprising albite, quartz, chlorite and calcite (with minor muscovite) as metamorphosed tuffs of an intermediate composition (Batchelor *et al.* 2008; Batchelor 2011) led to the speculation that they could be the precusors of calc-silicate rocks after higher grade metamorphism. Intriguingly, Kennedy (1949) predicted this possibility when he surmised that quartz–albite–chlorite–calcite rocks in the Sleat Group of the Torridonian Supergroup on the Isle of Skye could be precursors of Moine calc-silicate rocks, a statement which simultaneously explained the origin of calc-silicate rocks but also implied an age equivalence between Torridonian and Moine successions; an idea first controversially proposed by Ben Peach in Peach *et al.* (1907), but recently resurrected by Krabbendam *et al.* (2008).

Calc-silicate rock comprises plag + actin + gross gt + qtz \pm cc (\pm epid \pm zo). Epidote and zoisite are intermediate phases. Metamorphic mineral pathways from low-grade (prehnite–pumpellyite) metamorphism of three protolith assemblages to (indicated \gg) amphibolite facies assemblages are listed here:

ANDESITE: $alb + cc + musc + chl + qtz \gg plag$ (olig) + actin + gt + qtz + musc

GREY TUFF: $alb + qtz + chl + cc + musc \gg plag + actin + qtz + gross gt + musc$

PELITE: chl + musc + qtz \pm alb \pm cc \gg andal \pm cord + bi + qtz + alm gt + plag

Clearly, andesite will generate a metamorphic assemblage similar to a grey tuff and calc-silicate rock, whereas a pelite will not. A lime-rich pelite (or marl) could generate a similar assemblage to andesite, depending on the relative amount of calcium and magnesium.

The similarities in bulk geochemical composition and field relationships between calc-silicates and the "grey beds" (tuffs) are suggestive of air-fall tuffs. The relatively high levels of plagioclase feldspar (20-30 %) also support an igneous protolith. This pre-supposes that the metamorphic reaction would be essentially a closed system, although Na and K can be lost (Tanner & Miller 1980). The tholeiitic character of the calcsilicate samples described here is clear as in all cases Fe₂O₃/ MgO $\gg1$ (Fig. 2). The LREE enrichment (Fig. 7) is also characteristic of continental tholeiitic sequences (Wilson 1989). This is consistent with magmatism within an extensional tectonic environment, an environment known to have existed off the eastern margin of Laurentia during late-Mesoproterozoic and early-Neoproterozoic times (Cawood et al. 2004). Though 100 Myr older, the Keenawanan province is an example of a large flood tholeiitic basalt event c.1.1 Ga (van Schmus et al. 1990). The lithologies range from tholeiitic basalt to weakly alkaline basalt through to Fe-andesites and rhyolites. This range of lithologies encompass the SiO2 ranges mentioned earlier (average value 63.9 %), which implies an intermediate igneous composition. The proposal that calc-silicate rocks originally formed as tuffs would imply an explosive regime fed by tholeiitic andesitic to dacitic magmas.

	Mallaig CS/RB/004	Mallaig CS/RB/006	Arisaig CS/RB/007	Arisaig CS/RB/008	Arisaig CS/RB/009	Arisaig CS/RB/010
La	80.4	41.4	17.6	21.6	24.0	17.4
Ce	133	77	35	42	47	34
Pr	19.9	9.7	3.8	5.0	5.3	3.6
Nd Sm	/6	36 6.4	15	18	19	14
En	2 90	0.4	2.7	5.2	0.79	2.4
Gd	11.4	5.6	2.5	2.9	2.7	1.9
Tb	1.67	0.87	0.40	0.47	0.43	0.33
Dy	10.00	5.42	3.11	3.11	3.02	2.12
Но	2.06	1.10	0.76	0.59	0.68	0.46
Er	5.95	3.47	2.70	1.93	2.33	1.56
Tm	0.87	0.51	0.46	0.30	0.39	0.26
Yb	5.83	3.50	3.65	1.93	3.04	1.89
$\sum REE$	357	193	89	102	112	81
	Arisaig CS/RB/011	Arisaig CS/RB/012	Arisaig CS/RB/013	Arisaig CS/RB/014	Arisaig CS/RB/015	Arisaig CS/RB/016
La	31.7	26.7	11.8	25.3	24.1	46.5
Ce	62	53	23	50	44	93
Pr	7.7	5.6	2.9	6.0	5.6	11.4
Nd	30 5 7	20	10	23	22	42
Sm	5.7 1.74	3.7 0.82	2.2	4.5	4.0	7.9
Gd	4.8	3.0	2.1	3.6	3 5	6.8
Tb	0.83	0.50	0.40	0.57	0.51	1.04
Dy	5.64	3.01	2.69	3.76	3.33	6.49
Но	1.23	0.64	0.64	0.86	0.68	1.36
Er	4.26	2.11	2.16	2.73	2.07	4.12
Tm	0.71	0.31	0.34	0.44	0.31	0.58
Yb	5.19	2.26	2.30	3.19	2.04	3.86
Lu VPEE	0.//	0.34	0.33	0.47	0.30	0.61
	102	122	02	125	114	228
	Arisaig CS/RB/017	Lochailort CS/RB/019	Lochailort CS/RB/020	Ardalanish CS/RB/034		
La	23.5	17.9	19.2	37.2		
Ce	46	33	39	68		
Pr	5.9	3.7	3.7	8.9		
Nd	22	13	14	34		
Sm	4.2	2.5	2.5	0.5		
Gd	4.0	2.0	1.25	5.7		
Tb	0.65	0.28	0.26	0.92		
Dy	4.34	1.46	1.38	5.62		
Но	0.92	0.30	0.27	1.13		
Er	2.86	0.77	0.82	3.23		
Tm	0.42	0.10	0.12	0.46		
Yb	2.96	0.71	0.88	3.14		
$\sum REE$	120	77	0.12 85	177		
	Ardalanish Mull CS/RB/040	Uisken, Mull CS/RB/044	Uisken, Mull CS/RB/049	Cannich CS/RB/051	Cannich CS/RB/055	
La	37.4	47.1	24.1	33.4	43.9	
Ce	70	86	46	73	97	
Pr	9.1	10.7	6.0	7.9	11.5	
ING Sm	50 6 A	40 7 7	22 1 5	29	44	
En	1.58	2.25	4.5	5.9 1 54	9.5 1.86	
Gd	5.8	7.0	3.8	6.0	9.0	
Tb	0.91	1.10	0.62	0.96	1.47	
Dy	5.66	7.02	4.01	6.23	9.23	
Но	1.20	1.47	0.78	1.30	1.86	
Er	3.57	4.52	2.29	3.59	5.35	
Tm	0.50	0.68	0.34	0.52	0.82	
Yb	3.41	4.47	2.29	3.48	5.36	
∟и √рсс	0.52	0.0/	0.33	0.46 172	0.78	
	101	$\angle \angle 1$	110	1/3	241	

 Table 4
 REE for selected calc-silicate rocks.

Table 4 Continued

	Morar TN/RB/078	Morar TN/RB/081	Morar TN/RB/083	
La	44 3	45.3	47.2	
Ce	93	93	96	
Pr	11.3	11.1	11.7	
Nd	44	45	50	
Sm	7.9	8.1	9.5	
Eu	1.75	2.26	1.99	
Gd	6.5	7.4	9.7	
Tb	0.95	1.08	1.41	
Dy	5.73	6.79	8.73	
Но	1.12	1.40	1.78	
Er	3.29	4.17	5.13	
Tm	0.48	0.60	0.74	
Yb	3.25	4.27	5.31	
Lu	0.52	0.67	0.84	
∑REE	225	231	250	



Figure 7 Chondrite-normalised rare earth elements (REE) for all calc-silicate rocks.

Extensional magmatism has been described within the Moine Supergroup of Scotland in which metagabbros and tholeiitic metadolerite dykes were emplaced in the Glenfinnan and Loch Eil groups. The metagabbros have been dated at 873 ± 6 Ma (Millar 1999). In general, andesitic tholeiites occur in continental flood basalt provinces whose mineral composition is typically plagioclase feldspar \pm clinopyroxene + quartz + FeTi oxides, with plagioclase occurring as phenocrysts and in the groundmass. The abundance of plagioclase feldspar explains the low value or total absence of a negative Eu anomaly.

Calc-silicate rocks have traditionally been described as metamorphosed marls or calcareous muds. Based on the geochemical evidence presented, it is proposed that they should be considered as metamorphosed tuffs. The arguments to support this proposal are given below:

- Arkoses, which contain high levels of feldspar, are commonly coarse grained (1–5 mm) proximal deposits, and are unlikely to form thin fine-grained beds with sharp upper and lower contacts;
- Feldspar in metamorphosed clastic rocks tends to be finegrained albite;
- Plagioclase feldspar is less common in clastic rocks that K-feldspar;
- Lamellar-twinning in plagioclase feldspar is an igneous characteristic;

- Feldspar concentrations in mudrocks are generally <5 %, as opposed to 20–50 % in the grey tuffs and calc-silicate rocks;
- Zr, Ti, Ni plots support an orthoamphibolite (igneous) affinity (Winchester 1984);
- High concentrations of Zr (up to 800 ppm) could be generated by alkaline trachytic magmas (Wilson 1989);
- Isolated populations of thin beds which differ from their host is typical of tuffs which represent episodic phases of volcanism;
- If part of the Moine Supergroup (Upper Morar Psammite) had been deposited in braided river plains (Bonsor & Prave 2008), it is hard to conceive how a lime-rich marl could be formed and deposited in such an environment, except by invoking a marine or lagoon incursion on a regular basis and an equally rapid regression. In contrast, an ash cloud could dump a layer of volcanic ash instantaneously.

Another key issue in this debate relates to the relative rarity of carbonate deposits in the Palaeo-, Meso- and early Neoproterozoic. Marbles are described in the Lewisian Loch Maree Group, in association with banded ironstones, and in the Lewisian inlier near Dornie. Carbonates are known in the Stoer Group, lower Torridonian Supergroup, but these are associated with algal mats and stromatolitic structures, while other deposits indicate that calcite pseudomorphed gypsum in what are described as evaporite lake deposits (Stewart 2002). Earlier reports of marbles in the Moine succession (Read et al. 1926) were later considered to be thrust slices and infolds of Lewisian basement (Holdsworth et al. 1994). No mention is made of carbonates in Moine sequences by Mendum et al. (2009). In other words, widespread carbonate precipitation was not a common feature of the Torridonian and Moine supergroups. Significant carbonate deposition doesn't appear until the early Dalradian, when the Ballachulish Limestone is deposited in the middle of the Appin Group. Age constraints on this are provided by a date of 806 Ma for the Grampian Shear Zone (Noble et al. 1996) and the 720 Ma-old Port Askaig Tillite (basal Argyll Group) (Condon & Prave 2000). These dates would suggest an age of c.750 Ma for the first significant limestone deposit in the Neoproterozoic, 120 million years later than the youngest Moine rocks.

5. Conclusion

Consideration of field relationships, mineralogy and geochemistry suggest that calc-silicates could represent altered tuffs derived from tholeiitic sources of intermediate compositions erupted from extensional tectonic environments. Given the paucity of datable zircons, attention should be given to matching calc-silicate assemblages in terms of stratigraphy, relative thicknesses and abundance, which would allow correlation of the barren Moine metasedimentary sequences of Scotland and contemporary deposits elsewhere. Unique REE signatures of calc-silicates rocks could be used to refine or even re-appraise existing ideas of Moine stratigraphy. This hypothesis could help to explain the apparent paucity of volcanic rocks over some 220 Myr of geological time during the Proterozoic, when Torridonian and Moine rocks were being deposited in an active extensional basin.

6. Acknowledgements

This work was carried out during the tenure of a Leverhulme Emeritus Fellowship (Grant No. EM/20343) held by the author. The grey tuffs were sampled by the author while employed as a Research Fellow funded by a Leverhulme Trust Research Grant (Grant No. F/00268/AB) awarded to Dr. Tony Prave, University of St. Andrews. Sample GH/RB/112 was discovered by Andrew Raeburn during his undergraduate dissertation project at the University of St. Andrews. High pressure and temperature experiments were carried out by Dr Steve Elphick and Dr Ian Butler, University of Edinburgh, and XRD analyses of the products were carried out by Dr Nic Odling, University of Edinburgh. XRF analyses were provided by Angus Calder, University of St. Andrews, and PANalytical Ltd. REE data were provided by BGS, Keyworth. John Winchester (Keele) is thanked for helpful advice and discussion, and for permission to inspect his collection of thin sections lodged at BGS, Edinburgh. Thanks go to Roy Fakes (BGS) for the loan of thin sections.

7. References

- Batchelor, R. A. 2004a. Air-fall tuffs in the Southern Highland Group, Dalradian Supergroup, at Birnam, Perthshire. Scottish Journal of Geology 40, 67–72.
- Batchelor, R. A. 2004b. More air-fall tuffs from the Southern Highland Group, Dalradian Supergroup, Scotland. Scottish Journal of Geology 40, 181–84.
- Batchelor, R. A. 2005. Tephra-fall deposits in the Sleat Group (Torridonian), Isle of Skye, Scotland. *Geological Magazine* 142, 209–15.
- Batchelor, R. A. 2011. Geochemistry of Torridonian tuffs and contemporary phosphorites; potential for correlation of Torridonian sequences in NW Scotland. *Scottish Journal of Geology* 47, 133– 42.
- Batchelor, R. A., Prave, A. R., Oliver, G. J. H. & Raeburn, A. S. 2008. Petrogenesis of albite-rich Neoproterozoic tephra-fall deposits ("brown beds"). *Geological Magazine* 145, 858–67.
- Bonsor, H. & Prave, A. R. 2008. The Upper Morar Psammite of the Moine Supergroup, Ardnamurchan Peninsula, Scotland: depositional setting, tectonic implications. *Scottish Journal of Geology* 44, 111–22.
- Cawood, P. A., Nemchin, A. A., Strachan, R. A., Kinny, P. D. & Loewy, S. 2004. Laurentian provenance and an intercratonic setting for the Moine Supergroup, Scotland, constrained by detrital zircons from the Loch Eil and Glen Urquhart successions. *Journal* of the Geological Society, London 161, 861–74.
- Condon, D. J. & Prave, A. R. 2000. Two from Donegal: Neoproterozoic glacial episodes on the northeast margin of Laurentia. *Geology* 28, 951–54.
- Hill, I. G., Worden, R. H. & Meighan, I. G. 2000. Yttrium: the immobility-mobility transition during basaltic weathering. *Geology* 28, 923–26.
- Holdsworth, R. E., Harris, A. L. & Roberts, A. M. 1987. The stratigraphy, structure and regional significance of the Moine rocks of Mull, Argyllshire, W. Scotland. *Geological Journal* 22, 83–107.
- Holdsworth, R. E., Strachan, R. A. & Harris, A. L. 1994. Precambrian rocks in northern Scotland east of the Moine Thrust: the Moine Supergroup. *In* Gibbons, W. & Harris, A. L. (eds) *A revised correlation of Precambrian rocks in the British Isles. Geological Society, London, Special Report* 22. London & Bath: The Geological Society. 110 pp.
- Johnstone, G. S. & Mykura, W. 1989. British Regional Geology: The Northern Highlands. 4th ed. Keyworth, Nottingham & London: British Geological Survey & Stationery Office Books. 219 pp.
- Kennedy, W. Q. 1949. Zones of progressive regional metamorphism in the Moine Schists of the Western Highlands of Scotland. *Geological Magazine* 86, 43–56.
- Krabbendam, M., Prave, A. R. & Cheer, D. 2008. A fluvial origin for the Neoproterozoic Morar Group, NW Scotland: implications for Torridon-Morar Group correlation and the Grenville Orogen Foreland Basin. *Journal of the Geological Society, London* 165, 379–94.
- Mendum, J. R., Barber, A. J., Butler, R. W. H., Flinn, D., Goodenough, K. M., Krabbendam, M., Park, R. G. & Stewart, A. D. 2009. *Lewisian, Torridonian and Moine Rocks of Scotland. Geological Conservation Review Series* 34. Peterborough: Joint Nature Conservation Committee. 722 pp.
- Millar, I. L. 1999. Neoproterozoic extensional basin magmatism associated with the West Highland granitic gneiss in the Moine Super-

group of NW Scotland. *Journal of the Geological Society, London* **156**, 1153–62.

- Miyashiro, A. 2004. Metamorphic Petrology. London: UCL Press. 404 pp.
- Moorhouse, S. J. & Moorhouse, V. E. 1979. The Moine amphibolite suite of central and northern Sutherland, Scotland. *Mineralogical Magazine* 43, 211–25.
- Noble, S. R., Hyslop, E. K. & Highton, A. J. 1996. High-precision U-Pb monazite geochronology of the c. 806Ma Grampian shear zone and the implications for the evolution of the Central Highlands of Scotland. *Journal of the Geological Society, London* 153, 511–14.
- Peach, B. N., Horne, J., Gunn, W., Clough, C. T. & Hinxman, L. W. 1907. The Geological Structure of the Northwest Highlands of Scotland. Memoirs of the Geological Survey of Great Britain. Glasgow: HMSO.
- Peacock, J. D. 1977. Metagabbros in granitic gneiss, Inverness-shire, and their significance in the structural history of the Moines. *Report, Institute of Geological Sciences* 77/20. HMSO.
- Read, H. H., Phemister, J. & Ross, G. 1926. The Geology of Strath Oykell and Lower Loch Shin. *Memoir of the Geological Survey* of Scotland.
- Rock, N. M. S. 1984. New types of hornblendic rocks and prehniteveining in the Moines west of the Great Glen, Inverness-shire. *Report, Institute of Geological Sciences* 83/8. HMSO.
- Rollinson, H. R. 1980. Mineral reactions in a granulite facies calcsilicate rock from Scourie. Scottish Journal of Geology 16, 153– 64.
- Rosen, O., Desmons, J. & Fettes, D. 2004. Metacarbonate and related rocks. Part 7. A systematic nomenclature for metamorphic rocks. IUGS Commission on the systematics in petrology. Provisional edition. (http://www.bgs.ac.uk/SCMR/docs/papers/paper_7.pdf)
- Stewart, A. D. 2002. The later Proterozoic Torridonian rocks of Scotland: their sedimentology, geochemistry and origin. *Geological* Society of London, Memoir 24.

- Strachan, R. A., Smith, M., Harris, A. L. & Fettes, D. J. 2002. The Northern Highland and Grampian Terranes. *In Trewin*, N. H. (ed.) *The Geology of Scotland*, 83. London: The Geological Society.
- Strachan, R. A., Holdsworth, R. E., Krabbendam, M. & Alsop, G. I. 2010. The Moine Supergroup of NW Scotland: insights into the analysis of polyorogenic supracrustal sequences. *In Law*, R. D., Butler, R. W. H., Holdsworth, R. E., Krabbendam, M. & Strachan, R. A. (eds) Continental Tectonic and Mountain Building: The Legacy of Peach and Horne. Geological Society of London, Special Publication 335, 233–54. London & Bath: The Geological Society. 864 pp.
- Tanner, P. W. G. 1976. Progressive regional metamorphism of thin calcareous bands from the Moinian rocks of N.W. Scotland. *Journal of Petrology* 17, 100–34.
- Tanner, P. W. G. & Miller, R. G. 1980. Geochemical evidence for loss of Na and K from Moinian calc-silicate pods during prograde metamorphism. *Geological Magazine* 117, 267–75.
- van Schmus, W. R., Martin, M. W., Sprowl, D. R., Geisman, J. & Barendsen, P. 1990. Age, Nd and Pb isotopic composition and magnetic anomaly for subsurface samples of the 1100Ma midcontinent rift. *Geological Society of America, Abstracts with Pro*grams 22, A174.
- Wilson, M. 1989. *Igneous Petrogenesis*. London: Chapman & Hall. xx + 466 pp.
- Winchester, J. A. 1972. The petrology of Moinian calc-silicate gneisses from Fannich Forest and their significance as indicators of metamorphic grade. *Journal of Petrology* 13, 405–24.
- Winchester, J. A. 1975. Epidotic calc-silicates of Arnipol Type a widely distributed minor sedimentary facies of the Moinian assemblage, Scotland. *Geological Magazine* 112, 175–81.
- Winchester, J. A. 1984. The geochemistry of the Strathconon amphibolites, Northern Scotland. Scottish Journal of Geology 20, 37–51.
- Winchester, J. A. & Floyd, P. A. 1977. Geochemical discrimination of different series and their differentiation products using immobile elements. *Chemical Geology* 20, 325–43.

MS received 18 January 2016. Accepted for publication 20 November 2017.