The stability and nonlinear evolution of quasi-geostrophic toroidal vortices

Jean N. Reinaud¹,[†] and David G. Dritschel¹

¹Mathematical Institute, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews KY16 9SS, UK

(Received 1 July 2018; revised 2 November 2018; accepted 10 December 2018; first published online 22 January 2019)

We investigate the linear stability and nonlinear evolution of a three-dimensional toroidal vortex of uniform potential vorticity under the quasi-geostrophic approximation. The torus can undergo a primary instability leading to the formation of a circular array of vortices, whose radius is approximately the same as the major radius of the torus. This occurs for azimuthal instability mode numbers $m \ge 3$, on sufficiently thin tori. The number of vortices corresponds to the azimuthal mode number of the most unstable mode growing on the torus. This value of m depends on the ratio of the torus' major radius to its minor radius, with thin tori favouring high mode mvalues. The resulting array is stable when m = 4 and m = 5 and unstable when m = 3and $m \ge 6$. When m = 3 the array has barely formed before it collapses towards its centre with the ejection of filamentary debris. When m = 6 the vortices exhibit oscillatory staggering, and when $m \ge 7$ they exhibit irregular staggering followed by substantial vortex migration, e.g. of one vortex to the centre when m = 7. We also investigate the effect of an additional vortex located at the centre of the torus. This vortex alters the stability properties of the torus as well as the stability properties of the circular vortex array formed from the primary toroidal instability. We show that a like-signed central vortex may stabilise a circular *m*-vortex array with $m \ge 6$.

Key words: quasi-geostrophic flows, vortex instability

1. Introduction

Motivated by large-scale fluid flows in the Earth's ocean and atmosphere, and in the atmospheres of the gas-giant planets, all of which are strongly influenced by the planetary rotation and stable density stratification, we use quasi-geostrophic (QG) theory (see § 2) to study a set of vortex interaction problems of fundamental interest. They are particularly relevant to the recent discovery, by the Juno spacecraft, of circular arrays of vortices surrounding the poles of Jupiter (Adriani *et al.* 2018). As is well known, QG theory is the simplest mathematical model that self-consistently captures the essential dynamics of such flows, in which the potential vorticity (PV) distribution evolves nonlinearly by advection and from which the advecting velocity field is obtainable, together with the pressure and temperature fields, by PV inversion (Hoskins, McIntyre & Robertson 1985), solving an elliptic problem for given PV at each time step.

†Email address for correspondence: jean.reinaud@st-andrews.ac.uk

In flows of this kind, the PV field tends to self-organise into elongated structures such as jet streams and shear lines, or into compact structures such as vortices (e.g. Williams 1978; Dritschel & McIntyre 2008). In this paper, we investigate the linear stability and nonlinear evolution of an idealised shear line in the form of a torus of uniform PV, embedded in an otherwise uniformly rotating and linearly stratified three-dimensional fluid. We also investigate cases in which, at the outset, a compact vortex is introduced at the centre of the torus. Such cases turn out to be of special interest for Jupiter.

The torus is found to be generally unstable, depending on the ratio R_0/r_0 of its major (centreline) radius R_0 to its minor (cross-sectional) radius r_0 , and on the strength and size of the central vortex if any. For $R_0/r_0 \gtrsim 5$, the instability causes the torus to self-organise into an array of similar-sized vortices lying approximately on a circle. The number *m* of vortices is typically equal to the azimuthal mode number of the most unstable mode of the torus. The value of *m* increases with the radius ratio R_0/r_0 . The circular vortex array may itself be unstable and is sometimes dubbed 'Thomson's vortices' after the seminal work of Thomson (1883), who considered the stability properties of a circular array of identical, equally spaced point vortices, with no central vortex, governed by the two-dimensional Euler equations. (Henceforth, though, we use self-explanatory terms such as 'circular vortex array', or 'circular *m*-vortex array' as appropriate.)

Thomson showed that his circular *m*-vortex array is a steadily rotating equilibrium state, stable to small disturbances for $m \le 7$ (marginally so for m = 7) and unstable for m > 7. Dritschel (1985) extended Thomson's study to finite-sized, uniform-vorticity two-dimensional vortices governed by Euler's equations, still with no central vortex, showing that m = 7 vortices are then unstable and that m < 7 vortices become unstable at a critical vortex size that increases with decreasing *m*. Prior to that, Morikawa & Swenson (1971) had extended Thomson's point-vortex study by including a central point vortex and by generalising to the QG shallow-water model, which contains Euler's equations as a special case. More recently, a corresponding set of problems including finite-volume as well as point vortices were addressed by Reinaud (2019) within the same three-dimensional, linearly stratified QG framework as in the present paper. Reinaud found that, in the absence of a central vortex, only $m \le 5$ point vortices are stable while $m \ge 6$ are unstable, in contrast with Thomson's results. Reinaud also found unstable finite-volume vortex configurations for any given *m* when the vortices are larger than a critical size, which again increases with decreasing *m*.

Precursors to the present torus problem include the work of Dritschel (1988) and Kossin & Schubert (2001) on its two-dimensional counterpart, an annulus of uniform PV, studying its early-time nonlinear evolution, and the work of Morel & Carton (1994) on the stability of an annulus plus a finite-sized central vortex.

In this paper we show that for $m \ge 3$ the three-dimensional QG torus self-organises into an approximately circular *m*-vortex array, of approximately equal-sized vortices, which itself appears to be stable for just two values m = 4 or m = 5 on the evidence from long-time numerical integrations. The addition of a like-signed central vortex may stabilise arrays for which m > 5, in agreement with Reinaud (2019). In cases where the *m*-vortex array is unstable, we find a rich variety of strongly nonlinear behaviours, including a case with m = 8 where the central vortex undergoes chaotic outward migration and becomes the outermost vortex.

The paper is laid out as follows. First we recall the standard QG equations in $\S 2$. This is followed by a treatment of the linear stability properties of the torus in $\S 3$, with and without a central vortex. In cases of instability, the long-time nonlinear

evolution is studied in §4. Finally, some conclusions and perspectives for future research are offered in §5, including a brief discussion of the cases m=5 and m=8 observed on Jupiter, near its south and north poles, respectively, in which stabilising central vortices are present.

2. Mathematical set-up

We consider a continuously stratified, rapidly rotating flow using QG theory under the Boussinesq approximation. In this study the fluid domain is taken to be infinite in all directions. The vertical direction z coincides with the direction of gravity and the axis of the background rotation. The flow is inviscid and adiabatic. We define the Rossby number Ro = U/(fL) and the Froude number Fr = U/(NH), where U is a typical horizontal velocity scale, f is the Coriolis frequency, assumed constant, L is a typical horizontal length scale. The Rossby number may also be seen as the ratio of a typical relative vertical vorticity U/L to the planetary vorticity f, while the Froude number may also be seen as the ratio of a typical horizontal vorticity U/H to the buoyancy frequency N. The QG model results from an asymptotic expansion in Ro assuming $Fr \sim Ro \ll 1$.

For simplicity we assume both f and N are constant. By using new coordinates in which the vertical coordinate is stretched by the ratio N/f, the equations of motion become independent of both f and N. Hence the results presented in this paper are valid for all constant N and f.

The QG equations of motion consist of an inversion problem giving the streamfunction ψ in terms of the QG PV,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} = q, \qquad (2.1)$$

which is Poisson's equation in Cartesian coordinates, together with an equation expressing material conservation of QG PV,

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 0, \qquad (2.2)$$

where

$$u = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}$$
 and $v = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$ (2.3*a*,*b*)

is the advecting geostrophic horizontal velocity – see Vallis (2006) for details, and also Hoskins *et al.* (1985, §5b). The vertical velocity (appearing at second order in Ro) is not involved in the advection of q.

3. Linear stability

3.1. The basic state

We consider a torus centred at the origin and spanned by $n_l \gg 1$ horizontal layers of equal thickness δz . Within the *k*th horizontal layer, the torus boundary is represented by two contours, one at the inner boundary C_k^- and the other at the outer boundary C_k^+ . There are therefore $n_c = 2n_l$ contours altogether bounding the torus. The contours C_k^+ lying at height z_k with $|z_k| < r_0$ are initially circular with radii $r_e^+(z_k) = R_0 \mp \sqrt{r_0^2 - z_k^2}$. We denote by $r_{e,k}^+(\theta)$ the position vector of a point on the unperturbed circular contour C_k^+ at azimuthal angle θ .

3.2. The disturbance equation

We next consider infinitesimal radial perturbations of the form

$$r_k^{\mp}(\theta, t) = r_e^{\mp}(z_k) + \frac{\eta_k^{\mp}(\theta, t)}{r_e^{\mp}(z_k)},$$
(3.1)

where η_k has units of area (Dritschel 1995). Owing to symmetry, we may express η_k^{\pm} as

$$\eta_k^{\mp}(\theta, t) = \hat{\eta}_k^{\mp} \mathbf{e}^{\sigma t + \mathrm{i}m\theta}, \qquad (3.2)$$

for each mode number m. It should be noted that mode m = 1 corresponds to a horizontal displacement of entire contours.

For an axisymmetric flow, in the linearised equations, the evolution of the disturbance area η_k^{\pm} is governed by

$$\frac{\partial \eta_{k}^{\mp}}{\partial t} + \Omega_{k}^{\mp} \frac{\partial \eta_{k}^{\mp}}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial F_{k}^{\mp}}{\partial \theta},$$

$$F_{k}^{\mp}(\theta) = -\sum_{l=1}^{n_{c}} \Delta q_{l} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} \eta_{l}^{+}(\theta') G_{k,l}(|\mathbf{r}_{e,k}^{\mp}(\theta) - \mathbf{r}_{e,l}^{+}(\theta')|) \, \mathrm{d}\theta' \right)$$

$$- \int_{0}^{2\pi} \eta_{l}^{-}(\theta') G_{k,l}(|\mathbf{r}_{e,k}^{\mp}(\theta) - \mathbf{r}_{e,l}^{-}(\theta')|) \, \mathrm{d}\theta' \right),$$
(3.3)

where Ω_k^{\pm} is the constant basic-state angular velocity around contour C_k^{\pm} , Δq_l is the PV jump across contour C_l^{\pm} (for a uniform-PV torus, all of the Δq_l are equal), and $G_{k,l}(r)$ is the Green's function giving the influence of a point in layer l on a point in layer k separated by a distance r (see appendix A in Dritschel (2002) as well as Dritschel (1995) and Reinaud & Dritschel (2002) for the derivation of (3.3)).

3.3. The eigenvalue problem

Substituting η_k and η_l by their expressions in equation (3.2) leads to an eigenvalue problem involving a real matrix of size $2 \times n_c$ squared – for each azimuthal mode number *m*. The eigenvalues σ are generally complex. The real part σ_r is the growth rate while the imaginary part σ_i is the frequency. Since the original system is Hamiltonian, if σ is an eigenvalue, then so are $-\sigma$, σ^* and $-\sigma^*$, where * denotes complex conjugation.

Without loss of generality, we take the uniform PV in the torus to be $q_0 = 2\pi$ (all PV jumps Δq_l are then equal to q_0). This defines a PV-based time scale $T_{PV} = 6\pi/q_0 =$ 3, which physically corresponds to the rotation period of a spherical vortex of PV q_0 . The volume of the torus is exactly $V_0 = 2\pi^2 R_0 r_0$. Without loss of generality we set $r_0 = 0.5$ so that the total vertical extent of the torus is $h_0 = 1$. The layer thickness is then $\delta z = h_0/n_l = 1/n_l$.

3.4. Results

The results presented are obtained using the highest vertical resolution, $n_l = 51$. Smaller values of n_l were used for testing and give closely similar results. Each contour C_k^{\pm} is discretised by an equal number of nodes, $n_p = 400$.

The growth rates σ_r of the unstable modes are summarised in figure 1 for $1.2 \leq R_0/r_0 \leq 12$. An increment in relative radius R_0/r_0 of $\Delta(R_0/r_0) = 0.2$ is used between

т	σ_r/q_0	σ_i/q_0
5	$6.46 imes 10^{-2}$	$\pm 4.58 \times 10^{-2}$
6	6.14×10^{-2}	$\pm 5.53 \times 10^{-2}$
4	5.73×10^{-2}	$\pm 3.82 \times 10^{-2}$
7	4.45×10^{-2}	$\pm 6.86 \times 10^{-2}$
3	4.13×10^{-2}	$\pm 3.13 \times 10^{-2}$
2	1.71×10^{-2}	$\pm 2.42 \times 10^{-2}$

TABLE 1. Mode numbers *m*, growth rates σ_r and frequencies σ_i of the six most unstable modes (arising as complex conjugate pairs) for $R_0/r_0 = 7.2$.

cases. The frequencies of the modes are generally non-zero, and so the disturbances propagate while amplifying. We find that, for each *m*, at most only one eigenvalue is found with $\sigma_r > 0$. This allows us to refer to *m* as the 'mode' of instability.

Notably, the vertical eigenstructures of the modes are, with few exceptions, upright or quasi-barotropic in the sense of being nearly independent of z, hence nearly free of vertical shear. The mid-levels near z = 0 are generally most amplified, but the vertical variation is weak apart from near the top and bottom of the torus, where the amplitudes diminish.

The growth rates and frequencies of the modes for $R_0/r_0 = 7.2$ are summarised in table 1. The unstable modes, sorted in order of decreasing σ_r , are m = 5, 6, 4, 7, 3 and 2.

Overall, we find that unstable modes with increasing azimuthal mode number m appear sequentially as R_0/r_0 increases. Thus thick tori with small R_0/r_0 are most unstable to low m, while thin tori are most unstable to relatively high m. As R_0 increases, the perimeter of the torus increases, allowing more room to fit disturbances of a characteristic wavelength λ . Qualitatively, $m \propto R_0/r_0$. When $R_0/r_0 \gg 1$, figure 1 indicates that a number of modes have closely comparable growth rates. This is important for the nonlinear dynamics discussed in § 4.

The growth-rate curves σ_r versus R_0/r_0 have a similar shape for each mode m > 1. Indeed, for each m except m = 1, 2, there is a region of neutral stability $\sigma_r = 0$ for R_0/r_0 less than a threshold which depends on m. This means that most modes are neutrally stable for thick tori. The value of this threshold in R_0/r_0 increases with m, nearly proportionally.

For m = 2, our results indicate that $\sigma_r \to 0$ as $R_0/r_0 \to 1$, the limit where the innermost edge of the torus shrinks to a point. As R_0/r_0 increases, σ_r first reaches a maximum then slowly decreases to 0 as $R_0/r_0 \to \infty$.

Finally, the relatively weak m = 1 instability is associated, exceptionally, with a vertical shearing of the torus, in which the mid-section at z = 0 is displaced relative to the edges at $z = \pm r_0$ (as deduced from the vertical eigenstructure). The m = 1 instability also occurs when a central vortex is present (see below), and can be much stronger in this case. There is no analogue of this instability in the two-dimensional case of a vorticity annulus; then m = 1 corresponds to a uniform displacement of the entire vortex and is thus neutrally stable (Dritschel 1986).

3.5. The influence of a central vortex

We next consider the influence of a spherical vortex of uniform PV q_c and of radius $r_c = r_0$ located at the centre of the torus. The sphere then has the same vertical extent



FIGURE 1. (Colour online) Growth rates σ_r of the unstable modes versus the torus normalised radius R_0/r_0 for $1 \leq R_0/r_0 \leq 12$, with $\Delta(R_0/r_0) = 0.2$. Symbols indicate the azimuthal mode number of the mode: m = 1 (×), 2 (•), 3 (•), 4 (•), 5 (•), 6 (○), 7 (□), 8 (◊), 9 (△), 10 (•), 11 (▷), 12 (•). The vertical line marks the case $R_0/r_0 = 7.2$ which is further detailed in table 1.

as the torus. The linear stability method is readily extended to include an extra contour in each layer bounding the central vortex – see Reinaud & Dritschel (2002) for the general framework.

Four cases are considered with $q_c/q_0 = 1$, -1, 3 and -3. We consider tori with radius ratios $2.2 \le R_0/r_0 \le 12$. The limiting case $R_0/r_0 = 2$ corresponds to when the central vortex touches the innermost edge of the torus.

The growth rates of the unstable modes are presented in figures 2 and 3, the latter showing a zoom of the small R_0/r_0 region where growth rates are significantly larger. First of all, the results converge as R_0/r_0 increases since (i) the influence of the central vortex weakens as R_0/r_0 increases, and (ii) a spherical vortex alone is stable and is expected to be stable for a distant toroidal PV distribution ($R_0/r_c \gg 1$). Results, however, differ for small to moderate values of R_0/r_0 . The modes most affected by the presence of the central vortex have $m \leq 3$. The curves σ_r versus R_0/r_0 are almost identical for $m \ge 4$, with a slight increase in σ_r for decreasing q_c/q_0 . An oppositesigned central vortex is destabilising, while a like-signed one is stabilising, except for small R_0/r_0 .

For a like-signed central vortex, the peak growth rates are shifted to larger R_0/r_0 , but a second instability emerges at small R_0/r_0 as shown in figure 3, which focuses on the range $2.02 \leq R_0/r_0 \leq 3$. In this range of R_0/r_0 , in particular near the limiting case $R_0/r_0 = 2$, several unstable modes with large growth rates appear. Recall that, when $R_0/r_0 = 2$, the central vortex touches the innermost edge of the torus. These unstable modes are therefore associated with the strong shear created in the gap between the sphere and the torus. This shear enables waves propagating on the two vortices to phase-lock and therefore grow. The shear is opposite and therefore stabilising for an opposite-signed central vortex. Finally, figure 3 shows that thick tori surrounding a central vortex towards a portion of the inner edge of the torus, the whole disturbance having relatively little vertical shear.



FIGURE 2. (Colour online) Growth rates σ_r of the unstable modes versus the torus normalised radius R_0/r_0 for $2.2 \leq R_0/r_0 \leq 12$, with $\Delta(R_0/r_0) = 0.2$, and for a central spherical vortex of radius $r_c = r_0$. The PV ratio between the central vortex and the torus is (a) $q_c/q_0 = 1$, (b) $q_c/q_0 = -1$, (c) $q_c/q_0 = 3$ and (d) $q_c/q_0 = -3$. Symbols indicate the azimuthal wave number of the mode: m = 1 (×), 2 (•), 3 (•), 4 (•), 5 (•), 6 (\bigcirc), 7 (\square), 8 (\diamondsuit), 9 (\triangle), 10 (•), 11 (\triangleright), 12 (•).



FIGURE 3. (Colour online) Growth rates σ_r of the unstable modes versus the torus normalised radius R_0/r_0 for (a) $q_c/q_0 = 1$ and (b) $q_c/q_0 = 3$ covering the range $2.02 \leq R_0/r_0 \leq 3$, with $\Delta(R_0/r_0) = 0.02$. Symbols are the same as used in figure 2.

4. Nonlinear evolution

We next investigate the nonlinear evolution of the torus for various values of R_0/r_0 . We first consider the torus alone, then include a central vortex.



FIGURE 4. Evolution of a uniform-PV torus with $R_0/r_0 = 2$. The view is from the top showing all of the bounding contours (at all heights z) at t = 0, 40, 49 and 61. The same view is used in subsequent figures of the flow evolution, unless otherwise stated. In panel (d) only contours in every fifth layer are shown. If all contours were included, then the figure would be almost black with contours.

4.1. Numerical method

Numerical simulations are conducted using the purely Lagrangian contour surgery algorithm (Dritschel 1988; Dritschel & Saravanan 1994) developed for unbounded QG flow in Dritschel (2002). Time integration is performed using a fourth-order Runge–Kutta algorithm with a PV-controlled time step $\Delta t = 2\pi/(20 \max(|q_0|, |q_c|))$ which is the standard setting. Node redistribution and contour surgery are periodically carried out (approximately every eight time steps) to maintain resolution and to limit the complexity of the contours. We use a dimensionless node-spacing parameter of $\mu = 0.15$ and a large-scale length $\ell = d_0 = 2r_0 = 1$, the diameter of the torus section. From these, for consistency, the surgical cutoff length is $\delta = \mu^2 \ell/4 = 5.625 \times 10^{-3}$. These are standard parameter choices (Dritschel 1988). The torus is represented by contours in 51 layers in all numerical experiments, unless stated otherwise. Initial conditions are the basic state plus numerical noise only, unless stated otherwise.

4.2. Torus evolution

We first consider a thick torus with $R_0/r_0 = 2$. The most unstable mode has m = 2and its normalised growth rate is $\sigma_r/q_0 = 3.86 \times 10^{-2}$. The nonlinear evolution of the torus is presented in figure 4. In this simulation, disturbances grow from the background numerical noise arising from truncation errors and the finite discretisation of the vortex. The mode m = 2 clearly emerges as the torus deforms. Two opposite parts then approach and eventually merge, creating a bridge of PV. The subsequent evolution is complex and the three-dimensional structure of the vortex becomes highly convoluted. The inner region initially devoid of PV at the centre of the torus cannot disappear since, at each height, the area of this region is conserved. Instead, it becomes split and further fragmented in time from t = 50 onwards. At late times, due to contour surgery, this area may escape to the exterior (not shown).

Next consider a thinner torus with $R_0/r_0 = 4$. The most unstable mode is now m = 3 with $\sigma_r/q_0 = 5.94 \times 10^{-2}$. However, there are two competing instabilities: m = 4 with $\sigma_r/q_0 = 4.70 \times 10^{-2}$, and m = 2 with $\sigma_r/q_0 = 3.44 \times 10^{-2}$. Figure 5 shows the evolution in this case, perturbed only by numerical noise. The m = 3 mode emerges first and dominates the evolution. The torus nearly breaks into three vortices but not before the main parts of the torus collapse and merge near the origin, leaving a small triangular hole there. The concentration of PV around the origin is compensated by the ejection of tongues of PV further away, primarily to conserve angular impulse $J = \iint_V \int_V q(x^2 + y^2) \, dV$. The tips of these tongues of PV begin to roll up at late times



FIGURE 5. Evolution of a uniform-PV torus with $R_0/r_0 = 4$. Top view of the bounding contours at t = 0, 23, 29, 35, 40, 50, 60 and 85. In panels (e-h) only contours in every fifth layer are shown. If all contours were included, then the figure would be almost black with contours.



FIGURE 6. Evolution of a uniform-PV torus with $R_0/r_0 = 6$. Top view of the bounding contours at t = 0, 20, 50 and 140.

since the shear (or differential rotation) associated with the collapsed central region is too weak to keep them filamentary. The later evolution is complex; a large portion of PV merges into a large vortex near the centre encircled by a sea of secondary vortices and small-scale debris.

For larger $R_0/r_0 \ge 6$, the general topology of the late-time PV distribution changes significantly. Figure 6 shows the evolution of an unstable torus having $R_0/r_0 = 6$. The three most unstable modes are m = 4 with $\sigma_r/q_0 = 6.20 \times 10^{-2}$, m = 5 with $\sigma_r/q_0 =$ 6.11×10^{-2} , and m = 3 with $\sigma_r/q_0 = 4.85 \times 10^{-2}$. For illustration purposes and due to the close proximity of the growth rates of modes m = 4 and 5, a small-amplitude disturbance is initially introduced to excite the most unstable mode m = 4. The torus self-organises into a four-vortex circular array within a sea of low-energy filaments and very small vortices. Unlike in the previous cases, the vortices remain far away from each other and do not merge together. The four vortices instead rotate around the centre of the domain in a quasi-stable manner until at least t = 1000, the end of the simulation. There is no indication that the quasi-periodic rotation of the four main vortices would cease or change significantly for longer integration times. The stability of the circular four-vortex array could have been anticipated from the linearly stable QG four-point-vortex case studied in Reinaud (2019).



FIGURE 7. Evolution of a uniform-PV torus with $R_0/r_0 = 7.2$. Top view of the bounding contours at t = 0, 26, 129 and 408.



FIGURE 8. (Colour online) Orthographic view of the PV field at an angle of 60° from the vertical for the torus with $R_0/r_0 = 7.2$ at t = 100. The horizontal lines indicate the vertical extent of the domain of view, here $|z| \leq 0.5$. Flow structures seen through the lower front face are slightly faded.

The situation is similar for $R_0/r_0 = 7.2$, with m = 5 the most unstable mode (figure 7), again paralleling the linearly stable five-point-vortex case of Reinaud (2019). The growth rates of the six most unstable modes on a torus with $R_0/r_0 = 7.2$ were listed in table 1. In this case the m = 5 mode is significantly more unstable than the closest competitors m = 6 and m = 4, and dominates the nonlinear evolution even without the introduction of a small initial disturbance favouring it. Figures 7 and 8 show that a circular five-vortex array rapidly forms, then persists at least until the end of the simulation $t = 1000 \simeq 333 T_{PV}$, with no indication of significant change at later times.

Since m = 5 is not the only unstable mode, other unstable modes play a role in the deformation of the torus. As a result, the five vortices which emerge differ in volume by up to 9%. The evolution of the volumes of the five largest vortices identified in the simulation after the destabilisation of the torus is shown in figure 9. The structures are identified as contiguous regions of PV (horizontally and vertically). The fluctuations in volume are associated with the erosion or absorption of filaments and debris from and into the vortices. Figure 9 also shows the near-circular trajectories of the five vortex centroids, confirming the stable nature of the quasi-periodic rotation. The stability of the five-vortex array is striking considering that the volume fluctuations may be regarded as a significant perturbation to a configuration of five otherwise identical vortices.

Reinaud (2019) showed that a circular array of six identical point vortices is weakly unstable. The instability expresses itself as a small-amplitude oscillation in the radial



FIGURE 9. (Colour online) (a) Volume fraction V/V_0 of the five largest vortices formed by the instability of a torus with $R_0/r_0 = 7.2$. (b) Near-circular trajectories of the vortex centroids for $40 \le t \le 900$.



FIGURE 10. Evolution of a uniform-PV torus with $R_0/r_0 = 8$. Top view of the bounding contours at t = 0, 40, 90 and 161.

coordinate of the point vortices, with neighbouring vortices in antiphase. For a torus, the most unstable mode is m = 6 when $R_0/r_0 = 8$, and the normalised growth rate is $\sigma_r/q_0 = 6.53 \times 10^{-2}$. But there are many competing modes, and the second most unstable mode is m = 5 with $\sigma_r/q_0 = 6.32 \times 10^{-2}$. In order to favour the formation of six vortices and examine their long-time stability, we introduce a small disturbance with the spatial structure of the most unstable mode. The evolution is shown in figure 10. The initial stages are similar to the previous cases for m = 4 and 5. The wave m = 6 amplifies along the torus and rapidly leads to the formation of six vortices. However the six-vortex array is unstable and oscillates between two states, of which the first is a circular six-vortex array (as in figure 10*b*) and the second a staggered six-vortex array, the vortices being displaced inwards and outwards alternately. In the second state (figure 10*c*), the six vortices are close to forming a triangle.

For $R_0/r_0 = 10$, the most unstable mode has m = 7 with $\sigma_r/q_0 = 6.67 \times 10^{-2}$. The second most unstable mode has m = 8 with $\sigma_r/q_0 = 6.41 \times 10^{-2}$. For illustrative purposes, the m = 7 mode is initially excited by a small-amplitude disturbance. The evolution of the flow is presented in figure 11. Growth of the m = 7 mode leads to the formation of a circular seven-vortex array with vortices of roughly equal size. The array is unstable, however, again as anticipated from the point-vortex results of Reinaud (2019). The array undergoes a kind of irregular staggering (figure 11c) followed by migration of one of the vortices towards the centre of the domain (figure 11d). From then on, the configuration appears robust and persistent in time, with the same vortex staying near the centre.



FIGURE 11. Evolution of a uniform-PV torus with $R_0/r_0 = 10$. Top view of the bounding contours at t = 0, 60, 105 and 200.



FIGURE 12. Evolution of a uniform-PV torus with $R_0/r_0 = 12$. Top view of the bounding contours at t = 0, 20, 60, 82, 92, 112, 132 and 200.

Such persistence is consonant with the fact that a circular array of six identical point vortices together with an identical central vortex is linearly stable (Reinaud 2019), even though, due to asymmetries, the arrangement of peripheral vortices is far from regular in figure 11(d). We note further that conservation of angular impulse J implies that the motion of one of the vortices towards the centre must be accompanied by an overall outward motion of the others, or at least some of them. The peripheral vortices take turns at being the outermost vortex.

Finally, consider a torus with $R_0/r_0 = 12$, the largest value examined. The six most unstable modes and their growth rates are listed in table 2. For illustrative purposes, we again initially introduce a small-amplitude disturbance corresponding to the most unstable mode m = 8. The evolution of the flow is presented in figures 12 and 13. Following the initial growth of the m = 8 mode, a circular eight-vortex array is formed around t = 20, persisting until $t \simeq 75$. At this stage the vortices stagger, with four vortices moving inwards and four outwards, alternately. The vortex configuration resembles a square for $90 \leq t \leq 100$. Then the relative motion of the vortices accentuates and becomes less regular, as shown in figure 12(f-h). The inward motion of four of the vortices is associated with the shedding of filaments.

The subsequent loss of volume within these vortices can be seen in figure 14(a). Figure 14(b) confirms the inward motion of these vortices. This motion is, however, irregular due to the accumulation of asymmetries. This causes some vortices to get



FIGURE 13. (Colour online) Orthographic view of the PV field at an angle of 60° from the vertical for the torus with $R_0/r_0 = 12$ at t = 92.



FIGURE 14. (Colour online) (a) Volume fraction V/V_0 of the eight largest vortices formed by the instability of a torus with $R_0/r_0 = 12$. (b) Trajectories of the vortex centroids for 60 < t < 170.

close enough to each other to merge briefly before breaking apart. These interactions are known as 'weak exchange' or 'partial merger' depending on the volume of material exchanged by the two vortices (see Reinaud & Dritschel 2002). At least seven instances of such interactions occur by t = 1000, the end of the simulation. The important point is that the eight vortices generated early on do not remain in a stable configuration, but nor do they readily merge with one another. Figure 14(*a*) shows that their volumes settle down to being roughly constant, and indeed that they stay within approximately 10% of $V_0/8$ at least until t = 170.

When an initial eigenmode disturbance is not added, the evolution of the torus with $R_0/r_0 = 12$ is more complex (see figure 15). Recall from table 2 that in this case several modes with similar growth rates are unstable. The evolution shown in figure 15 is asymmetric due to a strong competition between modes. Here, disturbances grow from numerical noise, which depends on resolution, and hence the outcome of the instability also depends on resolution. The outcome can also depend on external forcing not included in the current work. We conclude that the evolution of thin tori is sensitive to disturbances within the surrounding flow. On the other hand, thicker tori, for which fewer modes are unstable and for which one mode has a growth rate significantly larger than the others, tend to evolve more symmetrically. As an example,

т	σ_r/q_0	σ_i/q_0
8	$6.70 imes 10^{-2}$	$\pm 3.32 \times 10^{-2}$
9	6.66×10^{-2}	$\pm 3.73 \times 10^{-2}$
7	6.35×10^{-2}	$\pm 2.96 \times 10^{-2}$
10	6.18×10^{-2}	$\pm 4.19 \times 10^{-2}$
6	5.69×10^{-2}	$\pm 2.62 \times 10^{-2}$
11	5.15×10^{-2}	$\pm 4.75 \times 10^{-2}$

TABLE 2. Mode numbers *m*, growth rates σ_r and frequencies σ_i for the six most unstable modes (arising as complex conjugate pairs) when $R_0/r_0 = 12$.



FIGURE 15. Evolution of the unstable uniform PV torus with $R_0/r_o = 12$ perturbed by pseudo-random numerical noise only: top view on the vortex bounding contours at t = 20, 30, 40 and 55.

the torus with $R_0/r_0 = 7.2$ in figure 7 self-organises into a persistent five-vortex array even in the absence of an initial eigenmode disturbance.

4.3. Torus plus central vortex evolution

Reinaud (2019) found that a like-signed central vortex may stabilise a circular eightvortex array. We next examine if this is also possible for finite vortices emerging from the instability of a torus when a central vortex is also present. We also explore other cases when the central vortex is not strong enough to stabilise the configuration but is still able to ensure quasi-regular behaviour to late times.

We first consider the same torus $R_0/r_0 = 12$ as in figure 12, but now with a spherical vortex of the same uniform PV $q_c = q_0 = 2\pi$, and of radius $r_c = r_0$. Hence the central vortex has a volume $V_c = V_0/(18\pi)$. The strength $q_c V_c$ of the central vortex is therefore small not only in comparison with the strength of the whole torus but also in comparison with one-eighth thereof, the order of magnitude for an individual vortex in the expected circular eight-vortex array. The evolution of the flow is shown in figure 16. Since the central vortex is weak, the overall evolution of the flow is closely similar to the previous case without a central vortex. The torus first forms a circular eight-vortex array, as expected (figure 16b), followed by staggering (figure 16c). Significant differences appear when the inward-moving four vortices get close to the central vortex. For a while, the central vortex remains almost equidistant from these four peripheral vortices, limiting their further inward motion. Owing to the build-up of asymmetries, two vortices eventually move further inwards while two back outwards. At a later stage of the evolution, the small central vortex becomes close enough to one of the large peripheral vortices and the two vortices partially merge, generating a slightly larger structure and a small secondary one. Nonetheless,



FIGURE 16. Evolution of a uniform-PV torus with $R_0/r_0 = 12$ and a spherical central vortex with $r_c/r_0 = 1$ and $q_c/q_0 = 1$. Top view of the bounding contours at t = 0, 50, 100, 149, 237, 239, 243 and 249.



FIGURE 17. (Colour online) (a) Volume fraction V/V_0 of the nine largest vortices formed from the torus in figure 16. (b) Trajectories of the vortex centroids for 24 < t < 228.

the vortex volumes remain approximately constant, as shown in figure 17(a). The merged vortex moves towards the centre of the domain, while the other vortices organise into an irregular array around it (see figure 17b). Similar behaviour has been observed in simulations using fewer layers, for both $n_l = 21$ and $n_l = 15$.

Next consider the effect of a larger, initially spheroidal central vortex with the same PV $q_c = q_0$ and half-height $h_c = r_0 = 0.5$ as before, but with a larger horizontal radius $r_c > r_0$ corresponding to an oblate spheroid such that $V_c = V_0/16$, half the expected strength of a peripheral vortex. This results in a spheroid of horizontal radius $r_c = \sqrt{(3\pi/32)(R_0/r_0)}r_0 \simeq 1.88r_0$. To favour the formation of eight peripheral vortices, the torus and central vortex are initially perturbed by the m = 8 eigenmode.

The flow evolution is shown in figure 18. Comparing figure 18 with figures 12 and 16, we see that the evolution of the flow is similar to the previous cases, from the formation of the circular eight-vortex array followed by staggering and then



FIGURE 18. Evolution of a uniform-PV torus with $R_0/r_0 = 12$ and a spheroidal central vortex with $V_0/V_c = 16$, $h_c/r_0 = 1$ and $r_c/r_0 = 1.88$. Top view of the bounding contours at t = 0, 90, 130, 500, 700, 800, 900 and 1000.



FIGURE 19. (Colour online) (a) Volume fraction V/V_0 of the nine largest vortices formed from the torus in figure 18. (b) Trajectories of the vortex centroids for 25 < t < 388.

irregular motion. The main difference from the case with no central vortex is the time scale over which the flow evolves after the formation of the eight-vortex array. In the present case with the larger, like-signed central vortex, the eight vortices formed from the torus appear by $t \simeq 24$, but these only reorganise into a square-like configuration by $t \simeq 100$. The configuration remains square-like (approximately) until $t \simeq 230$. Eventually, due to the build-up of asymmetries, one of the peripheral vortices interacts strongly with the central vortex, and the two vortices start to rotate around each other. This is clearly visible in the vortex centroid trajectories shown in figure 19. Eventually, one the peripheral vortices moves close to the centre of the domain, taking the place of the initially central vortex, and the remaining vortices move in an irregular way without merging. The initially central vortex, which is smallest in size, moves furthest out and slowly migrates around the group of vortices as a whole.



FIGURE 20. Evolution of a uniform-PV torus with $R_0/r_0 = 11.8$ and a spheroidal central vortex with $V_0/V_c = 8$, $h_c/r_0 = 1$ and $r_c/r_0 = 2.64$. Top view of the bounding contours at t = 0, 110, 230 and 1000.

We next consider a larger central vortex with the same PV, $q_c = q_0$, but with volume $V_c = V_0/8$ comparable to the volume of a peripheral vortex. This requires $r_c = \sqrt{(3\pi/16)(R_0/r_0)} r_0 \simeq 2.64r_0$. In this case we use $R_0/r_0 = 11.8$ to ensure that the most unstable mode is m = 8. A circular eight-point-vortex array with an equal-strength, like-signed central vortex is linearly stable (Reinaud 2019), so we expect to see the analogue of this here after the destabilisation of the torus. As in the previous case, we take the half height of the central vortex to be the same as that of the torus, $h_c = r_0$, and again give it the same PV, $q_c = q_0$. We only increase the horizontal radius r_c to $r_c \simeq 2.64r_0$. The initial configuration is weakly perturbed by the m = 8 eigenmode.

The flow evolution is shown in figure 20. As previously, an eight-vortex array forms, but it now appears to remain stable for the entire period of integration up to t = 1000. The same is observed using a lower vertical resolution of $n_l = 15$ layers. There is no evidence that the configuration formed will ever change significantly.

In the last case studied, we examine a much thicker torus with a like-signed spherical central vortex. Recall that thick tori, for which the most unstable mode is either m = 2 or m = 3, do not self-organise into regular vortex arrays (see figures 4 and 5 for $R_0/r_0 = 2$ and 4, respectively). Consider then a torus with $R_0/r_0 = 2.4$ and a central vortex with $h_c = r_c = r_0$ and $q_c = q_0$. This equilibrium state lies in a region of the parameter space containing a large number of competing unstable modes arising from the strong shear between the central vortex and the inner side of the torus (see figure 3a). The flow evolution at early times is shown in figure 21. The central vortex and the inner part of the torus deform mostly through a combination of modes m = 3 and 2. Eventually the central vortex and torus merge, creating three bridges of PV at the edges of the deformed central vortex. The complexity of the flow then grows rapidly. The central vortex and the torus later merge into a large compound structure, most visible in figure 21(d-h). Notably, the early evolution in figure 21(a-c) is quasi-barotropic, as the contours at opposite heights nearly coincide. This is consistent with the vertical eigenstructures of the linearly unstable modes discussed in § 3.4. At later times, however, vertical asymmetries develop and accelerate the growth in complexity of the flow.

5. Conclusion and discussion

We have investigated the formation of circular vortex arrays arising from the instability of a torus of uniform potential vorticity in an unbounded quasi-geostrophic (QG) flow under the Boussinesq approximation, with and without a central vortex. We have also investigated the subsequent nonlinear evolution.



FIGURE 21. Evolution of a uniform-PV torus with $R_0/r_0 = 2.4$, and a spherical central vortex with $r_c/r_0 = 1$ and $q_c/q_0 = 1$. Top view of the bounding contours at t = 0, 11, 14, 22, 26, 30 and 35. In panels (f-h) only contours in every fifth layer are shown. If all contours were included, then the figure would be almost black with contours.

We have first shown that a torus of uniform potential vorticity is unstable to azimuthally wavy disturbance modes, over a large range of torus radius ratios R_0/r_0 . The azimuthal mode number *m* of the most unstable such disturbance increases with R_0/r_0 , roughly in proportion to it. The most unstable mode has azimuthal length scale comparable to $2r_0$, the diameter of the cross-section of the torus.

In the nonlinear dynamics, an unstable mode with azimuthal wavenumber m > 3 leads to the formation of a circular array of *m* vortices initially lying along the mean radius of the torus. The configuration is stable for m = 4 and 5 but unstable otherwise. For $m \ge 6$ the growth of a subharmonic instability staggers the vortices.

When a central vortex is introduced, the linear and nonlinear evolutions are modified. When the central vortex has the same sign of potential vorticity as the torus, and has a strength comparable to or larger than a vortex in the circular array, the array is kept stable apparently indefinitely. Weaker central vortices can still lead to quasi-regular vortex evolution without any merging, over long times, such as oscillatory staggering.

A question currently under investigation, and to be reported on in future work, is the effect of a vertical offset of the central vortex above or below the torus. In the Boussinesq model, which provides a good approximation for the ocean over modest height scales, the sign of the offset is immaterial. However, the Boussinesq model does not accurately account for the exponential density stratification typical of planetary atmospheres, including the Earth's and Jupiter's. The quasi-geostrophic model can account for this stratification by the introduction of a new length scale, the density scale height H, while retaining the simplicity of an unbounded geometry (Scott & Dritschel 2005). The sign of the offset then matters, as interactions decay more rapidly upwards but more slowly downwards (Hoskins *et al.* 1985, equations (33); Scott & Dritschel 2005). The formation and stability of circular vortex arrays are likely to depend on H, especially when this height is comparable to the minor radius r_0 of the torus. This is ongoing research which will bring us a step closer to understanding, for instance, the formation and longevity of circular vortex arrays such as those recently observed near Jupiter's poles (Adriani *et al.* 2018).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank M. E. McIntyre, especially for his helpful comments on an early draft of this paper that greatly improved the final version.

REFERENCES

- ADRIANI, A., MURA, A., ORTON, G., HANSEN, C., ALTIERI, F., MORICONI, M. L., ROGERS, J., EICHSTDT, G., MOMARY, T., INGERSOLL, A. P., FILACCHIONE, G., SINDONI, G., TABATABA-VAKILI, F., DINELLI, B. M., FABIANO, F., BOLTON, S. J., CONNERNEY, J. E. P., ATREYA, S. K., LUNINE, J. I., TOSI, F., MIGLIORINI, A., GRASSI, D., PICCIONI, G., NOSCHESE, R., CICCHETTI, A., PLAINAKI, C., OLIVIERI, A., ONEILL, M. E., TURRINI, D., STEFANI, S., SORDINI, R. & AMOROSO, M. 2018 Cluster of cyclones encircling Jupiter's poles. *Nature* 555, 216–219.
- DRITSCHEL, D. G. 1985 The stability and energetics of corotating uniform vortices. J. Fluid Mech. 157, 95–134.
- DRITSCHEL, D. G. 1986 The nonlinear evolution of rotating configurations of uniform vorticity. J. Fluid Mech. 172, 157–182.
- DRITSCHEL, D. G. 1988 Contour surgery: a topological reconnection scheme for extended integrations using contour dynamics. J. Comput. Phys. 77, 240–266.
- DRITSCHEL, D. G. 1995 A general theory for two dimensional vortex interactions. J. Fluid Mech. 293, 269–303.
- DRITSCHEL, D. G. 2002 Vortex merger in rotating stratified flows. J. Fluid Mech. 455, 83-101.
- DRITSCHEL, D. G. & MCINTYRE, M. E. 2008 Multiple jets as PV staircases: the Phillips effect and the resilience of eddy-transport barriers. J. Atmos. Sci. 65 (3), 855–874.
- DRITSCHEL, D. G. & SARAVANAN, R. 1994 Three-dimensional quasi-geostrophic contour dynamics, with an application to stratospheric vortex dynamics. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 120, 1267–1297.
- HOSKINS, B. J., MCINTYRE, M. E. & ROBERTSON, A. W. 1985 On the use and significance of isentropic potential-vorticity maps. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 111, 877–946.
- KOSSIN, J. P. & SCHUBERT, W. H. 2001 Mesovortices, polygonal patterns and rapid pressure falls in hurricane-like vortices. J. Atmos. Sci. 58, 2196–2209.
- MOREL, Y. G. & CARTON, X. J. 1994 Multipolar vortices in two-dimensional incompressible flows. J. Fluid Mech. 276, 23-51.
- MORIKAWA, G. K. & SWENSON, E. V. 1971 Interacting motion of rectilinear geostrophic vortices. *Phys. Fluids* **14** (6), 1058–1073.
- REINAUD, J. N. 2019 Three-dimensional quasi-geostrophic vortex equilibria with *m*-fold symmetry. J. Fluid Mech. 863, 32–59.
- REINAUD, J. N. & DRITSCHEL, D. G. 2002 The merger of vertically offset quasi-geostrophic vortices. J. Fluid Mech. 469, 287–315.
- SCOTT, R. K. & DRITSCHEL, D. G. 2005 Quasi-geostrophic vortices in compressible atmospheres. J. Fluid. Mech. 530, 305–325.
- THOMSON, J. J. 1883 A Treatise of Vortex Rings. MacMillan and Co.
- VALLIS, G. K. 2006 Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics: Fundamentals and Large-scale Circulation. Cambridge University Press.
- WILLIAMS, G. P. 1978 Planetary circulations: 1. Barotropic representation of Jovian and terrestrial turbulence. J. Atmos. Sci. 35, 1399–1424.